RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 11:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Let's do Patsy. I'm ready.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 13 08:13:05 2009
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Print for HRC

-----Original Message-----
From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 6:13 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: [redacted] Toiv, Nora F B6
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Cheryl

Per discussion

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 10:05 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills( ) sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'muscatinel@state.gov' B6
Cc: H2
Subject: Fw: Un

Overlook the source but idea that I should do a topper on timely events and make case is probably right.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mark J. Penn
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 24 09:42:07 2009
Subject: Un

I can't tell if anyone is listening to Obama at un but the lack of clear Afghanistan policy is unwinding the coalition and threatens to cause a massive deer in headlights problem for administration if not resolved soon.

Happy to give you confidential feedback on cgi speech though it's an easy audience. Good chance to put down the Iranian and libyan sideshows while building upon message of renewed global cooperation.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2009 6:46 AM
To: 'stalbott
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Rose....

Thx for passing on the feedback which I will share w her. Let's plan another catch up call. I'm copying my assistant, Lauren, to try to schedule for us.

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott
To: H
Sent: Mon Oct 05 20:11:42 2009
Subject: Rose....

B6

..... briefed a group of wonks at Brookings tonite. Superbly impressive & persuasive. Low-key mastery & confidence. Congrats on having her on your team & leading these negs.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 8:11 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: UNGA schedule

I am trying to organize all of my notes and need a list of the dates and times of all my mtgs and events. Unfortunately the cards on which I take my notes do not have dates and times which they usually do (and I would like them always to include). Can you send to me?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 11:29 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject:

B6

Have we asked Chinese to let us take helicopter in to retrieve?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 12:00 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. I'll meet you at the Waldorf.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 27 23:50:04 2009
Subject:

Looks like carolyn isn't back in ny yet.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 2:55 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Pls call Sarah and ask her if she can get me some iced tea.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 12:34 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Also

What is total USG spent in Af since 9/11? I believe we have spent $15.4B in Pak.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 11:01 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Sri Lanka

I want to see the proposed statement. Happy to have it issued in someone else's name. But I think we need to do it. So weigh in. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 03 10:58:07 2009
Subject: Sri Lanka

I'm not sure who's recommending what to you, I'm just coming into this at the 11th hour and not sure of the facts

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 11:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

The reason I asked is that both Post and News have people quoted saying they asked me for help since technically he is in China.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 27 11:38:04 2009
Subject:

[redacted] B6

There has been no discussion of a helicopter. The protocol for returning remains from such expeditions is well established, since such events on expeditions, unfortunately, are not uncommon.

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 8:46 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: 'sbwhoeop
Subject: Fw: Hillary: You're addressing a group on Africa on Thursday. Joe Wilson will be there and...

Pls be sure I see Joe.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'sbwhoeop(
Sent: Wed Sep 30 20:45:26 2009
Subject: Re: Hillary: You're addressing a group on Africa on Thursday. Joe Wilson will be there and...

B6

I certainly will. I'm copying Lona and Huma so they can arrange a visit.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop(
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 30 19:17:34 2009
Subject: Hillary: You're addressing a group on Africa on Thursday. Joe Wilson will be there and...

wants to say hello to you. Please look out for him. Thanks. Sid

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 5:17 PM
To: 'SBerger[redacted]
Subject: Re:

Thanks, Sandy. This is very helpful.

----- Original Message -----
From: Berger, Samuel R.[redacted]
To: H
Cc: Berger, Samuel R.[redacted] B6
Sent: Sat Oct 03 23:54:07 2009
Subject:

HRC: I wasn't satisfied by my answer to your question about how to gain leverage over the Pakistanis to move them to more aggressively pursue AQ. I've thought about it some more and, while I have no silver bullet, here are some further thoughts.

-- My impression is that the Pakistanis have been more cooperative lately in assisting us target some AQ in Pakistan and that, where they hold back, it is less a function of affinity toward AQ and more about with whom AQ is imbedded..

-- In particular, they seem to be more reluctant to target AQ where it is nestled with groups they want to cultivate in the event we "leave" Afghanistan and they need influence there. They have seen that before and will hedge their bets.

-- So the first point is that we have to address the reason why they are holding back. If we can disabuse them of the perception that we are leaving Afghanistan, it will be easier to get the Pakistanis to go after AQ in sanctuaries provided by groups which would be part of a future Afghanistan dominated by the Taliban.

-- Second, I believe that coercive measures are more likely to be effective if they are targeted against military leaders who give support to AQ and its allies. Assuming we have adequate intelligence, we can go after bank accounts, travel and other reachable assets of individual Pakistani officers, raising the stakes for those supporting the militants without creating an inordinate backlash . Blunter measures of coercion, like conditioning our assistance, are more likely to be counterproductive. Given the level of distrust for us among the Pakistani people, they would see this as another sharp swing of the U.S. pendulum which would harden their attitudes and make greater cooperation very difficult for the Pakistani people to accept.

-- There may be greater leverage in giving the Pakistanis incentives to be more aggressive. Of course, the military's calculation is most important. I'm not sure what is on their shopping list these days but we would need to balance what would move the needle on AQ against undercutting our effort to get them to shift their strategic focus away from India. . At the same time, we can be as forward leaning as possible in support of their counterinsurgency capabilities (equipment, training, whatever material, intelligence and other assistance we can give them if they move into Wazeristan -- including relief for displaced persons). If they finally take that step, we can do all that is possible to demonstrate that our arrows are aligned with theirs..

-- On the civilian side, their desire for greater control over how Kerry-Lugar money can be used can be played to our strategic advantage. If we give them a greater sense that they are directing the use of these funds -- without greatly undercutting their effectiveness -- it strengthens our position to press them on AQ.

-- Finally, there is the defining relationship with India. Are there measures that the Indians could take that would reduce Pakistani anxieties about the Indian front and thus better enable them to focus on threats to the East, including both AQ and anti-Indian militant groups like LET? Are there confidence building measures such as information sharing on troop movements that would both reduce uncertainty and support a Pakistani public narrative for a shift in emphasis from India to the militancy? To the extent India seeks to have Pakistan move more vigorously against the militants, they could see such steps as advancing their interests. This is tricky territory but may be worth pursuing.

Sandy

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 7:22 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Broder

I heard David Broder had a good column today. Did you see? Can you pls send to me? How was Face the Nation?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 1:25 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'pvervee [redacted]
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to have Melanne send it as letter to GOSL Ambassador and maybe UN Rep and only release to SL and related outlets.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines [redacted] <preines [redacted]
Cc: cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]; pverveer [redacted] <pverveer [redacted]
Sent: Sat Oct 03 13:18:40 2009
Subject: RE: Statement

We are waiting for DRL to clear the language. I will call Mike and/or Karen now. Everyone else is comfortable. Then we will get it to you and put it out in Melanne's voice. You'll have something very soon.

PJ

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 03, 2009 1:13 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines [redacted]
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]'; 'pverveer [redacted]
Subject: Statement

I like the idea of it being issued by someone else but I still want to see it. Let's close this out.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 1:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called for u

Am I supposed to see him and others or not when I get back?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 04 13:34:14 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called for u

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 7:20 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Climate meeting

Is this off?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 6:07 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Checking in

Aren't I supposed to be there? Is it at 9 or 10?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 27 18:00:48 2009
Subject: Checking in

Lisa is coming at 7:15 tomorrow.
Will you come to nyc in time for first bilat?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 10:07 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A/S Blake, A/S Schwartz, and Ambassador Verveer have requested a conference call with the Secretary

As soon as I'm off call now. Tell ops to set up.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 03 10:04:07 2009
Subject: Fw: A/S Blake, A/S Schwartz, and Ambassador Verveer have requested a conference call with the Secretary

Can they call the house?

From: Beekman, Philip M
To: SES-O_S-Calls
Sent: Sat Oct 03 09:58:36 2009
Subject: A/S Blake, A/S Schwartz, and Ambassador Verveer have requested a conference call with the Secretary

A/S Blake, A/S Schwartz, and Ambassador Verveer have requested a conference call with the Secretary. Ops stands ready to assist.

Philip Beekman

Operations Center

Department of State

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 7:23 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fyi

Yes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 04 00:58:11 2009
Subject: Fyi

Indonesians cannot do 9. Asking for 10am instead. Philippines will likely be right after. Hope that's ok.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 7:08 AM
To: [redacted]cherieblair[redacted]
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Sorry to miss you

I really enjoyed my time w our friend and hope we can work together on issues including your project on people w disabilities. I'm copying Huma who was w me so we can do follow up.

Sorry I didn't see you and had only a few minutes w Tony at the Quartet mtg.

I may be in London later this month and will let you know if that happens. All the best.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 26 08:49:39 2009
Subject: Sorry to miss you

B6

But it was great to see Bill on such good form.
My friend from Q really enjoyed your meeting and felt it had gone welll hope you did too Sent from my HTC Touch Pro

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com

RELEASE IN PART
B6, B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 3:05 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines
Cc: 'cheryl.mills 'pverveer B6
Subject: Re: Statement

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines <preines
Cc: cheryl.mills h <cheryl.mills ; pverveer <pverveer
Sent: Sat Oct 03 14:40:34 2009
Subject: RE: Statement

Here is what we have come up with as agreed language from Bob, Eric, Mike, Melanne, Lissa and me. This can be used for the letter and for press guidance. Melanne will send a letter to the GOSL and perhaps the UN Permrep. We will ask the Post to release the letter to journalists in Colombo once it is received. I will schedule a press briefing at the Foreign Press Center early this week, which will attract a good number of Asian journalists, and make sure the question is asked so we can put it in proper context. We will have it for guidance in the Main State briefing if asked. PJ

BEGIN TEXT

Questions have been raised regarding remarks by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on September 30 before the United Nations Security Council on the adoption of a resolution to combat sexual violence in armed conflict. In her statement, she said that we have seen rape used as a tactic before in a number of countries, including Sri Lanka.

Regarding the Secretary's reference to Sri Lanka in her address, we want to be clear. Over the course of the difficult conflict between the government of Sri Lanka and the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE), stretching into this decade, the State Department as well as credible human rights organizations reported numerous cases of rape and sexual violence in Sri Lanka, particularly acts committed against women held in detention by the government. This was the basis for the Secretary's statement. At the same time, in the most recent phase of the conflict, from 2006 to 2009, though we remained deeply concerned about reports of extrajudicial killings, disappearances and mistreatment of detainees, we have not received reports that rape and sexual abuse were used as tools of war, as they clearly have in other conflict areas around the world. We hope that this clarification puts the issue in its proper context.

These questions should not detract from the specific actions that Sri Lanka needs to take now. With the end of the military conflict, Secretary Clinton believes strongly that Sri Lanka must focus to the future and move forward on the promotion of peace and the protection of human rights. The government of Sri Lanka should release more than 250,000 displaced persons who are still confined in camps, and lead a process of genuine political and social reconciliation. The United States stands ready to assist and support the people of Sri Lanka with these critical challenges.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 03, 2009 1:25 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines B6

Cc: 'cheryl.mills 'pverveer B6
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to have Melanne send it as letter to GOSL Ambassador and maybe UN Rep and only release to SL and related outlets.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines <preines
Cc: cheryl.mills <cheryl.mills>; pverveer <pverveer
Sent: Sat Oct 03 13:18:40 2009
Subject: RE: Statement

We are waiting for DRL to clear the language. I will call Mike and/or Karen now. Everyone else is comfortable. Then we will get it to you and put it out in Melanne's voice. You'll have something very soon.

PJ

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 03, 2009 1:13 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines
Cc: 'cheryl.mills 'pverveer
Subject: Statement

I like the idea of it being issued by someone else but I still want to see it. Let's close this out.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 11:07 AM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines
Subject: Re: Sri Lanka

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines n <preines
Sent: Sun Oct 04 10:30:11 2009
Subject: Re: Sri Lanka

B6

Working it now; will provide to media as soon as we have delivery confirmatio. Should be by early this afternoon. PJ

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines <preines
Sent: Sun Oct 04 09:32:08 2009
Subject: Sri Lanka

Given the AFP story today, have we delivered letter and given to appropriate press, now including AFP?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 6:40 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: I'm on my way back

And would like to see Holbrooke before I go to airport if you can get him to come up.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 7:22 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I'm on my way back

Don't worry--i saw him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Sep 29 19:17:08 2009
Subject: Re: I'm on my way back

Sorry -- I had to step out for a bit. Heading back now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tue Sep 29 18:40:02 2009
Subject: I'm on my way back

And would like to see Holbrooke before I go to airport if you can get him to come up.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 7:15 AM
To: 'Burnswj@state.gov'; 'rossdb@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: new Iran-China oil deal, reported in Iran (in English) and in China (in Chinese)

Fyi--here's info about the China/Iran deal I mentioned yesterday from a young woman who speaks Mandarin and focuses on China's energy and military development.

----- Original Message -----
From: newmyer([redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 29 11:20:29 2009
Subject: new Iran-China oil deal, reported in Iran (in English) and in China (in Chinese)

Secretary Clinton,

Just wanted to make sure that you saw the news about one of China's oil conglomerates and the Iranian National Oil Company over the
weekend:

Iran, China sign a major oilfield deal, Mon, 28 Sep 2009 15:05:09 GMT China National Petroleum Corp (CNPC) has signed a contract with National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC) for the development of Iran's South Azadegan oilfield. The Chinese company will buy a 70 percent share of the whole project, according to an agreement signed Sunday in Lausanne, Switzerland between CNPC and NIOC's overseas investment subsidiary, Naftiran Intertrade Company (NICO) that covers a 90 percent stake in the project.

A likely explanation would be that this deal has been long in the making. Still, Tehran's motivation for releasing this information in English at this time is worth considering.

The whole story from an English-language Iranian outlet is pasted in below, and I found three Chinese-language sources with corroborating reports (nothing in English from China, though):

http://business.sohu.com/20090929/n267094148.shtml
http://money.163.com/09/0929/14/5KCSKN5F002524SO.html
http://finance.sina.com.cn/world/gjjj/20090929/18566807524.shtml

Jackie

Press TV
Iran, China sign a major oilfield deal
Mon, 28 Sep 2009 15:05:09 GMT
China National Petroleum Corp (CNPC) has signed a contract with National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC) for the development of Iran's South Azadegan oilfield.

The Chinese company will buy a 70 percent share of the whole project, according to an agreement signed Sunday in Lausanne, Switzerland between CNPC and NIOC's overseas investment subsidiary, Naftiran Intertrade Company (NICO) that covers a 90 percent stake in the project.

CNPC, which won a bid in January to develop the North Azadegan oilfield, now holds a 70 percent share of the project with NICO holding 20 percent, and Inpex of Japan having the remaining 10 percent.

The South Azadegan project is slated to produce 260,000 barrels of crude oil per day, and its development will cost around $2.5 billion.

The field, along the Iraqi border, holds reserves estimated at approximately 42 billion barrels of oil, one of the world's largest finds in the last 30 years.


Iran provides 14 percent of China's demand for oil.

The deal is couched in buy-back terms, in which CNPC will hand over the operation of the field to NIOC after development and will receive payments from the oil production for a few years to cover its investment.

China's investment in Iran's energy sector has increased as some western countries, led by the US, have sanctioned Iran over its peaceful nuclear program.

--

Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 11:57 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Shannon, Thomas A <ShannonTA@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 30 11:32:46 2009
Subject: RE: Honduras

[redacted] B5

We would need Tom and Craig's guidance as to when the appropriate time is and what our people would actually do on the ground.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 8:09 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Shannon, Thomas A
Subject: Honduras

If DeMint is going, can we encourage a few others to go at same time to present more balanced picture to defactos? Is DeMint using mil air? If so, we can add Members.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 12:22 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Today at WH

I'm on shuttle and, if all goes well, will stop first at State. Based on your work w S/RAP do you have suggestions as to what points I should make to set us up as counterpart to military and prepare for Friday and next week?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2009 6:49 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Peres can talk at 7:30. Is that ok?

No. I will do later.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 02 06:09:40 2009
Subject: Peres can talk at 7:30. Is that ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6, B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 3:26 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'pverveer [redacted]
Subject: Re: Statement

Thx to all.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines [redacted] <preines [redacted]
Cc: cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]; pverveer [redacted] <pverveer [redacted]
Sent: Sat Oct 03 15:15:19 2009
Subject: Re: Statement

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines [redacted]' <preines [redacted] B6
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]; 'pverveer [redacted] <pverveer [redacted]
Sent: Sat Oct 03 15:04:54 2009
Subject: Re: Statement

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines [redacted] <preines [redacted] B6
Cc: cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]; pverveer [redacted] <pverveer [redacted]
Sent: Sat Oct 03 14:40:34 2009
Subject: RE: Statement

Here is what we have come up with as agreed language from Bob, Eric, Mike, Melanne, Lissa and me. This can be used for the letter and for press guidance. Melanne will send a letter to the GOSL and perhaps the UN Permrep. We will ask the Post to release the letter to journalists in Colombo once it is received. I will schedule a press briefing at the Foreign Press Center early this week, which will attract a good number of Asian journalists, and make sure the question is asked so we can put it in proper context. We will have it for guidance in the Main State briefing if asked. PJ

BEGIN TEXT

Questions have been raised regarding remarks by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on September 30 before the United Nations Security Council on the adoption of a resolution to combat sexual violence in armed conflict. In her statement, she said that we have seen rape used as a tactic before in a number of countries, including Sri Lanka.

Regarding the Secretary's reference to Sri Lanka in her address, we want to be clear. Over the course of the difficult conflict between the government of Sri Lanka and the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE), stretching into this decade, the State Department as well as credible human rights organizations reported numerous cases of rape and sexual violence in Sri Lanka, particularly acts committed against women held in detention by the government. This was the basis for the Secretary's statement. At the same time, in the most recent phase of the conflict, from 2006 to 2009, though we remained deeply concerned about reports of extrajudicial killings, disappearances and mistreatment of detainees, we have not received reports that rape and sexual abuse were used as tools of war, as they clearly have in other conflict areas around the world. We hope that this clarification puts the issue in its proper context.

These questions should not detract from the specific actions that Sri Lanka needs to take now. With the end of the military conflict, Secretary Clinton believes strongly that Sri Lanka must focus to the future and move forward on the promotion of peace and the protection of human rights. The government of Sri Lanka should release more than 250,000 displaced persons who are still confined in camps, and lead a process of genuine political and social reconciliation. The United States stands ready to assist and support the people of Sri Lanka with these critical challenges.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 03, 2009 1:25 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines
Cc: 'cheryl.mills ; 'pverveer
Subject: Re: Statement

B6

I'm happy to have Melanne send it as letter to GOSL Ambassador and maybe UN Rep and only release to SL and related outlets.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; preines <preines
Cc: cheryl.mill <cheryl.mills ; pverveer <pverveer
Sent: Sat Oct 03 13:18:40 2009
Subject: RE: Statement

We are waiting for DRL to clear the language. I will call Mike and/or Karen now. Everyone else is comfortable. Then we will get it to you and put it out in Melanne's voice. You'll have something very soon.

PJ

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 03, 2009 1:13 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines
Cc: 'cheryl.mills ; 'pverveer
Subject: Statement

I like the idea of it being issued by someone else but I still want to see it. Let's close this out.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 8:10 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Tomorrow

I want to take the 3 pm shuttle and I want to meet w Jake and the Holbrooke team either at State or my house whichever they prefer.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 2:00 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Report questions

I'm taking 3 shuttle so should do in next half hour. Anything I need to know before calling Richard back?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Oct 04 13:58:22 2009
Subject: RE: Report questions

We'll set up a call so all can join -- what time?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 04, 2009 1:58 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Report questions

I have a bunch of them. What's best way to process? Should I do conf call w whoever need to be included?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 7:29 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: For your consideration

I'm finding this latest memo draft confusing in the way it is laid out. Pls call me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 04 17:30:59 2009
Subject: Re: For your consideration

Can't fax. Will call.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Oct 04 17:21:21 2009
Subject: Re: For your consideration

Can you fax to my DC house? If not, can you talk it thru w me now?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Oct 04 17:16:08 2009
Subject: For your consideration

Below is a proposed cover memo from you to go on top of the longer memo. We will send the longer memo to you in a half hour or so. Please let us know your thoughts on the below:

B5

B5

B5

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2009 6:32 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

I told Andrew I would do the things he requested in his memo to me and he should talk to you about scheduling.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2009 6:25 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Video Success and Another Idea

Getting it on other sites like MTVu would be great.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Oct 06 23:22:11 2009
Subject: FW: Video Success and Another Idea

More

From: Klevorick, Caitlin B
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 8:33 PM
To: Dowd, Katie W; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Video Success and Another Idea

I can attest to the fact that this has thus far been the shining star of the initiative (from a non-policy perspective) and I think speaks to how hrc will do diplomacy and development differently.

In addition to what katie mentioned-- Institute for International Education (goodman) included it in their weekly email to more than 8000 institutions, Pepsi showed it an internal meeting last week and will show it at a global conference this week, and CGI has gotten feedback about the video and has gotten increased interest in commitments in this area. It has also been pushed out to posts through internal sites.

We are also trying to see if we can get it in a rotation or on mtvU or their website and bet as part of the world food day push.

From: Dowd, Katie W
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Klevorick, Caitlin B
Sent: Tue Oct 06 19:57:36 2009
Subject: Video Success and Another Idea

Cheryl

Hope this finds you well. I wanted to update you on the success of the video and also share a new idea with you. Give the statistical results below, I honestly believe this is the State Departments first ever successful instance of policy advocacy via viral video and the impact we have raised is worth noting. I have heard comments from all over the building and outside that this is a new level of creating content/video that is engaging, interesting, educating and that can have long term value for State and partners to use. Bottom-line, we have done something new in raising awareness/attention on a major foreign policy initiative through compelling, and viral video -- the Department of State has raised the bar.

It is hard to read the traffic exactly to their sites and ours but here are some initial stats:

Top line: Using State.gov, America.gov, Facebook, Twitter, Youtube and more, we have use new media and connection technology tools to reach over an estimated 100K audiences domestically and internationally through the video on Food Security and ultimately have brought press and attention to a new focus. This video is engaging in that it raises awareness and education on a critical foreign policy issue.

1. It is one of the top 20 videos viewed on our Youtube channel, with over 5K views and rising. Considering how much video we generate through the daily press briefings and videos through S remarks daily, I think this carries tremendous weight that in a short amount of time and little push to reach out to communities we have raised the bar for engaging content through video.

2. Many organizations and users on Youtube have picked up the video and reposted on their channels, for examples it is has been picked up heavily in China from one user who generated 3K views on his own already and I have been told that it has been posted on major Ethiopian blogs through their video channels as well.

3. Over 12,000 (estimated) have visited our main video page through State.gov where the video was featured.

4. Almost 9K people have visited the Global Food Security page through State.gov to get more information.

5. Through pushing out on social networking presences at State, we have reached over 65,000 people who subscribe and follow the Department of State and we pushed this video and the broader FS page to those communities through Facebook, Twitter, and more.

Next Steps:

1. We are making DVD's to give to posts

2. Working on distributing to bloggers for continued use

3. Will feature and push video to a larger audience when op-ed runs through a second push

4. Will work to feature prominently on World Food Day

5. Working to translate and subtitle video for usage in countries around the world.

New Idea:

Cheryl, wanted to talk to you about a new idea that I have presented before but thought about using for FS in a modified form. I discussed with Caitlin and wanted to see if you thought this was a good idea.

This proposal is to ask that Secretary Clinton begin an online conversation on Food Security where she can engage with people at home and abroad.

1. Through a video announcement she announces the opening of a global and engaging talk worldwide talk on global hunger and invites people to submit questions on the topic. People can submit questions via video, text, email, comment.

2. The community votes and comments on the best questions submitted by one another and votes on the best ones.

3. After two weeks, Secretary Clinton answers then the top five questions in her own response video. *** We could even bring person with best question to DC to be a citizen journalist for a day and ask the top questions in person.

4. We then use this video as a way to talk about the most frequently asked questions on global hunger, HRC's responses to them and we have engaged a global community on the topic.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 7:26 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Disregard

I just saw Broder piece--did you write part about me?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 7:15 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Troops

pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mark J. Penn <
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 08 03:26:41 2009
Subject: Troops

B6

I have to say that this argument that the Taliban are ok to ignore is dangerous morally and politically.

The argument at the time was that harboring terrorism was the same as launching terrorism and that those who harbored would be held responsible. This also had long term deterrence value against regimes that would outsource the dirty work.

To now even consider giving the Taliban a pass after harboring terrorists who committed direct attacks on new York and Washington defies the imagination. This wasn't some embassy bombing but a strike right at our country. The white house or congress barely escaped destruction. Saddam Hussein wasn't connected to al Qaeda but the Taliban surely were without question.

And Teheran and north Korea are watching carefully, gauging how much resolve the us has in stopping their plans. If the us lets the Taliban off the hook then they certainly can conclude it will do nothing beyond sanctions with them. And essentially letting karzai twist in the wind also suggests the us does not live up to it's commitments, giving pause to those who would help the us.

Politically, this is also quite dangerous. Obama maintained througout the campaign and the start of his presidency that this is the one to fight and backing down here makes him and the administration vulnerable to losing moderate support and seeming weak and indecisive.
A single terrorist incident would be blamed on the admin. failing to do the job right.

I could go on and on with other negative ramifications but of all the options it appears to me any strategy that says fighting the taliban are not in the strategic interests of the us should be doa.


RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 8:01 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: WHI - powder coating

Pls print.

B6

B6



RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 12:20 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Follo up

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2009 6:35 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: THE IMPORTANT INFORMATION YOU REQUESTED -- Very Serious Dangers Facing Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew

Pls print his for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 30 14:25:41 2009
Subject: Fw: THE IMPORTANT INFORMATION YOU REQUESTED -- Very Serious Dangers Facing Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew

---

From: Andy Manatos [redacted]
To: VanBuskirk, Michael J
Cc: 'Fr Alex Karloutsos' [redacted] Marshall, Capricia P; Verveer, Melanne S B6
Sent: Wed Sep 30 11:00:36 2009
Subject: THE IMPORTANT INFORMATION YOU REQUESTED -- Very Serious Dangers Facing Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew

As you can see from the many clips in the attached document, Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew faces very serious dangers on a daily basis both within Turkey and when abroad. Please let us know if you need any additional information.

Andy Manatos

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop@ B6
Subject: Are you still awake?

I will call if you are.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2009 6:10 AM
To: 'capriciamarshall[redacted] B6
Subject: Are you still here?

Capricia--are you in the hotel? I'd love to see you. Let me know. Thx to you and your team. H

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 8:54 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: UNGA schedule

Thank you so much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Sep 27 08:31:05 2009
Subject: Re: UNGA schedule

Yes, I can send within the hour.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Sep 27 08:10:34 2009
Subject: UNGA schedule

I am trying to organize all of my notes and need a list of the dates and times of all my mtgs and events. Unfortunately the cards on which I take my notes do not have dates and times which they usually do (and I would like them always to include). Can you send to me?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 8:55 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Af-pak

Have you made progress?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 10:56 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Friday Presentation on Urgent Threat - Bill Burns will not be at the Retreat

Cheryl--we should postpone until we get Bill there.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Sep 28 10:26:39 2009
Subject: FW: Friday Presentation on Urgent Threat - Bill Burns will not be at the Retreat

FYI below

From: Burns, William J
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 10:21 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: Friday Presentation on Urgent Threat

Cheryl,

I'll be in Geneva with P5+1 and Iranians Oct 1-2. Won't get back at earliest until late evening Oct 2 – more likely Oct 3.

Bill

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Burns, William J; Holbrooke, Richard C; Mitchell, George J; Boswell, Eric J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Woytovech, Suzonne M; Rudman, Mara; Dejban, Donna D; [redacted] B6
Subject: Friday Presentation on Urgent Threat

Dear Gentlemen:

On Friday, Oct 2, 2009, the Secretary is hosting Leadership Retreat.

Would you each kindly give 5 minutes overview in your respective areas of leadership on a panel regarding the following urgent threats, in particular:

Iran: Bill Burns

Middle East: George Mitchell

Af/PaK: Richard Holbrooke

North Korea: Eric Bosworth

Would you please advise Nora Toiv, who is copied on this message if you cannot present.

Thanks so much.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 7:20 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: 'bowens@
Subject: Fw:

Pls see below.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'bowens
Sent: Wed Sep 30 07:19:20 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

Dear Bill,

Thanks for continuing this important project which I heartily support.

I am travelling heavily during October but I'm copying Lona Valmoro to put this on the radar screen so we can consider whether any meeting is at all possible. She will get back to you.

All the best---H

----- Original Message -----
From: Owens, Bill
To: H; H2; abedinh@state.gov <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 29 10:46:28 2009
Subject:

For Secretary Clinton

Dear Hillary,

You will remember our initiative to bring the most senior retired generals from the Chinese and US militaries. With these 10 generals from the two countires, I am going to be in DC on October 21 and 22. these Generals have been part our of our Sanya Initiative - the unofficial mil-mil dialogue I started last year. It'll be me, Joe Prueher, Charley Wilhelm, Ron Fogleman, and Denny Reimer. The Chinese will be led by General Xiong Guangkai and include four other very senior and respected Generals from the PLA.

The Chinese view this as a formal track one program and take it very seriously. We will meet with ADM Mullen and ADM Keating in Honolulu and see GEN Cartwright at the Pentagon. It would be a terrific sign of the U.S.'s support for this relationship if you were able join or host (I'd be pleased to fund) a very private dinner on the evening of the 21 or the

22nd of October. Just you, the Generals, and interpreters - perhaps the Chinese Ambassador if you think that would be valuable.

I met with Kurt Campbell last month, and we will meet with him and Jim Steinberg. He expressed strong support for this dialogue as well as the economic one we started in Beijing last August. You know we would welcome involvement from State at any of our projects - our goal is to make a small but meaningful difference in the relationship.

Warm best wishes, (I'm cheering for you!)

Bill

Bill Owens

Chairman

AEA Holdings Asia

30/F, Gloucester Tower

The Landmark

15 Queen's Road Central

Hong Kong SAR



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 7:21 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Dennis Ross

What is his email at White House?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 8:09 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'shannonta@state.gov'
Subject: Honduras

If DeMint is going, can we encourage a few others to go at same time to present more balanced picture to defactos? Is DeMint using mil air? If so, we can add Members.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 6:36 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Friday

Holbrooke wants a long--2-3 hour mtg--and I want to do that on Friday. Pls confirm w him the time. We will do in the ops center so if we want Eikenberry and Paterson on video, we can do. Let's get this nailed down so I can plan rest of day.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2009 7:28 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Geneva

What's happening in HRC in Geneva? Do I need to call anyone? Peres is asking me to personally call and I feel I should but need update so I'm on the phone w Harold.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 1:53 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Report

I think it's quite good and covers most issues well. I think we need the additional lead on we discussed. Holbrooke wants to talk--any feedback befote I call him?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 7:10 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Libya

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 24 20:20:09 2009
Subject: Fw: Libya

----- Original Message -----
From: Feltman, Jeffrey D
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Sep 24 20:16:50 2009
Subject: Libya

Congress earmarked 2.5 mil dollars for democracy stuff in Libya. Not an Admin request. Requirement to work through NGOs. Requests for proposals went out, came in, were evaluated. One was going to an NGO with links to an NGO in Libya run by a Qadhafi. Congressional staff briefed on Monday. Thus the questions now.
Jeffrey Feltman

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 7:12 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Dr.

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'nancy@parrish1
Sent: Tue Sep 29 07:11:27 2009
Subject: Re: Dr.

Thank you for this--and for so much else. I hope you'll stay in regular touch w me on anything. All the best to you and Chuck.

----- Original Message -----
From: Nancy Parrish
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 24 10:45:45 2009
Subject: Dr.

Hillary,

It was great seeing you. BTW, Cheryl and I have been in touch.

B6

Best,
Nancy

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 12:05 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Can I call u?

Sure. I just got home.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 03 23:35:24 2009
Subject: Can I call u?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 7:23 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok. Does Eikenberry need to be secure?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 04 07:09:00 2009
Subject: Calls

Eikenberry has asked if u can talk at 9am?
Then philippines 9:45 and indonesia immediately after.
Ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 9:32 AM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Sri Lanka

Given the AFP story today, have we delivered letter and given to appropriate press, now including AFP?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 1:58 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Report questions

I have a bunch of them. What's best way to process? Should I do conf call w whoever need to be included?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2009 8:54 AM
To: 'capriciamarshall [redacted] B6
Subject: Disregard!

Just found out you are, of course, in P'burg trying to herd cats. See you back in DC.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 5:21 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: For your consideration

Can you fax to my DC house? If not, can you talk it thru w me now?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Oct 04 17:16:08 2009
Subject: For your consideration

Below is a proposed cover memo from you to go on top of the longer memo. We will send the longer memo to you in a half hour or so. Please let us know your thoughts on the below:


B5

B5

B5

B5

B5



RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2009 6:43 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's do Jack at the office.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Oct 06 06:35:15 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Sounds good -- and I apologize about all of the private meetings today, I should have done a separate schedule for you.
2:30pm is Ken Feinberg.
4pm is Lou D'Allesandro dropping by for a quick hello.
6pm is General Keane and he knows we will get back to him about location. 6pm is the earliest he can do today.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tue Oct 06 06:31:39 2009
Subject: Schedule

I told Andrew I would do the things he requested in his memo to me and he should talk to you about scheduling.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2009 6:43 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: H
Sent: Tue Oct 06 06:32:02 2009

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2009 6:21 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Checking in

I read that CM is in Philadelphia [redacted] but if she's back I'd at least like to call again. The timing, however, is hard for a visit since I need to see Santa around 7:45 after seeing Lisa. It might work if she's there and wants to see people other than close friends. What do you think? B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 27 18:08:33 2009
Subject: Re: Checking in

Yes at 10.
Was checking to see if I needed to make arrangements for you to do anything earlier.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Sep 27 18:06:53 2009
Subject: Re: Checking in

Aren't I supposed to be there? Is it at 9 or 10?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 27 18:00:48 2009
Subject: Checking in

Lisa is coming at 7:15 tomorrow.
Will you come to nyc in time for first bilat?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 7:10 AM
To: 'Terry.Duffy
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: American Friends of the Hebrew University, March 11, 2010

Terry---I often have little control over my schedule these days, but we will look closely at this and let you know. I'm copying Lona so she can do followup. All the best.

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry
To: H; H2
Sent: Thu Sep 24 20:03:04 2009
Subject: American Friends of the Hebrew University, March 11, 2010

B6

Madam Secretary-

On March 11, 2010, I'm being presented with American Friends of the Hebrew University's Scopus Award here in Chicago. I would be honored if you would consider delivering the keynote speech at this event. I respect that your schedule is very busy but if this would be a possibility, please let me know. Once again, you're doing an amazing job. I look forward to hearing from you.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
P: 312-930-2000
F: 312-930-2040

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 12:22 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Sorry hit send too soon.

I wanted to ask that you check w Eikenberry about the memo I requested from him that was only for me. Did he send it?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 5:23 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

Can you vet this request and advise me? Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Owens, Bill [redacted] B6
To: H; H2; abedinh@state.gov <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 29 10:46:28 2009
Subject:

For Secretary Clinton

Dear Hillary,

You will remember our initiative to bring the most senior retired generals from the Chinese and US militaries. With these 10 generals from the two countires, I am going to be in DC on October 21 and 22. these Generals have been part our of our Sanya Initiative - the unofficial mil-mil dialogue I started last year. It'll be me, Joe Prueher, Charley Wilhelm, Ron Fogleman, and Denny Reimer. The Chinese will be led by General Xiong Guangkai and include four other very senior and respected Generals from the PLA.

The Chinese view this as a formal track one program and take it very seriously. We will meet with ADM Mullen and ADM Keating in Honolulu and see GEN Cartwright at the Pentagon. It would be a terrific sign of the U.S.'s support for this relationship if you were able join or host (I'd be pleased to fund) a very private dinner on the evening of the 21 or the 22nd of October. Just you, the Generals, and interpreters - perhaps the Chinese Ambassador if you think that would be valuable.

I met with Kurt Campbell last month, and we will meet with him and Jim Steinberg. He expressed strong support for this dialogue as well as the economic one we started in Beijing last August. You know we would welcome involvement from State at any of our projects - our goal is to make a small but meaningful difference in the relationship.

Warm best wishes, (I'm cheering for you!)

Bill

Bill Owens

Chairman

AEA Holdings Asia

30/F, Gloucester Tower

The Landmark

15 Queen's Road Central

Hong Kong SAR

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 7:52 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Sen. Levin

Pls find out whether he supported the surge in Iraq and get a copy of his recent speech about troops to Af for me. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 11:29 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Draft Holbrooke Team

Can you fax to my house? And email to Huma for printing.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Oct 04 11:18:10 2009
Subject: Draft Holbrooke Team

HRC:

Attached is the draft memo in its current state from the Holbrooke team (it does not yet include RCH's hand edits which he just sent in).

As you will see, the beginning of this memo lays out 4 strategic interests, 3 of which overlap with the goals we discussed in our conversation; the fourth goes to the international consequences of success or failure.

Assuming we go with the approach we discussed, a cover memo should work reasonably well with this document (excepting the discussion on the foreign aid piece). See if you agree.

To actually see the document in its current form with showing edits – you need to print the attached version.

Below is the version that accepts all the proposed edits and eliminates the comments so you can read on BB if you choose.

cdm

DRAFT 10-03

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

[Potential placeholder for additional mention of Trilateral Dialogue or FODP, despite earlier references.]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 12:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'jacobjlev [redacted] B6
Subject: Further

Did you see Kori Schake article in WSJournal, Fri 9/25 attacking the civilian effort in AF? We have to answer more convincingly the point about Karl's request.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2009 1:13 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines[redacted] B6
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]; 'pvervee[redacted]
Subject: Statement

I like the idea of it being issued by someone else but I still want to see it. Let's close this out.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 5, 2009 8:19 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Call list

Pls add:

Mort Zuckerman
Ed Towns

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 4, 2009 10:15 AM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'
Subject: Call reports

Romulo said they welcome additional help so I told them to pls follow up w our Ambassador. I think you should follow up as well.

On a very bad line, Wirajuda seemed to welcome our support, including helicopters and other navel assets. Pls followup and have Navy do as well.

Let me know what else we need to do.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2009 6:33 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin

When I was at UNGA, I had foreign leader facebooks that I would like to see. Can you find for me?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2009 6:24 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Video Success and Another Idea

I love the ideas and will gladly do whatever you decide.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Oct 06 23:21:19 2009
Subject: FW: Video Success and Another Idea

See below my email

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 11:21 PM
To: Dowd, Katie W; Klevorick, Caitlin B
Cc: Reines, Philippe I; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Video Success and Another Idea

Katie:

First – I want to say again what a great job you have done on Food Security – not only the video but helping us get the site up and ready in less than 24 hours – many thanks. Love the metrics below – makes it all worth it.

Second – let's set a time to discuss this new idea.

Yeah!

cdm

From: Dowd, Katie W
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 7:58 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Klevorick, Caitlin B

Subject: Video Success and Another Idea

Cheryl

Hope this finds you well. I wanted to update you on the success of the video and also share a new idea with you. Give the statistical results below, I honestly believe this is the State Departments first ever successful instance of policy advocacy via viral video and the impact we have raised is worth noting. I have heard comments from all over the building and outside that this is a new level of creating content/video that is engaging, interesting, educating and that can have long term value for State and partners to use. Bottom-line, we have done something new in raising awareness/attention on a major foreign policy initiative through compelling, and viral video -- the Department of State has raised the bar.

It is hard to read the traffic exactly to their sites and ours but here are some initial stats:

Top line: Using State.gov, America.gov, Facebook, Twitter, Youtube and more, we have use new media and connection technology tools to reach over an estimated 100K audiences domestically and internationally through the video on Food Security and ultimately have brought press and attention to a new focus. This video is engaging in that it raises awareness and education on a critical foreign policy issue.

1. It is one of the top 20 videos viewed on our Youtube channel, with over 5K views and rising. Considering how much video we generate through the daily press briefings and videos through S remarks daily, I think this carries tremendous weight that in a short amount of time and little push to reach out to communities we have raised the bar for engaging content through video.

2. Many organizations and users on Youtube have picked up the video and reposted on their channels, for examples it is has been picked up heavily in China from one user who generated 3K views on his own already and I have been told that it has been posted on major Ethiopian blogs through their video channels as well.

3. Over 12,000 (estimated) have visited our main video page through State.gov where the video was featured.

4. Almost 9K people have visited the Global Food Security page through State.gov to get more information.

5. Through pushing out on social networking presences at State, we have reached over 65,000 people who subscribe and follow the Department of State and we pushed this video and the broader FS page to those communities through Facebook, Twitter, and more.

Next Steps:

1. We are making DVD's to give to posts

2. Working on distributing to bloggers for continued use

3. Will feature and push video to a larger audience when op-ed runs through a second push

4. Will work to feature prominently on World Food Day

5. Working to translate and subtitle video for usage in countries around the world.

New Idea:

Cheryl, wanted to talk to you about a new idea that I have presented before but thought about using for FS in a modified form. I discussed with Caitlin and wanted to see if you thought this was a good idea.

This proposal is to ask that Secretary Clinton begin an online conversation on Food Security where she can engage with people at home and abroad.

1. Through a video announcement she announces the opening of a global and engaging talk worldwide talk on global hunger and invites people to submit questions on the topic. People can submit questions via video, text, email, comment.

2. The community votes and comments on the best questions submitted by one another and votes on the best ones.

3. After two weeks, Secretary Clinton answers then the top five questions in her own response video. *** We could even bring person with best question to DC to be a citizen journalist for a day and ask the top questions in person.

4. We then use this video as a way to talk about the most frequently asked questions on global hunger, HRC's responses to them and we have engaged a global community on the topic.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 12:48 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: H: Afghan. Sid

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 08 10:13:42 2009
Subject: H: Afghan. Sid

Hillary: FYI: I found this one of the most sensible and informed brief articles on Afghanistan. Patrick Cockburn, of the London Independent, is one of the best informed on-the-ground journalists. He was almost always correct on Iraq. Sid

Patrick Cockburn: To say this war must be won in a year is nonsense

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/patrick-cockburn-to-say-this-war-must-be-won-in-a-year-is-nonsense-1799296.html

Thursday, 8 October 2009
sponsored links:

Eight years ago I was standing on a hill 50 miles north of Kabul watching the flashes in the night sky as the US air strikes started again st the Taliban front line. There were a few ineffective puffs of fire from Taliban anti-aircraft guns which could do nothing against the bombs and missiles raining down on them.
It was a strange war to cover, not least because so little real fighting took place. The reputation of the Afghan fighting man is partly based on agilely joining the winning side at the right moment. In the meantime they don't fight too hard for anybody and try to avoid getting killed.

The US and British press mostly reported the war of 2001 as a real military conflict and rather missed the point that the Taliban had just gone home. I remember visiting the former headquarters of a Taliban armoured brigade in the city of Ghazni south west of Kabul. The tanks and armoured vehicles had all been smashed to pieces by American bombs, but when I asked local people how many men the Taliban had lost, I was told "none at all. They could see what was going to happen so they just ran away."
Just as the US military victory of 2001 was overstated, so eight years later is the sense of military crisis which is being busily stoked by Gen Stanley A McChrystal, the top US and NATO commander in Afghanistan. In Washington military officials are quoted as saying that the war will be won or lost in the next twelve months.

This is nonsense. The Taliban have been able to advance so rapidly in the last three years because they have Pakistani backing and because of the spectacular political and military weakness of President Hamid Karzai's government. But the Taliban draw all their strength from the Pashtun community, which makes up 42 per cent of the Afghan population. They will have great difficulty advancing into areas occupied by the other 58 per cent of the population where there is a Tajik, Hazara or Uzbek majority.

Squads of six or eight Taliban on motorcycles might be harassing the roads around Kabul, but there is no need to treat them as if they were North Vietnamese divisions at the gates of Saigon in 1975.

The strength of the Taliban is that not that they can take and hold territory, but that they can inflict quite small military losses on the US which are politically unacceptable back home. They can do this through roadside bombs, something the US army speaks as i f it were a new device, though it was being used against the British army in Ireland in 1921. If the Americans and British try to hold territory supposedly cleared of Taliban with penny packets of troops then these are vulnerable to being suddenly targeted by the other side.

It is to prevent this happening that Gen McChrystal has asked for an extra 40,000 soldiers. But Afghans tell me that more foreign troops will simply mean more violence and dead Afghans as more of the country becomes a battlefield. It is absurd to imagine that the world's most heavily equipped military force is not going to use its weapons when it comes under attack. Polls also show that Taliban support is at its height in just those areas where Afghan civilians have been killed by American shells and bombs.

So what should Obama do? First of all he has time. He is not going to win or lose the war in the next year. Like it or not he is stuck with Mr Karzai and he should get used to the idea. It is reasonable to suggest helping to produce a larger Afghan army and police force but this cannot be done overnight. Most military recruits are there for the money and are too malnourished even to wear American flack jackets. Speeding up security training f or Afghan police meant over the summer that terrified men, often on heroin, were being sent to man dangerous and isolated police posts with just three weeks training. Many of them did not come back.

One way Obama could strengthen the Afghan army and police is to make sure their men are paid properly. In Kabul many facilities are being guarded by policemen earning $70 a month, which is not enough to live on unless supplemented with bribes. This contrasts with $250,000 a year paid to foreign consultants who lurk inside heavily defended compounds. Paying the Iraqi army properly really did make a difference in Baghdad and might do the same in Afghanistan where 40 per cent of men are unemployed. A difference is that Iraqi oil revenues last year were $62 billion while the Afghan government is dependent on foreign aid.

The US and its allies will have to pay. It is carefully thought out measures like this that Obama should be considering and not the panicky dispatch of US Special Forces or tens of thousand of more troops.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2009 10:36 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sunday Shows

Are you still up? Can you call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 08 22:11:41 2009
Subject: FW: Sunday Shows

fyi

From: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2009 6:23 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Sunday Shows

Per Dag, the WH is going dark this weekend in terms of Admin officials, so the shows are booking Senators:

NBC: Levin and Graham

CBS: Reed and McConnell

ABC: Roundtable on Afghanistan: Feinstein, McGovern, and two others.

CNN: McCain and TBD

Fox: Econ Roundtable with Granholm, Mitch Daniels and Two CEOS

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 5:40 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Dr. [redacted] B6

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Nancy Parrish [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 24 10:45:45 2009
Subject: Dr. [redacted]

Hillary,

It was great seeing you. BTW, Cheryl and I have been in touch.

[redacted]

He is happy to talk with you.

Here is a link to his bio.

[redacted]

Best,
Nancy

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 5:45 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: SER speech for Peres conference

Mitchell's team should review.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Oct 17 17:35:20 2009
Subject: FW: SER speech for Peres conference

FYI below

From: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 1:49 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: SER speech for Peres conference

Hi Cheryl,
Here is the draft of Susan's speech for Peres conf. We r circulating today. w/in State NSC.
Please let me know if you have any thoughts/ concerns Brooke

DRAFT FOR REVIEW

10/15/09

9 p.m.

The Responsibility We Share for Our Common Future

Remarks by Ambassador Susan E. Rice,

U.S. Permanent Representative

To the United Nations,

At the Second Israeli Presidential Conference

Jerusalem, Israel

October 21, 2009

B5

B5

B5



B5

B5

B5

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 8:28 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: No. Ireland

Can you check w Shaun and see what's happening?

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2009 10:51 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Micheletti Talking Points

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Oct 20 21:50:40 2009
Subject: FW: Micheletti Talking Points

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2009 9:50 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Kelly, Craig A; Williams, Bisa
Subject: Micheletti Talking Points

S requested points that she could use to call Micheletti. The negotiations continued this afternoon and evening. It is evident that neither party wants to walk away from the table and bear the political cost of ending the national dialogue. It is also evident that the negotiators (especially the Micheletti team) are working to ensure their leaders cannot collapse the talks by continuing to explore options. In this environment, a call from S is worthwhile.

The points to use in the call are:

B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 6:03 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guy was right!

You should also get Bill and/or Farmer to call. Should we get any Congress Member to call? If so, who?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:54:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Guy was right!

Teeing up you call to preval for today - see b/l

From: Stewart Paperin [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:41:56 2009
Subject: FW: Guy was right!

FYI- this from our partner, [redacted]

Stewart

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2009 11:35 PM
To: Stewart Paperin
Subject: Guy was right!

Stewart,

I went for news and indeed Guy is right. The meeting occurred yesterday and the decision was made to revoke the government on October 29. I wonder what happened with the initial decision which was strictly concerning Minister Dorsainvil and which was for November 20th or 21st.The idea had been sold that Michele should not be touched as the President did not care to have a vacuum in these electoral times. Plus, Michele was finally regarded as ambitionless (not

seeking presidential powers) which is not a bad thing under the circumstances. Apparently, she, not being amenable to sacrificing some of her Ministers...and again, she being the one to name their replacements, has brought about the decision to do away with her altogether. The trouble is that nobody seems willing to counter the President with whom the instructions have originated. It is a head to head match between the Prime Minister and the President. That is obviously not good. Nobody will stand against the President elect for the Prime Minister appointed.

I will have two meetings with separate parliamentarians tomorrow. On one end the ones voting to oust her on the other the week opposition. The frustrating thing is that none have allegiance to her, just as none have the individual power to stand against the vote for which consensus is being secured.

The UN and other international players (at least locally) after having cried victory, success and democracy are reluctant to openly and publically denounce the matter. After announcing a week or two ago that Haiti is the new place to invest, how can they say anything but whatever is done, reflects good governance.

Frankly, I did not see this one coming. We need to think.

In touch tomorrow for updates.

Y

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 9:07 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can do at 10. Can I get call sheet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 08:43:54 2009
Subject:

Can u do norwegian fm stoere today?
Hea apparently still in norway
Is free from 10am-6pm.
We have a call sheet to send you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 9:38 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Return to DC

I'm worried about the weather and taking the 9pm shuttle. Can we check w Mario and find out if I should leave earlier or take the train?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 6:42 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guy was right!

Will you call him or do you want me to?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 23 06:10:02 2009
Subject: Re: Guy was right!

Donald payne

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Oct 23 06:02:44 2009
Subject: Re: Guy was right!

You should also get Bill and/or Farmer to call. Should we get any Congress Member to call? If so, who?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:54:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Guy was right!

Teeing up you call to preval for today - see b/l

From: Stewart Paperin [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:41:56 2009
Subject: FW: Guy was right!

FYI- this from our partner, [redacted] B6

Stewart

From: [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2009 11:35 PM
To: Stewart Paperin
Subject: Guy was right!

Stewart,

I went for news and indeed Guy is right. The meeting occurred yesterday and the decision was made to revoke the government on October 29. I wonder what happened with the initial decision which was strictly concerning Minister Dorsainvil and which was for November 20th or 21st.The idea had been sold that Michele should not be touched as the President did not care to have a vacuum in these electoral times. Plus, Michele was finally regarded as ambitionless (not seeking presidential powers) which is not a bad thing under the circumstances. Apparently, she, not being amenable to sacrificing some of her Ministers...and again, she being the one to name their replacements, has brought about the decision to do away with her altogether. The trouble is that nobody seems willing to counter the President with whom the instructions have originated. It is a head to head match between the Prime Minister and the President. That is obviously not good. Nobody will stand against the President elect for the Prime Minister appointed.

I will have two meetings with separate parliamentarians tomorrow. On one end the ones voting to oust her on the other the week opposition. The frustrating thing is that none have allegiance to her, just as none have the individual power to stand against the vote for which consensus is being secured.

The UN and other international players (at least locally) after having cried victory, success and democracy are reluctant to openly and publically denounce the matter. After announcing a week or two ago that Haiti is the new place to invest, how can they say anything but whatever is done, reflects good governance.

Frankly, I did not see this one coming. We need to think.

In touch tomorrow for updates.

Y

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 9:40 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Ann Stock [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2009 7:45 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; [redacted] B6
Subject: Pls call when you can.

I'm at home. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2009 8:58 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Lanny Davis

Can he help me talk w Micheletti?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 22 20:32:31 2009
Subject: FW: Lanny Davis

FYI

From: Kelly, Craig A
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2009 7:13 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Burns, William J; Aguilera, Patricia; Wells, Alice G; Shannon, Thomas A
Subject: Lanny Davis

We just had an initial, positive conversation on the phone and are meeting for breakfast early Friday morning to go into detail.

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 9:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Honduras

Boy, are they mad about our Ambassador!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2009 11:17 AM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'; 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'capriciamarshall[redacted]' B6
Subject: Fw:

I would like to do something for Bill and think it could be a good followup to our Dialogue. What do you all think?

----- Original Message -----
From: Owens, Bill <[redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 09 00:08:45 2009
Subject:

Dear Hillary,

I very much hope we have a chance to spend some time with you next week for the "Sanya Initiatove" in DC with four other American Four Stars and our Chinese counterparts. You will recall this is part of what I've been trying to do under our "complementary engagement" program, which I briefly mentioned to you.
I understand our offices are working on the schedules, but nothing is firm yet.

Your personal involvement with this group - perhaps a small lunch - would be a very important message seen at the highest levels of the Chinese government and the Central Military Commission.

I'd be personally grateful not only on behalf of our group, but also on behalf of a cause that I believe will be a part of leading to a trusting relationship between our militaries so that we will have friends in China - not enemies.

Warm, best regards,

Bill

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2009 3:15 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sec Clinton gets it done; Emergency Relief Supplies to be airlifted from West Coast to Samoa

Great job!

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 10 19:16:21 2009
Subject: Fw: Sec Clinton gets it done; Emergency Relief Supplies to be airlifted from West Coast to Samoa

---

From: Adams, David S
To: Verma, Richard R; Mills, Cheryl D; Moy, Kin W
Sent: Sat Oct 10 18:21:14 2009
Subject: Fw: Sec Clinton gets it done; Emergency Relief Supplies to be airlifted from West Coast to Samoa

Props for the Secretary.

From: Williams, Lisa <Lisa.Williams@mail.house.gov>
Sent: Sat Oct 10 17:41:00 2009
Subject: Sec Clinton gets it done; Emergency Relief Supplies to be airlifted from West Coast to Samoa

<<TSUNAMI_Clintongetsitdone.airlift.10 10. 09.doc>>

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Contact: Dr. Lisa Williams (202) 225-8577

Washington, D.C. SECRETARY CLINTON GETS IT DONE; EMERGENCY RELIEF SUPPLIES TO BE AIRLIFTED FROM GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA TO SAMOA IN RESPONSE TO DEVASTATING TSUNAMI

Chairman of the Subcommittee on Asia, the Pacific and the Global Environment, Congressman Eni F.H. Faleomavaega, and Congresswoman Laura Richardson (D-CA), announced today that the U.S. Department of State called them this

morning to inform them that Secretary Clinton has authorized the use of funds from the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) to get emergency relief supplies airlifted from the greater Los Angeles area to Samoa in response to the devastating tsunami that struck Apia on September 29.

In his capacity as Chairman of the Asia Pacific Subcommittee which has broad jurisdiction for U.S. foreign policy affecting the region, including Samoa, Chairman Faleomavaega personally spoke to Secretary Clinton on Oct 1 and, in letters dated Oct 4 and Oct 5, followed up with her regarding the specific need to airlift emergency supplies to Apia, which were gathered by the Samoan communities and congregations in the greater Los Angeles area.

The Samoan communities in the greater Los Angeles directly contacted Faleomavaega for assistance because many of their families and relatives live in his district of American Samoa or Samoa. Congresswoman Laura Richardson has worked closely with Faleomavaega throughout this process given that she represents part of the greater Los Angeles area, and the Samoan communities living in that area are her constituents.

"I want to personally thank Secretary Clinton for her untiring efforts in getting these relief supplies airlifted," Chairman Faleomavaega said. "Since the tsunami struck, Secretary Clinton has spared no effort in coming to our aid, and even called upon the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) to quickly move these supplies from the West Coast to Samoa. Last evening, Congresswoman Richardson and I learned that DOD had agreed to move the supplies but that due to legalities of moving privately-donated goods, the process would take too long."

"In a previous conference call yesterday, anticipating that DOD might be unable to quickly move these supplies, we requested the State Department to fund a commercial flight through the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance should we encounter any problems with DOD," Faleomavaega and Rep. Richardson said. "Last night, upon learning that the DOD process would take too long and in consideration of our request for a more immediate airlift, Secretary Clinton assessed the options while en route to Europe, and this morning the State Department informed us that Secretary Clinton has agreed to fund the flight with OFDA funds."

"The agreement is that this will be a one-time airlift, and our Samoan communities should make future donations in cash, rather than in commodities. We will not be able to airlift frozen goods, and our offices will work closely with the communities to gather the supplies to a central location for the airlift. Also, the airlift provided by the U.S. Department of State can only be authorized to land in Samoa. While we were also hopeful to get supplies in to American Samoa, all matters relating to American Samoa are decided between the Governor and FEMA, according to federal law."

"I know from my meeting earlier this week with Prime Minister Tuilaepa Sailele Malielegaoi that he is thankful to the Samoan congregations and communities in the greater Los Angeles area who responded from the heart by collecting critical supplies that will now be airlifted to those in need," Faleomavaega said. "For this reason, I want to thank the Prime Minister for agreeing to accept this gift, and I also want to thank Reverend Liki Tiatia, Reverend John Mailo, Reverend Misipauena Tagaloa, and High Chief Loa Pele Faletogo for all the good work they have done. Reverend Tiatia and Reverend Mailo will be taking a flight to Samoa to make the presentation to the Government of Samoa on behalf of our Samoan community on the West Coast and also on behalf of Congresswoman Richardson and myself."

"I especially want to thank Congresswoman Richardson for working around the clock in support of our Samoan communities living in her district, and in Samoa and American Samoa," Faleomavaega continued. "Congresswoman Richardson has stood by us every step of the way and has left no stone unturned in getting this done. She has been staunch and solid in her dedication to us, and has earned her rightful place in our hearts. It is my honor to welcome Congresswoman Richardson into our Pacific Island family."

"With so many people in need of basic supplies in Samoa, it has been a privilege to work with Chairman Faleomavaega to assist the people of my district in making sure the items they have collected will soon be in the hands of their families and friends," Congresswoman Richardson said. "This feat is only possible because of the dedication of Chairman Faleomavaega and Secretary Clinton. Over 60 local organizations in the 37th district of California, including church

groups, non-profit organizations and caring others collected over 100,000 pounds of essential items to send to victims of the tragedy that recently occurred. The lives of thousands of Samoans will be immediately and directly improved by the actions taken by Secretary Clinton, Chairman Faleomavaega and all of us who answered the call to help. I am proud to be a part of this exceptional team."

"It is clear that change has come and that Secretary Clinton is restoring America's stature once again, especially in a part of the world that the U.S. has too long neglected. We thank Secretary Clinton for her leadership and commitment, and for standing with the people of Samoa when they need her most, and we continue to extend our deepest condolences to the families and friends of those who are suffering so much," Faleomavaega and Rep. Richardson concluded.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 4:52 PM
To: [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Holbrooke update

When can we talk again? I'll be tied up from 5-6:30.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2009 5:00 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Kabul Report UFT/AFT veteran
Attachments: Kabul Report Nick Norman 10-5-09 (2).doc

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Tina Flournoy, Office of the ~~President~~ [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 11 15:51:35 2009
Subject: FW: Kabul Report UFT/AFT veteran

Given our recent conversation, thought you would find the attached to be of interest.

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee, you should not copy, alter, post, forward, distribute or disseminate the contents of the e-mail or attachments. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the individual and do not necessarily represent those of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT).

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2009 10:08 AM
To: 'stalbott[redacted]
Subject: Re: Congrats...

B6

Thx. I just spoke w George from the plane before landing oin Dublin and I agree. Safe travels.

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott <[redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 11 08:58:05 2009
Subject: Congrats...

... on Armenia-Turkey. Tough stuff, I know. Great accomplishment. I'm in Greece. George P will be big help. S

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2009 9:10 PM
To: 'pverveer
Subject: Re: Bravo!

B6

WOW! That is HUGE. Can't wait to hear more. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: pverveer <pverveer
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 15 19:52:07 2009
Subject: Re: Bravo!

Back Tuesday
Speaking at UNESCO Monday with the new director on the unfinished Beijing agenda called to say she wants to give us several million dollars to subsidize a fund to give an annual award for innovation in empowering women that would be a rockefeller-State partnership. It would have a selection comm and we'd have to run all the traps, but this could be huge. We could name after you if L would allow. I have to work oin this when I get back.

------Original Message------
From: H
To: 'pverveer
Sent: Oct 15, 2009 7:28 PM
Subject: Re: Bravo!

Many miles to go, but thx so much. Will you be back next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: PVerveer <PVerveer
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 11 11:46:45 2009
Subject: Bravo!

What a remarkable achievement to get the Turks and Armenians to come this far together. You are deservedly getting good positive press for your efforts.
I just wanted you to know that the women in NI with whom you "walked the walk" over the years are eagerly looking forward to seeing you. They were so incredibly helpful during your campaign telling the story of what you did to support them, and as we know -- and as they have shown -- women are critical both to the peace process and to the peace holding. Inez is planning to welcome you with a few words -- as she has done for over a decade. I will be with you all in spirit.

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 7:26 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Oct 17 07:24:59 2009
Subject:

Senator kerry asking to talk.
As you know he is overseas. He's available this am. ops can connect whevenver u call in.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 1:05 PM
To: [redacted]'millscd@state.gov' B6
Cc: Jon Davidson
Subject: Haiti

I've heard that both the PM and the Finance Minister will resign next week. I'm copying Jon so he can tell Bill.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 2:55 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Haiti

Call and I can explain.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 14:18:31 2009
Subject: Re: Haiti

?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: [redacted]>; Mills, Cheryl D B6
Cc: Jon Davidson [redacted]
Sent: Sat Oct 17 13:04:49 2009
Subject: Haiti

I've heard that both the PM and the Finance Minister will resign next week. I'm copying Jon so he can tell Bill.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 4:32 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Another call

What about John Kerry--can he be included?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:30:05 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Ok its now going to be:
Ambassadors Eikenberry, Ricciardone, and tim Carney who's are all at embassy kabul.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:28:57 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Yes--at 4:45.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:30:53 2009
Subject: Another call

Holbrooke and eikenberry asking if you can do a conference call with them.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 4:35 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Another call

Ask ops to call me when the call is ready.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:32:13 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Yes

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:31:52 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

What about John Kerry--can he be included?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:30:05 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Ok its now going to be:
Ambassadors Eikenberry, Ricciardone, and tim Carney who's are all at embassy kabul.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:28:57 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Yes--at 4:45.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:30:53 2009
Subject: Another call

Holbrooke and eikenberry asking if you can do a conference call with them.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 11:03 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke asking to talk asap

Talked w him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 10:20:26 2009
Subject: Holbrooke asking to talk asap

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 3:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sudan mocs calls

Ok. I will do that. Any other Members they want me to call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 15:31:22 2009
Subject: Sudan mocs calls

I know we talked about no need for moc calls re sudan Rich is asking if u would do howard berman today. I sent sheet to oscar if u want to do.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 8:00 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Meetings

I need to start a weekly mtg w the Undersecretaries. Could we do it at 9 on Wednesdays?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 8:15 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

Did you get any feedback from these?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 20:11:40 2009
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

The additional calls to be made today by Susan and Scott are listed below. It is our understanding that all these calls were made (though a couple of house members were unavailable). We have also been in touch with all leadership and key committee staff in the house and senate and sent them the relevant fact sheet/white paper on the new policy.

Ambassador Rice: Feingold■ Leahy■ Payne

Gration: Lowey■ Ros-Lehtinen■ Lee■ Capuano■ McCaul■ Isakson■ Corker■ Brownback■ Wolf

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Sun Oct 18 20:03:19 2009
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

Good. Who else was called?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Oct 18 20:00:09 2009
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

Susan called Payne today. We have also sent paper to Payne's africa subcommittee staff director.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Oct 18 19:58:12 2009
Subject: Sudan calls

I spoke w Berman who asked that someone call Payne. Do you know if that is happening?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2009 10:53 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: H
Subject: Pls print and do followup as noted

Add to my call list:

[redacted]--we should have numbers

B6

[redacted]-we have #s

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 9, 2009 2:15 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hank Naughton & Fox News
Attachments: 5 Faces.doc

Pls print attachment.

----- Original Message -----
From: Marty Torrey <[redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 09 11:07:02 2009
Subject: Re: Hank Naughton & Fox News

Yep - especially certain Fox personalities. I went to college with O'Reilly. [redacted] As for 'media' debuts, I responded to an invitation to submit a piece to the Washington Post. Not sure if it is a gimmick or not, but submitted anyway. Wrote about encountering 5 young Obama supporters in NH while campaigning for you. The piece wonders where the youthful optimism of the Obama mobilization is today. Anyway, attached it. All the best, Marty B6
---- H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
> Yeah for Hank! Maybe he will become a regular. Those shows need at least one sane realistic voice.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Marty Torrey <[redacted]>
> To: H
> Sent: Mon Oct 05 08:00:16 2009
Subject: Hank Naughton & Fox News
>
> You may not have caught our friend Hank on Fox News at 6:15 AM today.
> He did a great job on the issue of troop requirements in Afghanistan and the controversy w/ VP v General opinions on troop needs. Spoke with Hank yesterday. He was characteristically a 'cool hand' this morning in what I think was his national media 'debut'. Hope all is well. Best, Marty

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2009 11:19 AM
To: 'capriciamarshall[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Kennedy center

Sounds good.

----- Original Message -----
From: capriciamarshall[redacted] <capriciamarshall[redacted]
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Oct 09 06:25:46 2009
Subject: Kennedy center

I spoke to Ann and she sd that you always have the Trustee box to use in each House.

She cannot hold a box for The Secretary of State use only not - bt can further discussions with KC on this

She advises that we need to give advance notice -

There are 4 seat in the Eisenhower that are complimentary and then 4 that would need to be purchased for nominal fee (seems like a really silly policy) The same for Opera and in the Symphony there are 12 all complimentary. I think if we begin to use the box on a regular basis we can work with them on the cost issues.

This could be a lovely way to serve many special guests.

Let me know if you want to begin a process of reviewing the KC calendar and start a list of recommendations to invite.
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015
RELEASE IN FULL

Afghanistan Education Support
July – August 2009

Nicholas Norman
AFT Consultant

American Federation of Teachers
Education Support Team Mission
Kabul, Afghanistan
July-August 2009

Assignment

My plane departed New York Kennedy for Kabul on July 28th and the refrain replayed and replayed in my head "you are going where? Afghanistan. And why?" I was recruited by the AFT's international department to support the Afghan Teacher Education Department's RPM (Rapid Production of Materials) activity in which two dozen curriculum experts wrote teacher training materials for classroom teachers. The other two members of the AFT team, Betty Harris (ABC California) and Amber Prentice (St. Paul), arrived in late August

The RPM took place daily over a period of five weeks at the Sayyed Jumalladin Teacher Training College in Kabul. Twenty Afghan educators were organized into three groups, each responsible for completing the training manuals for one grade level (4-6, 7-9 and 10-12). For each subject at each grade level, a module of five 90-minute training sessions was created. This activity was part of the ongoing USAID program aimed at providing in-service training to all Afghan teachers across eleven provinces of the country. My primary assignment was to review an English translation of sessions as they were produced and to make technical edits which facilitated the flow and focus without losing the voice and intent of the Afghan authors.

The site staff included three translators and three Provincial Monitoring Officers (PMO's) who had been diverted from training in the field in order to work on the RPM. The PMO's played an important role in facilitating the whole project and in helping the AFT team to positively influence the process. They were knowledgeable about pedagogical theories, teacher training, and the needs of teachers in the field. We had regular daily conversations about teacher and trainer needs and how to meet them. The PMO's then shared these ideas with the RPM groups. Before sessions were translated into English for my review, the PMO's also reviewed, edited and discussed the material with the drafting groups. This nuanced intervention by the PMO's substantially improved the quality of the initial drafts.

In addition to editing, I was encouraged to monitor the drafting work and interact with RPM participants. It soon became clear that Afghan teachers in the field needed low-prep, low-resource ideas for encouraging active student learning. I made several short presentations to the whole group on low resource teaching tools, such as two sheets of paper folded over into a four-section flipbook. This becomes a handy organizer of information for students and teachers alike. The PMO's helped to connect the ideas in my presentations to the specific interests of the audience.

The AFT team's experience as working teachers and professional developers was valuable in facilitating the RPM at several key points. For example, we were able to apply our experience in coordinating the activities and output of large groups of teachers. We created graphic organizers which listed all the modules/sessions to be produced so that each stage of production could be monitored easily. This helped the groups to establish and maintain a pace of

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015

work which led to the completion of all of their training materials on schedule. We were also able to suggest practices, such as deliberate labeling of the teaching strategy and grouping for each activity, which helped to produce higher quality drafts.

A great challenge to the production of effective training manuals was that they would have to survive a "cascade" of at least three levels of turnkey training while retaining clarity and effectiveness for final delivery to the often under-prepared, under-resourced, teachers in the field. The training process had to build the skills of teachers, deepen their content knowledge, and establish a foundation for ongoing professional collaboration at the school level. Moreover, the teachers in the field, at least, would not be paid for attending the trainings, so the training materials had to be coherent and speak to their immediate needs. One of the most important AFT team contributions was to address these challenges by refining the five training sessions for each subject into templates with standardized formats and language. The templates respected the language and content choices of the Afghan drafters, but also helped to ensure that the training could be executed effectively by moderately skilled trainers.

When my colleagues Betty Harris (ABC California) and Amber Prentice (St. Paul) arrived in late August, the ground had been prepared for our team to complete the technical editing of the Grades 4-6 RPM materials on schedule, to present appropriate teacher training ideas to an audience of RPM participants and other Teacher Education Department officials, and to consult constructively with the PMO's and NGO partners of Creative regarding the plans for the implementation of the training materials.

Comments

- An earlier arrival would have helped the AFT team to make more strategic contributions to the process, such as deepening participants' understanding of group learning activities before they started drafting them.
- It was an advantage to send one member of the AFT team ahead in order to get a feel for the project and prepare the ground for the rest of the team.
- Close interaction with Afghan educators helped us to discern some important issues. These included the importance of recruiting and supporting first grade teachers, who are often the first contact with formal education for children and their families, especially in towns and villages. We also learned that there is a high dropout rate during Grades 4 to 6. It emerged that there is substantial variation in attitudes toward education in different regions of the country, where local experience and tradition exert such powerful influences.
- Language barriers, despite the help of translators, inhibited the kind of nuanced group facilitation in which AFT/Teacher Center trainers are skilled.
- Helping to refine the flow of the twelve-day trainings is where our AFT experience and skills would be especially valuable. We expected that Amber would be involved in this for much of September, but there were apparently some delays.
- Some delays were undoubtedly due to the difficulties of retranslation of our edited sessions back into Dari and Pashtu. The similar format and language of the edited sessions was expected to save time in translation after the first example was complete, but apparently this did not flow as smoothly as we had hoped.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015

Afghanistan Education Support
July – August 2009

Nicholas Norman
AFT Consultant

Collaboration with Creative Associates

I was profoundly impressed by the qualities of the local Afghan staff who work with the U.S. contractor Creative Associates. They are serious people. In particular, the three Provincial Monitoring Officers who worked on the RPM project demonstrated real depth of knowledge about pedagogy, understanding of effective practices of professional development, and familiarity with conditions in the field which are likely to influence the effectiveness of the RPM training materials. The ancillary staff (translators and typists) were sometimes inconsistent in their attendance and in the volume of their work. However, they always cheerfully helped with presentations and facilitated interactions with non-English speakers.

Comments

- Better communication before our arrival would have facilitated better decisions about what materials to bring and helped us to plan ahead in order to utilize most fully the skills and experience of the AFT team.
- The practice of regular reporting to the COP and at staff meetings helped us to ensure that the priorities, pace and quality of work were consistent with expectations.
- There was some confusion in working with the Afghan translators. They were cheerful and professional, but it was difficult accurately to assess the efficiency of their work as they translated the RPM materials back into Dari and Pashtu.

Living and Working Conditions

Accomodation at Creative's "Green House" residence in Kabul was comfortable but not lavish. The rooms were air-conditioned (a necessity) and mine had its own bath. Local staff cooked excellent meals took care of the rooms and our laundry. On a couple of occasions, we were able to entertain people to meals. It certainly felt safe, since the gates were secured by guards around the clock and the deputy security manager lived in.

For most of August, there were strict restrictions on movement. Even a short walk down the street to the Creative main office involved half a dozen of the security staff. It was easy to arrange with the security manager for outings in the evening to guarded restaurants which catered to foreigners and for visits to the grocery store and certain secure shops. Tourist activities such as strolling through the bazaar or along the main streets were not possible. After Betty and Amber arrived, we were able to visit the National Museum (with evidence of multiple lootings still visible) and the palace of the former Kings. The latter was an engrossing though eerie experience – in many ways a symbol of all the havoc wrought upon Afghanistan by a generation of war.

Conditions out at the teacher training site were rather more challenging. Although traveling in an armored vehicle, we were often stuck in traffic jams and the trip sometimes took upwards of forty-five minutes. The site was a spacious classroom in the middle of the Kabul Teachers' College campus. There were crowds of students everywhere, but one of the Creative security staff was always on duty at the door, while the car remained just outside throughout the day. Until the election lockdown began in mid-August, we were out at the RPM site five and a half days a week. The workday generally ran from 8:30 to about 3:30. We seldom moved from

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015

the room until leaving at the end of the day. During one week the heat regularly reached 110 degrees. There were electric fans and water coolers, but the flies and dust steadily swirling through the open windows were hard on the people and computer equipment alike. When working at the Creative main office, we were given our own desk space.

Comments

- Living conditions might seem a bit spartan to person attached to the comforts of home or a hotel.
- Working conditions out at the Sayyed Jumalladin Teacher College site would be difficult for a person unaccustomed to heat, dust, flies and minimal amenities.
- All movement outside of the residences is tightly controlled. One cannot stroll around the neighborhood.
- On several occasions, we were conscious of gunfire and explosions in the general vicinity. A person who felt especially nervous about random rockets at night or explosions on the streets during the day would have found this mission difficult.
- Social life in Kabul exists, but is restricted by security considerations.

Assessment

This summer's collaboration in Afghanistan between the AFT and Ministry of Education was a remarkable success. The skills and experience of the AFT team were well matched to the needs of the BESST project. We shared in common with the Afghan educators so many similar concerns about the training and support of teachers that it was it was easy to step in and support the production of training manuals. The Provincial Monitoring Officers were remarkably effective in helping us to understand and respond to the needs of Afghan teachers. The Creative team in Kabul were practical, open-minded, and welcomed our participation in the project. Our full AFT team of three persons, although only together for a week, was able to collaborate frankly and efficiently from the start. Our primary tasks – supporting the rapid production by Afghan educators of teacher training materials and preparing the Grades 4-6 manual for publication – were completed on time and to a high standard of quality. The project could not have been more serious. Our AFT Team, in my opinion, made a substantive contribution to the idea that Afghan teachers can be a community of educators.

This was a marvellous professional experience. It has convinced me that if Americans listen carefully and lead pragmatically, we can help Afghans to build a professional community of educators which could be a sturdy foundation for civil society. The AFT, with our tradition of practical support in response to the needs of teachers in the classroom, can fill an essential role in the process.

Recommendations for the AFT in responding to the needs of educators in Afganistan

- Train Afghan educators in the use of low-prep, low-resource dependent strategies for supporting active student learning, including graphic organizers and paper manipulatives;
- Share and support practices for developing reading materials which are both developmentally and culturally appropriate, such as collections of stories about local families, occupations, traditions, and history;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760205 Date: 06/30/2015

Afghanistan Education Support
July – August 2009

Nicholas Norman
AFT Consultant

- Share experience and help develop techniques for handling large classes (sometimes of 100 students or more), especially in the early grades;
- Share experience in effective instructional planning and execution under circumstances where the school day, school year, and student attendance are not consistent;
- Help Afghan Teacher Education Department officials and the teachers whom they train and monitor to understand the value of formative assessments in monitoring student learning, especially with regard to proficiency in reading and writing;
- Provide training in Curriculum Mapping and strategies for sustaining the practice so that Afghan educators are enabled to coordinate their efforts among subjects, grade levels and schools;
- Disseminate aspects of brain research with immediate, obvious application to instruction, such as how the link between age and the length of attention span can inform lesson planning;
- Support more efficient planning and instruction by teachers in the field by providing templates, strategies for setting priorities, and techniques for self-evaluation;
- At the policy level, in training for school leaders, and in training for teachers, present a paradigm of differentiated instruction which synthesizes common sense experience with theories of learning into an agenda for sustained improvement of teaching and learning. In support of this objective, I would recommend translating and adapting Judy Dodge's Differentiation in Action and 25 Short Formative Assessments. These ideas are digestible in small bites by teachers with limited resources;
- Support the expansion and refinement of Teacher Learning Circles (school-based professional groups);
- Introduce and support effective practices for organizing, mobilizing, and sustaining structures for local collaboration, such as Teacher Learning Circles, Lead Teachers, community sponsorship of school activities, and provincial/district resource centers;
- Share experience in techniques for building connects between schools and parents;
- Help to develop a plan for teacher induction, development and retention;
- Share experience in developing supports for 1st Grade teachers, who play a critical role in Afghanistan as the first point of contact with formal education for many children and their parents;
- Help Afghan educators to widen the area of common language, experience and benchmarks for proficiency in the teaching profession;
- Through training of administrators, lead teachers, and instructional specialists, facilitate supportive school supervision and provincial leadership;
- Support analysis of the high drop-out rate in Grades 4-6 and help to develop strategic responses so that more children move on to middle and high school;
- Share low-cost but effective practices for acknowledging and encouraging professional accomplishment by teachers;
- Share techniques for building professional connections among teachers across provincial boundaries. (The current set-up seems to be of the hub and spokes variety, with national TED in Kabul at the hub, provincial TED's at the end of the spokes, and very little reciprocal communication even between the national and provincial administrative centers);

Afghanistan Education Support
July – August 2009

Nicholas Norman
AFT Consultant

- Support development of a growing cadre of master teachers and skilled supervisors embedded in the Teacher Education Department, other parts of the Ministry of Education, and Provincial education headquarters. The RPM process and involvement of TED staff in the "Expert" level training has established a good foundation.

Nicholas Norman
UFT Teacher Center, New York City
7 October, 2009

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2009 5:03 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Thanks so much for the talk

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: wclark <wclark(
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 11 16:26:06 2009
Subject: Re: Thanks so much for the talk

B6

Dear Hillary,

Spoke to the person you recommended on Friday. Sounds like he is comfortable and even surprised that Pakistanis are doing more. And maybe he will find some more ways to help, like the helicopter leasing. When I mentioned that someone deep in the ISI must be happy that Al Qaeda's alive, so we don't abandon Pakistan again, he chuckled.

I talked about the "vetting" for intelligence. I also talked "defeat strategy," and the need for something more than just deploying more forces and hoping the training for the Afghans will work.

I continue to be struck by the parallels to Vietnam, and especially Johnson's inability to resist escalation, and his advisor's continued "incrementalism."

But I'm encouraged by the pace of the review. Seems that there's sufficient time to consider this from all angles.

I can imagine there are those arguing that we just need to fill the gap while we enlarge the Afghan army. That we help the Pakistanis but not press them to the point of being unpleasant. And that too much focus on Osama and al-Zawahiri raises the risk of public failure if we don't get them. There is much logic to this, but it has a strong tint of incrementalism.

Hopefully, we can be more decisive: lean harder on the Pakistanis, provide more troops to McChrystal than his "moderate risk" and raise the heat on al Qaeda - then do all we can to push economic development in Afghanistan, restrain Indian meddling, and prepare to do more against Al Qaeda in Pakistan, directly if necessary. Ultimately, it seems that our best exit strategy would be to take down the top leadership of Al Qaeda in Pakistan, and then gradually draw down in Afghanistan.

Wish I could do more to help

All the best,
Wes
------Original Message------
From: H
To: General
Subject: Thanks so much for the talk
Sent: Oct 3, 2009 9:21 AM

Wes---thanks for today. H

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 12, 2009 6:42 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Any feedback?

Pls tell [redacted] to be sure the Brown letter is delivered asap. The delay may mean Robinson cancels his mtg for tonight. B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2009 5:11 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'; 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: And, so, how was your day???

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2009 5:16 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Checking in

I'm about to see [redacted]--any messages? And have you heard the news about Gordon's eye? What does it mean?

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 6:11 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: S Afghanistan mtg Paris

Why would we draw lines at all? Invite everyone who is part of effort.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 16 06:02:57 2009
Subject: Fw: S Afghanistan mtg Paris

Fyi

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Holbrooke, Richard C
Sent: Fri Oct 16 06:00:24 2009
Subject: S Afghanistan mtg Paris

As some of you know, at lunch with Kouchner in Zurich, S agreed in principle to go to Paris for an informal meeting of some key European players on Afghanistan (on Nov. 8 on the way to Berlin).

B5

Welcome thoughts. I expect to hear further from French today what specifically they have in mind. She could still go to Paris, but perhaps better not to let them do the Afpak meeting.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2009 11:29 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: S message to World Food Prize

Just landed. Let me know if you want to talk tonight.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Oct 14 23:00:04 2009
Subject: Fw: S message to World Food Prize

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Craft, William E
To: Plowden, Marisa L; Klevorick, Caitlin B; Clements, Gary A; Nelson, David D; Mills, Cheryl D; Humphrey, Kerry S; Jamison, Jeffrey M
Cc: EEB-A-MTAA-MTA-DL; Ryan, Ann M; Brown, Paul A (E); Bobo, Jack A
Sent: Wed Oct 14 14:38:03 2009
Subject: S message to World Food Prize

S message just played as part of opening ceremony. It was very well received. WFP's Amb Ken Quinn discussed the Secretary's powerful statement in June when the winner of this year's prize was announced. Quinn went on to say that "I have been working on these issues for over 32 years, and I think that the Secretary understands these issues and is more committed to fighting hunger than any of her predecessors". Meeting off to a good start.
Bill

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2009 6:39 AM
To: 'slaughtera@state.gov'; 'lewjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: PSD reaction

AMS and Jack----AMS's comments and edits about development are right on target. May I call you on a conference call this am before 8am to discuss? If so, does 7:30 work for you? Thx. HRC

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2009 10:50 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Fwd: PM letter
Attachments: NI_Financial_Settlement_letter_to_McGuinness_and_Robinson.pdf

Pls print--one copy to Jake, one to me.

----- Original Message -----
From:
To: H
Sent: Wed Oct 21 07:57:46 2009
Subject: Fwd: PM letter

Hillary: Attached is Gordon's letter to McGuinness and Robinson on financial settlement. Can you open? Should I forward to Lauren? Sid

-----Original Message-----
From: McCoy, Fiona
To:
Cc:
Sent: Wed, Oct 21, 2009 6:30 am
Subject: PM letter

B6

Mr Blumenthal

As requested by my Secretary of State, please find attached a copy of

the letter from Prime Minister to First and Deputy First Minister.

Kind regards

Fiona

Fiona McCoy

Private Secretary to the Secretary of State for Northern Ireland

0207 210 6460

07799115250

****

Communications on the Northern Ireland Office's computer systems

may be monitored and/or recorded to secure effective operation

of the system and for other lawful purposes.

****

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure

Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with

MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this

email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or

recorded for legal purposes.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2009 7:28 PM
To: 'pverveer[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Bravo!

Many miles to go, but thx so much. Will you be back next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: PVerveer[redacted] <PVerveer[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 11 11:46:45 2009
Subject: Bravo!

What a remarkable achievement to get the Turks and Armenians to come this far together. You are deservedly getting good positive press for your efforts.
I just wanted you to know that the women in NI with whom you "walked the walk" over the years are eagerly looking forward to seeing you. They were so incredibly helpful during your campaign telling the story of what you did to support them, and as we know -- and as they have shown -- women are critical both to the peace process and to the peace holding. Inez is planning to welcome you with a few words -- as she has done for over a decade. I will be with you all in spirit.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 1:17 PM
To: [redacted]'mitchellg@state.gov' B6
Cc: 'RudmanM@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Side letters

Dear George--

I have reviewed the drafts of the side letters and am ready to discuss at your convenience. You can reach me thru State Ops anytime before 7pm today or tomorrow morning before noon. Thx. HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 2:23 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Honduras

What's the latest?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 4:29 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Another call

Yes--at 4:45.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:30:53 2009
Subject: Another call

Holbrooke and eikenberry asking if you can do a conference call with them.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 4:55 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Another call

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:54:27 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Kerry is sleeping

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:31:52 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

What about John Kerry--can he be included?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:30:05 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Ok its now going to be:
Ambassadors Eikenberry, Ricciardone, and tim Carney who's are all at embassy kabul.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Oct 17 16:28:57 2009
Subject: Re: Another call

Yes--at 4:45.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:30:53 2009
Subject: Another call

Holbrooke and eikenberry asking if you can do a conference call with them.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2009 6:42 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Allida Black's Eleanor Roosevelt human rights event

I thought there was an event this Fall (maybe even honoring me?) But, did it not happen or did I not go? What happened?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2009 7:26 AM
To: 'slaughtera@state.gov'; 'lewjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: PSD reaction

I will call in--probably 7:35.

----- Original Message -----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie <SlaughterA@state.gov>
To: H; Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Oct 19 06:57:55 2009
Subject: Re: PSD reaction

That is fine for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Lew, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Oct 19 06:38:48 2009
Subject: PSD reaction

AMS and Jack----AMS's comments and edits about development are right on target. May I call you on a conference call this am before 8am to discuss? If so, does 7:30 work for you? Thx. HRC

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2009 9:54 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; [redacted] B6
Subject: Non pro speech

This draft sounds didactic. That's ok in terms of substance--as far as I know--but it reads like an automatic weapon which may be inevitable since there's so much material to cover. I just want to be sure the facts are right.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 12:21 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Maura Pally info

I also need infor for Maura.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 11:27 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ros-Lehtinen and Haiti

That's very nice--high praise indeed, and in the Cong Record for prosperity!

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 16 10:22:15 2009
Subject: FW: Ros-Lehtinen and Haiti

FYI

From: Simon, Jennifer J
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 9:57 AM
To: Curtis, Meghann A
Subject: Ros-Lehtinen and Haiti

IRL said nice things about the UN, Cheryl, and you --

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

October 15, 2009

Congressional Record

H11434

Volume 155, Issue 149

111th Congress - First Session

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. Ros-Lehtinen) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my longstanding commitment to assist the Haitian people in their pursuit of a stable, prosperous and democratic future.

During my trip to Haiti, I was reminded of the tremendous challenges facing this island nation. The U.N.'s appointment of President Clinton as special envoy to Haiti has helped to keep a much-needed spotlight on Haiti. President Clinton's appointment of Dr. Paul Farmer as the Deputy U.N. Special Envoy for Haiti, adds an invaluable wealth of experience and knowledge to the U.N.'s work in Haiti.

As a founder of Partners in Health and the Institute for Justice & Democracy in Haiti, Dr. Farmer has demonstrated a selfless commitment to the advancement of health and democracy in Haiti for the past 20 years. I have witnessed firsthand Dr. Farmer's dedication to helping improve the lives of those in need.

He has strong south Florida ties. I am proud to call him a friend, along with our mutual friend, Jennie Block, who has also worked so hard on issues of concern to the Haitian community.

I understand that the conference on the Inter-American Development Bank in Haiti went quite well. I was pleased to see that the United Nations voted unanimously this week to extend the authorization for the U.N. Mission in Haiti for another year.

I would also like to take a moment to express my condolences to the families of those who lost their lives in last weekend's plane crash during a U.N. mission. The U.N. mission in Haiti has helped to play an important role in bringing security and stability to some of the most dangerous neighborhoods in Haiti. I continue to support its mission and the many men and women from around the world who work to carry it out.

However, it seems that Haiti just can't get to the next step. From assistance to debt relief, from trade benefits to hurricane recovery, U.S. policy toward Haiti has run the gamut, but it is not achieving the long-term goals that we had hoped for for the Haitian people and that the Haitian people want for themselves and their nation.

I am pleased to know that our State Department is taking a closer look at some of the challenges we are facing in Haiti. Last week, Secretary Clinton's chief of staff and her point person on Haiti briefed Members on some of the initial findings of this review.

I am confident that this review will help us to better understand how U.S. assistance to Haiti can be better targeted and supportive of Haiti's own plans and goals; how assistance within the donor community can be better coordinated; how the U.S. can better engage the Haitian Diaspora in our assistance efforts; and, finally, how the U.S. can finally make our assistance sustainable so that outside efforts can ultimately be transferred into the hands of the Haitian government and its people.

It is crucial that the efforts made by the U.S., the U.N. and others are effectively coordinated to ensure maximum efficiency and maximum benefit for the people of Haiti. Innovative microcredit and microenterprise programs would help to empower individuals, create self-reliance and create sustainability at the grassroots level. We should also look at the very small-scale renewable energy programs for impoverished rural villages and settlements that are not served by electric grids.

One of the immediate ways we can help the people of Haiti would be to grant temporary protected status to the Haitians currently living in the U.S. Granting TPS to Haitians is the missing piece of a successful U.S. approach to supporting the people of Haiti in the short and long term. I will continue to work with my colleagues to encourage the administration to take this important step.

In addition, I will continue to support Haiti's inclusion in security initiatives, such as the Merida Initiative, to ensure that the U.S. is doing all we can to help President Preval in his efforts against the narcotraffickers.

Success in Haiti is in the U.S. national security interest, and we must work together to help address the many challenges we face and that our Caribbean neighbor faces day in and day out.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 5:41 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A request for Monday

Let's discuss this am. I'm up and freer until 11.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 00:20:24 2009
Subject: FW: A request for Monday

Per my prior email

Cdm

From: Connelly, Maura
To: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
Cc: Bakshi, Amar; Blair, Oni K; Goldberger, Thomas H; Bass, Warren; Holmstrom, Todd C; Schlicher, Ronald L; Rudman, Mara
Sent: Sat Oct 17 18:09:41 2009
Subject: Re: A request for Monday

Erica, we are of course always ready to help. But I have to say the way in which these papers have been tasked has been, frankly, chaotic. For two Fridays in a row we were sent taskers after 9 or 10 pm with short deadlines, and fulfillment of one set of requirements has only led to another. We also note that there has not generally been an effort to distinguish among bureaus in terms of substantive responsibilities and NEA has repeatedly had to refer USUN-W to other bureaus, especially PRM and somewhat mystifyingly, IO.

I am honestly not quite sure what is needed now. There is already an IO-drafted paper on Israel in the UN that I cleared Friday evening. Are you asking us to script her on that topic for her meetings? I doubt very much that Ambassador Rice's interlocutors will seek to draw her into a discussion of the Israeli or Palestinian economies and I can't think why she would raise that topic with them and what policy points we would expect her to make in the context of her visit. If you really need background papers on the two economies, we can give you them.

B5

B5

We'll work on the BCLs at OOB Monday with input from posts. Our aim is to provide Amb Rice maximum support. Best, Maura

---

From: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
To: Connelly, Maura
Cc: Bakshi, Amar; Blair, Oni K; Goldberger, Thomas H; Bass, Warren
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:40:52 2009
Subject: A request for Monday

Maura –

Your folks have been terrific (especially Oni and Tom's team) in working with us yesterday and today to get papers ready for the trip. We continue to scrounge up the last bits and pieces from the field and here, but we've given the main briefing books to Amb. Rice who is digesting them now, and we'll get her add ons tomorrow.

After going through things briefly with her this afternoon, there is one request that we have on the substantive front. We'd like to get (at whatever classification level is appropriate) really two additional meaty papers for her meetings with Netanyahu and Liberman. These would supplement the material in her book. We are aware that these will be tough meetings, but we also view them as a good opportunity to also cover a lot of ground. There are a lot of issues not in the current papers that could come up: from Israel in the UN system, to Iran, to settlements, to the current Temple Mount crisis, to the Israel and Palestinian economies, to the security barrier, etc.. Tom and his team may have additional issues they'd like her to raise as well. She'd like to be over prepared for these meetings and realizes that the SBU format has not given your team the latitude to get that done.

In these two cases could we get classified supplemental for her BCLs with lots of background, TPs, etc. Please blow off any length requirements, etc. – longer and more comprehensive is better in this case.

We know that doing these over the weekend is unreasonable. We can arrange to get these to her after she lands in Israel. Would it be possible – on the high side – to get these two additional pieces by COB Monday?

- Erica

Erica J. Barks-Ruggles

Deputy to the Permanent Representative to the UN

Tel: +1-202-736-7555

Email: barks-rugglesej@state.gov

Fax: +1-202-736-7551

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 9:49 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: China

I agree it is worth considering.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 18 09:47:13 2009
Subject: FW: China

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Villarreal, Jose [mailto:
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 9:39 AM
To: Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: China

Thanks. Btw - I think Secy is really at top of her game. So proud of her. Best, jose

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Villarreal, Jose
Sent: Sun Oct 18 08:46:27 2009
Subject: Re: China

Thanks jose
Cheryl is right, she won't have much if any independant stops on her schedule while she's in china with the president but if that changes, will get in touch.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: 'Villarreal, Jose' <
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Oct 18 01:01:58 2009
Subject: RE: China

B6

Got it - not sure where she will go as she is likely to travel mostly with the president but we will put Expo under consideration and looping in Huma.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: Villarreal, Jose [mailto:
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 12:49 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D

Subject: China

Hi Cheryl - if Secy Clinton does something related to Expo in Shanghai in Nov during APEC, assuming she goes, I'd really like to try to meet her there. Incidentally, if she goes to Shanghai, it would be viewed as odd for her not to visit the Expo site, given the personal interest she has taken in the matter. xo - jose

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 10:15 PM
To: [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: I'm back in DC.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 9:27 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Honduras

Given how this conversation is going, I think we should wait on Zelaya. Micheletti does not seem at all willing to move.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 9:45 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Honduras

M agreed for Tom to come but I'm not sure the statement is appropriate right now. I'd rather confirm Tom's trip and tell Zelaya tomorrow. Should Restrepo go too? And he asked why the US military would not give them access to radar that would help them stop drug planes?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 8:17 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke update

I just landed in Ny. Can I call you?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; [redacted] B6
Sent: Sat Oct 24 17:52:46 2009
Subject: Re: Holbrooke update

Will call you after 630 - just got out of pool w/ kidlets

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Oct 24 16:52:25 2009
Subject: Holbrooke update

When can we talk again? I'll be tied up from 5-6:30.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2009 5:54 AM
To:
Subject: Re: Checking in

Very good mtgs w Shaun and David M. Now on way to Chequers. Tally Ho.

----- Original Message -----
From:
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 10 18:05:45 2009
Subject: Re: Checking in

B6

Gordon Brown has hospital eye tests

Fears are growing over the health of Gordon Brown after Downing Street revealed the Prime Minister has visited hospital for tests on his eyes.

By Andrew Alderson, Chief Reporter
Published: 2:51PM BST 10 Oct 2009
Fears are growing over the health of Gordon Brown after Downing Street revealed the Prime Minister has visited hospital for tests on his eyes. <http://i.telegraph.co.uk/telegraph/multimedia/archive/01485/brown-quitter_1485290c.jpg>
Gordon Brown lost the sight in one eye in a childhood rugby injury Photo: PA Mr Brown visited Moorfields in London, one of the world's best-known eye hospitals, after surgeons found two small tears in his retina.
The disclosure comes just two weeks after Labour Party chiefs were angry with the BBC because Andrew Marr, the presenter, questioned the Prime Minister about his health, including his eyesight, during an interview.

Related Articles

*

UK economy ready to bounce back, says Brown <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopics/politics/gordon-brown/6286070/Britains-economy-ready-to-bounce-back-says-Gordon-Brown.html>

*

Gordon Brown's health: blogger behind rumours <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopics/politics/gordon-brown/6241738/Gordon-Browns-health-blogger-behind-rumours.html>

*

BBC stuns Brown with question on pill taking <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopics/politics/gordon-brown/6237419/BBC-stuns-Gordon-Brown-with-question-on-pill-taking.html>

Mr20Marr asked Mr Brown if he has been taking prescription drugs after rumours spread on the internet that he was dependant on painkillers or antidepressants.
Mr Brown talked on the programme about losing the sight in one eye following a boyhood rugby. "I then had exactly the same thing happen in my second eye. I had the same retinal detachment. I had the same fear that therefore I might lose my sight in that eye," he admitted.
In a carefully-worded statement, No 10 said today: "This summer Mr Brown had his annual eye check-up which was fine. Later he had his retina checked.
"After examinations, surgeons found that the retina had two minor tears. However, as there has been no further deterioration, and no change in his eye sight, they decided against further operations. Yesterday [Friday] Mr Brown visited Moorfields Hospital as part of regular c hecks on his eyes and this check was also fine.
"Mr Brown wants to thank the doctors and staff of the NHS particularly Moorfields Hospital. Were there to be any change, he would of course make a further statement."
After the Andrew Marr Show late last month, senior Labour officials accused the BBC of smearing the Prime Minister. However, the BBC defended the tactics and a spokesman said: "Andrew was asking a legitimate question about the health of the leader of the country." The spokesman refused to be drawn on whether Mr Marr and Barney Jones, the editor of the programme, had consulted executives at the BBC before deciding to ask Mr Brown whether he was taking prescription medication.
Later Lord Mandelson, the Business Secretary, said it was "absolutely ridiculous" to suggest the Mr Brown had a problem with pill use, and he blamed politically motivated bloggers for raising the possibility.
"We have seen out there on the internet, the blogosphere, all these extreme right-wing people trying to put these smears and rumours about, all completely groundless," Lord Mandelson told GMTV. The BBC received more than 100 complaints about Mr Marr's line of questioning on the day the show was broadcast.

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To:
Sent: Sat, Oct 10, 2009 5:15 pm
Subject: Checking in

I'm about to see Shaun--any messages? And have you heard the news about Gordon's eye? What does it mean?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2009 6:42 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Spanish

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 15 18:41:05 2009
Subject: Spanish

Fm moratinos just called for you. Wants to talk about honduras. I'm tracking down tom for an update for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2009 6:50 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Spanish

In about 10 minutes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 15 18:48:39 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish

Tom needs to update you before you talk to moratinos. So will connect tom to house, and then moratinos after? Ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Oct 15 18:41:52 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 15 18:41:05 2009
Subject: Spanish

Fm moratinos just called for you. Wants to talk about honduras. I'm tracking down tom for an update for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 7:58 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Sudan calls

I spoke w Berman who asked that someone call Payne. Do you know if that is happening?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 8:03 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

Good. Who else was called?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Oct 18 20:00:09 2009
Subject: Re: Sudan calls

Susan called Payne today. We have also sent paper to Payne's africa subcommittee staff director.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Oct 18 19:58:12 2009
Subject: Sudan calls

I spoke w Berman who asked that someone call Payne. Do you know if that is happening?

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 8:09 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: David Rohde Series

I have read it and agree it is must reading for all of us. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H; Holbrooke, Richard C <HolbrookeRC@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Oct 18 11:14:39 2009
Subject: David Rohde Series

If you haven't read it yet, I commend the series that starts today in the NYT regarding his captivity.

B5

Have a great Sunday. PJ

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:18 AM
To: 'preines[redacted]; Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Parade

What did you think of the photo and article?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:25 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

That is good news. Also, I'm around if you want to catch up until 4 when I leave for a wedding and to NY.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 24 10:22:36 2009
Subject: FW: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

See traffic below – read down

From: Posner, Michael H
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:22 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

We will start to develop a running list of these result oriented diplomatic interventions – on individual cases, laws and policies – exactly what we need to tell the larger story.

thanks

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J; Posner, Michael H
Subject: RE: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

Agree – it adds to the list of quiet diplomacy acts she does every day that have meaningful inpact in the lives of others

From: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:18 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Posner, Michael H
Subject: Re: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

I love how these leaders always claim they didn't know about the legislation. Same thing Karzai said.

But if this gets done, I'd like to put it out in a small way that we fixed it, just to have as a marker out there for the big speech, whenever it is.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Posner, Michael H; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Sat Oct 24 10:11:27 2009
Subject: FW: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

FYI.

We had asked Johnnie to address this issue based upon reports that Uganda had legislation moving up that was going to criminalize homosexuality with the death penalty as a sanction.

cdm

From: Lanier, Jerry P (Kampala)
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:01 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Posner, Michael H; Yamamoto, Donald Y; Lanier, Jerry P (Kampala); Bando, Jun
Subject: Message from Johnnie Carson - Uganda Hate Crimes

Cheryl:

My blackberry is down so I'm sending you a quick note from Uganda via Ambassador Jerry Lanier's account.

I met this morning with President Museveni in Entebbe. I raised with him the issue of the draconian legislation proposed to criminalize homosexual activity. Museveni was unaware of the legislation but thought it was excessive and out of order. He said he would work with members of Parliament to revise the legislation to make it a crime for adults to solicit or recruit minors into any homo- or heterosexual activities. Activities between consenting adults would be stripped from the legislation. I think we rolled the worst and most concerning part of this back.

Regards, Johnnie

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 12:19 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: More addresses needed

What is Mike Posner's address and numbers?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 5, 2009 12:24 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Eikenberry prep

Ok. Call after 3. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 05 12:22:21 2009
Subject: Re: Eikenberry prep

It starts at 2. I will call to give you a download as it unfolds.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Dec 05 12:15:02 2009
Subject: Eikenberry prep

How's it going? Call me at home if you want. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 8:47 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Left mssg w/ your

You can call at 7.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 08 19:44:42 2009
Subject: Left mssg w/ your

[redacted] at 730pm B6

We are headed to dinner at 8pm so if I miss you, how early can I call in am?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 7:24 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: John Kerry's birthday

Pls add him to call list for today.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 10:32 AM
To: 'feltmanjd@state.gov'; 'kohhh@state.gov'
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:31 PM
To: 'feltmanjd@state.gov'; 'kohhh@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guinea

Good catch, Jeff! Yes--two issues. There will be a contact group about Guinea that the French want us to be strong on too. Sorry for the confusion.

----- Original Message -----
From: Feltman, Jeffrey D <FeltmanJD@state.gov>
To: H; Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 11:28:46 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

I have left a message on the phone number of my French counterpart. I am sure he'll call me back, as he always does.

B5

Jeffrey Feltman

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 4:27 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call list

Pls add former Amb Frank Wisner. And is Ray La Hood still on it--pls be sure he is. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 4:29 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Open Skies

Who do I congratulate at weekly meeting Monday on securing agreement?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 6:46 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Can you put together briefing book on climate change/Copenhagen?

I'd like to see pertinent recent articles/op-eds about the negotiations and vetted proposals for breaking the deadlock like the idea I proposed to have two levels of MRV w only one eligible for $.

And can Todd et al send comprhensive overview before I leave? Make sure there's a phone on plane we take. What else?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 8:18 AM
To: 'marshallcp@state.gov'; [redacted] B6
Subject: Don't laugh!!

Can you contact your protocol friend in China and ask him if I could get photos of the carpets of the rooms I met in w POTUS during the recent trip? I loved their designs and the way they appeared carved. Any chance we can get this?

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 6, 2009 10:33 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jake--

When can I make the Karzai call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Dec 06 10:30:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Jake--

FYI

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Dec 06 08:06:33 2009
Subject: Jake--

H called me yesterday when I was out of pocket. Available now if she still wants to talk.

B5

Thanks, R

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 12:25 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: H: Memo, idea on Berlusconi attack. Sid
Attachments: hrc memo berlusconi 121509.docx

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 15 10:43:11 2009
Subject: H: Memo, idea on Berlusconi attack. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

December 15, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: The Berlusconi assault

1. The physical attack on Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi is a major political event in Italy and Europe. His assailant is a mentally disturbed man without a political agenda who has been in and out of psychiatric care since he was 18 years old. Nonetheless, the assault has significant repercussions. It has generated sympathy for Berlusconi as a victim—he has been obviously injured, lost two teeth and a pint of blood, and doctors will keep him in the hospital for perhaps a week for observation. The attack comes as Berlusconi was beginning to lose political footing, having lost his legal immunity. One court inquiry is turning up evidence of his links to the Mafia while another is examining his role in the bribery case of British lawyer David Mills. Berlusconi's government and party have used the attack as a way to turn the sudden sympathy for him to his advantage, claiming that his opponents have sown a climate of "hate." Through demogogic appeals they are attempting to discredit and rebuff the legal proceedings against him and undermine the investigative press. (Berlusconi is suing La Repubblica, the large independent newspaper in Rome that has been most aggressive in digging into his affairs of all kind.)
2. It would seem proper and decent for the President to call Berlusconi to express his concern about his health and wish him a speedy recovery, if he hasn't done so already. There are many reasons for Obama to do this, not least to condemn violence against a democratically elected head of state. It would be awkward for the U.S. President not to call a Western head of state of a NATO country who has been physically harmed. Berlusconi, for his part, would certainly

make such a call public. It would therefore also be awkward if a call were used only as an element in his sympathy campaign against the court proceedings.

3. If so, there is a larger message that should be communicated, perhaps by the President in his conversation, but most importantly through a statement from the State Department, also conveyed by the U.S. ambassador in Italy. The USG condemns any and all violence as an assault on democracy. By the same measure, this incident involving an apparently deranged individual should not be exploited to raise social tensions. Instead, it should inspire reflection on the necessity of every citizen to adhere to the rule of law as well as to respect freedom of speech and the press.

4. Two articles on the legal inquries into Berlusconi below:

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/berlusconi-cut-deal-with-mafia-court-told-1834647.html
Berlusconi 'cut deal with Mafia', court told Former hitman claims Italian PM gave 'benefits' to the Mob for political support By Michael Day in Milan Saturday, 5 December 2009 The Italian Prime Minister, Silvio Berlusconi, made a deal with the Sicilian Mafia in the early 1990s that put the country "in the hands" of the Mob, a court in Turin was told yesterday.

Gaspare Spatuzza, a jailed Mafia hitman turned witness, told a packed and heavily guarded bunker courtroom that his Cosa Nostra Godfather boss had cut a deal with Mr Berlusconi in 1993 that provided unspecified "benefits" to the Mafia in exchange for political support. The media tycoon entered politics a few months later and won his first term as Prime Minister in 1994.

Spatuzza said his boss, Giuseppe Graviano, who was jailed over Mafia bomb attacks in Rome, Milan and Florence, had told him that the Mob had "got everything" with the help of Mr Berlusconi, adding that Graviano called Mr Berlusconi "the man from Channel 5", in reference to his TV network.

The damaging claims came at the appeal hearing of Marcello Dell'Utri, a key Berlusconi associate and senator, who is challenging his nine-year jail sentence for links with the Mafia.

Spatuzza, in prison for several murders, testified: "Graviano told me the name of Berlusconi and said that thanks to him and the man from our home town [an apparent reference to Dell' Utri] we have the country in our hands."

Mr Berlusconi has denounced the claims of Spatuzza as "vile", and "unfounded and defamatory", denying ever having links with the Mafia. The Prime Minister's spokesman said the testimony yesterday was the Mafia's "completely logical" revenge against the Prime Minister for his "determined" fight against organised crime.

Dell'Utri told the court that neither he nor Mr Berlusconi had Mafia connections. "It's in the interest of the Mafia to force the collapse of the Berlusconi government because this government has done the most in the fight against organised crime," he said. Mr Berlusconi, who recently lost his legal immunity to prosecution, was said to have been furious this week, after the parliamentary Speaker, Gianfranco Fini, the man who is supposed to be his closest ally, had said that the Spatuzza evidence was "an atomic bomb".

Mr Fini was recorded by an unobserved microphone while talking privately at an official dinner. Rumours of the conservative Prime Minister's links with the Mob have persisted since investigators learnt that a Cosa Nostra hitman, Vittorio Mangano, worked as Mr Berlusconi's "stable-master" at the media mogul's villa in Arcore outside Milan in the 1970s. Much speculation has also centred on the origins of Mr Berlusconi's vast wealth.

Last week, the Prime Minister's broadcast group, Mediaset, and his holding company, Fininvest, announced it intended to sue the left-wing newspaper La Repubblica over an article that said Mediaset was "20 per cent owned by the Mafia". La Stampa newspaper noted that the "dread combination of Mafia allegations and separation from his wife are now his principal torments". Mr Berlusconi's estranged wife, Veronica Lario, is said to be seeking a £3m-a-month divorce settlement, and a messy courtroom battle looms. Mr Berlusconi also faces a retrial on the charge that he bribed the British lawyer David Mills to lie in court.

TIME
Friday, Dec. 04, 2009
After a Court Ruling, Berlusconi's Legal Woes Resume By Jeff Israely The world has spent the past six months wondering how — and with whom — Silvio Berlusconi spends his Saturday nights. But the combative, scandal-plagued Prime Minister of Italy would prefer to talk about his mornings instead. "Do you know how I've been forced to spend my Saturday mornings since I entered politics?" Berlusconi once declared to business leaders in Rome. "With my lawyers."

Italy's self-proclaimed Defendant in Chief makes a point of lamenting all those weekends spoiled by stacks of court dossiers as further proof that he is the victim of politically motivated magistrates, whom he called during the same speech "the spreading cancer of our democracy." (See Berlusconi's worst gaffes.)
<http://www.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1874098_1874099_1874118,00.html>
To combat such perceived persecution, Berlusconi has tested the bounds of decorous democratic conduct, including his allies' pushing a bill through Parliament last year that gave him and other top Italian officeholders immunity from prosecution. But since the Constitutional Court overturned that law in October, several criminal investigations into Berlusconi's business dealings have been reopened, with other new charges rumored to be looming.
The potentially most damaging trial is set to resume Friday, Dec. 4, in Milan, with the 73-year-old billionaire being accused of paying British attorney David Mills $600,000 in 1997 to withhold incriminating evidence about his broadcasting empire Mediaset. Mills is appealing a conviction in the same case; both men have denied any wrongdoing.
So, alas, Berlusconi is back to spending his downtime with a well-paid cadre of more than a dozen avvocati, who have been successful so far in keeping their biggest client clear of criminal convictions. Not that the lawyers have ever really gone away. Since the media baron first ran for office 15 years ago, his legal advisers have doubled as political consiglieri, key members of Parliament and even important Cabinet Ministers. Indeed, while some critics have spoken of Berlusconi's reign as a kind of sultanate of showgirls or government by gaffe, others have described it as a republic of attorneys. (See what Berlusconi's Obama "jokes" say about Italy.)
<http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1927307,00.html>
His longtime lawyer Cesare Previti served as Italian Defense Minister during Berlusconi's first term in 1994 before being convicted of corruption himself (and losing twice on appeal). During Berlusconi's second term (2001-06), Gaetano Pecorella, a former leftist, served as president of Parliament's Justice Commission, where he was instrumental in shepherding through new laws that helped shield Berlusconi from investigating magistrates.
Giovanni Sartori, a Columbia University professor of constitutional law, says the role Berlusconi's personal lawyers have played in his legislative agenda is yet another gargantuan conflict of interest to add to those related to his ownership of Italy's main private television stations. But by now, Sartori says, Berlusconi's lawyers have perfected the art of exploiting Italy's painfully slow justice system: many cases conclude without a final verdict because the statute of limitations has been reached. "It is more a mania than a necessity," Sartori says of Berlusconi's near obsession in battling magistrates. "He feels persecuted, and fighting the judges is what makes him happy."
Berlusconi's current point man is Nicolo Ghedini, a somber-faced, lanky 49-year-old criminal-defense attorney from the northern city of Padua, who has served in both the Italian Senate and the lower house of Parliament. Ghedini has continued to mount a grinding defense in the criminal cases, arguing in the Mills case that Berlusconi cannot be present in the Milan courtroom for the next several months because of time conflicts with his duties as Prime Minister. Ghedini has also spearheaded an increasingly aggressive legal strategy that has included several libel suits against opposition newspapers. He declared in September that if necessary, Berlusconi would testify in a suit against a journalist who referred to rumors that the Prime Minister is impotent. Last week, Berlusconi vowed to launch additional suits against newspapers that printed unsubstantiated reports that a close adviser of his was involved in a 1993 bombing campaign by the Sicilian Mafia. (Read "An Offended Berlusconi Goes on the Offensive.")
<http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1920737,00.html>
Despite these many legal headaches, Berlusconi is embroiled in one other particularly thorny case that even he can't blame on overzealous magistrates. Veronica Lario, Berlusconi's wife of more than 20 years, filed for divorce earlier this year, which sparked an avalanche of accusations that he frequented a prostitute from Bari and an underage model from Naples. The Milan daily Corriere della Sera reported last week that Lario has demanded 3.5 million euros ($5.2 million) in monthly payments, and Berlusconi countered with an offer of 300,000 euros ($450,000). No matter how this and the other cases shake out, there is little hope that Berlusconi can avoid a mountain of lawyers' fees and some grim Saturdays that he'd rather devote to other business and pleasures.

* Find this article at:
* http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1945439,00.html

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2009 5:34 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Argentina

He's standing right inside the door here.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 17 17:31:10 2009
Subject: Re: Argentina

On it.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Dec 17 17:29:30 2009
Subject: Argentina

The FM just told me that Arturo had been there yesterday and had insulted their country. He was very upset and said I needed to do damage control. Can you figure out what he's talking about? Thx.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 6, 2009 3:48 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jake--

Let's make calls tomorrow. And I'd like a transcript of Karzai's CNN interview today. How's the prep going?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 06 11:00:27 2009
Subject: Re: Jake--

We could reach out in the next couple of hours or alternatively early tomorrow. The Eikenberry points sent last night, plus Holbrooke's add'n, provide a good roadmap.

B5

Let us know how you'd like to proceed.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Dec 06 10:33:09 2009
Subject: Re: Jake--

When can I make the Karzai call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Dec 06 10:30:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Jake--

FYI

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Dec 06 08:06:33 2009
Subject: Jake--

H called me yesterday when I was out of pocket. Available now if she still wants to talk.

[redacted] B5

Thanks, R

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2009 1:08 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Mini schedule

Can you pls send me a copy for today?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 7:25 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Saudi-Cuba

I mentioned to you that Saud asked if we objected to their making a grant to Cuba for development in health. I don't see why we should but I may be missing something. Pls run the traps.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 7:40 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

No--pls go today. I told her that I was sorry I couldn't be there but want you both to go ahead today and just call/email to tell me what's happening

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:36:38 2009
Subject: Re: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

I am headed to airport but [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:33:04 2009
Subject: Re: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

Have you left yet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:31:09 2009
Subject: Fw: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Dec 08 05:38:30 2009
Subject: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

(SBU) The death toll continues to rise in bombings that injured 130 more, media report. Embassy Baghdad is unaware of any American citizens killed or injured in the four confirmed blasts, and affirms all Mission personnel are accounted for.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 7:46 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Checking in

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; [redacted] B6
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:45:05 2009
Subject: Re: Checking in

Great - let's do 730 your time.

XO

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:16:30 2009
Subject: Checking in

Sorry we didn't talk last night but I actually collapsed when I got back from my speech. Let's try again tonight. Let me know what time will work. I should be home by 7. Hope you're loving the sun!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 9, 2009 7:31 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

What is Ann Lewis's email?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 09 06:41:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Dec 09 06:17:48 2009
Subject: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

(U) Palestinian Authority President Abbas, emerging from talks with Egyptian President Mubarak, told reporters Israel and Hamas were unable to agree on details of a prisoner swap that would secure the release of Gilad Shalit.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 4:43 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Letter for Hillary from Tina Brown

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jill Iscol
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 10 22:22:32 2009
Subject: FW: Letter for Hillary from Tina Brown

B6

I am so sorry we didn't touch base today. I had a quick conversation with

I am forwarding the letter from Tina Brown because I think this will be fabulous opportunity for you to showcase your ideas and also your long history of advocating on behalf of women around the world. As always, I defer to your and your staff's realities and judgment but did want you to know I am involved and hope you can make it. If not, I love you anyway!

Let me what might work for us to chat. I described the possibilities to both in Rhinebeck. Hope you are well.

As always,
Jill

December 10, 2009

Dear Madame Secretary,

I am following up on the letter I sent to you on November 8, 2009 at the suggestion of Melanne Verveer.

On March 12th and 13th, in New York City, 300 influential women from diverse countries and cultures will come together to illuminate the circumstances of their lives and to explore the still unfulfilled promise of women around the world. "Women in the World: Stories and Solutions," will be hosted by The Daily Beast, the United Nations Foundation, Vital Voices Global Partnership, and Diane von Furstenberg (and sponsored by Hewlett Packard who will bring their most creative digital support).

We are delighted to announce that for our opening night on Friday, March 12, at the Hudson Theatre in Manhattan, we have an extraordinary event planned. The legendary Broadway director Julie Taymor has agreed to direct the Vital Voices play Seven, featuring acclaimed film and stage actresses. At the conclusion of this performance, we will host a panel conversation featuring their real-life counterparts: Hafsat Abiola, Farida Azizi, Anabella De Leon, Inez McCormack, Mukhtar Mai, Mu Sochua, and Marina Pisklakova-Parker.

Madame Secretary, we would very much like to dedicate this performance to your lifelong efforts on behalf of women around the world. Melanne tells me that you have not seen the play, and there is no doubt that with the caliber of actresses that Taymor has in mind, this will be its most sensational performance ever. If there was also any chance that you would be able to moderate a panel afterwards that set the agenda for our weekend's discussions, it would be a superbly valuable call to arms for an audience of influential decision makers, international media, and committed philanthropists.

The Women in the World weekend will showcase vivid, powerful, and deeply personal testimony through first-person storytelling, films, performances, exhibits, and interviews. The goal is not only to make these issues vivid, but to provide a concrete outcome at the end, through projects we will sponsor and through commitments we have won from the influential women who are present. Some of the topics will
be: Women in Afghanistan and Pakistan, Rape as a Tool of War, Women as Peacemakers, and Girl Up - The United Nations Foundation's Campaign to Keep Teenage Girls in School.

Expected participants to date are: Her Majesty Queen Rania of Jordan, Ambassador Luis CdeBaca, Under Secretary of State for Democracy and Global Affairs Maria Otero, Washington D.C. Schools Chancellor Michelle Rhee, Actress Cate Blanchett, Tostan Founder Molly Melching, Women for Women International President Zainab Salbi, Hewlett-Packard Executive Vice President Ann Livemore, former Senior Adviser for Women's Empowerment Shirin Tahir-Kheli, CNN's Christiane Amanpour, former Homeland Security Advisor Frances Townsend, Actress Helen Mirren, Bank of America President of Global Wealth & Investment Management Sallie Krawcheck, India's first and highest-ranking woman officer Kiran Bedi, Ambassador-at-Large for Global Women's Issues Melanne Verveer, Co-Chairman of Sony Pictures Amy Pascal and many more.

Madame Secretary, we do hope that you'll be able to find the time in your incredibly busy schedule to attend this New York highlight of International Women's Month. I would be happy to talk to the appropriate member of your staff to provide further details that might help make your decision.

Best regards,

Tina Brown

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 5:48 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Karl and Frank,

Let me know what the outcome of this inquiry is. Also, I have sent Richard two emails over the last few weeks and never rec'd a response. Can you check to find out whether he ever got it? Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 10 23:29:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Karl and Frank,

FYI

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Dec 10 23:26:55 2009
Subject: Fw: Karl and Frank,

Jake--Pls share with H.

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Jones, Paul W
Sent: Thu Dec 10 23:22:56 2009
Subject: Fw: Karl and Frank,

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Eikenberry, Karl W
Sent: Thu Dec 10 23:22:22 2009
Subject: Fw: Karl and Frank,

----- Original Message -----
From: Holbrooke, Richard
To: Ricciardone, Francis J
Sent: Thu Dec 10 23:21:57 2009
Subject: Karl and Frank,

B5

[redacted] B5

Many thanks. RH

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 3:43 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

No I reached him. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 11 15:36:57 2009
Subject: FW:

If you want us to send a hard-copy note, we can do that too. Betsy is concerned you might have the wrong e-mail address for him.

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 3:33 PM
To: 'Voda ebeling'
Subject: RE:

Ok no problem – ill check

From: Voda ebeling [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 11:52 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject:

Lauren: could you please check, if possible, what email address HRC is using for Kevin O'Keefe? She told me in an email that she sent him a congratulatory email for his award. I don't believe he has heard from her. She should be using: [redacted]

If impossible to find out, don't worry. He did say he received an email invite to a Holiday State event and it was sent to the address above. Thanks, Betsy

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H.<hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 10:48 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Jake--here's Harold's response. The work was done in NEA so we need to connect w the French today or early tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----
From: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
To: H; Feltman, Jeffrey D <FeltmanJD@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:45:37 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Will happily do. The French Legal adviser Edwidge Belliard was very excited to meet you at lunch in DC a few months ago and I just saw her in the Hague on Wed. So Jeff--will stand by for your instructions Harold Harold Hongju Koh The Legal Adviser U.S. Department of State Suite 6421
2201 C St. NW
Washington, DC 20520-6421
202 647 9598 office
[redacted] B6
202 647 7096 fax

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:29 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guinea

This says Guines but I meant Morocco. Sorry.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 11:08:40 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Yes will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:47:14 2009
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Can you be sure Jeff sees this since he's on travel? Also pass on to Ron Schlicher to work if Jeff not available.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'feltmanjd@state.gov' <feltmanjd@state.gov>; 'kohhh@state.gov' <kohhh@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 3:20 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: NYT Editorial

And also what would the process be--why would my parachuting in going to be determinative?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 15:15:53 2009
Subject: RE: NYT Editorial

I've asked Todd to identify the specific areas where he thinks progress can be made through discussions on Thursday.

At a high level, I think leaders' conversations on Thursday will begin to narrow gaps on the big issues -- transparency, funding, inscribing commitments, etc -- IF (and it's a big if) those gaps are narrow-able. If they're not, then Thursday/Friday will be days of trying and failing ... but at least trying.

Todd's view is that there is not enough time Friday for top-level meetings to close the deal; that two days are required. You would be our head of delegation for the first, POTUS for the second.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 2:35 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: NYT Editorial

Do you think we could get anything done? If so, what?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 13:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: NYT Editorial

Whoops...to say:

HRC should come. Danes expect all day Thursday for discussions with and among leaders to resolve open issues. Discussed this with Danish leaders today. Think this very important. This is looking more and more difficult, so I'm certainly not promising success. But bad if we don't try full out. Thanks pal.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>

Sent: Sat Dec 12 13:37:18 2009
Subject: Fw: NYT Editorial

See below. Todd also wrote a short while ago to say the following:

From: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Dec 12 12:50:30 2009
Subject: Fw: NYT Editorial

By the way, see below. HRC should see. Thanks.

From: Ben Kobren [redacted] B6
To: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC); Todd Stern [redacted] Ogden, Peter R
Sent: Sat Dec 12 08:12:24 2009
Subject: NYT Editorial

Really good editorial here. Really worth reading.

This Week in Copenhagen
December 12, 2009
Editorial

We didn't expect much from the first week of the global warming conference in Copenhagen. Countries need to do a little posturing before getting down to the hard work, which is supposed to start on Monday. But the belligerent talk from China seemed to go well beyond the usual positioning.

The best hope is that the talks will produce an interim understanding under which industrialized countries would commit to fairly precise targets for reduced emissions, and others, like China, to broader but measurable goals. The industrial countries would be expected to help poorer countries shift to less-polluting forms of energy.

That would set the stage for a legally binding deal in 2010. But there is no chance of even an interim agreement without the enthusiastic participation of China, the biggest emitter of greenhouse gases. China's absence would give other developing countries — and the United States Senate — an excuse to do less than needed.

Beijing's recent pledge to slow the growth in emissions seemed like a positive shift in attitude. Then on Tuesday, in a surprising show of defensiveness, China's top negotiator, Su Wei, said the greatest burden rested with the industrialized countries and jumped on the United States, Japan and the European Union for not being aggressive enough. Another Chinese official urged Washington to do "some deep soul-searching" and improve its proposal.

Todd Stern, the chief American negotiator, responded correctly: With emissions in many industrialized countries peaking or declining, just about all of the growth in greenhouse gases is expected to come from the developing world between now and 2030, half from China. Rich nations must still reduce emissions sharply, Mr. Stern said, but "there is no way to solve this problem by giving the major developing countries a pass."

China has also been demanding that rich nations contribute hundreds of millions of dollars a year to help poor countries address the threat of climate change. Again Mr. Stern was blunt. Washington is prepared to help those who need it, but given China's huge reserves and revved-up economy, he said he could not envision "public funds, certainly not from the United States, going to China."

The most positive development has been a pledge by the European Union to contribute $10.5 billion over the next three years to help poorer countries deal with climate change. The United States has said that it will make a contribution but has not said how big it will be.

Transparency is another difficult issue that must be resolved, at least in principle, this week. There is no point in setting targets, or threatening penalties for noncompliance, unless countries are required to report emissions accurately. Transparency has never been one of Beijing's virtues, and emerging countries generally need aid to create sophisticated monitoring systems.

Copenhagen's broadest challenge is finding an equitable way to distribute the burden of confronting climate change. Despite some differences, the industrialized nations have pretty much agreed to trim their emissions by 15 percent to 20 percent from 2005 levels in the next 10 years, and by 80 percent by midcentury. And all seem to be willing to make expensive investments to get there. President Obama will need help from Congress, no sure thing.

A host of developing countries — including India, Brazil, Indonesia — have put broad goals on the table, though in some cases they seem more aspirational than real. But the bottom line is that the hope for a meaningful deal is vanishingly small if China doesn't sign on.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 10:55 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Followup issues

I think it is important at least to put in ranges of proposed budget #s. Is that possible?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Dec 13 10:46:02 2009
Subject: Followup issues

Answers under each:

Will I receive a full notebook for approps hearings tonight?

Yes, and a draft of the testimony.

I'd like a call this afternoon or evening to go over the draft Af-Pak regional strategy. Who should be on call? It is quite good but lacks "milestones" and budgets for each section.

Sounds good. Huma and I will work to set it up. The budget issue is complicated -- we've had several discussions about it. It's hard to put down numbers with confidence.

Call should be with Jack, Piper, Rich, Paul Jones, and Sean Misko.

Re the required report for Kerry-Lugar, I want to be sure that the language in both our report and this one echo the same language.

Paul, Sean, and Rich are going back over to make sure of that. Our chief method is to use framing language from the strategy to frame the KLB report as well.

I never called Patricia Espinosa--should I?

Will check with WHA.

Also, have we rec'd a memo from Shaun Woodard for Robinson and McGuinness calls?

Huma should have this memo for you.

There will also be a PC on Af-Pak Tuesday and I want to be sure our report is ready.

Yes -- this is consistent with our timeline.

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 7:47 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Open Skies

RELEASE IN PART B6

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 13 19:45:45 2009
Subject: Re: Open Skies

Also -- Arturo and Craig say hold on Espinosa for now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Huma Abedin <[redacted]>
Sent: Sun Dec 13 16:29:20 2009
Subject: Open Skies

B6

Who do I congratulate at weekly meeting Monday on securing agreement?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 6, 2009 12:47 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kouchner just put a call into you. Checking on subject.

I need to call Karzai, Shaun Woodward and then Robinson and McGinness and, now maybe, Kouchner. But I don't want to do until later today or tomorrow. Do I have time tomorrow? I will need to allocate 30 minutes to Karzai.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 06 12:43:29 2009
Subject: Kouchner just put a call into you. Checking on subject.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 7:33 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

Have you left yet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 08 07:31:09 2009
Subject: Fw: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Dec 08 05:38:30 2009
Subject: Coordinated Baghdad Explosions Kill 90 (SBU)

(SBU) The death toll continues to rise in bombings that injured 130 more, media report. Embassy Baghdad is unaware of any American citizens killed or injured in the four confirmed blasts, and affirms all Mission personnel are accounted for.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 10:13 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Does this mean you have to go to Cuba and arrest Castro or just shovel more $ into Little Havana?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:05:46 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Yes, I sold my soul to George Lemieux today. I am not proud of it.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:00:49 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

What took them so long? Did you promise your first born?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 21:48:05 2009
Subject: Fw: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Hold on Tom was lifted. Thanks for making the calls. Trying to get him thru as quickly as possible before another hold emerges.

From: Feehery, Kerry (LeMieux) <Kerry_Feehery@LeMieux.senate.gov>
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: Moncrief, Benjamin (LeMieux) <Benjamin_Moncrief@LeMieux.senate.gov>
Sent: Wed Dec 16 18:45:49 2009
Subject: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Kerry Feehery

Chief of Staff

Senator George LeMieux (R-FL)

356 Russell Office Building

(202)228-4945

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 9, 2009 7:31 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 09 06:41:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Dec 09 06:17:48 2009
Subject: Abbas: No deal yet on Israeli soldier (U)

(U) Palestinian Authority President Abbas, emerging from talks with Egyptian President Mubarak, told reporters Israel and Hamas were unable to agree on details of a prisoner swap that would secure the release of Gilad Shalit.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 7:38 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Today

Dennis Ross is coming to see me at noon.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:33 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D <FeltmanJD@state.gov>; H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 12:31:14 2009
Subject: RE: Guinea

That sounds like a good menu. We are seeing if there are other options and will get back to you

-----Original Message-----
From: Feltman, Jeffrey D
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 11:56 AM
To: Koh, Harold Hongju; 'HDR22@clintonemail.com'
Subject: Re: Guinea

Harold, we told the Moroccans they have a couple of options.

B5

Other ideas welcome!
Jeffrey Feltman

----- Original Message -----
From: Koh, Harold Hongju
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Feltman, Jeffrey D
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:45:37 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Will happily do. The French Legal adviser Edwidge Belliard was very excited to meet you at lunch in DC a few months ago and I just saw her in the Hague on Wed. So Jeff--will stand by for your instructions Harold Harold Hongju Koh The Legal Adviser U.S. Department of State Suite 6421
2201 C St. NW
Washington, DC 20520-6421

202 647 9598 office

202 647 7096 fax

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 2:20 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'; 'daniel.baer [redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Speech

Could we do at 4:30 or 6:45?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H; daniel.baer [redacted]
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Dec 13 14:16:18 2009
Subject: Re: Speech

I agree with Dan about the ornament problem. The draft needs refinement not re-working. And a call is a great idea. I am just getting off a plane but could be available any time after 4 pm or so if that works for you.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Muscatine, Lissa; 'daniel.baer [redacted]
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin [redacted]
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:56:59 2009
Subject: Speech

The latest draft is getting closer. And I agree w Dan that we have to be careful not to topple the tree w too many ornaments. That said, I'd like to have a call w you (and anyone else who you think should be on) this afternoon or evening to raise a few points. I'm copying Lona and Huma so they can help schedule it. Thanks for all your good work.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 4:47 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guinea/Madagascar

I agree on both, but wonder can we abstain on the first?

Also, I have not rec'd the speech draft. Should I have ?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 10 22:06:12 2009
Subject: Guinea/Madagascar

There are a number of different possibilities for how the decision on the Guinea/Madagascar credentials may go tomorrow. (It is Zambia and Tanzania bringing the challenge on behalf of the AU.) I was under the impression that we would not have to vote on the potential credentials challenges. We may have to.

We may have to vote on a motion to *deny* them credentials and exclude them. For the moment, the guidance is to vote to credential all countries – including these two – as is customary, while conducting outreach with Tanzania, Zambia, and the AU to explain that we have our own imperatives but we support the fact that they are taking a principled stand. B5

We may have to vote on a motion to *defer* decision, which would allow them to be seated and vote but would not formally grant credentials. For the moment, the guidance is to potentially support such a motion – this was the practice with respect to South Africa between 1983 and 1994. Everyone is in the same place on this.

Ultimately, however, the whole thing may die of its own weight. This is probably the most likely outcome.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 7:34 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Can you put together briefing book on climate change/Copenhagen?

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 15 07:26:36 2009
Subject: Re: Can you put together briefing book on climate change/Copenhagen?

I will work on this today. You would need specific background and potential ways forward on each of the major issues -- inscribing commitments, MRV, and funding. You would need all of the POTUS briefing materials. I will work to figure out what else.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Tue Dec 15 06:45:35 2009
Subject: Can you put together briefing book on climate change/Copenhagen?

I'd like to see pertinent recent articles/op-eds about the negotiations and vetted proposals for breaking the deadlock like the idea I proposed to have two levels of MRV w only one eligible for $.

And can Todd et al send comprhensive overview before I leave? Make sure there's a phone on plane we take. What else?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 10:37 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Can we get him confirmed tonight?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:26:42 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

I suspect they would prefer more $ for Little Havana.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:12:55 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Does this mean you have to go to Cuba and arrest Castro or just shovel more $ into Little Havana?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:05:46 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Yes, I sold my soul to George Lemieux today. I am not proud of it.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Wed Dec 16 22:00:49 2009
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

What took them so long? Did you promise your first born?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 21:48:05 2009
Subject: Fw: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Hold on Tom was lifted. Thanks for making the calls. Trying to get him thru as quickly as possible before another hold emerges.

From: Feehery, Kerry (LeMieux) <Kerry_Feehery@LeMieux.senate.gov>
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: Moncrief, Benjamin (LeMieux) <Benjamin_Moncrief@LeMieux.senate.gov>
Sent: Wed Dec 16 18:45:49 2009
Subject: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Kerry Feehery

Chief of Staff

Senator George LeMieux (R-FL)

356 Russell Office Building

(202)228-4945

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 6, 2009 10:56 AM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fwd: Passback and Approps committees

I agree. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Dec 06 10:53:56 2009
Subject: Fw: Fwd: Passback and Approps committees

As of this morning OMB staff report to our staff that they will be presenting an analysis to Peter tomorrow afternoon. We will have some more interaction between our staff that may narrow the gap. It seems like waiting another day before either of us call Peter to see how much they are holding back would bne helpful.

From: Jacob Lew <jacobjlew [redacted] B6
To: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Dec 06 10:46:21 2009
Subject: Fwd: Passback and Approps committees

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Jacob Lew <jacobjlew [redacted] B6
Date: Sat, Dec 5, 2009 at 6:03 PM
Subject: Re: Passback and Approps committees
To: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: "vermarr@state.gov" <vermarr@state.gov>, "sullivanjj@state.gov" <sullivanjj@state.gov>, lewj@state.gov

As far as Afghanistan goes, we still do not know if a budget amendment will be going up next week or not. We should know by Monday morning. Our staff has been asked to prepare a template without numbers so a decision could be implemented quickly. I am confident that there will be time to have a discussion both at the highest levels at OMB and the WH before this is locked. As far as the 2011 budget goes, we should hear back at least from staff early next week and I will reach out to Peter and Rob to start a discussion at the top line level. I think we will want you to enagage some time this coming week, but I would like to see what the real gap is first.

On Sat, Dec 5, 2009 at 12:07 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

As you know, I will testify on Dec 15 and want to know if that is too late to sound the alarm about our budget? If so, what should I be doing? If not, we should prepare testimony that we preview and deliver that makes our case. Let me know your thoughts.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 6:43 PM
To: 'hanleymr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Dinner tonight

Not necessary. I'm just stopping by.

----- Original Message -----
From: Hanley, Monica R <HanleyMR@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 08 17:38:32 2009
Subject: RE: Dinner tonight

Lauren can meet you there if you decide to go.

From: Hanley, Monica R
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 5:21 PM
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com'
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Dinner tonight

Is at 7pm in the Jockey Club of the Fairfax Hotel
2100 Massachusetts Avenue Northwest

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 9:13 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Followup issues

Will I receive a full notebook for approps hearings tonight?

I'd like a call this afternoon or evening to go over the draft Af-Pak regional strategy. Who should be on call? It is quite good but lacks "milestones" and budgets for each section.

Re the required report for Kerry-Lugar, I want to be sure that the language in both our report and this one echo the same language.

I never called Patricia Espinosa--should I?

Also, have we rec'd a memo from Shaun Woodard for Robinson and McGuinness calls?

There will also be a PC on Af-Pak Tuesday and I want to be sure our report is ready.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 8:06 AM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'; 'baer.daniel[redacted]'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Cc: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Speech

Thanks for all your hard work on this difficult speech to get it as right as possible. Here are a few comments and questions:

We need to work in reference and quotes from POTUS Nobel speech near the top. I haven't read it yet so not sure what's most appriopriate. Since the speech alluded to some of the same ground, should we be explicit in asserting that this speech further clarifies/expands/explains what this Admin means by human rights?

The points about us not being perfect and the existence of evil in the POTUS speech should be woven in more here too. For example, in discussion on p.7 about Japanese internment, etc.

In the section about supporting individual defenders, should mention that we did that thru State Dept ceremony honoring brave women and applaud others like Vital Voices and RFK memorial who do same. Tell story about how I was asked to mention the name of a Guatemala activist in my sppech to the parliament there because the attention might literally save her life.

Look forward to further discussion later today.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 10:47 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Can you be sure Jeff sees this since he's on travel? Also pass on to Ron Schlicher to work if Jeff not available.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'feltmanjd@state.gov' <feltmanjd@state.gov>; 'kohhh@state.gov' <kohhh@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 2:35 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: NYT Editorial

Do you think we could get anything done? If so, what?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 13:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: NYT Editorial

Whoops...to say:

HRC should come. Danes expect all day Thursday for discussions with and among leaders to resolve open issues. Discussed this with Danish leaders today. Think this very important. This is looking more and more difficult, so I'm certainly not promising success. But bad if we don't try full out. Thanks pal.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 13:37:18 2009
Subject: Fw: NYT Editorial

See below. Todd also wrote a short while ago to say the following:

From: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Dec 12 12:50:30 2009
Subject: Fw: NYT Editorial

By the way, see below. HRC should see. Thanks.

From: Ben Kobren [redacted] B6
To: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC); Todd Stern [redacted] Ogden, Peter R
Sent: Sat Dec 12 08:12:24 2009
Subject: NYT Editorial

Really good editorial here. Really worth reading.

This Week in Copenhagen
December 12, 2009
Editorial

We didn't expect much from the first week of the global warming conference in Copenhagen. Countries need to do a little posturing before getting down to the hard work, which is supposed to start on Monday. But the belligerent talk from China seemed to go well beyond the usual positioning.

The best hope is that the talks will produce an interim understanding under which industrialized countries would commit to fairly precise targets for reduced emissions, and others, like China, to broader but measurable goals. The industrial countries would be expected to help poorer countries shift to less-polluting forms of energy.

That would set the stage for a legally binding deal in 2010. But there is no chance of even an interim agreement without the enthusiastic participation of China, the biggest emitter of greenhouse gases. China's absence would give other developing countries — and the United States Senate — an excuse to do less than needed.

Beijing's recent pledge to slow the growth in emissions seemed like a positive shift in attitude. Then on Tuesday, in a surprising show of defensiveness, China's top negotiator, Su Wei, said the greatest burden rested with the industrialized countries and jumped on the United States, Japan and the European Union for not being aggressive enough. Another Chinese official urged Washington to do "some deep soul-searching" and improve its proposal.

Todd Stern, the chief American negotiator, responded correctly: With emissions in many industrialized countries peaking or declining, just about all of the growth in greenhouse gases is expected to come from the developing world between now and 2030, half from China. Rich nations must still reduce emissions sharply, Mr. Stern said, but "there is no way to solve this problem by giving the major developing countries a pass."

China has also been demanding that rich nations contribute hundreds of millions of dollars a year to help poor countries address the threat of climate change. Again Mr. Stern was blunt. Washington is prepared to help those who need it, but given China's huge reserves and revved-up economy, he said he could not envision "public funds, certainly not from the United States, going to China."

The most positive development has been a pledge by the European Union to contribute $10.5 billion over the next three years to help poorer countries deal with climate change. The United States has said that it will make a contribution but has not said how big it will be.

Transparency is another difficult issue that must be resolved, at least in principle, this week. There is no point in setting targets, or threatening penalties for noncompliance, unless countries are required to report emissions accurately. Transparency has never been one of Beijing's virtues, and emerging countries generally need aid to create sophisticated monitoring systems.

Copenhagen's broadest challenge is finding an equitable way to distribute the burden of confronting climate change. Despite some differences, the industrialized nations have pretty much agreed to trim their emissions by 15 percent to 20 percent from 2005 levels in the next 10 years, and by 80 percent by midcentury. And all seem to be willing to make expensive investments to get there. President Obama will need help from Congress, no sure thing.

A host of developing countries — including India, Brazil, Indonesia — have put broad goals on the table, though in some cases they seem more aspirational than real. But the bottom line is that the hope for a meaningful deal is vanishingly small if China doesn't sign on.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 6:44 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: NPR documents

Do we have any independent third opinion we can ask for advice?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 08 17:39:03 2009
Subject: NPR documents

As I mentioned, you will be receiving a binder of NPR documents tonight. I mischaracterized the structure of the binder. The cover note is merely an extended table of contents, and behind it are six 2- or 3-pagers on the six major questions on which they seek your guidance. The papers are technical, and some are easier to read than others, but it is worth a quick scan tonight before they march through them tomorrow.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 3:23 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Syrian presidents brother

Ok. Are you going back tonight?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 13 15:15:39 2009
Subject: Syrian presidents brother

You ok with this condolence cable for syrian president assad? He brother died after a long illness.

Dear Mr. President:

[redacted] B5

Sincerely yours,

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 4:50 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Miliband and Copenhagen

B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 5:11 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hi

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Greenspun
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 10 16:52:47 2009
Subject: Hi

B6

Hi hillary,

I trust I am not violating a protocol, but word has it that the israeli ambassador has been trying to meet with you to no avail. I wanted to make sure you knew that was a belief being shared. I can't imagine why your folks would want to keep you two apart. I hear he is solid. So, that's the heads up. I am always available if there is a problem with which I can be helpful. Btw, news. All the best, bg

---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 5:51 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Kissinger interview

I just found out that Jon Meacham will "moderate" the interview which puts this in a very different light. I need some guidance about what points I'm trying to make and any info about Meacham's possible line of questions.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 7:42 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: John Kerry's birthday

Also pls get me a copy of the President's Nobel speech.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 11 07:34:45 2009
Subject: Re: John Kerry's birthday

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Dec 11 07:23:38 2009
Subject: John Kerry's birthday

Pls add him to call list for today.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 8:03 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Interview

In thinking about the Kissinger interview, the only issue I think that might be raised is that I see POTUS at least once a week while K saw Nixon everyday. Of course, if I were dealing w that POTUS I'd probably camp in his office to prevent him from doing something problematic. Do you see this as a problem?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:28 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Guinea

Yes. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 11:08:20 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Got it -- will follow up. Has Tom been in touch? Matt said he would be this morning.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:47:58 2009
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Jake--here's Harold's response. The work was done in NEA so we need to connect w the French today or early tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----
From: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
To: H; Feltman, Jeffrey D <FeltmanJD@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:45:37 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Will happily do. The French Legal adviser Edwidge Belliard was very excited to meet you at lunch in DC a few months ago and I just saw her in the Hague on Wed. So Jeff--will stand by for your instructions Harold Harold Hongju Koh The Legal Adviser U.S. Department of State Suite 6421
2201 C St. NW
Washington, DC 20520-6421
202 647 9598 office
[redacted] B6
202 647 7096 fax

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 10:38 AM
To: 'marshallcp@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Don't laugh!!

The Great Hall where we met w Hu.

----- Original Message -----
From: Marshall, Capricia P <MarshallCP@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 15 09:04:20 2009
Subject: Re: Don't laugh!!

Sorry which building was that? The hotel?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Marshall, Capricia P; [redacted] B6
Sent: Tue Dec 15 08:17:34 2009
Subject: Don't laugh!!

Can you contact your protocol friend in China and ask him if I could get photos of the carpets of the rooms I met in w POTUS during the recent trip? I loved their designs and the way they appeared carved. Any chance we can get this?

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

December 15, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: The Berlusconi assault

1. The physical attack on Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi is a major political event in Italy and Europe. His assailant is a mentally disturbed man without a political agenda who has been in and out of psychiatric care since he was 18 years old. Nonetheless, the assault has significant repercussions. It has generated sympathy for Berlusconi as a victim—he has been obviously injured, lost two teeth and a pint of blood, and doctors will keep him in the hospital for perhaps a week for observation. The attack comes as Berlusconi was beginning to lose political footing, having lost his legal immunity. One court inquiry is turning up evidence of his links to the Mafia while another is examining his role in the bribery case of British lawyer David Mills. Berlusconi's government and party have used the attack as a way to turn the sudden sympathy for him to his advantage, claiming that his opponents have sown a climate of "hate." Through demogogic appeals they are attempting to discredit and rebuff the legal proceedings against him and undermine the investigative press. (Berlusconi is suing La Repubblica, the large independent newspaper in Rome that has been most aggressive in digging into his affairs of all kinds.)
2. It would seem proper and decent for the President to call Berlusconi to express his concern about his health and wish him a speedy recovery, if he hasn't done so already. There are many reasons for Obama to do this, not least to condemn violence against a democratically elected head of state. It would be awkward for the U.S. President not to call a Western head of state of a NATO country who has been physically harmed. Berlusconi, for his part, would certainly make such a call public. It would therefore also be awkward if a call were used only as an element in his sympathy campaign against the court proceedings.
3. If so, there is a larger message that should be communicated, perhaps by the President in his conversation, but most importantly through a statement from the State Department, also conveyed by the U.S. ambassador in Italy. The USG condemns any and all violence

as an assault on democracy. By the same measure, this incident involving an apparently deranged individual should not be exploited to raise social tensions. Instead, it should inspire reflection on the necessity of every citizen to adhere to the rule of law as well as to respect freedom of speech and the press.

4. Two articles on the legal inquries into Berlusconi below:

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/berlusconi-cut-deal-with-mafia-court-told-1834647.html

Berlusconi 'cut deal with Mafia', court told

Former hitman claims Italian PM gave 'benefits' to the Mob for political support

By Michael Day in Milan

Saturday, 5 December 2009

The Italian Prime Minister, Silvio Berlusconi, made a deal with the Sicilian Mafia in the early 1990s that put the country "in the hands" of the Mob, a court in Turin was told yesterday.

Gaspare Spatuzza, a jailed Mafia hitman turned witness, told a packed and heavily guarded bunker courtroom that his Cosa Nostra Godfather boss had cut a deal with Mr Berlusconi in 1993 that provided unspecified "benefits" to the Mafia in exchange for political support. The media tycoon entered politics a few months later and won his first term as Prime Minister in 1994.

Spatuzza said his boss, Giuseppe Graviano, who was jailed over Mafia bomb attacks in Rome, Milan and Florence, had told him that the Mob had "got everything" with the help of Mr Berlusconi, adding that Graviano called Mr Berlusconi "the man from Channel 5", in reference to his TV network.

The damaging claims came at the appeal hearing of Marcello Dell'Utri, a key Berlusconi associate and senator, who is challenging his nine-year jail sentence for links with the Mafia.

Spatuzza, in prison for several murders, testified: "Graviano told me the name of Berlusconi and said that thanks to him and the man from our home town [an apparent reference to Dell' Utri] we have the country in our hands."

Mr Berlusconi has denounced the claims of Spatuzza as "vile", and "unfounded and defamatory", denying ever having links with the Mafia. The Prime Minister's spokesman said the testimony yesterday was the Mafia's "completely logical" revenge against the Prime Minister for his "determined" fight against organised crime.

Dell'Utri told the court that neither he nor Mr Berlusconi had Mafia connections. "It's in the interest of the Mafia to force the collapse of the Berlusconi government because this government has done the most in the fight against organised crime," he said. Mr Berlusconi, who recently lost his legal immunity to prosecution, was said to have been furious this week, after the parliamentary Speaker, Gianfranco Fini, the man who is supposed to be his closest ally, had said that the Spatuzza evidence was "an atomic bomb".

Mr Fini was recorded by an unobserved microphone while talking privately at an official dinner. Rumours of the conservative Prime Minister's links with the Mob have persisted since investigators learnt that a Cosa Nostra hitman, Vittorio Mangano, worked as Mr Berlusconi's "stable-master" at the media mogul's villa in Arcore outside Milan in the 1970s. Much speculation has also centred on the origins of Mr Berlusconi's vast wealth.

Last week, the Prime Minister's broadcast group, Mediaset, and his holding company, Fininvest, announced it intended to sue the left-wing newspaper La Repubblica over an article that said Mediaset was "20 per cent owned by the Mafia".

La Stampa newspaper noted that the "dread combination of Mafia allegations and separation from his wife are now his principal torments". Mr Berlusconi's estranged wife, Veronica Lario, is said to be seeking a £3m-a-month divorce settlement, and a messy courtroom battle looms. Mr Berlusconi also faces a retrial on the charge that he bribed the British lawyer David Mills to lie in court.

TIME
Friday, Dec. 04, 2009

After a Court Ruling, Berlusconi's Legal Woes Resume

By Jeff Israely

The world has spent the past six months wondering how — and with whom — Silvio Berlusconi spends his Saturday nights. But the combative, scandal-plagued Prime Minister of Italy would prefer to talk about his mornings instead. "Do you know how I've been forced to spend my Saturday mornings since I entered politics?" Berlusconi once declared to business leaders in Rome. "With my lawyers."

Italy's self-proclaimed Defendant in Chief makes a point of lamenting all those weekends spoiled by stacks of court dossiers as further proof that he is the victim of politically motivated magistrates, whom he called during the same speech "the spreading cancer of our democracy." (See Berlusconi's worst gaffes.)

To combat such perceived persecution, Berlusconi has tested the bounds of decorous democratic conduct, including his allies' pushing a bill through Parliament last year that gave him and other top Italian officeholders immunity from prosecution. But since the Constitutional Court overturned that law in October, several criminal investigations into Berlusconi's business dealings have been reopened, with other new charges rumored to be looming.

The potentially most damaging trial is set to resume Friday, Dec. 4, in Milan, with the 73-year-old billionaire being accused of paying British attorney David Mills $600,000 in 1997 to withhold incriminating evidence about his broadcasting empire Mediaset. Mills is appealing a conviction in the same case; both men have denied any wrongdoing.

So, alas, Berlusconi is back to spending his downtime with a well-paid cadre of more than a dozen *avvocati*, who have been successful so far in keeping their biggest client clear of criminal convictions. Not that the lawyers have ever really gone away. Since the media baron first ran for office 15 years ago, his legal advisers have doubled as political consiglieri, key members of Parliament and even important Cabinet Ministers. Indeed, while some critics have spoken of Berlusconi's reign as a kind of sultanate of showgirls or government by gaffe, others have described it as a republic of attorneys. (See what Berlusconi's Obama "jokes" say about Italy.)

His longtime lawyer Cesare Previti served as Italian Defense Minister during Berlusconi's first term in 1994 before being convicted of corruption himself (and losing twice on appeal). During Berlusconi's second term (2001-06), Gaetano Pecorella, a former leftist, served as president of Parliament's Justice Commission, where he was instrumental in shepherding through new laws that helped shield Berlusconi from investigating magistrates.

Giovanni Sartori, a Columbia University professor of constitutional law, says the role Berlusconi's personal lawyers have played in his legislative agenda is yet another gargantuan conflict of interest to add to those related to his ownership of Italy's main private television stations. But by now, Sartori says, Berlusconi's lawyers have perfected the art of exploiting Italy's painfully slow justice system: many cases conclude without a final verdict because the statute of limitations has been reached. "It is more a mania than a necessity," Sartori says of Berlusconi's near obsession in battling magistrates. "He feels persecuted, and fighting the judges is what makes him happy."

Berlusconi's current point man is Nicolo Ghedini, a somber-faced, lanky 49-year-old criminal-defense attorney from the northern city of Padua, who has served in both the Italian Senate and the lower house of Parliament. Ghedini has continued to mount a grinding defense in the criminal cases, arguing in the Mills case that Berlusconi cannot be present in the Milan courtroom for the next several months because of time conflicts with his duties as Prime Minister. Ghedini has also spearheaded an increasingly aggressive legal strategy that has included several libel suits against opposition newspapers. He declared in September that if necessary, Berlusconi would testify in a suit against a journalist who referred to rumors that the Prime Minister is impotent. Last week, Berlusconi vowed to launch additional suits against newspapers that printed unsubstantiated reports that a close adviser of his was involved in a 1993 bombing campaign by the Sicilian Mafia. (Read "An Offended Berlusconi Goes on the Offensive.")

Despite these many legal headaches, Berlusconi is embroiled in one other particularly thorny case that even he can't blame on overzealous magistrates. Veronica Lario, Berlusconi's wife of more than 20 years, filed for divorce earlier this year, which sparked an avalanche of accusations that he frequented a prostitute from Bari and an underage model from Naples. The Milan daily *Corriere della Sera* reported last week that Lario has demanded 3.5 million euros ($5.2 million) in monthly payments, and Berlusconi countered with an offer of 300,000 euros ($450,000). No matter how this and the other cases shake out, there is little hope that Berlusconi can avoid a mountain of lawyers' fees and some grim Saturdays that he'd rather devote to other business and pleasures.

- Find this article at:
- http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1945439,00.html

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 6:12 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jim wanted you/Secretary Clinton to know this

When do we "unveil" food security?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 05:58:00 2009
Subject: Fw: Jim wanted you/Secretary Clinton to know this

Fyi

From: Buhl, Cindy <Cindy.Buhl@mail.house.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Buhl, Cindy <Cindy.Buhl@mail.house.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 15 10:25:11 2009
Subject: Jim wanted you/Secretary Clinton to know this

Dear Cheryl -- You may be aware that Reps. Frank Wolf, Chris Smith and Donald Payne are holding a press conference today to denounce the Obama policy, or lack thereof, on Darfur. Jim chose not participate, although he will be sending a letter of concern later this week. He asked that I pass a message (below) from him. Best -- Cindy

-----Original Message-----
From: JPM
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2009 9:08 AM
To: Buhl, Cindy
Subject:

You should let hillary's people know that we will not participate in wolf's press conference--though we are concerned. We love hillary and believe when she unveils global food security plan we will have somethiing concrete and meaningful to praise. It will represent a real and positive step forward on human rights. Jim

---

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2009 7:03 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: But fm lieberman is confirmed for 7:30. Sending call sheet now.

Ok. Also pls [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 10 07:00:32 2009
Subject: But fm lieberman is confirmed for 7:30. Sending call sheet now.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 7:58 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Schedule

Can we get Lisa's lunch rescheduled to before or after? And I'd have to do a letter for Dave's service. What are the logisitics? Are there rooms for us if we take a smaller group?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:56:27 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Thursday and Friday are very light -- essentially you would miss Lisa Caputo's lunch, Dave Stone's service on Thursday Nothing on Friday.
As for Wednesday, they are scheduling a PC from 5-6:30pm (at least we have been told to hold that time). That is the last item on your schedule that day.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin < > B6
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:45:19 2009
Subject: Schedule

What happens to my schedule if I have to go to Copenhagen, probably Wed-Fri?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 3:59 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'; 'vermarr@state.gov'; 'wburns66 [redacted] B6
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Sen. Kerry and Iran sanctions bill

I spoke w the Senator about our concerns with the legislation and told him Jim had sent a letter and Rich scheduled a mtg w his staff for Monday to discuss changes we would like to see. He said he was open to our suggestions for tweaking but there were now 76 cosponsors. I told him we understood but still hoped for some changes before Senate action.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 10:02 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: We have to be proactive

Kerry is right that no one else can do this. We should get Ban to convene or do it ourselves. How can we? What do you think?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 4:15 PM
To: 'preines[redacted]'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Landler story

Any more info about his email?

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Guinea

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Feltman, Jeffrey D <FeltmanJD@state.gov>
To: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>; H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 11:55:56 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Harold, we told the Moroccans they have a couple of options.

B5

Other ideas welcome!
Jeffrey Feltman

----- Original Message -----
From: Koh, Harold Hongju
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Feltman, Jeffrey D
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:45:37 2009
Subject: Re: Guinea

Will happily do. The French Legal adviser Edwidge Belliard was very excited to meet you at lunch in DC a few months ago and I just saw her in the Hague on Wed. So Jeff--will stand by for your instructions Harold Harold Hongju Koh The Legal Adviser U.S. Department of State Suite 6421
2201 C St. NW
Washington, DC 20520-6421
202 647 9598 office

B6

202 647 7096 fax

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:32:03 2009
Subject: Guinea

Jeff/Harold--

I spoke w Kouchener who will be meeting in Paris tomorrow night w Moroccan FM Faris-Firri. I told him we had offered ideas to help them out of their current standoff re returning the passport.

Jeff, can you call your French counterpart to explain and connect w Harold if we need L to contact the French legal advisor? Thanks-iand let me know what unfolds. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:36 PM
To: 'carsonj@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Guinea

I spoke w French FM Kouchner

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 7:45 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

What happens to my schedule if I have to go to Copenhagen, probably Wed-Fri?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 7:57 AM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'; 'daniel.baer@ B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Speech

The latest draft is getting closer. And I agree w Dan that we have to be careful not to topple the tree w too many ornaments. That said, I'd like to have a call w you (and anyone else who you think should be on) this afternoon or evening to raise a few points. I'm copying Lona and Huma so they can help schedule it. Thanks for all your good work.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 4:24 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Drawings sent last week
Attachments: WhitePlains - 09-12-14 - deltransltr- explain dwgs sent to Oscar last week.pdf

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Donald Lococo [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 14 15:14:18 2009
Subject: Drawings sent last week

Dear Madam Secretary,

Please see attached letter regarding drawings sent last week per request to Oscar.

Hard copy to your attention is being fedexed to NY address.

Thank you,

Donald

Donald Lococo Architects, LLC

3413 1/2 M Street, NW

Suite A

Washington, DC 20007

202 337 4422 phone

202 337 2622 fax

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4687 (20091214) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2009 7:17 AM
To: [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Checking in

Sorry we didn't talk last night but I actually collapsed when I got back from my speech. Let's try again tonight. Let me know what time will work. I should be home by 7. Hope you're loving the sun!

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 5:02 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Kevin O'Keefe to be honored at the Chicago-Kent Alumni AwardsLuncheon on Dec 10.

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: betsyebeling1050
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 05 09:16:15 2009
Subject: Re: Kevin O'Keefe to be honored at the Chicago-Kent Alumni AwardsLuncheon on Dec 10.

B6

Oh, yes please
You and I, twin
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Date: Sat, 5 Dec 2009 07:26:30
To: 'betsyebeling1050
Subject: Re: Kevin O'Keefe to be honored at the Chicago-Kent Alumni Awards Luncheon on Dec 10.

Could I surprise him w a congratulatory letter? That would embarrass him for sure. Can you believe I am now an official

----- Original Message -----
From: Voda ebeling
To: Lauren C Jiloty <JilotyLC@state.gov>; dband
Cc: H; abedinh@state.gov <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Dec 02 14:08:35 2009
Subject: Fw: Kevin O'Keefe to be honored at the Chicago-Kent Alumni Awards Luncheon on Dec 10.

Thought you might want to know that our Kevbo is being honored at Chicago-Kent College of Law--distinguished alum that he is. Anyway, just found out this morning and, of course, not from Kevin. Betsy

---

<http://e2ma.net/oz/2632026830/2397997/22728/spacer.gif>
Forward this message to a friend
<http://e2ma.net/map/view=Forward/ID=22728.2632026830/rid=cc9650badaf47333bba0cd882bcbaf78/send_to_friend>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif> Chicago-Kent College of Law: Alumni E-Brief <http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/email/head_center.jpg>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/email/head_nav_gold.jpg>

<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif>

Alumni Awards Home Page
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092071/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9hbHVtcy9sdW5jaA==>

On behalf of the Chicago-Kent Alumni Board of Directors, we invite you to join us at the 2009 Alumni Awards & Recognition Luncheon
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092072/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9hbHVtcy9sdW5jaA==> . The event honors this year's Alumni Award recipients as well our alumni and friends who volunteered for the law school over the past year.

Thursday, December 10, 2009
Reception: 11:30 a.m. ~ Luncheon: 12:00 p.m.

The Standard Club
320 S. Plymouth Court, Chicago, IL 60604

RSVP to alums@kentlaw.edu by Tuesday, December 8, 2009.

RSVP >> (2009 Alumni Award Recipients)
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092073/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9hbHVtcy9sdW5jaC9hd2FyZHMuaHRtbA==>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif>
<http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/enews/images/shared/spc.gif>
Office of Alumni Relations <http://www.kentlaw.edu/depts/alums/> Online Community
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092074/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL2FsdW1uaS5rZW50bGF3LmVkdQ==>
Calendar
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092075/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5hbHVtbmkua2VudGxhdy5lZHUvZXZlbnRz> E-Brief Archives
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092076/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9hbHVtcy9lbmV3cy9hcmNoaXZlcy5odG1s>
Give to Chicago-Kent
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092077/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9kZXYvZ2l2ZS5waHA=> Office of Career Services
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092078/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9jc28v> Continuing Legal Education
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092079/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9jbGUv> Office of the Registrar
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092080/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9yZWcv>
Chicago-Kent College of Law Office of Alumni Relations 565 W. Adams St., Suite 310 Chicago, IL 60661
Tel: (312) 906-5240 Fax: (312) 906-5256 E-mail: alums@kentlaw.edu Web: www.alumni.kentlaw.edu
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092081/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5hbHVtbmkua2VudGxhdy5lZHU=>
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092082/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9hbHVtbmk=>

This email was sent to . To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list.

manage
<http://e2ma.net/map/view=Manage/signupId=38203/id=22728.2632026830/rid=cc9650badaf47333bba0cd882bcbaf7

8> your preferences | opt out
<http://e2ma.net/map/view=OptOut/ID=22728.2632026830/rid=cc9650badaf47333bba0cd882bcbaf78/signupId=38203> using TrueRemove®

Please note: Clicking "opt out" will remove you from ALL email communication from Chicago-Kent.
If you would only like to opt out of receiving invitations or the monthly Alumni E-Brief, please click here to edit your email settings
<http://e2ma.net/go/2632026830/2397997/89092083/22728/b64/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5rZW50bGF3LmVkdS9kZXB0cy9hbHVtcy9lbmV3cy9zdWJzY3JpYmUuaHRtbA==> .

Got this as a forward? Sign up <http://e2ma.net/map/view=Join/signupId=38203/mailingId=2397997/acctId=22728> to receive our future emails.

powered by <http://e2ma.net/userdata/images/powered/emma_grey.gif>
emma <http://www.myemma.com/poweredbyemma?utm_source=PoweredBy&utm_medium=Regular>

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 2:23 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hello/FYI/Invite

What are the hours of the Obama party on the 21st? Can I make it to Tamera's too?

----- Original Message -----
From: Luzzatto
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 13 14:16:07 2009
Subject: Re: Hello/FYI/Invite

B6

WONDERFUL (if you can and only if.....) Late 'cause of all those overly-hard working State-ites.... 7 to 9:30.

xoxo, T

In a message dated 12/13/2009 2:17:29 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, HDR22@clintonemail.com writes:

Thank you for doing the party again, and I am planning to come by before or after the Obamas' party. What are the hours for your party?

----- Original Message -----
From: Luzzatto
To: H
Cc: ValmoroLJ@state.gov <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>; abedinh@state.gov <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Dec 11 11:13:10 2009
Subject: Hello/FYI/Invite

A big, warm hello and

As you may know, I signed up for hosting another holiday party with many Senate alum suggesting the idea as a way of having a reunion and, hey, a party. We invited staff covering most of our years, and looks like a great collection will come. It'll be pot-luck so not quite as elegant as before.

SO, just in case, and I'd imagine it's not possible, it'll be Monday night, 7:00 to 9:30, December 21.

No matter what, wanted you to know we are gathering yet again with our Senator Clinton bond.

love, T

From: info@mailva.evite.com

Reply-to: info@evite.com
To: luzzatto [redacted] B6
Sent: 12/8/2009 12:01:01 A.M. Eastern Standard Time
Subj: Thanks for sending your Evite invitation

Having trouble viewing this email? Go to invitation <http://www.evite.com/pages/invite/viewInvite.jsp?inviteId=LNIJCDSWAZCPNQTWUEHF&li=iq&src=email&trk=aei6> .
Add info@mailva.evite.com to your address book to ensure that you receive Evite emails in your inbox.
http://www.evite.com/ <http://www.evite.com/>
Clinton Senate Alum Holiday Party
Hi Tamera Luzzatto,

The Evite invitation for Clinton Senate Alum Holiday Party <http://www.evite.com/pages/invite/viewInvite.jsp?inviteId=LNIJCDSWAZCPNQTWUEHF&li=iq&src=email> on Mon. Dec 21 has been sent to your guests.

VIEW YOUR INVITATION <http://www.evite.com/pages/invite/viewInvite.jsp?inviteId=LNIJCDSWAZCPNQTWUEHF&li=iq&src=email>

http://www.evite.com/pages/invite/viewInvite.jsp?event=FPWRSBEXLFZJRLUMLLVI&li=iq&src=email <http://www.evite.com/pages/invite/viewInvite.jsp?event=FPWRSBEXLFZJRLUMLLVI&li=iq&src=email>

Let Evite help you plan your event

http://blog.evite.com/ <http://blog.evite.com/> Party Blog: Find party ideas and advice for guests and hosts, served fresh daily. <http://blog.evite.com/>
http://www.evite.com/pages/party/partySupplies.jsp&src=email <http://www.evite.com/pages/party/partySupplies.jsp&src=email> Party Store: Skip a trip to the store — We have all the supplies you need for your party. <http://www.evite.com/pages/party/partySupplies.jsp&src=email>
http://www.evite.com/app/party/ideas.do <http://www.evite.com/app/party/ideas.do> Party Guides: Get tips for planning your food, decorations and more. <http://www.evite.com/app/party/ideas.do>
http://www.evite.com/app/party/calculator/view.do <http://www.evite.com/app/party/calculator/view.do> Budget Estimator: Get an idea of how much you'll need to spend on your party. <http://www.evite.com/app/party/calculator/view.do>

http://iacas.adbureau.net/adclick/host=1/category=winter_holidays/template=holidayparty/area=reminder/city_ps=washingtondc/source=evite/pageid=1615295710/zip_ps=20008/g=2/login=0/aamsz=300x250_email/site=evite.com/acc_random=2021081906 <http://iacas.adbureau.net/adclick/host=1/category=winter_holidays/template=holidayparty/area=reminder/city_ps=washingtondc/source=evite/pageid=1615295710/zip_ps=20008/g=2/login=0/aamsz=300x250_email/site=evite.com/acc_random=2021081906>

Need help? Contact us <http://evite2.custhelp.com/cgi-bin/evite2.cfg/php/enduser/ask.php?src=>
Evite respects your privacy. For more information, please review our Privacy Policy <http://www.evite.com/pages/custservice/privacy.jsp> .

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 8:13 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Schedule

RELEASE IN PART B6

Can you map out what this would look like and check w Jake again to ask what I would be doing before and after POTUS arrived.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Dec 13 08:10:31 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

I defer to Huma on Copenhagen and Lisa.
We do have a draft letter ready for Dave's service.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin < > B6
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:58:22 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Can we get Lisa's lunch rescheduled to before or after? And I'd have to do a letter for Dave's service. What are the logisitics? Are there rooms for us if we take a smaller group?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:56:27 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Thursday and Friday are very light -- essentially you would miss Lisa Caputo's lunch, Dave Stone's service on Thursday Nothing on Friday.
As for Wednesday, they are scheduling a PC from 5-6:30pm (at least we have been told to hold that time). That is the last item on your schedule that day.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin < > B6
Sent: Sun Dec 13 07:45:19 2009
Subject: Schedule

What happens to my schedule if I have to go to Copenhagen, probably Wed-Fri?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 9:14 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Connecting

Yes. Call me when you can. I'm free until 11:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 13 09:12:22 2009
Subject: Connecting

I am meeting with Raj but should be done by 11am - can you talk then?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 10:01 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

What took them so long? Did you promise your first born?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 16 21:48:05 2009
Subject: Fw: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Hold on Tom was lifted. Thanks for making the calls. Trying to get him thru as quickly as possible before another hold emerges.

From: Feehery, Kerry (LeMieux) <Kerry_Feehery@LeMieux.senate.gov>
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: Moncrief, Benjamin (LeMieux) <Benjamin_Moncrief@LeMieux.senate.gov>
Sent: Wed Dec 16 18:45:49 2009
Subject: We have informed our cloakroom that we lift the hold on Shannon nomination

Kerry Feehery

Chief of Staff

Senator George LeMieux (R-FL)

356 Russell Office Building

(202)228-4945

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2009 5:30 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Argentina

The FM just told me that Arturo had been there yesterday and had insulted their country. He was very upset and said I needed to do damage control. Can you figure out what he's talking about? Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 10:22 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: kasit can talk right now. oscar should be printing call sheet right now. ok?

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 10:13:09 2009
Subject: kasit can talk right now. oscar should be printing call sheet right now. ok?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:06 AM
To: 'sterntd@state.gov'
Subject: Onward!

Todd--

I look forward to our next steps to deliver on our accord after we both get some sleep and a few days off. Thanks for all your great work, and my very best to you, Jen and the boys for the new year. Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop@
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: any truth to this account? Sid

The writer was not only a correspondent for The Guardian there but also apparently was on the Maldives delegation putting him in various rooms. The accounts from the conference have been very fragmentary, the administration has explained very little (for whatever reasons and to its detriment), and it is being widely blamed internationally. The broader lesson I draw from Chinese disrespect for the president (and US) on his trip (I've heard accounts from journalists), its behavior at Copenhagen, mercantalist economic policy (eg, currency), and its rough use of and contempt for international organizations, not to mention the strange form of Chinese neo-colonialism in Africa, is the need for policy review. Fear of Chinese retribution (economy, Iran, etc) can't be allowed to paralyze reassessment, though it should be factored into scenarios. The Europeans are being damaged worse by Chinese economic policy than us and China policy is a new area for the Western alliance to consult on. Leaving it to Treasury apparently parks policy in a laissez faire twilight zone. Treasury has been as effective on China as it has been on AIG. Just thoughts of an observer.

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'sbwhoeop@
Sent: Wed, Dec 23, 2009 1:24 pm
Subject: Re: any truth to this account? Sid

Who wrote this? It has some aspects right of the 48 hours I was there but not

others.

----- Original Message -----

From: sbwhoeop@

To: H

Sent: Tue Dec 22 19:28:28 2009

Subject: any truth to this account? Sid

H: Is this account true? If so, significant, and the only account of its kind.

And, if true, the USG has not told its story, at the least. Sid

<http://hits.gureport.co.uk/HG?hc=we39&cd=1&hv=5&ce=u&hb=DM56062893FS;DM54102495BW&n={article}{How+do+I+know+China+wrecked+the+Copenhagen+deal?+I+was+in+the+room;{p1322475}&vcon=/GC/Environment/Copennagen+climate+change+conference+2009&seg=&cmp=&gp=&fnl=&pec=&dcmp=&r

a=&gn=&cv=&ld=&la=&customerid=(none;&c1=usa&c2=(none)&c3=The+Guardian&c4=Copenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009+(environment),Climate+change+(Environment),China+(News),Carbon+emissions+(Environment),Activism+(Environment),World+news,Environment&c5=&c6=Mark+Lynas&c7=2009_12_22>

<http://hits.guardian.co.uk/b/ss/guardiangu-environment,guardiangu-network,guardiandev2/1/H.20.3/16709?ns=guardian&pageName=How+do+I+know+China+wrecked+the+Copenhagen+deal%3F+I+was+in+the+room+%7C+Mar%3AArticle%3A1322475&ch=Environment&c3=Guardian&c4=Copenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009+%28environment%29%2CClimate+change+%28Environment%29%2CChina+%28News%29%2CCarbon+emissions+%28Environment%29%2CActivism+%28Environment%29%2CWorld+news%2CEnvironment&c6=Mark+Lynas&c7=09-Dec-22&c8=1322475&c9=Article&c10=Comment&c11=Environment&c13=&c25=&c30=content&h2=GU%2FEnvironment%2FCopenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009&c2=GUID:(none)>

<http://ad.doubleclick.net/click%3Bh=v8/390c/3/0/%2a/l%3B219948678%3B3-0%3B0%3B31962876%3B3454-728/90%3B33928257/33946135/1%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%7Eaopt%3D0/ff/e5/ff%3B%7Effdr%3D218714607%3B0-0%3B0%3B24869226%3B3454-728/90%3B33577843/33595721/1%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%7Eokv%3D%3Bnet%3Dcm%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%3Bord1%3D540717%3Bsz%3D728x90%3Bcontx%3Dpolit%3Bbtg%3Dcm.aw_ga%3Bbtg%3Dcm.weath_L%3Bbtg%3Dcm.polit_L%3B%7Eaopt%3D3/1/e5/0%3B%7Essss%3D%3fhttp://promo.verizon.com/FIOS3X/Promo5/HZ1/?CMP=BAC-MXT092>

clear pixel
<http://log7.doubleverify.com/visitor.aspx?query=agnc%3D2052729%26cmp%3D3320400%26crt%3D3392325%26crtname%3D%26adnet%3D%26dvtagver%3D3.3.1346.2176%26adsrv%3D1%26plc%3D31962876%26advid%3D2052729%26sid%3D571196%26adid%3D219948678&srcurl=http%3A//www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2009/dec/22/copenhagen-climate-change-mark-lynas/print&num=7&random=0.5345776391651716>

http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type%3dclick%26FlightID%3d104670%26AdID%3d120946%26TargetID%3d23835%26Values%3d30,46,50,60,72,83,93,100,110,150,466,570,907,913,926,1283,1335,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1748,1749,1794,1837,1866,1932,2018,2362,2432,2467,2476,2747,2844,3008,3184,3281,3282,3311,3348,3756,3964,4283,4334,4371,4691,4822,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5825,5897,6048,6051,6128,6129,6178,6238,6269,6403%26Redirect%3d

<http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type=click&FlightID=104670&AdID=120946&TargetID=23835&Values=30,46,50,60,72,83,93,100,110,150,466,570,907,913,926,1283,1335,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1748,1749,1794,1837,1866,1932,2018,2362,2432,2467,2476,2747,2844,3008,3184,3281,3282,3311,3348,3756,3964,4283,4334,4371,4691,4822,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5825,5897,6048,6051,6128,6129,6178,6238,6269,6403&Redirect=http://a.collective-media.net/jump/cm.guardian/;sz=728x90;ord=bNfKkxc,bftcyxbeipkyl?>

guardian.co.uk home <http://www.guardian.co.uk>

How do I know China wrecked the Copenhagen deal? I was in the room

As recriminations fly post-Copenhagen, one writer offers a fly-on-the-wall account of how talks failed

* * Mark Lynas <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/marklynas>

* guardian.co.uk <http://www.guardian.co.uk> , Tuesday 22 December 2009 19.54 GMT

Copenhagen was a disaster. That much is agreed. But the truth about what actually happened is in danger of being lost amid the spin and inevitable mutual recriminations. The truth is this: China <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/china> wrecked the talks, intentionally humiliated Barack Obama, and insisted on an awful "deal" so western leaders would walk away carrying the blame. How do I know this? Because I was in the room and saw it happen.

China's strategy was simple: block the open negotiations for two weeks, and then ensure that the closed-door deal made it look as if the west had failed the world's poor once again. And sure enough, the aid agencies, civil society movements and environmental groups all took the bait. The failure was "the inevitable result of rich countries refusing adequately and fairly to shoulder their overwhelming responsibility", said Christian Aid. "Rich countries have bullied developing nations," fumed Friends of the Earth International.

All very predictable, but the complete opposite of the truth. Even George Monbiot, writing in yesterday's Guardian, made the mistake of singly blaming Obama. But I saw Obama fighting desperately to salvage a deal, and the Chinese delegate saying "no", over and over again. Monbiot even approvingly quoted the Sudanese delegate Lumumba Di-Aping, who denounced the Copenhagen accord as "a suicide pact, an incineration pact, in order to maintain the economic dominance of a few countries".

Sudan behaves at the talks as a puppet of China; one of a number of countries that relieves the Chinese delegation of having to fight its battles in open sessions. It was a perfect stitch-up. China gutted the deal behind the scenes, and then left its proxies to savage it in public.

Here's what actually went on late last Friday night, as heads of state from two dozen countries met behind closed doors. Obama was at the table for several hours, sitting between Gordon Brown and the Ethiopian prime minister, Meles Zenawi. The Danish prime minister chaired, and on his right sat Ban Ki-moon, secretary-general of the UN. Probably only about 50 or 60 people, including the heads of state, were in the room. I was attached to one of the delegations, whose head of state was also present for most of the time.

What I saw was profoundly shocking. The Chinese premier, Wen Jinbao, did not deign to attend the meetings personally, instead sending a second-tier official in the country's foreign ministry to sit opposite Obama himself. The diplomatic snub was obvious and brutal, as was the practical implication: several times during the session, the world's most powerful heads of state were forced to wait around as the Chinese delegate went off to make telephone calls to his "superiors".

Shifting the blame

To those who would blame Obama and rich countries in general, know this: it was China's representative who insisted that industrialised country targets, previously agreed as an 80% cut by 2050, be taken out of the deal. "Why can't we even mention our own targets?" demanded a furious Angela Merkel. Australia's prime minister, Kevin Rudd, was annoyed enough to bang his microphone. Brazil's representative too pointed out the illogicality of China's position. Why should rich countries not announce even this unilateral cut? The Chinese delegate said no, and I watched, aghast, as Merkel threw up her hands in despair and conceded the point. Now we know why - because China bet, correctly, that Obama would get the blame for the Copenhagen accord's lack of ambition.

China, backed at times by India, then proceeded to take out all the numbers that mattered. A 2020 peaking year in global emissions, essential to restrain

temperatures to 2C, was removed and replaced by woolly language suggesting that emissions should peak "as soon as possible". The long-term target, of global 50% cuts by 2050, was also excised. No one else, perhaps with the exceptions of India and Saudi Arabia, wanted this to happen. I am certain that had the Chinese not been in the room, we would have left Copenhagen with a deal that had environmentalists popping champagne corks popping in every corner of the world.

Strong position

So how did China manage to pull off this coup? First, it was in an extremely strong negotiating position. China didn't need a deal. As one developing country foreign minister said to me: "The Athenians had nothing to offer to the Spartans." On the other hand, western leaders in particular - but also presidents Lula of Brazil, Zuma of South Africa, Calderón of Mexico and many others - were desperate for a positive outcome. Obama needed a strong deal perhaps more than anyone. The US had confirmed the offer of $100bn to developing countries for adaptation, put serious cuts on the table for the first time (17% below 2005 levels by 2020), and was obviously prepared to up its offer.

Above all, Obama needed to be able to demonstrate to the Senate that he could deliver China in any global climate regulation framework, so conservative senators could not argue that US carbon cuts would further advantage Chinese industry. With midterm elections looming, Obama and his staff also knew that Copenhagen would be probably their only opportunity to go to climate change <http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/climate-change> talks with a strong mandate. This further strengthened China's negotiating hand, as did the complete lack of civil society political pressure on either China or India. Campaign groups never blame developing countries for failure; this is an iron rule that is never broken. The Indians, in particular, have become past masters at co-opting the language of equity ("equal rights to the atmosphere") in the service of planetary suicide - and leftish campaigners and commentators are hoist with their own petard.

With the deal gutted, the heads of state session concluded with a final battle as the Chinese delegate insisted on removing the 1.5C target so beloved of the

small island states and low-lying nations who have most to lose from rising seas. President Nasheed of the Maldives, supported by Brown, fought valiantly to save this crucial number. "How can you ask my country to go extinct?" demanded Nasheed. The Chinese delegate feigned great offence - and the number stayed, but surrounded by language which makes it all but meaningless. The deed was done.

China's game

All this raises the question: what is China's game? Why did China, in the words of a UK-based analyst who also spent hours in heads of state meetings, "not only reject targets for itself, but also refuse to allow any other country to take on binding targets?" The analyst, who has attended climate conferences for more than 15 years, concludes that China wants to weaken the climate regulation regime now "in order to avoid the risk that it might be called on to be more ambitious in a few years' time".

This does not mean China is not serious about global warming. It is strong in both the wind and solar industries. But China's growth, and growing global political and economic dominance, is based largely on cheap coal. China knows it is becoming an uncontested superpower; indeed its newfound muscular confidence was on striking display in Copenhagen. Its coal-based economy doubles every decade, and its power increases commensurately. Its leadership will not alter this magic formula unless they absolutely have to.

Copenhagen was much worse than just another bad deal, because it illustrated a profound shift in global geopolitics. This is fast becoming China's century, yet its leadership has displayed that multilateral environmental governance is not only not a priority, but is viewed as a hindrance to the new superpower's freedom of action. I left Copenhagen more despondent than I have felt in a long time. After all the hope and all the hype, the mobilisation of thousands, a wave of optimism crashed against the rock of global power politics, fell back, and drained away.

<http://pix01.revsci.net/E05516.a3/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/noscript.gif>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 4:29 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ ; 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: When can you talk?

I am free for the next hour.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 5:28 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: London Conference

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 17:21:24 2009
Subject: Re: London Conference

I do believe he should be accompanied. Let me think about who would be best.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Dec 23 17:05:04 2009
Subject: London Conference

Holbrooke just told me he was going to London on 1/6 w Lute, Liz Sherwood-Randall and, maybe, Michele Flournoy. Do you think Phil Gordon and Jack or you should go?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:08 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 28, 2009 12:04 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Karzai call?

What, if anything, was decided about whether I should call him? The email traffic I read has Holbrooke saying "yes" and Frank R disagreeing. Can you update pls.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 11:41 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. Let's do him, Mcguinness and Gates and F-Fasi. Probably from the office to ensure a good connection.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 11:20:44 2009
Subject:

Robinson can talk at 4pm today
Do u want to confirm?
Ill get you revised paper

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 9:56 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Response in posner's name

Ok in about ten minutes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 21:54:01 2009
Subject: RE: Response in posner's name

Call me on [redacted] B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 9:54 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Response in posner's name

Ok w me. Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 21:20:16 2009
Subject: FW: Response in posner's name

This is the close to final that will go in Posner's rather than your name.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 5:23 PM
To: Baer, Daniel B; Posner, Michael H; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Reines, Philippe I; Crowley, Philip J; Schwerin, Daniel B
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Response in posner's name

My edits in caps below.

The Post's Dec 27 editorial ("Redefining Human Rights") on the Obama Administration's human rights and democracy policies, and Secretary Clinton's recent speech at Georgetown in particular, misses THE CRITICAL POINT: human rights, democracy, and development are mutually reinforcing, not competing goals. They complement each other in the [DELETE: practical] task of making human rights a human reality.

President Roosevelt understood the fundamental link between liberty, prosperity and security, tying together freedom of speech and worship with freedom from want and freedom from fear in his famous "Four Freedoms" speech. At Georgetown, Secretary Clinton articulated a 21st century vision grounded in this [DELETE: old] wisdom.

To accuse Secretary Clinton of embracing a Soviet-bloc approach to human rights because she includes development in a discussion of democracy not only strains the bonds of credulity and common sense, it also walls off a crucial avenue for ensuring that people can actually exercise the rights that are naturally theirs - including the rights to freedom of religion, association, and speech-in their daily lives.

AS SECRETARY CLINTON MADE CLEAR, policies that address development alone are not adequate: "Freedom doesn't come in half measures, and partial remedies will not solve the whole problem," she said. But experience shows us that where broad-based development occurs, people are more likely to demand a say in securing their futures, and governments are more likely to have the resources to maintain the strong institutions, including reliable police and fair courts, that help ensure the consistent protection of rights. Where people are given the right to speak freely, to participate in their nation's political process and have access to basic information about government, sustainable development is [DELETE: much] more likely to occur. At the same time, democracies without development do not last. These are mutually reinforcing tracks, and the Obama Administration is committed to pursuing both simultaneously.

As President Obama said in his Nobel lecure, "engagement with repressive regimes lacks the satisfying purity of indignation." But the Post's overheated outrage ignores the [DELETE: practical] lessons learned by generations of men and women who worked to advance human rights around the world.

[DELETE: And t]To suggest that Secretary Clinton somehow provided excuses to rights-abusing dictators in a speech in which she forcefully critized specific abuses across the world, is simply not credible. Far from being an excuse for any regime, this is an approach that pushes governments to do more to advance civil and political rights and to ensure that democracy actually delivers a measure of prosperity and opportunity for all. It's the right approach for 21st century.

###

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:56 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

This looks fine and makes me sound like a techie (which is good, albeit a stretch).

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 22:28:38 2009
Subject: FW: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

HRC:

This is an early preview of the speech (which is still in progress).

Let me know what you think conceptually after you read.

Cdm

SPEECH COVER PAGE

INFORMATION FREEDOM SPEECH

Lead Writer: Tomicah Tillemann


Phone: 7-9842 / [redacted] B6

Email: tillemannts@state.gov

VETTERS: PLEASE INITIAL HERE _____

SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON ADDRESS ON INTERNET FREEDOM THE NEWSEUM, WASHINGTON, DC JANUARY 6, 2009

B5



B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

From: Tillemann, Tomicah S
Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 2:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Toiv, Nora F; Sullivan, Jacob J; Ross, Alec J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Crowley, Philip J
Cc: Muscatine, Lissa; S_SpecialAssistants
Subject: Fw: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

Folks,

I've attached the latest draft of the internet freedom speech. It should be ready for the Secretary. This version addresses issues raised in the last draft with three exceptions:

B5

Please let me know if any of you have further questions or comments.

Thanks,
Tomicah

From: Tomicah Tillemann [redacted] B6
To: Tillemann, Tomicah S
Sent: Mon Dec 21 14:17:45 2009
Subject: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2009 10:05 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Bullets on other potential Jan 21st Internet Freedom activities

Let's lock it in asap. Thx.

I am hoping to see draft of development speech today?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 30 09:57:58 2009
Subject: FW: Bullets on other potential Jan 21st Internet Freedom activities

HRC:

Jan 21 is talismanic b/c it is before SOTU

B5

Below are other activities around the speech Alec and Co. would try to orchestrate. Given the scope, locking in the date is meaningful to do this well.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2009 9:52 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Laszczych, Joanne
Subject: Bullets on other potential Jan 21st Internet Freedom activities

- Bloggers roundtable
- Launch of 2 Innovation competitions (one for literacy, one for translation)
- Panel on technology in development (Raj and I would orchestrate)
- Release Internet Freedom Video

- Hill visits by formerly jailed bloggers and Internet Freedom activists who are validators of S/DoS

- S meeting with leaders of 21st century movements (e.g. the Columbian who started Million Voices against FARC, the woman who started the "Twitter Revolution" in Moldova, the Turkish woman who started Young Civilians, an online anti-sectarian group)

- Viewing parties at Internet cafes around globe

Alec Ross

Senior Advisor for Innovation

Office of the Secretary of State

(202) 647-6315

RossAJ@State.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 3:53 PM
To: 'hormatsrd@state.gov'; 'KellyC@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Call with Sen. Lautenberg

I spoke w the Senator who is not ready to lift his hold yet. He spoke w the Charge in the Brazilian Embassy who told him there was a procedure in the Brazilian courts that could be used to give Mr. Goldman custody now and during the remaining time of the court proceedings.

Craig, can you find out what the Brazilians meant by that and if their govt is pursuing it?

Bob, can you and USTR contact your Brazilian counterparts to explain how important the Goldman case is to the Senator who can't understand how the father wins every case but never gets his son back and he has run out of patience and is willing to hold this critical bill.

Let me know what you find out. Thanks.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 5:25 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills@ B6
Subject: I'm up if you can call. Let me know.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 10:23 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: kasit call is coming through shortly, oscar has call sheet

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Oscar Flores
Sent: Sun Dec 20 10:21:32 2009
Subject: kasit call is coming through shortly, oscar has call sheet

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 5:08 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

What does that mean for our schedules?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:05:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Dec 20 16:52:37 2009
Subject: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

(U) Non-emergency employees (including employees on pre-approved leave) will be granted excused absence for the number of hours they were scheduled to work. Emergency employees are expected to report for work on time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I thought it was supposed to be off hook to work?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:38:52 2009
Subject: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:24 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I just got them.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:23:25 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Will make sure he send to right place

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:21:59 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I'm waiting for Jack's talking points which I have to have before the call. Can you make sure he emails them to me at this address and copies Cheryl. If he has a fax, that's ok to do in addition.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:19:14 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Reached out.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:12:59 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Yes--but it may be too late tonight so also ask about tomorrow. It's about the budget and the OMB are anxious to close so I need to reach him in the next 12 hours since Jack just got the final word.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:11:23 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I haven't yet.
Wouldn't have done that without your clearance but I can do so right now if you are ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:01:27 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Jack said you had told him I could call the POTUS tonight about the budget. Did you reach out to the White House about the call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 21:41:31 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Ok calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 20:12:54 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I could do at 9:15 or 9:30 if that's ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 18:45:26 2009
Subject: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 1:33 PM
To: 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Re: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

Thank you for all of your great wprk this year!! And Merry Merry Christmas. See you in 2010.

----- Original Message -----
From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Dec 24 13:31:50 2009
Subject: Re: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

I worked with Huma and the notes have been placed in the mail system at State. Merry Christmas!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Russo, Robert V; Huma Abedin < [redacted] > B6
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:07:49 2009
Subject: Re: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

I'm forwarding to Rob and Huma to see if we can get letters done this am--typed is fine.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:54:16 2009
Subject: Fw: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

Fyi - we should do handwritten notes to them

From: Jacobs, Janice L
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:20:38 2009
Subject: FW: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

I thought I would share this heartfelt message from Patricia Lamego de Teixeira Soares, the head of Brazil's Central Authority, the office responsible for Hague Convention abduction cases. You will see that in spite of our efforts to protect the son, the Brazilian family chose to make a spectacle outside the Consulate this morning by parading Sean through a crowd. Ms. Lamego recently visited the U.S. where she met with members of Congress and others to explain all that the Brazilian Central Authority was doing to reunite Sean and his father under the Hague Convention. The delays we saw in this case were largely the result of a wealthy, well connected family able to influence court decisions.

We are all relieved and thrilled to see this long overdue but very happy ending. I should also highlight the amazing work done by US Mission Brazil. The consular staff, Charge, Acting DCM (Marie Damour, the Consul General in Brasilia), LegATT, RSO, and a host of others all played a role in this successful return. My OCS DAS, Michele Bond, and her dedicated team also played a big role in communicating with David, his lawyers, Congress, and the press. We had Members of Congress, former Assistant Secretaries and US Ambassadors all pitching in. While there were disagreements at times over tactics, we all agreed that we were working towards the same goal: the safe return of Sean.

We particularly appreciate the strong support from the Secretary. Many people will try to take credit for today's happy reunion but it was the Secretary's continued concern and interest in this case that made the difference. We could not have provided a better gift to David Goldman during this season of giving. Janice

From: Bond, Michele T
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 7:56 AM
To: Jacobs, Janice L
Subject: FW: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE
Importance: High

Here is the message from Patricia Lamego. Heartfelt.

B6

From: Patricia Lamego de Teixeira Soares
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 6:56 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; Cardiel, Daisy; Damour, Marie C; Weinz, Joanna; Mazin, Tanya; 'peter.quilter@mail.house.gov'; 'janice.kaguyutan@mail.house.gov'; 'Noonan, Mary McDermott'; Hickey, Mary E; 'Ricardo Zamariola Junior'
Cc: Lalisa Froeder Dittrich; Stella Freitas Chamarelli; Juliana Paes De Castro; Laila Kesya Tavares De Lima
Subject: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE
Importance: High

Dear All,

The Goldman case finally came to an end, and despite the efforts of all involved the hand over of the child to the US Consulate in Rio was transformed into a deplorable display of the child walking in the streets with the maternal family, being exposed to the media and to the public that surrounded the consulate.

I'm sorry this had to happen but it seems that Mr. Sergio Tostes, the maternal family's attorney, did not feel at all embarrased to use and expose the child, who was probably under severe stress, in order to gather support for his position. This most despicable man is not accepting the fact that, despite his life long relationships with influential authorities in Brazil, he was unable to win the case and Sean is finally being reunited with his only real father.

I just hope that David and the Goldman family find enough time to recover their lives and their relationship with Sean. They need time more than anything else and now it is up to the US government to guarantee that David Goldman will not have to face the maternal family once again in the US courts where, according to Mr. Tostes last declaration to the Brazilian press, he will "fight to defend the child".

Please allow David Goldman to live in peace with his son and have the life that he deserves after fighting so much for so many years.

In my name and in the name of all the staff of the Brazilian Central Authority I wish David, Sean, and their family all the best and a most happy life once they are finally back in the United States.

And to all of you who worked so much in support of this case, our deepest gratitude. We wish you all a Merry Christmas and a very Happy New Year.

Best regards,

Patricia Lamego T. Soares

Coordinator

Autoridade Central Administrativa Federal

Secretaria Especial dos Direitos Humanos - PR

Ministério da Justiça, Ed. Sede, Sala 212

Cep. 70064-900 Brasília -DF

Tel: (61) 2025-3481 / Fax: (61) 2025-3261

www.presidencia .gov.br/sedh

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 28, 2009 10:59 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ ; 'PosnerMH@state.gov'; 'daniel.baer@ B6
'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines@
Subject: WPost editorial

I thought the argument they made yesterday about the human rights speech was either deliberately obtuse or clueless. Either way, we need to respond and refute. Can you have a response drafted and sent to me? Also, I'd like to see a summary of points made by other commentary. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 12:16 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Year end report

I feel a little guilty asking about the report but could we get an outline by the weekend?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 3:46 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ ; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Fw: FYI: . Sid

What's the story on this?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop@ <sbwhoeop@ B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 15:41:38 2009
Subject: FYI: .. Sid

H: tells me he has been tentatively offered a position, Jake Sullivan has encouraged him, but that somehow the whole thing is tangled up and he hasn't heard for weeks. In the new year, can this get untangled? Thanks. Sid

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 11:03 PM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'; 'slaughtera@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'cheryl.mills@[redacted]' 'SteinbergJB@state.gov' B6
Subject: Asian architecture speech

Are we still planning for me to deliver a speech laying out our strategic overview of how we see Asia organized and the US role in the 21st century in Hawaii on 1/12 on way to Australia and NZ? If so, is there an outline? Will we meet to discuss early next week?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2009 8:30 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Newseum

That's great.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 31 03:00:00 2009
Subject: Fw: Newseum

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Ross, Alec J
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Chitre, Nanda S; Dowd, Katie W
Sent: Wed Dec 30 19:02:56 2009
Subject: Newseum

I spoke w Chairman of the Newseum and there will be no cost for S speech on 21st. They're honored to host. The Chair is the CEO of the Knight Foundation and if the event requires sponsorship for it to be free they will sponsor. Whatever our legal regs are, Newseum and/or Knight will accommodate.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 3:04 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Japanese ambassador

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 15:02:18 2009
Subject: Japanese ambassador

Kurt campbell is asking if you would do a quick pull aside with Japanese Ambassador Fujisaki tomorrow. Kurt would do meeting, and would bring him by for a few minutes. Pls let me know what you think.
Points he sent:

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 6:29 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

What about Judith McHale and Kurt and everyone who asked to see me? I have to come anyway.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:46:49 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Folks won't be in

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:07:34 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

What does that mean for our schedules?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:05:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Dec 20 16:52:37 2009
Subject: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

(U) Non-emergency employees (including employees on pre-approved leave) will be granted excused absence for the number of hours they were scheduled to work. Emergency employees are expected to report for work on time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 7:26 AM
To: 'capriciamarshall@ B6
Subject:

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 8:04 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

Let's discuss.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 07:56:10 2009
Subject: RE: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

I think I would want someone higher profile.

If she is doing this, it's likely as a response to incoming rather than as an affirmative agenda.

Not sure how I got to be the person pushing all things in this area -- think from the earlier efforts on family benefits but as general matter - we have a reactive mechanism right now (to others, to me sending emails re Uganda (and now Rwanda is doing same kind of anti-gay law)) etc.

This would be someone who's portfolio would be an affirmative agenda.
cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 7:54 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US B6

Mira patel in sp told me she is already starting to do this. Do you want someone in drl.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 07:50:48 2009
Subject: FW: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

I think this is a good idea – what do you think?

cdm

From: Richard Socarides
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 7:50 AM

To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

There is a lot of appreciation for everything the Dept has done around this so far and I think you could really build on it by putting someone there in charge of international LGBT human rights issues.

Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

Nico Colombant | Washington 21 December 2009

Photo: AP

Evangelical pastor Rick Warren, 23 Jun 2009

Anti-gay laws sweeping through East Africa have sparked a debate in the United States about the possible influence of American evangelical leaders and lawmakers with strong ties in that region. U.S. activists are also shedding new light on the risks that gays face in East Africa.

In his book called The Family, American journalist Jeff Sharlet criticizes a group of influential American Christian leaders and conservative lawmakers with close ties to politicians in East Africa.

He accuses them of treating some of the countries they deal with as social and political experiments.

"It has become sort of a Frankenstein's monster and is the family going to take responsibility for the empowerment they have given to some of these politicians?," asked Jeff Sharlet.

In his book, Sharlet specifically refers to politicians in Uganda proposing an anti-gay law that would criminalize homosexuality. The law, which could be passed within weeks despite international condemnation, includes possible punishment by death.

Anti-gay laws were recently passed in Burundi, are being discussed in Rwanda, and could soon be expanded in Kenya and Tanzania.

The American group Sharlet calls The Family is also known as The Fellowship. It organizes an annual National Prayer Breakfast with the U.S. president and foreign guests, but besides that activity shuns publicity and does not openly list its members.

But Sharlet says more American reporters are looking into the group and its alleged ties to Africa. These include helping African politicians with money so they can push socially conservative agendas.

"We see reporters in Iowa, in Pennsylvania, and in Oklahoma, going to their elected representatives who have a link to this whole thing and saying 'tell us about the link'," he said. "They are not accusing them of anything, they are saying, 'You have a relationship with the Ugandan government, what is the nature of that relationship? And, are you going to use that influence to oppose this legislation that seems to be supported by some of your allies?'"

Religious leaders like Rick Warren have also been under the microscope. He is the senior pastor of the California-based Saddleback church, which is active in both Uganda and Rwanda.

After being questioned about his role by U.S. media, Warren issued a video message on the Internet, denying his support for the law in Uganda.

"As an American pastor, it is not my role to interfere with the politics of other nations, but it is my role to speak out on moral issues and it is my role to shepherd other pastors who look to me for guidance, and it is my role to correct lies and errors and false reports when others associate my name with a law that I had nothing to do with, [and which] I completely oppose and I vigorously condemn," said Rick Warren. "I am referring to the pending law under consideration by the Ugandan parliament, known as the Anti-Homosexuality Bill."

Rwanda's parliament is also working to pass a law which would criminalize homosexuality but Warren has yet to address his church's role in that matter. In 2005, he called Rwanda a "purpose driven nation." Warren went with dozens of American evangelicals to hold meetings with government ministers, lawmakers and donate materials to churches across Rwanda.

Several American lawmakers who have been linked to the Fellowship, like Nevada Senator John Ensign have called the Ugandan law proposal "outrageous". But others like Kansas Senator Sam Brownback, who went to Uganda in 2004, have said they do not know enough about the bill to comment.

The executive director of the U.S.-based International Gay and Lesbian Human Rights Commission, Cary Alan Johnson, welcomes some of the questions now being asked.

"It is a debate that has to happen and we are glad it is happening," said Cary Alan Johnson. "Rwanda and Uganda are both countries with deeply spiritual people and as of late we have understood that some of the more conservative elements in the United States have been funding the most violent and homophobic discourses about homosexuality."

Johnson says those seeking to push an agenda should understand the consequences of their actions. He warns anti-gay laws could worsen already high HIV levels in east Africa.

"The impact of these laws on HIV prevention is extremely worrisome to us," he said. "Laws we are talking about in Uganda, Rwanda, will make it impossible for groups to do HIV education that targets men who have sex with men or that targets bisexual people and we know that there is a strong impact of HIV on this community and on society in general."

Lisa Laurel Weinberg, from the Massachusetts Lutheran Social Services Human Rights Protection Project, says the situation is already very difficult. In her other job as an asylum attorney, she recently helped a young Ugandan woman win asylum to the United States. The woman's apartment had been raided by police while she was inside with her girlfriend.

"The police broke in and brought them to the police station and raped them in what is called a corrective rape," said Lisa Laurel Weinberg. "It is when males of authority try and correct lesbianism through rape."

But Weinberg warns trying to fight the new laws from the outside is very complicated.

"I got an e-mail yesterday that said be really careful and check with Ugandans before you do any advocacy from the West, because us imposing our values, they are really sensitive to the whole colonialism history, so that the email cautioned be careful what you do and let it come from them," she said.

Some prominent politicians and journalists in East Africa have called homosexuality the "white man's disease." Pro-gay groups in the region, which are mostly underground, say they are becoming increasingly afraid to ask for help, and believe outside interference could worsen the persecution they face.

Find this article at:
http://www1.voanews.com/english/news/human-rights/Africas-Anti-Gay-Laws-Spark-Accusations-and-Denials-in-US-79853182.html

--
Richard Socarides, Esq.
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'balderstonkm@state.gov'
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Father Beirne

When he comes in January let's have him for lunch w a small group including Mark Landler.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 12:27 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Latest on Goldman Decision

When is Steinberg call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 12:18:11 2009
Subject: FW: Latest on Goldman Decision

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 11:58 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Kennedy, Patrick F; Crowley, Philip J; Verma, Richard R; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Latest on Goldman Decision

fyi

From: Slattery, Phillip T
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 11:54 AM
To: Macris, Gregory P; SES_DutyDeputies; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: SES-O_SWO-Only; SES-O_Shift-II; SES-O_Shift-I; Bitter, Rena; Sullivan, Stephanie S
Subject: RE: Latest on Goldman Decision

Dan –

I just spoke with Marie Damour, CG at Embassy Brasilia, who provided an update on the Goldman case.

A scheduled 1100 EST press conference by the attorney for the Brazilian family did not take place. Damour reports the attorneys from both sides are still negotiating the details of the handover, as is the attorney for the Government of Brasil. According to the 2nd Regional Federal Court, the deadline for the turnover at the Consulate falls at 0600 local on 12/24. However, there may be a push to turn Sean over to the consulate today in order to permit him to travel to the U.S. on an overnight flight.

Damour will continue to update as events progress.

-Phill

From: Macris, Gregory P
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 7:21 AM
To: SES_DutyDeputies; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: SES-O_SWO-Only; SES-O_Shift-II; SES-O_Shift-I; Bitter, Rena; Sullivan, Stephanie S
Subject: Latest on Goldman Decision

Dan,

I just got off the phone with Embassy Brasilia Charge Lisa Kubiske, who provided a recap of current developments in the Goldman child custody case. Summarizing:

-- David Goldman's Brazilian lawyer and the family's attorney are currently attempting to negotiate an "voluntary return."

--If those talks fail, Goldman will request the Brazilian police execute the involuntary return ordered yesterday by a Brazilian judge. This outcome would create a negative PR climate.

--Brazilian police "think" they know the boy's whereabouts.

--Return to US likely will occur on an NBC News-chartered aircraft, as Goldman has inked some sort of exclusive deal w/ NBC for the story.

--Post has been working with WHA and PD on a possible S statement.

Craig Kelly just called in for the latest developments, which I passed. gm

From: Macris, Gregory P
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 6:43 AM
To: Damour, Marie C
Cc: SES-O_SWO-Only
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 01:30 local time - NO RETURN TONIGHT

Ms. Damour,

Do you have any updated information to report? As you could imagine, there is significant high-level interest in the Department on the Goldman case, and I am hoping to brief the Executive Secretary with the latest developments at 0745 EST.

Thanks.

Gregory Macris

Senior Watch Officer

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:39 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 01:30 local time - NO RETURN TONIGHT
Importance: High

I have just heard from the team in Rio that there will be no return enforcement this evening:

- Tomorrow morning between 8-9, David Goldman's Brazilian attorney will go to the court to obtain the warrant enforcing the return of Sean Goldman to the U.S. He will wait there pending coordination with relevant police and judicial authorities to ensure that everything is ready, and they will depart for the family compound to take custody of Sean.

- Consulate General Rio will have cars ready at 9am for transport, and the team will be ready at the airport to facilitate departure.

· David's attorney has spoken to judicial authorities and believes that the motions filed at STJ will not be accepted by the court, so will not interfere with the return. This does not preclude some last minute legal maneuvers, but he seems confident that the decision by STF will effectively cut off other avenues. We will advise asap if this changes.

B6

Blackberry service does appear to be down, so if there are any questions tonight, please contact me directly on my cell at [redacted] as I am getting offline in approximately five mins. We are 3 hours ahead of DC time at the moment, but please do not hesitate to call.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:03 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@[redacted] Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 00:45 local time

Given the hour here, I am less optimistic that we will pull off a return tonight, but we are still working to that end.

· The Brazilian Central Authority confirms that the office of Regional Federal Court President Paulo Espirito Santo has received the decision of STF President Gilmar Mendes. It is Paulo E-S who will be responsible for enforcing the decision from Mendes, i.e. the return of Sean Goldman to the U.S.

· BCA has promised to reinforce for Interpol the urgent necessity of police in Rio monitoring the location of Sean Goldman. Embassy LEGAT office is double-tracking. We cannot, of course, ask them to enforce anything until the proper judicial authority has sent them instructions, but we strongly urge them to be prepared.

· BCA has turned over operational jurisdiction to Daniel Levy, from the AGU's office in Rio, to coordinate with David Goldman's attorney and with the court in Rio. I have asked David's attorney to try and get some understanding from Mr. Levy as to the timeline we can expect to see in this case.

What remains unclear:

· We do not know if the judge from the Regional Federal Court intends to issue an execution order from his home tonight at this late hour, or if he will wait until opening of business tomorrow morning. Note that OOB here generally means 10am for a court. This only underscores the need to ensure that there is sufficient monitoring of Sean's whereabouts.

· We do not know how Judge Paulo E-S will interpret an "immediate" return order – it could mean right this instant, or it could mean some brief period of time where the family is ordered/encouraged to turn Sean over at the Consulate or some other site.

- We are working to obtain some sense of whether this is likely to happen tonight, or if we are better served getting some rest now and starting first thing OOB which for us means 7-8am.

- We have not had confirmation regarding the resolution of the motions in STJ, but frankly, I don't foresee receiving an answer on that before mid-day Wednesday.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 11:05 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R
Subject: Goldman Decision - update as of 22:50

PLEASE NOTE – I am aware of the intense interest back in DC about this case and will be passing information just as soon as I receive it. This means that in most cases, I will not be able to confirm the information. Will try to identify credible sources vice what rumor/press/others are saying.

Brazilian Central Authority (BCA) coordinating with David's attorney and the Federal attorneys in Rio to ensure that the President of the Regional Federal Court in Rio has a copy of the STF order and is submitting the official request to federal police to enforce. BCA says they still believe they can effect the turnover tonight and are at their office working to that end. If/when the Federal Police enforce, their stated preference is to go to the airport and effect the handover there. This is a scenario we've planned for.

BCA has mentioned the STJ motions filed by the Brazilian family as a potential obstacle, but thru their contacts in Mendes' office at STF, have heard that Mendes intends to reach out to the reporting judge at STJ to resolve that issue. As mentioned in an earlier update today, we have not had any confirmation that the STJ judge has accepted to hear the motions filed by the Brazilian family, and has the option to decline to hear them.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:41 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision

If the family sees the writing on the wall (frankly unlikely at this point) and agrees to abide by the order of immediate return, then in theory the handover would happen at the consulate and there is a plan in place for that.

Plans in motion to prevent them slipping away and Patricia Lamego is working with the police as we speak. Federal Police repeatedly assured us they were prepped and ready to move but could take no action absent an order. They know now there is one, so BCA will get them moving.

If the handover occurred tonight, I'm told we need 3 hours to get the plane off the ground, so overnight in the hotel.

From: Bond, Michele T
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:30 PM
To: Damour, Marie C; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K B6
Cc: SES-O_SWO; Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision

Marie, if there is a handover tonight: that would be at the Consulate in Rio, right? Grandparents would bring Sean and he'd spend the night...in David's hotel or at the Consulate?

It seems very, very unlikely that will happen tonight.

But if handover is delayed until morning, the family may try to slip away tonight. Can we ask the police to prevent that?

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 6:53 PM
To: Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Bond, Michele T; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K
Cc: SES-O_SWO; Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X
Subject: FW: Goldman Decision
Importance: High

Here is what we know:

- STF Pres. Gilmar Mendes upheld the motion by the AGU and David's attorney requesting he overturn the stay imposed by Justice Marco Aurelio, based upon a motion filed by the maternal grandmother.

- The order at first read appears to require immediate return of Sean.

- The BCA is calling the Interpol director responsible for enforcing such actions to see if they will get set to move. HOWEVER, BCA does not/not yet have a copy of the order, and it is the judge in the lower court in Rio that would generally receive the order from STF and then contact DPF to enforce.

- Sergio Brito, the prosecutor who has assisted David's attorney on the Hague case is attempting to contact the judge in the court of first instance in an effort to move as quickly as possible. Note that the court of first instance is on recess and the "duty court" judge will need to take action, but magistrates in Rio are keenly aware of the status of the case and may be prepared to move this evening.

- BCA is hoping to effect handover tonight, and will plan to send their psychologist to Rio if the handover must happen tomorrow morning.

What we don't know:

- No details yet on what else is contained in the order, which is likely to affect the next steps taken by the Brazilian family.

- No details as yet on what, if anything the Brazilian family has done in response to the news from STF.

Embassy staff are in the room with David's Brazilian attorney and will report just as soon as we have any details to offer. Please advise me if you have any specific questions we need to pose to the team.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:28 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Secure fax

Only Napolitano memo came thru.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 13:54:27 2009
Subject: Secure fax

In approx 5 minutes, there is a secure fax coming with the following:

1. Action memo that proposes a call to Gates
2. Memo from Sec. Napolitano
3. Note from Ellen Tauscher
4. Memo from both Consular Affairs and Holbrooke

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 4:48 PM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: New Zealand

Kurt--

I just received your request that I call Sec. Gates re our mil-mil relations. He is on vacation and it may take a few days to schedule a non-urgent call. What is our timeline on this? HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:01 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Jack said you had told him I could call the POTUS tonight about the budget. Did you reach out to the White House about the call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 21:41:31 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Ok calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 20:12:54 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I could do at 9:15 or 9:30 if that's ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 18:45:26 2009
Subject: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 25, 2009 6:45 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 25 06:27:36 2009
Subject: Fw: Happy Holidays!

Fyi and happy christmas

----- Original Message -----
From: Michele Duvivier
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Dec 24 19:38:14 2009
Subject: Happy Holidays!

Dear Cheryl,

I want you to know that last month a friend of mine convinced me to apply for a fellowship at Harvard/Institute of Politics, and I did. A few days ago, I got a call from the Program Director who informed me that I was accepted. I am very excited about it and think it will be a very good opportunity to share my experience with students who are learning theories about democracy and development.

Cheryl, I am going to be bold and ask you if you would accept my invitation to come and speak to the students while I am there. I am asked and encouraged to invite important personalities who can talk of their experience in government and I thought of you. I would be thrilled if you accept. I will be there from end of January until the beginning of May next year. I have also invited President Moreno of IDB who accepted and I am waiting for an answer from Michaelle Jean, the General Governor of Canada.

I wish you the very best, to you and your family for Christmas and the New Year. I hope our roads cross again as we thrive to better the lives of so many people who deserve it. Please extend my wished to the Secretary of State. I admire her and her work.

Warm regards,

Michele

Michele Duvivier Pierre-Louis

[redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 26, 2009 1:16 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 26 13:14:17 2009
Subject: Fw: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

---

From: Packer, Adam D
To: NEWS-Mahogany
Cc: NEWS-SCA; NEWS-CA; NEWS-SCT; NEWS-Pakistan
Sent: Sat Dec 26 12:46:51 2009
Subject: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

ISLAMABAD (Reuters) - Taliban insurgents had planned to use five Americans, now detained in Pakistan, who had contacted the militants via the Internet, to carry out attacks in the U.S.-allied country, a police official said. Usman Anwar, police chief in Sargodha, where the men were arrested this month, said emails had revealed plans for the young men from Virginia to travel to a Pakistani nuclear power plant. "We believe that they were supposed to be used inside Pakistan," Anwar told Reuters by telephone. "In their last email to the Taliban, we found they mentioned the Chashma Nuclear Plant and that's why they were going to Mianwali (district)."

Best regards,

Adam Packer

Watch Officer

State Department Operations Center

(202) 647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:31 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Calls, holds and votes

Spoke w Snowe about Patriarch and McConnell about Af-Pak and nominees, especially Raj, Tom and Phil. He said h'ed check on our nominees; do we expect vote today?

How was Mary Poppins?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:50 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I did.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:43:02 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Yes but hang up one more time. So they can reestablish the line.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:39:39 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I thought it was supposed to be off hook to work?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:38:52 2009
Subject: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:07 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: WHEELS UP on GOLDMAN

Yes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:56:10 2009
Subject: Fw: WHEELS UP on GOLDMAN

Assume you are getting these updates as well.

B6

From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Valenzuela, Arturo A; [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Hormats, Robert D; McMullen, Christopher J
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:53:55 2009
Subject: Fw: WHEELS UP on GOLDMAN

It's 8 hours 45 minutes to Orlando.

From: Damour, Marie C
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@[redacted] <gustafson_karen@[redacted] Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T' [redacted] Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S; White, Thomas J; Popp, William W; Meron, David M; Codispoti, Aaron M; Bischoff, James L
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:50:54 2009
Subject: WHEELS UP on GOLDMAN

WHEELS UP for the Goldman plane.

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:56 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S; White, Thomas J; Popp, William W; Meron, David M; Codispoti, Aaron M; Bischoff, James L
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - 12/24/2009 update as of 10:00 local time
Importance: High

Sean and David Goldman have left the consulate for the airport. Wheels up likely in one hour or less.

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 8:29 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S; White, Thomas J; Popp, William W; Meron, David M; Codispoti, Aaron M; Bischoff, James L
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - 12/24/2009 update as of 8:15 local time

Sean has not yet arrived at the consulate.

David Goldman, Rep Smith, David's attorneys and Mission personnel all safe at Consulate. Still set for 9am handover.

Consulate will allow Sergio Tostes, attorney for the Brazilian family, into the building along with Sean and his grandmother, Silvana. We have arranged for the party to enter directly into the building via the garage. The grandmother announced to the press her intention to "park 3 blocks away, as the consulate would not allow her in" but press are quoting the Embassy spokesperson Orna Blum flatly contradicting that statement, emphasizing that for Sean's emotional well-being, and in sensitivity to Silvana and David, the reunion be safe, private, and calm.

Court officials and the attorney for the GOB, Daniel Levy Alvarenga will also be at at the consulate to assist in the turnover.

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 6:27 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ ; Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S; White, Thomas J; Popp, William W; Meron, David M; Codispoti, Aaron M; Bischoff, James L
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 18:15 local time
Importance: High

- It appears there will be no handover tonight. According to David's Brazilian attorney, the negotiators have broken up, having not been able to agree on the issue of the Grandmother accompanying Sean to the U.S. on the plane (clearly, the other side remains unaware of the source/nature of the charter flight that is pending a handover). We have rebuffed attempts by the Brazilian family's attorney to "get clarification from the Americans" as an obvious last-ditch ploy to once again present this as a U.S. vs Brazil issue. We have been clear to all parties that we the Mission have absolutely no role in any negotiation between the parties and will not/not undertake any contact with the family or their representatives.

- Deadline for handover established by the President of the 2nd Regional Federal Court in Rio remains 9am Thursday morning at the U.S. Consulate. Brazilian Central Authority confirms that the warrant authorizing enforcement of the order has been issued and should be in the possession of law enforcement should the family not comply. BCA has confirmed they will be in early tomorrow morning, ready to arrange enforcement should that be necessary. However, David's attorney believes they will comply with the order and appear at the Consulate. We stand ready for multiple scenarios.

- In good news, the Brazilian Central Authority reported that the judge assigned to hear the two motions filed by attorneys for the Brazilian family in the Superior Tribunal de Justica (STJ) has denied both motions on the merits, not just refused to consider the motions. Links to the decisions for those who wish to read legal Portuguese are provided below.

- The frequently-announced press conference by the Brazilian family/their attorney never materialized. We await word on their next public relations foray.

We will stand down support staff in Rio at an appropriate hour so they can get some well-earned rest, and be prepared to start again early tomorrow morning.

http://www.stj.jus.br/webstj/Processo/Justica/detalhe.asp?numreg=200902473093&pv=010000000000&tp=51

http://www.stj.jus.br/webstj/Processo/Justica/detalhe.asp?numreg=200902473108&pv=010000000000&tp=51

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:10 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ ; Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S; White, Thomas J; Popp, William W; Meron, David M; Codispoti, Aaron M; Bischoff, James L
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 13:00 local time
Importance: High

I have just spoken with the Brazilian Central Authority regarding the orders imminently coming out of the office of the President of the 2nd Regional Federal Court. He is evidently interpreting the lifting of the stay imposed at STF to mean that the 48 hour period provided in the appellate court decision to the Brazilian family to turn over Sean to the Consulate has started ticking again – not restarted at the beginning, but resumed ticking. According to the Court, the deadline for turnover at the Consulate falls at 6am local time on Thursday morning, December 24. Because of the early hour, he will evidently order the family to turn over Sean at the consulate NO LATER than 9am on Thursday, December 24. However, he will also recommend, not order, that the family turn over Sean at the Consulate today/tonight in order to permit him to travel to the U.S. on an overnight flight and spend Christmas with the family in the U.S.

There is current debate ongoing regarding the timing of the turnover. Daniel Levy of the AGU office in Rio will evidently take the lead in negotiating that turnover, in conjunction with David's legal team.

Press contacts are stating that Sergio Tostes, the attorney for the Brazilian family, has called a press conference at 2pm local time (11am DC time) to announce voluntary turnover. No further details on that yet.

Will pass more information as soon as we have it. Planning for all contingencies.

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:56 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T; Ruppe, Adele E B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S; Olson, Michael J (RIO DE JANEIRO); MacWatters, Michael E; Gonzalez, Juan S
Subject: Goldman Decision - update as of 10:30 local time
Importance: High

Good news this morning:

- Brazilian Central Authority reports that at 1am local time today, the attorney for the Brazilian family contacted Daniel Levy, the AGU attorney representing the Brazilian Govt in this case, to inform him that the Brazilian family is willing to arrange for voluntary turnover of Sean at the Consulate General in Rio. Daniel Levy reportedly replied that he would only facilitate these discussions on the condition that there were no further legal machinations to delay implementation of the court order, and that the turnover happened today. We do not/not know what if any conditions the family will try to place on a voluntary handover, so planning for police execution of the court order continues.

- Interpol office in Brasilia has assured BCA and the Embassy LEGAT that they are fully aware of the status of the case and are ready to provide support if Sean is not turned over. They will have action if the family attempts to hide Sean.

- We have contacted WHA Press and CA Press separately to discuss timing to release statements if/when we are successful with wheels up.

- We have discussed detailed contingency plans should the police be called upon to go to the family residence to enforce the order if voluntary handover does not occur. Also have plans in place to supplement personnel in Rio should this extend past today.

- We have a general sense of the timing of potential events: We expect to have more clarity from the attorneys as to whether or not voluntary handover will occur around noon/1pm local time, which is 9/10 DC time. Should police enforcement be required, that will likely mean mid/late afternoon local time. We will advise asap if this timeline shifts (as it is likely to do, this being Brazil.)

We will try to send updates every 2 hours or so, even if nothing of note has occurred. As always, please advise if there are any specific questions or concerns.

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:39 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ [redacted]; Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 01:30 local time - NO RETURN TONIGHT
Importance: High

I have just heard from the team in Rio that there will be no return enforcement this evening:

- Tomorrow morning between 8-9, David Goldman's Brazilian attorney will go to the court to obtain the warrant enforcing the return of Sean Goldman to the U.S. He will wait there pending coordination with relevant police and judicial authorities to ensure that everything is ready, and they will depart for the family compound to take custody of Sean.

- Consulate General Rio will have cars ready at 9am for transport, and the team will be ready at the airport to facilitate departure.

- David's attorney has spoken to judicial authorities and believes that the motions filed at STJ will not be accepted by the court, so will not interfere with the return. This does not preclude some last minute legal maneuvers, but he seems confident that the decision by STF will effectively cut off other avenues. We will advise asap if this changes.

Blackberry service does appear to be down, so if there are any questions tonight, please contact me directly on my cell at [redacted] as I am getting offline in approximately five mins. We are 3 hours ahead of DC time at the moment, but please do not hesitate to call.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:03 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ [redacted] Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O; Blum, Orna T

Cc: Culver, Chris D; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R; 'RAMSAY, JAIME T'; Brassanini, David G; Garrard, Steven D; Gonzalez, Juan S
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision - update as of 00:45 local time

Given the hour here, I am less optimistic that we will pull off a return tonight, but we are still working to that end.

- The Brazilian Central Authority confirms that the office of Regional Federal Court President Paulo Espirito Santo has received the decision of STF President Gilmar Mendes. It is Paulo E-S who will be responsible for enforcing the decision from Mendes, i.e. the return of Sean Goldman to the U.S.

- BCA has promised to reinforce for Interpol the urgent necessity of police in Rio monitoring the location of Sean Goldman. Embassy LEGAT office is double-tracking. We cannot, of course, ask them to enforce anything until the proper judicial authority has sent them instructions, but we strongly urge them to be prepared.

- BCA has turned over operational jurisdiction to Daniel Levy, from the AGU's office in Rio, to coordinate with David Goldman's attorney and with the court in Rio. I have asked David's attorney to try and get some understanding from Mr. Levy as to the timeline we can expect to see in this case.

What remains unclear:

- We do not know if the judge from the Regional Federal Court intends to issue an execution order from his home tonight at this late hour, or if he will wait until opening of business tomorrow morning. Note that OOB here generally means 10am for a court. This only underscores the need to ensure that there is sufficient monitoring of Sean's whereabouts.

- We do not know how Judge Paulo E-S will interpret an "immediate" return order – it could mean right this instant, or it could mean some brief period of time where the family is ordered/encouraged to turn Sean over at the Consulate or some other site.

- We are working to obtain some sense of whether this is likely to happen tonight, or if we are better served getting some rest now and starting first thing OOB which for us means 7-8am.

- We have not had confirmation regarding the resolution of the motions in STJ, but frankly, I don't foresee receiving an answer on that before mid-day Wednesday.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 11:05 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@[redacted]; Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O

B6

Cc: Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press; Johnson, James R
Subject: Goldman Decision - update as of 22:50

PLEASE NOTE – I am aware of the intense interest back in DC about this case and will be passing information just as soon as I receive it. This means that in most cases, I will not be able to confirm the information. Will try to identify credible sources vice what rumor/press/others are saying.

Brazilian Central Authority (BCA) coordinating with David's attorney and the Federal attorneys in Rio to ensure that the President of the Regional Federal Court in Rio has a copy of the STF order and is submitting the official request to federal police to enforce. BCA says they still believe they can effect the turnover tonight and are at their office working to that end. If/when the Federal Police enforce, their stated preference is to go to the airport and effect the handover there. This is a scenario we've planned for.

BCA has mentioned the STJ motions filed by the Brazilian family as a potential obstacle, but thru their contacts in Mendes' office at STF, have heard that Mendes intends to reach out to the reporting judge at STJ to resolve that issue. As mentioned in an earlier update today, we have not had any confirmation that the STJ judge has accepted to hear the motions filed by the Brazilian family, and has the option to decline to hear them.

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:41 PM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K; SES-O B6
Cc: Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X; Kelly, Craig A; McMullen, Christopher J; CAPRESSREQUESTS; WHA-Press
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision

If the family sees the writing on the wall (frankly unlikely at this point) and agrees to abide by the order of immediate return, then in theory the handover would happen at the consulate and there is a plan in place for that.

Plans in motion to prevent them slipping away and Patricia Lamego is working with the police as we speak. Federal Police repeatedly assured us they were prepped and ready to move but could take no action absent an order. They know now there is one, so BCA will get them moving.

If the handover occurred tonight, I'm told we need 3 hours to get the plane off the ground, so overnight in the hotel.

From: Bond, Michele T

Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:30 PM
To: Damour, Marie C; Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ ; Cardiel, Daisy; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K B6
Cc: SES-O_SWO; Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X
Subject: RE: Goldman Decision

Marie, if there is a handover tonight: that would be at the Consulate in Rio, right? Grandparents would bring Sean and he'd spend the night...in David's hotel or at the Consulate?

It seems very, very unlikely that will happen tonight.

But if handover is delayed until morning, the family may try to slip away tonight. Can we ask the police to prevent that?

From: Damour, Marie C
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 6:53 PM
To: Pacheco, Martha A; 'gustafson_karen@ Cardiel, Daisy; Bond, Michele T; Jacobs, Janice L; Hickey, Mary E; Regan, Michael B; Simpson, Mordica M; Posivak, Stephen; Drucker, Milton K
Cc: SES-O_SWO; Culver, Chris D; Blum, Orna T; Arola, Heidi R; Kubiske, Lisa; Loh, Anthony X
Subject: FW: Goldman Decision
Importance: High

Here is what we know:

- STF Pres. Gilmar Mendes upheld the motion by the AGU and David's attorney requesting he overturn the stay imposed by Justice Marco Aurelio, based upon a motion filed by the maternal grandmother.

- The order at first read appears to require immediate return of Sean.

- The BCA is calling the Interpol director responsible for enforcing such actions to see if they will get set to move. HOWEVER, BCA does not/not yet have a copy of the order, and it is the judge in the lower court in Rio that would generally receive the order from STF and then contact DPF to enforce.

- Sergio Brito, the prosecutor who has assisted David's attorney on the Hague case is attempting to contact the judge in the court of first instance in an effort to move as quickly as possible. Note that the court of first instance is on recess and the "duty court" judge will need to take action, but magistrates in Rio are keenly aware of the status of the case and may be prepared to move this evening.

- BCA is hoping to effect handover tonight, and will plan to send their psychologist to Rio if the handover must happen tomorrow morning.

What we don't know:

- No details yet on what else is contained in the order, which is likely to affect the next steps taken by the Brazilian family.

- No details as yet on what, if anything the Brazilian family has done in response to the news from STF.

Embassy staff are in the room with David's Brazilian attorney and will report just as soon as we have any details to offer. Please advise me if you have any specific questions we need to pose to the team.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:32 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

I'm trying but so far no luck. Will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:14:41 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

You should call Kyl. I can't get to him.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:08:17 2009
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:50 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Setting a time to speak

Aren't I lucky?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:48:54 2009
Subject: Fw: Setting a time to speak

Your friend

----- Original Message -----
From: Irwin Redlener, M.D. [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:28:08 2009
Subject: Re: Setting a time to speak

Apparently Ann Veneman won't be seeking second term at UNICEF. Is this something that should be considered? Should we run this by Hillary as a possible consideration?
Irwin

Mills, Cheryl D wrote:

>Terrific
>
>
>
>From: Irwin Redlener, M.D. [redacted]
>Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 9:34 AM
>To: Mills, Cheryl D
>Cc: Laszczych, Joanne
>Subject: Re: Setting a time to speak
>
>
>
>Sounds good. I'll call you at 4 next Wednesday. Let me know if you need
>additional information. I'll be in western MA with lousy cell service;
>in case you need anything from me in interim, house # there is [redacted]
[redacted] or answering service will track me down [redacted]
>Have a great Christmas.
>Irwin
>
>Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>

>
>From: "Mills, Cheryl D" <MillsCD@state.gov>
>
>Date: Wed, 23 Dec 2009 08:20:05 -0500
>
>To:
>
>Cc: Laszczych, Joanne<LaszczychJ@state.gov>
>
>Subject: Setting a time to speak
>
>
>
>Irwin
>
>
>
>I wanted to set a time now for us to follow-up on our discussion as
>well as the materials you have sent. I am going to be out until next
>weds, so wanted to see if you are available for a call next Wednesday
>and if so, does 4pm work?
>
>
>
>cdm
>
>
>
>

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 2:29 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

That's fine. How are you doing?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Thu Dec 24 14:27:16 2009
Subject:

Attached is the joint statement on the US-Russian Commission that just went out. Bill Burns and Jake cleared it but wanted it sent to you as an fyi as well.

Oscar can u print as well

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 26, 2009 3:16 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 26 14:28:12 2009
Subject: Re: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Dec 26 13:15:59 2009
Subject: Re: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 26 13:14:17 2009
Subject: Fw: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

---

From: Packer, Adam D
To: NEWS-Mahogany
Cc: NEWS-SCA; NEWS-CA; NEWS-SCT; NEWS-Pakistan
Sent: Sat Dec 26 12:46:51 2009
Subject: (Reuters) Taliban Planned to Use Americans In Pakistan Attacks

ISLAMABAD (Reuters) - Taliban insurgents had planned to use five Americans, now detained in Pakistan, who had contacted the militants via the Internet, to carry out attacks in the U.S.-allied country, a police official said. Usman Anwar; police chief in Sargodha, where the men were arrested this month, said emails had revealed plans for the young men from Virginia to travel to a Pakistani nuclear power plant. "We believe that they were supposed to be used inside Pakistan," Anwar told Reuters by telephone. "In their last email to the Taliban, we found they mentioned the Chashma Nuclear Plant and that's why they were going to Mianwali (district)."

Best regards,

Adam Packer

Watch Officer

State Department Operations Center

(202) 647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 9:17 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Anti-Gay Laws

I will call you later.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 08:44:48 2009
Subject: FW: Anti-Gay Laws

FYI

From: Goosby, Eric
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 8:32 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Anti-Gay Laws

Cheryl

It may be worth putting together a small group to think through the issue in some depth to decide whether there is a more proactive strategy that may be possible and/or worth engaging in one or more countries :

1. Define human rights challenges around gay communities in many of our partner countries

2. Define the social political context (ie: how does civil society and the political/legislative activity converge or not converge)

3. Potential role of Judicial response (eg: Adult Disability Act in US)

4. Role of multilateral and normative bodies

We are quietly doing this in PEPFAR over the next two months to assess whether we can work behind the politics to increase the "safe space" for our populations seeking and/or in care (increase identification, entry and retention).

Cheryl, I hope you have a wonderful holiday, heading back to SF now, back in Monday (28th)

Eric

This email was sent from a wireless Blackberry device.

This e-mail is unclassified based on the definitions provided in E.O. 12958

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Carson, Johnnie
Cc: Posner, Michael H; Patel, Mira D; Baer, Daniel B; Hyde, Dana; Goosby, Eric; Smith, Daniel B; Moy, Kin W
Sent: Tue Dec 22 08:18:24 2009
Subject: Anti-Gay Laws

Johnnie

What is the best way to have a systematic assessment of what countries in Africa have laws proceeding or on the books re: anti-gay measures similar to the Uganda bill?

I am particularly concerned as we begin to make determinations in budget process for significant investments in several countries for GHFSI, Pepfar, GHI, etc., that we not be surprised.

Can you advise how we can proceed or if we should do a call or seek a tasking for this information?

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 4:01 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Letter to Lautenberg

The letter is good to go w me. HRC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 15:42:21 2009
Subject: Fw: Letter to Lautenberg

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Verma, Richard R; Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Tue Dec 22 15:33:57 2009
Subject: Letter to Lautenberg

Hi Huma'– could you please show this to the Secretary? Senator Reid's staff and Senator Lautenberg would like the letter below sent to his office today. Upon receipt of the letter, we are told Senator Lautenberg will lift his hold on the GSP and ATPDA trade legislation. I know the Secretary would prefer to wait until there is a final decision in Brazil, but it is still unclear when that decision will come (may not even be today) and we have drafted the letter so that it still makes sense regardless of how and when the court rules. Thanks, and I'm at my desk if she wants to discuss it further. Rich

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

I appreciated the opportunity to speak with you this past weekend regarding the custody case of Sean Goldman, the young American boy wrongfully detained in Brazil for more than five years. As we discussed, the Administration has long maintained that Sean should be reunited with his father David in New Jersey. I have made a point of emphasizing this position with Brazilian Foreign Minister Celso Amorim during our many conversations, as have other U.S. Officials with their Brazilian counterparts.

We have worked closely with the Government of Brazil to ensure it upholds its obligations under the Hague Convention on International Child Abduction. We were quite concerned by last week's decision suspending the order reuniting Sean with his father. We were encouraged, however, by the appeal filed last Friday by the Brazilian Solicitor General which challenges this suspension. Like you, we are eager to learn of the outcome of this appeal. We understand a decision could be announced shortly.

You can be assured that we will continue advocating for the reunification of Sean and his father and pressing the Government of Brazil to ensure swift consideration of the Goldman case so that this long legal process may reach a final conclusion without further delay. In the meantime, please be assured that the State Department as well as the U.S. Embassy in Brasilia has been and will continue to be a resource to the Goldman family during this trying time.

Sincerely,

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:39 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Call list

Revise as follows:

I spoke w the following so remove--

Snowe
Yang
McConnell
Baugh
LaHood
Webb

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 6:21 PM
To: 'SchwerinDB@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Posner response to Washington Post editorial

That's fine. Will it be printed in next day or so?

----- Original Message -----
From: Schwerin, Daniel B <SchwerinDB@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 18:08:30 2009
Subject: Posner response to Washington Post editorial

Philippe and Jake asked me to send along this response to the Washington Post editorial:

By Michael Posner

The Post's Dec. 27 editorial ("Redefining Human Rights") on the Obama Administration's human rights and democracy policies, and Secretary Clinton's recent speech at Georgetown in particular, misses the critical point: human rights, democracy, and development are mutually reinforcing, not competing goals. They complement each other in the task of making human rights a human reality.

President Roosevelt understood the fundamental link between liberty, prosperity and security, tying together freedom of speech and worship with freedom from want and freedom from fear in his famous "Four Freedoms" speech. At Georgetown, Secretary Clinton articulated a 21st century vision grounded in this wisdom.

To accuse Secretary Clinton of embracing a Soviet-bloc approach to human rights because she includes development in a discussion of democracy not only strains the bonds of credulity and common sense, it also walls off a crucial avenue for ensuring that people can actually exercise the rights that are naturally theirs - including the rights to freedom of religion, association, and speech-in their daily lives.

As Secretary Clinton made clear, policies that address development alone are not adequate: "Freedom doesn't come in half measures, and partial remedies will not solve the whole problem," she said. But experience shows us that where broad-based development occurs, people are more likely to demand a say in securing their futures, and governments are more likely to have the resources to maintain the strong institutions, including reliable police and fair courts, that help ensure the consistent protection of rights. Where people are given the right to speak freely, to participate in their nation's political process and have access to basic information about government, sustainable development is more

likely to occur. At the same time, democracies without development do not last. These are mutually reinforcing tracks, and the Obama Administration is committed to pursuing both simultaneously.

As President Obama said in his Nobel lecture, "engagement with repressive regimes lacks the satisfying purity of indignation." But the Post's overheated outrage ignores the lessons learned by generations of men and women who worked to advance human rights around the world.

To suggest that Secretary Clinton somehow provided excuses to rights-abusing dictators in a speech in which she forcefully criticized specific abuses across the world, is simply not credible. Far from being an excuse for any regime, this is an approach that pushes governments to do more to advance civil and political rights and to ensure that democracy actually delivers a measure of prosperity and opportunity for all. That is the right approach for the Obama Administration and for the 21st century.

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 7:25 PM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Letter to Lautenberg

Based on the Brazilian decision he should release the hold. Do I need to call him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 22 18:38:59 2009
Subject: RE: Letter to Lautenberg

FYI -- we ended up not sending the letter this afternoon because Lautenberg's staff was being difficult and hedging. Now, we can update and send tomorrow (if it is still required) based on latest information from tonight's ruling, as you had intended from the start.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 4:01 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; Verma, Richard R
Subject: Re: Letter to Lautenberg

The letter is good to go w me. HRC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 15:42:21 2009
Subject: Fw: Letter to Lautenberg

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Verma, Richard R; Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Tue Dec 22 15:33:57 2009
Subject: Letter to Lautenberg

Hi Huma – could you please show this to the Secretary? Senator Reid's staff and Senator Lautenberg would like the letter below sent to his office today. Upon receipt of the letter, we are told Senator Lautenberg will lift his hold on the GSP and ATPDA trade legislation. I know the Secretary would prefer to wait until there is a final decision in Brazil, but it is still unclear when that decision will come (may not even be today) and we have drafted the letter so that it still makes sense regardless of how and when the court rules. Thanks, and I'm at my desk if she wants to discuss it further. Rich

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

I appreciated the opportunity to speak with you this past weekend regarding the custody case of Sean Goldman, the young American boy wrongfully detained in Brazil for more than five years. As we discussed, the Administration has long maintained that Sean should be reunited with his father David in New Jersey. I have made a point of emphasizing this position with Brazilian Foreign Minister Celso Amorim during our many conversations, as have other U.S. Officials with their Brazilian counterparts.

We have worked closely with the Government of Brazil to ensure it upholds its obligations under the Hague Convention on International Child Abduction. We were quite concerned by last week's decision suspending the order reuniting Sean with his father. We were encouraged, however, by the appeal filed last Friday by the Brazilian Solicitor General which challenges this suspension. Like you, we are eager to learn of the outcome of this appeal. We understand a decision could be announced shortly.

You can be assured that we will continue advocating for the reunification of Sean and his father and pressing the Government of Brazil to ensure swift consideration of the Goldman case so that this long legal process may reach a final conclusion without further delay. In the meantime, please be assured that the State Department as well as the U.S. Embassy in Brasilia has been and will continue to be a resource to the Goldman family during this trying time.

Sincerely,

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 3:14 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Still nothing. Call Oscar if they need help. I'll be out of pocket for an hour or so.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:53:30 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Just pick up phone and hang it up. And leave it hung up.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:50:11 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I did.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:43:02 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Yes but hang up one more time. So they can reestablish the line.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:39:39 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I thought it was supposed to be off hook to work?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:38:52 2009
Subject: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:37 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Just tried it but got a request for my mailbox on the system. Is this right #?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:34:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

His cell is: [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:31:31 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

I'm trying but so far no luck. Will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:14:41 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

You should call Kyl. I can't get to him.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:08:17 2009
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: IG Report

What's the full story on this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:01:39 2009
Subject: Fw: IG Report

From: Lew, Jacob J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:00:22 2009
Subject: FW: IG Report

fyi

From: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 8:41 AM
To: Feldman, Daniel F; Jones, Paul W
Cc: Campbell, Piper; Singh, Vikram J; McKean, Margaret B; Keays, Michael C; Misko, Sean A; Simon, Jessica L; Bommer, Ashley F; Crowley, Philip J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: IG Report

Copying PJ and Jake in case questions come to them. Thanks.

From: Feldman, Daniel F
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 8:39 AM
To: Lew, Jacob J; Jones, Paul W
Cc: Campbell, Piper; Singh, Vikram J; McKean, Margaret B; Keays, Michael C; Misko, Sean A; Simon, Jessica L; Bommer, Ashley F
Subject: RE: IG Report

Jack –

Thanks for flagging this. We actually saw this last night, and Vikram and I had a conversation with Richard about it. The report was based on interviews conducted in May-June, before the new policy change was implemented, so much of the report is already OBE. OIG gave us the draft report in October, and SRAP/SCA/INL immediately sent a joint response to OIG (attached), highlighting that we were already working on many of the issues highlighted in the report.

Given the change in policy and our letter to them, we found it pretty egregious that the OIG didn't report on the changes underway or adjustments already made, as the report is being portrayed in the press as currently applicable. Michael Keays on the Afghan desk and Peggy, who both help to oversee CN issues, are already working on a letter to OIG expressing our strong concern about the report and the process which got us to this point, and Vikram and his team are ginning up press points which also note that we have already begun to robustly address many of these points (I've cc'ed them all on this).

If you'd like to talk further, I'm happy to come up to your office at some point this morning to discuss.

Dan

From: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 8:10 AM
To: Feldman, Daniel F; Jones, Paul W
Cc: Campbell, Piper
Subject: IG Report

Have you seen the IG report on the counternarcotics program (reported in NYT) this am? We should discuss response.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:51 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Can we still get him confirmed today?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:49:39 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:48:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

He told me that if the letters were delivered he would lift hold on Raj but not others. Can you verify?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:43:19 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

And I have 3 letters in my possession that we are handing to Kyl's office now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:36:33 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Just tried it but got a request for my mailbox on the system. Is this right #?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:34:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

B6

His cell is: [redacted]

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:31:31 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

I'm trying but so far no luck. Will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:14:41 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

You should call Kyl. I can't get to him.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:08:17 2009
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 8:59 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Checking in

I can talk tonight or tomorrow am. What works for you?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 3:17 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Wow--what a great getaway idea. Are you staying for a few days?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 14:52:09 2009
Subject: RE:

B6

Excellent. Yesterday was a madhouse but got a lot accomplished.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 2:29 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re:

That's fine. How are you doing?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Thu Dec 24 14:27:16 2009
Subject:

Attached is the joint statement on the US-Russian Commission that just went out. Bill Burns and Jake cleared it but wanted it sent to you as an fyi as well.

Oscar can u print as well

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:59 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

Is there something magic about that date?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 22:58:09 2009
Subject: RE: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

Good - you good for us to sign off on giving a speech on 21 jan at newseum?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:56 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

This looks fine and makes me sound like a techie (which is good, albeit a stretch).

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 22:28:38 2009
Subject: FW: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

HRC:

This is an early preview of the speech (which is still in progress).

Let me know what you think conceptually after you read.

Cdm

SPEECH COVER PAGE

INFORMATION FREEDOM SPEECH

Lead Writer: Tomicah Tillemann

Phone: 7-9842 / [redacted] B6

Email: tillemannts@state.gov

VETTERS: PLEASE INITIAL HERE _____

SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON ADDRESS ON INTERNET FREEDOM THE NEWSEUM, WASHINGTON, DC JANUARY 6, 2009

[redacted] B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

B5

[redacted]

From: Tillemann, Tomicah S
Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 2:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Toiv, Nora F; Sullivan, Jacob J; Ross, Alec J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Crowley, Philip J
Cc: Muscatine, Lissa; S_SpecialAssistants
Subject: Fw: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

Folks,

I've attached the latest draft of the internet freedom speech. It should be ready for the Secretary. This version addresses issues raised in the last draft with three exceptions:

B5

Please let me know if any of you have further questions or comments.

Thanks,
Tomicah

From: Tomicah Tillemann B6
To: Tillemann, Tomicah S
Sent: Mon Dec 21 14:17:45 2009
Subject: 12/21 Revisions to Internet Freedom Speech

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 5:01 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fwd: 5635

I caught some of the interview and wondered whether it would cause problems. We have to watch this closely.

Would John get that written somewhere?

I'm in the car on my berry if you need me. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 21 04:52:28 2009
Subject: Fw: Fwd: 5635

Fyi compliment to you.

Phil g and liz are following up on the first matter.

Cdm

B6

From: John Podesta
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Dec 20 12:24:13 2009
Subject: Fw: Fwd: 5635

Sorry to bother on the Sunday before Christmas but the Echumenical Patriarch's crowd are extremely worried that he may get arrested or otherwise harassed/attacked as a result of the 60 Minutes interview he gave which airs tonight. Thought it was worth passing along and that you might want to get someone to pulse the Emabassy in Turkey.

Hope you are getting a little rest after one hell of a week. Notwithstanding some press whining, I thought BHO and HRC did a fabulous job in Copenhagen and that the schedule structure has a much better chance of success than sticking with Kyoto architecture. Congrats to all. .

From: Fr. Alex Karloutsos
To: John Podesta
Sent: Sun Dec 20 00:33:57 2009
Subject: Fwd: 5635

Dear John,
Thank you for responding to me re:the safety of the EP. Unfortunately, the 60 minutes interview has been raising jingoistic fervor in Turkey. As I told you, the Foreign Ministry called HAH on Friday to express their dismay and concern that He was disparaging Turkey in such a public manner. Of course, HAH expressed His love for His country, Turkey, but reiterated the painful, laundry list of issues faced by the Greek Orthodox Christian minority. Today, many of the news outlets in Turkey are attacking HAH saying that He has condemned Turkey's good name. One of the nationalist papers called HAH crazy and pictured Him hanging from a cross. (see attachment) The Foreign Ministries said, of course, that he was sure the EP made "a slip of the tongue."
Our concern is that some nationalists will attempt to do damage to the Patriarchate or, ever worse some nut from the Grey wolves will be let loose to hurt/kill HAH. Of course, the Government may over react once they see the full interview. It is an honest piece of journalism - but very very tough " to face the country in the mirror". Sadly, some Greek Nationalists fan the flames from their side as well.
I am also sending you a blog<http://www.cbsnews.com/8618-18560_162-5990771.html?assetTypeId=58&messageId=8825797>which shows some of the the lunacy out there on this issue.
Fortunately, we have good friends like you and an Administration that believes in human rights, religious freedom, civil rights and dignity of every individual. Above all, we believe in, and glorify, the manager-born Messiah whose message of good will amongst women and men is best expressed in social/divine justice for everyone.
Thank you
Father Alex

B6

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: "nikolaos manginas" [redacted]
To: "nikolaos manginas" [redacted]
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 4:33:57 PM GMT -05:00 US/Canada Eastern
Subject: 5635

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 8:06 AM
To: 'hanleymr@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Is isabelle coming

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 12:26 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: want to talk later today re: conv with Dennis R

I'm free now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 11:44:53 2009
Subject: want to talk later today re: conv with Dennis R

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:24 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop@[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: any truth to this account? Sid

Who wrote this? It has some aspects right of the 48 hours I was there but not others.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop@[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 19:28:28 2009
Subject: any truth to this account? Sid

H: Is this account true? If so, significant, and the only account of its kind. And, if true, the USG has not told its story, at the least. Sid

<http://hits.gureport.co.uk/HG?hc=we89&cd=1&hv=6&ce=u&hb=DM56062893FS;DM54102495BW&n={article}{How+do+I+know+China+wrecked+the+Copenhagen+deal?+I+was+in+the+room}{p1322475}&vcon=/GU/Environment/Copenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009&seg=&cmp=&gp=&fnl=&pec=&dcmp=&ra=&gn=&cv=&ld=&la=&customerid=(none)&c1=usa&c2=(none)&c3=The+Guardian&c4=Copenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009+(environment),Climate+change+(Environment),China+(News),Carbon+emissions+(Environment),Activism+(Environment),World+news,Environment&c5=&c6=Mark+Lynas&c7=2009_12_22>
<http://hits.guardian.co.uk/b/ss/guardiangu-environment,guardiangu-network,guardiandev2/1/H.20.3/16709?ns=guardian&pageName=How+do+I+know+China+wrecked+the+Copenhagen+deal%3F+I+was+in+the+room+%7C+Mar%3AArticle%3A1322475&ch=Environment&c3=Guardian&c4=Copenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009+%28environment%29%2CClimate+change+%28Environment%29%2CChina+%28News%29%2CCarbon+emissions+%28Environment%29%2CActivism+%28Environment%29%2CWorld+news%2CEnvironment&c6=Mark+Lynas&c7=09-Dec-22&c8=1322475&c9=Article&c10=Comment&c11=Environment&c13=&c25=&c30=content&h2=GU%2FEnvironment%2FCopenhagen+climate+change+conference+2009&c2=GUID:(none)>

<http://ad.doubleclick.net/click%3Bh=v8/390c/3/0/%2a/l%3B219948678%3B3-0%3B0%3B31962876%3B3454-728/90%3B33928257/33946135/1%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%7Eaopt%3D0/ff/e5/ff%3B%7Efdr%3D218714607%3B0-0%3B0%3B24869226%3B3454-728/90%3B33577843/33595721/1%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%7Eokv%3D%3Bnet%3Dcm%3Bu%3D%2Ccm-86804491_1261527777%2C115d04be908cfcf%2Cpolit%2Ccm.aw_ga-cm.weath_L-cm.polit_L%3B%3Bord1%3D540717%3Bsz%3D728x90%3Bcontx%3Dpolit%3Bbtg%3Dcm.aw_ga%3Bbtg%3Dcm.weath_L%3Bbtg%3Dcm.polit_L%3B%7Eaopt%3D3/1/e5/0%3B%7Esscs%3D%3fhttp://promo.verizon.com/FIOS3X/Promo5/HZ1/?CMP=BAC-MXT092>
clear pixel
<http://log7.doubleverify.com/visitor.aspx?query=agnc%3D2052729%26cmp%3D3320400%26crt%3D33928257%26crtname%3D%26adnet%3D%26dvtagver%3D3.3.1346.2175%26adsrv%3D1%26plc%3D31962876%26advid%3D2052729%26s

id%3D571196%26adid%3D219948678&srcurl=http%3A//www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2009/dec/22/copenhagen-climate-change-mark-lynas/print&num=7&random=0.5345776391651716>
http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type%3dclick%26FlightID%3d104670%26AdID%3d120946%26TargetID%3d23835%26Values%3d30,46,50,60,72,83,93,100,110,150,466,570,907,913,926,1283,1335,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1748,1749,1794,1837,1866,1932,2018,2362,2432,2467,2476,2747,2844,3008,3184,3281,3282,3311,3348,3756,3964,4283,4334,4371,4691,4822,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5825,5897,6048,6051,6128,6129,6178,6238,6269,6403%26Redirect%3d
<http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type=click&FlightID=104670&AdID=120946&TargetID=23835&Values=30,46,50,60,72,83,93,100,110,150,466,570,907,913,926,1283,1335,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1748,1749,1794,1837,1866,1932,2018,2362,2432,2467,2476,2747,2844,3008,3184,3281,3282,3311,3348,3756,3964,4283,4334,4371,4691,4822,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5825,5897,6048,6051,6128,6129,6178,6238,6269,6403&Redirect=http://a.collective-media.net/jump/cm.guardian/;sz=728x90;ord=bNfKkxq,bftcyxbeipkyl?>
guardian.co.uk home <http://www.guardian.co.uk>

How do I know China wrecked the Copenhagen deal? I was in the room

As recriminations fly post-Copenhagen, one writer offers a fly-on-the-wall account of how talks failed

* * Mark Lynas <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/marklynas>
* guardian.co.uk <http://www.guardian.co.uk> , Tuesday 22 December 2009 19.54 GMT

Copenhagen was a disaster. That much is agreed. But the truth about what actually happened is in danger of being lost amid the spin and inevitable mutual recriminations. The truth is this: China <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/china> wrecked the talks, intentionally humiliated Barack Obama, and insisted on an awful "deal" so western leaders would walk away carrying the blame. How do I know this? Because I was in the room and saw it happen.

China's strategy was simple: block the open negotiations for two weeks, and then ensure that the closed-door deal made it look as if the west had failed the world's poor once again. And sure enough, the aid agencies, civil society movements and environmental groups all took the bait. The failure was "the inevitable result of rich countries refusing adequately and fairly to shoulder their overwhelming responsibility", said Christian Aid. "Rich countries have bullied developing nations," fumed Friends of the Earth International.

All very predictable, but the complete opposite of the truth. Even George Monbiot, writing in yesterday's Guardian, made the mistake of singly blaming Obama. But I saw Obama fighting desperately to salvage a deal, and the Chinese delegate saying "no", over and over again. Monbiot even approvingly quoted the Sudanese delegate Lumumba Di-Aping, who denounced the Copenhagen accord as "a suicide pact, an incineration pact, in order to maintain the economic dominance of a few countries".

Sudan behaves at the talks as a puppet of China; one of a number of countries that relieves the Chinese delegation of having to fight its battles in open sessions. It was a perfect stitch-up. China gutted the deal behind the scenes, and then left its proxies to savage it in public.

Here's what actually went on late last Friday night, as heads of state from two dozen countries met behind closed doors. Obama was at the table for several hours, sitting between Gordon Brown and the Ethiopian prime minister, Meles Zenawi. The Danish prime minister chaired, and on his right sat Ban Ki-moon, secretary-general of the UN. Probably only about 50 or 60 people, including the heads of state, were in the room. I was attached to one of the delegations, whose head of state was also present for most of the time.

What I saw was profoundly shocking. The Chinese premier, Wen Jinbao, did not deign to attend the meetings personally, instead sending a second-tier official in the country's foreign ministry to sit opposite Obama himself. The diplomatic snub was obvious and brutal, as was the practical implication: several times during the session, the world's most powerful heads of state were forced to wait around as the Chinese delegate went off to make telephone calls to his "superiors".

Shifting the blame

To those who would blame Obama and rich countries in general, know this: it was China's representative who insisted that industrialised country targets, previously agreed as an 80% cut by 2050, be taken out of the deal. "Why can't we

even mention our own targets?" demanded a furious Angela Merkel. Australia's prime minister, Kevin Rudd, was annoyed enough to bang his microphone. Brazil's representative too pointed out the illogicality of China's position. Why should rich countries not announce even this unilateral cut? The Chinese delegate said no, and I watched, aghast, as Merkel threw up her hands in despair and conceded the point. Now we know why – because China bet, correctly, that Obama would get the blame for the Copenhagen accord's lack of ambition.

China, backed at times by India, then proceeded to take out all the numbers that mattered. A 2020 peaking year in global emissions, essential to restrain temperatures to 2C, was removed and replaced by woolly language suggesting that emissions should peak "as soon as possible". The long-term target, of global 50% cuts by 2050, was also excised. No one else, perhaps with the exceptions of India and Saudi Arabia, wanted this to happen. I am certain that had the Chinese not been in the room, we would have left Copenhagen with a deal that had environmentalists popping champagne corks popping in every corner of the world.

Strong position

So how did China manage to pull off this coup? First, it was in an extremely strong negotiating position. China didn't need a deal. As one developing country foreign minister said to me: "The Athenians had nothing to offer to the Spartans." On the other hand, western leaders in particular – but also presidents Lula of Brazil, Zuma of South Africa, Calderón of Mexico and many others – were desperate for a positive outcome. Obama needed a strong deal perhaps more than anyone. The US had confirmed the offer of $100bn to developing countries for adaptation, put serious cuts on the table for the first time (17% below 2005 levels by 2020), and was obviously prepared to up its offer.

Above all, Obama needed to be able to demonstrate to the Senate that he could deliver China in any global climate regulation framework, so conservative senators could not argue that US carbon cuts would further advantage Chinese industry. With midterm elections looming, Obama and his staff also knew that Copenhagen would be probably their only opportunity to go to climate change <http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/climate-change> talks with a strong mandate. This further strengthened China's negotiating hand, as did the complete lack of civil society political pressure on either China or India. Campaign groups never blame developing countries for failure; this is an iron rule that is never broken. The Indians, in particular, have become past masters at co-opting the language of equity ("equal rights to the atmosphere") in the service of planetary suicide – and leftish campaigners and commentators are hoist with their own petard.

With the deal gutted, the heads of state session concluded with a final battle as the Chinese delegate insisted on removing the 1.5C target so beloved of the small island states and low-lying nations who have most to lose from rising seas. President Nasheed of the Maldives, supported by Brown, fought valiantly to save this crucial number. "How can you ask my country to go extinct?" demanded Nasheed. The Chinese delegate feigned great offence – and the number stayed, but surrounded by language which makes it all but meaningless. The deed was done.

China's game

All this raises the question: what is China's game? Why did China, in the words of a UK-based analyst who also spent hours in heads of state meetings, "not only reject targets for itself, but also refuse to allow any other country to take on binding targets?" The analyst, who has attended climate conferences for more than 15 years, concludes that China wants to weaken the climate regulation regime now "in order to avoid the risk that it might be called on to be more ambitious in a few years' time".

This does not mean China is not serious about global warming. It is strong in both the wind and solar industries. But China's growth, and growing global political and economic dominance, is based largely on cheap coal. China knows it is becoming an uncontested superpower; indeed its newfound muscular confidence was on striking display in Copenhagen. Its coal-based economy doubles every decade, and its power increases commensurately. Its leadership will not alter this magic formula unless they absolutely have to.

Copenhagen was much worse than just another bad deal, because it illustrated a profound shift in global geopolitics. This is fast becoming China's century, yet its leadership has displayed that multilateral environmental governance is not only not a priority, but is viewed as a hindrance to the new superpower's freedom of action. I left Copenhagen more despondent than I have felt in a long time. After all the hope and all the hype, the mobilisation of thousands, a wave of optimism crashed against the rock of global power politics, fell back, and drained away.

<http://pix01.revsci.net/E05516/a3/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/noscript.gif>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 8:13 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I could do at 9:15 or 9:30 if that's ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 18:45:26 2009
Subject: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:22 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I'm waiting for Jack's talking points which I have to have before the call. Can you make sure he emails them to me at this address and copies Cheryl. If he has a fax, that's ok to do in addition.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:19:14 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Reached out.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:12:59 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Yes--but it may be too late tonight so also ask about tomorrow. It's about the budget and the OMB are anxious to close so I need to reach him in the next 12 hours since Jack just got the final word.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:11:23 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I haven't yet.
Wouldn't have done that without your clearance but I can do so right now if you are ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:01:27 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Jack said you had told him I could call the POTUS tonight about the budget. Did you reach out to the White House about the call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 21:41:31 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Ok calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 20:12:54 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I could do at 9:15 or 9:30 if that's ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 18:45:26 2009
Subject: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:08 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: 'Russorv@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

I'm forwarding to Rob and Huma to see if we can get letters done this am--typed is fine.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:54:16 2009
Subject: Fw: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

Fyi - we should do handwritten notes to them

From: Jacobs, Janice L
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Thu Dec 24 08:20:38 2009
Subject: FW: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE

I thought I would share this heartfelt message from Patricia Lamego de Teixeira Soares, the head of Brazil's Central Authority, the office responsible for Hague Convention abduction cases. You will see that in spite of our efforts to protect the son, the Brazilian family chose to make a spectacle outside the Consulate this morning by parading Sean through a crowd. Ms. Lamego recently visited the U.S. where she met with members of Congress and others to explain all that the Brazilian Central Authority was doing to reunite Sean and his father under the Hague Convention. The delays we saw in this case were largely the result of a wealthy, well connected family able to influence court decisions.

We are all relieved and thrilled to see this long overdue but very happy ending. I should also highlight the amazing work done by US Mission Brazil. The consular staff, Charge, Acting DCM (Marie Damour, the Consul General in Brasilia), LegATT, RSO, and a host of others all played a role in this successful return. My OCS DAS, Michele Bond, and her dedicated team also played a big role in communicating with David, his lawyers, Congress, and the press. We had Members of Congress, former Assistant Secretaries and US Ambassadors all pitching in. While there were disagreements at times over tactics, we all agreed that we were working towards the same goal: the safe return of Sean.

We particularly appreciate the strong support from the Secretary. Many people will try to take credit for today's happy reunion but it was the Secretary's continued concern and interest in this case that made the difference. We could not have provided a better gift to David Goldman during this season of giving. Janice

From: Bond, Michele T
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 7:56 AM
To: Jacobs, Janice L
Subject: FW: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE
Importance: High

Here is the message from Patricia Lamego. Heartfelt.

B6

From: Patricia Lamego de Teixeira Soares
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 6:56 AM
To: Bond, Michele T; Pacheco, Martha A; Cardiel, Daisy; Damour, Marie C; Weinz, Joanna; Mazin, Tanya; 'peter.quilter@mail.house.gov'; 'janice.kaguyutan@mail.house.gov'; 'Noonan, Mary McDermott'; Hickey, Mary E; 'Ricardo Zamariola Junior'
Cc: Lalisa Froeder Dittrich; Stella Freitas Chamarelli; Juliana Paes De Castro; Laila Kesya Tavares De Lima
Subject: END OF THE GOLDMAN CASE
Importance: High

Dear All,

The Goldman case finally came to an end, and despite the efforts of all involved the hand over of the child to the US Consulate in Rio was transformed into a deplorable display of the child walking in the streets with the maternal family, being exposed to the media and to the public that surrounded the consulate.

I´m sorry this had to happen but it seems that Mr. Sergio Tostes, the maternal family´s attorney, did not feel at all embarrased to use and expose the child, who was probably under severe stress, in order to gather support for his position. This most despicable man is not accepting the fact that, despite his life long relationships with influential authorities in Brazil, he was unable to win the case and Sean is finally being reunited with his only real father.

I just hope that David and the Goldman family find enough time to recover their lives and their relationship with Sean. They need time more than anything else and now it is up to the US government to guarantee that David Goldman will

not have to face the maternal family once again in the US courts where, according to Mr. Tostes last declaration to the Brazilian press, he will "fight to defend the child".

Please allow David Goldman to live in peace with his son and have the life that he deserves after fighting so much for so many years.

In my name and in the name of all the staff of the Brazilian Central Authority I wish David, Sean, and their family all the best and a most happy life once they are finally back in the United States.

And to all of you who worked so much in support of this case, our deepest gratitude. We wish you all a Merry Christmas and a very Happy New Year.

Best regards,

Patricia Lamego T. Soares

Coordinator

Autoridade Central Administrativa Federal

Secretaria Especial dos Direitos Humanos - PR

Ministério da Justiça, Ed. Sede, Sala 212

Cep. 70064-900 Brasília -DF

Tel: (61) 2025-3481 / Fax: (61) 2025-3261

www.presidencia .gov.br/sedh

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:12 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fyi - press clips won't come today or tomorrow cause of holiday

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Oscar Flores; H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:11:28 2009
Subject: Fyi - press clips won't come today or tomorrow cause of holiday

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 25, 2009 10:56 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 25 22:41:06 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Dec 25 06:44:44 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 25 06:27:36 2009
Subject: Fw: Happy Holidays!

Fyi and happy christmas

----- Original Message -----
From: Michele Duvivier
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Dec 24 19:38:14 2009
Subject: Happy Holidays!

Dear Cheryl,

B6

I want you to know that last month a friend of mine convinced me to apply for a fellowship at Harvard/Institute of Politics, and I did. A few days ago, I got a call from the Program Director who informed me that I was accepted. I am very excited about it and think it will be a very good opportunity to share my experience with students who are learning theories about democracy and development.

Cheryl, I am going to be bold and ask you if you would accept my invitation to come and speak to the students while I am there. I am asked and encouraged to invite important personalities who can talk of their experience in government and I thought of you. I would be thrilled if you accept. I will be there from end of January until the beginning of May next year. I have also invited President Moreno of IDB who accepted and I am waiting for an answer from Michaelle Jean, the General Governor of Canada.

I wish you the very best, to you and your family for Christmas and the New Year. I hope our roads cross again as we thrive to better the lives of so many people who deserve it. Please extend my wished to the Secretary of State. I admire her and her work.

Warm regards,

Michele

Michele Duvivier Pierre-Louis

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 26, 2009 8:05 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

Are you calling me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 25 22:41:06 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

[redacted] B6

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Dec 25 06:44:44 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Holidays!

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 25 06:27:36 2009
Subject: Fw: Happy Holidays!

Fyi and happy christmas

----- Original Message -----
From: Michele Duvivier [redacted]
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Dec 24 19:38:14 2009
Subject: Happy Holidays!

Dear Cheryl,

B6

I want you to know that last month a friend of mine convinced me to apply for a fellowship at Harvard/Institute of Politics, and I did. A few days ago, I got a call from the Program Director who informed me that I was accepted. I am very excited about it and think it will be a very good opportunity to share my experience with students who are learning theories about democracy and development.

Cheryl, I am going to be bold and ask you if you would accept my invitation to come and speak to the students while I am there. I am asked and encouraged to invite important personalities who can talk of their experience in government and I thought of you. I would be thrilled if you accept. I will be there from end of January until the beginning of May next year. I have also invited President Moreno of IDB who accepted and I am waiting for an answer from Michaelle Jean, the General Governor of Canada.

I wish you the very best, to you and your family for Christmas and the New Year. I hope our roads cross again as we thrive to better the lives of so many people who deserve it. Please extend my wished to the Secretary of State. I admire her and her work.

Warm regards,

Michele

Michele Duvivier Pierre-Louis

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 28, 2009 11:51 AM
To: 'PosnerMH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: WPost editorial

Thx, Mike.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Posner, Michael H <PosnerMH@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills@ <cheryl.mills@ ; daniel.baer@ <daniel.baer ; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Cc: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>; preines@ <preines@
Sent: Mon Dec 28 11:30:59 2009
Subject: RE: WPost editorial

B5

We will draft a response and get it to you. We are also compiling other commentary -- most of which has been very favorable. In a series of meetings we have had with the democracy and human rights groups, the response has been overwhelmingly positive.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 28, 2009 10:59 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ Posner, Michael H; 'daniel.baer@ ; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Crowley, Philip J; 'preines@
Subject: WPost editorial

B6

I thought the argument they made yesterday about the human rights speech was either deliberately obtuse or clueless. Either way, we need to respond and refute. Can you have a response drafted and sent to me? Also, I'd like to see a summary of points made by other commentary. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:57 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

Did we regret this?

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 10:42:56 2009
Subject: Re: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 08:14:56 2009
Subject: Re: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

Madam Secretary,
That would be more than fine. I appreciate you even looking to see if this may work.
On another note I wanted to send congratulations to you on the announcement of your daughters engagement. I know you are proud of her and rightfully so.
Also I had dinner last night with a mutual friend of ours, Sen Lindsay Graham. Lindsay always talks how much he likes you and said if I were to be in contact with you to say hi from him.
Stay well and I look forward to hearing and seeing you soon.
All my best,
Terry

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Duffy, Terry
Sent: Wed Dec 02 05:14:23 2009
Subject: Re: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

Terry--

So sorry I haven't responded before but I've been hip deep in the rollout of the Afghanistan strategy. I am trying hard to accept this invite but haven't been able to nail down. We will let you know one way or the other by end of next week. Is that ok?

I hope you, your family and the futures markets are all well! My very best wishes, Hillary

----- Original Message -----

From: Duffy, Terry [redacted] B6
To: H2; H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 10:02:38 2009
Subject: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

Madam Secretary-

I hope you, the President and Chelsea had a great Thanksgiving. Hopefully things will wind down and you'll be able to spend some time with your family. As you know, I'm being honored by the American Friends of the Hebrew University on Thursday, March 11, 2010. I'd be so honored if you would consider being the keynote speaker. I've had some correspondence with Lona in your office and she indicated you may have a better idea of your 2010 calendar in early November. It would mean the world to me if you could do it, but if not I completely understand.

I look forward to seeing you soon.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
P: 312-930-2000
F: 312-930-2040
[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 3:09 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ B6
Subject: Re: Still trying to connect but

I agree w Philippe.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 15:03:53 2009
Subject: RE: Still trying to connect but

agree - point I have been making.

but you should know push is coming from PIR b/c he believes we are putting ourselves at center where we don't belong so he is pushing them to make clear lines of distinction and that nuance is getting lost in the blame game (particularly b/c initial stories suggested State had failed to revoke visas
-- which was an inaccurate characterization)

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:34 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills@
Subject: Still trying to connect but

If we don't until you are off train, I am concerned that State not come across as defensive and blame-shifting to NCTC the way Ian and PJ sounded yesterday. We should say we are investigating and will work w other parts of govt to be sure we are all as vigilant and effective as possible. Do you agree?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 2:01 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Cambodia Returns 20 Uighurs to China

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 19 13:59:53 2009
Subject: Fw: Cambodia Returns 20 Uighurs to China

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat Dec 19 10:21:00 2009
Subject: Cambodia Returns 20 Uighurs to China

(SBU) The Cambodian Ministry of Interior confirmed 20 Uighurs departed on a charter flight to China at 0915 EST / 2115 local, according to Embassy Phnom Penh. The whereabouts of two other Uighurs who had previously fled are unknown. Post adds the two are carrying letters of protection from the UNHCR.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 3:30 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@ B6
Subject: Re: Still trying to connect but

I'm free until 6. Email before you call so I can be sure to connect w you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 15:12:19 2009
Subject: RE: Still trying to connect but

we're doing a call at 4pm; doing a 3:30pm with Prince about future; on a call now re development speech.

Will try you later this afternoon.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 3:09 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills@
Subject: Re: Still trying to connect but

I agree w Philippe.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 15:03:53 2009
Subject: RE: Still trying to connect but

agree - point I have been making.

but you should know push is coming from PIR b/c he believes we are putting ourselves at center where we don't belong so he is pushing them to make clear lines of distinction and that nuance is getting lost in the blame game (particularly b/c initial stories suggested State had failed to revoke visas
-- which was an inaccurate characterization)

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:34 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills@
Subject: Still trying to connect but

If we don't until you are off train, I am concerned that State not come across as defensive and blame-shifting to NCTC the way Ian and PJ sounded yesterday. We should say we are investigating and will work w other parts of govt to be sure we are all as vigilant and effective as possible. Do you agree?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 9:54 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Response in posner's name

Ok w me. Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 29 21:20:16 2009
Subject: FW: Response in posner's name

This is the close to final that will go in Posner's rather than your name.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 5:23 PM
To: Baer, Daniel B; Posner, Michael H; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Reines, Philippe I; Crowley, Philip J; Schwerin, Daniel B
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Response in posner's name

My edits in caps below.

The Post's Dec 27 editorial ("Redefining Human Rights") on the Obama Administration's human rights and democracy policies, and Secretary Clinton's recent speech at Georgetown in particular, misses THE CRITICAL POINT: human rights, democracy, and development are mutually reinforcing, not competing goals. They complement each other in the [DELETE: practical] task of making human rights a human reality.

President Roosevelt understood the fundamental link between liberty, prosperity and security, tying together freedom of speech and worship with freedom from want and freedom from fear in his famous "Four Freedoms" speech. At Georgetown, Secretary Clinton articulated a 21st century vision grounded in this [DELETE: old] wisdom.

To accuse Secretary Clinton of embracing a Soviet-bloc approach to human rights because she includes development in a discussion of democracy not only strains the bonds of credulity and common sense, it also walls off a crucial avenue for ensuring that people can actually exercise the rights that are naturally theirs - including the rights to freedom of religion, association, and speech-in their daily lives.

AS SECRETARY CLINTON MADE CLEAR, policies that address development alone are not adequate: "Freedom doesn't come in half measures, and partial remedies

will not solve the whole problem," she said. But experience shows us that where broad-based development occurs, people are more likely to demand a say in securing their futures, and governments are more likely to have the resources to maintain the strong institutions, including reliable police and fair courts, that help ensure the consistent protection of rights. Where people are given the right to speak freely, to participate in their nation's political process and have access to basic information about government, sustainable development is [DELETE: much] more likely to occur. At the same time, democracies without development do not last. These are mutually reinforcing tracks, and the Obama Administration is committed to pursuing both simultaneously.

As President Obama said in his Nobel lecure, "engagement with repressive regimes lacks the satisfying purity of indignation." But the Post's overheated outrage ignores the [DELETE: practical] lessons learned by generations of men and women who worked to advance human rights around the world.

[DELETE: And t]To suggest that Secretary Clinton somehow provided excuses to rights-abusing dictators in a speech in which she forcefully critized specific abuses across the world, is simply not credible. Far from being an excuse for any regime, this is an approach that pushes governments to do more to advance civil and political rights and to ensure that democracy actually delivers a measure of prosperity and opportunity for all. It's the right approach for 21st century.

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 7:54 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US B6

Mira patel in sp told me she is already starting to do this. Do you want someone in drl.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Dec 22 07:50:48 2009
Subject: FW: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

I think this is a good idea – what do you think?

cdm

From: Richard Socarides
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 7:50 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: voanews.com: Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

There is a lot of appreciation for everything the Dept has done around this so far and I think you could really build on it by putting someone there in charge of international LGBT human rights issues.

Africa's Anti-Gay Laws Spark Accusations and Denials in US

Nico Colombant | Washington 21 December 2009

Photo: AP

Evangelical pastor Rick Warren, 23 Jun 2009

Anti-gay laws sweeping through East Africa have sparked a debate in the United States about the possible influence of American evangelical leaders and lawmakers with strong ties in that region. U.S. activists are also shedding new light on the risks that gays face in East Africa.

In his book called The Family, American journalist Jeff Sharlet criticizes a group of influential American Christian leaders and conservative lawmakers with close ties to politicians in East Africa.

He accuses them of treating some of the countries they deal with as social and political experiments.

"It has become sort of a Frankenstein's monster and is the family going to take responsibility for the empowerment they have given to some of these politicians?," asked Jeff Sharlet.

In his book, Sharlet specifically refers to politicians in Uganda proposing an anti-gay law that would criminalize homosexuality. The law, which could be passed within weeks despite international condemnation, includes possible punishment by death.

Anti-gay laws were recently passed in Burundi, are being discussed in Rwanda, and could soon be expanded in Kenya and Tanzania.

The American group Sharlet calls The Family is also known as The Fellowship. It organizes an annual National Prayer Breakfast with the U.S. president and foreign guests, but besides that activity shuns publicity and does not openly list its members.

But Sharlet says more American reporters are looking into the group and its alleged ties to Africa. These include helping African politicians with money so they can push socially conservative agendas.

"We see reporters in Iowa, in Pennsylvania, and in Oklahoma, going to their elected representatives who have a link to this whole thing and saying 'tell us about the link'," he said. "They are not accusing them of anything, they are saying, 'You have a relationship with the Ugandan government, what is the nature of that relationship? And, are you going to use that influence to oppose this legislation that seems to be supported by some of your allies?'"

Religious leaders like Rick Warren have also been under the microscope. He is the senior pastor of the California-based Saddleback church, which is active in both Uganda and Rwanda.

After being questioned about his role by U.S. media, Warren issued a video message on the Internet, denying his support for the law in Uganda.

"As an American pastor, it is not my role to interfere with the politics of other nations, but it is my role to speak out on moral issues and it is my role to shepherd other pastors who look to me for guidance, and it is my role to correct lies and errors and false reports when others associate my name with a law that I had nothing to do with, [and which] I completely oppose and I vigorously condemn," said Rick Warren. "I am referring to the pending law under consideration by the Ugandan parliament, known as the Anti-Homosexuality Bill."

Rwanda's parliament is also working to pass a law which would criminalize homosexuality but Warren has yet to address his church's role in that matter. In 2005, he called Rwanda a "purpose driven nation." Warren went with dozens of American evangelicals to hold meetings with government ministers, lawmakers and donate materials to churches across Rwanda.

Several American lawmakers who have been linked to the Fellowship, like Nevada Senator John Ensign have called the Ugandan law proposal "outrageous". But others like Kansas Senator Sam Brownback, who went to Uganda in 2004, have said they do not know enough about the bill to comment.

The executive director of the U.S.-based International Gay and Lesbian Human Rights Commission, Cary Alan Johnson, welcomes some of the questions now being asked.

"It is a debate that has to happen and we are glad it is happening," said Cary Alan Johnson. "Rwanda and Uganda are both countries with deeply spiritual people and as of late we have understood that some of the more conservative elements in the United States have been funding the most violent and homophobic discourses about homosexuality."

Johnson says those seeking to push an agenda should understand the consequences of their actions. He warns anti-gay laws could worsen already high HIV levels in east Africa.

"The impact of these laws on HIV prevention is extremely worrisome to us," he said. "Laws we are talking about in Uganda, Rwanda, will make it impossible for groups to do HIV education that targets men who have sex with men or that targets bisexual people and we know that there is a strong impact of HIV on this community and on society in general."

Lisa Laurel Weinberg, from the Massachusetts Lutheran Social Services Human Rights Protection Project, says the situation is already very difficult. In her other job as an asylum attorney, she recently helped a young Ugandan woman win asylum to the United States. The woman's apartment had been raided by police while she was inside with her girlfriend.

"The police broke in and brought them to the police station and raped them in what is called a corrective rape," said Lisa Laurel Weinberg. "It is when males of authority try and correct lesbianism through rape."

But Weinberg warns trying to fight the new laws from the outside is very complicated.

"I got an e-mail yesterday that said be really careful and check with Ugandans before you do any advocacy from the West, because us imposing our values, they are really sensitive to the whole colonialism history, so that the email cautioned be careful what you do and let it come from them," she said.

Some prominent politicians and journalists in East Africa have called homosexuality the "white man's disease." Pro-gay groups in the region, which are mostly underground, say they are becoming increasingly afraid to ask for help, and believe outside interference could worsen the persecution they face.

Find this article at:

http://www1.voanews.com/english/news/human-rights/Africas-Anti-Gay-Laws-Spark-Accusations-and-Denials-in-US-79853182.html

--

Richard Socarides, Esq.
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 1:59 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Secure fax

Ok. When will I call Okada? Kusa? Robinson and McGuinness? Kouchener?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 13:54:27 2009
Subject: Secure fax

In approx 5 minutes, there is a secure fax coming with the following:

1. Action memo that proposes a call to Gates
2. Memo from Sec. Napolitano
3. Note from Ellen Tauscher
4. Memo from both Consular Affairs and Holbrooke

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:32 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Secure fax

When will you be ready to talk schedule?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:28:49 2009
Subject: RE: Secure fax

Yes line dropped
Rest is coming now

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:28 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Secure fax

Only Napolitano memo came thru.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 13:54:27 2009
Subject: Secure fax

In approx 5 minutes, there is a secure fax coming with the following:

1. Action memo that proposes a call to Gates
2. Memo from Sec. Napolitano
3. Note from Ellen Tauscher
4. Memo from both Consular Affairs and Holbrooke

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 3:02 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I've done it twice now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:53:30 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Just pick up phone and hang it up. And leave it hung up.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:50:11 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I did.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:43:02 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

Yes but hang up one more time. So they can reestablish the line.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:39:39 2009
Subject: Re: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

I thought it was supposed to be off hook to work?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:38:52 2009
Subject: can you hang up the fax line, they will call again and try fax

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 3:59 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Secure fax

Ok. No need to rush it if you can't get info just tell me what day we would leave and return. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:36:02 2009
Subject: RE: Secure fax

Because of time difference, reaching all the embassies is challenging but I can type up something quickly and send somethig latter this afternoon so long as you know its all completely tentative.
Im doing a call in a few minutes with [redacted] and then have a call with phil Gordon to review EUR priorities cause we will be in Europe in less than a month, and it turns out that merkel is less flexible with dates than we thought.

B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Secure fax

When will you be ready to talk schedule?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 14:28:49 2009
Subject: RE: Secure fax

Yes line dropped
Rest is coming now

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 2:28 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Secure fax

Only Napolitano memo came thru.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 13:54:27 2009
Subject: Secure fax

In approx 5 minutes, there is a secure fax coming with the following:

1. Action memo that proposes a call to Gates
2. Memo from Sec. Napolitano
3. Note from Ellen Tauscher
4. Memo from both Consular Affairs and Holbrooke

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 4:14 PM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Merry Christmas

Ho, Ho, Ho! Don't forget the partridge in the pear tree!! Thx for a great year and here's to an even better 2010. I hope you enjoy the holidays and get rested up for another wild ride. All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 22 23:30:18 2009
Subject: Merry Christmas

We had a little fun at today's briefing. Our friends in the media have been bugging us regarding your holiday plans, so we announced your departure from Washington in the following way:

"...And finally, a few of you have asked about the schedule of the Secretary of State over the next few days. I can tell you this morning the Secretary departed Washington and she stopped at the North Pole for an important bilateral meeting with a well known international figure. During the meeting, in a formal demarche, sung to the tune of Twelve Days of Christmas, the Secretary outlined her aspirations for the new year. They include, and feel free to hum along: open and accountable governments, Middle East negotiations, more civilians in Afghanistan, empowerment of women, fewer nuclear weapons, respect for human rights, resolution of historic grievances, treaties through the United States Senate, Six-Party Talks, dialogue with Iran, enough food for people of the world to eat, climate change legislation, and lastly, a championship for the Boston Red Sox. Okay, that last one's not on her list, but Harold Koh and I thought it was important that we mention that here."

It is a privilege to be a part of your team. We wish you, the President and Chelsea all the best during the holiday season and look forward to great achievements in 2010, with one tiny exception.

PJ

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 5:05 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: London Conference

Holbrooke just told me he was going to London on 1/6 w Lute, Liz Sherwood-Randall and, maybe, Michele Flournoy. Do you think Phil Gordon and Jack or you should go?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:13 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Yes--but it may be too late tonight so also ask about tomorrow. It's about the budget and the OMB are anxious to close so I need to reach him in the next 12 hours since Jack just got the final word.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:11:23 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I haven't yet.
Wouldn't have done that without your clearance but I can do so right now if you are ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 22:01:27 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Jack said you had told him I could call the POTUS tonight about the budget. Did you reach out to the White House about the call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 21:41:31 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

Ok calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 23 20:12:54 2009
Subject: Re: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

I could do at 9:15 or 9:30 if that's ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 23 18:45:26 2009
Subject: Jack lew is asking to do a budget call at 7pm. Does that work?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:49 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

He told me that if the letters were delivered he would lift hold on Raj but not others. Can you verify?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:43:19 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

And I have 3 letters in my possession that we are handing to Kyl's office now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:36:33 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Just tried it but got a request for my mailbox on the system. Is this right #?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:34:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

His cell is: [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:31:31 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

I'm trying but so far no luck. Will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:14:41 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

You should call Kyl. I can't get to him.

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:08:17 2009
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2009 9:58 AM
To: 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Thank you! Will your team call people to tell them and explain to the Geneva folks what happened?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:56:18 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

He is clear and will be confirmed. Thank you and sorry for the fire drill.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:51:18 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Can we still get him confirmed today?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:49:39 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:48:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

He told me that if the letters were delivered he would lift hold on Raj but not others. Can you verify?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:43:19 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

And I have 3 letters in my possession that we are handing to Kyl's office now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:36:33 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

Just tried it but got a request for my mailbox on the system. Is this right #?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:34:48 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

B6

His cell is:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:31:31 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

I'm trying but so far no luck. Will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:14:41 2009
Subject: Re: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

You should call Kyl. I can't get to him.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thu Dec 24 09:08:17 2009
Subject: Trying to call you--call me thru ops.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:58 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call list

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Dec 29 10:42:29 2009
Subject: RE: Call list

ok

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:39 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Huma Abedin
Subject: Call list

Revise as follows:

I spoke w the following so remove--

Snowe
Yang
McConnell
Baugh
LaHood
Webb

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 10:58 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Revised Concept paper on tech dinner

Sounds good. We could do either dinner or lunch but would have to do next week if we hope it will influence/hype speech.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 22:50:42 2009
Subject: FW: Revised Concept paper on tech dinner

HRC:

Attached and below is the concept for a technology dinner that would lead up to speech.

Concept Paper for Dinner on Connection Technologies

B5

B5

Potential Invitees:

1) Padmasree Warrior, CISCO

2) Carol Bartz, Yahoo!

3) John Thompson, Symantec

4) Pierre Omidayr, Ebay and Omidyar Networks

5) Anthony Jiminez, MicroTech

6) Luis Ubinas, Ford Foundation

7) Julius Genachowski, Chairman FCC

8) Craig Mundie or Steve Ballmer, Microsoft

9) Steve Jobs, Apple

10)Michael Joseph, Safaricom and M-Pesa

11)Ory Okolloh, Ushahidi

12)Jack Dorsey, Twitter/Square

13)Eric Schmidt, Google

14)James Eberhard, Mobile Accord

15)Jason Liebman, Howcast

16)Carlos Slim, MexTel or Mo Ibrahim, former CelTel and now Mo Ibrahim Foundation

17)Clay Shirky, NYU

18)Andrew Rasiej, TechPresident

19)Jonathan Zittrain, Harvard

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2009 8:57 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop B6
Subject: Re: fyi: Tony...Sid

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 25 18:24:32 2009
Subject: fyi: Tony...Sid

Jonathan Powell tells me that remarks about Tony would be appreciated but that what would really be significant will be your conversation with Merkel. Sid

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 2:24 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Do we need to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 2:29 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Cc: Doug Band; Justin Cooper
Subject: Re: H: Latest on Tony, EU presidency, timing. Sid

Sid--

I'm copying Doug and Justin who are travelling w Bill since he will be in Europe and may have some ideas about what could be done, and asking that they share it w him and then get back to you. If I have any other ideas I will let you know.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Oct 28 14:10:00 2009
Subject: H: Latest on Tony, EU presidency, timing. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

October 27, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Latest on EU presidency

The political timing on the EU presidency is accelerating. Sarkozy and Merkel are meeting tonight (October 18) to discuss it. The Czech constitutional court will issue its verdict on the legality of the Lisbon treaty on November 3. Shortly after, perhaps that week, before you meet with Merkel on November 8-9, there may be an EU Council meeting to decide the matter. So you may want to weigh in before then.

Tony is somewhat downcast on his chances. (He met with Jim Hoagland of the Washington Post yesterday; his mood was described to me.) The Tories have ramped up their campaign against him—not only do they not want him but they also do not want an effective EU president. Cameron has come down on the side of the anti-EU wing of his party on this, an easy move. In an intriguing complication, there's talk now of David Miliband becoming EU foreign secretary if Tony does not become EU president. Miliband denied he was interested and endorsed Tony. This is part of the anti-Blair campaign ginned up by the Tories, extended to hurt Miliband if they can. Jonathan Powell is less gloomy than Tony, thinks everything is still in play, which is why your part in this may yet be important.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 11:10 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Kabul update 23:00 local / 16:30 EDT

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Oct 29 23:05:21 2009
Subject: FW: Kabul update 23:00 local / 16:30 EDT

fyi

From: Ricciardone, Francis J
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 2:45 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Eikenberry, Karl W
Subject: Kabul update 23:00 local / 16:30 EDT

Cheryl,

As of this hour of Thursday night there is no deal on an ironclad, substantive, political platform of national solidarity and governance reform, in return for Abdullah's gracious concession which would obviate the constitutional and political need for a problematic 2nd round.

Karl and I remain in close touch with the candidates as they continue their engagement. But short of a miracle, there will be no such deal at least until Friday evening or Saturday morning, if then.

Hence, as we understand the exigencies of our Boss's travel sked, it appears to be time to pull the plug: we won't be recommending before our Friday evening that she make a stop in Kabul on Saturday. The default remains: no Kabul stop.

Nonetheless, Abdullah has now set Saturday as the date for his address to the nation, and Karzai clearly wants both for him to concede, and to attract a visit of the Secretary. The best scenario would have been an announcement in the presence of the Secretary, as a trusted witness to whom the parties would be more or less politically accountable. If we

get happy news by Saturday morning and the Boss can't come then, or perhaps a day or two later on route home from the ME, then we'll likely be recommending that she again phone each of the two protagonists, followed by a positive public statement.

Frank

Francis J. Ricciardone

Deputy Ambassador

American Embassy

Kabul, Afghanistan

office tel: +93 700 198 475

mobile: [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 12:46 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: FYI: Decline and Fall of the British Empire, 2009 edition. Sid

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 00:28:09 2009
Subject: FYI: Decline and Fall of the British Empire, 2009 edition. Sid

<http://hits.gureport.co.uk/HG?hc=we89&cd=1&hv=6&ce=u&hb=DM550607A7RD;DM54102495BW&n={article}{France:+Autistic+Tories+have+castrated+UK+in+Europe}{p1300766}&vcon=/GU/Politics/Conservatives&seg=&cmp=&gp=&fnl=&pec=&dcmp=&ra=&gn=&cv=&ld=&la=&customerid=(none)&c1=usa&c2=(none)&c3=The+Guardian&c4=Conservatives,European+Union+(News),World+news,UK+news,David+Cameron,France,Politics&c5=&c6=Nicholas+Watt,+Patrick+Wintour+and+Allegra+Stratton&c7=2009_11_05>
<http://hits.guardian.co.uk/b/ss/guardiangu-politics,guardiangu-network,guardiandev2/1/H.20.3/2256?ns=guardian&pageName=France%3A+%27autistic+Tories+have+castrated+UK+in+Europe%27%3AArticle%3A1300766&ch=Politics&c3=Guardian&c4=Conservatives%2CEuropean+Union+%28News%29%2CWorld+news%2CUK+news%2CDavid+Cameron%2CFrance%2CPolitics&c6=Nicholas+Watt%2CPatrick+Wintour%2CAllegra+Stratton&c7=09-Nov-05&c8=1300766&c9=Article&c10=News&c11=Politics&c13=&c25=&c30=content&h2=GU%2FPolitics%2FConservatives&c2=GUID:(none)>

<http://s0.2mdn.net/1487790/Holiday_Capabilities-PersonalShopper-Fruitcake_728x90_YR.jpg>
http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type%3dclick%26FlightID%3d104667%26AdID%3d120943%26TargetID%3d40156%26Values%3d30,46,50,60,72,84,90,100,110,150,239,570,850,909,913,922,926,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1749,1837,1866,1932,2324,2362,2432,2467,2476,2844,3008,3183,3184,3311,3348,3756,3964,4285,4334,4371,4691,4786,4974,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5846,5897,5998,6009,6031,6032,6033,6048,6051,6129,6183,6238,6269,6282,6285,6286,6324,6341,6371,6382,6387,6403,6405%26Redirect%3d
<http://ads.guardian.co.uk/event.ng/Type=click&FlightID=104667&AdID=120943&TargetID=40156&Values=30,46,50,60,72,84,90,100,110,150,239,570,850,909,913,922,926,1389,1454,1461,1576,1667,1679,1749,1837,1866,1932,2324,2362,2432,2467,2476,2844,3008,3183,3184,3311,3348,3756,3964,4285,4334,4371,4691,4786,4974,5406,5527,5556,5646,5732,5739,5763,5773,5846,5897,5998,6009,6031,6032,6033,6048,6051,6129,6183,6238,6269,6282,6285,6286,6324,6341,6371,6382,6387,6403,6405&Redirect=http://a.collective-media.net/jump/cm.guardian/;sz=728x90;ord=bybyzoA,bfpeykucnjquK?>
<http://oas.guardian.co.uk/RealMedia/ads/click_nx.ads/www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2009/nov/04/france-autistic-tories-castrated-uk/oas.html/@Top>
guardian.co.uk home <http://www.guardian.co.uk>

France: 'Autistic Tories have castrated UK in Europe'

French Europe minister says David Cameron's pledge to reclaim EU powers is 'pathetic' and will leave Britain isolated

* Nicholas Watt <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/nicholaswatt> , Patrick Wintour <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/patrickwintour> and Allegra Stratton <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/allegrastratton>
* guardian.co.uk <http://www.guardian.co.uk> , Wednesday 4 November 2009 21.30 GMT

The Conservatives <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/conservatives> were accused by the French government tonight of "castrating" Britain's position within the EU by adopting an "autistic" approach that would take Britain off the radar.

Speaking to the Guardian, Pierre Lellouche, France's Europe minister, described as "pathetic" the Tories' EU plans announced today, warning they would not succeed "for a minute".

Giving vent to frustration across the EU, which has so far only been expressed in private, Lellouche – who said he was reflecting Nicolas Sarkozy's "sadness and regret" – accused William Hague, the shadow foreign secretary, of a "bizarre autism" in their discussions.

He said: "They have one line and they just repeat one line. It is a very bizarre sense of autism."

Lellouche, one of the most Anglophile members of Sarkozy's government, made his remarkable intervention after David Cameron <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/davidcameron> outlined a fresh Tory approach to the EU in the wake of the full ratification of the Lisbon treaty.

A future Conservative government would seek to strengthen British sovereignty and repatriate a series of powers over social and employment legislation, the Tory leader said.

Cameron said he was not seeking an immediate "bust-up" with the EU, and would allow the repatriation of powers negotiations to last as long as five years.

But that position was not enough for the Tory MEP Daniel Hannan, who quit his role as the party's legal affairs spokesman in Europe, saying he intended to fight for referendums to enable Britain to become "self-governing".

France's centre-right government condemned Cameron's plans, saying that they would marginalise Britain within the EU.

Abandoning all diplomatic niceties, Lellouche said: "It's pathetic. It's just very sad to see Britain, so important in Europe, just cutting itself out from the rest and disappearing from the radar map .... This is a culture of opposition ... It is the result of a long period of opposition. I know they will come back, but I hope the trip will be short." He said Cameron's approach was in line with the Tories' decision to abandon the main centre-right EPP grouping in the European parliament, of which Sarkozy's UMP party is a member.

"They are doing what they have done in the European parliament. They have essentially castrated your UK influence in the European parliament," he said.

Lellouche said he has told Hague personally that his position was a "waste of time for all of us".

"I have told William Hague: go away for two to three years, in your political economic situation you're going to be all by your self and you'll come back. Go ahead and do it. That is my message to them ... You want to be marginalised? Well, you go for it. But it's a waste of time for all of us," the French minister said.

Lellouche made clear the Tories had no hope of securing support for their plans. "It's not going to happen for a minute. Nobody is going to indulge in rewriting [treaties for] many, many years. Nobody is going to play with the institutions again. It's going to be take it or leave it and they should be honest and say that," he said. "It is a time of tumultuous waters all around us. Wars, terrorism, proliferation, Afghanistan, energy with Russia, massive immigration, economic crisis. It is time when the destiny of Europe is being defined – whether or not we will exist as a third of the world's GDP capable of fighting it out on climate, on trade, on every ... issue on the surface of the Earth.

"We need to be united, otherwise we will be wiped out and marginalised. None of us can do it alone. Whether you're big or small, the lesson is the same. And [Britain's] risk is one of marginalisation. Irrelevance." He explained: "Finally we have institutional package, but it took 15 years of looking at our navel and getting everybody bored to death with sterile debate".

In a sign of France's determination to resist the Tory plans to opt out of key EU legislation, Lellouche warned the French would attempt to reduce Britain's EU budget rebate which will be up for negotiation during the next parliament.

He pointed out that France is a net EU contributor to the tune of €5bn (£4.5bn), unlike Britain which receives a rebate.

"If we get a government that is ferociously anti European that will vote down this kind of legislation then I think the relationship is going to be very difficult. As we enter the next phase one of the issues we have to discuss midterm is of

course finances. France is a net contributor to the tune of €5bn a year, of which €1.5bn is the same as British rebate. That should tell you quite a bit huh?" he said.

Cameron will be angered by the attack, and what it implies for Tory relations with the rest of Europe although in the short term he will be more concerned to ensure his strategy of abandoning a referendum on the Lisbon treaty does not provoke cries of betrayal on his backbenches.

Hague reacted to the French criticism by insisting that Lellouche's comments did not represent the true reception of the Tories' plans in Europe.

"That is not how we are seen across Europe," he told BBC2's Newsnight, adding his party had established good relations with Sarkozy's party.

"I don't think you will find that's representative of the reaction in Paris or other European capitals," he added.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 6:18 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Memo: Berlin speeches. Sid
Attachments: hrc berlin speech notes 110509.docx

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: lmuscatine [redacted] SullivanJJ@state.gov <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thu Nov 05 18:07:23 2009
Subject: Memo: Berlin speeches. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 5, 2009-11-05

For Hillary:
From: Sid
CC: Lissa
Re: Berlin speeches

Below are my notes for speeches in Berlin. The themes I stress are these: the importance of Berlin and the Brandenburg Gate as symbols of freedom; the weight of history (always felt by Germans); the contribution of the US and the salience of the Western alliance; the meaning of 1989 to today and especially the continuity of the Western alliance; and segue from past to future.

What I have not done here but might be added are references to Angela Merkel (a courageous woman from the east who embodies the highest values of the West); and specific policies. Those can be fitted in.

So, some ideas and language:

Twenty years ago the wall fell. Here, in Berlin, the moment when tens of thousands of citizens tore it down with hammers and picks and bare hands is called "Die Wende," a phrase that means more than simply a change but a turning point in history. It was not only a turning point for Germany and Europe but also for the United States because the wall—the reason for its construction and the reason for its destruction—is central to our common history—and to our common future.

Richard von Weizsaecker said, "As long as the Brandenburg Gate is closed, the German question will remain open." Today I can say with finality, the German question is closed, because the Brandenburg Gate is wide open! The gate is open, Europe is open, and our future together is open.

In the forty years from the Berlin airlift to the fall of the Berlin Wall, the Atlantic partnership proved itself the most successful alliance for freedom in history. That alliance was, is and shall be the crowning achievement of American foreign policy. And the German – American relationship has always served as its foundation. Our alliance represents the reconciliation of former enemies, an inspiration to other peoples and continents devastated by war and hatred, and it must never be taken for granted.

In the twenty years since the fall of the wall we have created architecture for an enduring peace. Instead of a wall we have built a gateway to the future. President Kennedy said in 1963 that in the future free men everywhere will say, "Ich bin ein Berliner." Today Berlin has gained a new and even more powerful meaning. Forever into the future, the opening of this Gate will be the symbol of the return of the dream of freedom, not only to Berlin and Germany, but to the entire world.

The Gate, we must remember, was not built as a symbol of freedom. And each of the tyrannies that used the Gate as a symbol believed it represented their eternal power. The Gate was first erected as a symbol against the French Revolution; then it became a symbol of the imperial ambitions of Napoleon who marched his army through. It was a symbol of Prussian militarism and monarchy. It was seized by the Nazi Party as the symbol of its 1000 year rule. And then it became a symbol of the Communist totalitarianism that built a wall to separate the people of Berlin as though freedom could be contained in only one part of the city. Here, in Berlin, the Cold War was coldest.

We celebrate the fact that the wall that marred this proud city was taken down by the world's most enthusiastic wrecking crew. The United States always believed in the freedom of Berlin because we believed in the future of freedom. The courageous citizens of East Germany, Poland and other nations of the Communist bloc demonstrated their dedication to free and peaceful solution of conflicts through their stand against dictatorship. America stood resolutely as an ally at every moment of this struggle and moved swiftly to help the peoples of Europe reunite after 1989.

We celebrate the steadiness of purpose, the restraint and respect for ultimate goals that allowed the Cold War to end in peace. For how the victory was won was essential to the triumph. 1989 was special. It was that rarest of historical events: a revolution that did not descend into violence. As a result the Gate survives not as a cautionary monument to history's darkest moments but to its brightest. Today we celebrate the revolution of 1989, the turning point that transformed the Brandenburg Gate into the symbol of the hope of democracy and the promise of freedom.

Berliner Luft, the air of Berlin, has always been unique. I can feel and smell the spirit of freedom in your streets. The great American poet Carl Sandburg called Berlin the Chicago of Europe. Chicago is where I was born and raised. I can sense the same energy, openness and dedication to hard work in both great cities. Another Chicagoan, President Barack Obama, was drawn to Berlin last July, a city that he said made him feel like a citizen of the world and a citizen of the future.

I was very proud to visit our new Embassy at the Brandenburg Gate, located exactly where the Wall used to run. Our presence here will forever underscore our determination that the spark of freedom from this city in 1989 will help light the torches of freedom throughout the world.

The revolution of 1989 did not mark the end of history. Having made history together, we are summoned to secure freedom for future generations. As vital at the beginning of the 21st century as it was in the last half of the 20th, the Western alliance is called to new tasks. The dreams of 1989 reached far—and have farther to reach. Old hatreds and conflicts—in the Balkins, the Caucauses, in the Middle East, East Asia, and Africa—still simmer. We have much to demonstrate what we have learned from the last century. Without freedom, without the rule of law, without the opportunity for people to support themselves and their families – without basic human rights-- anger, aggression and violence will prevail.

Today we are faced with difficult and often dangerous conflicts arising not from conflicts between nations but within them, conflicts exploited by zealots who make the false claim that violence will purify the world and open the gates of heaven. Once zealots tried to use this Gate to symbolize that message. But through the courage and determination of the people of Berlin and their stalwart allies, this Gate now stands for a true revolution of the spirit. The long twilight struggle to tear down this wall to make Europe whole and free inspires us, in this new century, to help other peoples gain the same measure of security, respect and progress.

We need Berlin more than ever today. We need your courage, your fortitude, your commitment to the rights of men—and women—we need your example. We are hardly at the end of the history of the West. Standing here, where a wall once stood but is no more, in the light from the Gate, we have learned from history's deepest lessons. Our alliance, linked by shared struggle, dedicated to the ideals of freedom and democracy, strong from the world we have built, now faces a new world of challenges. And we are ready to meet and conquer them. We know it has been done before. We are free men—and women—and proud to say, We are all Berliners.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 7:25 AM
To: 'jonespw2@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: AfPak papers

There's a lot of good work in these papers and I thank everyone who helped. I have the following questions:

Why is there overlap btw what we sent over to the NSC already and what we have compiled into the larger presentation? By my review, Tabs 1, 3, and 6 are in both packages. If we want to include these in both, we need an annotated index that lists all the papers not just the main tabs.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2009 1:40 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

Ok w me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 31 23:25:14 2009
Subject: Re: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

I actually think it makes sense and may help - so I am happy to nnavvigate it for him

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Oct 31 18:41:49 2009
Subject: Re: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

How do you suggest we respond?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 30 06:12:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

See below

From: Scott Gration [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Shortley, Timothy R; Burns, William J; Steinberg, James B
Sent: Fri Oct 30 02:56:14 2009
Subject: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

Cheryl, I'd appreciate your passing this note to Secretary Clinton. I'd rather not have this idea swirling around in email circles until she gets an opportunity to evaluate the concept. With gratitude, Scott
==========

[redacted] B5

B5

--
Jonathan S. Gration, Maj Gen (Ret)
President's Special Envoy to Sudan
O: (202) 647-5855

B6

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760093 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

The Secretary's Participation in the Forum for the Future
Tuesday, November 3, 2009

CONTEXT

You will participate in the Sixth Annual Forum for the Future and deliver a speech that will highlight the importance of partnership within and across societies to address common issues and elaborate on the steps the United States has taken to enact the President's Cairo vision. This year's co-chairs, Italy and Morocco, and civil-society groups selected political, economic, and social themes for discussion, the latter of which includes issues such as education and the empowerment of women. **Your participation in the Forum shows continued U.S. support for indigenous efforts of reform and development, and reinforces the importance the U.S. attaches to greater cooperation between Broader Middle East and North Africa (BMENA) governments and civil-society organizations in the region.**

KEY OBJECTIVES/ANNOTATED AGENDA:

I. Opening Session

The Forum's co-chairs, Moroccan Foreign Minister Fassi Fihri and Italian Foreign Minister Frattini, will deliver opening remarks. You will then deliver remarks for approximately 15-20 minutes.

II. Theme 1 – Political Reform / Local Governance and Democracy

The key to advancing and sustaining progress is action on the local level; civil society groups and governments must develop effective working relationships to benefit their citizens. While expanding the role of civil society is a long-term effort between the citizens of the BMENA countries and their governments, we see progress in some areas, including increased women's political participation, such as in Morocco and Kuwait. The region's civil society continues to work toward broadening the space for citizens to participate actively in public affairs, particularly at the local level. Regrettably, some governments in the region have sought to curtail the essential freedoms of expression and association. The United States supports opening the political space for civil society to contribute ideas and priorities to government policy-makers in shaping the future of the BMENA countries.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760093 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760093 Date: 06/30/2015

III. Theme 2 – Economic Reform / The Impact of the Financial Crisis on the Economics of the BMENA Region

Sustainable progress requires opening spaces for innovation and creativity, particularly for young people and women. Expanding opportunities for entrepreneurs is key to addressing job creation, supporting economic development, and furthering civic engagement. By assisting the growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) through easing business regulations, enhancing transparency and accountability, and improving access to financing, countries foster economic growth and give society new sources of resilience. Additionally, educational systems need to be responsive to labor-market needs. The United States supports employment-focused approaches to education, as well as engagement with the private sector to tackle comprehensively the obstacles that hinder economic growth and development in the region.

IV. Theme 3 – Social Development: A New Perspective on Human Security

The United States supports sustainable development in all sectors of society. Women's empowerment – political, economic, social, and cultural – is a prerequisite for progress. Women across the region have been breaking silence around many controversial issues, from breast cancer to domestic violence. We applaud these efforts and will continue to support women who are working to raise the levels of education, health, rights, and opportunity in their countries.

V. Closing Session

Concluding remarks will be delivered by the 2009 civil-society co-partners, the Moroccan Organization for Human Rights and Italy's No Peace Without Justice. Canada, as the next G8 president and Forum co-host in 2010, and the next BMENA co-host will discuss plans and priorities for the 2010 Forum. After Foreign Minister Frattini's closing remarks, Foreign Minister Fassi-Fihri will present the Chair's Summary. The summary is traditionally drafted by the regional co-chair and is not subject to negotiations, to avoid being bogged down in conflict.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760093 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 3, 2009 3:14 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Come see me when you can.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 6:32 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'balderstonkm@state.gov'; 'toivnf@state.gov'
Cc: 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Ed Luce article

Great article. Thx to all. I'm copying PJ and Philippe to see if they can it into US press coverage of us and our efforts.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>; Toiv, Nora F <ToivNF@state.gov>
Cc: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 05:55:18 2009
Subject: RE: Ed Luce article

Nice!

From: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 5:30 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: Ed Luce article

Finally a decent article

Go Yanks

From: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 5:28 PM
To: Reines, Philippe I; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Ed Luce article

Clinton set to smooth Obama's visit to China

By Edward Luce in Washington and Geoff Dyer in Beijing

Published: November 4 2009 02:00 | Last updated: November 4 2009 02:00

Hillary Clinton is on course to raise the $61m in private money needed to build a US pavilion for a trade fair in Shanghai next year, clearing one of the main foreign policy obstacles ahead of Barack Obama's state visit to China next week.

Mrs Clinton, whose first visit as secretary of state was to China, inherited a situation where the US was the only country other than Andorra that had failed to confirm its attendance at the Shanghai Expo - to the chagrin of the Chinese.

America's absence from the fair, running from May to October, was the first issue to be raised by the Chinese when Mrs Clinton visited in February. "The Chinese were very upset," says Elizabeth Baghley, who heads Mrs Clinton's office for global partnerships. "When she came back from China she said: 'Whatever it takes, this matters to China and to US business and we are going to get this done'."

The task was more arduous than expected. Unlike all the other participants in what is expected to be the largest trade fair in history, the US administration is barred by federal law from spending a single public dollar on global trade fairs. Mrs Clinton, who has extensive experience at fundraising as a presidential and senatorial candidate, was also prevented from asking for money herself.

The bureaucratic obstacles were made worse by the fact the Chinese had already tapped large US companies, such as Cisco and Coca-Cola, to spend money on their own corporate pavilions, which will face their national counterparts across the river around the fair in Shanghai. The situation was retrieved when three companies - Chevron, GE and PepsiCo - were persuaded to put up $5m (€3.4m, £3m) each. So far $46m has been pledged.

Mrs Clinton's emphasis on getting a US pavilion contrasted strongly with the attitude of the Bush administration. Some even referred disparagingly to the "Chi-comms" - an old-fashioned abbreviation for Chinese communists. "The state department basically saw it as a lost cause," says one state department official. "Some people laughed when they heard Hillary wanted to do this."

Shanghai has huge hopes for the Expo, which it sees as a chance to cement the city's global brand as an international financial centre and modern metropolis. With an official budget of Rmb28.6bn ($4.2bn) and 70m expected visitors over the six months, the Expo has been marketed as the sort of coming-out party for Shanghai that last year's Olympics was for Beijing - and an event to rival the great 19th century world fairs in London, Paris and Chicago.

Given such heady expectations for what will be the world's largest fair, the absence of the US would have been considered a huge slight and a loss of face for the Chinese. Mindful of how much it mattered to the Chinese, Mrs Clinton saw it as an opportunity to build their trust. During strategic and economic talks with Chinese counterparts in Washington last summer, Mrs Clinton vowed she would get it done even if she had to "build it myself, brick by brick".

Mrs Clinton was also backed by John Huntsman, the US ambassador to China and former governor of Utah, who repeatedly told Washington how much symbolic value it would bring to China.

Mrs Clinton, who will be with the US president on his state visit next week, is expected to visit the site and may be in a position to announce that all the money has been raised.

Copyright <http://www.ft.com/servicestools/help/copyright> The Financial Times Limited 2009. Print a single copy of this article for personal use. Contact us <http://specials.ft.com/cgi-bin/Specials/Common/nph-SubmitForm.cgi?Form=ContactUs> if you wish to print more to distribute to others.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 6:32 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Who is he again?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 05:49:35 2009
Subject: FW:

fyi

-----Original Message-----
From: stephen.warnath [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 9:18 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject:

Hi Cheryl,
I'm just checking on you! Hope you are doing well. I loved what I heard about the Secretary's statements in Pakistan - very refreshing. Keep doing all the good things you're doing.
Steve
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 7:25 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: also, I may never have mentioned...

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 18:38:52 2009
Subject: also, I may never have mentioned...

B6

The Berlin event is personally meaningful for me, not least because I was, in 1986, when I was a reporter for the Washington Post, detained and held in a cell inside the Berlin Wall, after spending a night with dissidents in the east (who wound up being the leaders of New Forum and overthrew the regime). Have fun at the Adlon (William Shirer's old haunts). Cheers, Sid

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(D), B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2009 11:19 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras

Let's discuss tomorrow w Tom and Craig.

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 25 11:13:17 2009
Subject: RE: Honduras

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 10/25/2019

First, Tom's travel date hasn't been set -- will be Tuesday or Wednesday we think. He is coordinating with Dan Restrepo to see if they can go together.

1.4(D)
B1

1.4(D)
B1

So that's where we are. We'll have more to report tomorrow.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 2:23 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Honduras

What's the latest?

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 8:23 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mentor Minister

RELEASE IN PART B6

Yeah!!! Thank you soo much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Mon Oct 26 08:22:31 2009
Subject: Re: Mentor Minister

All set -- Singapore Minister will be at 4:30pm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin < >
Sent: Mon Oct 26 07:38:59 2009
Subject: Mentor Minister

B6

Is there any way to reschedule so I have more time around the interviews and photo shoot before going to WH?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2009 10:13 AM
To: 'pverveer [redacted] B6
Subject: Re:

Melanne--thanks for all you're doing and say "hello" to everyone. Also can you pls send me info on the FFF? I'm copying Megan Rooney and Jake who are working on the speech for the Forum. Take care.

----- Original Message -----
From: Melanne Verveer [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 01 09:31:53 2009

I'm at a gathering In Italy on Beijing's unfinished agenda with many of your friends -- a group as diverse as Yunus and Tina Brown. You have gotten so many plaudits for your honest engagement in Pakistan.

I'm sure it brings back many memories to be in Marrekesh. The women in Morocco have achieved a great deal, especially with the family code reform -- and they have had the support of the King. The gender initiative idea that is part of the FFF is still unformed. I think if you say positive things about its potential, it could make a difference for how it goes forward and we can help.

You make us proud.

_____
Melanne Verveer
[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2009 11:37 AM
To: 'rooneym@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'pverveer[redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: FfF
Attachments: Forum for the Future Background.pdf; Annotated Agenda final.pdf; FFF Fact Sheet for the Media.docx; 102809-BM-Democracy Assistance Dialogue.docx; 102809-BM-Foundation for the Future.doc; 102809-BM-Gender Institute.doc

Megan and Jake--Pls see the info from Melanne about the gender issues in the Forum. I'd like to include reference to work and way forward in my speech tomorrow. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 01 11:10:45 2009
Subject: Fw: FfF

Here's some general background info on FFF from NEA.
The gender initiative has potential but right now it's just a framework. It's going to take leadership. There are so many good practices in the region that could be shared -- Morocco's family law reform being an excellent example.

From: Spirnak, Madelyn E
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Cc: Blayne Allard, Alice A
Sent: Sun Nov 01 11:00:02 2009
Subject: FfF

Hi Melanne,

I don't have electronic access to all documents, but attached are a few documents that might be helpful. Please let me know if you need more than the attached. Alice may be able to provide more when she gets back to the control room.

Madelyn

<<Forum for the Future Background.pdf>> <<Annotated Agenda final.pdf>>

Press

<<FFF Fact Sheet for the Media.docx>>

Other General Briefers

<<102809-BM-Democracy Assistance Dialogue.docx>> <<102809-BM-Foundation for the Future.doc>> <<102809-BM-Gender Institute.doc>>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2009 10:11 AM
To: 'shannonta@state.gov'
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Thanks for a great job

Tom--

Thanks to you, Craig and Dan for making this happen. I am very proud of our team and your leadership, and I'd like to personally thank you all when I'm back. I'm copying Lona so she can start to schedule a time for that.

I'm anxious to hear the details. Maybe now we'll get you confirmed or, at least, canonized! Pls thank one and all, especially Craig, for a tour de force. HRC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 1:18 AM
To: 'doug [redacted] B6
Cc: 'mhcaleja@state.gov'; 'jonespw2@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Strategic Communications for Pakistan

Doug---

Pakistan's government can sure use the help. I'd like you to talk w Judith McHale who is working w Holbrooke's team, including Ashley, so I'm copying Judith and Paul Jones, Amb Holbrooke's Deputy, so they can coordinate the followup.

Pls let me know what the next steps are w Amb Haqqani. Thanks too for your other work on global women's issues.
Best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Hattaway [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Oct 27 17:19:45 2009
Subject: Strategic Communications for Pakistan

Hello Madame Secretary. I hope you have a productive trip to Pakistan. I wanted to let you know that Ambassador Haqqani asked me to submit a proposal to help the Government of Pakistan strengthen its communications with the Pakistani public.

You may recall that my firm did similar hands-on work in Lebanon. The idea is to enhance the Government's internal capacity to raise public awareness of its accomplishments, build support for its policies, and demonstrate the benefits of democratic governance.

With stronger communications, they'd obviously be in a better position to convey the benefits of the US-Pakistan relationship. We've followed Pakistani media coverage of Kerry-Lugar -- with more strategic communications management by the Government, that could have been a positive story.

(The anti-American forces have used the same messages to attack US aid packages for at least 10 years. It was easy to see the attacks coming.)

Communications help won't solve the immediate political crisis, but it could be one useful element of a larger strategic communications strategy.

I understand there's a strategic communications working group focused on Pakistan. Would you like me to meet with anyone to discuss this idea? I'm told Ashley Bommer is working on it. (I don't know her).

By the way - we're making headway on our campaign for Global Women's Issues - hope to have more to report soon!

Best, Doug

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2009 1:23 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov';
Subject: Let me know when you're awake. Thx.

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2009 5:04 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Let me know when you're awake. Thx.

Calling now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] <[redacted]>
Sent: Wed Nov 04 04:48:41 2009
Subject: Re: Let me know when you're awake. Thx.

B6

I am up - you want me to call you?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted]
Sent: Wed Nov 04 01:23:22 2009
Subject: Let me know when you're awake. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 12:11 AM
To: 'gordonph@state.gov'
Subject: GM/Opel

Will this decision be an issue for us in Germany?

Also, I heard a rumor that Phil Murphy was called in by Merkel over this? Is that true?

See you tomorrow to discuss. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 6:27 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Time

How does the article compare to the cover???

----- Original Message -----
From: Philippe Reines [redacted]
To: H
Cc: CDM [redacted]; Jake Sullivan [redacted]; Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Nov 05 05:50:54 2009
Subject: Time

I've attached the gorgeous cover as a PDF

Hillary's Moment: Clinton Faces the World By Joe Klein Time Magazine Wednesday, Nov. 04, 2009

It was Halloween night in Jerusalem, and Benjamin Netanyahu came dressed as a peacemaker. "We're prepared to start peace talks immediately," the notoriously reluctant Israeli Prime Minister proclaimed, with U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton standing at his side, poker-faced. "I think we should ... get on it and get with it."

It was a ploy, of course. The Palestinians were tangled up in themselves, yet again. They had elections looming, and their leader, Mahmoud Abbas, had to hang tough: he was demanding a total freeze to Israeli settlement-building on the West Bank — which was precisely what the Obama Administration had previously said it favored. Netanyahu was offering a partial freeze, not including new settlements in East Jerusalem, the desired capital of a future Palestinian state. This was a nonstarter for the Palestinians, but it had the holographic glow of a step forward. It was an "unprecedented" offer, Netanyahu trumpeted, with the joy of a chess master springing a trap.(See photos from 60 years of Israel.)

It was a tough moment for Clinton, playing second fiddle at the Bibi-does-Gandhi show. President Barack Obama had softened his language on the settlements a few weeks earlier: instead of a total freeze, he had talked about Israeli "restraint" in settlement-building. And now Clinton seemed to cement the Administration's retreat, agreeing that Netanyahu's proposal was, indeed, "unprecedented," even though the U.S. still favored a total freeze. The most important thing, she added, was for the parties to get to the table as quickly as possible. The onus was back on the Palestinians — and the Palestinians quickly expressed outrage at the Obama Administration's retreat. Their Arab neighbors soon joined in, causing Clinton to backtrack two days later, telling reporters the Israeli plan "falls far short" of U.S. expectations, although she still insisted on calling it "unprecedented," which was neither diplomatic nor wise.

Suddenly the Obama Administration seemed wobbly on the Middle East; clearly, Clinton had been too bullish on Netanyahu's proposal (which had been negotiated over months with Middle East envoy George Mitchell and was seen, privately, by the Americans as real progress). But the Administration's mission was to get the parties into peace talks without preconditions. The Israelis were now in favor of talks. The Palestinians were setting preconditions. And Clinton had violated an essential rule of her job: boring is almost always better.(See photos from the life and career of former Secretary of State Madeleine Albright.)

Clinton's Three Qualities

For the past 40 years, the awkward Middle East press conference has helped define the job of Secretary of State. You go to Jerusalem or Ramallah; you stand there "guardedly optimistic" in public; in private, you try to move a comma, but the Israelis or Palestinians move a semicolon to block your comma. The result is almost always the same: gridlock. The breakthroughs, when they come, emanate from others. Walter Cronkite asks Anwar Sadat if he'd be willing to go to Jerusalem ... and Sadat, to everyone's surprise, says yes. The Israelis and Palestinians hold secret meetings in Oslo and reach what appears to be a breakthrough — they're talking! — which then becomes another dead end.

The job of Secretary of State is more thankless than glamorous; in some ways, the Department of State, a noble antique, is still trying to come to terms with the invention of the telephone. In an era when Twitter haiku-messaging rules, diplomacy moves at the speed, and requires the nuanced complexity, of literature. Power has drifted from State to the National Security Council and the Pentagon, especially in wartime. Only a few of Clinton's recent predecessors have distinguished themselves. Henry Kissinger, a National Security Adviser who belatedly became Secretary of State, was Richard Nixon's schizophrenic alter ego; George Shultz was a strong policy voice in the Reagan Administration; James Baker had clout because he was George H.W. Bush's best friend and a world-class dealmaker. Most of the others have been frustrated or forgettable. And yet this is Hillary Clinton we're talking about — the second most popular American in the world, an eternally compelling and supremely talented character, the subject of constant speculation, a walking headline. Her very presence in the job makes it crucial once more.

It is a cliché to say that by naming Clinton, Obama brought his most popular potential opponent into the tent. The conventional wisdom, too cynical by half, is that he thereby succeeded in neutering her, a theory bolstered by Clinton's reticence during her first nine months on the job, with special envoys like Mitchell and Richard Holbrooke doing the heavy lifting of diplomacy. But by naming Clinton, Obama also gave her great power, which cuts both ways: if she becomes dissatisfied with her role or the Administration's policies, she can become a torpedo aimed at the Oval Office. Colin Powell had similar power and a real gripe — the Iraq war — but never used it. Clinton has no such gripe, but as the Obama Administration settles in and policy differences begin to emerge among the key players, the Powell conundrum looms: How will Clinton choose to use her power? How will Obama react if and when she does?

Traditionally, the Secretary of State is judged on his or her ability to formulate policy, negotiate deals and manage the striped-pants bureaucracy. Clinton has no history as a global strategist, although her performance in the 2008 campaign indicates that she is a bit more conservative than the President, more the foreign policy realist than the Wilsonian idealist. It is also too early to judge her skill as a manager or negotiator — although her performance in Jerusalem indicates that she needs a few lessons in Middle East Haggling 101.

There are, however, three qualities that could make her a memorable Secretary of State. She brings a vision of departmental reform — the need to elevate foreign aid programs to the same status and rigorous scrutiny as diplomacy — that could change striped pants into chinos in the developing world. She is also the first elected politician to hold the office since Edmund Muskie briefly did during the Carter Administration, which has enabled her to better understand and interact with the politicians who run places like Afghanistan and Pakistan. But most important, she is an international celebrity with a much higher profile than any of her recent predecessors and the ability — second only to the President's — to change negative attitudes about the U.S. abroad.

She has the potential to become the most powerful public diplomat the U.S. has fielded in quite some time, although her performance so far, at home and abroad, has occasionally been perplexing. At home, she has often seemed tentative and deferential. In a conversation with Secretary of Defense Robert Gates aired by CNN in early October, Clinton's cautious formality took a backseat to Gates' brisk, humorous confidence on policy issues. Abroad, she seems far more confident, at times to the point of recklessness, as in Jerusalem. (See photos of the last days of Hillary Clinton's campaign.)

Independence and Candor

In the last week of October, Secretary Clinton moved squarely to the center of the world stage, attempting, at the behest of her special envoys, to improve the rocky alliance with Pakistan and nudge the Middle East pugilists into talks. In the course of the trip, there were the first stray wisps of a hint that Clinton wanted to begin asserting her

independence, as the Administration, facing roadblocks across the world, struggled for a firmer foreign policy tone after an opening nine months that might be called the Rodney King — "Can't we all just get along?" — phase.

During her three days in Pakistan, she ran a gauntlet of town-hall meetings and media interviews that may have been unprecedented, to use the word of the week, for a U.S. Secretary of State. The trip, planned by Holbrooke and Pakistan specialist Vali Nasr, offered an unusually subtle itinerary for a U.S. diplomatic mission. A visit to a Sufi mosque that had been bombed by Sunni extremists, for example, sent a powerful message to Pakistan's moderate Islamic majority. "We saw her praying there," an academic named Shala Aziz told me, "and, for the first time, I'm thinking, The Americans have hearts."(See photos of the suicide bombings in Islamabad.)

The big news was that Clinton allowed herself to be hammered with hostile questions from students, talk-show hosts and Pashtun elders — and that, on occasion, she pushed back, raising incredibly sensitive issues, like why no one in the Pakistani government knew where Osama bin Laden was, even though he had been in the country since 2002. Press accounts either emphasized the embarrassment of a Secretary of State's getting pummeled or fixed on Clinton's undiplomatic bluntness. But they missed the point: her candor, her willingness to listen to and acknowledge criticism, had begun to undermine the prevailing Pakistani image of the U.S. as arrogant and bossy, more interested in having the Pakistani military fight its war against al-Qaeda and the Taliban than in having a true strategic partnership. The contrast was especially sharp after George W. Bush's eight years of unqualified support for the military dictatorship of Pervez Musharraf. "In the past, when the Americans came, they would talk to the generals and go home," said Farahnaz Ispahani, a government spokeswoman and Member of Parliament. "Clinton's willingness to meet with everyone, hostile or not, has made a big impression — and because she's Hillary Clinton, with a real history of affinity for this country, it means so much more."

Transformative Experience

There are no toasts at state dinners in Pakistan, because there is no alcohol. There are opening statements, though, and Clinton's — delivered impromptu on the first night of her trip after tossing aside her notes — was surprisingly emotional. Earlier in the day, President Asif Ali Zardari, the widower of Benazir Bhutto, had presented the Secretary with an album of photos from her first visit to Pakistan, in 1995, and a framed photo of Bhutto and her two sons with Clinton and daughter Chelsea. "It did bring tears to my eyes," Clinton said at the state dinner in her honor at the presidential palace, "because I so admired your wife. She gave her life ..." She faltered then, choking up, but quickly pulled herself together, talking about the "reasons why we do what we do — to provide opportunities for all."

Clinton's first trip to Pakistan as First Lady in 1995 had been a transformative experience for her — the beginning, I believe, of the process that made her a plausible candidate for Secretary of State. I traveled with her on that trip; when we set off, she seemed depressed and even more private than usual. The Democrats had cratered in the 1994 congressional elections, and she had been trounced in her efforts to enact a universal health care plan. It was a very personal defeat; as Clinton traveled the country trying to sell the plan, crowds shouted her down and cursed her. Privately she admitted she was shocked by the hatred. The trip to South Asia seemed a bit of a vacation — it was Chelsea's spring break — but also a retreat to a more demure, First Lady–like role after two years as health care policy czar, although it proceeded in a decidedly wonky, Hillarian fashion. Jackie Kennedy had gone to India and famously ridden an elephant; Hillary Clinton traveled to five countries and packed her schedule with visits to NGOs.

"That was the greatest trip, just unbelievable," Clinton says now. We were sitting in her hotel suite the day after her Jerusalem gaffe, the Secretary in an electric-blue shift rather than her usual formal jacket and pants. She was wearing glasses and appeared rather freckly without her makeup. "I guess that trip has animated and informed everything I've done since," she said. She emerged from the trip reinvigorated, with a new mission. By the end of 1995, at the U.N. Conference on Women in Beijing, the First Lady had propounded a new Clinton Doctrine: "Women's rights are human rights."(See photos of Beijing's changing skyline.)

Clinton is not an easy interview. She is preternaturally cautious, a consequence of her Methodist propriety and 20 years of insane public scrutiny. She does not like to talk about herself, but she did tell me one interesting story about Bhutto. When her husband was governor of Arkansas, she and Bill and Chelsea visited London and stood on the sidewalk outside

Bhutto's hotel, waiting for the then Pakistani Prime Minister to arrive. "She was wearing a yellow embroidered shalwar kameez with a chiffon scarf. I was just a fan, standing on the sidewalk with everyone else. It was the only time I ever did anything like that," Clinton says.

When Clinton and Bhutto met formally, on the first day of the 1995 trip, they hit it off immediately, in part because Bhutto was also obsessed with the impact the Islamist tide was having on women and children. I remember asking Bhutto that day what the biggest change in her country had been over the past 25 years, and she said, "I used to be able to walk down the street wearing jeans, without a headscarf. Now I can't." When I asked her why, she said — bluntly — "The Saudis," who had been aggressively funding religious schools. Of course, Bhutto's acquiescence to, and participation in, the general corruption of the Pakistani government was part of the reason public schools were so inadequate and madrasahs became popular. (See photos from the aftermath of Benazir Bhutto's assassination in 2007.)

Ironically, the rise of Sunni extremist groups like al-Qaeda has brought Clinton's interests — microfinance, education and health care — to the center of national-security policy for the first time. The impetus came not from the State Department but from the military, where counterinsurgency doctrine demanded that social services in war zones — schools, justice, economic development — reinforce the military's efforts to secure the population. As a result, there was immediate chemistry between Clinton and General David Petraeus, author of the Army's counterinsurgency manual, who became one of her prime military mentors when she served on the Senate Armed Services Committee. At one point, well before Obama made his presidential intentions known, I asked Petraeus if there was any potential Democratic candidate who understood how his mind worked, and he said, "You mean, aside from Hillary?"

It was Clinton who brought together Petraeus and Holbrooke ("my two alpha males," she calls them) for the first time — at her home in Washington on the Friday before the Obama Inauguration. The affection and respect she gained for the military while serving in the Senate has helped make the relationship between State and the Pentagon less fraught than usual — although Defense Secretary Gates' insistence on the need for bigger State Department budgets hasn't hurt. In fact, relations with the Pentagon have gone smoother, at times, than Clinton's relationship with the White House staff. Clinton was particularly irritated by the ridiculously strict vetting process that thwarted her favored candidate for USAID director, Paul Farmer, from getting the job. "It was all sorts of niggling things," says a Clinton adviser, "like, Farmer had at one point brought more than $10,000 in cash into Haiti. The money was for a needle-exchange program, but the amount was illegal."

Another of Clinton's military mentors, retired General Jack Keane, once told me, "I'm a Republican. I disagree with her about practically everything, but she'd make a hell of a Commander in Chief." There is a palpable toughness to the woman, a hard edge that contrasts with the President's instinctive impulse toward conciliation. One of the sharpest exchanges of the presidential campaign came when Obama accused Clinton of echoing the "bluster" of George W. Bush after she said the U.S. would be able to "obliterate" Iran if it used nuclear weapons against Israel. Clinton's edgier tone has been evident from the start of the Administration: she took a sharper position than the President on an Israeli settlement freeze by claiming, in May, that Obama wanted "to see a stop to settlements. Not some settlements, not outposts, not natural-growth exceptions." And then, in Jerusalem, her use of the word unprecedented seemed a rhetorical leap beyond the No Drama ground rules.(Read "Clinton's Collateral Damage.")

The White House was not entirely thrilled with either statement. But then the White House staff is several steps removed from a negotiating process. The Palestinians are weak and divided. The Israelis have been difficult, as always: whenever Mitchell raises East Jerusalem in talks with the Israeli Foreign Minister, the Israeli stands up and walks out of the room. Despite Netanyahu's momentary, tactical enthusiasm for peace talks, his Likud Party has always favored the de facto incorporation of Palestinian lands into the state of Israel.

Hillary's Choice

The tensions between the White House and State raise a fascinating question going forward. Obama and Clinton are in substantive agreement on the President's diplomacy-first philosophy and on most policy issues — although neither is willing to disclose the content of their private conversations — but style often predicts substance in foreign policy; neither Obama's gauziness nor Clinton's inconsistent bluntness overseas seems particularly solid. There is a growing

perception that the Administration's policies have been thwarted across the board: Afghanistan is a mess, Iran seems ready to scuttle the nuclear negotiations, there's no progress in the Middle East, the Syrians and North Koreans remain recalcitrant, the Russians have been offered a freebie on missile defense, and the Chinese have been given a pass on human rights with no apparent quid pro quos.

The White House argues that some progress has been made: Iran is on the defensive, and North Korea has said it will return to the six-party talks. Clinton argues, correctly, about the need for "strategic patience." But the only thing Obama really has to show for his efforts so far is a Nobel Prize for Potential and — no small thing — the wisdom to have refrained from doing anything so wildly stupid as invading Iraq. The President has been willing to use military force — the Predator drones that have decimated al-Qaeda's leadership testify to his lack of squeamishness — but this Administration is supposed to be about the efficacy of using subtler expressions of U.S. power. That doesn't happen overnight, but for Obama's policies to be considered a success, it has to happen sooner or later, in a way that can be explained to the public.

There is also a growing sense that the President's inexperience is beginning to show — not in his overall policy, which represents the views of a broad, moderate national-security consensus ranging from Brent Scowcroft to John Kerry, but in his execution of the details. The Afghan strategy review has been too public and taken too long; the Middle East peace hunt has become a wild goose chase. A letter to Iran's Supreme Leader is a productive gesture only if it gets a response; if it doesn't, it seems weak and supplicatory. A call for the Israelis to freeze settlements is effective only if it is accompanied by the credible threat of a reduction in aid. "You can't be seen pushing countries around — demanding [that] Israel freeze settlements, demanding that Hamid Karzai reform his government — and not get results," says Leslie H. Gelb, author of Power Rules. "The leaders of these countries are tough, successful politicians, and they'll begin to take you less seriously." (See photos from the 1979 revolution in Iran.)

Clinton is unwilling to acknowledge these problems, and her staff is loath to admit her occasional mistakes. Her praise for the President is fulsome, and aides say the relationship with Obama really — really — is strong. But there are also burblings and emanations from Clinton's staff and friends, Foggy Bottom body language, that suggest there is a need for the Administration to produce a second act after the Rodney King phase. And the White House is perplexed by the uncharacteristic lack of discipline indicated by Clinton's occasional overseas gaffes.

These tensions are well within the boundaries of normal, creative policymaking. There is absolutely no indication that the Secretary is frustrated to the point of jumping ship — or returning to politics as a candidate for governor of New York, as has been rumored. Quite the contrary, she seems intent on making history as Secretary of State. To do that, though, she will have to have the same authority at home as she has abroad. She will have to become the President's primary foreign policy voice. Over the first nine months of the Obama Administration, seven different Obama officials have spoken on the Sunday talk shows about foreign policy. Clinton has been on each of the Sunday shows once. "Either you have one person sending the foreign policy message, with the clear approval of the President," says a former Republican Secretary of State, "or there is no message."(See photos from eight months of Obama's diplomacy.)

Aides to Obama say they would like to see her on the Sunday shows more often. (Indeed, Clinton's staff acknowledged that she was asked to appear two additional times but was traveling and unable to do so.) Ultimately, though, television is a metaphor for the larger questions that need to be resolved: How much can these former rivals — both extremely guarded and private people — really trust each other; and, if not Clinton, who will emerge as the President's alter ego on foreign policy? At this point, the strongest member of Obama's national-security team is Gates — but he's a Republican and an unlikely spokesman or presidential confidant on anything beyond Pentagon issues. General Jim Jones has settled in as National Security Adviser, but he's not a political animal — and every President needs a close foreign policy adviser who understands the intersection of long-term strategy, politics and diplomatic chess.

Clinton's value to the Administration was clear in Pakistan. She wowed a public so skeptical that it had been questioning the $7.5 billion in purely economic and humanitarian aid the Administration had promised. "How much damage control have you been able to do on this trip?" asked Meher Bokhari, a television-news-show host, at the end of Clinton's meeting with Pakistani women. The Secretary seemed nonplussed by the bluntness of the question. "I don't know," she said. "I hope some."

Afterward, I asked Bokhari to answer her own question. "Well, this trip was long overdue," she said. "The Pakistani people really needed to talk to an American about our concerns — the strings attached to aid programs, the drone attacks, their history of support for the military dictatorship. And it needs to be followed up. But if you ask me about the damage control" — she paused, thinking it through — "I'd have to say a lot. She accomplished a lot."

In the end, though, Clinton's success will be determined by whether she can expand her role beyond public diplomat. She will have to become a more sure-handed negotiator and, most important, a trusted adviser to a President who knows where he wants to go in the world but hasn't quite figured out how to get there.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2009 4:09 PM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'; 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Only Palau & Israel vote w/US in UN

As I have said repeatedly, I do not want to see Palau shortchanged. I do not know whether this assessment is accurate, so can I pls get an update and recommendation about to take care of this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
To: Jacob J Sullivan <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Oct 30 14:56:28 2009
Subject: Only Palau & Israel vote w/US in UN

Wed., Palau was one of only two UN General Assembly members to vote with the US against a resolution calling for an end to the US embargo of Cuba (Israel was the other). Even the two other states in free association with the US. did not support the US position. Important officials in Palau wanted to abstain because of the drastic cut in U.S. assistance that Alcy Frelick has insisted on but Pres. Toribiong decided to stick with the US. The proposal to cut the small amount of assistance that Palau is receiving is ironic in light of the substantial increase in assistance pledged to the two other freely associated states just a few years ago. It is also misinformed and misguided.

Jeff

Palau defies world opinion in Cuba vote
<http://www.google.com/url?sa=X&q=http://www.radioaustralianews.net.au/stories/200910/2727465.htm%3Fdesktop&ct=ga&cd=i8zlqUu1xHM&usg=AFQjCNG4DPscjT80Ygf4nMu_qlYFIJrn4A>
Radio Australia News
Palau has joined the United States and Israel in voting against a United Nations resolution calling for an end to the US embargo on Cuba. ...
See all stories on this topic
<http://news.google.com/news/story?ncl=http://www.radioaustralianews.net.au/stories/200910/2727465.htm%3Fdesktop&hl=en>

http://www.radioaustralianews.net.au/stories/200910/2727465.htm?desktop

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 3:12 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Memo on Cameron explosion in Europe, may affect Blair. Sid

That is so revealing--and wacky--but it perhaps leaves a very small oipening for Tony. What do you think? Happy Halloween from Abu Dhabi!

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 31 00:37:58 2009
Subject: Memo on Cameron explosion in Europe, may affect Blair. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

October 31, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Cameron Blowup in Europe

Without passing "Go," David Cameron has seriously damaged his relations with the European leaders. Sending a letter to Czech leader Vaclav Klaus encouraging him not to sign the Lisbon Treaty, as though Cameron were already Prime Minister, he has offended Sarkozy, Merkel and Zapatero. Within the Conservative Party the Shadow Foreign Minister William Hague has arduously pressured for an anti-EU stance, despite his assurances to you that Tory policy toward Europe would be marked by continuity. Cameron has attempted to straddle factions, fending off calls for a national referendum on the Lisbon Treaty. But this letter is proof positive of his tilt to the Tory right on Europe. The European leaders understand that the letter signals his future policy and are reacting accordingly. Cameron's presumptive strike has accelerated the predicted Tory-European split from post-election to pre-election. Whether this affects Merkel's attitude on Blair and the EU presidency remains unclear, but Cameron's high-handed behavior is precisely the sort of thing that provokes her. See the Guardian report below:

Guardian
Europe leaders incensed by David Cameron's letter Sarkozy, Merkel and Zapatero criticise Tories for attempt to delay treaty Nicholas Watt <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/nicholaswatt> and Ian Traynor <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/iantraynor>
guardian.co.uk <http://www.guardian.co.uk> , Friday 30 October 2009 22.21 GMT Leaders of three of the most powerful countries in Europe have strongly criticised David Cameron <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/davidcameron> at the EU summit over Conservative plans to scupper the Lisbon treaty.

Nicolas Sarkozy, Angela Merkel and José Luiz Rodríguez Zapatero are understood to have privately criticised the Tory leader after he sent a handwritten letter to the Czech president Vaclav Klaus, who is refusing to sign the treaty. The letter was seen as an attempt to influence the Czech Republic, the only country not to have ratified the treaty.
Senior British sources familiar with thinking at the highest levels of the EU say that the leaders of France, Germany <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/germany> and Spain <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/spain> all raised questions about the Cameron letter.
It is understood that Cameron encouraged Klaus to delay the ratification of the treaty by setting out Tory policy to hold a referendum in Britain on the treaty if it has not been ratified by all member states.
The sources have told the Guardian that:
• Sarkozy was overheard telling Gordon Brown that he was incensed by Cameron's letter, which the French saw as an attempt to wreck the Lisbon treaty.
• Merkel was also said to be upset by Cameron's letter. The German chancellor is understood to have echoed concerns of senior figures in her CDU party, such as the former European parliament president Hans Gert Poettering, that Cameron's behaviour had been untrustworthy.
• Zapatero – who addressed the recent Labour party conference and will have to negotiate directly with Cameron if he wins the election because Spain holds the EU's rotating presidency until July 2010 – made clear to diplomats that he regarded Cameron's letter as damaging and an attempt to scupper the treaty.
The interventions by the EU leaders come as the Tories plan to abandon their two-year campaign to hold a referendum on the Lisbon treaty. Senior Tories told the Guardian that Cameron will set out his thinking in the coming weeks if, as expected, the Czech president finally ratifies the treaty.
It is understood that Cameron will drop his pledge to hold a referendum on the treaty on the grounds that it is impossible to open a treaty that has entered EU law. A Tory government would instead focus on repatriating social and employment laws, in effect restoring the British opt-out from the social chapter. This has been sprinkled around various EU treaties since Tony Blair ended the opt-out in 1997, meaning that its measures could only be restored to Britain with the agreement of all member states.
Brown yesterday used his appearance at the summit, where he held a series of formal and informal one-to-one meetings with EU leaders, to launch a strong attack on the Tories' approach to Europe. Speaking of the Tory decision to abandon the main centre-right EPP grouping in the European parliament in favour of a smaller group consisting mainly of fringe parties from the hard right in eastern Europe, the prime minister said: "The Conservative party are standing apart from the mainstream in Europe.
"They are part of a very small group of minorities – of 23 people apart from the Conservative party. They are standing on the fringes of Europe. That is a huge mistake for British interests."
A Tory spokeswoman said: "We have never concealed the fact that we sent the letter ... David Cameron has made no secret of its contents. It sets out his public opinion in a private letter."

RELEASE IN FULL

Broader Middle East and North Africa
Democracy Assistance Dialogue

While many initiatives launched under the G8-Broader Middle East and North Africa (BMENA) umbrella continue to make advances, the most striking progress is in the Democracy Assistance Dialogue (DAD), which brings together NGOs and governments in an ongoing basis to advance democratic reform in the region. Representing a network of hundreds of BMENA NGOs and government representatives, and with multilateral support, the DAD is now focused on in-country activities that will implement reform recommendations from the Forum deliberations. To date, the DAD has convened activities in Morocco, Algeria, Jordan, Turkey, Yemen, and Italy. The DAD represents a series of thematic meetings, activities and research characterized by consultation and partnership between governments and civil society. The DAD's three areas of focus are women's empowerment, political pluralism, and freedom of expression.

The DAD partners developed "A Partnership between G8, BMENA Governments and Civil Society." This Partnership Document reflects the contributions of many BMENA governments and civil society leaders alike and outlines a framework of universal, democratic principles to strengthen the relationship and partnership between governments and civil society in the region. This document was acknowledged by government and civil society representatives at the 2008 Abu Dhabi Forum and is a product of based on substantive discussions and deliberations between civil society and governments that began nearly two years ago.

Since its inception more than five years ago, the DAD has facilitated effective and successful relationships between willing governments, NGOs, the media, political leaders and civil society experts in the region, and has created a collaborative and transparent environment in which to accomplish this partnership. DAD partners' work on in-country programs, including women's empowerment in Algeria, Jordan and Pakistan, and as well programs in Morocco and Yemen that are focused on freedom of expression, political party and electoral reform, are notable.

Approved:	NEA – Ron Schlicher, Acting	ok
Drafted:	NEA/PI/CE: Charles Kiamie, x68841	
Cleared:	NEA: MSpirnak	ok
	NEA/PI: KVolker	ok
	NEA/PI/CE: ABlayne Allard	ok
	NEA/MAG: FMohamed	ok
	NEA/ELA: NShampaine	ok
	NEA/IPA: KFrelich	ok
	NEA/ARP: LSpetch	ok
	NEA/I-POL: GSibley	ok
	EUR/WE: SHartmann	ok
	EUR/ERA: ANardi	ok
	SCA/RA: DGhosh	ok
	SSRAP: PMcKean	ok
	DRL/NESCA: JBarghout	ok
	EEB/TPP/BTA: RDeMarcellus	ok
	EEB/IFD/OIA: TWalsh	ok
	S/P: GBehrman	info
	E: CHyland	ok
	D(S): GRana	ok
	D(L): PCampbell	info
	P: RWaller	ok

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760090 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

UNCLASSIFIED

IP 23: BACKGROUND ON FORUM FOR THE FUTURE

From an idea of partnership to a growing reality, the Broader Middle East and North Africa (BMENA) Initiative represents genuine cooperation between the G8 and European nations and the governments, business, and civil society of the region to expand opportunities and prosperity for all.

- The leaders of the G8 industrialized nations and BMENA countries launched the Partnership for Progress and a Common Future – a blueprint for how G8 and Middle Eastern countries could best work together to support indigenous calls for reform – at the G8 Sea Island, Georgia, summit in June 2004.

- The centerpiece of BMENA is the Forum for the Future, an annual gathering of G8, European, and BMENA Foreign Ministers with civil society to discuss and advance the reform agenda.

- The Forum for the Future provides a unique venue for BMENA civil society organizations to meet with their government ministers as equal participants. The Forum fosters constructive government-civil society interaction to solve complex political, economic, and social problems of participating countries.

- This year's Forum will include approximately 40 civil society representatives who participate directly alongside governments. Civil society participation in the Forum has risen considerably every year since the first Forum in Morocco in 2004, when only five leaders participated.

- Foreign ministers from across the BMENA region, the G8, and other partner countries now work with these organizations to further progress and development in the areas of good governance, civil society, economic prosperity, and education.

- This year, civil society organizations coordinated three preparatory meetings involving governments of the region with a cross-section of civil society leaders to outline priorities and develop recommendations for the Forum ministerial.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760090 Date: 06/30/2015

Approved:	NEA – Ronald L. Schlicher, Acting	ok
Drafted:	NEA/PI/CE: Charles Kiamie, x68841	
Cleared:	NEA: TAndrews	ok
	NEA/PI/CE: ABlayne Allard	ok
	NEA/MAG: FMohamed	ok
	NEA/ELA: NShampaine	ok
	NEA/IPA: KFrelich	ok
	NEA/ARP: ASteinfeld	info
	NEA/I-POL: GSibley	ok
	EUR/WE: SHartmann	ok
	EUR/ERA: ANardi	info
	SCA/RA: DGhosh	ok
	SSRAP: PMcKean	ok
	DRL: KMayfield	ok
	EEB/TPP/BTA: JEgan	ok
	EEB/IFD/OIA: TWalsh	ok
	S/P: GBehrman	info
	E: CHyland	ok
	D(S): GRana	provisional
	D(L): PCampbell	info
	P: RWaller	ok

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 9:04 AM
To: 'Luzzatto
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject:

B6

Happy Halloween from Abu Dhabi! I would be happy to meet w the machinist members but I'm not sure who they are! I'm copying Lona to ask her to call you to get details so she can follow up. I hope we can catch up soon! Lots of treats (and no tricks!) for you and David!

----- Original Message -----
From: Luzzatto
To: H
Sent: Sun Oct 25 17:23:25 2009
Subject:

Hello again,

This is the follow-up info from the IAM after Tom Buffenbarger asked me to be in touch with you about a possible visit with their members servicing your plane(s?) Below is from Rick, who separately said such a visit need not include Tom -- the key piece of info is that the workers cannot approach you, you'd have to reach out to them.

At Andrews AFB, IAM Local Lodge 24's President and Chief Steward is Al Nuzzo. His contact information is:

301-981-8300 (o)

Thanks for you help on this.

Rick

Rick Sloan
IAM Communications Director
301-967-4520 (o)

[redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 5:06 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hilda Solis

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A <ShannonTA@state.gov>
To: H; Kelly, Craig A <KellyC@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Nov 02 04:58:04 2009
Subject: Re: Hilda Solis

Madame Secretary:

Thank you for reaching out to Secretary Solis and convincing her to join the Verification Commission. She and Ricardo Lagos will make for a very high profile and effective international component of the Commission.

We will meet with her this morning at 10 am to brief her for Tuesday's journey to Honduras. At this point, it appears we have a military aircraft available. The plan is for the U.S. Delegation to depart D.C., stop in Miami to pick up Ricardo Lagos, and arrive in Tegucigalpa together. We think this will send a powerful message to Hondurans and leave no doubt about our commitment to seeing this process through to a successful conclusion. B5

You should be aware that Ambassador Hugo Llorens is under public assault. The Wall Street Journal dedicates its America's column this morning to attacking him and calling for his removal. Last Friday, Representative Connie Mack did the same. This chorus will grow as the extent of our accomplishment is understood. Llorens is a tough, stalwart guy. He and his Mission have held firm during this crisis. A call from you would be a big boost.

Finally, we will hold an IPC today to identify further steps. We will keep you up to date on these steps and identify further opportunities for your engagement.

I want to thank you for your leadership and support during this long crisis. Your willingness to engage at key moments and take risks at the right time have propelled us much further than anyone expected. Your diplomacy prevented a debilitating civil conflict in Honduras that would have destabilized Central America and undermined two decades of our efforts. We now have a big opportunity in front of us, and for that we are grateful to you.

Regards,
Tom

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Shannon, Thomas A; Kelly, Craig A
Sent: Mon Nov 02 04:01:06 2009
Subject: Hilda Solis

Tom and Craig--

I spoke w Secretary Solis and thanked her for going to Honduras. She is eager for your briefing and advice when you meet w her today. And it is very important that Craig is going w her to guide her thru this process.

Oscar Arias told me he is very worried about whether the agreement will be implemented and is meeting w the leading Presidential candidate this week to urge that he produce his party's 55 votes for restitution.

I also spoke w Insulza who is focussed on the elections which I told him we would fully support. Is there more we should be doing?

Again, thanks for all your great work and good luck in the effort to get this across the finish line. Best, HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

November 5, 2009-11-05

For Hillary:
From: Sid
CC: Lissa
Re: Berlin speeches

Below are my notes for speeches in Berlin. The themes I stress are these: the importance of Berlin and the Brandenburg Gate as symbols of freedom; the weight of history (always felt by Germans); the contribution of the US and the salience of the Western alliance; the meaning of 1989 to today and especially the continuity of the Western alliance; and segue from past to future.

What I have not done here but might be added are references to Angela Merkel (a courageous woman from the east who embodies the highest values of the West); and specific policies. Those can be fitted in.

So, some ideas and language:

Twenty years ago the wall fell. Here, in Berlin, the moment when tens of thousands of citizens tore it down with hammers and picks and bare hands is called "Die Wende," a phrase that means more than simply a change but a turning point in history. It was not only a turning point for Germany and Europe but also for the United States because the wall—the reason for its construction and the reason for its destruction—is central to our common history—and to our common future.

Richard von Weizsaecker said, "As long as the Brandenburg Gate is closed, the German question will remain open." Today I can say with finality, the German question is closed, because the Brandenburg Gate is wide open! The gate is open, Europe is open, and our future together is open.

In the forty years from the Berlin airlift to the fall of the Berlin Wall, the Atlantic partnership proved itself the most successful alliance for freedom in history. That alliance was, is and shall be the crowning achievement of American foreign policy. And the German – American relationship has always served as its foundation. Our alliance represents the reconciliation of

former enemies, an inspiration to other peoples and continents devastated by war and hatred, and it must never be taken for granted.

In the twenty years since the fall of the wall we have created architecture for an enduring peace. Instead of a wall we have built a gateway to the future. President Kennedy said in 1963 that in the future free men everywhere will say, "Ich bin ein Berliner." Today Berlin has gained a new and even more powerful meaning. Forever into the future, the opening of this Gate will be the symbol of the return of the dream of freedom, not only to Berlin and Germany, but to the entire world.

The Gate, we must remember, was not built as a symbol of freedom. And each of the tyrannies that used the Gate as a symbol believed it represented their eternal power. The Gate was first erected as a symbol against the French Revolution; then it became a symbol of the imperial ambitions of Napoleon who marched his army through. It was a symbol of Prussian militarism and monarchy. It was seized by the Nazi Party as the symbol of its 1000 year rule. And then it became a symbol of the Communist totalitarianism that built a wall to separate the people of Berlin as though freedom could be contained in only one part of the city. Here, in Berlin, the Cold War was coldest.

We celebrate the fact that the wall that marred this proud city was taken down by the world's most enthusiastic wrecking crew. The United States always believed in the freedom of Berlin because we believed in the future of freedom. The courageous citizens of East Germany, Poland and other nations of the Communist bloc demonstrated their dedication to free and peaceful solution of conflicts through their stand against dictatorship. America stood resolutely as an ally at every moment of this struggle and moved swiftly to help the peoples of Europe reunite after 1989.

We celebrate the steadiness of purpose, the restraint and respect for ultimate goals that allowed the Cold War to end in peace. For how the victory was won was essential to the triumph. 1989 was special. It was that rarest of historical events: a revolution that did not descend into violence. As a result the Gate survives not as a cautionary monument to history's darkest moments but to its brightest. Today we celebrate the revolution of 1989, the turning point that transformed the Brandenburg Gate into the symbol of the hope of democracy and the promise of freedom.

Berliner Luft, the air of Berlin, has always been unique. I can feel and smell the spirit of freedom in your streets. The great American poet Carl Sandburg called Berlin the Chicago of Europe. Chicago is where I was born and raised. I can sense the same energy, openness and dedication to hard work in both great cities. Another Chicagoan, President Barack Obama, was drawn to Berlin last July, a city that he said made him feel like a citizen of the world and a citizen of the future.

I was very proud to visit our new Embassy at the Brandenburg Gate, located exactly where the Wall used to run. Our presence here will forever underscore our determination that the spark of freedom from this city in 1989 will help light the torches of freedom throughout the world.

The revolution of 1989 did not mark the end of history. Having made history together, we are summoned to secure freedom for future generations. As vital at the beginning of the 21st century as it was in the last half of the 20th, the Western alliance is called to new tasks. The dreams of 1989 reached far—and have farther to reach. Old hatreds and conflicts—in the Balkins, the Caucauses, in the Middle East, East Asia, and Africa—still simmer. We have much to demonstrate what we have learned from the last century. Without freedom, without the rule of law, without the opportunity for people to support themselves and their families – without basic human rights-- anger, aggression and violence will prevail.

Today we are faced with difficult and often dangerous conflicts arising not from conflicts between nations but within them, conflicts exploited by zealots who make the false claim that violence will purify the world and open the gates of heaven. Once zealots tried to use this Gate to symbolize that message. But through the courage and determination of the people of Berlin and their stalwart allies, this Gate now stands for a true revolution of the spirit. The long twilight struggle to tear down this wall to make Europe whole and free inspires us, in this new century, to help other peoples gain the same measure of security, respect and progress.

We need Berlin more than ever today. We need your courage, your fortitude, your commitment to the rights of men—and women—we need your example. We are hardly at the end of the history of the West. Standing here, where a wall once stood but is no more, in the light from the Gate, we have learned from history's deepest lessons. Our alliance, linked by shared struggle, dedicated to the ideals of freedom and democracy, strong from the world we have built, now faces a new world of challenges. And we are ready to meet and conquer them. We know it has been done before. We are free men—and women—and proud to say, We are all Berliners.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 9:15 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Memo: Berlin speeches. Sid

I hope we can achieve both power and poetry. Who is doing the short Monday remarks?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 20:01:22 2009
Subject: FW: Memo: Berlin speeches. Sid

The speechwriting crew is taking Sid's points below and massaging them into a set of remarks.

From: sbwhoeop B6
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2009 6:07 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: lmuscatine Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Memo: Berlin speeches. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 5, 2009-11-05

For Hillary:

From: Sid

CC: Lissa

Re: Berlin speeches

Below are my notes for speeches in Berlin. The themes I stress are these: the importance of Berlin and the Brandenburg Gate as symbols of freedom; the weight of history (always felt by Germans); the contribution of the US and the salience of the Western alliance; the meaning of 1989 to today and especially the continuity of the Western alliance; and segue from past to future.

What I have not done here but might be added are references to Angela Merkel (a courageous woman from the east who embodies the highest values of the West); and specific policies. Those can be fitted in.

So, some ideas and language:

Twenty years ago the wall fell. Here, in Berlin, the moment when tens of thousands of citizens tore it down with hammers and picks and bare hands is called "Die Wende," a phrase that means more than simply a change but a turning point in history. It was not only a turning point for Germany and Europe but also for the United States because the wall—the reason for its construction and the reason for its destruction—is central to our common history—and to our common future.

Richard von Weizsaecker said, "As long as the Brandenburg Gate is closed, the German question will remain open." Today I can say with finality, the German question is closed, because the Brandenburg Gate is wide open! The gate is open, Europe is open, and our future together is open.

In the forty years from the Berlin airlift to the fall of the Berlin Wall, the Atlantic partnership proved itself the most successful alliance for freedom in history. That alliance was, is and shall be the crowning achievement of American foreign policy. And the German – American relationship has always served as its foundation. Our alliance represents the reconciliation of former enemies, an inspiration to other peoples and continents devastated by war and hatred, and it must never be taken for granted.

In the twenty years since the fall of the wall we have created architecture for an enduring peace. Instead of a wall we have built a gateway to the future. President Kennedy said in 1963 that in the future free men everywhere will say, "Ich bin ein Berliner." Today Berlin has gained a new and even more powerful meaning. Forever into the future, the opening

of this Gate will be the symbol of the return of the dream of freedom, not only to Berlin and Germany, but to the entire world.

The Gate, we must remember, was not built as a symbol of freedom. And each of the tyrannies that used the Gate as a symbol believed it represented their eternal power. The Gate was first erected as a symbol against the French Revolution; then it became a symbol of the imperial ambitions of Napoleon who marched his army through. It was a symbol of Prussian militarism and monarchy. It was seized by the Nazi Party as the symbol of its 1000 year rule. And then it became a symbol of the Communist totalitarianism that built a wall to separate the people of Berlin as though freedom could be contained in only one part of the city. Here, in Berlin, the Cold War was coldest.

We celebrate the fact that the wall that marred this proud city was taken down by the world's most enthusiastic wrecking crew. The United States always believed in the freedom of Berlin because we believed in the future of freedom. The courageous citizens of East Germany, Poland and other nations of the Communist bloc demonstrated their dedication to free and peaceful solution of conflicts through their stand against dictatorship. America stood resolutely as an ally at every moment of this struggle and moved swiftly to help the peoples of Europe reunite after 1989.

We celebrate the steadiness of purpose, the restraint and respect for ultimate goals that allowed the Cold War to end in peace. For how the victory was won was essential to the triumph. 1989 was special. It was that rarest of historical events: a revolution that did not descend into violence. As a result the Gate survives not as a cautionary monument to history's darkest moments but to its brightest. Today we celebrate the revolution of 1989, the turning point that transformed the Brandenburg Gate into the symbol of the hope of democracy and the promise of freedom.

Berliner Luft, the air of Berlin, has always been unique. I can feel and smell the spirit of freedom in your streets. The great American poet Carl Sandburg called Berlin the Chicago of Europe. Chicago is where I was born and raised. I can sense the same energy, openness and dedication to hard work in both great cities. Another Chicagoan, President Barack Obama, was drawn to Berlin last July, a city that he said made him feel like a citizen of the world and a citizen of the future.

I was very proud to visit our new Embassy at the Brandenburg Gate, located exactly where the Wall used to run. Our presence here will forever underscore our determination that the spark of freedom from this city in 1989 will help light the torches of freedom throughout the world.

The revolution of 1989 did not mark the end of history. Having made history together, we are summoned to secure freedom for future generations. As vital at the beginning of the 21st century as it was in the last half of the 20th, the Western alliance is called to new tasks. The dreams of 1989 reached far—and have farther to reach. Old hatreds and conflicts—in the Balkins, the Caucauses, in the Middle East, East Asia, and Africa—still simmer. We have much to demonstrate what we have learned from the last century. Without freedom, without the rule of law, without the opportunity for people to support themselves and their families – without basic human rights-- anger, aggression and violence will prevail.

Today we are faced with difficult and often dangerous conflicts arising not from conflicts between nations but within them, conflicts exploited by zealots who make the false claim that violence will purify the world and open the gates of heaven. Once zealots tried to use this Gate to symbolize that message. But through the courage and determination of the people of Berlin and their stalwart allies, this Gate now stands for a true revolution of the spirit. The long twilight struggle to tear down this wall to make Europe whole and free inspires us, in this new century, to help other peoples gain the same measure of security, respect and progress.

We need Berlin more than ever today. We need your courage, your fortitude, your commitment to the rights of men—and women—we need your example. We are hardly at the end of the history of the West. Standing here, where a wall once stood but is no more, in the light from the Gate, we have learned from history's deepest lessons. Our alliance, linked by shared struggle, dedicated to the ideals of freedom and democracy, strong from the world we have built, now faces a new world of challenges. And we are ready to meet and conquer them. We know it has been done before. We are free men—and women—and proud to say, We are all Berliners.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 7:25 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of whom I consulted. Sid

Absolutely. Pls thank them--and send me addresses so I can too.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 18:17:20 2009
Subject: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of whom I consulted. Sid

RELEASE IN PART
B1, 1.4(B),1.4(D)

Meeting Between DSE Farmer and President Preval
(October 25, 2009)

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

PEF then spoke to RP about the OSE's concerns particularly in regards to how the move would be received by the international community and how this would affect stability in Haiti. PEF stressed that the positive momentum which had been generated over the last six months could easily be undone if the private sector perceived the PM's dismissal as a sign of instability. The majority of their conversation took place in Creole so PEF is confident that nothing was lost in translation.

1.4(D)
B1

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 10/25/2019

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Towards the end of our meeting the President invited Michel Clerie (MC) (Vice President of the Senate) to join our meeting. MC clearly was present in order to back RP's assertions regarding MPL.

RP stressed to us that his friendship with WJC was very important to him. He asked PEF to relay the message that he felt his successes in Haiti were also WJC's successes. RP requested that both WJC and the State Department not take any substantive action this week or release any statements until he has had an opportunity to convene his new administration. PEF reminded RP at this time and

on several other occasions that he represented the OSE and WJC as Special Envoy and hence could not speak on behalf of the State Department or the US Government generally.

[redacted]

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Following the meeting with RP, while at the airport PEF received a call from MPL who called to discuss the political situation.

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 2:53 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: I spoke w Cheryl and we're waiting until tomorrow to decide.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 9:24 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Do we need to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 3:16 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Your boss

Wow! Tell dd I was really flattered and Happy Halloween from Abu Dhabi!

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 30 20:46:52 2009
Subject: RE: Your boss

Look at you getting big praise – I don't even get that!

From: David Domenici [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2009 8:46 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Your boss

Hey,

Meant to tell you this morning....

Thought your boss said some gutsy, and needed, things to say about Pakistan yesterday. And by btw, she looked great in that blue outfit.

dd

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 3:02 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: What's the latest?

Pls do--and no apology necessary.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 02 02:55:19 2009
Subject: Re: What's the latest?

Apologies. I can come down now if you'd like.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Nov 02 01:45:37 2009
Subject: What's the latest?

Are you up, I'd like a readout of the calls. I also have some other ideas.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 6:42 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

How do you suggest we respond?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 30 06:12:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

See below

From: Scott Gration [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Shortley, Timothy R; Burns, William J; Steinberg, James B
Sent: Fri Oct 30 02:56:14 2009
Subject: Refaming the Domestic Debate on Sudan

Cheryl, I'd appreciate your passing this note to Secretary Clinton. I'd rather not have this idea swirling around in email circles until she gets an opportunity to evaluate the concept. With gratitude, Scott B5
==========

[redacted]

B5

--
Jonathan S. Gration, Maj Gen (Ret)
President's Special Envoy to Sudan
O: (202) 647-5855

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 6:43 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Six Uighurs

Have they landed yet? Was Congress notified?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 30 18:53:31 2009
Subject: Fw: Six Uighurs

Funny timing given Farrow email, which I can discuss further with you.

From: Fried, Daniel
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Oct 30 18:14:00 2009
Subject: Six Uighurs

Are now wheels up from GTMO. Will arrive Palau Saturday afternoon our time, Sunday am Palau time. Palau President has request no announcement until after they land.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 3:04 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Ivo Daalder

What is his email?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 4:01 AM
To: 'shannonta@state.gov'; 'KellyC@state.gov'
Subject: Hilda Solis

Tom and Craig--

I spoke w Secretary Solis and thanked her for going to Honduras. She is eager for your briefing and advice when you meet w her today. And it is very important that Craig is going w her to guide her thru this process.

Oscar Arias told me he is very worried about whether the agreement will be implemented and is meeting w the leading Presidential candidate this week to urge that he produce his party's 55 votes for restitution.

I also spoke w Insulza who is focussed on the elections which I told him we would fully support. Is there more we should be doing?

Again, thanks for all your great work and good luck in the effort to get this across the finish line. Best, HRC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 3, 2009 3:13 AM
To: 'pverveer[redacted] B6
Subject: Morocco

Ambassador Jaidi sends his warmest wishes and [redacted] Let's discuss as soon as we are both in the same country at the same time!

There is also much more to review. I'm off to the Forum and then to Cairo before coming to DC tomorrow night.

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

November 5, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Agenda with Merkel

The Transatlantic Economic Council was Chancellor Angela Merkel's major initiative when Germany held the EU presidency in 2007. She got President George W. Bush to agree to its formation. But the council now languishes. Has the US appointed its representative? The council is more about coordinating regulatory matters than trade, by the way. Raising Merkel's project and reinvigorating it would undoubtedly be well received. Below is an article from Europolitics Daily from January 2009 on the council. State could work this up.

http://www.europolitics.info/dossiers/usa-obama/transatlantic-economic-council-facing-uncertain-future-art147529-77.html

EUROPOLITICS / USA ObamaImprimer l'article |

Trade

Transatlantic Economic Council facing uncertain future

By Brian Beary in Washington | Friday 16 January 2009

As Barack Obama was coasting to victory last November, the leader of the Socialist MEPs, Martin Schulz, urged him to "make sure Europe is on the top of his in-tray". Two months on, there is considerable doubt as to whether Obama will indeed take this advice or leave Europe lingering towards the bottom of the pile. Nowhere is this danger more striking than with the Transatlantic Economic Council (TEC), the body German Chancellor Angela Merkel persuaded President George Bush to set up in April 2007 to remove regulatory barriers to transatlantic trade.

STILL NO TEC CHAIR

Obama has been widely praised for moving quickly, by comparison to previous new presidents, to

nominate his new administration. Yet his spokesman told *Europolitics* he has still not appointed the future co-chair of the TEC. In the interim, Michelle O'Neill, Deputy Undersecretary at the Department of Commerce, has been tasked with ensuring continuity. The EU Co-Chair is Commission Vice-President Günter Verheugen. Given that dozens of nominations have already been announced, this may be a sign that among his long list of priorities, the TEC, the most significant EU-US initiative to emerge in recent years, will not feature highly. It should be noted that when Obama was chair of the Senate Subcommittee on Europe, from 2007 to 2008, he did not organise a single hearing, something on which his then rival in the presidential campaign, Senator Hillary Clinton, tried - and failed - to score political points.

The Democrats, who have grown more protectionist-minded on trade, now have a commanding majority in both the US Senate and House of Representatives. Obama, himself a Democrat, is being careful to cultivate good relations with them and not take for granted their support, which he desperately needs to push through his ambitious economic policy agenda. It was Congress that in 2007 introduced the 100% rule, requiring all US-bound containers leaving European ports to be scanned before being loaded onto the departing ship. This has been a thorn in the EU's side ever since and now features on the TEC agenda. In the current climate, Congress is unlikely to be clamouring to scrap the rule and may not even wish to extend the 2012 implementation deadline as the EU is asking. Anxious not to burn bridges, Obama could well go along with Congress in order to avoid an unnecessary fight.

AMERICANS NOT SO HOT ON TRADE

Congress' protectionism does not magically appear in a vacuum. It is reflective of mounting fears among the US public about the impact free trade has on US jobs. Their fears are not entirely groundless. American manufacturing jobs are indeed going overseas - notably to India and China. And being unemployed in the US is a more perilous predicament than in Europe because government unemployment benefits are less generous and more temporary. Moreover, most Americans, unlike Europeans, have their health care paid for by their employer so if they lose their job they also lose their health care. Hardly surprising, therefore, that only 59% of Americans think trade is good for their country, compared to 78% of French and British, 80% of Czechs and 85% of Germans, a Pew Research Centre survey has shown.

This bearish sentiment has a knock-on effect on all trade dossiers. For example, it makes Congress less amenable to scrapping the law requiring US airlines to be in 'actual control' of US citizens - something the EU is urging in the current Open Sky II aviation liberalisation talks. It makes US lawmakers more likely to take action to prevent European companies winning lucrative US defence contracts. This is happening already with the epic tussle between Boeing and Airbus over the Pentagon's US$35 billion refuelling tanker contract (see separate article). EU officials are clinging to the hope that Obama, as president, will adopt a more global outlook and drag the Democratic Congress along with him rather than the other way around.

A VICTIM OF DULLNESS?

The TEC, despite having failed to deliver as much as many hoped for, remains an extremely useful tool. By emphasising regulatory rather than tariff barriers, it shows an understanding of where the real problems lie in today's trading environment. The EU and the US could use the TEC to create a global standard in hugely important sectors, such as toys and food. "The alternative," as Dan Hamilton, director of the Centre for Transatlantic Relations at Johns Hopkins University in Washington notes, "is that we end up with Chinese standards".

Yet the TEC has one weakness no one should underestimate: its dullness. Discussing highly technical regulatory divergences, such as whether to wash chickens in chlorine or water, may have been Chancellor Merkel's cup of tea but French President Nicolas Sarkozy showed little interest in it during the French EU Presidency. Without strong impetus from someone on Obama's team who has the power

to make things happen, the TEC - like an old soldier - might not actually die but just fade away.

EU-US economy

While there is much talk about the rising power of China and India, the transatlantic economy still far outstrips the rest of the world in size and wealth. The EU27 and the US accounted for nearly 57% of world gross domestic product in 2007, while the transatlantic economy made up 47% of world exports and 52% of world imports. The net worth per household in the US is US$565,000, in France US$518,000, in the U.K. US$599,000, compared to just US$18,000 in China, US$31,000 in Russia and US$44,000 Brazil.

Copyright © 2008 Europolitics. Tous droits réservés.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 6:54 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: WPost fact fix

On A8, in an article about campaihn spending, they compare my spending total from July 99 thru Nov 2000. 41.4 to 11 which is so misleading since he had a less than a five month campaign. Can you get correction somewhere?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 2:58 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FYI [redacted] B6

What can we do to celebrate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 14:54:50 2009
Subject: FYI [redacted]

MS – Apologies for the late notice on this, but I just heard that today is [redacted]

LJ

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 12:42 AM
To: 'sgration[redacted]
Cc: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Independent group

B6

Scott--

I think this is an idea worth exploring and have asked Cheryl to work w you to run the traps on it. Have you discussed it w anyone at the WH yet? I'd like to know their reaction. Thanks for all your dedicated work. HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 7:39 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Mentor Minister

Is there any way to reschedule so I have more time around the interviews and photo shoot before going to WH?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 12:54 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Fwd: Haiti Meeting Report
Attachments: Meeting Between DSE Farmer and RP.doc; ATT00001.htm

Pls print two copies.

----- Original Message -----
From: Justin Cooper
To: H; Cheryl Mills <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Oct 26 11:42:22 2009
Subject: Fwd: Haiti Meeting Report

WJC asked that I forward this to both of you.

Justin

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gregory Milne [redacted] B6

Date: October 26, 2009 9:17:13 AM EDT

To: Doug Band [redacted] Justin Cooper [redacted] Amitabh Desai [redacted]

Cc: Paul Farmer [redacted], Jennie Block [redacted]

Subject: Re: Haiti Meeting Report

All,

Please find attached a report summarizing the main points from the meeting yesterday between Paul and President Preval. As you know Paul met with President Preval yesterday for over four hours to discuss the current political situation in Haiti. Paul will call WJC this morning to follow up on the attached report.

Regards,
Greg

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 1:17 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Holbrooke

Does he have an email address?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 1:46 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: What's the latest?

Are you up, I'd like a readout of the calls. I also have some other ideas.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 3:10 AM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'; 'gordonph@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: [redacted] B6

Jim and Phil--

I returned his call and discussed the Macedonia name issue, Cyprus, and relations w Turkey. There's a memcon that reflects his stated commitment to solve or improve status of these issues.

I've known him a long time and will be happy to follow up w him on any and all of these matters w your guidance. He seems determined to put points on the board to have a fast start so we should intensify our efforts w him. Let me know what else you want me to do. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 9:28 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Anything new to report?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 12:21 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Sorry--I'm up now so come when you are able. Just knock on the door to the bedroom if it's closed. Did you also talk w Cheryl?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 01 19:44:41 2009
Subject:

We just hung up.
Checked on you but you were sleeping
If you want me to come give you download, I will be up for a while Otherwise, can talk in am.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2009 1:03 AM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'
Subject: Feedback

Jack--

How did the two PCs go--on Af and Somalia aid?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2009 8:06 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Pakistan interviews

Do we have good answers for the Blackwater allegations? What exactly are they? And what's been said before?

RELEASE IN FULL

Fact Sheet for the Media
Forum for the Future

The Forum for the Future is a joint initiative of the countries of the Broader Middle East and North Africa region (BMENA) and the industrialized countries of the Group of Eight (G8). It is a unique partnership between governments of the region, the G8, civil society representatives and private sector leaders to discuss and exchange ideas on how to best work together to support progress and expand opportunities for the people of the region.

The Forum for the Future was launched at the Sea Island G8 Summit in the United States in June, 2004. During this summit, the G8 countries stressed their commitment to promote progress in the BMENA region and to help establish an environment conducive to an informal, flexible, open and inclusive dialogue. The first Forum for the Future was held in Rabat in December 2004, co-hosted by The Kingdom of Morocco and the United States.

In preparation for the 2009 ministerial, co-chairs Morocco and Italy along with civil society partners convened three preparatory workshops across the region on: economic reform (September 26-27, Beirut, Lebanon, in partnership with the Organization for Civil Activities), political reform (October 5-6, Rabat, Morocco, in partnership with the Moroccan Organization for Human Rights), and human development (October 12-13, Doha, Qatar, in partnership with the National Human Rights Committee and the Arab Democracy Foundation).

The BMENA Initiative complements the objectives outlined by President Obama in his Cairo speech and is a natural partner for making progress in key areas – notably economic opportunity, education, good governance, human rights, and women's empowerment. BMENA's emphasis on cooperation among governments, civil society and the private sector aligns with the President's vision of partnership between the United States and countries in the region. The United States will engage with leaders from the region on issues of common interest and shared values, working together to infuse new energy into the partnership. In particular, we believe our focus should be firmly on developing a path to increasing opportunity for the youth of the region.

The Broader Middle East and North Africa participants include Afghanistan, Algeria, Bahrain, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, and Yemen.

The G8 countries include Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

For more information, visit: http://www.maec.gov.ma/future2009/en/default.htm

Drafted:	E Pelton, x 74148	
Approved:	NEA/FO: R Schlicher NEA/FO: M Spirnak	
Cleared:	NEA/PPD: M Ratney	ok
	D: G Rana	ok
	P: C Lawson	ok
	S/P: G Behrman	ok
	NEA/PI: A Blayne-Allard	ok
	MAG/NEA: K Jones	ok
	SCA: D Ghosh	ok
	EUR: A Nardi	ok
	EEB: J Egan	ok
	DRL: J Barghout	ok
	PA/Clearances:	ok
	R: D Avendasora	ok

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 7:38 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'jonespw2@state.gov'
Subject: Re: AfPak papers

Thanks so much. I don't know what the NSC has or will read so we need to think of this submission as representing our views for historical purposes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Jones, Paul W <JonesPW2@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Oct 26 07:33:09 2009
Subject: Re: AfPak papers

We are meeting this morning to do a final scrub of the package, and we'll address this.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jones, Paul W; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Oct 26 07:25:17 2009
Subject: AfPak papers

There's a lot of good work in these papers and I thank everyone who helped. I have the following questions:

Why is there overlap btw what we sent over to the NSC already and what we have compiled into the larger presentation? By my review, Tabs 1, 3, and 6 are in both packages. If we want to include these in both, we need an annotated index that lists all the papers not just the main tabs.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2009 10:01 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: When do you want to talk?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 7:49 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: What did you find out about the '67 language?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 1:36 AM
To: 'nancy [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Re: Advisory committee status and recommendations

Dear Nancy--

I very much want to meet and we've been trying to pin my schedule down enough to offer a date. The only times I see for me before December are Nov 21-23 or sometime in the second or third weeks of December. I'm copying Cheryl so you two can try to find a time that works.

As to the names, I think they're all worth inviting w the hope they can come. Let's do it! Best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Nancy Parrish [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Fri Oct 30 10:49:00 2009
Subject: Advisory committee status and recommendations

Hillary,

I admire the way you handled yourself during your trip to Pakistan. It reminds me of the daunting job before you and it's potential.

Successfully engaging the power of the DOS and the array of relevant external relationships on behalf of your goals will remain a central challenge.

As we discussed, gathering a handful of loyal and trusted outside advisors could provide a valuable tool in this regard.

Given your late October or early November target for the first meeting, I have been working with Cheryl to come up with a date. I guess given all that is going on possible dates seem to continually slip away.

I would understand if, in the context of everything on your plate this advisory group is just not practical. However, if you do feel that such a gathering would be helpful and want to proceed, I believe you need to step in, select the initial date or dates (with risk that it may need to change) and extend the invitations. A quick note to Mickey, Gordon, Maggie and any others you want to include. Others mentioned so far include Sandy Berger, Strobe Talbott and Elaine Kamarck.

For at least the first gathering, you may want to consider two parts. A dinner, breakfast or other informal event plus a more structured meeting would create the opportunity for informal discussion and time for reflection.

In the event you do want to move forward, I am happy to assist in any way that may be useful. Please know that I in no way want to push this beyond where you may want to go.

Nancy

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 3:11 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Craig Kelly

What is his email?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2009 6:02 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Speech

Can you come see me to discuss? Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2009 1:21 AM
To: 'HolbrookeRC@state.gov'
Subject: Feedback from PC?

Richard--

What was your reaction to the PC yesterday? And what's next in process?

Hope you've recovered from our trip! H

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2009 9:00 PM
To: 'caputol[redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Lisa---

I just landed from Cairo and would love to come to lunch and, as of now, I will be in DC. So just let me know time and place!

I'm copying Lona so she can connect w you since I leave again on Sat for two weeks. This travel gig is never ending--can't wait for Thanksgiving for a few days off.
Hope you're well, my friend.

----- Original Message -----
From: Caputo, Lisa [redacted]
To: H2; H
Sent: Tue Nov 03 09:03:22 2009
Subject: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Hillary,

[redacted]

Lisa

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 12:56 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Memo: agenda for Merkel, transatlantic economic council we discussed. Sid
Attachments: hrc memo merkel transatlantic council 110509.docx

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 00:40:19 2009
Subject: Memo: agenda for Merkel, transatlantic economic council we discussed. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 5, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Agenda with Merkel

The Transatlantic Economic Council was Chancellor Angela Merkel's major initiative when Germany held the EU presidency in 2007. She got President George W. Bush to agree to its formation. But the council now languishes. Has the US appointed its representative? The council is more about coordinating regulatory matters than trade, by the way. Raising Merkel's project and reinvigorating it would undoubtedly be well received. Below is an article from Europolitics Daily from January 2009 on the council. State could work this up.

http://www.europolitics.info/dossiers/usa-obama/transatlantic-economic-council-facing-uncertain-future-art147529-77.html

EUROPOLITICS / USA Obama <http://www.europolitics.info/europolitics/usa-obama.html> Imprimer l'article <javascript:print();> | Imprimer cet article <javascript:print();> Trade Transatlantic Economic Council facing uncertain future By Brian Beary in Washington | Friday 16 January 2009

As Barack Obama was coasting to victory last November, the leader of the Socialist MEPs, Martin Schulz, urged him to "make sure Europe is on the top of his in-tray". Two months on, there is considerable doubt as to whether Obama will indeed take this advice or leave Europe lingering towards the bottom of the pile. Nowhere is this danger more striking

than with the Transatlantic Economic Council (TEC), the body German Chancellor Angela Merkel persuaded President George Bush to set up in April 2007 to remove regulatory barriers to transatlantic trade.

STILL NO TEC CHAIR

Obama has been widely praised for moving quickly, by comparison to previous new presidents, to nominate his new administration. Yet his spokesman told Europolitics he has still not appointed the future co-chair of the TEC. In the interim, Michelle O'Neill, Deputy Undersecretary at the Department of Commerce, has been tasked with ensuring continuity. The EU Co-Chair is Commission Vice-President Günter Verheugen. Given that dozens of nominations have already been announced, this may be a sign that among his long list of priorities, the TEC, the most significant EU-US initiative to emerge in recent years, will not feature highly. It should be noted that when Obama was chair of the Senate Subcommittee on Europe, from 2007 to 2008, he did not organise a single hearing, something on which his then rival in the presidential campaign, Senator Hillary Clinton, tried - and failed - to score political points.

The Democrats, who have grown more protectionist-minded on trade, now have a commanding majority in both the US Senate and House of Representatives. Obama, himself a Democrat, is being careful to cultivate good relations with them and not take for granted their support, which he desperately needs to push through his ambitious economic policy agenda. It was Congress that in 2007 introduced the 100% rule, requiring all US-bound containers leaving European ports to be scanned before being loaded onto the departing ship. This has been a thorn in the EU's side ever since and now features on the TEC agenda. In the current climate, Congress is unlikely to be clamouring to scrap the rule and may not even wish to extend the 2012 implementation deadline as the EU is asking. Anxious not to burn bridges, Obama could well go along with Congress in order to avoid an unnecessary fight.

AMERICANS NOT SO HOT ON TRADE

Congress' protectionism does not magically appear in a vacuum. It is reflective of mounting fears among the US public about the impact free trade has on US jobs. Their fears are not entirely groundless. American manufacturing jobs are indeed going overseas - notably to India and China. And being unemployed in the US is a more perilous predicament than in Europe because government unemployment benefits are less generous and more temporary. Moreover, most Americans, unlike Europeans, have their health care paid for by their employer so if they lose their job they also lose their health care. Hardly surprising, therefore, that only 59% of Americans think trade is good for their country, compared to 78% of French and British, 80% of Czechs and 85% of Germans, a Pew Research Centre survey has shown. This bearish sentiment has a knock-on effect on all trade dossiers. For example, it makes Congress less amenable to scrapping the law requiring US airlines to be in 'actual control' of US citizens - something the EU is urging in the current Open Sky II aviation liberalisation talks. It makes US lawmakers more likely to take action to prevent European companies winning lucrative US defence contracts. This is happening already with the epic tussle between Boeing and Airbus over the Pentagon's US$35 billion refuelling tanker contract (see separate article). EU officials are clinging to the hope that Obama, as president, will adopt a more global outlook and drag the Democratic Congress along with him rather than the other way around.

A VICTIM OF DULLNESS?

The TEC, despite having failed to deliver as much as many hoped for, remains an extremely useful tool. By emphasising regulatory rather than tariff barriers, it shows an understanding of where the real problems lie in today's trading environment. The EU and the US could use the TEC to create a global standard in hugely important sectors, such as toys and food. "The alternative," as Dan Hamilton, director of the Centre for Transatlantic Relations at Johns Hopkins University in Washington notes, "is that we end up with Chinese standards".

Yet the TEC has one weakness no one should underestimate: its dullness. Discussing highly technical regulatory divergences, such as whether to wash chickens in chlorine or water, may have been Chancellor Merkel's cup of tea but French President Nicolas Sarkozy showed little interest in it during the French EU Presidency. Without strong impetus from someone on Obama's team who has the power to make things happen, the TEC - like an old soldier - might not actually die but just fade away.

EU-US economy

While there is much talk about the rising power of China and India, the transatlantic economy still far outstrips the rest of the world in size and wealth. The EU27 and the US accounted for nearly 57% of world gross domestic product in 2007, while the transatlantic economy made up 47% of world exports and 52% of world imports. The net worth per household in the US is US$565,000, in France US$518,000, in the U.K. US$599,000, compared to just US$18,000 in China, US$31,000 in Russia and US$44,000 Brazil.

Copyright © 2008 Europolitics. Tous droits réservés.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 12:04 PM
To: 'caputol[redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Lisa---

I just landed from Cairo and would love to come to lunch and, as of now, I will be in DC. So just let me know time and place!

I'm copying Lona so she can connect w you since I leave again on Sat for two weeks. This travel gig is never ending--can't wait for Thanksgiving for a few days off.
Hope you're well, my friend.

----- Original Message -----
From: Caputo, Lisa [redacted]
To: H2; H
Sent: Tue Nov 03 09:03:22 2009
Subject: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Hillary,

[redacted] B6

Lisa

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 7:23 PM
To: 'williamsbarrett[redacted] B6
Cc: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fwd: FW: From Gallup.Com: Americans Mostly Favorable on Defense Secretary Gates

Maggie--I can't pull up the data. Can you give me the highlights? Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Williamsbarrett[redacted] <Williamsbarrett[redacted]>
To: H
Cc: MillsCD@state.gov <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Nov 07 15:08:12 2009
Subject: Fwd: FW: From Gallup.Com: Americans Mostly Favorable on Defense Secretary Gates

thought you both would want to see

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 11:04 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: In staff office if u need me.

Is it 1:30 here? [redacted] Can you stop by? Thx. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 10:39:57 2009
Subject: In staff office if u need me.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:53 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Joe---I'm copying Lona in my office so you can contact her to schedue a visit. Thx for the kind words about our work on behalf of women and Africa. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Joseph Wilson <[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 13:44:48 2009
Subject: RE: Schedule

Dear Hillary,
I too am sorry not to be able to see you this time. I am currently making about one trip a month to DC for Symbion, so I am sure there will be an opportunity in the near future. I hesitate to pester you personally so if there is somebody I can work through to coordinate a time please let me know or have them drop me a note. My schedule is much more flexible than yours.

By the way I just returned from Angola. Your stop there was a big hit. I found Luanda to be fascinating and much different from much of Africa. I also found the women to be much more overtly powerful in their own right and not exercising that power through their husbands. How refreshing. Valerie speaks to Women's Voices tonight and in prepping has been reading "Half the Sky" which reinforces everything you and Melanne have been fighting for for years. When I gave my ambassadorial farewell address in Gabon in 1995 I made a point of saying that if I were the God of development assistance I would do only one thing: give all the money to the women and then sit back and watch them drive African economies forward. I hope you succeed in pushing the world in that direction. I don't know of a more forceful and qualified advocate.

Joe

Ambassador Joseph Wilson
Director, Symbion Power
Email: [redacted]
website: www.symbion-power.com

This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify immediately.
-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 11:22 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Schedule

Joe--

Sid sent me your email about your visit next week to DC. I'm in Singapore now and will visit Manila, Shanghai, and Beijing this week and next so will not be back while you're in DC.

Sorry to miss you, and hope you'll let me know when you will next be there. All the best to you and Valerie (and the twins two!) HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2009 6:53 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Update

I do not think I'm supposed to be here. I don't see another FM. Can you check?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 13 23:34:54 2009
Subject: Fw: Update

----- Original Message -----
From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Burns, William J; Steinberg, James B; Valenzuela, Arturo A; Shannon, Thomas A
Sent: Fri Nov 13 23:12:29 2009
Subject: Update

Huma/Jake:

Just got further updates from a de facto rep and Amb Llorens. The de facto authorities have decided to turn this exercise into a sort of loya girga to get buy-in for M's departure. This evening the discussions included religious groups and business leaders. Tomorrow government officials and others. My de facto contact says results tonight were good although it was not easy for many to accept the logic behind M's exit. He said the US once again has been the catalyst for movement in Honduras. Thinking is now for a Monday announcement.

We'll stay on it and update you.

ck

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 3:47 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Mitchell memo

Pls find Jones and Donilon and give them each a copy. The three copies are in my red bag in a light blue SecState folder. Pls tell them I have told Mitchell to go forward and would appreciate their support. Does that make sense?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:09 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Just landed in Shaghai

We should do schedule so I have time w him when I arrive. I'm going to try to get their earlier if I can.

What are Gayle's issues?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]>
Sent: Sun Nov 15 12:05:22 2009
Subject: RE: Just landed in Shaghai

B6

Am good - nothing new to report - been meeting all am with Raj and co for confirmation issues and the key issues that Gayle stepped him through so he knows how to navigate - his earlier participation in our dev process makes this easier.

On Karl not flying - do you want any alone time with him?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:04 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Just landed in Shaghai

Do we need to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 8:43 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Obama's visit to Asia
Attachments: Obama visit Asia.pdf

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Charles Freeman [redacted] B6
Sent: Fri Nov 06 08:41:36 2009
Subject: Obama's visit to Asia

Dear Colleagues,

With the upcoming Obama's visit to Asia, please find the attached paper for your kind reference.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Best regards,
--
Charles Freeman
Freeman Chair in China Studies
Center for Strategic & International Studies

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2009 8:16 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Follow up

The devil will be in the details, but I see no flags. Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Nov 16 05:38:24 2009
Subject: Fw: Follow up

I met with Steve.

We had a good conv and discussed a number of areas of focus, most of which are b/l.

Take a look and flag any concerns.

I am going to discuss with Jack this am.

Cdm

From: Steve Radelet <sradelet [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Nov 15 21:19:29 2009
Subject: Follow up


Hi Cheryl,

Thanks for the meeting on Friday. I am excited about the possibilities. As we discussed, here are some initial ideas on some of the isssues I might work on. Let me know if this is more-or-less in the right direction -- I am not quite sure what wold be most helpful. Feel free to drop, add, or otherwise change anything. Anyway, here is a first shot.

Best,
Steve

[redacted] B5

B5



RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2009 7:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Blair

12:45 would be better for Blair. What about Yang call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 21 19:50:08 2009
Subject: Blair

Tony blair asking if you are free to talk tomorrow (sunday) at 12:30?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 5:14 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: FYI: Charlie Rose

Ok. And, by the way,[redacted] We'll celebrate in December.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 06 10:38:35 2009
Subject: FYI: Charlie Rose

I ran into Charlie in NY this week. He talked about his upcoming interview with you. He said he wanted to focus a lot on China. That he will be in Berlin will help focus him, too, on the transatlantic relationship, which I would also bring up if he doesn't. Sid

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 8, 2009 8:01 AM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Melanne--Your travels bring back so many wonderful memories of all we've done together over the last 17 years. When we are both back, we need to find time for discussing the projects--like cook stoves--that we want to do or facilitate. Until then, safe travels. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 08 00:36:57 2009
Subject:

I know you're embarked on another big trip and wish you well.

I met with Reema Navatany, Ela Bhatt's right hand, whom you know. She sends her greetings and underscored again what you mean to them. SEWA is on the cutting edge of so many exciting developments.

We had a great conversation on many things, including how much women in the developing world can and must do to address climate change and drive low tech green industries. In the villages, I could feel the pollution in my eyes and throat -- so much needs to be done at the local level. Much pollution is produced because of the way women cook, etc. When I get back, will work up some ideas on this. Delhi's been enshrouded in smog for the last couple days.

The SEWA project I visited 2 hours outside of Delhi was so impressive. Women who were among the poorst of the poor had become businesswomen capable of traveling to London and Brussels to negotiate contracts with companies for their embroidery etc We're going to have SEWA play a key role in the Vital Voices summit that you announced that will take place here next year.

Maria Otero was still here when - arrived so she joined me for the SEWA mtgs.

I also went to one of Rushira Gupta's antitrafficking projects. You know her as we've been working with her thru WH years. She got the global citizens award at CGI this year. I don't think I've seen worse conditions than what she's trying to address. In Mumbai we launched an anti- trafficking campaign with Bollywood which brought in new players to the cause.

Tomw, I will be speaking on this year's World Economic Forum Gender Gap report for India. There's so much potential for India's economic growth -- as the WEF points out --- if they'd tap women's potential here. India is last of the BRIC countries on most indicators and comes out poorly generally. Will be raising some of these issues with govt in Dialogue mtgs.

Anyway, I thought of you so much everywhere I went. I'm so happy to be part of your legacy on these issues.

Travel well.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:36 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A death in [redacted]'s family B6

Have you learned any more? I'm copying Lauren to get his #s.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; H
Sent: Mon Nov 09 12:23:16 2009
Subject: FW: A death in [redacted]'s family

Can we find out more?

From: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 11:26 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: A death in [redacted]'s family

Cheryl,

As you know, [redacted] is serving as a public delegate to UNGA. I don't have details, but we have just learned that [redacted]'s son died this weekend. We do not know more than that and so far, there is nothing in the press about it. I thought you and the Secretary would want know about this. Will update you if I learn more.

Brooke

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 8, 2009 4:17 PM
To: 'hillcr@state.gov'
Subject: Good news

Dear Chris--

I heard about the election law passing and the agreement about Kirkuk, which sound like positive steps forward. Thanks to you and your team for all you did to support the Iraqis in these decisions. Kudos all around. Take care, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 7:04 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Re:

I will miss you since I don't return until the 20th but I will look forward to what you and Bill (and others w you) think about what, if anything, we can do in the Middle East under the current conditions. All the best. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott <[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 20:14:18 2009
Subject: RE: Re:

In DC until Friday, with board meeting, leaving that afternoon for Jerusalem (with Martin, Jim Steinberg, and others for the Saban Forum, where Bill will be as well), back on Tuesday. Safe travels. Below are my 2 cents worth on Berlin....

The Four Who Ended the Cold War

Diplomacy <http://www.brookings.edu/topics/diplomacy.aspx> , Europe <http://www.brookings.edu/topics/europe.aspx>

Strobe Talbott <http://www.brookings.edu/experts/talbotts.aspx> , President, The Brookings Institution

The Brookings Institution

November 09, 2009 —

The twentieth anniversary of the fall of the Berlin Wall on November 9 has become, in the minds and pronouncements of many, a celebration of Ronald Reagan's starring role in the demise of the Evil Empire. For example, a new book by Romesh Ratnesar (Tear Down This Wall: A City, a President, and the Speech that Ended the Cold War) argues that the four-word dare that Reagan hurled at Mikhail Gorbachev in June 1987 catalyzed the forces that led to collapse of the East German regime a little more than two years later. In a speech that Newt Gingrich gave on the anniversary titled, "The Victory of the Cross: How Spiritual Renewal Helped Topple the Berlin Wall," he was expected to say that Reagan's "constant moral and material support for the cause of freedom" undermined the Iron Curtain.

But the real world-historical hero of that whole episode was Mikhail Gorbachev himself. If he had lost out in 1985 to any of his rivals for the top job in the Kremlin—the Moscow or Leningrad party chiefs, for example—we'd probably still have a cold war and, quite possibly, a divided Berlin. Gorbachev, now 78, made an appearance at the commemorative ceremonies in Berlin on Monday, as did Russian President Dimitri Medvedev.

Two other decisive figures were Reagan's and Gorbachev's successors.

President George H.W. Bush served as a calm—and calming—air traffic controller, guiding an increasingly dispirited Gorbachev as he piloted the Soviet state in for a soft landing on the ash heap of history.

And had Boris Yeltsin not stood up to the hard-line communists who briefly ousted Gorbachev in 1991, the Soviet Union—and the Soviet system—might have survived. In which case, there might still have been a celebration of freedom in Berlin this week, but there would probably not have been anyone from Moscow in attendance.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re:

Was in Berlin, which was moving and drenching and am now in Singapore. Are you around in DC this week? We're due for another catch up call. H

----- Original Message -----

From: Strobe Talbott <[redacted] B6

To: H

Sent: Sun Nov 08 11:11:05 2009

Subject:

Assume you're in Berlin. Holbrooke just dazzled &, in some key cases, mollified, even persuaded Trilateral Commission re Afpak. It was off-record. I strongly feel he & others shd be out there publicly establishing the theory of the case, not least because that will help manage the delay before the Pres's decision/announcement.

Safe travels & hi to Gorby (just kidding--he regards me as a Yeltsinite)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:04 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Just landed in Shaghai

Do we need to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2009 4:00 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Departure

First I heard of this.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 18 09:55:44 2009
Subject: FW: Departure

Assume you know this?

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2009 9:55 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Departure

B6

I am so sorry to hear this but am glad for you if this is the right thing for you.

Let me know if I can help you in any way with your next steps and opportunities.

Best.

cdm

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2009 8:02 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Departure

Dear Cheryl and Jake,

I wanted to let you know that after a great deal of soul-searching, I have decided to leave the State Department in about a month.

This was an exceptionally difficult decision; one of the most difficult I have made in my life. It has been a honor and a real joy to have been part of the team here at State; the past year has been one of the most enriching experiences of my career. I am leaving only for personal reasons; after pushing myself as hard as I possibly could the last several years, I need to do this for myself, to spend some time away from work with my family and friends and reenergize.

Thank you once again for the remarkable opportunity to serve our country and work for the Secretary. This has been a rare privilege, and I cannot stress enough how appreciative I am. I hold the entire team here in the highest possible regard.

I am happy to talk through any of this at your convenience.

Thank you again for everything.

Sincerely,

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 7:07 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Update

We should do.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 11 05:48:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Update

Do you still want this night (assuming also af/pak is that day?)

From: Valmoro, Lona J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Nov 10 14:34:30 2009
Subject: FW: Update

Nancy Parrish following up – okay to relay the night of the 23rd yet?

From: Nancy Parrish [mailto:nancy [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 11:03 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Re: Update

----- Original Message -----
From: Nancy Parrish [redacted]
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tue Nov 10 09:23:00 2009
Subject: Update

Hi Lona, are we close to coming up with a date?

Sent from my iPhone

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 6:59 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Admiral Platt

See if you can get a copy of the intro Navy Sec Mabus gave me that's referred to below.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 11 16:38:01 2009
Subject: Admiral Platt

I have breakfast this morning with Adm. Platt at the Army/Navy Club... he said he attended the NY event launching the new vessel... he applauds your comments... and noted that the Secretary of the Navy gave you quite the stirring intro... the Admiral sends his finest to you...

Burns Strider

Founding Partner

The Eleison Group

Join Mė on Facebook <http://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=1279552305&ref=name>

Follow Me on Twitter <http://twitter.com/BStrider>

Visit The Eleison Group Website <http://www.eleisongroup.com/>

Visit Pea Ridge Confidential, My Personal Blog <http://pearidgeconfidential.blogspot.com/>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:10 PM
To: 'preines[redacted] B6
Subject: Heard anything re shows today?

Besides the invite to coffee?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 9:17 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Where are you?

I'm in the restaurant on the first floor. Come see me.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 6:24 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Are you up?

I am and would like to talk before 8 if you can. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 8:59 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Also reminder

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 22 08:10:15 2009
Subject: Also reminder

Tony blair is confirmed for 12:45 today. Ops will connect to house.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 7:26 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Mark's big moment,
Attachments: Digital Invitation Mark's doc; Save the Date Card.pdf

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Pier Boutin
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 18:08:03 2009
Subject: Mark's big moment,

B6

Dear Hillary,

Thank you so much for reaching back to me. Wow! You and Mark have this incredible energy, a positive and stimulating energy about you.
Your presence would a great gift however just the fact, you are considering dropping by, is wonderful.

Stay Healthy! We all need you.
Pier

Information about Mark's Party

Salut,
Pier

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:41 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Re:

Was in Berlin, which was moving and drenching and am now in Singapore. Are you around in DC this week? We're due for another catch up call. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 08 11:11:05 2009
Subject:

Assume you're in Berlin. Holbrooke just dazzled &, in some key cases, mollified, even persuaded Trilateral Commission re Afpak. It was off-record. I strongly feel he & others shd be out there publicly establishing the theory of the case, not least because that will help manage the delay before the Pres's decision/announcement.
Safe travels & hi to Gorby (just kidding--he regards me as a Yeltsinite)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:50 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Cc: 'gordonph@state.gov'; 'DaalderIH@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: NATO report

Dear Madeleine,

Thank you for your letter report to me about the excellent work you are doing. All the outreach is reassuring the Central and Eastern members. We face some hard choices ahead and should plan to meet in December to review. I will be in Brussels for the NATO Ministerial the first week, so let's aim for a time after that.

All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 1:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Pls call again.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2009 5:57 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mitchell doc

I like that. Can you prepare cover so I can give to him today?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 14 11:33:18 2009
Subject: Mitchell doc

One thought on how to handle the Mitchell doc with the White House: [redacted] B5

[redacted]

I spoke with Mara a few minutes ago, who concurred with this approach.

You may have discussed a different strategy with Mitchell.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 11:02 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Cheryl--I emailed Maggie. Pls let me know what you find out.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 22 10:43:59 2009
Subject: FW:

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: williamsbarrett [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; DIANNE
Subject:

Cheryl believe I can do early morning tomorrow call if you wantto discuss agenda.

M
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 5:25 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Yang can take call at 9am today? Ok?

Ok but will need call sheet and update from Burns/Steinberg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 23 04:03:22 2009
Subject: Yang can take call at 9am today? Ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2009 7:49 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of whom I consulted. Sid

Lauren

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 06 07:28:54 2009
Subject: Re: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of whom I consulted. Sid

Will do. To whom should I send addresses? Lauren? Huma?

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted]
Sent: Fri, Nov 6, 2009 7:25 am
Subject: Re: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of whom I consulted. Sid

Absolutely. Pls thank them--and send me addresses so I can too.

----- Original Message -----

From: sbwhoeop [redacted]

To: H

Sent: Thu Nov 05 18:17:20 2009

Subject: Also want to credit John Kornblum, Bill Drozdiak and Ted Widmer, all of

whom I consulted. Sid

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 2:38 PM
To: 'rodriguezme@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Health care cvalls

Miguel--

I received your info about Mike Ross but I need the info about Berry and Carney before calling them. [redacted] B5
[redacted]. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 2:18 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

Call only my berry.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 11 14:17:22 2009
Subject: RE: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

calling

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 2:00 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

Can we talk now? I just woke up again.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 11 09:53:38 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 9:42 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: FYI: Murdoch makes his move to Cameron. Attack on BBC to follow. Sid

That's quite the match--Murdochs v Mandelson.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 12 08:51:40 2009
Subject: FYI: Murdoch makes his move to Cameron. Attack on BBC to follow. Sid

The Independent
November 12, 2009

Has Cameron done a deal with Murdoch?

By Andy McSmith

Lord Mandelson's attack shines spotlight on Tory leader's links with media mogul

David Cameron has been accused of making a "contract" with Britain's biggest media company to trade political support before an election for government favours afterwards if the Tories win.
The accusation was levelled yesterday by the Business Secretary Peter Mandelson, who is increasingly the public face of Gordon Brown's government. Ministers are angry at the campaign that The Sun has run against the Prime Minister all this week over the spelling mistakes in a letter Mr Brown sent to the mother of a young soldier killed in Afghanistan. They suspect that the Conservative Party has been tailoring its policies on media regulation and the BBC to suit the commercial interests of News International, which owns The Sun, and that the paper's aggressive support for the Tories is a pay-off that could spread to other parts of the mass media.
Examples of the apparent tie-in between what News International's boss, James Murdoch, wants, and what David Cameron is ready to promise include the recent decision by the Conservatives to abandon the idea of "top slicing" the BBC licence fee. It had been proposed that part of the money paid to the BBC would be siphoned off to help regional television companies meet the threat from the internet. But this would also have helped them compete more effectively against Sky News, which is part of the Murdoch media empire.
When the policy was abandoned in September, Jeremy Hunt, the shadow Culture Secretary, said that it was because enacting it might make the commercial television companies "focus not on attracting viewers but on attracting subsidies". There was no gain for the BBC in the climbdown, because David Cameron had already said that the Tories will freeze the licence fee. What it will mean is that the BBC's income will be capped, without the regional television companies seeing any government help, which will strengthen the market position of Britain's only satellite television company, Sky. "This was done for News International," a Tory insider said yesterday. "Murdoch wants Sky to go head to head with the BBC. He doesn't want the independent companies strengthened."
In April 2008, James Murdoch complained bitterly about the media regulator Ofcom in his first major speech after taking over as chief executive of News Corporation in Europe and Asia. The following year, David Cameron announced that a Conservative government would cut Ofcom down to size.
Last summer James Murdoch attacked the "abysmal record" of the BBC Trust - the body created by Labour to over see the BBC - in a lecture he gave at the Edinburgh Festival, singling out its "total failure" to stop the BBC buying the Lonely

Planet travel guides, a takeover that Murdoch denounced as an "egregious" invasion of private enterprise by the state. Less than two months later, Jeremy Hunt promised that the Tories would abolish the Trust.

In the same lecture, Murdoch complained that BBC performers like Jonathan Ross are being paid salaries that "no commercial competitor can afford". He had barely uttered the words before Ed Vaizey, a shadow media minister, promised that a Tory government would compel the BBC to publish the salaries of its top performers.

Lord Mandelson alleged yesterday that the Conservatives and News International had "effectively formed a contract, over the head, incidentally, of the newspaper's editor and their readers, in which they are sort of bound to one another".

Speaking to the BBC's Today programme, he added: "What The Sun can do for the Conservatives during the election is one part of the contract and, presumably, what the Conservatives can do for News International if they are elected is the other side of the bargain. But there is a wider question. When The Sun creates the news in this way, this is then followed up by Sky News, which then puts pressure on the BBC to follow suit."

This was "absolutely, categorically" denied yesterday by The Sun's political editor, Tom Newton Dunn, who accused Lord Mandelson of talking "preposterous nonsense".

The Sun, which supported Labour through three general elections under Tony Blair's leadership, announced that it was jumping ship on the day that Gordon Brown delivered his annual speech to the Labour Party conference in September. Its onslaught on Gordon Brown for the mistakes made in a handwritten letter to Jacqui Janes is the most aggressive attack that the newspaper has directed at any Labour Party leader since Neil Kinnock stood down after losing the 1992 general election, a defeat for which The Sun claimed victory with the slogan "It was The Sun wot won it".

But there were signs yesterday that the attack may have rebounded on The Sun. Mr Brown, who is blind in one eye, has admitted that his handwriting is bad and has apologised to Mrs Janes, whose 20-year-old son, Jamie, was killed by a makeshift bomb in Afghanistan last month.

A poll yesterday for the website PoliticsHome, whose main shareholder is the Conservative Party deputy chairman Lord Ashcroft, found that 65 per cent of those polled thought that The Sun's attack was "inappropriate" compared with 23 per cent who thought it was "legitimate".

The closeness of the new Tory-Sun axis is shown up by the revelation, from an inside source, that David Cameron personally consulted the editor of The Sun, Dominic Mohan, in three separate conversations before he abandoned his "cast-iron" promise to hold a referendum on the Lisbon Treaty, now that all 27 members states of the EU have ratified it. Mr Cameron was understandably wary of how The Sun might react to the abandonment of that promise. The paper has campaigned for years against what it sees as the growth of an EU superstate. It was in an open letter to readers of The Sun that Cameron first made his guarantee, two years ago.

His announcement that a referendum is off the agenda was subjected to a scathing attack in the Daily Mail, but in The Sun it was given kid-glove treatment under the headline "Cameron's crusade for UK rights".

The person behind this aggressively pro-Tory policy is James Murdoch, not his father, Rupert, who created The Sun virtually from scratch in the 1970s. Rupert Murdoch claimed in an interview with Sky News Australia that he "regretted" his son's decision to turn against Gordon Brown, "who is a friend of mine", but defended it on the grounds that Brown has been a "disappointment".

Yesterday, The Times, another Murdoch newspaper, announced that its veteran political editor, Phil Webster, is leaving the Commons, where he has been based for decades. Mr Webster is very well thought of by New Labour. His replacement, Roland Watson, was a friend of David Cameron's at Eton but has no political ties with the Tories.

Tom Newton Dunn, newly appointed as The Sun's political editor, is another Old Etonian. His father, Bill, used to be a Tory MEP, but defected to the Liberal Democrats in 2000.

Independent News and Media Limited <http://license.icopyright.net/user/external.act?publisher_id=1204>

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 6:26 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Interesting from Landler

Anyway this can be shared w Denis? Anything else I need to know? I'm up for the day.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 15 12:52:26 2009
Subject: FW: Interesting from Landler

Fyi to you

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 12:46 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Interesting from Landler

I was just talking to Mark Landler and relayed at the behest of the IG that they wanted to be sure Mark understood that the current IG inspection of Kabul is routine and that it always includes a leadership/management survey of the boss. Mark laughed and said he understood that, because of his knowledge of the inspection that is wrapping up in my bureau. It is why he put mention of the inspection at the tail end of the story.

e

B5
B6

PJ

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 6:49 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'vermarr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Talking Points for Secretary's Call to Senator Kerry

Sen. Kerry told me he would do all he could to get Raj confirmed, but he needs to meet him asap.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Nov 17 17:43:46 2009
Subject: FW: Talking Points for Secretary's Call to Senator Kerry

Per our conversation

From: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 5:34 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Verma, Richard R
Subject: Talking Points for Secretary's Call to Senator Kerry

Talking Points for the Secretary's Call with Senator Kerry

B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 6:12 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Pls add to schedule from 12:30 to 2:30. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Caputo, Lisa [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thu Nov 05 14:04:38 2009
Subject: RE: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Great! Will revert with time (likely 12:30pm) and location (a private room in a DC restaurant). Hope you get a little downtime! We'll make sure you laugh plenty at lunch. L

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 9:00 PM
To: Caputo, Lisa [NAM-CCC]
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Lisa---

I just landed from Cairo and would love to come to lunch and, as of now, I will be in DC. So just let me know time and place!

I'm copying Lona so she can connect w you since I leave again on Sat for two weeks. This travel gig is never ending--can't wait for Thanksgiving for a few days off.
Hope you're well, my friend.

----- Original Message -----
From: Caputo, Lisa [redacted]
To: H2; H
Sent: Tue Nov 03 09:03:22 2009
Subject: Holiday lunch Dec 17

Hillary,

[redacted] B6

Lisa

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 10:31 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Death of Johnnie Mae Walker Spargo 11.8.09

Pls do letter to

----- Original Message -----
From: cheryl.mills
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Nov 17 22:26:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Death of Johnnie Mae Walker Spargo 11.8.09

B6

Fyi

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From:
Date: Tue, 17 Nov 2009 08:54:03 -0500
To: 'Cheryl Mills'
Subject: FW: Death of Johnnie Mae Walker Spargo 11.8.09

See para 7.

HRC is bound to know and still works for the national org I think. also good friend of

From:
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 8:06 AM
To:
Subject: FW: Death of Johnnie Mae Walker Spargo 11.8.09

B6

• ———ARKADELPHIA — Johnnie Mae Walker Spargo was born on the first day of Spring, March 20, 1919, and from that day until her last on this earth, Nov. 8, 2009, she cast her beautiful blue eyes and dimpled smile on all of those around her.

Johnnie Mae was the youngest daughter of Garland Lee and Hettie Mann Walker of Red Oak, Arkansas, now part of Hot Springs on the southeast shores of Lake Hamilton. She was predeceased by her parents and siblings, Claude, Eddie, Grady, Hansel, Clara, Isli, and Doyle. She was predeceased by her loving husband of 67 years, Stephen Fowler Spargo, and by Jamie and Billy Gibbs, her daughter and sonin-law, and her son-in-law Allen Brown DeLamar. The Spargos, natives of Hot Springs, made Arkadelphia home when Steve joined Reynolds Aluminum Company in 1954.

Mrs. Spargo is survived by her son and daughter-in-law, Byron and Mary Lynda Spargo, of Baton Rouge, La., and her daughters, Nancy Spargo DeLamar, of Little Rock, and Dr. Linda Spargo, of Oxford, Miss. Her grandchildren include Megan DeLamar Schroeder and her husband, Trey, of Texarkana; Hattie Alton of Oxford, Miss.; Johni Beth Gibbs and her fiance Jeremy Teague of Sheridan; Gwendy Clingman and her husband, Ed, of Mechanicsville, Va.; and Leslie Spargo of Baton Rouge. Johnnie Mae was especially proud of her great-grandchildren: Frances and Eleanor Schroeder and Ryan and Lauren Clingman.

She was a fierce and loyal advocate for each and every one of her offspring and truly believed that they could be anything in the world they wanted to be. She was a member of the First Methodist Church in Arkadelphia. Johnnie Mae was a pre-school teacher for many years at Margo Nelson's Wonderland School in Arkadelphia. She also helped her community as a constant and reliable election poll worker. Even though Johnnie Mae was determined that she not be perceived as a retiring little granny, her family was her universe. She was a strong, vibrant woman with black hair coiffed on top of her head and clicking high heels, and she had beautiful skin that all of her progeny hope to inherit. She believed in living to the fullest until the end, doing good works, helping all whom she encountered in ways that she could, and imbuing her children and grandchildren with a strong work ethic and deep empathy for those less fortunate. Many people in Arkansas have been the recipient of one of her lemon butter pound cakes for doing something kind for one of her girls. When she won the 2003 Clark County Democrat of the Year, Johnnie Mae was described as a cross between Eva Peron and Mary Poppins.

Johnnie Mae made her mark on Arkadelphia, determined that her children would enjoy to the fullest the experiences that the Norman Rockwellian setting provided. She threw herself into any endeavor that her children and grandchildren wanted to pursue. When Becky Fuller, now Rebecca Ward, was the first person from the city to win a statewide election at Girl's State, Johnnie Mae organized a city-wide homecoming celebration complete with a parade for all the Arkadelphia delegates that included her daughter Nancy. When Johnnie Mae's daughter Jamie wanted to pursue rodeo and barrel racing, Nonnie perfected her ability to sew cowgirl outfits while also cheering-on her daughter from the stands. When her daughter Linda wanted to serve as a cheerleader for Arkadelphia High School and then Ouachita Baptist University, Johnnie Mae and Steve's house became nerve-center for all events athletic. When daughter Nancy returned to Arkadelphia in 1964 with seven-month-old Megan to raise, Johnnie and Steve provided a nurturing, loving home for daughter and granddaughter. Megan was the grandchild who gave Johnnie Mae her proudest title: Johnnie Mae became "Nonnie."

Nonnie was queen of a tribe of three generations of women known as "Spargo Girls." When her great-granddaughters Frances and Eleanor were born in 1999, Nonnie was determined that the twin girls would not use an exclusive communication method. Nonnie's special task was to talk with them extensively and one-on-one, making sure that the

girls' first desire was to talk to her. Active in Democratic politics at all levels and with a passion, she was equally a dedicated life master of duplicate bridge and played many a set with her friends at the House of Bridge in Hot Springs. Johnnie ultimately spent most of her time driving to wherever one of her children lived to help with whatever tasks of life needed tending. In response to this demanding schedule, she famously responded, "You can sleep when you are dead!" Her stock question when confronted with news from one of her children of a pending event — whether one was receiving an award or going out for lunch — was "Well, what will you wear?" Raising all these Spargo girls, she was expert not only at re-upholstering an entire set of living room furniture, she also sewed countless, spontaneous-yet-appropriate attire, from couture evening gowns to cowgirl wear. Making ball gowns from the draperies was not exclusive to other southern heroines.

Perhaps Johnnie Mae's most endearing qualities were unfailing optimism combined with great pragmatism. She lived in the present and did not believe in guilt or regrets. Born before women could vote, she cast one of her last votes for the woman she hoped would be the first woman President. When Hillary did not prevail, she once again enjoyed casting a vote for a straight Democratic ticket. Nonnie would want the family to express special gratitude for the many kindnesses extended to her by the staff and nurses at Presbyterian Village in Little Rock, where she resided since June 2009. Thank you is extended also to Arkansas Hospice whose compassion and care comforted both family and friends. Thanks are also expressed to the staff of Home Instead and their compassionate caregiver, Bette White. John Lee Roberts of Arkadelphia provided unwavering help and assistance and was a much loved friend and companion to both Steve and Johnnie Mae.

The family will have a visitation at 9:30-10:30 a.m. Wednesday at Ruggles-Wilcox Funeral Home in Arkadelphia, followed by a graveside service at 10:30 a.m. Wednesday at Rose Hill Cemetery led by Father Douglas Anderson of St. James Episcopal Church, Texarkana. Memorials may be made to Arkansas Hospice, 5600 W 12th St., Little Rock, Ark. 72204; Presbyterian Village Foundation, 510 Brookside, Little Rock, Ark. 72205; or the Arkansas Nature Conservancy, 601 North University, Little Rock, Ark. 72205. Sign on line guest book at www.ruggleswilcox.com.



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 1:27 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke just called for you.

I gave them to Jake.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 22 11:59:03 2009
Subject: Re: Holbrooke just called for you.

Also, assume you are giving any edits to documents directly to richard? Ops called to see if you were going to send edits back thru secure fax. I assume you are not.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Nov 22 11:57:50 2009
Subject: Holbrooke just called for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 6:15 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I have red folder with notes from potus mtg. U need?

Yes. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 06 19:28:23 2009
Subject: I have red folder with notes from potus mtg. U need?

RELEASE IN PART
B4,1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:18 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Fwd: From Joe Wilson

Berlin was terrific. Lots of good exchanges w leaders. [redacted]

[redacted]

Have you heard anything else? 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 11:47:11 2009
Subject: Fwd: From Joe Wilson

FYI. How did it go in Berlin? Looked terrific. What does Merkel think of the Blair option? Sid

-----Original Message-----
From: Joseph Wilson <[redacted] B6
To: sbwhoeop[redacted]
Sent: Tue, Nov 10, 2009 11:44 am
Subject: From Joe Wilson

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 11/09/2024

Sid,
Could you please pass the following to HRC. Thanks. Joe

Dear Madame Secretary,

When we last spoke at the Corporate Council on Africa you were kind enough to invite me to pay a call on you when in DC. As it turns out, I will be in Washington for most of next week, arriving the afternoon of the 16th and returning to Santa Fe the evening of the 20th (the return is flexible if you were available to see me over the weekend.) I realize that you are traveling this week and possibly into next, but I wanted to reach out to you. As I wrote to you earlier, I am a director for Symbion Power and may soon assume more direct responsibility for all of Africa as Symbion expands there from its current operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. Symbion's competitive advantage is its reliance on local partnering and human capacity development in the execution of its projects. Its success in the most difficult and dangerous environments has been very impressive, as the four minute video that Sid gave to you on my behalf demonstrates. We now want to bring that business model and philosophy to Africa where the social entrepreneurship and the development of skilled labor is imperative for Africa's long term economic prospects, as you have so forcefully articulated in past comments. [redacted] B4
[redacted] I am very enthusiastic about Symbion. It is one or the very few American companies outside the petroleum sector willing to establish itself and to

undertake projects in Africa. With our record of success elsewhere, we are certain that our approach to project development and execution will mesh nicely with African needs and U.S. policy priorities.

Valerie, Trevor and Samantha join me in extending our best wishes to you, Bill, and Chelsea as we enter the holiday season.

Joe

Ambassador Joseph Wilson
Director, Symbion Power
Email: [redacted]
website: www.symbion-power.com <http://www.symbion-power.com%C2%A0> ;

B6

This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify immediately.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:36 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Samual heyman

Pls be sure I do letter to his wife and my friend, Ronnie and add her to call list.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 09 17:20:48 2009
Subject: Samual heyman

November 09, 2009

Samuel J. Heyman, who stood out as a corporate raider who preferred to hold on to companies he bought and run them rather that sell them for a quick profit, died Sunday at Mount Sinai Hospital in Manhattan. He was 70.

Mr. Heyman's death was confirmed by his wife, Ronnie, who said he had died after complications from open heart surgery.

Mr. Heyman was most recently chairman of the GAF Corporation, a manufacturer of roofing materials, and a chemical company that was spun off from GAF, called International Specialty Products. Both companies are based in Wayne, N.J.

A group led by Mr. Heyman bought GAF in 1983 and took it private in 1987 after the stock market crashed. He later spun off GAF's chemical business into a separate company, I.S.P.

During that period, corporate raiders tended to buy companies, cut operational costs and then sell them at a profit. But after Mr. Heyman won a battle with GAF's management for control of the company, he told The New York Times: "There is a very strong potential in chemicals, and we plan to make them grow."

Mr. Heyman, who was also a lawyer, had diverse business holdings, including Heyman Properties, which owns shopping malls in the Northeast and which grew out of a business he had inherited from his father.

"He was extremely methodical. There was a rhyme and reason to everything he did," said Leon Black, the chairman of Apollo Management, a private equity firm, and a longtime friend who was once Mr. Heyman's investment banker.

Mr. Black added: "He combined a strong legal background with a strong analytical business strength, and he was a fierce negotiator. But what really made Sam kick was that he was a builder. It was all about building, whether it was his companies, his real estate, his art collection or his family."

Mr. Heyman was born March 1, 1939, in Danbury, Conn., to Annette and Lazarus Heyman. His father was a real estate developer. After graduating from Yale in 1960 and Harvard Law School in 1964, Mr. Heyman went to work in the Justice Department under Robert F. Kennedy and served as chief assistant United States attorney for Connecticut. He had to cut that career short when his father needed him to take over the real estate development business.

Mr. Heyman made his biggest mark in the 1983 battle for GAF. A group of shareholders led by Mr. Heyman became frustrated by management's resistance to selling some of its biggest assets, including the chemicals business.

Mr. Heyman waged an aggressive campaign against the company's chairman and ultimately forced his removal through a shareholder vote - a rare victory for shareholders during a time when executives of public companies were considered virtually untouchable.

Once Mr. Heyman took control of GAF, he reversed course and held on to the chemicals business, ultimately making it a big contributor to the company's profit.

He also moved quickly to cut costs and revamp the business, saying at the time that he was an "impatient man. When I see a place where money can be saved, I don't waste time."

Mr. Heyman also gave away money. In 1999, he founded the Partnership for Public Service, which provides fellowships to encourage students to pursue careers in public service. For his charity work, Mr. Heyman won the President's Citizens Award in 2008.

His other philanthropic interests included the establishment of the Samuel and Ronnie Heyman Center on Corporate Governance at the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law.

He and his wife also collected art. Among their holdings are works by Mark Rothko and Jackson Pollock.

In addition to his wife, the former Ronnie Feuerstein, Mr. Heyman is survived by his mother, Annette Heyman of Palm Beach, Fla.; four children, Lazarus Heyman, Eleanor Propp, Jennifer Millstone and Elizabeth Winter; and nine grandchildren.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:42 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Samual heyman

Where is Bill? Can I call his cell or Doug's?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Nov 10 13:40:46 2009
Subject: Re: Samual heyman

We are doing letter
Also have a number if you want to call
Wjc is supposed to call as well.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Nov 10 13:35:39 2009
Subject: Re: Samual heyman

Pls be sure I do letter to his wife and my friend, Ronnie and add her to call list.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 09 17:20:48 2009
Subject: Samual heyman

November 09, 2009

Samuel J. Heyman, who stood out as a corporate raider who preferred to hold on to companies he bought and run them rather that sell them for a quick profit, died Sunday at Mount Sinai Hospital in Manhattan. He was 70.

Mr. Heyman's death was confirmed by his wife, Ronnie, who said he had died after complications from open heart surgery.

Mr. Heyman was most recently chairman of the GAF Corporation, a manufacturer of roofing materials, and a chemical company that was spun off from GAF, called International Specialty Products. Both companies are based in Wayne, N.J.

A group led by Mr. Heyman bought GAF in 1983 and took it private in 1987 after the stock market crashed. He later spun off GAF's chemical business into a separate company, I.S.P.

During that period, corporate raiders tended to buy companies, cut operational costs and then sell them at a profit. But after Mr. Heyman won a battle with GAF's management for control of the company, he told The New York Times: "There is a very strong potential in chemicals, and we plan to make them grow."

Mr. Heyman, who was also a lawyer, had diverse business holdings, including Heyman Properties, which owns shopping malls in the Northeast and which grew out of a business he had inherited from his father.

"He was extremely methodical. There was a rhyme and reason to everything he did," said Leon Black, the chairman of Apollo Management, a private equity firm, and a longtime friend who was once Mr. Heyman's investment banker.

Mr. Black added: "He combined a strong legal background with a strong analytical business strength, and he was a fierce negotiator. But what really made Sam kick was that he was a builder. It was all about building, whether it was his companies, his real estate, his art collection or his family."

Mr. Heyman was born March 1, 1939, in Danbury, Conn., to Annette and Lazarus Heyman. His father was a real estate developer. After graduating from Yale in 1960 and Harvard Law School in 1964, Mr. Heyman went to work in the Justice Department under Robert F. Kennedy and served as chief assistant United States attorney for Connecticut. He had to cut that career short when his father needed him to take over the real estate development business.

Mr. Heyman made his biggest mark in the 1983 battle for GAF. A group of shareholders led by Mr. Heyman became frustrated by management's resistance to selling some of its biggest assets, including the chemicals business.

Mr. Heyman waged an aggressive campaign against the company's chairman and ultimately forced his removal through a shareholder vote - a rare victory for shareholders during a time when executives of public companies were considered virtually untouchable.

Once Mr. Heyman took control of GAF, he reversed course and held on to the chemicals business, ultimately making it a big contributor to the company's profit.

He also moved quickly to cut costs and revamp the business, saying at the time that he was an "impatient man. When I see a place where money can be saved, I don't waste time."

Mr. Heyman also gave away money. In 1999, he founded the Partnership for Public Service, which provides fellowships to encourage students to pursue careers in public service. For his charity work, Mr. Heyman won the President's Citizens Award in 2008.

His other philanthropic interests included the establishment of the Samuel and Ronnie Heyman Center on Corporate Governance at the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law.

He and his wife also collected art. Among their holdings are works by Mark Rothko and Jackson Pollock.

In addition to his wife, the former Ronnie Feuerstein, Mr. Heyman is survived by his mother, Annette Heyman of Palm Beach, Fla.; four children, Lazarus Heyman, Eleanor Propp, Jennifer Millstone and Elizabeth Winter; and nine grandchildren.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 2:22 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Question on S Retreat

I agree--we should stick w principals. I thought everyone else was confirmed?

What was reaction to Raj?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 14:18:38 2009
Subject: FW: Question on S Retreat

We're getting ready to get a lot of these – I think not b/c it defeats the purpose of having A/S and others meet and get to know each other.

From: Shortley, Timothy R
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:40 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Question on S Retreat

Cheryl, the General will be traveling during the November 20 Secretary's retreat. May I attend in his absence?

Thank you

Timothy R. Shortley

Deputy to SE Gration

Office of the U.S. Special Envoy to Sudan (S/USSES)

Department of State, Room 5819

202-647-4531

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2009 4:50 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Update

I forgot I have to do stick. Are you free?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 13 23:34:54 2009
Subject: Fw: Update

----- Original Message -----
From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Burns, William J; Steinberg, James B; Valenzuela, Arturo A; Shannon, Thomas A
Sent: Fri Nov 13 23:12:29 2009
Subject: Update

Huma/Jake:

Just got further updates from a de facto rep and Amb Llorens. The de facto authorities have decided to turn this exercise into a sort of loya girga to get buy-in for M's departure. This evening the discussions included religious groups and business leaders. Tomorrow government officials and others. My de facto contact says results tonight were good although it was not easy for many to accept the logic behind M's exit. He said the US once again has been the catalyst for movement in Honduras. Thinking is now for a Monday announcement.

We'll stay on it and update you.

ck

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2009 11:08 AM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'
Subject: Are you back in Singapore?

Where are you??? HRC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2009 5:32 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call list

Were these added?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 10 16:25:43 2009
Subject: RE: Call list

Tutu: [redacted] B6

Gail Collins: [redacted]

I'm working on addresses for both, and will all of the below to your call grid. I'll send an updated grid to Huma within the hour.

The Gail Collins book will be on your desk when you return.
-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:44 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Call list

Pls add Elaine Schuster,

Vicki Kennedy at [redacted]

General Lloyd Austin

I want to do letters/calls to Archbishop Tutu, and to Gail Collins at NYTimes (pls get copy of her book, When Everything Changed) so pls send me their addresses and numbers

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 10:46 AM
To: 'williamsbarrett [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Re:

Maggie---I hope all is well. Pls give [redacted] my very best. Love, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: williamsbarrett [redacted]
To: Cheryl D Mills <MillsCD@state.gov>; H
Sent: Sun Nov 22 10:19:05 2009

Cheryl believe I can do early morning tomorrow call if you wantto discuss agenda.

M
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 2:00 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

Can we talk now? I just woke up again.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 11 09:53:38 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called me to say why I shouldn't make the call to eik so i've pushed it to back -- we need to talk

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 10:21 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: are you awake

Yes--call my berry or the black phone in my room.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 12 10:19:26 2009
Subject: are you awake

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 1:54 PM
To: 'feltmanjd@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Hariri

Jeff--When I spoke w him, he mentioned a cable that he thought threatened our support for the LAF. Do you know what that is about?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 6:41 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

Pls do a congrats letter.

----- Original Message -----
From: Marty Torrey
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 12 12:34:07 2009
Subject:

B6

Little born yesterday. Also, great job in Berlin and with USS New York. Caught parts of both. Still think we need you as Pres.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:03 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fyi [redacted] B6

Thx. I just emailed her.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Nov 15 11:51:47 2009
Subject: Fyi [redacted]

Fyi- today is [redacted]'s birthday. (Sunday nov. 15th) I believe she in on official travel right now.

[redacted]

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2009 12:23 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Did you talk to Podesta about the Denis comment?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2009 8:16 PM
To: 'rodriguezme@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Health Care Update

Finally!!! Who didn't vote?

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E <RodriguezME@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 21 20:09:40 2009
Subject: Health Care Update

This evening cloture was invoked on the motion to proceed to Majority Leader Reid's health care bill, 60 to 39.

It was a straight party line vote. Despite some early concern over how they would vote, Senators Ben Nelson, Landrieu, and Lincoln voted with the caucus. Each has warned they're not a guaranteed "yes" vote on final passage.

Senators voted from their seats, and Senator Dodd sat in the chair during the vote. The vote was followed by brief applause.

Per the UC agreement negotiated by Reid and McConnell, this evening's cloture vote will also double as the vote on the underlying motion to proceed, meaning the Senate will recess after the vote and will be on the health care bill when it returns from the Thanksgiving recess.

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Verma, Richard R
Sent: Fri Nov 20 08:20:18 2009
Subject: Health Care Update

Just a brief update on the Senate health care debate.

The Senate will take its first vote on health care legislation Saturday night now that Leader Reid seems to have secured the requisite votes to invoke cloture. The vote to invoke cloture will double as a vote on the motion to proceed, allowing senators to head home for Thanksgiving recess following the 8 p.m. vote.

If cloture is invoked, Reid would immediately call up his bill as a substitute amendment to a House measure that will serve as the shell for the motion to proceed vote. Senator Coburn had threatened to require the clerk to read the 2,000-page bill following the vote on the motion to proceed, but Reid will call up the bill by number only, which prevents a reading.

Whether Reid has the votes to break the filibuster Saturday hangs on three Democrats: Senators Lincoln, Landrieu, and Ben Nelson. All three say they are undecided, but appear to indicate they are leaning toward voting in favor of cloture.

Reportedly Landrieu has been using her leverage to attempt to change the bill as it moves forward and won a particularly sweet deal that could bring billions to Louisiana. Following 2005's Hurricane Katrina, Louisiana saw an increase in per capita income, which led to a significant drop in federal Medicaid funding. Reid included language in the bill that will increase funding by 50 percent of the total decrease.

You will recall that Senator Snowe was the only Republican to vote in favor of the Finance bill, but said she has too many concerns with Reid's version to support the cloture vote Saturday.

Earlier this week, the CBO scored the Reid bill. The CBO estimates the total cost of the legislation is $848 billion.

Below please find a copy of the President's statement on the roll out of Reid's legislation:

STATEMENT FROM PRESIDENT OBAMA ON THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT

"Today we passed another critical milestone in the health reform effort with the release of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. I was particularly pleased to see that the Congressional Budget Office has estimated that the bill will reduce the deficit by $127 billion over the next ten years and as much as $650 billion in the decade following, saving hundreds of billions while extending coverage to 31 million more Americans.

From day one, our goal has been to enact legislation that offers stability and security to those who have insurance and affordable coverage to those who don't, and that lowers costs for families, businesses and governments across the country. Majority Leader Reid, Chairmen Baucus and Dodd, and countless Senators have worked tirelessly to craft legislation that meets those principles.

Just yesterday, a bipartisan group of more than 20 leading health economists released a letter urging passage of meaningful reform and praising four key provisions that are in the Senate legislation: a fee on insurance companies offering high-premium plans, the establishment of an independent Medicare commission, reforms to the health care delivery system, and overall deficit neutrality. The economists said that these provisions 'will reduce long-term deficits, improve the quality of care, and put the nation on a firm fiscal footing.' Those are precisely the goals we should be seeking to attain.

The challenges facing our health care system aren't new – but if we fail to act they'll surely get even worse, meaning higher premiums, skyrocketing costs, and deeper instability for those with coverage. Today, thanks to the Senate's hard work, we're closer than ever to enacting solutions to these problems.

I look forward to working with the Senate and House to get a finished bill to my desk as soon as possible."

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 9:58 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills('sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin B6
Subject: Another perspective

The following is from an observer who I think is right about the need for more strategy time which means we have to have a better balance about travel time. I'd like your reactions. Thx.

"When it comes to foreign policy, all see you doing a great job. You must be literally killing yourself – I can see your schedule is bruising. But you probably need more strategy time, less events as the ultimate judgment will be on whether iran, nk get the bomb, progress in Mideast, success in Afghanistan, Iraq. Obama's pause on Afghanistan goes well with elite media and left that is against all wars, but not with swing male voters who are defecting. And I think it is making the rest of your job much tougher because the delay in the decision is looking Carterlike to US adversaries – any decision is better than no decision. But all roads likely lead to Iran and perhaps Pakistan, rest is secondary at this point.

That's my view for what it's worth. Take care.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 1:44 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Call list

Pls add Elaine Schuster,

Vicki Kennedy at [redacted] B6

General Lloyd Austin

I want to do letters/calls to Archbishop Tutu, and to Gail Collins at NYTimes (pls get copy of her book, When Everything Changed) so pls send me their addresses and numbers

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 10:05 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Prebrief

Will Eikenberry be there?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 10:27 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: are you awake

I haven't been able to get a line out on my berry so that may be why. But ops should be able to connect on the black.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 12 10:25:01 2009
Subject: RE: are you awake

Just tried you - went to vox - calling ops

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 10:21 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: are you awake

Yes--call my berry or the black phone in my room.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 12 10:19:26 2009
Subject: are you awake

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2009 5:58 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Reminder

Yes to both.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 14 16:59:50 2009
Subject: Reminder

roy spence asked if u would say hello and take a picture with his friends. Its not john wren but someone else who works at omni com and his wife. Can we do later today in lobby on way out to airport?
Also, cathy hall is in town too. Also asking if she can say hello and thought we could do the same. What do u think?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 12:02 PM
To: 'tauschereo@state.gov'
Subject: [redacted] B6

Ellen--

HappyHappy from Shanghai! Can't wait to catch up in person at the retreat on Friday. Is your husband coming to the dinner at my house that night? Safe travels to you too! Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 9:14 AM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'
Subject: Where are you?

I am in the restaurant w Bader. Come see us.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2009 9:47 AM
To: 'crowleypj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Love the Coat

Thx! I bought the coat in Kabul in 03 and thought it should get a chance to go home for a visit! See you tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thu Nov 19 05:47:42 2009
Subject: Love the Coat

Your arrival in Kabul landed the front page picture in the NYT and sparked an on-line poll in Huff Post about your coat. At last check, its favorability rating was 77 percent. Safe travels back. PJ

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 11/20/2024

RELEASE IN PART B1,B5,1.4(D)

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2009 4:59 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call to Chinese FM Yang

I will do when he is available which should not be for a few hours. Let me know when. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 21 16:55:05 2009
Subject: Fw: Call to Chinese FM Yang

See below
Bill burns is asking if you would call yang We can send you formal call sheet and set up call if you want.

From: Burns, William J
To: Abedin, Huma; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: Steinberg, James B; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sat Nov 21 16:51:15 2009
Subject: Call to Chinese FM Yang

Madam Secretary,

I'm really sorry to disturb your weekend. [redacted] 1.4(D) B1

[redacted]

[redacted] Jim and I agree that it would be very helpful if you could call FM Yang as soon as possible this weekend and press the point with him. If you're able to, here are a few suggested points. 1.4(D) B1

Begin points:

[redacted] B5

B5

Many thanks again.

Bill Burns

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 6:12 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

B6

See you later today.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 23 05:50:30 2009
Subject: Re: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Nov 23 05:38:01 2009
Subject: Re: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

Ok. Hope you got some sleep!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 23 05:31:20 2009
Subject: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 8:04 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls confirmed

I doubt that all of these calls will be only 15 minutes. Will that matter? Have they been given windows or these specific times?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Thu Nov 26 07:48:25 2009
Subject: Calls confirmed

Call sheets will be coming on secure fax at 8am.
Here are confirmed calls:
830: Japanese FMinister Okada
845: French FMinister Kouchner
900: Polish FMinister Sikorski
915: Canadian FMinister Cannon
930: Brazilian FMinister Amorim
945: Romanian President Basescu
1000: Norwegian FM Stoere
1015: Italian FM Frattini
1030: Possible Saudi FM
1045: Dutch FMinister Verhagen
1100: Spanish FMinister Moratinos

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 7:57 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras statement

I would like to sign off on this tomorrow when I can better review it. Is that ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 26 18:11:07 2009
Subject: Honduras statement

The team proposes to release the following statement under your name tomorrow. [redacted] B5

[redacted] I think it works. Please let me know what you think:

Statement by the Secretary
On the Restoration of Democratic and Constitutional Order In Honduras November 27, 2009

The United States remains committed to help restore the democratic and constitutional order in Honduras in the wake of the June 28 coup d'etat that removed President Zelaya and led to the suspension of Honduras from the Organization of American States. As part of that effort, it is essential for both parties in Honduras to implement the measures they agreed to in the Tegucigalpa-San Jose Accord, including the formation of a government of unity and national reconciliation and the December 2 congressional vote on President Zelaya"s restitution. These are vital elements of the Tegucigalpa-San Jose Accord, and the Honduran people and the international community expect an expeditious determination. We look forward to the Congressional deliberations getting underway as announced.

The November 29 national elections are another critical step in the restoration of the democratic and constitutional order in Honduras. The electoral process -- launched well before June 28 and involving legitimate candidates representing parties with longstanding democratic traditions from a broad ideological spectrum -- is conducted under the stewardship of the non-partisan Supreme Electoral Tribunal, which was also selected before the coup. The electoral renewal of presidential, congressional and mayoral mandates, enshrined in the Honduran constitution, is an inalienable expression of the sovereign will of the citizens of Honduras. We wish the Honduran people well as they choose their new leaders on Sunday, and we urge all sides to exercise their rights peacefully.

The holding of a free, fair and transparent election is necessary but not sufficient for Honduras to reestablish the democratic and constitutional order. In order to help achieve that objective, we will continue, along with others in the region, to support the step-by-step implementation of the Tegucigalpa-San Jose Accord as a democratic way forward for the Honduran people. The president-elect who emerges from an election deemed free and fair will have a unique opportunity to promote that vital mission.

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 6:26 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Quint fms

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

I agree.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 28 17:14:04 2009
Subject: Fw: Quint fms

[redacted] I'm going to propose separate bilats with relevant countries. You ok with proceeding that way? B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Daalder, Ivo H
To: Macmanus, Joseph E; Boyer, Spencer P; Gordon, Philip H; Abedin, Huma; McEldowney, Nancy E; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Nov 28 16:21:11 2009
Subject: RE: Quint fms

[redacted] B5

Ambassador Ivo Daalder
+32 (0)2-724-3230 (o) | [redacted] (c) B6
daalderih@state.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Boyer, Spencer P; Gordon, Philip H; Abedin, Huma; Daalder, Ivo H; McEldowney, Nancy E; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Quint fms

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Boyer, Spencer P
To: Gordon, Philip H; Abedin, Huma; Daalder, Ivo H; McEldowney, Nancy E; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sat Nov 28 14:52:27 2009
Subject: Re: Quint fms

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma; Daalder, Ivo H; McEldowney, Nancy E; Sullivan, Jacob J; Boyer, Spencer P; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sat Nov 28 14:30:39 2009
Subject: Quint fms

B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 12:04 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

I didn't read the McD reference that way. I actually thought it was complimentary of his spin skills. What am I missing?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 11:51:28 2009
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

It's there, just scroll down
Sent via Cingular Xpress Mail with Blackberry

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Nov 2009 11:38:00
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted]
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

Can you send me the Hoagland piece?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 10:58:16 2009
Subject: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 29, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Backdrop to your coming week

Below is a piece published today in The Observer, the Sunday newspaper of The Guardian, on the Chilcot inquiry, summarizing the testimony so far and what is expected this week while you are drumming up support for the

Afghanistan initiative. Britain and Europe are riveted by Chilcot, especially official circles. The objective correlative, of course, is trust in any U.S. administration and deep skepticism about the Afghanistan project. Yet another undercurrent among the mandarins is disdainful resentment of indifference to Britain, a sentiment beyond Labour or the Tories but what has emerged as the view of the permanent establishment at Whitehall and elsewhere.

Mandelson Watch: After Miliband declined to reach for the EU foreign secretaryship (though Sarkozy wanted him to take it and Brown was not averse, even favorable), Mandelson personally campaigned on his own for it among the Europeans. Mandelson was eager to Miliband to take the EU post, allowing Mandelson to be appointed foreign minister. When Miliband refused, Mandelson's ambition was thwarted, and tried to seize the EU position for himself, but without any backing in Europe or from Gordon. The Europeans thought him mad. Suddenly, they recalled his bad or strange behavior as UK commissioner to the EU. When Christine Ashton was named, Mandelson briefed the press on her lack of credentials, etc. Ashton, as it happens, had worked the press to try to help Mandelson when he had gotten into the mess that led to his first resignation. Those inside the government who remember this see it as an illustration of the principle that no good deed goes unpunished. Mandelson, in a snit, is now not speaking to Gordon as though his rejection were Gordon's fault.

One more item: Did you read Jim Hoagland in the Washington Post today? He nails McDonough for trashing Biden, among other things. Enclosed below story on Chilcot:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/29/iraq-war-inquiry-chilcot-blair
Chilcot Inquiry: Mandarins take revenge on Tony Blair over Iraq Civil servants and diplomats are lining up to stick a knife into former PM's reputation

* Toby Helm <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/tobyhelm> and Rajeev Syal <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/rajeev-syal>
* The Observer <http://observer.guardian.co.uk> , Sunday 29 November 2009
* Whitehall mandarins are supreme masters of subtle evasion. But they do not rise to the top of their trade without also knowing how to stick in the knife.

At times the Chilcot inquiry into Britain's involvement in Iraq since 2001, which opened last week, resembled a gentlemen's club moved to the sanitised surroundings of the Queen Elizabeth II Conference Centre in Westminster. Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment politely questioned other Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment about who said what to whom in which memo. The inquiry is a peculiar mixture of the old and the new, the open and closed. It is conducted in the language of Whitehall, yet beamed live by webcam to the world.
Critics are convinced that, for all Sir John Chilcot's promises to the contrary, it will turn out to be another Whitehall whitewash. For the cognoscenti, little new information has yet emerged, and when the final report is written it will not seek to apportion blame. Yet beneath the equivocation and mandarin-speak, Whitehall seems, in as much as it knows how, to be using Chilcot to wield the scalpel. Throughout the first week the pent-up frustrations of diplomats and career civil servants over the way Tony Blair <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/tonyblair> and George Bush secretly plotted to oust Saddam Hussein, bypassing the "official channels" in which they operate, has been there for all to see.
Chilcot is said to have been warned by his Whitehall friends that many witnesses will be ready to unburden themselves – finally to take revenge. In session after session they have appeared to do that. Blair's reputation has been sliced like salami day after day.
Sir William Ehrman, the Foreign Office's former director of international security, volunteered last Wednesday that the threat from Saddam's supposed weapons of mass destruction was known to be limited.
In the gentlest way he drove in the dagger. "We did, I think on 10 March [2003], get a report that chemical weapons might have remained disassembled and Saddam hadn't yet ordered their assembly. There was a suggestion that Iraq might lack warheads capable of effective dispersal of agents."

Ever the diplomat, however, Ehrman went on to say that the intelligence warnings had not made any difference to the case for war. "I don't think it invalidated the point about the programmes he had. It was more about use," he said. But he had set the tone.

On Thursday, Sir Christopher Meyer, UK ambassador in Washington from 1997 to 2003, who has already criticised the government over Iraq in his memoirs, went for Blair, mercilessly comparing his qualities as a war leader to those of Margaret Thatcher. "I'm not trying to make a party political point here whatsoever, but quite often I think about this: what would Margaret Thatcher have done?" Meyer asked. "I think she would have insisted on a coherent political and diplomatic strategy and she would have demanded the greatest clarity about what the heck happened if, and when, you removed Saddam Hussein."

A former press secretary to John Major who can speak like a mandarin but, equally, can talk like a layman, he said Blair had failed miserably to extract a price from George Bush for his loyalty. "We could have achieved more by playing a tougher role... if, for example... Tony Blair had said: 'I want to help you, George, on this, but I have to say, in all honesty, that I will not be able to take part in any military operation unless we have palpable progress on the [Middle East] peace process and we have absolute clarity on what happens in Iraq if it comes up.' I think that would have changed the nature – it would not have led to a rupture – it would have changed the nature of American planning. "

Even when defending UK policy, he was on the offensive. Asked if policy had been adapted to stay in line with Washington's, Meyer added: "I wouldn't say it was as extremely poodle-ish as that."

On Friday, Sir Jeremy Greenstock, Britain's former ambassador to the United Nations, told Chilcot he had threatened to resign in 2002 if at least one security council resolution was not passed. He added: "I regard our participation in the military action in Iraq in March 2003 as legal but of questionable legitimacy, in that it did not have the democratically observable backing of the great majority of [UN] member states, or even perhaps of the majority of people inside the UK."

Today new arguments are raging over whether the inquiry will have access to, and publish, the most sensitive documents – including legal advice given to the government by the former attorney general, Lord Goldsmith, during the lead-up to war. Nick Clegg, the Liberal Democrat leader, has written to Gordon Brown saying that, unless all such material is published, the inquiry will be a sham and the public's sense that it has been denied the facts will remain.

But it will still be essential viewing nonetheless. This week will see more key witnesses. The most revealing testimony could come tomorrow when Sir David Manning, Blair's former foreign policy <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/foreignpolicy> adviser, is certain to be asked about his authorship of a memo which purportedly revealed details of a secret meeting between Bush and Blair in January 2003. According to reports, the five pages of secret documents, known as the Manning memo, recorded the White House meeting on 31 January which allegedly shows that Bush and Blair made a deal to carry out an invasion regardless of whether weapons of mass destruction were discovered by UN weapons inspectors. It appears to be in direct contradiction with statements that Blair made to parliament afterwards that Saddam would be given a final chance to disarm.

The memo also disclosed that Bush floated the idea of painting a U-2 spyplane in UN colours and letting it fly low over Iraq to provoke Saddam into ordering it to be shot down, providing a pretext for the subsequent invasion by America and Britain.

Manning, educated at Oriel College, Oxford, and a career diplomat, is fiercely independent. He may choose to say more than Blair would like, according to one former colleague. He could also be asked to either confirm or deny Meyer's evidence that Meyer received "new instructions" in early 2005 indicating that it was a "complete waste of time" to oppose regime change, so strong was the US determination to go down that road.

On Tuesday, Edward Chaplin, the Foreign Office Middle East director at the time of the invasion, and Sir Peter Ricketts, the top official in the Foreign Office, will appear. Ricketts, a former chairman of Britain's powerful joint intelligence committee, has already given evidence to the inquiry alleging that officials in London knew even before Bush came to office in 2001 that there were "voices" in Washington calling for Saddam to be removed from power. Ricketts also told the inquiry that, until March 2002, Whitehall distanced itself from regime change. Only one month later, Blair told Bush that he would support military action "to bring about regime change".

According to documents leaked five years ago, Ricketts described the US in 2002 as "scrambling to establish a link between Iraq and al-Qaida" – a connection that was "so far, frankly unconvincing". On Thursday, Sir Kevin Tebbit, the Ministry of Defence's permanent secretary during the invasion, will be asked to give evidence about the military planning. Chilcot will, most likely, want to know when the government first began to amass resources for the war and what, if any, preparations were made for the aftermath of an invasion. Tebbit could also be urged to divulge the level of intelligence he received on the likelihood of an attack with chemical or biological weapons.

After Tebbit, a succession of heavy-hitting military men will give evidence. The former chief of the defence staff, Admiral the Lord Boyce, could be asked whether he believes that the invasion was legal. On Friday, Lieutenant General Sir Anthony Pigott, former deputy chief of the defence staff, and Major General David Wilson, senior British military adviser to the US military's central command between 2002 and 2003, will be questioned about military planning for the conflict.

The last witness to appear this week will be Dominic Asquith, Britain's ambassador to Iraq between 2006 and 2007, who will come armed with knowledge of the view from Baghdad.

When Chilcot has made his way through the officials, he will turn in the new year to former ministers, including Jack Straw, the former foreign secretary, and Blair himself. The panel has said that it will also begin to examine the highly controversial issue of whether the invasion was legal – which may turn out to be the focal point of the whole inquiry – in January.

In his final report, which is not expected until late 2010 at the earliest, Chilcot has said he will not seek to attribute blame. But after only one week it is already clear where much of Whitehall believes that lies.

The Washington Post
Afghanistan: The speech you'll miss
By Jim Hoagland
Sunday, November 29, 2009
Dec. 1, 2009:
My fellow Americans,

This is not the speech you expected to hear. But my wordsmiths are tied in knots writing an acceptance speech for the only Nobel Peace Prize ever awarded for making speeches. So I am going to tell you how I really feel about Afghanistan. Which is: steamed.

I want to accomplish three goals tonight without naming them.

First, to let those know-it-alls, Generals Petraeus and McChrystal, know one more thing: This is the last big troop increase you get, so make it work.

I am not going down the incrementalist road that wrecked Lyndon Johnson's presidency. This is not July 1965, when Westmoreland jumped the shark of escalation in Vietnam and then never stopped asking for more troops. For all the trouble he has been, Dick Holbrooke helped by recalling that history in the shadowboxing that dominated my long war council meetings.

Joe Biden has been good in there, too. He's been willing, though not happy, to be characterized in the media as being ready to bug out now. This helped us push back against McChrystal's effort to box me in at 40,000 additional U.S. soldiers.

Denis McDonough, my strategic communications man, sold Biden-as-dove brilliantly. Wasn't somebody just saying I should promote Denis? Maybe it was Denis?

Never mind. That tactic won me room to maneuver toward a more realistic number of, say, 23,000 new combat troops, 5,000 additional trainers and a "NATO surge" of 5,000 foreign troops.

That's my second unspoken goal: to come out of this buildup speech without losing the left of the Democratic Party -- while being able to refute John McCain's charges that I ignored my own generals. Triangulation lives.

Bush put the generals in the limelight to sell the Iraq surge after he lost all credibility, and David Petraeus's performance was dazzling. Which presents two big problems. Petraeus is the only person who could get the Republican nomination in 2012 and make a serious run against me. (I get paid to think ahead.) And if the generals box me in, civilian control of the military in this country becomes a mockery. Clinton was afraid of the military; Bush was deep in hock to it. I've got to get the right balance back.

That's why I need Bob Gates and Jim Jones. Those who scoffed at my keeping on Bush's defense secretary, and then making a retired Marine four-star I hardly knew my NSC guy, were not thinking that moments like this would come. I already was.

Gates has maneuvered flawlessly through my waterboarding-by-leaks on Afghanistan. He will sell my final number to the uniforms as the "floor" for U.S. troops that Petraeus argues we need for three years. But Gates knows I will make it a ceiling.

We can't afford an open-ended commitment. I put Peter Orszag, as good a budget overseer as you can find, front and center for the photographers in that last war council. Many missed it, but NBC's Andrea Mitchell got it right away. No wonder she's married to Alan Greenspan.

I will bet that Stan McChrystal never drew up a budget in his life.
Jones tells me these Special Operations commanders are used to getting whatever they ask for, especially since September 11th. Nobody on the Hill will deny them anything.
Jones is also squeezing the Europeans to join the battle and is getting results, even from the Germans. What is it Jones says? Maybe the worst thing of all would be to be perceived to lose in Afghanistan and then have the Europeans say: Well, you never asked for more help at the crucial time. Makes sense to me.
We have sent NATO members the numbers we think they can and should provide, country by country. That new secretary general, Anders Fogh Rasmussen, is pulling out the stops, visiting the capitals to get commitments.
"Say it now, pay it later," we tell the Europeans. They have until mid-2010 to deploy their new units. But I need pledges now so I can get across tonight that this is NATO's war, not Obama's war. That's unspoken-goal number three.
So I have frontloaded the speech with allusions to this being about an exit strategy, without boxing myself in on timing, and am presenting the "civilian surge" as being as essential as the troop buildup. That helps set up my Oslo speech. And who knows? It may even work out that way. If God blesses us all.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 7:59 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: insert

Ok let's discuss in call.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 07:57:13 2009
Subject: insert

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:50 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'millscd@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: cairo +15 speech in early january

Lona---Could we be ready to do on or before 12/18? I'm reluctant to schedule for early Jan. Also if we did in Dec, would coincide w Copenhagen.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Nov 24 21:52:43 2009
Subject: FW: cairo +15 speech in early january

Assume this is something you want to do that we can lock on a date?

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:38 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: cairo +15 speech in early january

About six weeks ago, HRC agreed to give a speech commemorating the anniversary of the 1994 UN Conference on Population and Development in Cairo. I believe the actual anniversary is December 18th so frankly, if we did the speech in early January, we would not be that far off the mark. The idea would be to do this speech at State with the audience including the NGOs, Gates Foundation, UN, and Packard Foundations. This speech could send a message regarding the link between population and environmental sustainability and as a result, improve women's status and rights. I would love to give them January 6th-8th if that works on your end.

Lona Valmoro

Special Assistant to the Secretary of State

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 8:08 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls confirmed

Can't wait. You know how much I love making calls.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 26 08:06:03 2009
Subject: Re: Calls confirmed

We have given them windowns. As soon as you are done the next one will be connected.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Nov 26 08:04:19 2009
Subject: Re: Calls confirmed

I doubt that all of these calls will be only 15 minutes. Will that matter? Have they been given windows or these specific times?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Thu Nov 26 07:48:25 2009
Subject: Calls confirmed

Call sheets will be coming on secure fax at 8am.
Here are confirmed calls:
830: Japanese FMinister Okada
845: French FMinister Kouchner
900: Polish FMinister Sikorski
915: Canadian FMinister Cannon
930: Brazilian FMinister Amorim
945: Romanian President Basescu
1000: Norwegian FM Stoere
1015: Italian FM Frattini
1030: Possible Saudi FM
1045: Dutch FMinister Verhagen
1100: Spanish FMinister Moratinos

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 8:22 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Three Call Sheets -- Argentina, Peru, Uruguay

Could I do in the 12:30-1:45 window while driving into the city?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Nov 28 02:12:57 2009
Subject: Fw: Three Call Sheets -- Argentina, Peru, Uruguay

Hrc - did jake or anyone discuss calling Argentina, uruguay and Peru on both honduras and copenhagen issues? Calls could take place tomorrow. I've attached call sheets for oscar to print for you. Please let us know if u want to schedule.

From: Syed, Zia S
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Macmanus, Joseph E; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; S_SpecialAssistants
Sent: Fri Nov 27 22:28:39 2009
Subject: Three Call Sheets -- Argentina, Peru, Uruguay

Huma, Attached are three calls sheets for calls with the foreign ministers from Argentina, Uruguay, and Peru. Jake had told us that these calls should happen by tomorrow. Please inform Ops if/when they should book these calls. Thanks, Zia.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 3:15 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Undeliverable: Memo potus will reference will be waiting at whitehaven when you get there.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 15:13:15 2009
Subject: Fw: Undeliverable: Memo potus will reference will be waiting at whitehaven when you get there.

Just searching my emails too and see I got an undeliverable message when I emailed you earlier. Very strange. First time that's ever happened.

----- Original Message -----
From: System Administrator
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Nov 29 14:57:44 2009
Subject: Undeliverable: Memo potus will reference will be waiting at whitehaven when you get there.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 3:54 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

What do you think? Can I commit to this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry [redacted] B6
To: H2; H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 10:02:38 2009
Subject: American Friends of the Hebrew University Scopus Award Dinner - March 11, 2010

Madam Secretary-

I hope you, the President and Chelsea had a great Thanksgiving. Hopefully things will wind down and you'll be able to spend some time with your family. As you know, I'm being honored by the American Friends of the Hebrew University on Thursday, March 11, 2010. I'd be so honored if you would consider being the keynote speaker. I've had some correspondence with Lona in your office and she indicated you may have a better idea of your 2010 calendar in early November. It would mean the world to me if you could do it, but if not I completely understand.

I look forward to seeing you soon.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
P: 312-930-2000
F: 312-930-2040

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 6:27 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: [redacted] just called back B6

Pls add to call list.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Nov 30 17:11:15 2009
Subject: [redacted] just called back

To say thank you for your message. She said she doesn't need a call back but was very thankful for your message, [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 8:53 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: When can you talk?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 9:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras elections

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 21:29:31 2009
Subject: Honduras elections

The team proposes to put out the following statement in Ian's name tonight. We are weighing a recommendation to put out a statement in your name tomorrow.

We commend the Honduran people for having exercised peacefully their democratic, sovereign and inalienable right to select their leaders. The apparently large and enthusiastic turnout shows that given the opportunity to express themselves, the Honduran people have viewed the election as an important part of the solution to the political crisis in their country.

We look forward to continuing to work with all Hondurans and encourage others in the Americas to follow the lead of the Honduran people in helping advance national reconciliation and the implementation of the Tegucigalpa-San Jose Accord. Significant work remains to be done to restore democratic and constitutional order in Honduras, but today the Honduran people took a necessary and important step forward.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 10:19 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

Same as Isabelle--just hands.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 22:08:51 2009
Subject: Re: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

Ill ask. What time?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Dec 02 21:52:48 2009
Subject: Re: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

Can Kristy come tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 21:38:00 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Dec 02 21:36:05 2009
Subject: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

(SBU) Although voting is ongoing, a simple majority of members have cast votes opposing his reinstatement, according to press. Embassy Tegucigalpa comments that at least 79 of the 128 members have voted to reaffirm the June 28 congressional decree removing Zelaya, with 11 voting against.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 3, 2009 8:48 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Birthdays today

I reached Katie but Shelly's voice mail wasn't set up. Can you track her down and tell her I tried. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 03 12:17:55 2009
Subject: Birthdays today

B6



###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 5, 2009 12:15 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Eikenberry prep

How's it going? Call me at home if you want. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:23 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Shuttle tomorrow

I will have to go into office first. Can I get on the 11 or 12?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 6:31 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Were you going to call me about something?

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 11:54 AM
To: 'gordonph@state.gov'; 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'; 'HolbrookeRC@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6

Dear Phil, Jim and Richard,

First, Happy Thanksgiving! I am making FM calls re Afghanistan which are going well and the memcons will describe the specific responses for our followup, especially in Athens and Brussels.

[redacted] B5

Below is a memo from a concerned observer about the British feeling alienated from us. [redacted] Let me know what you each think. Thx.

Consensus across the board in Britain—center, right, left—is that the Atlantic alliance—the special relationship—the historic bond since World War II—is shattered. There is no dissenting voice, not one, and there are no illusions. Opinion is unanimous. The bottom line is that the Obama administration's denigration of the UK is seen as the summation of the Bush era.

Undoubtedly, you saw this week Minister of Defense Bob Ainsworth's public criticism of Obama's indecision and his accusation that the president is indifferent and damaging to British interest. While Downing Street sought to ameliorate his remarks with an oleaginous statement his view is simply what everyone—everyone—thinks. His clumsy outburst was a classic gaffe—an embarrassing mistake because it reveals something true.

The Chilcot inquiry of Parliament, publicly conducting hearings on the origins of UK involvement in the Iraq invasion, has put Bush's war on terror—and British involvement—on trial—and the calmly conducted but eviscerating hearings will go on for another year. Blair is seen as either complicit on the basis of knowing there was no casus belli or as an enthusiastically deceived tool. Nick Clegg, leader of the Liberal Democrats, has stated that the reason support for the Afghanistan mission has cratered is because of the lies told in the run-up to the Iraq war—another view universally held.

Meanwhile, former UK ambassador to the US Christopher Meyer has published his new book on the history of UK diplomacy with concluding sections on the demise of the special relationship. He is not only being interviewed on all British media but also has appeared as a voluble witness before the Chilcot commission. All British newspapers and journals have prominently published many pieces within the last week on the decline and fall of the US-UK relationship.

Please see the lead editorial today from the London Times and the cover story from the Spectator—two of the most resolutely pro-American sources. The tone is not resentful, but reserved, disdainful and superior. The US administration is considered blinkered, parochial and counter-productive.

Conservatives are more contemptuous than Labour, which feels abandoned and somewhat baffled. Rather than eager to be Obama's poodle, Cameron would be superficially friendly and privately scornful. Class has a lot to do with the contempt. A Cameron government would be more aristocratic and even narrowly Etonian than any Conservative government in recent history, sharply contrasting especially with the striving and classless perspective of the grocer's daughter, Margaret Thatcher.

And yet, the most recent poll this week showed Labour within striking distance of the Tories, about five points down, the result of a slight economic uptick. A hung parliament seems very possible. Given the distribution of voting patterns, Labour need not win a plurality to have more seats than the Tories.

The slight buoyancy for Labour in this unique situation has only heightened anxiety about Obama's Afghanistan process, which has excluded the British government from significant consultation and consideration of its interests. See the lead to Con Coughlin's Spectator piece.

Therefore, the President might contemplate a brief stop in London going to or coming from Oslo or a meeting in Copenhage to make a public appearance with Brown. And, others in the Administration should pay special attention to Brown to secure strong support from him, and more importantly, the whole government and British establishment should be reassured that we do value their stalwart support despite the ups and downs of the last eight years.

Pasted below is the Times editorial and the link to the Spectator article.

From The Times
November 26, 2009
Atlantic drift
Washington's delay in announcing its Afghanistan strategy has left Brown drifting. Obama needs to invest more time and attention in the transatlantic alliance President Obama declared on Tuesday that "the whole world" had a responsibility to help the US-led mission in Afghanistan. He would, he said, soon lay out the "obligations of our international partners". Those partners have been waiting a long time for the details. On Monday the President had his tenth meeting with his advisers to work out his strategy for Afghanistan. He has now spent almost three months considering his options, and has promised an announcement on deployments after the Thanksgiving holiday.
For Gordon Brown, this cannot come too soon. After the United States, Britain is the largest contributor of troops to the Nato operation in Afghanistan. There has never been any suggestion that Britain has enough soldiers to pursue a separate strategy or that it can operate independently of the US forces, which already number some 68,000 troops. Until the White House decides whether to send an extra 40,000 or some figure significantly lower than the number requested by General Stanley McChrystal, Mr Brown cannot properly plan the best support strategy.
It is becoming sadly apparent that Britain has been left drifting by the delays in Washington, and that the Obama Administration is largely unaware of the embarrassment this is causing the Government. More worryingly, this does not seem to be a source of concern within the Administration. Downing Street, diplomatically, turns aside any suggestion that it is frustrated by the nonchalance with which it is being treated. But the insistent questions on Afghanistan, the anger caused by the steady stream of returning war dead and the rapid crumbling of public support for the war cannot be answered effectively until Mr Brown is taken into American confidence and seen as a full partner in the Nato campaign.
On the surface, the continuing high regard in Britain for the dynamic and articulate new President has masked these growling complaints. Mr Brown is not suffering, as his predecessor did, from the taint of close association with a deeply unpopular US president. On the contrary: like several European leaders, he is still eager to position himself as close as possible to Mr Obama to clothe himself in some of the President's European popularity. But within Government, there is already worry that Britain's voice counts far less than it did in the past. This is not simply another instance of the persistent but pointless British anxiety over the so-called special relationship; it is a justified concern that two of the main pillars of the Nato alliance should have policies and strategies that are closely co-ordinated and sympathetically understood on both sides when fighting a war.
The fault, glaringly, is on the American side. The White House no longer seems to be monitoring the reactions and political options of its transatlantic allies. It is not sufficient to suggest that the Administration sees little point in investing time and diplomacy in a British government likely to be defeated in the coming general election; wartime allies have interests that go far beyond the political make-up of the government of the day. Mr Obama promised during his election campaign to revive trust in American leadership and to re-engage in multinational diplomacy. In office, he has certainly voiced the same ideals; but he has invested little in giving new substance and dynamism to the transatlantic relationship.
On Afghanistan, Mr Brown has sometimes been left speechless by Washington. He talks of sending 500 extra troops. But until he knows the likely US strategy, he cannot outline his own. Atlanticism is always fragile on the Left and was stretched to breaking point by Tony Blair. It is now being undermined by indifference in Washington. Today America is enjoying Thanksgiving. Tomorrow it must look out again to its all

THE SPECTATOR

- A special form of disrespect
- Con Coughlin <http://www.spectator.co.uk/search/author/?searchString=Con%20Coughlin>
- Wednesday, 18th November 2009

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 6:31 AM
To: 'mtorrey
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Arrangements

B6

Marty--

Thanks for the update and pls let me know the dates for each service. I really hope to make one of them. I'm copying Huma and Lona so they know Saif will have the information.

H

----- Original Message -----
From: Marty Torrey
To: H
Cc: 'Burns.strider
Sent: Tue Dec 01 11:24:55 2009
Subject: Re: Arrangements

Hillary,

---- H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
> Marty--
>
> I am so saddened by this tragic loss

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Marty Torrey
> To: H
> Sent: Wed Nov 25 12:18:11 2009
Subject: Dave Stone
>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 5:43 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Outstanding calls

I was told we couldn't get the South Africans to set time for call or call me back. I don't want to set times until I see what else I'm doing.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 26 16:50:12 2009
Subject: Outstanding calls

The only calls we didn't get done were:
Danish FM Moller
Swedish FM Bildt
Korean FM Yu
South African FM Mashabane
Do you want to make them tomorrow?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:25 AM
To: 'CampbellKM@state.gov'
Subject: Take care

Kurt--[redacted] B6
Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 7:03 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: [redacted] concert

B6

[redacted] has a concert at his school, [redacted] tonight at 7. Can I get there?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:28 AM
To: 'FuchsMH@state.gov'
Subject: Kudos!

Dear Mike---

Congrats on your book; you must be very excited to see it in print after so much work. I'm looking forward to reading it. And I hope you're working on the democracy/human rights speech I'm giving the week of the 7th. Are you?

Also, David Miliband told me that the UK lost more soldiers in the Falklands than they have in Afghanistan. Would you pls verify that for me? Thx.

All the best, and Happy Thanksgiving! Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 5:02 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Statement on settlement moratorium

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:59:06 2009
Subject: RE: Statement on settlement moratorium

[redacted] B5

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:56 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Statement on settlement moratorium

[redacted] What do you think?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:52:27 2009
Subject: Statement on settlement moratorium

Per the plan, a statement is being released in your name. This is the same statement you saw in the memo package from Mitchell:

Today's announcement by the Government of Israel helps move forward toward resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. We believe that through good-faith negotiations the parties can mutually agree on an outcome which ends the conflict and reconciles the Palestinian goal of an independent and viable state based on the 1967 lines, with agreed swaps, and the Israeli goal of a Jewish state with secure and recognized borders that reflect subsequent developments and meet Israeli security requirements. Let me say to all the people of the region and world: our commitment to achieving a solution with two states living side by side in peace and security is unwavering.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 8:12 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan[redacted]' B6
Subject: Re: insert

You can make that call for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 08:00:28 2009
Subject: Re: insert

for purposes of submission of testimony to committee/public release, how do you want to handle? may have to send to hill before/concurrent with call.

On Wed, Dec 2, 2009 at 7:59 AM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Ok let's discuss in call.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 07:57:13 2009
Subject: insert

[redacted] B5

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 8:48 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Schedule

Albright wants me to attend NATO seminar here in DC around Feb 20-22. I'd like to do that if possible.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:15 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Voda ebeling
To: H; Lauren C Jiloty <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Nov 30 12:27:55 2009

Lauren: the obit below is for a friend of HRC since kindergarten.

LORAINE M. HOFMANN

<http://mi-cache.legacy.com/legacy/images/Cobrands/DailyHerald/Photos/HOFMANNL.TIF_a4190208_185700.jpg>
Loraine M. Hofmann of Mount Prospect A service to celebrate the life of Loraine M. "Lori" Hofmann (born in 1947) will be held Tuesday, Dec. 1, at Church of the Incarnation, 330 W. Golf Road, Arlington Heights at 10:30 a.m. Lori passed away in her sleep during the early morning of Saturday, Nov. 28, 2009. She is loved and survived by her husband, Bruce; daughter, Jennifer Moroniak (nee Hofmann); and beloved son, Michael. She adored her three grandchildren, Matthew, Brett, and Tyler. Any who witnessed the joy on her face when the three were near, also caught a glimpse into her heart and soul. Lori was and will always be one among the most patriotic of Americans. The love she shared for her country was only ever topped by the love for her family. "We love you, and we will miss you."

Bruce Hofman

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 8:58 AM
To: 'slaughtera@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FP--Kudos, Madam S/P!

That is really cool to share the list w you and a nice recognition of our year's work. Onward!

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 5, 2009 7:10 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Have a great time!

Cheryl--i'll be at home until 4:30 and then all day tomorrow. Email if you want to talk and let me know what you think of Punta Cana. H

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:42 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Afghan reporting

Who do I respond to re these calls? Or are we just sending the memcons?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 3, 2009 7:42 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Malaysians cannot do 730

When am I supposed to call Libyans?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 03 07:06:26 2009
Subject: Malaysians cannot do 730

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:52 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Afghan reporting

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:48:19 2009
Subject: RE: Afghan reporting

We'll have the specials summarize the key points of the memcons and circulate them to RCH and senior NSC folks, if that works for you.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:42 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Huma Abedin
Subject: Afghan reporting

Who do I respond to re these calls? Or are we just sending the memcons?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 8:03 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I am in the office if you need me or want to catch up

I'm trying to call you now and ops says they can't find you. Can you call me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 07:47:30 2009
Subject: I am in the office if you need me or want to catch up

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 10:52 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: New memo. Decline and fall, etc. Cheers, Sid

Thanks and Happy Thanksgiving. I will call again over the next few days.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 26 10:23:47 2009
Subject: New memo. Decline and fall, etc. Cheers, Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 26, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Afghan/Western Alliance/UK

1. Happy Thanksgiving!
2. On the eve of the president's announcement on Afghanistan the Western alliance is near-broken. The obvious: Your trip to NATO will be the final call on Afghanistan. Whatever you scrap together there will be the remains of the day. There will be no more. The spare change in troops you pick up will be the close-out deal. The Europeans will be less amenable to contributions in the future than the House Democratic Caucus.
3. Consensus across the board in Britain—center, right, left—is that the Atlantic alliance—the special relationship—the historic bond since World War II—is shattered. There is no dissenting voice, not one, and there are no illusions. Opinion is unanimous. The bottom line is that the Obama administration's denigration of the UK is seen as the summation of the Bush era. Undoubtedly, you saw this week Minister of Defense Bob Ainsworth's public criticism of Obama's indecision and his accusation that the president is indifferent and damaging to British interest. While Downing Street sought to ameliorate his remarks with an oleaginous statement his view is simply what everyone—everyone—thinks. His clumsy outburst was a classic gaffe—an embarrassing mistake because it reveals something true. The Chilcot inquiry of Parliament, publicly conducting hearings on the origins of UK involvement in the Iraq invasion, has put Bush's war on terror—and British involvement—on trial—and the calmly conducted but eviscerating hearings will go on for another year. Blair is seen as either complicit on the basis of knowing there was no casus belli or as an enthusiastically deceived tool. Nick Clegg, leader of the Liberal Democrats, has stated that the reason support for the Afghanistan mission has cratered is because of the lies told in the run-up to the Iraq war—another view universally held. Meanwhile, former UK ambassador to the US Christopher Meyer has published his new book on the history of UK diplomacy with concluding sections on the demise of the special relationship. He is not only being interviewed on all British media but

also has appeared as a voluble witness before the Chilcot commission. (I've included a report below.) All British newspapers and journals have prominently published many pieces within the last week on the decline and fall of the US-UK relationship. (I've included below the lead editorial today from the London Times and the cover story from the Spectator—two of the most resolutely pro-American sources.) The tone is not resentful, but reserved, disdainful and superior. The US administration is considered blinkered, parochial and counter-productive. Conservatives are more contemptuous than Labour, which feels abandoned and somewhat baffled. Rather than eager to be Obama's poodle, Cameron would be superficially friendly and privately scornful. Class has a lot to do with the contempt. A Cameron government would be more aristocratic and even narrowly Etonian than any Conservative government in recent history, sharply contrasting especially with the striving and classless perspective of the grocer's daughter, Margaret Thatcher. And yet, and yet, the most recent poll this week showed Labour within striking distance of the Tories, about five points down, the result of a slight economic uptick. A hung parliament seems very possible. Given the distribution of voting patterns, Labour need not win a plurality to have more seats than the Tories. The slight buoyancy for Labour in this unique situation has only heightened anxiety about Obama's Afghanistan process, which has excluded the British government from significant consultation and consideration of its interests. (See the lead to Con Coughlin's Spectator piece.) Therefore, you might contemplate a brief trip to London and public appearance with Brown on your way back from Brussels.

4. On the Western alliance, beyond its military part, NATO, there is much more to say and develop, but later. Read three pieces below:

From The Times
November 26, 2009
Atlantic drift

Washington's delay in announcing its Afghanistan strategy has left Brown drifting. Obama needs to invest more time and attention in the transatlantic alliance President Obama declared on Tuesday that "the whole world" had a responsibility to help the US-led mission in Afghanistan. He would, he said, soon lay out the "obligations of our international partners". Those partners have been waiting a long time for the details. On Monday the President had his tenth meeting with his advisers to work out his strategy for Afghanistan. He has now spent almost three months considering his options, and has promised an announcement on deployments after the Thanksgiving holiday.

For Gordon Brown, this cannot come too soon. After the United States, Britain is the largest contributor of troops to the Nato operation in Afghanistan. There has never been any suggestion that Britain has enough soldiers to pursue a separate strategy or that it can operate independently of the US forces, which already number some 68,000 troops. Until the White House decides whether to send an extra 40,000 or some figure significantly lower than the number requested by General Stanley McChrystal, Mr Brown cannot properly plan the best support strategy.

It is becoming sadly apparent that Britain has been left drifting by the delays in Washington, and that the Obama Administration is largely unaware of the embarrassment this is causing the Government. More worryingly, this does not seem to be a source of concern within the Administration. Downing Street, diplomatically, turns aside any suggestion that it is frustrated by the nonchalance with which it is being treated. But the insistent questions on Afghanistan, the anger caused by the steady stream of returning war dead and the rapid crumbling of public support for the war cannot be answered effectively until Mr Brown is taken into American confidence and seen as a full partner in the Nato campaign.

On the surface, the continuing high regard in Britain for the dynamic and articulate new President has masked these growling complaints. Mr Brown is not suffering, as his predecessor did, from the taint of close association with a deeply unpopular US president. On the contrary: like several European leaders, he is still eager to position himself as close as possible to Mr Obama to clothe himself in some of the President's European popularity. But within Government, there is already worry that Britain's voice counts far less than it did in the past. This is not simply another instance of the persistent but pointless British anxiety over the so-called special relationship; it is a justified concern that two of the main pillars of the Nato alliance should have policies and strategies that are closely co-ordinated and sympathetically understood on both sides when fighting a war.

The fault, glaringly, is on the American side. The White House no longer seems to be monitoring the reactions and political options of its transatlantic allies. It is not sufficient to suggest that the Administration sees little point in investing time and diplomacy in a British government likely to be defeated in the coming general election; wartime allies have interests that go far beyond the political make-up of the government of the day. Mr Obama promised during his

election campaign to revive trust in American leadership and to re-engage in multinational diplomacy. In office, he has certainly voiced the same ideals; but he has invested little in giving new substance and dynamism to the transatlantic relationship.

On Afghanistan, Mr Brown has sometimes been left speechless by Washington. He talks of sending 500 extra troops. But until he knows the likely US strategy, he cannot outline his own. Atlanticism is always fragile on the Left and was stretched to breaking point by Tony Blair. It is now being undermined by indifference in Washington. Today America is enjoying Thanksgiving. Tomorrow it must look out again to its all

THE SPECTATOR

- A special form of disrespect
- Con Coughlin <http://www.spectator.co.uk/search/author/?searchString=Con%20Coughlin>
- Wednesday, 18th November 2009
- Barack Obama's increasing disregard for Britain's views is no way to treat an ally whose troops have fought side by side with America since September 11, says Con Coughlin
- Washington
- It says much about Britain's rapidly disappearing 'special relationship' with America that when I happened to mention to some of our senior military officers that I was visiting Washington, they begged me to find out what the Obama administration was thinking about Afghanistan. It is not just that the transatlantic lines of communication, so strong just a few years ago, have fallen into disuse. There is now a feeling that, even if we reached the Oval Office, there would be no one willing to take Britain's call.
- For weeks now, President Obama has been deliberating over what the Afghan strategy should be — and how many troops to send. If there is confusion in Washington, then Britain's strategy is not much clearer. Gordon Brown has staged a recent flurry of activity on the subject, from writing misspelt letters to grieving mothers to demanding that an exit strategy be established for the withdrawal of British forces. Yet among our top brass, the general perception is that the Prime Minister has little interest in the war.
- It is often as if Brown regards the Afghan campaign as a dead fish that Tony Blair has left in the top drawer of his Downing Street desk. It has infected his premiership with a foul odour, and he wants to be rid of it as soon as possible. This explains his promise, on Monday, to set a timetable for the withdrawal of British troops at the earliest available opportunity. The signal is sent that an exit is not just in sight, but being approached.
- Brown's approach hardly squares with his Foreign Secretary's assertion, made the next day in his address to Nato's Parliamentary Assembly, that British forces should remain until the Afghans are strong enough to take care of their own affairs. Miliband might have his faults, such as his obsessive enthusiasm for Europe. But he is sound on Afghanistan where — unlike the prime minister — he has been an articulate and well-informed advocate of the Nato cause. One has the feeling that, if Mr Obama were able to talk about Afghanistan, Mr Miliband could have a decent conversation with him.
- But the very fact that these policy divisions are now starting to appear in London is symptomatic of a far deeper malaise that lies at the heart of Afghan policy-making; it is a malaise that now threatens to jeopardise the success of the entire mission. And this malaise is the absence of meaningful dialogue between the White House and its hitherto most stalwart and reliable ally, particularly when it comes to the messy business of confronting Islamist militants through force of arms.
- We all had a good giggle when Brown was reduced to chasing the Leader of the Free World through the subterranean kitchen complex at the UN's New York headquarters in September. One can understand why Obama can think of a million better ways to spend his time than talking to our obsessive, nail-chewing and electorally doomed prime minister. But given that Britain and America are currently fighting a war together, one would hope that the true statesman would overcome any personal reservations — and deal with Mr Brown because of the country he represents.
- What really troubles British policymakers is that the collapse in the relationship is institutional, not personal, and that the president has little interest in listening to what Britain has to say on many world issues, even at a time when British servicemen and women are sacrificing their lives in what is supposed to be a common cause.
- The astonishing disregard with which Mr Obama treats Britain has been made clear by his deliberations over the Afghan issue. As he decides how many more troops to send to Afghanistan — a decision which will fundamentally affect the scope of the mission — Britain is reduced to guesswork. The White House does not even pretend to portray this as a joint decision. It is a diplomatic cold-shouldering that stands in contrast not just to the Blair–Bush era, but to the togetherness of the soldiers on the ground.

· One of the enduring cornerstones of the transatlantic alliance is the deep bond that exists between the British and American armed forces. The strength of the American military might be many times that available to Britain but, as any senior officer will tell you, on either side of the Atlantic, they are so close as to be joined at the hip. From the moment they sign up, young American and British officers train together, socialise together and — since 9/11 — have fought and died together.

· The relaxed familiarity between the two martial traditions was reflected in the warmth with which General Stanley McChrystal, the American commander of Nato forces in Afghanistan, referred during his recent visit to London to British contemporaries such as 'Jacko', General Sir Michael Jackson, former head of the British army, and 'Lamby', Lt-Gen Sir Graeme Lamb, who is currently spending his well-earned retirement in Kabul helping to devise a new counter-insurgency strategy to defeat the Taleban. So far as Afghanistan is concerned, it would be fair to say that American and British military commanders are singing from the same Afghan prayer mat.

· Indeed, there was no shortage of enthusiasm on the part of the British military, or any of the other Whitehall departments involved in the Afghan campaign, to support Obama when he announced last March a new counter-insurgency strategy based on an Iraq-like military 'surge'. McChrystal was personally appointed by Obama to make the policy a success, and General Sir David Richards, himself a former commander of Nato forces in Afghanistan, was one of a number of senior army officers who quickly got behind the new initiative. So, too, did the redoubtable Sir Sherard Cowper-Coles, our former ambassador to Kabul, who drafted numerous briefing documents making the case for greater co-operation and cohesion within Whitehall, and the development of a comprehensive counter-terrorism strategy that encompassed all the participants, and not just the military.

· So where are they now, all these bright initiatives? Why is it that the Foreign Office and our senior military commanders are as much in the dark as anyone else as to what the strategy for Afghanistan is to be? We don't know, because Mr Obama is too busy cosying up to his new chums in Moscow and Beijing to tell us. And as we stumble around in the policy darkness, there is the inevitable tendency to make it up as we go along. Hence the conflicting policy edicts issued this week by Messrs Brown and Miliband.

· The trouble started in the summer, when Obama appears to have had a change of heart and, rather than proceeding with the Afghan strategy he announced in March, decided to undertake a review of it instead. And in the process of so doing he has provided us with a telling insight into how we can expect the Obama presidency to function in future.

· Much of the criticism, at home and abroad, concerning the Afghan policy review has tended to focus on accusations of White House dithering which, after nearly three and a half months, is not entirely without foundation. But what should be far more worrying for all those countries, such as Britain, that had looked forward to co-operating with Obama's apparent desire to reach out and engage with America's allies is the exclusivity of his style of decision-making — if you can call it that.

· As General McChrystal has found to his cost, Obama and his inner circle of Chicago pols do not take kindly to being second-guessed by those whose advice they seek, but have every right to reject. There is no reason to doubt McChrystal's gloomy prediction — which is generally endorsed by Whitehall — that without an extra 40,000 Nato troops the Afghan mission is doomed to failure. But talk to any Obama aide these days and they will tell you that, fine soldier though he undoubtedly is, McChrystal is politically naive, spoke out of turn and now thoroughly regrets the day he ever set foot in a London think tank, where he stated his case too explicitly for the White House's liking. One recent two-hour Afghan strategy meeting spent 24 minutes discussing whether McChrystal was the right man for the job after all. In other words, to use the phrase- ology popular in Chicago, he's dead meat.

· Obama, meanwhile, has made his own deliberations so secretive that only about three people in the whole of Washington — and, ergo, the rest of the world — know precisely what he has in mind, and none of them is talking. Even President George W. Bush, who was frequently criticised for his arrogance and unilateralism, was better than this. From 9/11 until the Iraq war, he kept Tony Blair and other trusted allies (there weren't that many, let's face it) fully briefed on what he was planning — so much so that Blair is now accused of colluding with him to invade Iraq from the spring of 2002.

· But with Obama there are no regular video-conferences bringing Downing Street up to date on the latest White House thinking. No special envoys making secret visits to London to keep the key players informed. Instead we will have to wait, like everyone else, for the puffs of smoke from the White House — which are now expected around the Thanksgiving holiday — to find out what Obama really intends to do about Afghanistan. He is, in all too many ways, an AWOL ally.

· Nor is it just on Afghanistan that we can discern a high-handed approach from the American president. Did Obama bother to consult Britain before cancelling the missile shield system for Eastern Europe (the early-warning detection system is, after all, based at RAF Fylingdales on the North Yorkshire Moors)? No he did not. The Poles, who are rightly sensitive about their security being used as a bargaining chip in negotiations with their super-power neighbours, had to make do with a late-night call from Hillary Clinton on the eve of the announcement — the Poles understandably turned down the call, a breach of both manners and protocol. In his keenness to befriend Russian President Dmitry Medvedev, had Obama taken any account of the widespread European unease concerning the mood of resurgent nationalism sweeping Moscow? Not a chance.

· And to judge from his recent peregrinations around the Far East, it seems Obama is far more interested in making new friends than taking the trouble to keep up with old acquaintances. The enthusiasm he displayed when he bumped into Dmitry Medvedev, Russia's Prime Minister, during this week's Apec summit in Singapore was considerably greater than he has shown for many of his European allies. Not for Medvedev the indignity of conducting important bilateral discussions in kitchens surrounded by vats of boiling noodles. And in Beijing Obama spent a convivial evening with President Hu Jintao, discussing the evolution and histories of China and America. Being an American ally has never seemed so unrewarding.

· There will, though, inevitably come a time when Obama discovers who America's true friends really are. Sooner or later he will have to deal with the considerably more taxing issues of Islamist militancy, rogue nuclear states and other tangible threats to the West's security. At that point, Obama will discover a simple but essential truth. The world divides between those who support American values of freedom and democracy, and those who seek to destroy them.

· Few nations have been more committed to supporting those values with both blood and treasure than Britain. This country, and especially those British troops fighting alongside their American counterparts, deserve far better than this president's disregard.

· Con Coughlin is the Daily Telegraph's executive foreign editor and author of Khomeini's Ghost: Iran since 1979 (Macmillan).

· The Spectator, 22 Old Queen Street, London, SW1H 9HP. All Articles and Content Copyright ©2009 by The Spectator (1828) Ltd. All Rights Reserved

Iraq war build-up 'left us scrabbling for smoking gun' says ex-UK ambassador

Sir Christopher Meyer says plans to invade Iraq did not give time for weapons inspectors

· James Meikle <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/jamesmeikle> and Andrew Sparrow <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/andrewsparrow>

· guardian.co.uk <http://www.guardian.co.uk/> , Thursday 26 November 2009 12.57 GMT

The military timetable for an invasion of Iraq <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/iraq> in 2003 did not give time for UN weapons inspectors in the country to do their job, the former British ambassador to Washington told the Iraq inquiry in London today.

Sir Christopher Meyer said the "unforgiving nature" of the build-up after American forces had been told to prepare for war meant that "we found ourselves scrabbling for the smoking gun".

He added: "It was another way of saying 'it's not that Saddam has to prove that he's innocent, we've now bloody well got to try and prove he's guilty.' And we – the Americans, the British – have never really recovered from that because of course there was no smoking gun."

The US had first prepared for invasion in January but the date was later moved to March. "All that said, when you looked at the timetable for the inspections, it was impossible to see how [Hans] Blix [chief weapons inspector] could bring the process to a conclusion, for better or for worse, by March."

Meyer said he had been in favour of removing Saddam. He thought you did not need 9/11 or weapons of mass destruction to justify confronting Iraq. Saddam had not lived up to the commitments given after the first Gulf war. He had "the means and the will" to build weapons even if he hid not have them at the time.

Meyer said he did not know what made the UK fix "on a very large land force by our standards". He believed it would not have damaged Britain's standing in the US to have sent fewer troops to Iraq, but actively opposing the war would have done.

Earlier Meyer said George Bush's administration was seen by many as "running out of steam" on the eve of the "great atrocity" of the 9/11 attacks on the US.
It looked like an administration that had run into trouble very quickly, the former ambassador to Washington said.
People were saying the effort of getting big tax cuts and medical prescription benefits for older people through Congress had "killed" Bush, Meyer said. He added that secretary of state Colin Powell's efforts to narrow and deepen sanctions against Iraq had failed and there was a "huge bear market" against Donald Rumsfeld, the defence secretary.
Meyer said attitudes towards Iraq were influenced to an extent not appreciated by him at the time by the anthrax scare in the US soon after 9/11 <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2001_anthrax_attacks> . US senators and others were sent anthrax spores in the post, a crime that led to the death of five people, prompting policymakers to claim links to Saddam Hussein.
Meyer told the third day of Sir John Chilcot's hearings that from the onset of the Bush presidency in 2001, there was enthusiasm on the Republican right for arming and supporting Iraqi dissidents, "mostly in London", particularly the Iraqi National Congress led by Ahmad Chalabi.
Powell was sceptical of such "belligerent" moves, concentrating on sanctions with Robin Cook, the then-British foreign secretary, with whom, Meyer said, "somewhat to my surprise", he got on well.
On 9/11 Condoleezza Rice, then the US national security adviser, told Meyer she was in "no doubt: it was an al-Qaida operation". The following weekend Bush and his key advisers met at Camp David and contacts later told Meyer there had been a "big ding-dong" about Iraq and Saddam.
It seemed that Paul Wolfowitz, Rumsfeld's deputy, argued for retaliation to include Iraq, Meyer said. It was not clear where Rumsfeld stood. But later that month Bush and Tony Blair, on a visit to Washington, were agreed on a "laser-like focus" on al-Qaida and Pakistan.
Blair's reputation had soared "above all others" because of his support for the US, the former ambassador told the inquiry.
But the anthrax scare had "steamed up" policy makers in Bush's administration and helped swing attitudes against Saddam, who the administration believed had been the last person to use anthrax.
Rice fell more and more "in the camp of Powell's enemies". There was a "sea change" in attitudes to containment but the UK still had "a legal problem" with regime change. Meyer told British officials to argue that the alliance would be in better shape if there was international support for military action. There was no need to argue that with the state department. But there was with Dick Cheney, the vice-president, and Rumsfeld.
Asked about Blair's meeting with Bush at Crawford, Texas, in April 2002, where, some observers believe, the decision to go to war was made, Meyer said: "To this day I'm not entirely clear what degree of convergence was signed in blood at the Texas range."
But a speech by Blair the following day was, he believed, the first time the prime minister had publicaly said "regime change". "What he was trying to do was to draw the lessons of 9/11 and apply them to the situation in Iraq, which led – I think not inadvertently but deliberately – to a conflation of the threat posed by Osama bin Laden and Saddam Hussein. "When I heard that speech, I thought that this represents a tightening of the UK/US alliance and a degree of convergence on the danger Saddam Hussein presented."

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 11:38 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

Can you send me the Hoagland piece?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 10:58:16 2009
Subject: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 29, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Backdrop to your coming week

Below is a piece published today in The Observer, the Sunday newspaper of The Guardian, on the Chilcot inquiry, summarizing the testimony so far and what is expected this week while you are drumming up support for the Afghanistan initiative. Britain and Europe are riveted by Chilcot, especially official circles. The objective correlative, of course, is trust in any U.S. administration and deep skepticism about the Afghanistan project. Yet another undercurrent among the mandarins is disdainful resentment of indifference to Britain, a sentiment beyond Labour or the Tories but what has emerged as the view of the permanent establishment at Whitehall and elsewhere.

Mandelson Watch: After Miliband declined to reach for the EU foreign secretaryship (though Sarkozy wanted him to take it and Brown was not averse, even favorable), Mandelson personally campaigned on his own for it among the Europeans. Mandelson was eager to Miliband to take the EU post, allowing Mandelson to be appointed foreign minister. When Miliband refused, Mandelson's ambition was thwarted, and tried to seize the EU position for himself, but without any backing in Europe or from Gordon. The Europeans thought him mad. Suddenly, they recalled his bad or strange behavior as UK commissioner to the EU. When Christine Ashton was named, Mandelson briefed the press on her lack of credentials, etc. Ashton, as it happens, had worked the press to try to help Mandelson when he had gotten into the mess that led to his first resignation. Those inside the government who remember this see it as an illustration of the principle that no good deed goes unpunished. Mandelson, in a snit, is now not speaking to Gordon as though his rejection were Gordon's fault.

One more item: Did you read Jim Hoagland in the Washington Post today? He nails McDonough for trashing Biden, among other things. Enclosed below story on Chilcot:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/29/iraq-war-inquiry-chilcot-blair
Chilcot Inquiry: Mandarins take revenge on Tony Blair over Iraq Civil servants and diplomats are lining up to stick a knife into former PM's reputation

* Toby Helm <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/tobyhelm> and Rajeev Syal <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/rajeev-syal>
* The Observer <http://observer.guardian.co.uk> , Sunday 29 November 2009
* Whitehall mandarins are supreme masters of subtle evasion. But they do not rise to the top of their trade without also knowing how to stick in the knife.

At times the Chilcot inquiry into Britain's involvement in Iraq since 2001, which opened last week, resembled a gentlemen's club moved to the sanitised surroundings of the Queen Elizabeth II Conference Centre in Westminster. Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment politely questioned other Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment about who said what to whom in which memo. The inquiry is a peculiar mixture of the old and the new, the open and closed. It is conducted in the language of Whitehall, yet beamed live by webcam to the world.
Critics are convinced that, for all Sir John Chilcot's promises to the contrary, it will turn out to be another Whitehall whitewash. For the cognoscenti, little new information has yet emerged, and when the final report is written it will not seek to apportion blame. Yet beneath the equivocation and mandarin-speak, Whitehall seems, in as much as it knows how, to be using Chilcot to wield the scalpel. Throughout the first week the pent-up frustrations of diplomats and career civil servants over the way Tony Blair <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/tonyblair> and George Bush secretly plotted to oust Saddam Hussein, bypassing the "official channels" in which they operate, has been there for all to see.
Chilcot is said to have been warned by his Whitehall friends that many witnesses will be ready to unburden themselves – finally to take revenge. In session after session they have appeared to do that. Blair's reputation has been sliced like salami day after day.
Sir William Ehrman, the Foreign Office's former director of international security, volunteered last Wednesday that the threat from Saddam's supposed weapons of mass destruction was known to be limited.
In the gentlest way he drove in the dagger. "We did, I think on 10 March [2003], get a report that chemical weapons might have remained disassembled and Saddam hadn't yet ordered their assembly. There was a suggestion that Iraq might lack warheads capable of effective dispersal of agents."
Ever the diplomat, however, Ehrman went on to say that the intelligence warnings had not made any difference to the case for war. "I don't think it invalidated the point about the programmes he had. It was more about use," he said. But he had set the tone.
On Thursday, Sir Christopher Meyer, UK ambassador in Washington from 1997 to 2003, who has already criticised the government over Iraq in his memoirs, went for Blair, mercilessly comparing his qualities as a war leader to those of Margaret Thatcher. "I'm not trying to make a party political point here whatsoever, but quite often I think about this: what would Margaret Thatcher have done?" Meyer asked. "I think she would have insisted on a coherent political and diplomatic strategy and she would have demanded the greatest clarity about what the heck happened if, and when, you removed Saddam Hussein."
A former press secretary to John Major who can speak like a mandarin but, equally, can talk like a layman, he said Blair had failed miserably to extract a price from George Bush for his loyalty. "We could have achieved more by playing a tougher role... if, for example... Tony Blair had said: 'I want to help you, George, on this, but I have to say, in all honesty, that I will not be able to take part in any military operation unless we have palpable progress on the [Middle East] peace process and we have absolute clarity on what happens in Iraq if it comes up.' I think that would have changed the nature – it would not have led to a rupture – it would have changed the nature of American planning. "
Even when defending UK policy, he was on the offensive. Asked if policy had been adapted to stay in line with Washington's, Meyer added: "I wouldn't say it was as extremely poodle-ish as that."

On Friday, Sir Jeremy Greenstock, Britain's former ambassador to the United Nations, told Chilcot he had threatened to resign in 2002 if at least one security council resolution was not passed. He added: "I regard our participation in the military action in Iraq in March 2003 as legal but of questionable legitimacy, in that it did not have the democratically observable backing of the great majority of [UN] member states, or even perhaps of the majority of people inside the UK."

Today new arguments are raging over whether the inquiry will have access to, and publish, the most sensitive documents – including legal advice given to the government by the former attorney general, Lord Goldsmith, during the lead-up to war. Nick Clegg, the Liberal Democrat leader, has written to Gordon Brown saying that, unless all such material is published, the inquiry will be a sham and the public's sense that it has been denied the facts will remain.

But it will still be essential viewing nonetheless. This week will see more key witnesses. The most revealing testimony could come tomorrow when Sir David Manning, Blair's former foreign policy <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/foreignpolicy> adviser, is certain to be asked about his authorship of a memo which purportedly revealed details of a secret meeting between Bush and Blair in January 2003. According to reports, the five pages of secret documents, known as the Manning memo, recorded the White House meeting on 31 January which allegedly shows that Bush and Blair made a deal to carry out an invasion regardless of whether weapons of mass destruction were discovered by UN weapons inspectors. It appears to be in direct contradiction with statements that Blair made to parliament afterwards that Saddam would be given a final chance to disarm.

The memo also disclosed that Bush floated the idea of painting a U-2 spyplane in UN colours and letting it fly low over Iraq to provoke Saddam into ordering it to be shot down, providing a pretext for the subsequent invasion by America and Britain.

Manning, educated at Oriel College, Oxford, and a career diplomat, is fiercely independent. He may choose to say more than Blair would like, according to one former colleague. He could also be asked to either confirm or deny Meyer's evidence that Meyer received "new instructions" in early 2005 indicating that it was a "complete waste of time" to oppose regime change, so strong was the US determination to go down that road.

On Tuesday, Edward Chaplin, the Foreign Office Middle East director at the time of the invasion, and Sir Peter Ricketts, the top official in the Foreign Office, will appear. Ricketts, a former chairman of Britain's powerful joint intelligence committee, has already given evidence to the inquiry alleging that officials in London knew even before Bush came to office in 2001 that there were "voices" in Washington calling for Saddam to be removed from power. Ricketts also told the inquiry that, until March 2002, Whitehall distanced itself from regime change. Only one month later, Blair told Bush that he would support military action "to bring about regime change".

According to documents leaked five years ago, Ricketts described the US in 2002 as "scrambling to establish a link between Iraq and al-Qaida" – a connection that was "so far, frankly unconvincing". On Thursday, Sir Kevin Tebbit, the Ministry of Defence's permanent secretary during the invasion, will be asked to give evidence about the military planning. Chilcot will, most likely, want to know when the government first began to amass resources for the war and what, if any, preparations were made for the aftermath of an invasion. Tebbit could also be urged to divulge the level of intelligence he received on the likelihood of an attack with chemical or biological weapons.

After Tebbit, a succession of heavy-hitting military men will give evidence. The former chief of the defence staff, Admiral the Lord Boyce, could be asked whether he believes that the invasion was legal. On Friday, Lieutenant General Sir Anthony Pigott, former deputy chief of the defence staff, and Major General David Wilson, senior British military adviser to the US military's central command between 2002 and 2003, will be questioned about military planning for the conflict.

The last witness to appear this week will be Dominic Asquith, Britain's ambassador to Iraq between 2006 and 2007, who will come armed with knowledge of the view from Baghdad.

When Chilcot has made his way through the officials, he will turn in the new year to former ministers, including Jack Straw, the former foreign secretary, and Blair himself. The panel has said that it will also begin to examine the highly controversial issue of whether the invasion was legal – which may turn out to be the focal point of the whole inquiry – in January.

In his final report, which is not expected until late 2010 at the earliest, Chilcot has said he will not seek to attribute blame. But after only one week it is already clear where much of Whitehall believes that lies.

The Washington Post
Afghanistan: The speech you'll miss
By Jim Hoagland

Sunday, November 29, 2009
Dec. 1, 2009:
My fellow Americans,
This is not the speech you expected to hear. But my wordsmiths are tied in knots writing an acceptance speech for the only Nobel Peace Prize ever awarded for making speeches. So I am going to tell you how I really feel about Afghanistan. Which is: steamed.
I want to accomplish three goals tonight without naming them.
First, to let those know-it-alls, Generals Petraeus and McChrystal, know one more thing: This is the last big troop increase you get, so make it work.
I am not going down the incrementalist road that wrecked Lyndon Johnson's presidency. This is not July 1965, when Westmoreland jumped the shark of escalation in Vietnam and then never stopped asking for more troops. For all the trouble he has been, Dick Holbrooke helped by recalling that history in the shadowboxing that dominated my long war council meetings.
Joe Biden has been good in there, too. He's been willing, though not happy, to be characterized in the media as being ready to bug out now. This helped us push back against McChrystal's effort to box me in at 40,000 additional U.S. soldiers.
Denis McDonough, my strategic communications man, sold Biden-as-dove brilliantly. Wasn't somebody just saying I should promote Denis? Maybe it was Denis?
Never mind. That tactic won me room to maneuver toward a more realistic number of, say, 23,000 new combat troops, 5,000 additional trainers and a "NATO surge" of 5,000 foreign troops.
That's my second unspoken goal: to come out of this buildup speech without losing the left of the Democratic Party -- while being able to refute John McCain's charges that I ignored my own generals. Triangulation lives.
Bush put the generals in the limelight to sell the Iraq surge after he lost all credibility, and David Petraeus's performance was dazzling. Which presents two big problems. Petraeus is the only person who could get the Republican nomination in 2012 and make a serious run against me. (I get paid to think ahead.) And if the generals box me in, civilian control of the military in this country becomes a mockery. Clinton was afraid of the military; Bush was deep in hock to it. I've got to get the right balance back.
That's why I need Bob Gates and Jim Jones. Those who scoffed at my keeping on Bush's defense secretary, and then making a retired Marine four-star I hardly knew my NSC guy, were not thinking that moments like this would come. I already was.
Gates has maneuvered flawlessly through my waterboarding-by-leaks on Afghanistan. He will sell my final number to the uniforms as the "floor" for U.S. troops that Petraeus argues we need for three years. But Gates knows I will make it a ceiling.
We can't afford an open-ended commitment. I put Peter Orszag, as good a budget overseer as you can find, front and center for the photographers in that last war council. Many missed it, but NBC's Andrea Mitchell got it right away. No wonder she's married to Alan Greenspan.
I will bet that Stan McChrystal never drew up a budget in his life.
Jones tells me these Special Operations commanders are used to getting whatever they ask for, especially since September 11th. Nobody on the Hill will deny them anything.
Jones is also squeezing the Europeans to join the battle and is getting results, even from the Germans. What is it Jones says? Maybe the worst thing of all would be to be perceived to lose in Afghanistan and then have the Europeans say: Well, you never asked for more help at the crucial time. Makes sense to me.
We have sent NATO members the numbers we think they can and should provide, country by country. That new secretary general, Anders Fogh Rasmussen, is pulling out the stops, visiting the capitals to get commitments.
"Say it now, pay it later," we tell the Europeans. They have until mid-2010 to deploy their new units. But I need pledges now so I can get across tonight that this is NATO's war, not Obama's war. That's unspoken-goal number three.
So I have frontloaded the speech with allusions to this being about an exit strategy, without boxing myself in on timing, and am presenting the "civilian surge" as being as essential as the troop buildup. That helps set up my Oslo speech. And who knows? It may even work out that way. If God blesses us all.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 12:25 PM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

No. Can you send?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 12:20:25 2009
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

Did you read nyt lead editorial yesterday?
Sent via Cingular Xpress Mail with Blackberry

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Nov 2009 12:04:27
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted]
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

I didn't read the McD reference that way. I actually thought it was complimentary of his spin skills. What am I missing?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 11:51:28 2009
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

It's there, just scroll down
Sent via Cingular Xpress Mail with Blackberry

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Nov 2009 11:38:00
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted]
Subject: Re: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

Can you send me the Hoagland piece?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 10:58:16 2009
Subject: Another memo on backdrop to this week. Sid

CONFIDENTIAL

November 29, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Backdrop to your coming week

Below is a piece published today in The Observer, the Sunday newspaper of The Guardian, on the Chilcot inquiry, summarizing the testimony so far and what is expected this week while you are drumming up support for the Afghanistan initiative. Britain and Europe are riveted by Chilcot, especially official circles. The objective correlative, of course, is trust in any U.S. administration and deep skepticism about the Afghanistan project. Yet another undercurrent among the mandarins is disdainful resentment of indifference to Britain, a sentiment beyond Labour or the Tories but what has emerged as the view of the permanent establishment at Whitehall and elsewhere.

Mandelson Watch: After Miliband declined to reach for the EU foreign secretaryship (though Sarkozy wanted him to take it and Brown was not averse, even favorable), Mandelson personally campaigned on his own for it among the Europeans. Mandelson was eager to Miliband to take the EU post, allowing Mandelson to be appointed foreign minister. When Miliband refused, Mandelson's ambition was thwarted, and tried to seize the EU position for himself, but without any backing in Europe or from Gordon. The Europeans thought him mad. Suddenly, they recalled his bad or strange behavior as UK commissioner to the EU. When Christine Ashton was named, Mandelson briefed the press on her lack of credentials, etc. Ashton, as it happens, had worked the press to try to help Mandelson when he had gotten into the mess that led to his first resignation. Those inside the government who remember this see it as an illustration of the principle that no good deed goes unpunished. Mandelson, in a snit, is now not speaking to Gordon as though his rejection were Gordon's fault.

One more item: Did you read Jim Hoagland in the Washington Post today? He nails McDonough for trashing Biden, among other things. Enclosed below story on Chilcot:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/29/iraq-war-inquiry-chilcot-blair
Chilcot Inquiry: Mandarins take revenge on Tony Blair over Iraq Civil servants and diplomats are lining up to stick a knife into former PM's reputation

* Toby Helm <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/tobyhelm> and Rajeev Syal <http://www.guardian.co.uk/profile/rajeev-syal>
* The Observer <http://observer.guardian.co.uk> , Sunday 29 November 2009
* Whitehall mandarins are supreme masters of subtle evasion. But they do not rise to the top of their trade without also knowing how to stick in the knife.

At times the Chilcot inquiry into Britain's involvement in Iraq since 2001, which opened last week, resembled a gentlemen's club moved to the sanitised surroundings of the Queen Elizabeth II Conference Centre in Westminster.

Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment politely questioned other Oxbridge-educated pillars of the establishment about who said what to whom in which memo. The inquiry is a peculiar mixture of the old and the new, the open and closed. It is conducted in the language of Whitehall, yet beamed live by webcam to the world.

Critics are convinced that, for all Sir John Chilcot's promises to the contrary, it will turn out to be another Whitehall whitewash. For the cognoscenti, little new information has yet emerged, and when the final report is written it will not seek to apportion blame. Yet beneath the equivocation and mandarin-speak, Whitehall seems, in as much as it knows how, to be using Chilcot to wield the scalpel. Throughout the first week the pent-up frustrations of diplomats and career civil servants over the way Tony Blair <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/tonyblair> and George Bush secretly plotted to oust Saddam Hussein, bypassing the "official channels" in which they operate, has been there for all to see.

Chilcot is said to have been warned by his Whitehall friends that many witnesses will be ready to unburden themselves – finally to take revenge. In session after session they have appeared to do that. Blair's reputation has been sliced like salami day after day.

Sir William Ehrman, the Foreign Office's former director of international security, volunteered last Wednesday that the threat from Saddam's supposed weapons of mass destruction was known to be limited.

In the gentlest way he drove in the dagger. "We did, I think on 10 March [2003], get a report that chemical weapons might have remained disassembled and Saddam hadn't yet ordered their assembly. There was a suggestion that Iraq might lack warheads capable of effective dispersal of agents."

Ever the diplomat, however, Ehrman went on to say that the intelligence warnings had not made any difference to the case for war. "I don't think it invalidated the point about the programmes he had. It was more about use," he said. But he had set the tone.

On Thursday, Sir Christopher Meyer, UK ambassador in Washington from 1997 to 2003, who has already criticised the government over Iraq in his memoirs, went for Blair, mercilessly comparing his qualities as a war leader to those of Margaret Thatcher. "I'm not trying to make a party political point here whatsoever, but quite often I think about this: what would Margaret Thatcher have done?" Meyer asked. "I think she would have insisted on a coherent political and diplomatic strategy and she would have demanded the greatest clarity about what the heck happened if, and when, you removed Saddam Hussein."

A former press secretary to John Major who can speak like a mandarin but, equally, can talk like a layman, he said Blair had failed miserably to extract a price from George Bush for his loyalty. "We could have achieved more by playing a tougher role... if, for example... Tony Blair had said: 'I want to help you, George, on this, but I have to say, in all honesty, that I will not be able to take part in any military operation unless we have palpable progress on the [Middle East] peace process and we have absolute clarity on what happens in Iraq if it comes up.' I think that would have changed the nature – it would not have led to a rupture – it would have changed the nature of American planning. "

Even when defending UK policy, he was on the offensive. Asked if policy had been adapted to stay in line with Washington's, Meyer added: "I wouldn't say it was as extremely poodle-ish as that."

On Friday, Sir Jeremy Greenstock, Britain's former ambassador to the United Nations, told Chilcot he had threatened to resign in 2002 if at least one security council resolution was not passed. He added: "I regard our participation in the military action in Iraq in March 2003 as legal but of questionable legitimacy, in that it did not have the democratically observable backing of the great majority of [UN] member states, or even perhaps of the majority of people inside the UK."

Today new arguments are raging over whether the inquiry will have access to, and publish, the most sensitive documents – including legal advice given to the government by the former attorney general, Lord Goldsmith, during the lead-up to war. Nick Clegg, the Liberal Democrat leader, has written to Gordon Brown saying that, unless all such material is published, the inquiry will be a sham and the public's sense that it has been denied the facts will remain.

But it will still be essential viewing nonetheless. This week will see more key witnesses. The most revealing testimony could come tomorrow when Sir David Manning, Blair's former foreign policy <http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/foreignpolicy> adviser, is certain to be asked about his authorship of a memo which purportedly revealed details of a secret meeting between Bush and Blair in January 2003. According to reports, the five pages of secret documents, known as the Manning memo, recorded the White House meeting on 31 January which allegedly shows that Bush and Blair made a deal to carry out an invasion regardless of whether weapons of mass destruction were discovered by UN weapons inspectors. It appears to be in direct contradiction with statements that Blair made to parliament afterwards that Saddam would be given a final chance to disarm.

The memo also disclosed that Bush floated the idea of painting a U-2 spyplane in UN colours and letting it fly low over Iraq to provoke Saddam into ordering it to be shot down, providing a pretext for the subsequent invasion by America and Britain.

Manning, educated at Oriel College, Oxford, and a career diplomat, is fiercely independent. He may choose to say more than Blair would like, according to one former colleague. He could also be asked to either confirm or deny Meyer's evidence that Meyer received "new instructions" in early 2005 indicating that it was a "complete waste of time" to oppose regime change, so strong was the US determination to go down that road.

On Tuesday, Edward Chaplin, the Foreign Office Middle East director at the time of the invasion, and Sir Peter Ricketts, the top official in the Foreign Office, will appear. Ricketts, a former chairman of Britain's powerful joint intelligence committee, has already given evidence to the inquiry alleging that officials in London knew even before Bush came to office in 2001 that there were "voices" in Washington calling for Saddam to be removed from power. Ricketts also told the inquiry that, until March 2002, Whitehall distanced itself from regime change. Only one month later, Blair told Bush that he would support military action "to bring about regime change".

According to documents leaked five years ago, Ricketts described the US in 2002 as "scrambling to establish a link between Iraq and al-Qaida" – a connection that was "so far, frankly unconvincing". On Thursday, Sir Kevin Tebbit, the Ministry of Defence's permanent secretary during the invasion, will be asked to give evidence about the military planning. Chilcot will, most likely, want to know when the government first began to amass resources for the war and what, if any, preparations were made for the aftermath of an invasion. Tebbit could also be urged to divulge the level of intelligence he received on the likelihood of an attack with chemical or biological weapons.

After Tebbit, a succession of heavy-hitting military men will give evidence. The former chief of the defence staff, Admiral the Lord Boyce, could be asked whether he believes that the invasion was legal. On Friday, Lieutenant General Sir Anthony Pigott, former deputy chief of the defence staff, and Major General David Wilson, senior British military adviser to the US military's central command between 2002 and 2003, will be questioned about military planning for the conflict.

The last witness to appear this week will be Dominic Asquith, Britain's ambassador to Iraq between 2006 and 2007, who will come armed with knowledge of the view from Baghdad.

When Chilcot has made his way through the officials, he will turn in the new year to former ministers, including Jack Straw, the former foreign secretary, and Blair himself. The panel has said that it will also begin to examine the highly controversial issue of whether the invasion was legal – which may turn out to be the focal point of the whole inquiry – in January.

In his final report, which is not expected until late 2010 at the earliest, Chilcot has said he will not seek to attribute blame. But after only one week it is already clear where much of Whitehall believes that lies.

The Washington Post
Afghanistan: The speech you'll miss
By Jim Hoagland
Sunday, November 29, 2009
Dec. 1, 2009:

My fellow Americans,

This is not the speech you expected to hear. But my wordsmiths are tied in knots writing an acceptance speech for the only Nobel Peace Prize ever awarded for making speeches. So I am going to tell you how I really feel about Afghanistan. Which is: steamed.

I want to accomplish three goals tonight without naming them.

First, to let those know-it-alls, Generals Petraeus and McChrystal, know one more thing: This is the last big troop increase you get, so make it work.

I am not going down the incrementalist road that wrecked Lyndon Johnson's presidency. This is not July 1965, when Westmoreland jumped the shark of escalation in Vietnam and then never stopped asking for more troops. For all the trouble he has been, Dick Holbrooke helped by recalling that history in the shadowboxing that dominated my long war council meetings.

Joe Biden has been good in there, too. He's been willing, though not happy, to be characterized in the media as being ready to bug out now. This helped us push back against McChrystal's effort to box me in at 40,000 additional U.S. soldiers.

Denis McDonough, my strategic communications man, sold Biden-as-dove brilliantly. Wasn't somebody just saying I should promote Denis? Maybe it was Denis?

Never mind. That tactic won me room to maneuver toward a more realistic number of, say, 23,000 new combat troops, 5,000 additional trainers and a "NATO surge" of 5,000 foreign troops.

That's my second unspoken goal: to come out of this buildup speech without losing the left of the Democratic Party -- while being able to refute John McCain's charges that I ignored my own generals. Triangulation lives.

Bush put the generals in the limelight to sell the Iraq surge after he lost all credibility, and David Petraeus's performance was dazzling. Which presents two big problems. Petraeus is the only person who could get the Republican nomination in 2012 and make a serious run against me. (I get paid to think ahead.) And if the generals box me in, civilian control of the military in this country becomes a mockery. Clinton was afraid of the military; Bush was deep in hock to it. I've got to get the right balance back.

That's why I need Bob Gates and Jim Jones. Those who scoffed at my keeping on Bush's defense secretary, and then making a retired Marine four-star I hardly knew my NSC guy, were not thinking that moments like this would come. I already was.

Gates has maneuvered flawlessly through my waterboarding-by-leaks on Afghanistan. He will sell my final number to the uniforms as the "floor" for U.S. troops that Petraeus argues we need for three years. But Gates knows I will make it a ceiling.

We can't afford an open-ended commitment. I put Peter Orszag, as good a budget overseer as you can find, front and center for the photographers in that last war council. Many missed it, but NBC's Andrea Mitchell got it right away. No wonder she's married to Alan Greenspan.

I will bet that Stan McChrystal never drew up a budget in his life.

Jones tells me these Special Operations commanders are used to getting whatever they ask for, especially since September 11th. Nobody on the Hill will deny them anything.

Jones is also squeezing the Europeans to join the battle and is getting results, even from the Germans. What is it Jones says? Maybe the worst thing of all would be to be perceived to lose in Afghanistan and then have the Europeans say: Well, you never asked for more help at the crucial time. Makes sense to me.

We have sent NATO members the numbers we think they can and should provide, country by country. That new secretary general, Anders Fogh Rasmussen, is pulling out the stops, visiting the capitals to get commitments.

"Say it now, pay it later," we tell the Europeans. They have until mid-2010 to deploy their new units. But I need pledges now so I can get across tonight that this is NATO's war, not Obama's war. That's unspoken-goal number three.

So I have frontloaded the speech with allusions to this being about an exit strategy, without boxing myself in on timing, and am presenting the "civilian surge" as being as essential as the troop buildup. That helps set up my Oslo speech. And who knows? It may even work out that way. If God blesses us all.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2009 7:18 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: May I borrow

SEND by David Shipley?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 8:52 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Notes from the Peanut Gallery

I am now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 20:45:13 2009
Subject: Fw: Notes from the Peanut Gallery

See b/l - are you home now - if so I am going to call

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; [redacted] Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Nov 29 20:43:20 2009
Subject: Notes from the Peanut Gallery

B6

If our early assessment of today's election in Honduras holds, we will have just been witness to a remarkable thing: Honduran voters have taken back their democracy from two failed leaders -- Zelaya and Micheletti -- who had driven Honduras to isolation and despair.

The turnout (probably a record) and the clear rejection of the Liberal Party shows our approach was the right one, and puts Brazil and others who would not recognize the election in an impossible position. As we think about what to say, I would strongly recommend that we not be shy. We should congratulate the Honduran people, we should connect today's vote to the deep democratic vocation of the Honduran people, and we should call on the community of democratic nations (and especially those of the Americas) to recognize, respect, and respond to this accomplishment of the Honduran people.

Finally, this Administration, which worked so hard to manage and resolve this crisis, should be the one who defines the results and perceptions of today's vote, and not our critics on the Hill (who had no clear pathway to elections) or our adversaries in the region (who never wanted this day to happen).

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 5, 2009 11:41 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Have a great time!

[redacted] just left my house heading for yours to check on the painting. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Sat Dec 05 11:11:30 2009
Subject: Re: Have a great time!

Will do - taking off from miami now - will call you once we get there around 330pm

XO
Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Dec 05 07:10:18 2009
Subject: Have a great time!

Cheryl--i'll be at home until 4:30 and then all day tomorrow. Email if you want to talk and let me know what you think of Punta Cana. H

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 5:38 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

Ok. Hope you got some sleep!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Nov 23 05:31:20 2009
Subject: Isabelle at 7:30 per usual.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 5:46 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Miliband call

I'm in the car. Will ops call me or should I call in?

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D), B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 6:48 AM
To: 'Burnswj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Egypt

Great news. Could you have someone send me the breakdown of the votes? Thx so much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns, William J <BurnsWJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 06:41:57 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

Very good outcome in Vienna: 25 votes in favor, incl all 5+1 and India. Your personal efforts were key, and thank you again. First BOG res since 2006 is not a small thing. All best, Bill

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Burns, William J
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:37:05 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

[redacted] 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns, William J <BurnsWJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 25 15:46:49 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

Many thanks. [redacted] B5

Your call gives us a good opening, and I think we're headed for a solid BOG vote.

Happy Thanksgiving !

Bill

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~
Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 11/25/2024

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Burns, William J
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Nov 25 14:57:00 2009
Subject: Egypt

Bill--

Abu Gheit has two asks-and one big complaint--1)He wants to delay voting until Friday to give more time to round up positive votes. 2)He chairs the NAM and

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

I told him I would inquire about the possibility of delay but that

What do you advise? HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 8:02 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Call list

Take off:

Hariri
Sulayman
Papandreou
Ashton
Abbas
Gheit
Faisal
Amorim
Posner
Schuster
Solis

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 8:32 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Full IAEA Vote Breakdown

Also,

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 08:04:34 2009
Subject: Fw: Full IAEA Vote Breakdown

From: Morris, James M
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Beale, Courtney A Kramer; SES-O_Shift-I; SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Fri Nov 27 07:02:52 2009
Subject: Full IAEA Vote Breakdown

Huma,

U/S Burns asked that we pass the full IAEA breakdown to the Secretary. Here it is:

For: Argentina, Australia, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Canada, China, Denmark, France, Germany, India, Japan, Kenya, the Republic of Korea, Mongolia, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Peru, Romania, Russian Federation, Spain, Switzerland, Ukraine, the UK, and the USA, Uruguay

Against: Cuba, Venezuela, Malaysia

Abstentions: Afghanistan, Brazil, South Africa, Egypt, Pakistan, and Turkey

Absent: Azerbaijan

James M. Morris

Watch Officer (S/ES-O)

(202)647-1512

morrisjm@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 6:38 AM
To: 'glantz.gina [redacted] B6
Cc: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Excuse to reconnect

I would love to see you on Dec 14th as you pass thru town. I'm asking Huma and Lona to schedule a time for us to catch up. Hope all is well w you and yours, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Gina Glantz [redacted]
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin <Abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Nov 30 22:43:59 2009
Subject: Excuse to reconnect

[redacted]

Affectionately, Gina

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 2, 2009 9:53 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

Can Kristy come tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Dec 02 21:38:00 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Dec 02 21:36:05 2009
Subject: Honduran Congress votes against President Zelaya's reinstatement (SBU)

(SBU) Although voting is ongoing, a simple majority of members have cast votes opposing his reinstatement, according to press. Embassy Tegucigalpa comments that at least 79 of the 128 members have voted to reaffirm the June 28 congressional decree removing Zelaya, with 11 voting against.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 4, 2009 12:07 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Birthdays today

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Dec 04 11:40:15 2009
Subject: RE: Birthdays today

I talked to Shelly and she is grateful for your call. She has a new phone and hasn't set up her VM yet.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 8:48 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Birthdays today

I reached Katie but Shelly's voice mail wasn't set up. Can you track her down and tell her I tried. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Dec 03 12:17:55 2009
Subject: Birthdays today

B6

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 3:09 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Are you hear now?

No in car on way to airport. Can you talk? Call my berry.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 15:08:40 2009
Subject: Are you hear now?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 5, 2009 12:07 PM
To: 'jacobjlew [redacted] 'vermarr@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Passback and Approps committees

As you know, I will testify on Dec 15 and want to know if that is too late to sound the alarm about our budget? If so, what should I be doing? If not, we should prepare testimony that we preview and deliver that makes our case. Let me know your thoughts.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:56 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Statement on settlement moratorium

[redacted] What do you think? B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:52:27 2009
Subject: Statement on settlement moratorium

Per the plan, a statement is being released in your name. This is the same statement you saw in the memo package from Mitchell:

Today's announcement by the Government of Israel helps move forward toward resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. We believe that through good-faith negotiations the parties can mutually agree on an outcome which ends the conflict and reconciles the Palestinian goal of an independent and viable state based on the 1967 lines, with agreed swaps, and the Israeli goal of a Jewish state with secure and recognized borders that reflect subsequent developments and meet Israeli security requirements. Let me say to all the people of the region and world: our commitment to achieving a solution with two states living side by side in peace and security is unwavering.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 26, 2009 8:08 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Quartet call is happening this morning (actually now) if you have any interest in joining?

No but who's on it?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 26 08:05:15 2009
Subject: Quartet call is happening this morning (actually now) if you have any interest in joining?

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D), B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 6:52 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Egypt

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns, William J <BurnsWJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Nov 27 06:41:57 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

Very good outcome in Vienna: 25 votes in favor, incl all 5+1 and India. Your personal efforts were key, and thank you again. First BOG res since 2006 is not a small thing. All best, Bill

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Burns, William J
Sent: Wed Nov 25 16:37:05 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

[redacted] 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns, William J <BurnsWJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Nov 25 15:46:49 2009
Subject: Re: Egypt

Many thanks. [redacted] B5 Your call gives us a good opening, and I think we're headed for a solid BOG vote.

Happy Thanksgiving !

Bill

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 11/25/2024

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Burns, William J
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Nov 25 14:57:00 2009
Subject: Egypt

Bill--

Abu Gheit has two asks-and one big complaint--1)He wants to delay voting until Friday to give more time to round up positive votes. [redacted]. 2)He chairs the NAM and [redacted] 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

[redacted]

I told him I would inquire about the possibility of delay but that [redacted]

[redacted]

What do you advise? HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 2:33 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ops will reach you on berry approx 2:45 to connect u to potus.

Would you pls call my berry so I know the ringer works?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Nov 29 14:20:57 2009
Subject: Ops will reach you on berry approx 2:45 to connect u to potus.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 10:33 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Univision coverage of S trip

FYI - email to me and Jake from Lourdes - the woman we intend to steal I believe . . .

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Cue, Lourdes C
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Mar 25 00:01:54 2009
Subject: Univision coverage of S trip

Just saw a portion of S interview with Jorge Ramos. She hit the ball out of the park on the question framed around Enrique Krauze's NYT op-ed today about US moral hypocrisy. I don't think he was expecting her to give a categorical answer and address the issue head on. They teased her response to a question about Chavez's comment that Obama is ignorant. They will air it in Ramos' Sunday talk show. My mom saw the Telemundo interview with Sevcec. She said that one was more personal and friendly.

Meanwhile, the coverage out of Mexico City featured Espinosa welcoming the WH announcement today as consistent with the overall policy goals while calling on us to do more.

Did she do Patricia Junot of CNN en Español?

You've prob seen the promo: Anderson Cooper is reporting from the border 'the war next door" for the next two days. They had a pretty dramatic set-up piece today.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:06 PM
To: H
Subject: Jim at CENTCOM

FYI

From: Alexander, Diane J
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 5:30 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Campbell, Piper A
Cc: McLean, Lori A; Coleman, Claire L; Lavy, Brendan L; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Subject: FW: Deputy's Joint Weekly Meeting

FYI: On Tues., March 31, @ 9:00am, DepSec Steinberg will address the CENTCOM Central and South Asia Chiefs of Defense Conference at the Fairfax Hotel and will not be at the S Daily meeting w/Senior Staff. Thank you - Diane

Diane J. Alexander
Staff Assistant
Office of the Deputy Secretary
D - Room 7220
Tel: (202) 647-9640
Fax: (202) 647-6047
AlexanderDJ3@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jackie Newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 3:52 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Follow up

ps I am also looking forward to telling you about my meeting with Anne-Marie on Thursday. Thank you very much for that as well!

On Sun, Mar 29, 2009 at 3:44 PM, Jackie Newmyer [redacted] wrote:
Secretary Clinton, Hi,

Thank you! I am available to talk any time. I would also be happy to come to Washington (or wherever) to discuss this in person at your convenience. [redacted] so after 1:30 pm tomorrow would be safest. My cell is [redacted]

Yrs, Jackie

On Sun, Mar 29, 2009 at 2:30 PM, H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Jackie--I'd like to talk to you about your choices. Michelle Fluornoy, new Undersecretary at DOD, asked me about young women who could work w her in planning and whom she could mentor. And, of course, I thought of you!

When would be good times for me to call you? Best, H

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
617-661-1620 (ph)
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
617-661-1620 (ph)
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 4:09 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Kent Conrad
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 7:58 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: FW: PD and working document on responsibilities
Attachments: Special Advisor for Nonproliferation and Arms Control.docx; Working document, SANAC areas of responsibility.docx

Huma – can you print for HRC?

Thanks.

cdm

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 7:57 AM
To: 'eotauscher [redacted] B6
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: PD and working document on responsibilities

Ellen:

Attached are revised position descriptions and original taskings list for Bob Einhorn.

He and I discussed these yesterday.

Please review and after you have had a chance to do so, please give me a call.

Best.

cdm

From: Einhorn, Robert J
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 6:07 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills [redacted]
Subject: PD and working document on responsibilities

Cheryl,

Attached are the two papers, cleaned up. Thanks.
Bob

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 11:59 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: S interview feedback

From amb to brazil (holdover)

-----Original Message-----
From: sobelcm [redacted] B6.
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 6:10 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: International food security

Cheryl, we are in the Netherlands and happen to be watching the Secretary's interview with Rick Neiman. He was the person we always used for a good interview!
She was extrordinary and I am sure well received by the Dutch.
Who knows perhaps, Prime Minister
Balkanende might extend the troops one more time!
Cliff

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D)

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 3:53 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: DPRK/CHINA: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #11

FYI

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 03/29/2034

From: Arvizu, Alex A
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 3:16 PM
Subject: DPRK/CHINA: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #11

DPRK/CHINA BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #11

-- March 30, 2009 --

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

CONSULAR ACTIVITY

DIPLOMATIC ACTIVITY

MEDIA

If asked, the Department will confirm the consular visit occurred, but provide no further details. (Acting Deputy Spokesman – CA/P e-mail)

OTHER SOURCES

No updates from NSC. (NSC e-mail) Ops does not report any updates.

Contact: Johna Ohtagaki, EAP/K David Schwartz, OCS

202-647-6727 202-647-6137

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 10:12 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Mexico tuna/dolphin case

I have already pinged WHA to get their recommendation on how to approach this tomorrow. I would guess a call to Kirk and follow-up with the NSC. We will have a game plan first thing in the am.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 10:09 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Mexico tuna/dolphin case

I agree w WHA for both substantive and political reasons as well as because of timing. Is there anything else I need to do?

From: "Sullivan, Jacob J"
Date: Mon, 23 Mar 2009 22:00:07 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Mexico tuna/dolphin case
We have just received an action memo seeking a timely decision on State's position on a trade dispute with Mexico. EEB and WHA have competing recommendations.

First, some background. Mexico requested a WTO panel to resolve its tuna/dolphin dispute with the United States U.S. measures prohibit Mexican tuna from being labeled "dolphin safe" even though the tuna is harvested in accordance with the Agreement on the International Dolphin Conservation Program. However, dolphin population recovery has not occurred as rapidly as expected under that Program. Despite the measures, Mexico exported about $18 million worth of tuna to the United States in 2007.

B5

B5

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:32 PM
To: Cue, Lourdes C
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Subject: RE: CNN en Español is doing live coverage of her arrival in MEX; channel 23

Love it.

cdm

From: Cue, Lourdes C
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 2:03 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: CNN en Español is doing live coverage of her arrival in MEX; channel 23

Lourdes C. Cué
Special Assistant
Office of Deputy Secretary Steinberg
202-647-5290
Cuelc@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Steinberg, James B <SteinbergJB@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 1:10 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Any news?

Message sent to north thru ny. No word back

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Sun Mar 29 13:08:39 2009
Subject: Any news?

About the Governor?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 5:30 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: Bonn clips: AP & Reuters

Read this - it says todd stern sent chills up someone's spin (in a good way)!

----- Original Message -----
From: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Steinberg, James B; Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Mar 29 19:31:21 2009
Subject: Fw: Bonn clips: AP & Reuters

Hi guys from Germany. HRC might be interested in press clips below, especially the AP story. Good day today.

----- Original Message -----
From: susan povenmire
To: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC); Pershing, Jonathan; Ogden, Peter R; Talley, Trigg (OES); celesteconnors
<celesteconnors DeRosa-Joynt, Barbara M (OES); ; Rochberg, Daniel R
Cc: Povenmire, Susan L (OES); Cassetta, Matthew V; Gross, Billie R (OES)
Sent: Sun Mar 29 17:05:04 2009
Subject: Bonn clips: AP & Reuters

B6

Obama envoy: Time to act on climate change By ARTHUR MAX – 2 hours ago

BONN, Germany (AP) — Once booed at international climate talks, the United States won sustained applause Sunday when President Barack Obama's envoy pledged to "make up for lost time" in reaching a global agreement on climate change.

Todd Stern also praised efforts by countries like China to reign in their carbon emissions, but said global warming "requires a global response" and that rapidly developing economies like China "must join together" with the industrial world to solve the problem.

The debut of Obama's climate change team was widely anticipated after eight years of obdurate participation in U.N. climate talks by the previous Bush administration.

"We are very glad to be back. We want to make up for lost time, and we are seized with the urgency of the task before us," Stern said to loud applause from the 2,600 delegates to the U.N. negotiations.

They clapped again when Stern said the U.S. recognized "our unique responsibility ... as the largest historic emitter of greenhouse gases," which has created a problem threatening the entire world.

The two-week meeting by 175 countries that began Sunday was the latest stage of talks aimed at forging a climate change agreement to replace the 1997 Kyoto Protocol on emissions targets for rich countries, which expires in 2012.

The United States was instrumental in negotiating Kyoto, but failed to win support at home. When George W. Bush took office, he renounced it, calling Kyoto a flawed agreement that would harm the U.S. economy and unfair because it demanded nothing from countries like China or India.

Stern said his team did not want a repeat of the Kyoto debacle. The latest agreement is due to be finalized in December in Copenhagen, Denmark.

"Ultimately, this is a political process," he said. "The way forward is steered by science and pragmatism."

Stern said no one on his team doubted that climate change is real. "The science is clear, the threat is real, the facts on the ground are outstripping the worst-case scenarios. The cost of inaction or inadequate action are unacceptable," he said — a total change of tone from his predecessors.

Scientists warned recently that climate change is happening more rapidly that previously calculated and said the Earth could be in danger of major climatic changes that would trigger widespread social disruption. U.N. scientists say rising sea levels caused by global warming threatens to swamp coastlines and entire island states, and predicted increasing drought for arid countries, especially in Africa.

Obama has set aside $80 billion in his economic stimulus package for green energy, promised $150 billion for research over 10 years, and was tightening regulations on auto emissions, Stern said.

"America itself cannot provide the solution, but there is no solution without America," he said.

"It sent chills up my spine seeing the U.S. applauded," Keya Chatterjee of the Worldwide Fund for Nature said after Stern's speech.

It was only 15 months ago at Bali, Indonesia, that U.S. negotiators were booed when they threatened to veto an accord laying down a two-year negotiating process to replace Kyoto. They backed off when the delegate from Papua New Guinea, Kevin Conrad, told them if "you are not willing to lead ... please get out of the way."

Stern urged delegates Sunday to adopt a long-range vision for reducing climate change, rather than to focus on "a series of short-term, stopgap measures," and repeated Obama's determination to cut emissions by 80 percent by mid-century.

His speech was meant to shift the debate from persistent demands by developing countries for industrial nations to reduce emissions by 25-40 percent below 1990 levels by 2020. Stern has said previously that goal was unattainable for the U.S.

Speaking earlier to reporters, Stern defended the U.S. administration's goal of reducing U.S. carbon emissions by roughly 16 percent over the next dozen years from current levels.

"We don't think (the target) is low at all," he said, adding it was "consistent with what other countries are willing to do."

Others disagreed.

"The target that the United States has put forward is not going to be sufficient," said Chatterjee.

Jake Schmidt of the Nature Resources Defense Council said the Obama administration was talking behind the scenes about setting an annual emissions reduction target leading up to 2050.

"It's hard to turn a big ship around, but it would show we are serious about our commitments to cut emissions from the medium to the long term," Schmidt said.

With time running out before the pact is due to be completed in December, delegates are trying to narrow vast differences over how best to fight climate change.

Issues include how much countries need to reduce emissions, how to raise the tens of billions of dollars needed annually to fight global warming and how to transfer money and technology to poor countries who are most vulnerable to increasingly fierce storms, droughts and failing crops.

Stern said the U.S. position will be guided by whatever deal Obama can strike with Congress.

"I do not think that it is realistic to believe that we will then be able to go into an international setting and get a higher number than that," he said.

AP correspondent Vanessa Gera contributed to this article.

(This version CORRECTS name of Natural Resources Defense Council.)

US to push for UN climate deal but no "magic wand"
Sun Mar 29, 2009 11:04am EDT
* Obama to push for new climate treaty

* Climate envoy says no magic wand, sets limits to U.S. cuts

(Adds details, quotes)

By Alister Doyle, Environment Correspondent

BONN, Germany, March 29 (Reuters) - U.S. President Barack Obama's administration promised to push for a new global treaty to fight global warming at United Nations climate talks on Sunday but cautioned it had no magic wand.

"The United States is going to be powerfully and fully engaged," U.S. special envoy for climate change Todd Stern said at the opening of 175-nation U.N. talks in Bonn.

"But we are all going to have to do this together, we don't have a magic wand," he told a news conference at the March 29-April 8 meeting in Bonn, the first since Obama took office. The U.S. delegation was greeted by applause at the opening.

Stern said the United States wanted to work for a treaty that was economically "doable" and that countries could not expect Washington to "ride in on a white horse" to solve the problem. "We can't," he said.

Some nations, racked by recession, have been waiting to hear Obama's policies before unveiling their own. The Bonn talks are due to consider issues including the levels of greenhouse gas cuts needed to slow global warming.

Obama has promised to cut U.S. greenhouse gas emissions by about 16-17 percent from current levels to take them back to 1990 levels by 2020 as part of a new U.N. climate deal meant to be agreed in Copenhagen in December.

Under former President George W. Bush, the United States was isolated among industrialised nations in opposing caps on emissions under the U.N.'s existing Kyoto Protocol. Delegates even booed U.S. delegates at a meeting in Indonesia, in 2007.

"Everyone is very excited" by signs of a stronger U.S. commitment, Yvo de Boer, head of the U.N. Climate Change Secretariat, told a news conference. China and the United States are the top greenhouse gas emitters.

NO WHITE HORSE

Stern said, however, that the United States could not make the deepest cuts in greenhouse gases advised by the U.N. Climate Panel for 2020 to avoid the worst of global warming, of between 25 and 40 percent below 1990 levels.

"We should be guided by a combination of science and pragmatism," Stern said. Many developing nations, led by China, want rich nations to make deep cuts.

Almost no developed nations have laid out goals within the 25-40 percent range. Among the most ambitious, the European Union plans cuts of 20 percent below 1990 levels by 2020.

The U.N. Climate Panel projects more floods, droughts, more powerful storms, heatwaves and rising sea levels from a gradual build-up of heat-trapping gases from burning fossil fuels.

De Boer has in the past called Obama's goals an "opening offer" that he hopes will be toughened in Copenhagen.

Stern said that it was unclear whether Congress will manage to pass climate legislation before the Copenhagen meeting in December. If a law is passed by then, he said, it would be unrealistic for Washington to sign up to any tougher cuts.

On Saturday, an estimated one billion people turned out the lights for an Earth Hour to show concern about global warming. The action also dimmed landmarks from the Eiffel Tower to the Sydney Harbour Bridge.

"Earth hour was probably the largest public demonstration on climate change ever," de Boer said.

-- For Reuters latest environment blogs click on: blogs.reuters.com/environment/ (Editing by Louise Ireland)

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761448 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

Mr/s Chairman, Members of the Commission, Thank you for the invitation to speak today on this timely subject.

My testimony will address how Chinese foreign-directed information operations figure into the People's Republic of China's (PRC's) broader strategy as it rises, and my remarks will be structured around what the Chinese themselves are saying and writing about foreign-directed information operations in their internal reports. After reviewing a representative sample of the Chinese reports, I'll move to the logic behind their approach, or where it comes from, and finally, what China's aims are, before offering a preliminary assessment of their success. To give you a preview, my answer is, clearly, yes, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) leadership is trying to influence or shape American perceptions of, and policies toward, China. Mainly, up to now, these efforts have been in the direction of reassurance, to allay US concerns about China's economic rise, military build-up, and increasing political and diplomatic influence.

Let me begin by referring to a Chinese report addressing the need for, and character of, foreign-directed strategic information capabilities. This article, a kind of roadmap for foreign-directed information campaigns, appeared in a February 2009 *Reference News* (*Cankao Xiaoxi*) outlet, and it was translated by the American Open Source Center, along with the other articles that I am going to cite today. *Reference News* publications come out of a special branch of the official Chinese news agency Xinhua that is charged with preparing information and analysis for senior cadres. In theory, the circulation of these *Reference News* reports is limited to high-ranking Party members. To be sure, I am not about to quote a policy document but rather an analytical piece. It seems to be representative of a certain cast of mind, however, as we will see. The article from February refers to "national public relations weapons," which it defines: "By national public relations, we mean dialogues between nations or between a country and relevant stake holders against the backdrop of competition over power and interest."

The need to "set the agenda for foreign media" is explicitly stressed. Under a subhead of the article called "Media Diplomacy: Breaking the Siege of Public Opinion in the West," the author writes:

> It is obvious that the West still has the upper hand while the East remains weak… Whenever there is an agenda dispute, international public opinion will form a force that involves the West's besieging the East.

And the article proceeds to explain:

> Influencing and setting the foreign media agenda fully embodies a rule of the game in modern society – that is, whoever can influence the media agenda can influence the public agenda… The foreign media are not a taxi on the road that can be flagged when one needs it and shoved away when one does not need it.

In other words, the foreign press has to be cultivated, so that when a crisis strikes, certain outlets can be counted upon to report favorably on China.

How can this be achieved? "Foreign media outlets should be given special treatment so that they will not be forced to unite and form a confrontational alliance." In other words, divide them, or keep them divided. The article elaborates on this question, emphasizing the need to "provide them with specialized information..." That is, grant privileges to encourage positive coverage.

Under the same heading of "Breaking the Siege of Public Opinion in the West," the article also offers general precepts such as,

> When a negative event occurs, [the authorities] should not order the media to shut up. Public opinion is like a big container. The more information you inject... the less space there is for other people's views and ability to return fire.

In other words, flood the zone.

More specifically, under the heading of "Lobbying," the author writes:

> We need to influence the influential. In every country, there are famous commentators and writers, and they are the media and public focus. With the support of these foreign 'eloquent speakers' and writers, the national public relations drive can yield twice the results with half the effort. In addition, it is necessary to fully mobilize overseas elites and [overseas] Chinese who are 'familiar with China.' ... [Because they] understand the cultural environment abroad, they can be good assistants in China's public relations.

Where does all this come from? Whence this talk of a "siege of public opinion," "national public relations weapons," and the like? It flows from a tradition that stresses the role of information in political and geopolitical interactions – that is, in both domestic and foreign strategy. I'd go so far as to say that the Chinese tradition puts managing perceptions at the heart of strategy. This is evident from Sun Zi's injunction to know the enemy and oneself to the CCP's extensive domestic propaganda and information management efforts, which have been explored by scholars such as Anne-Marie Brady, David Shambaugh, Daniel Lynch and Ashley Esarey, among others. Perhaps most relevant for our purposes, the emphasis on information in China's strategic culture is evident in Deng Xiaoping's famous injunction that China should "bide its time and hide its capabilities," which can only be understood as a call to shape the data that reaches foreigners, in this case to conceal certain data.

In this connection, consider the formulation designed to influence how foreigners understand China's increased power on the world stage, "peaceful rise." The line, promulgated by Zheng Bijian in 2002, was later changed to "peaceful development," lest the word "rise" provoke anxiety and undermine the intended effect of reassuring foreigners about China's trajectory. But before this change took hold, Zheng slipped up in a September 2004 Shanghai TV appearance, as quoted in a recent *China Quarterly* article by Daniel Lynch: "Working in this way [touting the "peaceful rise"] has its

advantages – in obtaining greater understanding, sympathy and support, in winning discourse power on the question of China's development path, in winning discourse power in the international sphere... It is all extremely advantageous, and there is absolutely no downside." This is a pretty stunning shift from insisting that "peaceful rise" is an earnest description of China's present and future to describing the label as instrumental, a tool for "winning discourse power."

Now Zheng is affiliated with the Central Party School of the Chinese Communist Party, but he has been a senior member of the Party's propaganda apparatus, an extensive network of offices that exists behind the official bureaucracy, since the 1960s. Zheng is never identified this way in Chinese Western-language news outlets. Further, the Party School is often referred to as a Chinese think tank. Is this an institution just like our Brookings or AEI? No. In another recent article called "It Is Difficult for Chinese Think Tanks to Learn from the American Model," the author explicitly notes the differences: "US private think tanks are in ... a thriving state. This is unique in the entire world, ... a byproduct of ... the US dual-party election system... fundamentally different from the domestic conditions of China." Therefore, "one is afraid that what China think tanks can learn from US think tanks is more about micro-level management and operational models." In other words, because most Chinese think tanks are sponsored by the Party, while American think tanks are private, all Chinese think tanks can learn from their US counterparts is internal organizational details.

A different recent piece, "China Must Have Strong Nongovernmental Diplomatic Power," published in a Chinese *People's Daily* [*Renmin Ribao*] outlet that tracks foreign opinion on China for senior cadres, reviews the fundamental differences between the United States and China in the think tank realm, and assesses that China is at a disadvantage:

> The diversified nongovernmental forces have provided US diplomacy with multiple abilities to set up various agendas. In the meantime, China can do nothing but rely on its government's single-track diplomacy. This has placed China in a passive position, in which it is hard to cope with the situation, as if it is shooting mosquitoes with a cannon.

The article goes on to say that to avoid embarrassments like the crackdown on free Tibet protestors in the run-up to the Olympics, China must unleash overseas Chinese to counter Western public expressions of sympathy for Tibet.

What is this talk of "discourse power in the international sphere" and "shooting mosquitoes" about? Well, with regard to the United States and the lines about "biding time, and hiding capabilities" and "peaceful rise," we can be sure that there is an effort to keep America friendly and complacent. But there is also increasing realism in the Chinese reports about the continuing feasibility of this approach as China passes through different stages of modernization and development and starts to assume roles associated with great powers.

From the "national public relations" document that I quoted at the outset, here is a description of a natural evolution for China's foreign-directed information campaigns:

> China's public relations drive is not a...competition that involves waging a quick battle, but what Chairman Mao...described as a 'long-running battle.' According to Chairman Mao, a long-running battle involved three stages: defense, confrontation, and counterattack. From the strategic level of national public relations, the defense stage comprises passive defense and active defense. We divide the confrontation period into two parts: confrontation resulting from both sides being well matched in strength, and [then] dialogue brought about by a balance of power. The counterattack stage involves attacking and conquering.

Within the PRC today, there seems to be significant discussion of whether China can continue to bide its time or whether China will be compelled to shift its foreign-directed information efforts. The roadmap article concludes, "While we should not demonstrate toughness characteristic of the confrontation stage and the counterattack stage, we cannot continue making the kind of unprincipled compromises or maintaining the unrestrained modesty that marks the passive defense stage."

In addition to shifting the message directed at the United States, China may accelerate its wooing of other countries. Perhaps inspired by the idea of "soft power," the Chinese seem to believe that a competition exists in the realm of "international public opinion." A January 2008 analysis by the scholar Yan Xuetong, published in a journal of the Chinese Institute of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR), a "think tank" with ties to the Ministry of State Security, which oversees Chinese counterintelligence, argues that "if a country has relatively many strategically friendly countries, it is likely to win support from other countries." According to Yan, the United States has an advantage in allies, or "international mobilization ability," but this can be eroded in three to five years if China properly "positions" itself or manipulates impressions of China's "identity":

> We may think of repositioning China's international identity and expanding the homogeneity between China and other countries in identity. Economically, China may position itself as a burgeoning modern country, being between a developed and underdeveloped country. Politically, China may position itself as a democratizing country, ... between a democratic country and ... [an autocracy]. Culturally, China may position itself as a Confucian country, between a religious and a nonreligious country...

I have been talking about Chinese intentions up to now. To conclude, I would like to turn to an assessment of effects. But effects are difficult to measure, in part because any judgment requires addressing the counterfactual question, Would we have acted as we have in the absence of Chinese foreign-directed information campaigns? As a preliminary answer, let me adduce the article "Have China Scholars All Been Bought," by the Hong Kong-based professor Carsten Holz. Holz begins, "Academics who study China, which includes the author, habitually please the Chinese Communist Party,

sometimes consciously, and often unconsciously." Consider, too, the words of one of the most senior American scholars of China, Orville Schell:

> I try to say, 'Okay, here is what I think, what I understand, what I think I see, have learned and read.' Then, I try and think through what the Chinese government's reaction will be... And then I try to be as truthful as I can in a way that is respectful and unprovocative but that is not pandering. China has a tremendously highly evolved capacity to create panderers both among its own people and foreigners who become involved with them.

Where is all of this going if China succeeds in reassuring the United States while increasing its "international mobilization ability"? That's a subject for a different hearing, I think. Thank you again, and I look forward to your questions.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2009 12:24 PM
To: H

Talking Points for Secretary's Call to North Korea Detainee's Family

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 4:50 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: second conversation with Mr. Gore re detained Amcits in North Korea

Fyi

From: Bishop, Christopher W
To: S
Cc: Wood, Robert A (PACE)
Sent: Mon Mar 30 19:12:55 2009
Subject: FW: second conversation with Mr. Gore re detained Amcits in North Korea
Colleagues, FYI: readout of EAP DAS Arvizu's conversation with former VP Gore.

From: Arvizu, Alex A
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 7:11 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Park, Pamela P; Tesone, Mark; Jacobs, Janice L; Smith, Daniel B; S_SpecialAssistants; Davies, Glyn T; Kim, Sung Y; Tong, Kurt W; Kim, Yuri J; Richardson, Eric N
Subject: second conversation with Mr. Gore re detained Amcits in North Korea

I spoke with Mr. Gore a second time today; I called him this afternoon after we had seen some brief wire stories appear, referencing the KCNA report below. Explaining that this was my personal view, I said I was encouraged that the term "espionage" did not appear in the KCNA report. I also mentioned that we were not aware of recent cases where previously detained Amcits in the DPRK were "indicted" and "brought to trial." I said I wouldn't read too much into terms such as "illegal entry" and "suspected hostile acts"; I was still convinced that the track we've been pursuing, i.e. gaining consular access through the Swedish Amb. in Pyongyang, and contact via other diplomatic channels, offered hope for a resolution.

Mr. Gore said he very much appreciated the call. He asked that we convey as much of this information as we could to the family members. I told him we would do so through CA.

From: Hooker, Allison M
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 2:03 PM
To: EAP-K-DPRK-UNIT-DL; Kim, Sung Y; Arvizu, Alex A; Kim, Yuri J
Subject: OSC: KCNA report on detainees

Here's the KCNA just in from OSC:

Pyongyang, March 31 (KCNA) -- The illegal entry of U.S. reporters into the DPRK and their suspected hostile acts have been confirmed by evidence and their statements, according to the results of intermediary investigation conducted by a competent organ of the DPRK.

The organ is carrying on its investigation and, at the same time, making a preparation for indicting them at a trial on the basis of the already confirmed suspicions.

While the investigation is under way consular contact is allowed and the

treatment of U.S. reporters, etc. are given according to the relevant international laws.

RELEASE IN FULL

Key talking points for HRC's calls to Senator Feinstein recommending Goodwin Liu for a nomination to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals

- ***Goodwin is a widely respected, first-rate legal scholar.*** I know this first-hand because I co-authored a law review article with him in 2003 on the relationship between Congress and the Court. Goodwin has published major articles on constitutional law and education policy in top law journals, and he is a frequent legal commentator in newspapers and media outlets.

- ***Goodwin is a mainstream progressive in his judicial philosophy and substantive views.*** The best evidence is in his careful and thoughtful writings. In addition, Goodwin was recently elected Chair of the Board of the American Constitution Society, a testament to his broad appeal and widely recognized leadership qualities. Goodwin was also elected last year, after a careful vetting process, to the Stanford Board of Trustees, a position that attests to his reputation for collegiality, moderation, and judgment.

- ***Goodwin follows the facts and legal reasoning to their logical conclusion, even when it may depart from liberal orthodoxy.*** For example, he wrote a law review article supporting private school vouchers as part of a proposal for expanded public school choice. In addition, last fall, the California Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees called on Goodwin—and no other scholar in the state or elsewhere—to testify as a "neutral expert" on the legal impact of Proposition 8 (banning same-sex marriages). In that testimony, Goodwin said that, if Prop 8 passed, the California Supreme Court would be bound by applicable precedents to uphold it—a view contrary to the position taken by many gay rights advocates in pending litigation.

- ***Goodwin has practical experience in law and public policy.*** He practiced law for two years at O'Melveny & Myers in Washington, D.C. And he served twice in education policy positions in the Clinton Administration (at the Corporation for National Service and the Department of Education). As a scholar, he continues to do practical legal and policy work.

- ***Goodwin has a strong record of accomplishment.*** He went to college at Stanford, then to Oxford as a Rhodes Scholar, then to Yale Law School. He clerked for David Tatel on the D.C. Circuit and Ruth Ginsburg on the Supreme Court. He joined the Boalt faculty in 2003, was awarded tenure in 2008, and was promoted to Associate Dean of the law school. He won a prestigious scholarly award last year from the Education Law Association, and this year he won UC Berkeley's campus-wide teaching award.

- ***There are no active Asian American judges on any Court of Appeals in the entire country.*** Goodwin is a first generation American. He is the son of Taiwanese immigrants who came to this country with their suitcases and their educations. They were fortnuate to have professional opportunities and they taught themselves to be fluent in English. They raised Goodwin in Sacramento where he attended public schools. He is the first in his family to attend law school and become a lawyer.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 8:09 AM
To: H
Cc: HAbedin[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Huma Abedin <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Wed Mar 25 08:08:01 2009
Subject: Schedule

Sheila Jackson Lee told me that the Speaker has set April 28th-Equal Pay Day-for the Sojourner Truth event. Can you confirm that day and time and lock me in and be sure I'm on the program? Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 2:05 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: CQ

See below.

cdm

From: Hall, Lydia S
To: F Global-Functional
Cc: Greene, Richard L; Shah, Khushali P; Hoyle, Peggy A; Warren, Wade
Sent: Tue Mar 31 09:03:26 2009
Subject: CQ

CQ TODAY PRINT EDITION - FOREIGN POLICY
March 30, 2009 - 5:43 p.m.

Food Aid Flexibility, Overseas Investment Up for Panel Consideration

By Adam Graham-Silverman, CQ Staff

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee plans to approve a bill Tuesday aimed at spurring a "green revolution" to combat global food insecurity, in part by loosening restrictions on using U.S. aid to buy food overseas.

The legislation (S 384) would authorize $500 million for a new emergency food assistance fund that could be used to buy food in the affected region instead of buying it in the United States and shipping it overseas. Critics say that system costs too much, takes too long to provide aid and depresses local prices when U.S. products arrive.

The bill, by panel ranking Republican Richard G. Lugar of Indiana, also would create a global food "czar" position at the White House and authorize $7.75 billion for agriculture research and exchange programs through fiscal 2014.

"We can bring America's dedication to science, innovation, technology and education together to lead an effort devoted to overcoming the obstacles to food security," Lugar said upon introducing the bill last month.

To keep up with rising population and an expanding middle class in countries such as China and India, farmers will have to double their food output by 2050, Lugar said. At the same time, he said, the share of U.S. development spending devoted to agriculture dropped from 25 percent in 1980 to 1 percent in 2003.

Anti-hunger activists support Lugar's effort to allow local purchase of food, but past efforts have run into farm-state interests that support requirements to buy U.S. crops. The bill would give the president discretion to use the fund to meet "unexpected urgent food assistance needs."

OPIC Reauthorization

The panel also will mark up a bill (S 705) to reauthorize the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) through fiscal 2013.

The program, which turns a profit, directs private capital to developing countries by offering U.S. businesses and lenders political risk insurance and investment financing.

OPIC's temporary reauthorization expires at the end of fiscal 2009.

The House passed a long-term reauthorization in the 110th Congress, but it stalled in the Senate when Tom Coburn, R-Okla., objected to language to encourage projects to address greenhouse gas emissions. That language was not included in this bill, in part because OPIC already examines its projects' greenhouse gas impact and also because of expectations that the Obama administration's plans for climate change legislation would impose a similar requirement.

Coburn also argued that the program failed to target the world's poorest countries, lacked accountability and gave too much in loans to large corporations.

The reauthorization would bar OPIC assistance to companies that have loans to, or sizable investments in, the energy sector of any state that sponsors terrorism. It would aim to reward countries with oil, gas or gems that have adopted transparent accounting systems.

The markup is scheduled for 2:15 p.m. Tuesday in S-116 Capitol.

Source: **CQ Today Print Edition**

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:03 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma; Doug Band
Subject: FW: Passing of Dr. John Hope Franklin

FYI

From: Elsie Scott [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 4:47 PM
Subject: FW: Passing of Dr. John Hope Franklin

Good Afternoon,
It is with great sadness that I inform you of the passing of Dr. John Hope Franklin. Dr. Franklin passed this morning. There will be no funeral and plans are being made for a service in the summer. I will pass on more information as it becomes available. Please keep John W., Karen and the entire Franklin family in your prayers.

Sylvia Y. Cyrus
Executive Director
ASALH-The Founders of Black History Month CB Powell Building

Adrena Ifill (202) 277-6721
DoubleBack Productions / www.doublebackproductions.com
CBCF Avoice Project Director / www.avoiceonline.org
Alt Email: doublebackprod@aol.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 7:04 AM
To: H
Subject: FW:

B6

we still need to decide what to do for them

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:cmills
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2009 6:56 PM
To: jrkardon cheryl.mills
Subject: Re:

Hey dear

Let me check on what she can/will do.

Nice hearing from you!

Cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: Josh Kardon <jrkardon(
To: Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills
Sent: Thu Mar 19 17:20:15 2009
Subject:

Hi Cheryl,

Josh Kardon here - we "met" via phone during the ill-fated Oregon campaign. In my real life I'm Senator Wyden's Chief of Staff, and I am attempting to help get some justice through the Senate Intelligence Committee. so I thought I would check in. In a nutshell, Ron is prepared to approach Intel Chair Feinstein and others to try to make but this will be a heavy lift . . . and that's just with the Democrats.

If this is something the Secretary wants to help with, and you need more info, let me know.

Let me know if we can be of assistance on other matters, as well,

Josh

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 11:04 AM
To: H
Subject:

B6

Will do

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 11:04 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Fw:

From: Diane Reynolds
Date: Thu, 26 Mar 2009 10:34:29 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject:

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 11:25 AM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Mexico

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Villarreal, Jose [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 10:30 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Mexico

Hrc's message so far has been brilliant. She has shaken up the status quo with her blunt comments on drugs. She is building valuable capital with Mexicans. In a future visit she must challenge Mexicans, especially students, to help strenghthen their instititutions. She can commit to stand with them as they do so by lending a helpful hand.....

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, March 28, 2009 12:17 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: A little positive reinforcement to pass on to the S

See below

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Mar 28 09:56:08 2009
Subject: A little positive reinforcement to pass on to the S
Pamela was at the dinner.

From: Pamela Starr [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 3:33 PM
To: Gonzalez, Francisco J
Subject: Congratulations!

Francisco,
Just wanted to congratulate you and the entire team on a striking success. The Secretary's visit was jaw-dropping from the Mexican perspective. I have never seen such a positive reaction to a visit by a high ranking US government official. My personal favorite: Estamos hillarizados por estos días.
There is obviously also a "show me the money" aspect of the reaction, but even Mexico's newspaper of the traditionally anti-American left editorialized about "Good Clinton, Bad Napolitano".
And inside President Calderon's circle they are thrilled with Wednesday's meeting.
Many congratulations.
OK, I will stop bothering you with my emails and allow you to get back to doing your job.
warm regards,
Pamela

Pamela K. Starr, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Public Diplomacy and International Relations
Senior Fellow, Center on Public Diplomacy
University of Southern California
3518 Trousdale Parkway
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0043

tel: 213-740-4122
fax: 213-742-0281
email: [redacted]

>>> "Gonzalez, Francisco J" <GonzalezFJ@state.gov> 3/23/2009 8:45 AM >>>
Pamela,

Many thanks for this. Your insights and suggestions are very helpful. While the Mexico left me with the impression that we're aiming in the right direction (Leslie Bassett, Robert Jacobson and Tom Shannon are excellent), we could

never do too much to get the relationship to work better for both countries. Regarding the suggestion on the dinner with women politicians, a similar event with Mexican women during the visit is already in the works.

Again, many thanks for this and lets stay in touch.

Francisco Javier Gonzalez
S/P
(202) 647-2236

From: Pamela Starr [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 2:38 PM
To: Gonzalez, Francisco J
Subject: Follow-up on March 12 Dinner on Mexico

Dear Francisco,

I am sorry we did not have the chance to chat last week prior to the dinner, although I did see you at the end of the table so now at least have a face in my head to go with your name.
I am writing you in the "follow-up" spirit that Sec. Clinton presented at the end of the dinner. I thought that a few insights gleaned from having been in Mexico all this week might be of use to the secretary, although after my breakfast with Leslie Bassett on Wednesday I am more convinced than ever that the Secretary is receiving high quality information about Mexico from her embassy here. So there may not be that much for me to add, nevertheless...

I had breakfast Thursday with President Calderon's main foreign policy adviser, Rafael Fernandez de Castro, a very old and good friend. He made two points I think are worth relaying to you and Anne-Marie:
1) As I mentioned at dinner, Mexico is impatient and President Calderon frustrated (more so now after the trucking spat). To the extent that secretary Clinton can bring something tangible -- a sort of down payment on the kind of relationship we would like to build with Mexico -- it would help enormously. In that context, Rafael reminded me that President Calderon would very much like to be remembered as Mexico's first "Green President" (and is in something of a competition with the Mayor of mexico City on this score).
2) rafael also related a very useful conceptuilization of President Calderon the man that I think will be of use to you: he is a social conservative, Mexican nationalist (which by definition carries with it some anti-US sentiments) but also harbors profound liberal values -- he is a true democrat (not all Mexican politicians are) and a strong supporter of free trade and of a market economy that is largely free but regulated where required (which by definition carries some sympathy for US values and Democratic party values). it is this dichotomy that defines him as a person and makes him intelligible as a politician.

I also met with two female Senators who suggested to me the possibility of arranging a meeting between Sec. Clinton and Mexico's female governors (2) and senators (about 20). In an obviously male-dominated society, they have been working hard to promotewomen's issues and they think that the symbolism of a meeting with the secretary would help their cause enormously. I agreed to pass the suggestion along in part becasue I am impressed by how these women -- from political parties that otherwise find it very hard to collaborate -- are cooperating across party lines to promote women's issues. this kind of cross-party cooperation is much less common than it ought to be in Mexico's still formative democracy. And it is obviously in the US interest to encourage this kind of behavior and thereby help solidify democratic practices in Mexico. I know it is very late in your trip-planning to make this suggestion, but if there is not time for such a meeting this trip, maybe for the next one.

I hope these musings were of some use.

Warm regards,
Pamela

Pamela K. Starr, PhD
Senior Lecturer, International Relations and Public Diplomacy
Senior Fellow, Center on Public Diplomacy
University of Southern California

3518 Trousdale Parkway
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0043
tel: 213-740-4122
fax: 213-742-0281
email: [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 11:51 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: PD and working document on responsibilities

She called me.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 8:04 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: PD and working document on responsibilities

Have you heard back from Ellen?

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Wed, 1 Apr 2009 07:57:44 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: FW: PD and working document on responsibilities
Huma – can you print for HRC?

Thanks.

cdm

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 7:57 AM
To: 'eotauscher[redacted] B6
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: PD and working document on responsibilities

Ellen:

Attached are revised position descriptions and original taskings list for Bob Einhorn.

He and I discussed these yesterday.

Please review and after you have had a chance to do so, please give me a call.

Best.

cdm

From: Einhorn, Robert J
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 6:07 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: PD and working document on responsibilities

Cheryl,

Attached are the two papers, cleaned up. Thanks.

Bob

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: pverveer [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 7:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: Fwd: Thank you for meeting
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: PVerveer [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 7:57 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Thank you for meeting

I'll be there if the Republicans don't try to stop me!

In a message dated 3/29/2009 7:47:13 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, hdr22@clintonemail.com writes:

Thanks, Melanne, and congrats on your hearing. I hope you're at work when I get back next week! I'll see what I can do to focus on Zohra's concerns. Best, H

From: PVerveer [redacted] B6
Date: Sun, 29 Mar 2009 17:19:22 EDT
To: <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Subject: Fwd: Thank you for meeting
Dear Hillary,

I met with Zohra Rasekh on Friday. You may remember her. She'd met with you during your trips to Kabul as Senator. She is an Afghan-American who has been working in Afghanistan over the last six years and is currently the country's Director of Human Rights. She knows the leaders very well --- and I might add, verifies what is known about the rampant corruption, etc.
Since you are going to the Hague and since there will be no women from Afghanistan, I asked Zohra to give me her assessment. Her comments reinforce what I heard from several other Afghan women leaders who were in DC over the last week. I hope this is useful.

Senate willing, hopefully I'll be able to get to work soon.
Safe travels,
Melanne

From: ZRasekh
To: PVerveer
Sent: 3/29/2009 1:23:04 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time
Subj: Thank you for meeting

Dear Ms. Verveer,

It was great to see you last Friday, I thank you for meeting with me in this busy time. Once again, I congrtulate you on your appointment in State Dept. I was thrilled to learn that someone with your expertise and commitment will be in charge of this challenging job. The Secretary of State has made a great choice and I know with your leadership, the women issues around the world, in particular in Afghanistan, will be addressed and attended loudly and more effectively by the new administration.

As I mentioned I have drafted a policy brief paper which I would have liked to share with you. [redacted] I wasn't able to finalize it as planned before my depature. Attached is a few urgent issues about women that could be raised in the Haag conference. It is for your information to raise with Secretary Clinton. I will send you a final version once I get to Kabul. I am leaving today for Kabul, but will be in touch with you through email.

Many thanks,

Zohra

Great Deals on Dell 15" Laptops - Starting at $479

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 12:01 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: All Nominees Made It Out Of The SFRC

fyi

From: Polt, Michael C
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 2:46 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: 'Verma, Richard'; Sullivan, Jacob J; Shapiro, Andrew J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Subject: All Nominees Made It Out Of The SFRC

Cheryl and colleagues:

All our 7 nominees made it through the business meeting. Next stop: floor action.

Mike

AMBASSADOR MICHAEL C. POLT

Acting Assistant Secretary

Bureau of Legislative Affairs

Department of State

Phone: 202-647-4204

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 12:11 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: For your review: Scott Gration Announcement

FYI below

From: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 12:03 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Re: For your review: Scott Gration Announcement

B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Wed Apr 01 11:56:12 2009
Subject: FW: For your review: Scott Gration Announcement

Jim/Bill:

See below.

Cdm

B5

From: Fogarty, Daniel J
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:11 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Reines, Philippe I (PACE)
Cc: Macmanus, Joseph E; Toiv, Nora F; McLean, Lori A; S_SpecialAssistants
Subject: For your review: Scott Gration Announcement

Colleagues:

M staff and AF would like approval from our office to release the attached announcement of the U.S. Special Envoy for Sudan. It would be distributed by e-mail in an eDepartment Notice.

Thanks,

Dan

<<Sudan Special Envoy Depart Notice from EX.doc>>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:45 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Kerry, Lugar Amendment PASSES To Restore Fill Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

FYI

From: Greene, Richard L
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:32 PM
To: Lew, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Kerry, Lugar Amendment PASSES To Restore Fill Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

Guys -- We done good today. We appreciate all of the 7th floor support and calls – clearly made the difference. On to the next battle

---

From: Hall, Lydia S
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:18 PM
To: Hall, Lydia S; Greene, Richard L; Shah, Khushali P; Hoyle, Peggy A; Kennedy, Patrick F; Retzlaff, Barbara A; Dietz, Steve; Jacobs, Christine M; Campbell, Piper A
Subject: RE: Kerry, Lugar Amendment To Restore Fill Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

Kerry-Lugar amendment passed by voice vote!

From: Hall, Lydia S
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 2:22 PM
To: Greene, Richard L; Shah, Khushali P; Hoyle, Peggy A; Kennedy, Patrick F; Retzlaff, Barbara A; Dietz, Steve; Jacobs, Christine M; Campbell, Piper A
Subject: FW: Kerry, Lugar Amendment To Restore Fill Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

Here is Kerry's floor statement when he introduced the amendment on the floor this morning. Below the statement, I've copied Conrad's response.

From: Bowden, Tomeika (Foreign Relations)
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 1:46 PM
Subject: Kerry, Lugar Amendment To Restore Fill Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations

WASHINGTON, DC

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
April 1, 2009

Contact: Frederick Jones, Communications Director, 202-224-4651

Kerry, Lugar Amendment To Restore Full Funding To President Obama's International Affairs Budget

Washington, DC – Senate Foreign Relations Committee Chairman John Kerry, on the Senate floor today introduced an amendment to restore four billion dollars in cuts to the President's international affairs budget.

The senator's full remarks as prepared are below:

If the first years of the twenty-first century have taught us anything about national security, it is that in a globalized world, our problems are interconnected, and so—ultimately—is our security. We are endangered by weak and failed states as well as by strong states. We are endangered by diseases and climate change emissions half a world away. We are endangered when we allow chaos and crisis to create the conditions for ideologies of radical hatred and violence to take root. And it is clear to all that meeting these global challenges will require far more than our military: it will require a strengthened commitment to diplomacy and development.

To put this as simply and bluntly as possible, that's why passing a robust foreign affairs budget is a matter not just of America's world leadership, but also of our national security. But don't take my word for it:

Ask our Secretary of Defense, Bob Gates. He said: "What is clear to me is that there is a need for a dramatic increase in spending on the civilian instruments of national security – diplomacy, strategic communications, foreign assistance, civic action, and economic reconstruction and development."

Ask our Secretary of State and former colleague, Hillary Clinton, who testified in her confirmation hearing that "the relatively small but important amount of money we do spend on foreign aid is in the best interests of the American people" and "promotes our national security and advances our interests and reflects our values."

When our soldiers and generals join our top diplomats in demanding increased civilian capacity and increased civilian funding—even in the midst of an economic crisis— that's when you know there is not just a growing consensus, but a real sense of urgency behind strengthening our civilian mission.

Returning diplomacy and development to their rightful place cannot be achieved through words alone: It takes money to drive civilian foreign policy—and if it keeps us safer, as I believe it will, then that is money well spent.

Full funding of the President's international affairs budget is a vital step toward greater civilian capacity, and I urge my colleagues to support it. That's why, along with Senators Lugar, Leahy, Voinovich, Durbin, Kaufman, Menendez, Dodd, Feinstein, Brown, Sanders, Lieberman, Casey, and Corker, I ask for approval of this amendment to restore $4 billion worth of funding to the President's FY 2010 international affairs budget request for the Function 150 Account. The offset here will come from the Function 920 Account.

The reality is that right now, we are not doing nearly enough to invest in diplomacy and development. That's the finding of numerous studies conducted inside and outside of government. Funding for the Department of Defense is over half a trillion dollars. In 2008, the Army added about 7,000 soldiers to its total; that's more people than serve in the entire American Foreign Service. 1,100 Foreign Service officers could be hired for the cost of a single C-17 military cargo plane. And four billion dollars is less than two percent of what the government has given to AIG.

That is vital context for any discussion of the President's proposed increase in the international affairs budget. The President requested $53.8 billion in FY 2010 to fund next year's budget—an increase of 8%, or $4 billion, over last year's funding level of $49.8 billion.

We must recognize just what a small share of our overall spending the President's international affairs budget comprises: 1.4% of the total FY 2010 Budget and only 6.8% of the "national security budget," which includes defense and homeland security. Even with this year's proposed increase in funding, the international affairs budget still represents just 0.35% of GDP. This sliver of our budget funds all State Department operations, foreign aid and foreign policy programs, our diplomatic programs, global health initiatives on HIV/AIDS, Malaria and Tuberculosis programs, and humanitarian assistance to help stabilize fragile states, reduce global poverty and assist refugees.

Some have described the President's request as a 41% increase from last year's budget of $38 billion, but that simply isn't accurate: The figure of $38 billion doesn't include last year's supplemental appropriations, which raise the total to nearly $50 billion. The President's FY 2010 budget includes supplemental appropriations. That's why the actual increase is only $4 billion, or 8%. When we talk about changes in spending, we have to compare apples to apples.

What's more, the President should be commended for following through on his pledge to enhance transparency and improve fiscal discipline by shifting supplemental appropriations into a single budget. This is a more straightforward way of doing business that doesn't seek to hide or massage spending figures by tucking extra spending into supplemental bills. That is why my colleague Senator Conrad, the Chairman of the Budget Committee, has been vocal in praising this new approach.

But the real question we are facing today is what will this extra $4 billion get us? This $4 billion will bring vital foreign aid increases to programs in Pakistan and Afghanistan. This $4 billion will build civilian capacity and put our diplomats back on the front lines of American foreign policy. This $4 billion will provide life saving treatment for people with HIV/AIDS and supports broad prevention efforts that save lives every day. This $4 billion will help make people all over the world safer, and in the process, it will help keep America safer.
Of course, some will claim that in the midst of a global economic crisis, we do not have the luxury of leading on the global stage. But this is precisely the moment when our investment is most needed—and it is also a moment when our leadership and our economic system face the greatest challenge. And ultimately, efforts in the developing world win us influence and respect, and they also contribute to the growth and stability of future consumers of our products and future trading partners.

There is scarcely a corner of the globe where our efforts are not impacted by this budget:

In Afghanistan and Pakistan, we must finally reverse years of neglect and drift.

Imagine a nation as populous as Iraq, Afghanistan and North Korea—combined. A nation with a full arsenal of nuclear weapons, and ballistic missiles capable of delivering them anywhere in a thousand- kilometer range. Imagine a nation whose population is overwhelmingly moderate, overwhelmingly committed to democracy and rule of law—but deeply suspicious of its leadership, and of America's friendship. Imagine a nation in which Osama bin Laden and the leadership of Al Qaeda has found sanctuary for the past seven years—a haven from which they and their confederates have plotted and carried out attacks on their host country, on neighboring countries, and on sites around the globe.

This nation could serve the keystone for a new, cooperative relationship between the Western and Muslim worlds—or become an epicenter for radicalism and violence on a cataclysmic scale. Now imagine that

America took a long, hard look at the status quo of our policy towards a nation at just such a crossroads—and decided to do nothing different.

We need a bold, new strategy for Pakistan: Our current path has not brought success, and simply tinkering around the margins is guaranteed to fail. We have little choice but to think big. That is why President Obama called on Congress to pass the Enhanced Partnership With Pakistan Act that Sen. Lugar and I will introduce very soon: It will authorize $1.5 billion annually, in order to help shape a new relationship with Pakistan. Without the full authorization of the President's budget, even a priority as vital as this one could be shunted aside.

We are not the only ones vying for influence. You know who else understands clearly how powerful a weapon foreign aid can be? Iran does. That's why after its proxy Hezbollah provoked Israel's bombing of Lebanon, they painted the green Hezbollah flag on houses all over Lebanon—houses they plan to rebuild, largely with Iranian money. If we don't spend money on aid, we are surrendering the field to those who do.

Nor can we afford to abandon our efforts against global AIDS. We know that PEPFAR has won America friends and allies across Africa and the world we know that AIDS contributes to failed states, not to mention incalculable human misery amongst the sick and dying and the orphans they leave behind—and that is why our national interest and our moral interest demand that we deliver on our commitments at a moment of crisis. Last year, both parties came together to authorize up to $48 billion over five years. Today, it is imperative that we do all we can to fund these programs. If we don't, we will freeze enrollment of patients into life-saving antiretroviral treatment. Meanwhile, prevention efforts –the most cost-effective way to stop AIDS from ravaging a society—will be the first ones cut. And tragically, we know what will happen next: more people will contract HIV, and more will die from AIDS-related illnesses.

Refugees and human rights advocates also need our help. Economic support funds are vital to support those living inside countries with repressive regimes, like Burma and Zimbabwe. We know that refugees and displaced populations can be the spark for large-scale violence, and today we face that very threat from the millions displaced from homes in Iraq. Our Migration and Refugee Assistance is more important than ever to help us provide immediate, effective assistance to these vulnerable populations.

Our international affairs budget will also have profound implications for another national security issue that will only grow in importance: the threat of global climate change. This December, the global community will be meeting in Copenhagen to create a new international agreement to address the urgent threat of global climate change. The science is screaming at us: we have no time to lose if we hope to avert catastrophe. If we want to persuade the developing nations of the world to do what is necessary and in all of our interests— we must help them to respond now to the impacts of the crisis that are already being felt globally—and to preemptively make the dramatic technological changes across their economies that this threat demands.

Our leverage at the negotiating table in Copenhagen will depend directly on our ability to offer a strong financing package. Negotiators are meeting for an interim session this week in Bonn, and it is essential that we send a clear signal that the United States Congress is serious about financing to support the commitments the Obama Administration makes on the world stage—and serious about being part of the global solution to one of the greatest threats we face.

We also need more diplomats and aid officers to troubleshoot on the front lines. The 2010 budget also marks the first year of a new commitment to a significant increase in the size of the Foreign Service at both the State Department and USAID. Today we are barely covering attrition rates. We have asked these agencies to expand their missions and operations into new theaters like Iraq and Afghanistan—and we need to expand their resources accordingly.

Even as we face long-term security threats such as climate change, we must also address the immediate and urgent threats of nuclear proliferation and nuclear terrorism. The President's budget provides additional nonproliferation and counter-proliferation funding to secure nuclear materials around the world, and to fund new and ongoing initiatives to build the counterterrorism capacity of partnering countries to do the same. That's how you leverage our civilian spending to keep America safe.

Surveying the wide range of commitments and aspirations this budget addresses, it is clear that our challenge is immense. And yet, even as we confront a crisis here at home, we cannot afford to delay the task of restoring our leadership, returning to our best traditions of civilian outreach, and restoring our influence and authority. We cannot afford to come up short on our promises to allies, to vulnerable populations, and to the world. We cannot try to be a world leader on the cheap. If we fail to do our part to solve the world's problems, those problems will eventually find us here at home.

From pandemics to climate change to failed states, this century's security challenges demand that a new level of commitment to diplomacy and development. With this relatively small investment, we are making significant strides toward restoring America's leadership role in the world. It will make the world safer, and it will make us safer. And so I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting the President's budget request.

###

THE PRESIDING OFFICER: WHO YIELDS TIME IN OPPOSITION TO THE AMENDMENT?
THE SENATOR FROM NORTH DAKOTA.

MR. CONRAD: MR. PRESIDENT, WHEN THE SENATOR APPROACHED ME ABOUT THIS YESTERDAY, I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS AMENDMENT. AND I TOLD HIM THAT BECAUSE THIS HAS BEEN HARD TO PUT TOGETHER, AND WE'VE TRIED TO HAVE AN EQUAL SHARING OF SACRIFICE BETWEEN ALL OF THE SPENDING ELEMENTS OF A BUDGET. WE'VE TRIED TO DO IT WITH RESPECT TO DOMESTIC SPENDING, DEFENSE SPENDING. WE'VE TRIED TO DO IT WITH MANDATORY SPENDING. AND INTERNATIONAL IS A COMPONENT OF THE DISCRETIONARY SIDE OF THE BUDGET, SO WE THOUGHT IT WAS ONLY FAIR THAT THEY BE ASKED TO MAKE A CONTRIBUTION. NOW, WHEN I TOLD THE SENATOR YESTERDAY THAT I WOULD STRONGLY RESIST THIS AMENDMENT, I DID NOT KNOW, I WAS NOT AWARE THAT HE HAD AN OFFSET FOR THAT AM AMENDMENT, AND THAT DOES ALTER THE SITUATION. THAT MAKES IT MORE PALATABLE BECAUSE WE MAINTAIN THE SAME BOTTOM LINE. BUT IT DOES CONCERN ME THAT WE ARE UPSETTING THE BALANCE OF WHAT I THINK IS A FAIR DISTRIBUTION OF THE PAIN OF THE CUTBACKS THAT WE HAVE HAD TO MAKE. AND I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT THAT. I AM CONCERNED THAT, YOU KNOW, OTHER PARTS OF THE BUDGET ARE BEING ASKED TO TAKE REDUCTIONS FROM THE PRESIDENT'S REQUEST AND NOW INTERNATIONAL WILL NOT. SO I JUST WANT TO SAY, I FIND THAT TROUBLING. I UNDERSTAND ABSOLUTELY THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ARGUMENT THAT THE SENATOR IS MAKING, AND HE IS RIGHT TO MAKE IT. HE IS CHAIRMAN OF THE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE, BUT I DO HOPE THAT COLLEAGUES THINK CAREFULLY ABOUT KIND OF THE EQUITY OF THE BURDEN HERE. THE EQUITY OF THE BURDEN. THE SECOND THING I WANT TO SAY WITH RESPECT TO THIS AMENDMENT IS IT USES A 920 OFFSET. AND WE CAME OUT OF THE COMMITTEE WITH ABOUT $7 BILLION IN SAVINGS IN 920. THAT'S GENERAL OVERHEAD OF ALL OF THE AGENCIES. IN OTHER WORDS, ITS A ACROSS THE BOARD -- IT'S ACROSS THE BOARD, GOES TO THEIR OVERHEAD ACCOUNTS AND COULD WE TAKE

SOMEWHAT MORE IN 920?
YES, BUT NOT MUCH MORE. BUT NOT MUCH MORE. WE CAME OUT OF THE COMMITTEE AT $7 BILLION. I'VE ALWAYS TRIED TO STAY AT ABOUT $10 BILLION IN 920. THIS WOULD TAKE US TO $11 BILLION. AND SO I'M TROUBLED BY THAT AS WELL. WITH THAT SAID, I DON'T -- I DON'T INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS AMENDMENT, BUT I DO FIND IT TROUBLING ON THOSE TWO GROUNDS. ONE, IT DOES AFFECT THE FAIRNESS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PAIN, IF YOU WILL, OF THE CUTBACKS WE'VE HAD TO MAKE. AND, NUMBER TWO, IT ADDS TO THE SECTION 920 OFFSETS IN A WAY THAT, TO ME, TAKES IT A LITTLE PAST THE REALM OF WHAT'S REASONABLE. BUT WITH THAT SAID, I DON'T INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS AMENDMENT AND ASK COLLEAGUES TO VOTE AGAINST IT. I YIELD THE FLOOR.

RELEASE IN FULL

DAVID L. GOLDWYN

David L. Goldwyn is President of Goldwyn International Strategies LLC, an international energy consulting firm. Through GIS, Goldwyn has advised the World Bank on power sector reform, assisted the US Department of State and the warring parties in the Sudanese civil war on wealth sharing options, advised the Federal Government of Nigeria on its EITI implementation program and advised a host of Fortune 100 companies on political risk, economic sanctions, and corporate social responsibility issues. He is a Senior Fellow in the Energy Program at the Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) and serves on the Council of Foreign Relations (CFR) Task Force on Energy Security and CFR Center for Preventive Action Task Forces on Angola, Venezuela and Bolivia.

Goldwyn served as Assistant Secretary of Energy for International Affairs, Counselor to the Secretary of Energy, and national security deputy to Ambassador Bill Richardson, U.S. Permanent Representative to the United Nations under President Bill Clinton. Goldwyn served in the Office of the Under Secretary for Political Affairs at the State Department under Presidents George H.W. Bush and Clinton, acting as Chief of Staff from 1993-1997. Goldwyn first served in the government as an Attorney-Adviser in the Office of the Legal Adviser at the State Department from 1991 to 1992.

Goldwyn has taught a graduate seminar on the Geopolitics of Energy at Columbia and Georgetown Universities, been a frequent commentator on NPR, CNN, the BBC, and in energy trade newspapers. He has addressed the Conference Board, the Georgetown Leadership Seminar, the University of California (Berkeley) School of Journalism and executive meetings of Statoil, ConocoPhillips, and ExxonMobil on US energy policy.

Mr. Goldwyn acquired extensive international business experience as an attorney with the New York law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton and Garrison from 1986 to 1991. He has been affiliated with the Ford Foundation and the Brookings Institution. He is a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations, the District of Columbia Bar, and the New York State Bar Association.

Goldwyn is the author of numerous publications on transparency in the oil sector and on US Strategic Reserve policy .

Goldwyn is the Chairman of the Board of Global Giving, a foundation dubbed "the e-bay of international development", dedicated to using the internet to match donors with projects in the developing world. [Goldwyn International Strategies]

Education: Mr. Goldwyn received a Bachelors of Arts degree from Georgetown University. He received a Masters in Public Affairs degree from the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs at Princeton University and a law degree from New York University School of Law.

Publications: David Goldwyn and Jan Kalicki co-authored the article "RX for 'Oil Addiction,'" in the Spring 2006 issue of *Energy pp.9-12*

In April 2006, David Goldwyn and Edward Morse published "The Petrol Factor," *Aspenia n. 29-30, 2006*

On January 9th 2006 David L. Goldwyn and Jan Kalick's published an Op-Ed entitled 'Partnership is the Energy Security Key' in the Financial Times

In 2005 David L. Goldwyn and Jan Kalicki published the book , Energy and Security: Towards A New Foreign Policy Strategy, (Wilson Center Press/Johns Hopkins University Press (2005)

In 2005 David Goldwyn was a contributor to Latin America Energy Adviser

David Goldwyn published "A Strategic Approach to Governance and Security in the Gulf of Guinea: A Report of the CSIS Task Force on Gulf of Guinea Security" in July of 2005 (CSIS)

Goldwyn published an article, entitled *"Extracting Transparency"* in the Winter 2003-2004 issue of the Georgetown Journal of International Affairs.

David Goldwyn published "Crafting a US Energy Policy for Africa" in Rising US Stakes in Africa: a Report of the Africa Policy Advisory Panel (CSIS: May 2004).

David Goldwyn published an article "Forecast for Energy Markets: More Volatility Ahead" in the Conference Board's The Publisher's Roundtable.

In February 2004, Witney Schneidman published a book with University Press of America entitled *"Engaging Africa: Washington and the Fall of Portugal's Colonial Empire."*

In September 2004, Goldwyn addressed Bolivia's new hydrocarbons law in the Inter-American Dialogue's Latin America Adviser.

David Goldwyn published an article "Forecast for Energy Markets: More Volatility Ahead" in the Conference Board's The Publisher's Roundtable.

David Goldwyn published "DOE Diplomacy" in The Department of Energy: 25 Years of Service (McGraw Hill 2002)

David Goldwyn published a policy brief on "The United States, Europe, and Russia: Toward a Global Energy Security Policy" , Policy Brief: East West Institute, August 2002, Volume 1:5.

2008 Political Giving History: $1,000 to Hillary Clinton for President on 11/8/07, $1,000 to Obama for America on 4/30/07, $1,000 to Obama for America on 6/16/08 ($2,000 was refunded by Obama for America on 7/31/08], $1,000 to Bill Richardson for President on 2/8/07,

$1,000 to Bill Richardson for President on 4/30/07, $300 to Bill Richardson for President on 9/26/07.

Lobbyist: In 2008 he registered as a lobbyist. It is unclear which government agency he lobbied, but his client was the US-Libya Business Association. He lobbied on issues related to the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: cheryl.mills B6
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 12:21 PM
To: H; Jake Sullivan
Subject: Re: Mexico

B5 -----Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Jake Sullivan
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Hrc New
ReplyTo: Hrc New
Sent: Mar 26, 2009 12:18 PM
Subject: Re: Mexico

-----Original Message-----
From: "Sullivan, Jacob J" <SullivanJJ@state.gov>

Date: Thu, 26 Mar 2009 11:33:41
To: <cheryl.mills <hdr22@clintonemail.com> B6
Subject: Re: Mexico

If this is an important message we can highlight in her speech today. I'll consult here, Cheryl, if you can follow up with Jose.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Mar 26 11:25:02 2009
Subject: FW: Mexico

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Villarreal, Jose B6
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 10:30 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Mexico

Hrc's message so far has been brilliant. She has shaken up the status quo with her blunt comments on drugs. She is building valuable capital with Mexicans. In a future visit she must challenge Mexicans, especially students, to help strenghthen their instititutions. She can commit to stand with them as they do so by lending a helpful hand.....

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Neera Tanden
Sent: Saturday, March 28, 2009 12:37 AM
To: H
Subject: Re:

B6

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Neera Tanden
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 9:02:04 PM
Subject:

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 3:13 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Will do

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sun Mar 29 14:11:59 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Pls come by the house tomorrow btw 7:30-8:00 to pick up the work I've done. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

Talking points for HRC's call to Greg Craig recommending Goodwin Liu for a nomination to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

- ***Goodwin is a widely respected, first-rate legal scholar.*** I know this first-hand because I co-authored a law review article with him in 2003 critiquing the Supreme Court's federalism decisions that cut back on Congress's power to enforce civil rights. Goodwin has published major articles on constitutional law, civil rights, and educational equity in top law journals. He earned tenure in his fifth year at UC Berkeley and was immediately promoted to Associate Dean. His 2006 article in the *Yale Law Journal*, "Education, Equality, and National Citizenship," won a prestigious national award for outstanding scholarship. This year, he won UC Berkeley's highest award for excellence in teaching. He went to college at Stanford, attended Oxford on a Rhodes Scholarship, and graduated from Yale Law School.

- ***Goodwin is a recognized leader in the progressive legal community.*** Goodwin was recently elected Chair of the Board of the American Constitution Society, a testament to his broad appeal and intellectual leadership. In 2006, he testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee against the confirmation of Samuel Alito to the Supreme Court, highlighting Judge Alito's record of upholding government power against claims of individual liberty or privacy. Goodwin serves on several other nonprofit boards, including the National Women's Law Center, and he is regularly consulted by lawyers, policymakers, and journalists who seek guidance on civil rights law.

- ***Goodwin has a rare combination of scholarly credentials and practical experience in law and public policy.*** He clerked for Judge Tatel on the D.C. Circuit and Justice Ginsburg on the Supreme Court. He worked for two years in the litigation practice of O'Melveny & Myers in Washington, D.C. He served twice in the Clinton Administration—first at the Corporation for National Service helping to launch AmeriCorps, and later at the U.S. Department of Education developing civil rights and K-12 policy. As a scholar, he filed a Supreme Court amicus brief in 2006 supporting voluntary school desegregation. In 2008, he wrote a widely discussed policy brief proposing a more equitable system of school finance in California.

- ***Goodwin has the temperament, intellect, and experience to be an outstanding judge.*** His reputation for collegiality, judgment, and fairness is amply demonstrated by his appointments as Chair of the American Constitution Society, as Associate Dean of his law school, and as a member of the Stanford University Board of Trustees.

- ***There are currently no active Asian American judges on any federal court of appeals in the country.*** Goodwin is a first-generation American. He is the son of Taiwanese immigrants who came to this country with their suitcases and their educations. They were fortunate to have professional opportunities, and they taught themselves to be fluent in English. Goodwin grew up in Sacramento and attended public schools. He is the first in his family to become a lawyer.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 5:19 AM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Follow up
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN FULL

Memorandum
To: Department of State
From: Long Term Strategy Group
Re: Consulting agreement

Issue: The Long Term Strategy Group would undertake by means of a consulting agreement to explore major shifts in American strategy for interacting with the major powers of Asia. This would involve simulations of alternative interactions among Asian powers, and the impact of alternative American strategies on the outcome of those interactions. Because these explorations involve speculative contingencies they are most appropriately conducted through non-governmental institutions, though they can ultimately be used to inform debate within the American government.

Background: The strategic landscape of Asia has clearly changed substantially over the last few decades, and may shift suddenly in ways that are currently under-explored. The growth of Chinese power is altering the Asian balance of power; the government of India is exploring new defense alignments; and Japanese officials are openly discussing subjects that were previously off limits, up to and including a nuclear weapons option for Japan. The current economic crisis will very likely lead to higher levels of political instability within major Asian countries, including China, which may, in turn lead to discontinuities in their external policies, creating a need to explore a range of possible new political configurations within the region, and possible new modes of American interaction with traditional allies, new friends, and potential rivals. The explicit examination of these new configurations and modes of interaction calls for combinations of activities (e.g., conduct of simulations of long-range regional interactions, some of which entail non-incremental shifts in American foreign policy) and consideration of scenarios that are inevitably politically sensitive that are most appropriately conducted outside the American government.

The role of non-government groups working with the American government to explore speculative contingencies and potentially controversial departures from current policy is well established. The Center for New American Strategy has been exploring strategic options in Afghanistan. The Brookings Institution and Center for Strategic and International Studies have regularly worked on plans to restructure agencies and departments within the American government that are not as well positioned to conduct that sort of planning themselves.

The Long Term Strategy Group has specialized in the analysis of modes of strategic decision-making and behavior that differ from those anticipated by the United States. It has focused on China, Iran, India, Pakistan, and Japan, and has presented its work to the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Intelligence Council, NSC staff members, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense, and participated in and organized multiple simulations for the American government to explore the nature and outcome of complex interactions among states that do not behave in ways commonly anticipated by the United States. As a private, for-profit organization, it can conduct work that remains the exclusive, not-for-publication property of the contracting party (i.e., the government).

The initial issues to be explored by LTSG would include:

--The impact of a potential severe and prolonged economic contraction on the foreign policies of China, Japan, and Russia; the resulting shifts in alliances and relationships of conflict within the region; and the alternative strategies for interacting with Asia that might be considered by the United States.

--The impact of a potential end of effective central government in Pakistan that leaves a Punjab state co-existing with a Baluch/Sindh state and an Afghanistan that expands to control the border regions now part of Pakistan.

--The impact of the acquisition of nuclear weapons by Iran on intra-Asian relations.

In each case, the deliverables would constitute one monograph, the conduct of one simulation exercise involving non-government participants (and government observers/participants if desired), and one workshop report.

Action: To approve the general plan of work set forth above, and to initiate administrative measures to complete the work within 15 months of initial approval.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 7:13 PM
To: H; HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Block calendar

Yes I am working with the computer folks to find the best way -- will have a new version to show you soon.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin < [redacted] > B6
Sent: Sat Mar 21 18:18:38 2009
Subject: Block calendar

Is there a way to get all our info on a form that goes up and down instead of from side to side? If it's possible, I'd like it, but if not, no need to worry. Pls let me know.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 10:00 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Mexico tuna/dolphin case

We have just received an action memo seeking a timely decision on State's position on a trade dispute with Mexico. EEB and WHA have competing recommendations.

First, some background. Mexico requested a WTO panel to resolve its tuna/dolphin dispute with the United States U.S. measures prohibit Mexican tuna from being labeled "dolphin safe" even though the tuna is harvested in accordance with the Agreement on the International Dolphin Conservation Program. However, dolphin population recovery has not occurred as rapidly as expected under that Program. Despite the measures, Mexico exported about $18 million worth of tuna to the United States in 2007.

B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 11:34 AM
To: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Mexico

If this is an important message we can highlight in her speech today. I'll consult here, Cheryl, if you can follow up with Jose.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills[redacted]
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Mar 26 11:25:02 2009
Subject: FW: Mexico

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Villarreal, Jose[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 10:30 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Mexico

Hrc's message so far has been brilliant. She has shaken up the status quo with her blunt comments on drugs. She is building valuable capital with Mexicans. In a future visit she must challenge Mexicans, especially students, to help strenghthen their instititutions. She can commit to stand with them as they do so by lending a helpful hand.....

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 6:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Visit media coverage

See below

----- Original Message -----
From: Cue, Lourdes C
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Mar 26 18:45:35 2009
Subject: Visit media coverage

Jorge Ramos led Univision's evening broadcast by saying that SecState HRC's visit had pleasantly surprised the Mexican people. The ensuing piece, by the network's correspondent in Mex city, went on to cover the highlights of the visit, with an emphasis on her admission of the US role in the drug problem. It was noted that she went down to take responsibility not apportion blame or criticize Mexico. The basillica stop also got excellent coverage as a rightful tribute to a central figure in Mex culture and heritage.
Ditto CNN en Español and the commentariat there.
Major kudos to the trip planners and implementers!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 6:02 AM
To: H
Cc: 'Abedin, Huma'; 'Coleman, Claire L'
Subject: Talking Points for Call with Sen Feinstein and Greg Craig
Attachments: HRC talking points on Goodwin - Craig.doc; HRC talking points on Goodwin - Feinstein.doc

Attached are two sets of talking points I will have Claire print for you today for two call on behalf of Goodwin's candidacy for the Ninth Circuit.

The first set is for Sen Feinstein, which stresses Goodwin's moderate judgment – she apparently needs reassurance that Goodwin is not too liberal.

The other set is for Greg Craig, which stresses Goodwin's progressive credentials as he likely will find that more appealing.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 4:25 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: UNHRC

Jake:

Can you get line travelling to get you high side materials for hrc.

We also need a quote for inclusion from her.

Cdm

From: Simon, Jennifer J
To: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Sent: Mon Mar 30 21:06:24 2009
Subject: RE: UNHRC
Cheryl,
Tonight I will also send you on the high side the package which has been cleared by DRL, IO, H, L, S/P and D front offices. Thanks.

From: Simon, Jennifer J
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 9:03 PM
To: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Subject: RE: UNHRC

Hi All,
Pasted below is the press statement as it stands. I have spoken with Gordon Duguid to give him a head's up and will touch base with him first thing in the AM.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Office of the Spokesman

DRAFT FOR REVIEW
2009/xxx

March XX, 2009

B5

B5

###

From: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 8:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Simon, Jennifer J; Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Subject: UNHRC

Cheryl -

I understand from Susan that you and she both received word that a decision has finally been made. We have gotten cleared around the building this evening a package with terrific help from IO and DRL of a press statement, talking points and a cable notifying posts of the decision. NSC has cleared as well. We will not launch until tomorrow at 2:00.

As part of the launch plan NSC has asked that we use quotes from Secretary Clinton and Ambassador Rice in the press statement. We would like to work with whoever is appropriate (Jake and Robert?) traveling with the Secretary to get a one sentence quote for the statement. Jennifer Simon on our staff will email you the draft statement shortly. Please let us know with whom we should follow up as we want to ensure we preserve the close hold nature of this process.

Thanks - Erica

(P.S. Ops has left a message for you as well from me - this is the topic - feel free to call anytime if you'd prefer discussing).

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 7:39 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Jack Keane

Just e-mailed me to say he is at his hotel in San Francisco. If you're available to talk tonight, he's on his cell. Otherwise, I told him, we'll connect the two of you tomorrow.

B6

Lauren

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:08 PM
To: H
Subject: Poll: Clinton has high job approval

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 5:14 PM

Poll: Clinton has high job approval

http://www.cnn.com/2009/POLITICS/03/25/clinton.poll/

(CNN) -- As Hillary Clinton flies to Mexico for a high-level summit, a new national poll indicates seven in 10 Americans are happy with the job she's doing as secretary of state.

Seventy-one percent of people questioned in a CNN/Opinion Research Corp. survey released Wednesday said they approve of how Clinton is handling her job as America's top diplomat. Fewer than one in four disapprove.

"Nine in 10 Democrats approve of Clinton -- that's no surprise," said Keating Holland, CNN's polling director. "But by a 50 percent to 43 percent margin, Republicans also think she is doing a good job at the State Department. That's an interesting result for a polarizing figure like Clinton."

The poll's release comes as Clinton teams up with Mexican officials to kick off weeks of meetings intended to find ways to fight drug violence on both sides of the U.S.-Mexican border. The Obama administration on Tuesday announced a major increase in security funding and agent deployments along the border. Watch what Clinton hopes to accomplish in Mexico »

"Since taking office in January, Clinton has been at the White House nearly every day, meeting with President Obama, Vice President [Joseph] Biden and other members of the Cabinet and national security staff," said CNN State Department producer Elise Labott. "The secretary maintains close ties with her former colleagues on Capitol Hill and meets regularly with congressional leaders."

The former first lady, senator from New York and one-time primary rival to Obama already has clocked close to 60,000 miles in her first two trips overseas -- one journey to China, the other to the Middle East and Europe -- since becoming secretary of state in January.

Clinton was met by large crowds and warmly received by world leaders on both trips, although "she met some criticism in Beijing, where she was criticized for a lower-key approach that seemed to downplay the importance of human rights in the overall relationship with China," Labott said.

"Her aides said she wanted a new approach to dealing with China's human rights record, including less public criticism and more private discussions, which may prove more productive in changing Chinese behavior."

Clinton's approval rating is higher than that of her boss: The same CNN/Opinion Research poll put Obama's approval rating at 64 percent, 7 percentage points lower than Clinton's.

Clinton has a couple of advantages over Obama in public opinion today, Holland said.

"She hasn't had a prominent role in the administration's economic or budget policies," he said. "There haven't been any international issues that have caused as much outrage as the AIG bonuses. And her name wasn't on the ballot in November, so any partisan animosity to her that is left over from 2008 is not as fresh in the public's mind."

Clinton's approval rating is also 10 percentage points higher than the one her predecessor, Condoleezza Rice, had in March 2005, then two months into her tenure as secretary of state.

The CNN/Opinion Research poll of 1,019 Americans was conducted by telephone March 12-15. The survey's sampling error is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:39 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Obama's Personnel Problem

fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:03 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Obama's Personnel Problem

Forbes.com

Dangerous Thoughts

Obama's Personnel Problem

Dan Gerstein, 03.25.09, 12:01 AM ET

The especially rocky week that President Obama just experienced has led many political observers to ask what happened to the fabled discipline candidate Obama showed during the campaign and on into the transition. How did he and his vaunted political machine go from "No Drama Obama" to bailout bonus blunders, Tim Geithner death watches and Special Olympic insults in less than two months?

The easy answer is that circumstances have changed, and there is a lot of truth to that. Governing is fundamentally different than campaigning--particularly in the pressure cooker of an economic meltdown. But that's exactly why I would argue that the president's escalating execution troubles are really a function of what has largely stayed the same since the election--the mismatched, understaffed team of loyalists around him.

Indeed, as best I can tell, Obama has more than a Geithner problem. He has what I would call a Rumsfeld problem. That is to say, in the infamous words of the imperious defense secretary, the president made the mistake of going to economic war with the army he had--which was built for political speed and presidential comfort--instead of the army he needs to beat back the crisis of confidence that is crippling our markets and feeding the AIG blood lust.

This is not a question of having good personnel. Obama had some of the best minds working in politics and policy helping him take down Hillary Clinton and John McCain. And it's only natural he would want to bring many of them into his White House to help run his government, much like most every modern president has done.

Rather, it's a question of having the right personnel in the right place to make the best case for Obama's complicated and challenging economic agenda--and perhaps most important, to persuade

the American people to swallow their anger along with another round of distasteful but necessary bailouts.

By that measure, if you step back and look at Obama's sales force, it seems pretty clear so far that the president has the wrong mix of credibility, diversity and sensitivity for this seller's market. That's true for Treasury, for the White House and, most acutely, across the airwaves.

Geithner, who joined Team Obama on the late side last November, has been the obvious poster child for this problem. But he is far from alone.

Both Geithner and Larry Summers, who advised Obama during much of the campaign, have proven to be ineffective and at times damaging advocates for the president's policies. That's in part because of their uneven communications skills. But the bigger problem, critics on the right and left now agree, is that these two brilliant experts are too close to the Wall Street elites they are trying to rescue to procure the pound of contrition the public is demanding in exchange for more bailout money. Hence the tin ear for AIG's gilded ripoff.

The White House's top talking heads have the opposite limitation: They are too removed from workings of the financial system to speak credibly about it.

Press Secretary Robert Gibbs is by all accounts a talented political operative, who shone during the campaign. But unlike some of his recent predecessors--such as Clinton spokesman Mike McCurry (State Department) and Bush spokesman Ari Fleischer (House Ways and Means Committee)--Gibbs does not have any high-level policy experience. And according to Clinton refugees I know who now work on Wall Street, Gibbs' lack of financial literacy too often shows in his vague, halting answers to tough bailout questions. That's not his fault. He's just the wrong fit for this trust-building role.

Obama's chief strategist, David Axelrod, has a similar knowledge deficit. To be sure, Axelrod is one of the most intuitive and resonant message meisters in the country, and few are better at doing political battle on the Sunday shows. But he has never worked in business or government, and just does not have the facility or standing of a Leon Panetta or even a David Gergen to make the case for a complex initiative like the bank rescue program the administration unveiled on Monday.

Obama is suffering from not having a Gergen--in more ways than one. Indeed, when it comes to domestic issues, this White House often appears more a team of radicals than rivals. Forget about a Republican counterweight in Obama's inner circle--there does not seem to be any evidence even of a Democratic contrarian among the campaign loyalists and liberal acolytes in the West Wing.

That is to say, the kind of independent thinker who would have pushed Obama to veto the earmark-laden, omnibus-pending bill that wound up making him look like a hypocrite; or to announce a list of programs the president would be cutting or eliminating before he released his budget to show he was serious about fiscal balance and discipline. Not to triangulate, but to reanimate the country-over-party spirit Obama widely inspired last year and to reassure millions of centrist supporters who have understandable qualms about the dramatic expansion of deficit spending the president is pursuing.

That lack of perspective is compounded by the lack of people in key positions in major agencies. And no one has paid a bigger price for that than Geithner, as Obama himself acknowledged in his *60 Minutes* interview Sunday. Without any top political appointees in place at Treasury, Geithner had no one to bail *him* out on the AIG mess--to make up for his tone-deafness, monitor the bonus process and prod him to nip the issue in the bud before it could explode into a capital-eating and capital-wasting fireball.

The obvious solution to these sales force problems would be the kind of major shakeup Clinton instituted following the collapse of his health care plan and the drubbings Democrats took in the 1994 midterms, which brought in Panetta, Gergen and a handful of other old-hand pragmatists. Some will say it's too early to press the eject button, but you could just as easily argue that this is not a learning curve problem that is going to improve with time.

Nevertheless, it's just not realistic to expect Obama to take emergency measures now, especially after the president unequivocally expressed his support for Geithner and the markets rallied around the rollout of the Treasury secretary's toxic asset plan. So I would bet on Obama to opt for addition over subtraction, and, instead of firing his top salesmen, to bring in more fine-tuned and effective reinforcements to pitch Congress, the press and the public on his behalf.

Ideally, that would mean elevating someone like Paul Volcker, who is currently chairing Obama's recovery advisory group, into the public face of the president's recovery program. The former Fed chairman projects strength, confidence and legitimacy in ways that Geithner never could. He is not tainted by the original Bush bailout packages. And he is clearly the most credible spokesman on financial matters within the Obama orbit.

Best of all, because Volcker is already on the team, his elevation would be seen as a shift, not a shakeup, and give Geithner breathing room to do his best wonk work.

The president would also be well-served, as long as the financial crisis is going on, to take some of the burden off of Gibbs. One option: split the daily press briefings into two. Let Gibbs continue handling the general part of the briefing, and then bring in a specialist to handle the economic portion of the program.

Someone like McCurry, the gold standard of recent White House spokesmen; or, as one Clinton alum suggested, Howard Schloss, a former assistant secretary for public affairs at the Treasury under Clinton and now the head of communications for the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

Splitting the briefing duties may seem a little unconventional. But these are hardly normal times. Besides, you didn't see the White House press secretary doing the Pentagon briefings during the last two wars with Iraq.

It's this general posture, more than any specific move, that will most help Obama reduce the drama, reassert his primacy and, not least of all, avoid repeating the worst mistakes of the Rumsfeld reign. We know too well what happens when a president sticks with the same ineffective team and the same failed strategy purely out of loyalty and stubborness.

If this is no time for politics as usual, as the president has rightly said, it should be no time for political staffing as usual, either. Want policies that work? Get the army you need to win.

Dan Gerstein, a political communications consultant and commentator based in New York, is the founder and president of Gotham Ghostwriters. He formerly served as communications director to Sen. Joe Lieberman, D-Conn., and as a senior advisor on his vice presidential and presidential campaigns. He writes a weekly column for Forbes.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 12:53 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: no new voice mails

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 11:37 AM
To: H
Cc: Cheryl Mills1; Cheryl Mills; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mexico trip report
Attachments: Mexico trip report.docx

Attached is a draft of your Mexico trip report to POTUS. I'm also sending it by fax. I look forward to incorporating your edits.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 1:12 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Mexico trip report

Sounds good.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 1:08 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Mexico trip report

Thx. I won't get you revisions until tomorrow.

From: "Sullivan, Jacob J"
Date: Sun, 29 Mar 2009 11:36:58 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Mexico trip report
Attached is a draft of your Mexico trip report to POTUS. I'm also sending it by fax. I look forward to incorporating your edits.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jackie Newmyer
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 3:44 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Follow up

B6

Secretary Clinton, Hi,

Thank you! I am available to talk any time. I would also be happy to come to Washington (or wherever) to discuss this in person at your convenience. so after 1:30 pm tomorrow would be safest. My cell is

Yrs, Jackie

On Sun, Mar 29, 2009 at 2:30 PM, H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Jackie--I'd like to talk to you about your choices. Michelle Fluornoy, new Undersecretary at DOD, asked me about young women who could work w her in planning and whom she could mentor. And, of course, I thought of you!

When would be good times for me to call you? Best, H

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
617-661-1620 (ph)
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 7:24 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Goodwin

B6

Fyi

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Ann O'Leary"
Date: Sun, 29 Mar 2009 22:14:52 -0700
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Goodwin
Thanks, Cheryl. Goodwin met with Feinstein this evening and it sounds like it went really well. It was definitely a very preliminary conversation, but also very good to get the opportunity for Feinstein to meet and get to know Goodwin. Thank you and HRC again for all of your help!

On Sun, Mar 29, 2009 at 2:46 AM, Cheryl Mills wrote:

Feinstein promised hrc to meet with him and that she would give him primary consideration.

She knows he is imp to hrc and that hrc thinks he us her kind of scholar and judge.

On note - if hand written, send to my home and I will hand to her; if electronic - same, email to me and I will hand to her

-----Original Message-----
From: Ann O'Leary
To: Cheryl Mills
CC: Cheryl Mills1
Sent: Sun Mar 29 01:41:11 2009
Subject: Re: Goodwin

Hi Cheryl -

came back to several messages from Feinstein's office wanting to set up a meeting with Goodwin. Sounds like HRC must have spoken with her. Feinstein wants to meet Goodwin tomorrow evening.

Anything we should know before the meeting?

Thanks again for everything! Also, Goodwin wants to write a thank you note to HRC. Where can he send it so that it gets to her?

xo,
Ann

On Fri, Mar 20, 2009 at 3:02 AM, Cheryl Mills wrote:

thanks gone to her to make calls today

From: Ann O'Leary [B6
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 1:46 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Cc: <jllichtman ; Cheryl Mills1
Subject: Re: Goodwin

Thanks, Cheryl. I'm attaching two different sets of talking points - one for Greg Craig, stressing Goodwin's progressive and activist credentials - and the other for Feinstein, stressing his moderation. We have heard that Feinstein needs assurance that Goodwin isn't too liberal.

Thanks again for helping in this very major way. appreciate it and know how much is on your plate and HRC's....so thank you, thank you, thank you!

On Thu, Mar 19, 2009 at 5:05 PM, AnnMOLeary

More of a liberal? I'll do two sets and send it back tonight.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 19, 2009, at 4:57 PM, "Cheryl Mills" wrote:

Greg is an more of an ideologue
------Original Message------
From: Judy Lichtman
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Ann O'Leary
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Mar 19, 2009 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: Goodwin

What do you think about using the lead sentences in each point only--deleting the narrative
Or is the problem more fundamental?
Then I need a little help about what would go to the heart of greg's interests

---

From: Cheryl Mills <
To: annmoleary ; Cheryl Mills1

Cc: Judy Lichtman
Sent: Thu Mar 19 19:14:35 2009
Subject: Re: Goodwin

Here is my fear about these points - they are likely to repel rather than compel greg; they will

likely work fine for di-fi?
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] Do you really want her to use them for both calls?
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] -----Original Message-----
[illegible] [illegible] From: Ann O'Leary [redacted]
[illegible] [illegible] To: Cheryl Mills1
[illegible] [illegible] CC: Judy Lichtman [redacted]
[illegible] [illegible] Sent: Thu Mar 19 11:48:57 2009
[illegible] [illegible] Subject: Re: Goodwin
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] Cheryl -
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] THANK YOU.[illegible] It would be terrific if HRC could make these calls.[illegible] If possible, it would be great if she could also call Feinstein because we are hoping Feinstein will not just bless, but affirmatively support Goodwin's nomination.
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] I'm pasting the talking points below and attaching them in Word.[illegible] I'm also attaching Goodwin's bio/resume if you need it.
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] I can't thank you enough!!
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] -Ann
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] Key talking points for HRC[illegible]s calls to POTUS, Greg Craig and Senator Feinstein recommending Goodwin Liu for a nomination to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Goodwin is a widely respected, first-rate legal scholar. I know this first-hand
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] ------Original Message Truncated------

[illegible]

B6

--0016364c716b92d97404664f2917--

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 6:14 AM
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: UNHRC

We are tracking this down.

From: H
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: hdr22@clintonemail.com ; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Mar 31 06:13:26 2009
Subject: Re: UNHRC
Jake--if you have this, pls bring to me. Thx.

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Tue, 31 Mar 2009 04:24:46 -0400
To: Sullivan, Jacob J<SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Subject: Fw: UNHRC

Jake:

Can you get line travelling to get you high side materials for hrc.

We also need a quote for inclusion from her.

Cdm

From: Simon, Jennifer J
To: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Sent: Mon Mar 30 21:06:24 2009
Subject: RE: UNHRC
Cheryl,
Tonight I will also send you on the high side the package which has been cleared by DRL, IO, H, L, S/P and D front offices. Thanks.

From: Simon, Jennifer J
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 9:03 PM
To: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Subject: RE: UNHRC

Hi All,
Pasted below is the press statement as it stands. I have spoken with Gordon Duguid to give him a head's up and will touch base with him first thing in the AM.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Office of the Spokesman

DRAFT FOR REVIEW
2009/xxx

March XX, 2009

B5

###

From: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 8:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Simon, Jennifer J; Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
Subject: UNHRC

Cheryl -

I understand from Susan that you and she both received word that a decision has finally been made. We have gotten cleared around the building this evening a package with terrific help from IO and DRL of a press statement, talking points and a cable notifying posts of the decision. NSC has cleared as well. We will not launch until tomorrow at 2:00.

As part of the launch plan NSC has asked that we use quotes from Secretary Clinton and Ambassador Rice in the press statement. We would like to work with whoever is appropriate (Jake and Robert?) traveling with the Secretary to get a one sentence quote for the statement. Jennifer Simon on our staff will email you the draft statement shortly. Please let us know with whom we should follow up as we want to ensure we preserve the close hold nature of this process.

Thanks - Erica

(P.S. Ops has left a message for you as well from me - this is the topic - feel free to call anytime if you'd prefer discussing).

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 4:02 PM
To: H

• Intervention excerpt, as delivered:

"We must also support efforts by the Government of Afghanistan to separate the extremists of al-Qaida and the Taliban from those who joined their ranks not out of conviction, but out of desperation. This is, in fact, the case for a majority of those fighting with the Taliban. They should be offered an honorable form of reconciliation and reintegration into a peaceful society if they are willing to abandon violence, break with al-Qaida, and support the constitution."

• CNN excerpt:

QUESTION: And on this reconciliation, bringing members of the Taliban or al-Qaida --

SECRETARY CLINTON: Only the Taliban, we never said al-Qaida. We never said al-Qaida. We have no interest in any kind of reconciliation or any rapprochement by anyone with al-Qaida.

QUESTION: I'm glad you clarified that

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 5:33 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: Attached letter
Attachments: SCAN3561_000.pdf

You can't likely read the attachment but it is a long letter to Jim saying he's sorry he has missed Jim's calls (nothing about mine) and that he is taking job with energy.

Copying huma to print for you.

Cdm

From: Daniel Poneman
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Mar 31 19:03:48 2009
Subject: Attached letter

Please pass the attached letter to Deputy Secretary Steinberg and Chief of Staff Mills. Many thanks, DP

<<SCAN3561_000.pdf>>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 11:42 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Carter to Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru, Brazil

FYI

From: Whitaker, Kevin M
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:24 AM
To: SES_4
Subject: Carter to Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru, Brazil

You all may already be aware of this, but former Pres Carter is traveling to the countries in the subject line at the end of Apr/beginning of May. His Carter Center staff has asked for unclas briefers, which we'll provide. Embassies are involved to a greater and lesser extent, depending on Carter's desires, in arranging schedules.

Kevin Whitaker

Director

WHA/AND - Office of Andean Affairs

Department of State

202 647 1715

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D)

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:44 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: DPRK/CHINA: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #13

FYI

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 03/30/2034

From: Bishop, Christopher W
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:40 PM
To: S
Subject: FW: DPRK/CHINA: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #13

Colleagues, FYI. Today's update includes

From: Arvizu, Alex A
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 4:36 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Kennedy, Patrick F; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Campbell, Piper A
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Park, Pamela P; Friedrich, Mary K; Russell, Daniel A; Tesone, Mark; Alford, Edward M (Ned); Smith, Daniel B; Jacobs, Janice L; Kirby, Michael D; Bond, Michele T; Wood, Robert A (PACE); Duguid, Gordon K (PACE); S_SpecialAssistants; Davies, Glyn T; Kim, Sung Y; Tong, Kurt W; Kim, Yuri J; Richardson, Eric N; Ohtagaki, Johna O; 'Bader, Jeffrey A.'; 'Russel, Daniel R.'
Subject: DPRK/CHINA: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #13

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

DPRK/CHINA BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #13

-- April 1, 2009 --

CONSULAR ACTIVITY

DIPLOMATIC ACTIVITY

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

None reported.

MEDIA

Press Continue to Follow Case Closely: Press continued to speculate that the DPRK aims to use the two detained reporters as "leverage" over the United States. Wire services reported comments by the DOS spokesman in the 3/31 Daily Press Briefing that the USG does not see the detention of the two Americans as related to any other issues.

Contact: Johna Ohtagaki, EAP/K 202-647-6727

David Schwartz, OCS 202-647-6137

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Coleman, Claire L <ColemanCL@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 8:05 AM
To: Cheryl Mills; H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: RE: Talking Points for Call with Sen Feinstein and Greg Craig

I've printed these and will give a copy to the Secretary and also to Huma.

Claire

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:cheryl.mills B6
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 6:02 AM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Coleman, Claire L
Subject: Talking Points for Call with Sen Feinstein and Greg Craig

Attached are two sets of talking points I will have Claire print for you today for two call on behalf of Goodwin's candidacy for the Ninth Circuit.

The first set is for Sen Feinstein, which stresses Goodwin's moderate judgment – she apparently needs reassurance that Goodwin is not too liberal.

The other set is for Greg Craig, which stresses Goodwin's progressive credentials as he likely will find that more appealing.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Reines, Philippe I (PACE) <ReinesPI@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 11:21 AM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: AP/New Media

Story below is as good and straightforward as they come. It's a reflection of one of the best new-old partnerships I've seen in the bulding: Caroline Adler and PA's two in-house new media guys, Luke Forgerson & Dan Schaub. Refelcting your prioritization, she - along with Katie Dowd, have helped these guys unleash the full potential of this new kind of communications.

Selling it to Matt was the easy part. Those guys are doing all the real work. And anecdotally, they're seeing great success already - with a lot more to come.

E-diplomacy? Hillary Clinton Embraces New Media By MATTHEW LEE AP Sat, Mar 21, 2009

WASHINGTON (AP) — Her videos aren't quite viral yet and she's not tweeting, but Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton is embracing new media, using the Web to promote the agency and her role as the nation's top envoy.

In less than three months, Clinton's State Department has embarked on a digital diplomacy drive aimed at spreading the word about American foreign policy and restoring Washington's image. Part of a broader Internet outreach by President Barack Obama's administration, Clinton's Web efforts already have outpaced those of her predecessors.

Since taking over at Foggy Bottom, Clinton's team has built on e-diplomacy innovations developed during George W. Bush's presidency:

- They have revamped the department's Web site (http://www.state.gov) and the Dipnote blog (http://blogs.state.gov and http://twitter.com/dipnote) with a fresh array of features, graphics and colorful posts.

- Users can track her foreign travel on an interactive map (http://www.state.gov/secretary/trvl/map/?trip_id5)

- They can keep up virtually with her every move through Facebook, Twitter, Flickr and YouTube (http://www.youtube.com/user/statevideo).

- They can pose questions through an "ask-the-secretary" column (http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ei/ask/secretary/117297.htm) that recently was revised to "text the secretary." (http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ei/ask/secretary/120236.htm)

"New media is critical in this new era of diplomacy, where smart power and expanded dialogues are essential to achieving our foreign policy goals," said Cheryl Mills, Clinton's longtime confidante and chief of staff.

Even for a government Web site, early indications show a surge in interest, according to internal State Department statistics provided to The Associated Press.

Daily views of the Dipnote have doubled from 10,000 a year ago to 20,000 today, with 700 subscribers to its RSS feed, twice as many as in March 2008. The number of followers of the department on Twitter has tripled since Jan. 20, when Obama took office, while the department's Facebook friends have increased by 2 1/2 times in the same period.

"What they are bringing in is more willingness to experiment," said Peter Daou, who was Clinton's Web guru during her 2008 presidential run. "They are starting to push the envelope."

What remains unclear, though, is whether the spike in interest reflects the revamped Web site or the public's fascination with Clinton's latest career shift.

"The personality behind it can't be dismissed," said Daou, who now blogs on human rights and other issues for U.N. Dispatch (http://www.undispatch.com).

Like Obama, Clinton carries a Blackberry, but she is not allowed to use it on the department's secure seventh floor where her office is. Aides say she takes an active role in answering questions from the Web, responding to bloggers and pushing her agency's new media agenda.

"The United States Government is behind nearly everybody, except in certain discrete areas, in terms of technology," she told department employees at a town hall meeting in February. "We are, in my view, wasting time, wasting money, wasting opportunities, because we are not prepared to communicate effectively with what is out there in the business world and the private world."

Clinton was quick to embrace new media at the start of her presidential campaign. She announced her entry into the race in February 2007 on the Web and followed with regular Internet chats and Internet fundraising appeals.

Nonetheless, Clinton was surpassed by the Obama campaign's mastery of the Internet and social networking sites. Obama used the Web to raise record donations and identify and orchestrate an army of volunteers.

Clinton was first lady when the first White House Web site debuted in 1994. It was a bare-bones operation compared with what is available now. Three years later, the State Department went online during Secretary of State Madeleine Albright's tenure.

But it wasn't until 2007, under Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice's spokesman, Sean McCormack, that the department's public affairs shop began exploring new media in earnest. McCormack started the Dipnote blog, which some foreign service veterans predicted would fail, given the private and stuffy nature of diplomacy.

The site endured under Rice. Clinton has retooled Dipnote with a Twitter feed and a broader range of posts from diplomats.

It's more than just window dressing. This past week, diplomats used Twitter to "tweet" down false rumors they feared might lead to a siege on the U.S. Embassy in Madagascar.

On her first two foreign trips, to Asia and then the Middle East and Europe, local bloggers were "embedded" with the traveling press corps, broadening the audience for Clinton's official meetings and public appearances, which often produce more personal than policy questions.

On her question site, Clinton has responded to a varied questions, from "Should the U.S. engage in direct dialogue with Hamas?" to "How did you like meeting the Japanese students?"

By the end of Clinton's trip to the Mideast, her "text the secretary" feature had received nearly 2,000 text messages. On both trips, Clinton also participated in Webcasts. One in Beijing on climate change generated more than 10.2 million page views, more than 50,000 comments and 7,000 questions, according to the statistics.

Clinton's staff say they plan to venture further into the realm of social networking, an animated online world called Second Life, and cell phone technology. The department hopes to follow through on a Bush administration organized

project that brought together Facebook, Google, Howcast, YouTube, AT&T, MTV, Columbia Law School, Access360Media and Gen-Next for an Alliance of Youth Movements summit.

It also wants to expand on X-Life, a mobile phone game launched in February that is aimed at helping youth in the Middle East learn English and teaching them about American history, culture and values.

Copyright © 2009 The Associated Press. All rights reserved.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2009 6:03 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Exciting News

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu Apr 02 01:33:20 2009
Subject: Fw: Exciting News

Pls contact Dave to set up a time for hm to come in to see me. Thx.
-----Original Message-----
From: dstone [redacted] B6

Date: Wed, 1 Apr 2009 03:59:11
To: Senator Clinton<hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Cc: Faithdavey [redacted]
Subject: Exciting News

Hi Hillary , you are doing an amazing job as our Secretary of State and we are all immensely proud of you.

Really enjoyed your recent remarks regarding Afghanistan. Your words were spot on. Fyi, I will be in Kabul, Afghanistan from 7 thru 14 April and am being hosted by Hamed Wardak, the son of the Afghanistan Secretary of Defense. Looking forward to the visit.

There is some exciting personal news that I wanted to share with you. Although it has not yet been announced, I wanted you to know that I recently interviewed with Cisco President (John Chambers) and accepted a senior executive position (effective 4 May) to be the face and thought leader for Cisco in the field of "Intelligent Urbanization" with my focus area being on Physical Safety and Security.

My wife (Faith) and I will live in Bangalore, India (we are very excited) and my area of responsibility will be the emerging markets of Asia , Middle East and Africa . Since I will operate from India that particular country will be a major focal point.

The use of the Network to improve the quality of life of Millions of people in areas such as Education , Health Care and Security will be a major thrust of " Intelligent Urbanization". Faith and I are pumped up about our new overseas adventure and the chance to work for a world class company and a superb business leader like John Chambers.

It is really a wonderful opportunity to positively impact many lives around the Globe.

Hope to perhaps call on you for a few minutes before I head over to India.

All the best and God Bless.
Sincerely, Dave

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 6:28 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Senior Policy Group

HRC:

I asked Anne-Marie to write up a discussion we had regarding

B5

See below - and let me know if any issues; otherwise -- I am going to set this up.

cdm
-----Original Message-----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 3:10 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Senior Policy Group

AM

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 1:51 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Learning the hard way

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 12:07 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Learning the hard way

Learning the hard way

Mar 26th 2009
From The Economist print edition

Barack Obama may at last be getting a grip. But he still needs to show more leadership, at home and abroad

HILLARY CLINTON'S most effective quip, in her long struggle with Barack Obama for the Democratic nomination last year, was that the Oval Office is no place for on-the-job training. It went to the heart of the nagging worry about the silver-tongued young senator from Illinois: that he lacked even the slightest executive experience, and that in his brief career he had never really stood up to powerful interests, whether in his home city of Chicago or in the wider world. Might Mrs Clinton have been right about her foe?

Not altogether. In foreign policy in particular Mr Obama has already done some commendable things. He has held out a sincere hand to Iran; he has ordered Guantánamo closed within a year; he has set himself firmly against torture. He has, as the world and this newspaper wanted, taken a less strident tone in dealing with friends and rivals alike.

But at home Mr Obama has had a difficult start. His performance has been weaker than those who endorsed his candidacy, including this newspaper, had hoped. Many of his strongest supporters—liberal columnists, prominent donors, Democratic Party stalwarts—have started to question him. As for those not so beholden, polls show that independent voters again prefer Republicans to Democrats, a startling reversal of fortune in just a few weeks. Mr Obama's once-celestial approval ratings are about where George Bush's were at this stage in his awful presidency. Despite his resounding electoral victory, his solid majorities in both chambers of Congress and the obvious goodwill of the bulk of the electorate, Mr Obama has seemed curiously feeble.

Empty posts, weak policies

There are two main reasons for this. The first is Mr Obama's failure to grapple as fast and as single-mindedly with the economy as he should have done. His stimulus package, though huge, was subcontracted to Congress, which did a mediocre job: too much of the money will arrive too late to be of help in the current crisis. His budget, though in some ways more honest than his predecessor's, is wildly optimistic. And he has taken too long to produce his plan for dealing with the trillions of dollars of toxic assets which fester on banks' balance-sheets.

The failure to staff the Treasury is a shocking illustration of administrative drift. There are 23 slots at the department that need confirmation by the Senate, and only two have been filled. This is not the Senate's fault. Mr Obama has made a series of bad picks of people who have chosen or been forced to withdraw; and it was only this week that he announced his candidates for two of the department's four most senior posts. Filling such jobs is always a tortuous business in America, but Mr Obama has made it harder by insisting on a level of scrutiny far beyond anything previously attempted. Getting the Treasury team in place ought to have been his first priority.

Second, Mr Obama has mishandled his relations with both sides in Congress. Though he campaigned as a centrist and promised an era of post-partisan government, that's not how he has behaved. His stimulus bill attracted only three Republican votes in the Senate and none in the House. This bodes ill for the passage of more difficult projects, such as his big plans for carbon-emissions control and health-care reform. Keeping those promises will soon start to bedevil the administration. The Republicans must take their share of the blame for the breakdown. But if Mr Obama had done a better job of selling his package, and had worked harder at making sure that Republicans were included in drafting it, they would have found it more difficult to oppose his plans.

If Mr Obama cannot work with the Republicans, he needs to be certain that he controls his own party. Unfortunately, he seems unable to. Put bluntly, the Democrats are messing him around. They are pushing pro-trade-union legislation (notably a measure to get rid of secret ballots) even though he doesn't want them to do so; they have been roughing up the bankers even though it makes his task of fixing the economy much harder; they have stuffed his stimulus package and his appropriations bill with pork, even though this damages him and his party in the eyes of the electorate. Worst of all, he is letting them get away with it.

Lead, dammit

There are some signs that Mr Obama's administration is learning. This week the battered treasury secretary, Tim Geithner, has at last come up with a detailed plan to rescue the banks (see article and article). Its success is far from guaranteed, and the mood of Congress and the public has soured to the point where, should this plan fail, getting another one off the drawing-board will be exceedingly hard. But the plan at least demonstrates the administration's acceptance that it must work with the bankers, instead of riding the wave of popular opinion against them, if it is to repair America's economy. And it's not just in the domestic arena that Mr Obama has demonstrated his willingness to learn: on Iraq, he has intelligently recalibrated his views, coming up with a

plan for withdrawal that seeks to consolidate the gains in Iraq while limiting the costs to America.

But Mr Obama has a long way to travel if he is to serve his country—and the world—as he should. Take the G20 meeting in London, to which he will head at the end of next week. The most important task for this would-be institution is to set itself firmly against protectionism at a time when most of its members are engaged in a game of creeping beggar-thy-neighbour. Yet how can Mr Obama lead the fight when he has just pandered to America's unions by sparking a minor trade war with Mexico? And how can he set a new course for NATO at its 60th-anniversary summit a few days later if he is appeasing his party with talk of leaving Afghanistan?

In an accomplished press conference this week, Mr Obama reminded the world what an impressive politician he can be. He has a capacity to inspire that is unmatched abroad or at home. He holds a strong hand when it comes to the Democrats, many of whom owe their seats to his popularity at last year's election. Now he must play it.

Copyright © 2009 The Economist Newspaper and The Economist Group. All rights reserved.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jackie Newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 3:38 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Follow up
Attachments: Consulting for State JN 30 March 09.doc

Secretary Clinton,

Pending our conversation, I thought I owed Anne-Marie a thank you and follow up message because we had a terrific chat on Thursday, [redacted]

[redacted] bringing to bear the best extra-governmental academic expertise through the Long Term Strategy Group, [redacted] so I just sent a proposal memo (attached and pasted in below). Thank you again for recommending me and for all of your advice.

I am most eager to help however I can and look forward to hearing your thoughts.

All best, Jackie

[redacted]

Memorandum
To: Department of State
From: Long Term Strategy Group
Re: [redacted]

Issue: The Long Term Strategy Group would undertake by means of a consulting agreement to explore major shifts in American strategy for interacting with the major powers of Asia. This would involve simulations of alternative interactions among Asian powers, and the impact of alternative American strategies on the outcome of those interactions. Because these explorations involve speculative contingencies, they are most appropriately conducted through non-governmental institutions, though they can ultimately be used to inform debate within the American government.

Background: The strategic landscape of Asia has changed substantially over the last few decades, and may yet shift suddenly in ways that are currently under-explored. The growth of Chinese power is altering the Asian balance of power; the government of India is exploring new defense alignments; and Japanese officials are openly discussing subjects that were previously off limits, up to and including a nuclear weapons option for Japan. The current economic crisis will very likely lead to higher levels of political instability within major Asian countries, including China, which may, in turn lead to discontinuities in their external policies, creating a need to explore a range of possible new political configurations within the region, and possible new modes of American interaction with traditional allies, new friends, and potential rivals. The explicit examination of these new configurations and modes of interaction calls for combinations of activities (e.g., conduct of simulations of long-range regional interactions, some of which entail non-incremental shifts in American foreign policy) and consideration of scenarios that are inevitably politically sensitive and are most appropriately conducted outside the American government.

The role of non-government groups working with the American government to explore speculative contingencies is well established. The Center for New American Strategy has been exploring strategic options in Afghanistan. The Brookings Institution and Center for Strategic and International Studies have regularly

worked on plans to restructure agencies and departments within the American government that are not as well positioned to conduct that sort of planning themselves.

The Long Term Strategy Group has specialized in the analysis of modes of strategic decision-making and behavior that differ from those anticipated by the United States. It has focused on China, Iran, India, Pakistan, and Japan, and has presented its work to the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Intelligence Council, NSC staff members, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. LTSG has participated in and organized multiple simulations for the American government to explore the nature and outcome of complex interactions among states that do not behave in ways commonly anticipated by the United States. As a private, for-profit organization, it can conduct work that remains the exclusive, not-for-publication property of the contracting party (i.e., the government).

The initial issues to be explored by LTSG would include:

--The impact of a potential severe and prolonged economic contraction on the foreign policies of China, Japan, and Russia; the resulting shifts in alliances and relationships of conflict within the region; and the alternative strategies for interacting with Asia that might be considered by the United States.

--The impact of a potential end of effective central government in Pakistan that leaves a Punjab state co-existing with a Baluch/Sindh state and an Afghanistan that expands to control the border regions now part of Pakistan.

--The impact of the acquisition of nuclear weapons by Iran on intra-Asian relations.

In each case, the deliverables would constitute one monograph, the conduct of one simulation exercise involving non-government participants (and government observers/participants if desired), and one workshop report.

Action: To approve the general plan of work set forth above, and to initiate administrative measures to complete the work within 15 months of initial approval.

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
617-661-1620 (ph)
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 5:32 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Update and request for your views regarding OLC opinions

See below – another item I want to discuss when you call back (including Mexico and Durban) – have heard not a peep from Denis on Carlos (and I pinged him) or others.

cdm

From: Donoghue, Joan E
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 12:27 PM
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Crocker, Bathsheba N; Deeks, Ashley S; Spector, Phillip M
Subject: Update and request for your views regarding OLC opinions

Jim and Cheryl,

B5

Thanks,
Joan

Joan E. Donoghue
Principal Deputy Legal Adviser
U.S. Department of State
(202) 647-5036

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 6:45 PM
To: H; Cheryl Mills
Subject: RE: Can you talk?

Calling now

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 6:43 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Can you talk?

I'm up for at least another hour.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 7:57 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: S Polar Speech April 6

Per my earlier email.

cdm

From: Rooney, Megan
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 5:03 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: S Polar Speech April 6

[redacted] B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 4:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Rooney, Megan
Subject: Re: S Polar Speech April 6

[redacted]

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Rooney, Megan; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Apr 06 15:05:31 2009
Subject: RE: S Polar Speech April 6

[redacted]

cdm

From: Rooney, Megan
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 2:47 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: S Polar Speech April 6

Hi Cheryl and Jake –

[redacted] B5

Thank you!

From: Bloom, Evan T (OES)
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Rooney, Megan
Subject: S Polar Speech April 6

Dear Megan,

First, thanks for producing a terrific speech for the Secretary.

I do have one question. [redacted]

Many thanks.

Evan

Evan T. Bloom
Deputy Director

Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs (OES/OPA)
Tel (202) 647-3925
Fax (202) 647-9099

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 2:02 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Alternatives Toward Direct Military Action In Somalia.
Attachments: Somalia Pirates.doc

FYI – you want me to have a letter done back saying thank you for sending – you appreciate his thoughts?

See below

From: Robert Danford [mailto: [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 1:05 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Alternatives Toward Direct Military Action In Somalia.

Dear Professor Mills:

I have substituted for a few weeks in a Poly Sci Class at a Community College here in California. Some of my very bright Students made some interesting comments. I have detailed some comments and observations in a letter to the Secretary. Should she or you have any questions, please call me direct at [redacted]

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. DANFORD, ACFE. ASIS.

ROBERT A. DANFORD

[redacted]

April 14, 2009

The Honorable Hillary Rodham Clinton
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of State
Washington, D.C.

Subject: Finding alternatives toward direct military action in Somalia.

Dear Secretary Clinton:

I am a Professional Member of the American Society for Industrial Security, and the American College

of Forensic Examiners; I have guest lectured and taught industrial security management, homeland security, port and harbor security and critical incident response management.

As elated as everyone is over the splendid outcome of the Maersk Alabama hijacking case, as I had predicted, in today's news, it is reported that four additional vessels were attacked and now Terrorists are holding some 60 hostages. Before anyone, does anything regarding Somalia, may I make the following comments and observations.

What is going on in the Gulf of Aden is as an organized criminal enterprise for profit. There are some 250 hostages being held and they are being kept as well as they can be under the circumstances because the terrorists are expecting a payday out of this. As soon as they see any form of direct Military action in the northeast at the mouth of the Gulf of Aden, I can assure you more Americans will be abducted, and we will see another series of video beheadings under a Democratic watch.

I would recommend that the Secretary encourage the United Nations to create an International Maritime Security Working Group. Since the Shipping Industry has already absorbed something like Fifty Billion Dollars regarding the various piracy incidents in 2008 alone; they have the resources to contract private security/military contractors who could work onboard merchant shipping in International Waters.

They could rotate from the northern edge of the threat envelope to the southern end and take direct action on a case-by-case basis. Through this endeavor, as these targets of opportunity begin to dry up, so will the piracy activity that the World community has pacified by the payment of extortion money.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated interest, and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. DANFORD, ACFE. ASIS.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 11:55 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Nominees Confirmed

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 12:46 AM
To: Peña, Laura; Shapiro, Andrew J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Samuelson, Heather F; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Nominees Confirmed

Just a couple of minutes ago, the Senate confirmed the following nominees:

Cal. #37 – Timothy Geithner to be Governor of the IMF, etc.

Cal. #38 – Richard Verma to be Assistant Secretary of State – Leg Affairs

Cal. #39 – Esther Brimmer to be Assistant Secretary of State – Int'l Organization Affairs

Cal. #41 – Rose Gottemoeller to be Assistant Secretary of State – Verification

Cal. #42 – Karl Eikenberry to be Ambassador to Afghanistan

Cal. #44 – Melanne Verveer to be Ambassador at Large for Women's Global Affairs

Neither Chris Hill nor Phil Gordon were confirmed this time around. Leader Reid explained that a vote on Hill's nomination will occur when the Senate reconvenes on Monday, April 20th. We need to find out more on the status of Gordon's nomination.

Thanks.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 8:00 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: no new voice messages

Your phone may have been alerting to "4 missed calls," (some of which may have been me because I dialed in twice earlier today to check the messages). Alternatively, there could be text messages on the phone. But it is free and clear of voice mails.

Lauren

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Thursday, April 9, 2009 9:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Can you talk?
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2009 7:50 AM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Let me know when you can talk
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From:	cheryl.mills
Sent:	Sunday, April 12, 2009 1:31 PM
To:	H
Subject:	Delivered: Happy Easter!
Attachments:	details.txt

B6

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2009 6:53 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

Sooo good the old. E mail is working----great to connect. [redacted] Super news re rescue of our. Merchant. Marine. Capt. I am very close to the unions of which crew are memebers. They were carrying our. forign aid food and VERY proud to do it(let's. Salute. Them the. Navy and the. Mission
---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski)
Sent: Sun Apr 12 13:35:04 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

Happy Easter, my friend. [redacted] B6

[redacted]

I will let you know about questions for my testimony closer to the date. [redacted]

[redacted]

Great hearing from you but not as good as seeing and talking w you. As ever, H ------Original Message------
From: Barbara Mikulski
To: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Apr 12, 2009 12:03 PM
Subject: Happy easter

Best wishes to you and all of the clintons. [redacted] All of us say a. Hearty. Hello and so proud of what you are doing----you are missed in the senate and by me. But you sure are needed where you are. I will be @ your. Foreign. Ops. Hearing. Let me know any questions you want me to askto help get your needs/message across. Loved picture of you+obama on. The. Lawn. Time for. Spring and the resurrection. As always. Your Pal
---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 7:55 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: S Polar Speech April 6

Already did that.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 7:25 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: S Polar Speech April 6

[redacted] B5

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Mon, 6 Apr 2009 15:05:59 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: FW: S Polar Speech April 6
Remind me to discuss with you.

cdm

From: Bloom, Evan T (OES)
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Rooney, Megan
Subject: S Polar Speech April 6

Dear Megan,

First, thanks for producing a terrific speech for the Secretary.

I do have one question. [redacted]

B5

Many thanks.

Evan

Evan T. Bloom
Deputy Director
Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs (OES/OPA)
Tel (202) 647-3925
Fax (202) 647-9099

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: newmyer
Sent: Wednesday, April 8, 2009 7:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: this week?

Hi, Yes; I am at (en route to airport). Thank you!

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "H"
Date: Wed, 8 Apr 2009 11:22:08 +0000
To: Jackie Newmyer
Subject: Re: this week?
Jackie--I am so sorry I didn't get back to you before now. May I call you now? H

From: Jackie Newmyer
Date: Mon, 6 Apr 2009 21:50:29 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: this week?
Secretary Clinton,

I hope that all is well back in the States and just wanted to let you know that I will be in Washington Wednesday. If you might have a minute to spare to follow up on your last message in person or by phone this week or over the weekend, I would very much appreciate the opportunity. Congratulations on the Europe trip!

Yours sincerely, Jackie

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 5:30 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: FW: Interesting factoid

FYI

From: Cue, Lourdes C
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 3:34 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Interesting factoid

Just found out reading the DR Scene-setter from post that this will be the first visit by a US SecState to the DR in over 20 years. I wish there were a way to say it without sounding condescending or suggesting they just never mattered.

Lourdes C. Cué
Special Assistant
Office of Deputy Secretary Steinberg
202-647-5290
Cuelc@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2009 7:55 AM
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Let me know when you can talk

K - will call in a bit - in line at dmv to surrender my ny license (sadly for me)

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Cheryl Mills [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Apr 10 07:50:33 2009
Subject: Let me know when you can talk

Or you can call me at home thru Ops.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2009 12:14 PM
To: H
Subject: Claire Coleman's number

[redacted] B6

LJ

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN PART B6

From:	jake.sullivan[redacted]
Sent:	Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:19 PM
To:	H
Subject:	Delivered: UNSCR/DPRK
Attachments:	details.txt

B6

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2009 4:22 PM
To: H; Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Happy Easter!

Happy easter to you! We just finished one hunt at the domenici clan and headed to my mother's so will try you after 830pm.

Cdm
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Cheryl Mills
ReplyTo: Hrc New
Sent: Apr 12, 2009 1:30 PM
Subject: Happy Easter!

Did you junt for eggs? If you have time to talk, let me know. I'm in the car now so I'd have to call you. I'll be home in an hour.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 7:14 AM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Calling you
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 5:32 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

FYI – How comfortable are you with us thinking about doing something more with this [redacted]

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 2:45 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: ReinesPI; Kelly, Ian C; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

[redacted] B5

PJ

From: Lash, Fred C (PACE) [mailto:LashFC@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 1:57 PM
To: PAO Group
Subject: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

For Press Guidance (and for tomorrow's Briefing Book):

- L/North Korea: Regarding the Presidential Statement adopted yesterday by the United Nations Security Council, that condemns North Korea for its recent missile launch, is it considered "legally binding?"

- DRL/NEA/Saudi Arabia: Concerning the report of an eight-year girl being raped in Saudi Arabia, what is the U.S. response? (additionally, prepare guidance that, from a human rights violation perspective, could be used when responding to future questions that are similar in nature)

Thanks,
FCL

The Duty Press Officer tonight is Megan Mattson.

RELEASE IN FULL

From:	jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent:	Sunday, April 5, 2009 10:19 PM
To:	H
Subject:	Delivered: Aliyev
Attachments:	details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 3:06 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: S Polar Speech April 6

Remind me to discuss with you.

cdm

From: Bloom, Evan T (OES)
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Rooney, Megan
Subject: S Polar Speech April 6

Dear Megan,

First, thanks for producing a terrific speech for the Secretary.

I do have one question.

B5

Many thanks.

Evan

Evan T. Bloom
Deputy Director
Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs (OES/OPA)

Tel (202) 647-3925
Fax (202) 647-9099

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 5:02 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: 21 AmCits Seized by Somali Pirates

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Apr 08 02:20:25 2009
Subject: 21 AmCits Seized by Somali Pirates
(SBU) USG agencies report Somali pirates have seized a Denmark-flagged container ship with 21 American crew members aboard.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2009 7:15 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Meeting

Just called house and got vm.
Have a few odd and ends. Nothing urgent for tonite but would like to send you a revised summit schedule for your sign off after we do our planning calls tonite.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri Apr 10 18:28:59 2009
Subject: Re: Meeting

Ok. What else do you know? Call when you can.
------Original Message------
From: Abedin, Huma
To: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Apr 10, 2009 6:26 PM
Subject: Meeting

B5

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:11 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: DPRK - Final P5+J text

Am sure it will be fine. Will pass that on.

From: H
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Apr 11 18:08:43 2009
Subject: Re: DPRK - Final P5+J text
Can Susan talk on my way into the city--say around 7?

From: "Abedin, Huma"
Date: Sat, 11 Apr 2009 17:53:44 -0400
To: <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Subject: Fw: DPRK - Final P5+J text

Fyi, see below that erika barks ruggles circulated. Susan rice just put a call into you asking to talk tonite.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Apr 11 14:24:55 2009
Subject: Fw: DPRK - Final P5+J text


Hey can you share this w HRC? Everything is on track for a Monday formal debate and Tuesday vote.

[redacted] B5

From: Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
To: Steinberg, James B; Davies, Glyn T; Fried, Daniel; Brimmer, Esther D; [redacted]; 'Power, Samantha J.' ; [redacted]; Sullivan, Jacob J; Russell, Daniel A B6
Cc: Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Sat Apr 11 14:11:03 2009
Subject: DPRK - Final P5+J text
All - Here it is in a format for BB readers. - Erica

[redacted] B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: Haiti Draft -- Attached and Below in Text
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2009 9:12 PM
To: H
Subject: wjc said you were sleeping so call me after 7:30am tomorrow (I should be in the office)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 8:13 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings
Attachments: Document.pdf

Print for HRC

-----Original Message-----
From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 6:13 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: 'mvwcarpenter[redacted] Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

B6

Cheryl

Per discussion

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 8:57 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

You betcha

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski)
Sent: Mon Apr 13 07:11:15 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

Not only salute, but mark the fact that the rescue/miracle/resurrection took place on Easter! See you soon, I hope. As ever, H -----Original Message-----
From: "Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski)" <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>

Date: Sun, 12 Apr 2009 18:53:03
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'<hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

Sooo good the old. E mail is working----great to connect. [redacted] Super news re rescue of our. Merchant. Marine. Capt. I am very close to the unions of which crew are memebers. They were carrying our. forign aid food and VERY proud to do it(let's. Salute. Them the. Navy and the. Mission B6

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski)
Sent: Sun Apr 12 13:35:04 2009
Subject: Re: Happy Easter

Happy Easter, my friend. [redacted]

I will let you know about questions for my testimony closer to the date. [redacted]

Great hearing from you but not as good as seeing and talking w you. As ever, H
------Original Message------
From: Barbara Mikulski
To: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Apr 12, 2009 12:03 PM

Subject: Happy easter

Best wishes to you and all of the clintons. [redacted] All of us say a. Hearty. Hello and so proud of what you are doing----you are missed in the senate and by me. But you sure are needed where you are. I will be @ your. Foreign. Ops. Hearing. Let me know any questions you want me to askto help get your needs/message across. Loved picture of you+obama on. The. Lawn. Time for. Spring and the resurrection. As always. Your Pal B6

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 3:42 PM
To: H; cmills [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Can you talk?

Call [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Sat Apr 04 15:40:08 2009
Subject: Can you talk?

If you can, where should I call?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 6:21 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Durban Language - S/D(S) Language

Fyi below

And my focus group of AA at my dinner last nite - none aware of issue or of durban I for that matter and it included a [redacted] B6

From: Cheryl Mills
To: Rice, Susan E (USUN); cmills@state.gov
Cc: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Sat Apr 04 16:24:59 2009
Subject: RE: Durban Language - S/D(S) Language

Susan:

Spoke with S.

Here is language she, and Jim as well, would support putting on the table (which is variation on each of yours below):

Draft Durban Review Conference Outcome Document: Possible Revisions to Paragraph 1

[redacted] B5

thanks.

cdm

From: Rice, Susan E (USUN) [mailto:RiceSE@state.gov]
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 3:08 PM
To: cmills@state.gov; cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Durban Language

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Cheryl Mills <[redacted]>
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 5:06 AM
To: H
Cc: williamsbarrett[redacted]'Sullivan, Jacob J' B6
Subject: FW: Key development opinion research summarized

Thought this was useful framing for us as we think of your messaging in general but also the larger framework document on which AMS is working.

cdm

From: Tom Freedman [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 9:45 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Key development opinion research summarized

I've spent the last five years testing ideas and concepts to see how the development issue is understood by Americans and what are the challenges. I care deeply about this, I got into politics because I was frustrated by how little I could help living in a refugee camp on the Ethiopian-Somali border. The substantive policies obviously matter most, we got some right and some wrong in Clinton years, but the results of our bipartisan research may be useful. Here's my super-concise private summary:

1. The goal is help people be able to help themselves. The size of the program is important to advocates, but Americans want to know the ultimate goal is to be helping people be able to care for themselves (like grow enough food for Africa).

2. We all agree that kids should be a key focus and the best reason for any program is the moral importance. It's fine to acknowledge we do these programs for a variety of pragmatic reasons, but the most powerful reason is moral. It is the right thing to do.

3. We mostly all agree it is ok to spend money on these programs, but we should always note how we are fighting for reform too. The two concepts are not antagonists, but partners. And we are partners with other countries, this is not hand outs. There are mutual responsibilities.

4. Corruption is a major reason why people, rightly, think the programs don't work.

5. Even as folks are skeptical of the big promise, they still want us to try.

Let me know if this is useful, we have a lot more insights if helpful. And I think this is the most important substantive issue -- how to get these policies right.

Tom

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 5:40 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Gov Richardson

FYI

----- Original Message -----
From: Tong, Kurt W
To: Davies, Glyn T; Arvizu, Alex A; Kim, Sung Y; Cormack, Maureen E; Richardson, Eric N; Park, Pamela P;
[redacted] B6
Sent: Sun Apr 05 16:15:05 2009
Subject: Re: Gov Richardson

Gov R called back. I gave him a general brief on the launch and we discussed the call last night from KMG re a possible trip. Gov R said that he would continue to "stay under the radar" on the matter, "despite many interview requests." He added that he has been talking to Lisa Ling of the View and urging her to also stay low-profile, although he said she may feel that she has to speak out soon. He agreed to keep in touch with us over the next few days.

KT

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 8:55 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Haiti Dinner Invite List

Yes – we can discuss – and in the interim we'll check on the additional names you listed.

We did not ask [redacted] yet – he would be on staff list as opposed to outside guest (eg., WH folks will be with DOS folks) B6

Will ask AMS re: [redacted]

Also asked [redacted] but they did not get back yet with any names.

cdm

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 7:54 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Haiti Dinner Invite List

[redacted] B5

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Wed, 8 Apr 2009 17:51:56 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: FW: Haiti Dinner Invite List
Final Dinner List – FYI (excluding DOS invitees)

Invite List: Haiti Policy Dinner

Cheryl Little
Florida Immigrant Advocacy Center (FIAC)
*advocate and expert on Haitian immigration
Tel: [redacted]

Nicole Lee
Executive Director of Transafrica Forum
*Lawyer, worked for a year in Haiti
[redacted]

Susana Barciela
Former Miami Herald Editorial Page member
now FIAC

*advocate, chronicled Haitian political developments and immigration
Tel:

B6

Ivelaw Lloyd Griffith, Ph.D.
Professor of Political Science
Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs
CUNY College
*expert on Caribbean security
Tel:

Brian Concannon
Director of the Institute for Justice and Democracy in Haiti
*Lead lawyer in Raboteau case

Paul Collier
Professor of Economics
Oxford University
*expert in development economics, author of Haiti special report commissioned by the UN SYG

Fax:+44-(0)1865 281447

Nicholas Kristof
Columnist
New York Times
*has recently been writing on and from Haiti

Eduardo Gamarra
Professor of Political Science
Florida International University
*advisor to govt of Dominican Republic on regional security issues

Fax: (305) 348-3593

Mark Schneider
Senior Vice Presient/LatAm advisor
International Crisis Group
*Haiti among areas of expertise/most recent report "Haiti 2009: Stability At Risk," March 2009

Paul Farmer
Professor of Medical Anthropology, Harvard; Founder, Partners in Health
*got start in pro-poor health care with PIH's first clinic in central Haiti

Fax: 617-432-5300

Bob Pastor
Professor of International Affairs, American University

* served as the Senior Advisor to the Carter-Nunn-Powell Mission to restore constitutional government in Haiti in 1994.

B6

Dan Erikson
Senior Associate, Inter-American Dialogue
*frequent contributor to Miami Herald, LA Times, and Washington Post on Caribbean affairs

Leonie Hermantin
Little Haiti Housing and Accion USA
*one of 40 people cited by the Miami Herald for special recognition as up and coming leaders in South Florida.

Marleine Bastien
Fanm Ayisyen Nan Miyami, Inc. (Haitian Women of Miami)
*leading voice of Haitian community in Miami
Tel:

Johanna Mendelson-Foreman
Center for Strategic and International Studies
*worked on the U.S.-Brazil biofuels project, including in Haiti, and is known to us.
Tel:

Dan Erikson
Inter-American Dialogue
*director of Caribbean Programs at Inter-American Dialogue and manages a project on the politics of development aid to Haiti.

Bob Perito
U.S. Institute for Peace
*coordinator of the Peacekeeping Lessons Learned Project and the Haiti Working Group at USIP

Robert Fatton
University of Virginia, Political Science Department
*Haitian-American professor of Political Science; has written extensively on Haiti.

Mark Weisbrot
Co-Founder of Center for Economic and Policy Research
*Worked in Haiti in the late 90s

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 1:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Haiti Draft -- Attached and Below in Text
Attachments: Haiti donors 041109 12p.doc

April 11, 2009 12pm
Word count: 2,392 (19 min)
Rooney (x7-7203, 202-431-6498)

SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON REMARKS TO THE HAITI DONORS CONFERENCE Washington, D.C. Tuesday, April 14, 2009

For some of us, Haiti is a neighbor. For others, a place of historic and cultural ties. For all of us, a test of collective commitment and resolve.

Some may ask why a small nation in the middle of the Caribbean Sea should command our attention today. Why countries in the Western Hemisphere, Europe, the Middle East and Asia should offer assistance to Haiti in the midst of a global economic downturn that has shaken us all.

We're gathered at this conference because what happens in Haiti will resound far beyond the Caribbean and beyond the Americas. The success or failure of this one small nation has economic, social and political implications, not just for its 9 million citizens, but for a region whose future will be shaped by the strength of our commitment to achieve economic recovery, political progress and wider opportunity for people from Portland to Porto Alegre to Port-au-Prince.

The United States is here, first and foremost, because it is the right thing to do for our neighbor and friend. Our ties to Haiti reach back to the early years of both our nations and have endured for generations-through our struggles for independence; through the defeat of slavery in Haiti, which inspired slaves and abolitionists in the U.S. to press on with their fight for freedom; and more recently, through the hundreds of thousands of Haitians who emigrated to the United States and strengthened it through their contributions in politics, business, science, sports and culture-the benefits of which I experienced firsthand as a senator representing New York.

We are also here because we are committed to creating a hemisphere in which every nation-no matter their present level of wealth or current political circumstances-is moving in the same direction, toward greater peace, prosperity, freedom and opportunity.

With Haiti, we have the chance through global cooperation and collaboration to stand in solidarity with a people who are seeking to march forward. A nation where small investments and assistance from other countries are beginning to reap dividends in economic growth, wider access to health care, stronger governmental institutions, greater safety and security-and the higher quality of life that results when the material conditions of people's lives improve.

Today, Haiti is the poorest nation in the hemisphere, with one of the region's biggest gaps between the haves and have-nots. But in 2006, Haiti had its highest economic growth since the 1990s. It is on track to reach the completion point for the IMF's Heavily Indebted Poor Countries Initiative in the next few months, which means significant debt relief is on the

way, which will free up approximately $4 million a month-money that Haiti can invest directly in improving the lives of its people and building a future of self-sufficiency and confidence.

Haiti has the region's highest rate of HIV/AIDS, the highest rate of maternal mortality, and the highest rate of child mortality. But the numbers of HIV infections and child and maternal deaths are all coming down.

Not long ago, Haiti endured three decades of a brutal dictatorship. But the national and presidential elections in 2006 jump-started Haiti's democracy, and President Preval and Prime Minister Pierre Louis are seeking to maintain a strong commitment to democratic governance, which will take another step forward on Sunday with elections for the Senate.

Like many nations, including the United States, Haiti struggles against crime, particularly the global scourge of drug trafficking. But reforms to improve policing, strengthen the justice system and fight corruption are now underway, and a peacekeeping force led by Brazil has helped bring stability to many Haitian communities.

Haiti made these strides with help from its own citizens and many of the nations and institutions represented here today. And this progress did not come only through government-to-government partnerships, but also through links among businesses, universities, NGOs, religious and cultural groups, and individuals-the full range of resources and relationships, which is the heart of smart power.

That trajectory of progress has been undermined by the combined winds of hurricanes and a global economic recession. Haiti is in danger of stalling.
This conference gives us an opportunity to reignite its path to progress and work as a team-with Haiti at the helm-to advance a comprehensive, long-term strategy for Haiti's growth, by coordinating hemispheric and international efforts, targeting clear goals, and deploying our diverse skills and resources efficiently and effectively.

President Preval and Prime Minister Pierre Louis have identified what help Haiti needs to get back on track. With these priorities as our guide and Haiti as our partner, we can make high-impact investments that will help lay the foundation for stronger democratic institutions, a vibrant civil society, and an open market economy that creates more stability, attracts international investment, and offers more jobs and wider economic opportunities for Haiti and our region as a whole.

Now is the time to step up our investment in Haiti-not because the situation is so dire, but because the consequences of inaction could lead to significantly larger costs in both human and financial terms down the road.
With $100, we can pay for a year of schooling for one Haitian child or immunize five. That's a tiny fraction of the cost of solving these problems if they escalate over time.

The United States will target our support toward three areas that the Haitian government has requested, which are aimed at promoting conditions that are essential for national and regional progress.

First, the Haitian people need to be secure. They must be able to travel safely to work and school and participate in the civic lives of their communities without fear of violence.

Second, the country needs stronger infrastructure, particularly roads, which are the circulatory system of any robust economy. Meanwhile, the people of Haiti are ready to work, but jobs are in short supply. We can accomplish two things at once by putting people to work building roads and other infrastructure throughout Haiti.

And third, last year's hurricanes blew a hole in the government's budget.
Now Haiti is facing a huge deficit, which will make it harder to provide services in the short-term or fund major projects in the long-term. Their debt obligations further constrain their ability to lay the groundwork for the future.

On each of these issues-security, jobs and infrastructure, and debt relief-the United States is lending its assistance and seeking to partner with other nations to maximize our collective impact.

First, security. Effective international partnerships have already helped stem violence and improve security in Haiti. Cite Soleil, for example, was a no-man's-land for years, but today, violence has subsided and a new sense of freedom is felt in the streets.

The Haitian National Police have been supported in their work by the UN peacekeeping operation in Haiti, which is the first in the hemisphere in which Latin American and Caribbean nations have participated. Brazil has led the mission with determination and skill. This level of engagement and collaboration is a major step forward for our region.

But criminal networks operating in Haiti have not been eliminated. Haiti continues to fight drug traffickers who have made this country a distribution point for illegal drugs headed to the United States, Canada and Europe.

Drug trafficking is a problem that involves many nations, all of which have a role to play in ending this deadly trade. That includes the United States. We will give $2 million to fight drug trafficking in Haiti through the Merida Initiative, a plan conceived by Mexico, Central America and the United States. This money will fund a secure communications network for the Haitian police; provide a maritime base, vehicles and operational support for police drug units; provide training to promote cross-border cooperation between Haiti and the Dominican Republic; and sharpen the investigation and prosecution of drug crimes.

Once security is established, opportunity can take root. Nations from Canada to Spain to Japan offered generous assistance to help repair the damage from last year's storms. Now it is time to take the next step-to move beyond peacekeeping and disaster relief to long-term reconstruction and development.

Haiti has the highest unemployment rate in the hemisphere; 70 percent of its people don't have jobs. It also has one of the region's highest population growth rates. Together, these trends have created what one development expert has called a "youth tsunami"-nearly 1 million youths are expected to come into the job market in the next five years.

To spur the creation of jobs, the United States passed the HOPE Act in 2006, to give garments made in Haiti tariff-free access to the U.S. market. Last October, we extended this trade preference for another decade. Apparel is one of the largest sectors in Haiti's economy. We see great potential for job creation in this field, and we are gratified by Brazil's interest in supporting the Haitian apparel industry as well.

But to build a diversified economy, Haiti needs more than trade deals. It also needs the infrastructure to support the flow of goods and services. The roads in Haiti are beyond inadequate; many communities are isolated by the lack of a passable road, which prevents people from holding jobs, children from going to school, and farmers from bringing their crops to market.
Better roads could facilitate greater trade and bring more Haitians into the global economy.

Haiti also needs better roads in tourist areas, to promote that sector of the economy. Other urgent infrastructure needs include digging water catchments to prevent floods, completing a garment workers' training center, and creating canals to help irrigation.

As part of the $287 million in non-emergency assistance we will provide to Haiti this year, we have authorized $20 million in aid to generate jobs, to help people put their skills to work building their country's future. We look forward to working with Haiti to distribute that money quickly and effectively, to trigger a virtuous circle for long-term economic growth.

All the fiscal responsibility in the world can't prevent a natural disaster.
The hurricanes didn't just wash away crops and houses; they also washed away
months of government planning for the future. Haiti is facing an
approximately $50 million budget deficit, which could undermine Haiti's long-term ambitions as well as services that people depend on to survive.

The United States will provide $20 million to pay Haiti's upcoming debt service obligations, to free Haiti to use its resources to fill that budget gap.

[There is a fourth urgent need that the United States is committed to helping Haiti resolve. The combined effect of rising food prices globally and the destruction of crops by the hurricanes have exposed millions of Haitians to malnutrition and its destructive effects on health and productivity. Malnourished people are often too weak to work; chronically hungry children struggle to learn in school. Food insecurity is not only a source of suffering, but a direct threat to economic growth and global stability. The United States will provide a $15-million in-kind contribution of food to help Haiti as it continues to rebuild.]

These are some of the ways in which the United States is ready to partner with Haiti and other nations. But there are additional pieces of the larger mission that need support-from helping Haiti adapt to climate change so future hurricanes won't claim thousands of lives; to strengthening health systems; promoting sustainable agriculture; and developing civil society.

This work is not only a matter for governments, but also a mission for our people. Here in the U.S., I have heard from many individuals and groups who care about Haiti and want to help it develop but don't know how to invest their time and money in a way that will make a real impact. We should think creatively about helping people outside government get involved in a meaningful way with Haiti's future. Our people are our most valuable resource, and their potential to help in places like Haiti has not yet been tapped.

We know from empirical data that small investments go a long way. This is something I've seen for myself in my own visits to Haiti. I traveled there with my husband shortly after our wedding, and again years later as First Lady. I met a doctor who had emigrated to the United States and became a U.S. Air Force Colonel, but returned to his home town of Pignon to run a center for health, women's literacy and microcredit. He and his staff had few resources, but they offered a comprehensive range of services to thousands of clients.

I visited a family-planning clinic where young people were trained to educate their peers about how to protect their health and prevent teen pregnancy.

And I met with women from a group called Women in Democracy. These women had attended a global conference on women's leadership in Montevideo, Uruguay, and when they returned home, they created this organization to support Haitian women running for office.

Eleven years later, their organization is larger and stronger than ever, and so is their mission. In addition to supporting women candidates, they also hold trade fairs for women entrepreneurs, run civic education programs to teach women their rights, support women journalists, and build connections between women throughout the Caribbean.

These Haitian women remind us that we will never achieve real progress if we leave millions of people behind. And they demonstrate a powerful commitment on the part of the Haitian people to participate in and lead their nation's recovery. Our role is to support their work however we can, and to demonstrate through our actions our belief that their future is as important as our own.

What happens next in Haiti will send a message to the world about the power of collaboration on behalf of every poor nation that has worked hard to earn a foothold in the global economy but has tumbled in recent months.

On behalf of every man and woman in the hemisphere, from the northern expanses of Canada to the southern tip of Argentina, who is working harder than ever with fewer resources and for smaller rewards. and the children whose futures have been jeopardized by forces far beyond their control. let us prove our commitment to an economic recovery that reaches not only the citizens of our individual nations but all people everywhere.

##

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: newmyer [redacted] on behalf of Jackie Newmyer <newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 9:50 PM
To: H
Subject: this week?

Secretary Clinton,

I hope that all is well back in the States and just wanted to let you know that I will be in Washington Wednesday. If you might have a minute to spare to follow up on your last message in person or by phone this week or over the weekend, I would very much appreciate the opportunity. Congratulations on the Europe trip!

Yours sincerely, Jackie

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
[redacted]
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: Great Wpost article
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:59 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Any news?
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 5:52 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: FW: Haiti Dinner Invite List
Attachments: 2009-04-08 Dinner Invite List.docx

Final Dinner List – FYI (excluding DOS invitees)

Invite List: Haiti Policy Dinner

Cheryl Little
Florida Immigrant Advocacy Center (FIAC)
*advocate and expert on Haitian immigration
Tel: [redacted] B6

Nicole Lee
Executive Director of Transafrica Forum
*Lawyer, worked for a year in Haiti
[redacted]

Susana Barciela
Former Miami Herald Editorial Page member
now FIAC
*advocate, chronicled Haitian political developments and immigration
Tel: [redacted]

Ivelaw Lloyd Griffith, Ph.D.
Professor of Political Science
Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs
CUNY College
*expert on Caribbean security
Tel: [redacted]

Brian Concannon
Director of the Institute for Justice and Democracy in Haiti
*Lead lawyer in Raboteau case
[redacted]

Paul Collier
Professor of Economics
Oxford University
*expert in development economics, author of Haiti special report commissioned by the UN SYG
[redacted]
Fax:+44-(0)1865 281447

Nicholas Kristof

Columnist
New York Times
*has recently been writing on and from Haiti

Eduardo Gamarra
Professor of Political Science
Florida International University
*advisor to govt of Dominican Republic on regional security issues
Tel:
Fax: (305) 348-3593
E-mail

B6

Mark Schneider
Senior Vice Presient/LatAm advisor
International Crisis Group
*Haiti among areas of expertise/most recent report "Haiti 2009: Stability At Risk," March 2009

Paul Farmer
Professor of Medical Anthropology, Harvard; Founder, Partners in Health
*got start in pro-poor health care with PIH's first clinic in central Haiti
Tel:
Fax: 617-432-5300

Bob Pastor
Professor of International Affairs, American University
* served as the Senior Advisor to the Carter-Nunn-Powell Mission to restore constitutional government in Haiti in 1994.

Dan Erikson
Senior Associate, Inter-American Dialogue
*frequent contributor to Miami Herald, LA Times, and Washington Post on Caribbean affairs

Leonie Hermantin
Little Haiti Housing and Accion USA
*one of 40 people cited by the Miami Herald for special recognition as up and coming leaders in South Florida.

Marleine Bastien
Fanm Ayisyen Nan Miyami, Inc. (Haitian Women of Miami)
*leading voice of Haitian community in Miami

Johanna Mendelson-Foreman
Center for Strategic and International Studies
*worked on the U.S.-Brazil biofuels project, including in Haiti, and is known to us.

Tel:

Dan Erikson
Inter-American Dialogue
*director of Caribbean Programs at Inter-American Dialogue and manages a project on the politics of development aid to Haiti.

Bob Perito
U.S. Institute for Peace
*coordinator of the Peacekeeping Lessons Learned Project and the Haiti Working Group at USIP

Robert Fatton
University of Virginia, Political Science Department
*Haitian-American professor of Political Science; has written extensively on Haiti.

Mark Weisbrot
Co-Founder of Center for Economic and Policy Research
*Worked in Haiti in the late 90s

RELEASE IN PART B6

Invite List: Haiti Policy Dinner

Cheryl Little
Florida Immigrant Advocacy Center (FIAC)
*advocate and expert on Haitian immigration
Tel:

B6

Nicole Lee
Executive Director of Transafrica Forum
*Lawyer, worked for a year in Haiti

Susana Barciela
Former Miami Herald Editorial Page member
now FIAC
*advocate, chronicled Haitian political developments and immigration

Ivelaw Lloyd Griffith, Ph.D.
Professor of Political Science
Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs
CUNY College
*expert on Caribbean security
Tel:

Brian Concannon
Director of the Institute for Justice and Democracy in Haiti
*Lead lawyer in Raboteau case

Paul Collier
Professor of Economics
Oxford University
*expert in development economics, author of Haiti special report commissioned by the UN SYG

Fax:+44-(0)1865 281447

Nicholas Kristof
Columnist
New York Times
*has recently been writing on and from Haiti

Eduardo Gamarra
Professor of Political Science
Florida International University
*advisor to govt of Dominican Republic on regional security issues

Tel: [redacted] B6
Fax: (305) 348-3593
E[redacted]

Mark Schneider
Senior Vice Presient/LatAm advisor
International Crisis Group
*Haiti among areas of expertise/most recent report "Haiti 2009: Stability At Risk," March 2009
[redacted]

Paul Farmer
Professor of Medical Anthropology, Harvard; Founder, Partners in Health
*got start in pro-poor health care with PIH's first clinic in central Haiti
[redacted]
Fax: 617-432-5300
[redacted]

Bob Pastor
Professor of International Affairs, American University
* served as the Senior Advisor to the Carter-Nunn-Powell Mission to restore constitutional government in Haiti in 1994.
[redacted]

Dan Erikson
Senior Associate, Inter-American Dialogue
*frequent contributor to Miami Herald, LA Times, and Washington Post on Caribbean affairs
[redacted]

Leonie Hermantin
Little Haiti Housing and Accion USA
*one of 40 people cited by the Miami Herald for special recognition as up and coming leaders in South Florida.
[redacted]

Marleine Bastien
Fanm Ayisyen Nan Miyami, Inc. (Haitian Women of Miami)
*leading voice of Haitian community in Miami
[redacted]

Johanna Mendelson-Foreman
Center for Strategic and International Studies
*worked on the U.S.-Brazil biofuels project, including in Haiti, and is known to us.
Tel: [redacted]

Dan Erikson
Inter-American Dialogue
*director of Caribbean Programs at Inter-American Dialogue and manages a project on the politics of development aid to Haiti.

B6

Bob Perito
U.S. Institute for Peace
*coordinator of the Peacekeeping Lessons Learned Project and the Haiti Working Group at USIP

Robert Fatton
University of Virginia, Political Science Department
*Haitian-American professor of Political Science; has written extensively on Haiti.

Mark Weisbrot
Co-Founder of Center for Economic and Policy Research
*Worked in Haiti in the late 90s

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills[redacted]> B6
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 2:00 PM
To: 'Cheryl Mills'
Subject: FW: Great Wpost article

As is often the case, I have to read in the paper what David is up to rather than learn it at home . . . but perhaps I wouldn't have it any other way.

cdm

The Washington Post

School of Second Chances

The teachers at Oak Hill Academy approach their jobs with the faith that even the most hardened juvenile delinquents can achieve -- and the knowledge that many still won't

By Karen Houppert
Sunday, April 12, 2009; W18

The six teenage boys, incarcerated at the District's Oak Hill juvenile detention facility in Laurel file into their classroom after lunch one late January afternoon. They are surprised to see strangers -- five women and two men -- sitting in the chairs that the boys typically occupy.

The students find some empty seats and shrug out of their matching brown coats and mismatched scarves. They are curious about the visitors in a lean-back, fold-your-arms, prove-it kind of way.

"I'm James Forman," begins a 40-something man. "I'm a professor at Georgetown Law School and -- "

"You related to *the* James Forman?" interrupts 17-year-old Carleto Bailey.

"I'm James Forman Jr."

"That your father? James Forman your dad?" Carleto demands.

"Yes, I -- "

"Wasn't he some big civil rights guy? NAACP? Or SNCC?"

"SNCC," Forman says, seemingly surprised that Carleto has heard of his father, who was executive secretary of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee for years in the 1960s before becoming active with the Black Panthers.

"He's a good guy," Carleto continues. "You tell him that."

Forman smiles. "He passed a few years ago, but he would be happy to hear this," the professor says. He scans the room. The Oak Hill students, all African American, are dressed identically in khaki pants and royal-blue polo shirts. They have chosen seats on the edges of the room and, after sitting down, have pushed their chairs back as far as possible against the wall.

Forman's Georgetown law students -- African American, Arab, Latino, Caucasian -- are in jeans and sweaters. They lean forward, intent and maybe a little bit nervous. "I teach a class on juvenile justice at the law school," Forman continues. "And I thought this would be a really good way for law students to learn about juvenile justice. There is a certain amount you can learn from reading, but you also need to see and experience things. So I thought they would learn a lot from coming out here and hearing about your experiences."

Carleto raises an eyebrow. This is a new one.

"And the benefit for you is that they know things about the law that you don't. Ya'll have certain things you know. They have certain things they know. It can be a learning opportunity for everybody."

Forman suggests they go around the room and share their names and an interesting fact about themselves.

Dead silence.

He smiles encouragingly. "My name is James Forman, and I helped to start this organization, See Forever, that now runs your school," he says.

Carleto, who has been leaning back on the two rear legs of his chair, brings it down with a bang that sends his short dreads swinging forward and his black plastic rectangular glasses sliding down his nose.

A few Georgetown students jump.

He looks out over the top of his glasses. "My name's Carleto Bailey," he says. "My interesting fact is that I'm funny."

He gives a wide smile of bright white teeth. The Georgetown students laugh. Oak Hill student Ashawntea Henderson, 17, who sits next to him, rolls his eyes. But Carleto's not done: "And I'm smart."

But as it turns out, these Georgetown folks -- like his other teachers at Oak Hill Academy -- aren't going to accept Carleto's assertion at face value. They want more than good grades, more than the right answers on a multiple-choice test. They want him to prove that he can think.

Forman shows the group an excerpt of a film about juveniles incarcerated at New York's Rikers Island. Afterward, the law students want to know whether a label makes a difference. It's a hard question. "Inmates? Residents? Scholars?" one of them asks. "Does it matter what you're called?"

Carleto studies the woman's face to discern what she wants to hear back. It is blank. He struggles. "Not so much," he says, finally. He looks at her. She seems unconvinced. He worries that he has given the wrong answer and tries again.

"Back in the community, there are certain [police] patrols in the neighborhood who say, 'I know what you're up to.' When we come home, some of us are trying to do right, but they basically criticize us because you're already labeled."

"What are the labels?" Forman asks.

This one is easy for Carleto. He sinks down in his chair and throws it out.

"Trouble," he says.

***

Carleto Bailey is trouble. He is also, as he rightly noted, smart. But he has arrived at Oak Hill Academy with a few disadvantages: He has been poorly educated in the D.C. public schools, was frequently truant and has had multiple run-ins with the law. The D.C. Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services, which runs Oak Hill, won't release information on the specific crime that landed Carleto here because he is still a juvenile, but we do know this is Carleto's second time at the detention center. His academic knowledge is spotty at best. He can tell you who James Forman is, but not where the comma falls in a letter's greeting. ("Dear, Fidel" he writes in a mock letter to Castro during English class.) He is a fairly typical Oak Hill "scholar."

And, once upon a time, he would have been written off. But today, staff at the fledgling Oak Hill Academy are determined to view the kids' captive time here as a window of opportunity to fill in some of the gaping holes in their education. Part of the reform initiative of Vincent Schiraldi, director of Youth Rehabilitation Services, the school opened its doors only last year and takes a unique approach to teaching delinquent youth.

What would happen, Oak Hill Academy co-founders Forman and David Domenici wondered, if you seduced these kids with content that was meaningful to them? What would happen if they had lots of good, caring teachers in small classrooms? What if one assumed the kids could learn -- and behaved as such? In other words, what would happen if the District's most challenged students got a private school education, albeit on a campus of a decidedly different nature?

"The bottom line," says Domenici, "is that the kids most at risk need the highest quality programming, but they've gotten the worst."

It is this conviction that drove Domenici, who is also the school's principal, and Forman to create three public charter schools for underprivileged and troubled students in the District over the past 11 years. Called the Maya Angelou schools, the two high schools and middle school attack educational deficiencies from all angles. Students attend small classes taught by specially trained staff. The high school day stretches from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., with three meals provided. Some kids, whose home lives prove too chaotic for study, are offered supervised housing in the neighborhood. And it works. Last year, 93 percent of Maya Angelou graduates were accepted into two- or four-year colleges, Domenici says. (According to the District's Office of the State Superintendent of Education, 29 percent of students who enter ninth grade in D.C. public schools and city charter schools enroll in postsecondary educational programs within 18 months of graduating high school.)

It is a good model. But even though Domenici and Forman were used to teaching court-involved youth -- about 40 percent of Maya Angelou students had spent some time at Oak Hill -- working inside the gates posed a new set of challenges. Youth Rehabilitation Services has been under a court monitor for 23 years due in part to a history of abuse and poor conditions. When Schiraldi took over the department in 2005 and began his reform efforts by implementing a less punitive and more therapeutic detention model at Oak Hill, he saw immediately that changing the school was essential. "How could I look at my staff at Oak Hill and say we are about excellence when the kids were sitting in a school that was a total waste of time from 9 to 3 every day?" Schiraldi says. Kids sat and watched movies all day. Little, if any teaching was going on, Schiraldi says. "It was a miserable, miserable school."

Schiraldi immediately began lobbying the D.C. Board of Education hard to hire someone new to operate the school, and as soon as he got the okay, he put out a request for proposals. Domenici and Forman submitted a

plan that Schiraldi says "blew the other ones out of the water," and in 2007 were awarded a $12 million contract to run the school for the next three years.

Domenici's and Forman's partnership is a somewhat unlikely one. Domenici is the son of former New Mexico Republican senator Pete Domenici. He went to Stanford Law School, worked in corporate law for a few years and then gave it up to teach and work with teen delinquents. Forman is the grandson of Jessica Mitford, who was the daughter of a British baron and a celebrated civil rights activist and journalist. Forman, who graduated from Yale Law School, worked as a law clerk for Justice Sandra Day O'Connor and as a D.C. public defender. After seeing how few good schooling options his teenage clients had, he joined forces with Domenici, whom he met through a mutual friend, to start an independent school for 20 students in 1997. A year later, it became part of the first Maya Angelou public charter school.

At Oak Hill Academy, principal Domenici fights with an occasionally maddening bureaucracy for basics: functioning boilers, permission for a college tour for a group of six students, classes uninterrupted by corrections staff who sometimes will call three-quarters of the students out in the middle of class to take their meds. Forman is chairman of the Maya Angelou Public Charter School Board of Directors and board member of its parent group, the See Forever Foundation. He attacks hurdles from the other side, fundraising and advocating for juvenile justice reform.

"When David came out to run the school here, it was like "Ocean's 12": Go find all the people you can trust from your previous mission," Forman jokes. That included past colleagues and even a few Maya Angelou graduates. Samantha Crandal Simpore, who had been at a then-coed Oak Hill herself when she was 15 on an accessory assault charge, went to a Maya Angelou school and now runs the Oak Hill Academy welcome center. "I tell them, I was here, too," Simpore says. She tells each new student about how she was 17 years old and didn't know her multiplication tables, how she rode the bus to school each day hooked up to her Walkman, hoping no one knew she was listening to a recitation of times tables. "I say: 'You're at an intersection in your life. You know what's down the street behind you. Nothing you can do about that. But you have a choice about what's up the road ahead.' "

Many of the 90 Oak Hill students, ranging in age from 14 to 19, come in performing way below grade level, and nearly half have been diagnosed with learning disabilities. They are at Oak Hill for an average of 10 months, cycling in and out on a schedule that has nothing to do with a nine-month academic year. Out of necessity, they are grouped according to the cell blocks they live on to keep opposing gangs separate. Thirteen-year-olds can be in classes with 18-year-olds. More than half have been committed to Oak Hill for violent felonies. Fights are common. (Before the month is out, Carleto will get into a fistfight in the hallway that will delay his release date.)

Through the use of small classes -- typically five to 14 teens work with one teacher, a teaching assistant and a guard -- the school organizes the curriculum around four-week themes designed to pique students' curiosity and get them to think critically about the nature of democracy, social justice and their role in the world. For example, during the Relationship theme, students parse the types of relationships ranging from those between humans and the natural world (ancient river civilizations) to those between individuals and groups (Pharaohs and their subjects) to those between humans and ideas (liberation theology). At the same time, in English class, students will be working on the standard five-paragraph essay via the guiding question: "Why do relationships matter, and how can relationships be both good and bad for people?" With the current unit, Change, the D.C. public school system's learning standards are woven into the social studies curriculum under loose headings such as "Changes in the Way Humans Think About the World and Their Place in It," which becomes a way of introducing the scientific revolution, the Enlightenment and the Magna Carta. It's a philosophy that emphasizes learning through making cross-discipline connections long popular in affluent, progressive schools but typically eschewed in schools for underprivileged kids, where rote learning and a return to such basics often rule.

Oak Hill Academy has also created a course called Advocacy, which the Georgetown law students are co-teaching, emphasizing this month's theme. Domenici believes learning how the law works in a democracy "is essential to teaching them to be good citizens."

"We always believed that one of our roles should be to help kids self-advocate or advocate on behalf of a community," Domenici says. "We think this is a good skill for students individually and also one that our democracy demands."

But this is an ambitious agenda, and Oak Hill Academy faces significant obstacles. Can you teach teens to think critically when they're missing the basic underpinnings of education? How do you teach empowerment in a setting that demands rigid compliance to keep kids from misbehaving?

Although he sometimes grows discouraged, Domenici does his best to buoy his staff members when they learn that one of their most promising students got into a fight on the unit or "graduated" from the program only to commit another crime and be sent back. "I talk to staff about the fact that, day to day, our job is to do the absolute best work you can in the domain that is within your control," he says, reminding them that they don't control all the pieces of the puzzle. "And if you do that well, you have done the moral and just thing."

His staff members must keep the faith while straddling what are to them two equally potent realities: First, knowledge is power, and through possessing it, every student is capable of success. And, absent the right support, failure lurks right around the corner. It sounds like this: "I think Carleto has a good chance of finishing high school and going on to college," Domenici says. Pause. "Also, he is highly at risk of not doing that."

***

It is a cold day in late January, and Oak Hill is in the midst of the four-week session on change. Dressed in jeans, a blue shirt and a gray corduroy jacket (the heat's not working), Oak Hill social studies teacher John Adams paces around the room and then stops to bend over Carleto, who is doodling on a piece of paper at his desk.

"Pay attention," he says, taking Carleto's pencil. "Carleto, what is today's essential question?"

"What is historical change, and how is it different from other kinds of change?" Carleto says, reading from the board where Adams has scrawled his topic.

"Yes," Adams says. He ignores a student who is wandering around the room aimlessly, until the student snatches a hat off a classmate and tosses it toward the hallway. A youth development specialist, which is how corrections guards are referred to under Schiraldi, appears to be deeply engrossed in a magazine in the back of the room. But she snatches the hat from mid-air, scowls at the disruptive student and tucks the hat in her pocket. "Please sit," Adams tells the student.

Not quite sitting, not quite standing, the student perches on the edge of Adams's desk.

Good enough.

Adams continues: "What's the difference between personal change and historical change?"

Silence.

"Anybody?

More silence.

"Ashawntea?"

"Historical change is like a revolution," Ashawntea says.

Adams throws out a list of changes -- getting a raise in salary, moving to a new house, the election of President Obama -- and Carleto accurately sorts the changes into micro and macro, personal and historical.

"Obama's campaign was all about change," Adams says. "Do you think he is talking historical change?" He wants the students to think about the president, who has been in office now for two days. What might his first 100 days look like? he asks the students. "What advice would you offer him?"

Carleto looks stumped.

"Just throw out some ideas," Adams prompts. He tells the students that they are going to craft their own letters to the president, advising him on how to set his agenda for change.

Carleto slaps his pencil down on the desk. "Obama's not reading our letters," he says. He folds his arms across his chest, skeptical that the president will lay eyes on this letter -- and skeptical, perhaps, that the teachers really want to hear his ideas.

***

Later, Carleto will acknowledge that he knows quite a bit about the president, as he is reading Barack Obama's "Dreams From My Father." "It started off as a good book, but it got excellent," he says. He struggles hard to articulate the book's themes and appeal. "I don't know how to put it," he says finally, "but growing up with -- no disrespect, but -- a Caucasian mom and an African father, he still made it through."

Sitting in a guard's room off the gym on a February evening, the echoing sound of classmates bouncing basketballs around the cavernous gym, Carleto goes on to tell his own possibly apocryphal story.

"As a child, he was very fun, happy -- as far as kindergarten through sixth grade. Starting in first grade, he was on the honor roll and was also making it to school every day," he says, beginning in third person, but quickly lapsing into first. "In the late stages of middle school, at the end of eighth grade, I started smoking weed, doing stuff I wasn't supposed to be doing."

He takes full responsibility. "No one peer-pressured me or anything. I was liking what was going on when I was high," he explains. He, like almost all the teens at Oak Hill, denies being involved in a gang. Still, Carleto says that his best friend since elementary school was killed in 10th grade in "a neighborhood thing." "Nowadays, anyone can just ride up on you, and you can be mistaken for someone else and be shot," he says, explaining that his buddy was shot because of a "miscommunication." "It wasn't intended for us," he says, insisting that he and his friend, nicknamed "Smoke," were just waiting for the bus. Then he announces, "I don't want to talk about it."

So he doesn't, though it clearly haunts him: He will doodle "R.I.P. Smoke" on the cover of his blue folder or on a piece of loose-leaf paper or the corner of the chalkboard.

He's happy to talk about Oak Hill Academy though, where he has a 3.5 grade-point average after six months. (Domenici says Carleto is in the top 25 percent of students at Oak Hill and tests fairly high on assessments.) Carleto likes the school even though it is a bit easy for him, being a senior in with freshman. He complains that

the classes are too short and that the guards are always interrupting them to move on just when the class is getting warmed up. That really bugs him, but he doesn't say much. He says that he will be leaving Oak Hill in two months and intends to finish his schooling at Oak Hill's transition center in the District, from which he says he will graduate this June. When he gets out, there are a couple of things he wants to do right off, he says. First, he intends to see his girlfriend, then go out to a restaurant and then go to "the Adidas shop in Georgetown, where my mom will get me some stuff -- and my dad, too."

He says his mom was pretty upset when he got arrested and sent to Oak Hill. "She was . . . I'm going to say she was kind of like surprised at my actions, what I done," Carleto says. "You know how they say 'Whatever hides in the dark, comes out?' . . . She was not ashamed of me, but disappointed in me." Meanwhile, she makes the long, 45-minute trek from the District to Laurel to visit. "Every weekend, both days!" he says.

He is alternately vague and specific when he describes his family life. He has four brothers -- ages 27, 23, 16 and 11 -- and reports that he and his two younger brothers live with his mom. He says his mom works as a secretary, but he struggles to remember where. "She was working with, what's that program downtown by the Washington Monument, dealing with Smokey the Bear? . . . I'm trying to think. It's right by the Holocaust Museum. It's a brick building. She works there."

Later, Carleto's school case worker, Essence Jones, will offer a somewhat different account of Carleto's life. Although Carleto is in 12th grade, he will not graduate in June as he says. He is still six credits shy, Jones says. And it will be at least three months -- not two -- before his release.

Carleto's father is not part of his life, Jones says. The teenager had been living with his aunt before coming to Oak Hill. His mother, who has a rap sheet dating to 1982, has been in and out of jail for years, arrested repeatedly for a string of felonies and misdemeanors, including possession and distribution of drugs, as well as prostitution. She has been in prison since 2005, serving three consecutive sentences totaling seven years for assault with a dangerous weapon, attempted distribution of cocaine and violation of bail.

She is not visiting Carleto on weekends.

***

By the end of February, still in the midst of the unit on change, the Oak Hill teens file into their advocacy class, jostling each other, edgy. It is unseasonably warm outside, and they do not want to be here. Reluctantly they take their seats, and Carleto positions himself so that he can see the patch of brown grass and mud in the prison yard, visible through the door someone has wedged open. Occasionally, a breeze wafts into the room.

Today, the law students decide to tackle the topic of disproportionate minority confinement. If youths of color are 35 percent of the general population in the United States, but 62 percent of the prison population, this is disproportionate minority confinement, the law students explain. They refer the teens to a handout each has on the desk in front of him, an article excerpt from New American Media, "Racism of the Juvenile Justice System Revealed," to explain that this isn't simply because people of color commit more crimes: "African-American youths are 4.5 times more likely, and Latinos 2.3 times more likely, than white youths to be detained for identical offenses. About half of white teenagers arrested on a drug charge go home without being formally charged and drawn into the system. Only one-quarter of black teens arrested on drug charges catch a similar break."

Although youth of color are 100 percent of Oak Hill's population of inmates and, indeed, 100 percent of all 721 juvenile delinquents currently committed to Youth Rehabilitation Services care in settings across the city, disproportionate minority confinement is a hard concept for the teens to grasp. Georgetown student Abby Fee tries a pie graph, a bar graph, a sketch of disproportionate Xs and Os. Blank stares.

Then it slowly dawns on Ashawntea what they're talking about. "Hey," he says, suddenly sitting forward. "They're switched around! Basically, there's more of us juveniles in prison than white juveniles -- even though there's more of them."

"Yes," Fee says. "So the population outside the system looks a lot different from that inside the system."

Ashawntea sits back in his chair to mull this over.

Fee and the other law students move the teens from the statistics to a speculative discussion about the reasons behind these figures. They have prepared a role-playing game to coax the teens into thinking about this from different perspectives. Then, they want the students to practice advocating for change. "So, we're going to have three roles," Fee says, dividing the teens into pairs. "One group is a 15-year-old; one group is playing a math teacher; and one group is playing a city council member."

Carleto and his partner learn that they are to play a city council member who is preparing a presentation on reforming the police department. Carleto doesn't want to be a city council member. He doesn't even want to be here today. He wraps and unwraps his pencil in the bottom of his T-shirt. He puts his glasses on, then takes them off.

"How could you maintain better relationships" with police? Fee asks, settling herself into a chair to help Carleto and his partner work through this.

Carleto throws his pencil down on the table. Won't answer. She asks again. Silence. Finally, he offers a sullen challenge. "Stop harassing people for no reason?"

"How do you want them to treat people?"

"Equally," he says. He picks up the pencil and tap, tap, taps it on the desk. Fee tries to get him to be more specific.

"Don't arrest for small problems. Instead, take them home, and tell your family what you're doing," Carleto's partner says, unconsciously slipping into second person.

"And how do you think they can help crime be stopped or decreased in general?" Fee prods.

A long pause. Could be their minds are whirring busily; could be they're spacing out. Fee, a teacher before enrolling at Georgetown, takes it in stride. She taps the paper a couple of times and repeats the question.

"Don't have 10 or 15 cars patrolling and then have an area where you barely see a police car," Carleto says.

"Patrol each ward equally?" asks Fee.

Carleto writes that down, then adds: "I see a lot of cruel things they done that ain't right." Fee nods, encourages him to write it down.

Carleto's partner grabs the pencil and speaks as he writes. "The majority of police shouldn't carry guns. I could say, 'The majority of police are racist.' "

"But how would you fix that?" Fee prods.

"A camera on their car to catch them if they're doing it -- but a secret one," Carleto suggests. He sits up straighter in his chair. "And don't just pull over people because they're black. They can say anything, that's the reason why I pulled you over, because your headlights are off or whatever." Suddenly, Carleto is on a roll, and he rattles off a list of improvements.

"Call to order of the city council," says Georgetown student Dania Ayoubi.

Carleto and his partner stand in the front of the class. "Hello, I'm Mr. Jones, and I am a city councilman," Carleto says, glancing down at his paper and twisting the tail of his shirt with his free hand. "We have some complaints from the different communities and wards about police, and these are some of our suggestions about cracking down on officers." He sounds nervous as he begins the list. "Stop harassing minorities and other ethnic youths, and treat them equal to the same race that you are. Also, police don't arrest if there is a situation at the school like a fight or anything. I feel they should not be arrested but taken home. Then tell their parents why this happened and what the reason was for being stopped by the police." He moves briskly through the suggestions and twice, tentatively, tries actually making eye contact with the audience. In conclusion, he says, "verbally abusing" youth won't be tolerated any more. "Basically, we want to crack down on the officers and have them removed, if so."

Another pair of students rises to begin a presentation, but the teens are interrupted by the crackle of a guard's walkie-talkie. "Time to go!" the guard announces.

The law students are surprised -- they are not quite done -- but politely acquiesce.

"Wait!" Ashawntea says, standing up. "We got 10 more minutes."

"It will take you five minutes to get your stuff together and get your coats on," the guard says.

"But we have 10 minutes!" Carleto insists. He and Ashawntea sit back down and fold their arms. "It's only 2:20. We got 10 more minutes still."

The guard takes a beat, then shrugs and concedes Carleto's point. He goes back out into the hall to wait.

Carleto shoots Ashawntea a look of surprise. His advocacy worked.

"Yesss!" Ashawntea hisses under his breath.

Karen Houppert is a contributing writer for the Magazine. She can be reached at me@karenhouppert.com.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 5:33 AM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: Key development opinion research summarized
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 8:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: Key development opinion research summarized
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2009 8:50 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: FW: Durban Statement - Draft [REVISED]

My revisions in text in caps (or brackets for deletions) – sending to them once we speak shortly.

Cdm

STATEMENT BY ROBERT WOOD, ACTING SPOKESMAN

Durban Review Conference: U.S. Position

[redacted] B5

[end]

From: Brimmer, Esther D
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2009 1:24 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Durban Statement - Draft

Cheryl,

In Saturday, IO and USUN further developed the draft Durban statement. Earlier I sent you elements of text; I attach the latest draft for you. Susan Rice has cleared. Please send us any edits or comments in ALL CAPS. We would prepare the draft to be issued Monday.

Esther

[begin]

STATEMENT BY xxxxxxxxxx, ACTING SPOKESMAN

Durban Review Conference: U.S. Position

B5

[end]

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 7:23 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Sen. Mitchell returned your call

He is at home now
[redacted]

B6

I'll also make sure ops has the number

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, April 9, 2009 9:42 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Can you talk?

Tried you through ops
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
ReplyTo: Hrc New
Sent: Apr 9, 2009 9:19 PM
Subject: Can you talk?

I'm in the car on the way home.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: wburns66 B6
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 1:07 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Fried Turkey

Madam Secretary,

Thanks again. I'll send the Princeton speech text to Jake. On Libya, I did talk to New York -- the Libyans did not object to our text in the end, and we're on track for adoption of statement this afternoon. All best, Bill

-----Original Message-----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Bill Burns <wburns66
Sent: Mon, 13 Apr 2009 7:09 am
Subject: Re: Fried Turkey

Bill,

It's a pleasure working w you and I'm both delighted and honored to call you a colleague. I also would like to read your Princeton speech which the article referenced.

In addition, I'd welcome your ideas about how best to identify and promote the next generation of "Bill Burns FSOs".
That is one of my reform goals.

On another matter, we are in good shape at the UN on the DPRK Pres. Statement
except for the Libyans. Would you pls contact Susan Rice to get briefed and advise what we should do to get them on board. Thx. H

From:
Date: Sun, 12 Apr 2009 19:21:22 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Re: Fried Turkey
Madam Secretary,

Many thanks for the very generous comments you made to The Washington Times about me. The whole article was much more than I deserved (or wanted), but what you said really means a lot. It's an honor to work for you.

Hope you're having a great Easter holiday,

Bill

-----Original Message-----
From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Bill Burns <wburns66

Sent: Fri, 3 Apr 2009 2:44 am
Subject: Fried Turkey

Bill--Did you talk w White House about Dan going w POTUS to Turkey? (Pls forgive the bad pun in title!) HRC

The Average US Credit Score is 692. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 6:38 PM
To: H
Cc: Cheryl Mills1
Subject: AIPAC

With apologies for the delay in getting back to you on this, below are the key admin attendees at AIPAC conferences. For certain years in the 1990s, we're still looking for the participant lists.

2008: Rice spoke.

2007: Cheney spoke.

2006: Cheney spoke.

2005: Rice spoke.

2004: Bush spoke.

2002: Bush spoke.

2001: Powell spoke.

2000: Bush spoke.

1997: Gore and Albright spoke.

1996: President Clinton spoke.

1995: President Clinton spoke.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

[redacted] B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 5:32 PM
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

FYI – How comfortable are you with us thinking about doing something more with this [redacted]

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 2:45 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: ReinesPI; Kelly, Ian C; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

[redacted]

PJ

From: Lash, Fred C (PACE) [mailto:LashFC@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 1:57 PM
To: PAO Group
Subject: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

For Press Guidance (and for tomorrow's Briefing Book):

- L/North Korea: Regarding the Presidential Statement adopted yesterday by the United Nations Security Council, that condemns North Korea for its recent missile launch, is it considered "legally binding?"

- DRL/NEA/Saudi Arabia: Concerning the report of an eight-year girl being raped in Saudi Arabia, what is the U.S. response? (additionally, prepare guidance that, from a human rights violation perspective, could be used when responding to future questions that are similar in nature)

Thanks,
FCL

The Duty Press Officer tonight is Megan Mattson.

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: jake.sullivan
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:56 PM
To: H
Subject: Delivered: Re: UNSCR/DPRK
Attachments: details.txt

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760843 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN PART
B6

ROBERT A. DANFORD

[redacted]

B6

April 14, 2009

The Honorable Hillary Rodham Clinton
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of State
Washington, D.C.

Subject: Finding alternatives toward direct military action in Somalia.

Dear Secretary Clinton:

I am a Professional Member of the American Society for Industrial Security, and the American College of Forensic Examiners; I have guest lectured and taught industrial security management, homeland security, port and harbor security and critical incident response management.

As elated as everyone is over the splendid outcome of the Maersk Alabama hijacking case, as I had predicted, in today's news, it is reported that four additional vessels were attacked and now Terrorists are holding some 60 hostages. Before anyone, does anything regarding Somalia, may I make the following comments and observations.

What is going on in the Gulf of Aden is as an organized criminal enterprise for profit. There are some 250 hostages being held and they are being kept as well as they can be under the circumstances because the terrorists are expecting a payday out of this. As soon as they see any form of direct Military action in the northeast at the mouth of the Gulf of Aden, I can assure you more Americans will be abducted, and we will see another series of video beheadings under a Democratic watch.

I would recommend that the Secretary encourage the United Nations to create an International Maritime Security Working Group. Since the Shipping Industry has already absorbed something like Fifty Billion Dollars regarding the various piracy incidents in 2008 alone; they have the resources to contract private security/military contractors who could work onboard merchant shipping in International Waters.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05760843 Date: 06/30/2015

They could rotate from the northern edge of the threat envelope to the southern end and take direct action on a case-by-case basis. Through this endeavor, as these targets of opportunity begin to dry up, so will the piracy activity that the World community has pacified by the payment of extortion money.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated interest, and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. DANFORD, ACFE. ASIS.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Jackie Newmyer <
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:24 AM
To: H
Subject: China, Iran, MF?

Dear Secretary Clinton,

Welcome back, and I hope that your proposals on universal NATO ROE's for piracy are moving ahead. I just wanted to let you know that I will be testfying at a hearing of the US-China Commission on Chinese foreign-directed information operations on 30 April, and also participating in a symposium at Harvard on Iran and Afghanistan later that day, so I will let you know if anything of interest comes out of those events.

I remain intrigued by your suggestion that I speak with Michelle Flournoy. Should I reach out to her, or wait for her to be in touch?

Many thanks, as ever.

Yours, Jackie

On 4/8/09, newmyer wrote:
> Hi, Yes; I am at en route to airport). Thank you!
> Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: "H" <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
>
> Date: Wed, 8 Apr 2009 11:22:08
> To: Jackie Newmyer<
> Subject: Re: this week?
>
>
> Jackie--I am so sorry I didn't get back to you before now. May I call
> you now? H -----Original Message-----
> From: Jackie Newmyer <
>
> Date: Mon, 6 Apr 2009 21:50:29
> To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
> Subject: this week?
>
>
> Secretary Clinton,
> I hope that all is well back in the States and just wanted to let you know
> that I will be in Washington Wednesday. If you might have a minute to
> spare to follow up on your last message in person or by phone this
> week or over the weekend, I would very much appreciate the opportunity.
> Congratulations on the Europe trip!
>

> Yours sincerely, Jackie
>
> --
> Jacqueline Newmyer
> President, Long Term Strategy Group
> 12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
> [redacted] B6
> 617-661-1626 (fax)
> www.ltstrategy.com
>
>
>

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
[redacted]
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 10:37 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: RE: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

Adding Melanne who is addressing.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

[redacted] B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 5:32 PM
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

FYI – How comfortable are you with us thinking about doing something more with this [redacted]

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 2:45 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: ReinesPI; Kelly, Ian C; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

[redacted]

PJ

From: Lash, Fred C (PACE) [mailto:LashFC@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 1:57 PM
To: PAO Group
Subject: Questions Taken at the 04-14-09 Daily Press Briefing

For Press Guidance (and for tomorrow's Briefing Book):

- L/North Korea: Regarding the Presidential Statement adopted yesterday by the United Nations Security Council, that condemns North Korea for its recent missile launch, is it considered "legally binding?"

- DRL/NEA/Saudi Arabia: Concerning the report of an eight-year girl being raped in Saudi Arabia, what is the U.S. response? (additionally, prepare guidance that, from a human rights violation perspective, could be used when responding to future questions that are similar in nature)

Thanks,
FCL

The Duty Press Officer tonight is Megan Mattson.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

B6

From: Jake Sullivan <
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:26 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

E is Europe.

E3 is UK, France, and Germany.

+3 is US, China, Russia

So it's the same 6 as the P5+1, just a different name.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:21 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
What does it mean? What is the E and who are the three?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:08:37 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

I'm being told -- and still trying to verify -- that as of last week, we've succumbed to the Europeans' preferred term. That there was interagency discussion of this, and that going forward, we will join the rest of the world in calling the P5+1 the E3+3.

This is the first I've heard of this, but I will track it down.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:03 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Why don't we keep using P5+1?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:02:06 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

I will forward these changes - we have made a few other tweaks.

We believe that it is useful to distribute it as your brief oral testimony (you've been asked to speak for 7-10 minutes -- this is 11 or so).

On the E3+3 v. P5+1, they are identical, just different names.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 10:49 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Pls forward the following questions/changes to Tomicah whose email address I do not have:

P3-- What is the E3+3 vs the P5+1?

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 7:56 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: any word yet - she's heading over shortly

FYI

From: McDonough, Denis R. [mailto: [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 7:46 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: any word yet - she's heading over shortly

Let you a vm on cell phone from my bike. Cannot get clear.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: McDonough, Denis R.
Sent: Tue Apr 28 07:40:44 2009
Subject: any word yet - she's heading over shortly

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2009 11:51 PM
To: H
Subject: DR Speech Draft

I can fax you the boiled down version now or Huma can print it for you in the morning.

This is the 5th summit. Some of the others evidently were not "official."

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Jake Sullivan
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 9:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: 100 Day report

yes -- happy to do so. this work is in full swing.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 8:55 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Could you work w Derek, Philippe and others to draft this report for us to send to the President after our next trip?

We could workd on it during the trip. Let's discuss tomorrow. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:40 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

And I feel ashamed that I had to subject you to this.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:31 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
I already feel safer.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:26:10 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

E is Europe.

E3 is UK, France, and Germany.

+3 is US, China, Russia

So it's the same 6 as the P5+1, just a different name.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:21 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

What does it mean? What is the E and who are the three?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]>
To: H

Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:08:37 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

I'm being told -- and still trying to verify -- that as of last week, we've succumbed to the Europeans' preferred term. That there was interagency discussion of this, and that going forward, we will join the rest of the world in calling the P5+1 the E3+3.

This is the first I've heard of this, but I will track it down.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:03 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Why don't we keep using P5+1?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]> B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:02:06 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

I will forward these changes - we have made a few other tweaks.

We believe that it is useful to distribute it as your brief oral testimony (you've been asked to speak for 7-10 minutes -- this is 11 or so).

On the E3+3 v. P5+1, they are identical, just different names.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 10:49 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Pls forward the following questions/changes to Tomicah whose email address I do not have:

P3-- What is the E3+3 vs the P5+1?

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2009 4:44 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Any news?

K - nothing to report except on cos retreat - interesting conv, discussion of reform agenda which I want to download to jack, polling and appearance by bho.

Think I want to have messina come over to give ypu polling pres on what connects bho to people and his success and why they care about certain things.
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Apr 25, 2009 4:32 PM
Subject: Any news?

I'm getting ready to leave Iraq but will be available later tonight if you want to talk.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2009 12:38 PM
To: H2

Was trying to call out to you.
There are troops in there from camp leguene deploying to afghanistan. Will want pictures etc with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2009 9:43 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Deputy Secretary Steinberg's Schedule for April 26-30

FYI

From: Lavy, Brendan L
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2009 5:49 PM
To: D(S)_SteinbergDailySchedule
Subject: Deputy Secretary Steinberg's Schedule for April 26-30

Deputy Secretary Steinberg will be on official travel from Sunday, April 26 through Thursday, April 30, returning to the office on Friday, May 1.

Travel Details:

- April 26-27 – Meetings; Kyiv, Ukraine
- April 28-30 – Melting Ice Conference and Sixth Ministerial Meeting of the Arctic Council; Tromso, Norway

Please contact me with any schedule related questions. For other inquiries, please contact D(S) chief of staff, Sheba Crocker.

Thank you.

Brendan Lavy
Personal Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg
(202) 647-8636

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 11:09 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Dead Aid

FFYI

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 3:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Dead Aid

Cheryl,

I know the book Dead Aid well. The ideas are not new. They are essentially the same ones that Bill Easterly has proposed in his two books: The Elusive Quest for Growth and White Man's Burden. The essential argument is that a top-down, "big-plan" approach to foreign assistance – the Jeff Sachs' approach - has not worked in the past and will not work in the future.

Both Easterly and Moyo argue for a bottom-up approach that supports overall economic growth. They emphasize expanding access to capital markets and low-cost financing, promoting better governance and accountability, policy reform to enhance foreign investment, and support for market-driven social entrepreneurs.

I will give Nora a couple of articles for you to take home and look at. One of them, by Paul Collier, offers a strong alternative to the USAID approach of funding small holder farmers. The other is by Dambisa Moyo and will give you an overall flavor of her perspective.

Matt

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 6:13 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti [PAUL COLLIER]

See below.

From: Paul Collier
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Apr 15 20:44:55 2009
Subject: Fwd: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti

Jake this is for cheryl but I don't have her email. It is urgent - a suggestion to add ports to the secretary's agenda for her meeting with Preval tomorrow. Vital that he does not slide off fixing the port. More below. Paul

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Jean-Louis Warnholz" [redacted] B6
Date: 16 April 2009 01:31:16 BST
To: "'Paul Collier'" [redacted]
Subject: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti

I enjoyed the opportunity to discuss the emerging potential that Haiti presents with the Secretary of State and former President Clinton last night. I would like to take this opportunity to make a brief suggestion for Thursday's trip to Haiti:

We discussed the catalytic role the United States could play in leveraging much needed private investment in large scale job creation, for example through direct involvement of OPIC. In my report I pointed out that the port in Port-au-Prince is the most expensive port in the Caribbean and recommended the opening of new private ports. Ports remain a critical bottleneck to a job creation strategy both in garment manufacturing and agriculture. They also play a pivotal role in ensuring that some of the complementary imports necessary for the proposed road construction projects can enter the country both in the required quantities and in a cost-effective and timely manner. Elsewhere I have argued that spiraling prices in construction booms often mean that available funds only go half way to the finishing line.

The Haitian authorities have already made inroads in addressing some of these inefficiencies and stand ready to do more. In fact, Prime Minister Pierre-Louis noted in the letter to investors which was presented at the meeting with Charles Rangel and garment entrepreneurs on Monday, that "ISPS certification of port facilities and alleviation of customs procedures" are in progress. The meetings tomorrow may present an opportunity to emphasize once again how central well-functioning, competitive ports are to attract foreign investors as part of the HOPEII window and to put the right transport infrastructure in place.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 8:57 PM
To: H2

Isabelle tomorrow at 7am.
Briefing book and binder from rich will be delivered very soon.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 8:06 PM
To: H2

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2009 6:43 AM
To: H2

April 25, 2009
Clinton, in Iraq, Blames 'Rejectionists' for Violence By MARK LANDLER BAGHDAD — Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton arrived here Saturday morning for a one-day visit, delivering an American show of support for Iraq as it battles a sudden eruption of violence, in the wake of suicide bombings that killed at least 140 people and wounded several hundred more on Thursday and Friday.
Mrs. Clinton, in her first visit to Baghdad as secretary of state, acknowledged that the attacks were worrisome. She said she would seek a briefing on the security situation from the American military commander, Gen. Ray Odierno, in her first meeting on Saturday, a day packed with appointments.
But she played down suggestions that the attacks augured a return to the relentless sectarian violence that convulsed Iraq in 2006. She said they did not signal that a new jihadist movement was taking root in Iraq, which could derail the progress the country has made in the last two years.

"In Iraq, there will always be political conflicts," Mrs. Clinton said to reporters before setting off on the unannounced visit Friday evening. "But I really believe that Iraq, as a whole, is on the right track."

She characterized the latest bout of violence as the last gasp of "rejectionists" who fear that the government will succeed in creating a united and peaceful Iraq. The suicide bombings, she said, are "in an unfortunately tragic way, a signal that the rejectionists fear that Iraq is going in the right direction."
"Are there going to be bad days? Yes, there are," Mrs. Clinton said. But she added, "If you look at the evidence, overwhelmingly the progress that's been made has been positive."

The Obama administration, she said, was determined to help Iraq achieve "stability, sovereignty, and self-reliance," as the United States prepares to pull out the last of its troops by the end of 2011.

Mrs. Clinton has been a regular visitor here, coming three times as a senator to chart the progress of a war she voted to authorize, but later said had been mismanaged by the Bush administration. She said she was pleased to be back, though the attacks have cast a shadow over her visit.

While the violence is far below the worst levels in 2006, 18 major attacks this month have kindled fears that Baathist and jihadist elements could be reconstituting themselves into a smaller, but still deadly, insurgency that will exploit the withdrawal of American troops between now and 2011.
Mrs. Clinton compared these latest suicide bombings to a spectacular terrorist attack that occurred several months after the Good Friday peace accord ended years of conflict in Northern Ireland.

At times, her analysis almost echoed that of former Vice President Dick Cheney and former Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. When the sectarian violence was relentless several years ago, Mr. Cheney spoke of the insurgency being in its "last throes," while Mr. Rumsfeld talked of "dead-enders" who kept fighting a lost cause.

On Friday, Gen. David Petraeus, the head of the military's Central Command, testified before a House appropriations committee that the suicide bombers may have been part of a militant network based in Tunisia. Four of the bombers, he said, were from Tunisia.

Mrs. Clinton said she did not have specific information on the bombers, but said: "We've seen suicide bombers from many countries in Iraq over the last six years. It's unfortunate that young men, and occasionally even a young woman, would travel to Iraq to kill other people in that way."

The violence did not seem to curtail Mrs. Clinton's ambitions for her brief visit. In addition to General Odierno, she was scheduled to meet a retinue of Iraqi leaders, including the president, Jalal Talabani; the prime minister, Nuri Kamal al-Maliki; and the foreign minister, Hoshyar Zebari.

She is also playing host at a roundtable of Iraqi women, something she has done in previous trips to Iraq. And she is to hold a town-hall meeting with Iraqi students, as well as members of nongovernmental organizations and provincial reconstruction teams.

This is a format Mrs. Clinton savored as a presidential candidate, and that, as secretary of state, she has used from South Korea to Turkey. "I want to listen," she said, "I want to respond to their questions and concerns. I want some feedback and ideas of about how are we going to make this transition as successful as possible."

When President Obama visited Baghdad earlier this month, dusty skies prevented him from traveling by helicopter from Baghdad International Airport into the city. He met with Mr. Maliki at a palace near the airport and General Odierno at the American base, Camp Victory.

The new American ambassador, Christopher R. Hill, beat Mrs. Clinton to Baghdad by one day. He was confirmed by the Senate on Tuesday after a lengthy process that was held up by Republican senators, who objected to his lack of experience in the Arab world and his handling of negotiations with North Korea over its nuclear weapons program.

In Iraq, Mr. Hill will spearhead the shift in emphasis by the United States from military to civilian operations. Some Iraq experts said the American civilian presence here had been lacking momentum since the departure in February of the last United States ambassador, Ryan C. Crocker.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 7:05 PM
To: H
Subject: Calls

Carolyn Maloney called for you.
And Nita Lowey also wants to talk tonite about the Middle East.
You can reach both through ops.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:09 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

I'm being told -- and still trying to verify -- that as of last week, we've succumbed to the Europeans' preferred term. That there was interagency discussion of this, and that going forward, we will join the rest of the world in calling the P5+1 the E3+3.

This is the first I've heard of this, but I will track it down.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 11:03 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Why don't we keep using P5+1?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 23:02:06 2009
Subject: Re: Testimony

I will forward these changes - we have made a few other tweaks.

We believe that it is useful to distribute it as your brief oral testimony (you've been asked to speak for 7-10 minutes -- this is 11 or so).

On the E3+3 v. P5+1, they are identical, just different names.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 10:49 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Pls forward the following questions/changes to Tomicah whose email address I do not have:

P3-- What is the E3+3 vs the P5+1?

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 3:18 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Major Economies Forum

Fyi below

From: Todd Stern [redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Steinberg, James B; Lew, Jacob J
Cc: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
Sent: Tue Apr 28 23:58:35 2009
Subject: Major Economies Forum

Quick note to tell you the meeting ended today after lunch and it turned out to be a very positive event. To a person, the heads of delegation were hugely complimentary. Good, very candid – even "frank" as the diplomats say -- level of engagement, a lot of positive feeling and trust built up, an eagerness for this group to continue engaging and – perhaps – make some progress. Dan Reifsnyder, an OES DAS who's been here a long time, said it was best meeting of this kind he has seen in 20 years.

Issues still damnably difficult, but a pretty palpable sense in the room that something good had happened. Hearty congrats from the Chinese, UK, Japanese, Aussies, EU, Danes, Swedes, South Africans, etc. Mike Froman did an excellent job as Chair (I was head of U.S. delegation), and Steve Chu and John Holdren did very useful presentations yesterday. Heads of delegation met with President in Blue Room for a reception late yesterday afternoon, which went over big. Mike and I met with President in Oval beforehand. Reception at Kennedy Center in evening for whole delegations, plus people from Hill, NGOs, etc., yesterday. Sen. Kerry spoke.

We followed up with a long bilateral with China and then a private dinner with the UK. More bilats tomorrow. Next meeting of the Forum May 25-26 in Paris.

Many thanks to HRC for kicking it off in style.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: jake.sullivan
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

Yes I will get on it.
------Original Message------
From: H
To: 'Jake.Sullivan
Subject: Testimony
Sent: Apr 21, 2009 7:05 AM

B6

I had asked for a snapshot of statistics about Dept and AID--facts and figures. Can you have one for me this am so I can see what else should be in it?

Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan < [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

I agree we should add Brazil to regional anchors, but worry about lumping India in with China and Russia. Propose adding an India sentence in the Asia section.

On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 10:49 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Pls forward the following questions/changes to Tomicah whose email address I do not have:

P3-- What is the E3+3 vs the P5+1?

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2009 12:40 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Re [redacted] B6

FYI

From: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2009 8:09 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Do you have a minute to catch up?

Met with [redacted] last night – let me know when we can talk to catch up. Not as clear after meeting that this is right choice. B6 B5

[redacted]

Need to download on hill mtgs yesterday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 8:15 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

745

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:14:10 2009
Subject: Re:

Thank you! What time tomorrow for Isabelle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:06:07 2009
Subject:

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2009 9:35 PM
To: H2
Subject: Isabelle can come at 7:45

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 6:26 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: RE: Undeliverable: Thanks

Correct address is:

[redacted] B6

You had just inverted the "o" and the "u" in her last name.

Lauren

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 6:08 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Fw: Undeliverable: Thanks

Pls call Fluornoy's office at DOD and check this address which she sent me this am and send me the correct one asap.
Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: postmaster@Local <postmaster@Local>
To: [redacted] B6
Sent: Mon Apr 27 17:57:56 2009
Subject: Undeliverable: Thanks

Delivery has failed to these recipients or distribution lists:

[redacted]
The recipient's e-mail address was not found in the recipient's e-mail system. Microsoft Exchange will not try to redeliver this message for you. Please check the e-mail address and try resending this message, or provide the following diagnostic text to your system administrator.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: [redacted]

[redacted]

#< #5.1.1> #SMTP#

Original message headers:

Received: from OSDNSG01R2.OSD.MIL ([130.16.205.111]) by
[redacted] with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Mon, 27 Apr
2009 17:57:48 -0400
Received: from [redacted] [unknown [130.16.210.73])
by [redacted] [BorderWare MXtreme Mail Firewall) with ESMTP id EEEDAB587L
for [redacted]; Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:53 -0400 (EDT)
X-AuditID: 8210d249-a4b48bb0000007bd-ec-49f62a61e8cd
Received: from mail.clintonemail.com (ool-18bbeabb.static.optonline.net [24.187.234.187])
by [redacted] (Symantec Mail Security) with SMTP id D9C0518678E
for [redacted]; Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:53 -0400 (EDT) B6
Received: from CLNTINET08.clinton.local ([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993]) by CLNTINET08.clinton.local
([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993%10]) with mapi; Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:50 -0400
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: [redacted]
CC: "'newmyer[redacted]' <newmyer[redacted]
Date: Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:48 -0400
Subject: Thanks
Thread-Topic: Thanks
Thread-Index: AcnHgzQ9CXPTB9LmTC2AwUsPbh5yjw==
Message-ID: <786762D781A7FF4FAC9060892B4044880332BC6E7B@CLNTINET08.clinton.local>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
acceptlanguage: en-US
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Brightmail-Tracker: AAAAAQ6CR+E=
X-STA-Metric: 39 (engine=024)
X-STA-NotSpam: cc:2**0 michele hillary thanks issues
X-STA-Spam: subject:Thanks best, header:Message-ID:1 her best
X-BTI-AntiSpam:
score:0,sta:39/024,dcc:off,dnsbl:off,sw:off,bsn:none,spf:off,dk:off,pbmf:trust/9,ipr:1/2,trusted:yes,ts:no,bs:no,ubl:off
Received-SPF: none
Return-Path: HDR22@clintonemail.com
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 27 Apr 2009 21:57:48.0286 (UTC) FILETIME=[33F139E0:01C9C783]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:30 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Call list

ok

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:28 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Call list

Pls put Michelle Fluornoy at DOD on list.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 6:31 PM
To: H
Subject: tonite

Reminders: Marianne at 8pm.
Neera confirmed, she will get there at 8:15.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 11:04 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: E news

FYI

From: Nelson, David D
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 3:30 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: E news

Source of the rumor I mentioned this a.m. – FP

Not sure if people have seen this item from Foreign Policy magazine:

Names: State, Mexico

Fri, 04/10/2009 - 5:56pm

Washington foreign policy hands in and out of the administration say that **Maria Otero** is likely to be nominated to be under secretary of state for democracy and global affairs, or "G". The Bolivian-born Otero is president and CEO of Accion International and a member of the board of the Clinton Global Initiative, and is an expert on sustainable microfinance with an interest in global women's issues, a key interest of Secretary Clinton.

Former State Department counselor **Wendy Sherman** is being discussed for high level posts. Among them, the Asia expert, Albright Group principal, and close Hillary Clinton advisor is being discussed as a candidate for under secretary of state for economic affairs, now that **Lael Brainard** has gone to the Treasury Department, and as a possible nominee to be U.S. ambassador to China. (Update: and USAID administrator.)

Former U.S. ambassador to Ukraine **Carlos Pascual** has been reported likely to be nominated to be Obama's ambassador to Mexico. While some reports have suggested that Pascual, now vice president and director of foreign policy studies at the Brookings Institution, authored a paper describing Mexico as a failed state, think tank hands noted that Pascual was not the author of a report produced last year by Brookings on weak and failed states. (The study that seems to be in question, *Index of State Weakness in the Developing World*, rates Mexico in the top quintile of developing countries, the 120th weakest out of 141, e.g. among the strongest, and was co-directed by **Susan Rice**, now U.S. ambassador to the UN). Pascual alluded to the issue in a conversation with Brookings staff yesterday. Pascual was the first coordinator for reconstruction and stabilization at the State Department. (A call to his office was directed to the communications office, which declined to comment on his status.)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 5:45 PM
To: H2
Subject: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 3:54 PM
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: RE: Gen Shelton

TEL:
CEL:

B6

Will also add to your call list.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 1:16 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Fw: Gen Shelton

Pls get #s for Gen. Shelton for me. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider <
To: H; Doug Band
Sent: Thu Apr 16 17:31:05 2009
Subject: Gen Shelton

Note below per General Shelton..

From: Saif Khan [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 5:26 PM
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Gen Shelton FYI

Burns,

Gen. Shelton sends his regards. I spoke with him just now.

Saif Khan

Deputy National Director & Senior Advisor

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 6:33 PM
To: H2
Subject: tonite

Fyi – lauren will meet you at the Renwick, will have your remarks.
Per your request, we have set up a hearing call tonite. 9:15pm ok?
Isabelle at 7:45.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:14 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

i think hes trying to see if he can get there immediately.....

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:13 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

Yeah!! Is he going w us?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 17:44:38 2009
Subject: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 10:30 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Hill Vote

fyi

From: Hill, Christopher R
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 6:08 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: Hill Vote

Thx much. I tried to reach the Secretary to give her the news.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 6:07 PM
To: Hill, Christopher R
Subject: FW: Hill Vote

Congratulations dear.

cdm

From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 5:42 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Hill Vote

Chris Hill was just confirmed, 73-23.

Miguel E. Rodríguez

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Senate Affairs

Bureau of Legislative Affairs

U.S. Department of State

(202) 647-2645

rodriguezme@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:02 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Testimony

I will forward these changes - we have made a few other tweaks.

We believe that it is useful to distribute it as your brief oral testimony (you've been asked to speak for 7-10 minutes -- this is 11 or so).
On the E3+3 v. P5+1, they are identical, just different names.
On Tue, Apr 21, 2009 at 10:49 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Pls forward the following questions/changes to Tomicah whose email address I do not have:

P3-- What is the E3+3 vs the P5+1?

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2009 1:27 PM
To: H2
Subject: Test

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2009 6:43 AM
To: H2

Clinton says Iraq on right track

BAGHDAD (AP) - U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton says this week's deadly suicide bombings in Iraq are a sign that extremists fear the Iraqi government is succeeding.

On her first visit to Iraq as America's top diplomat, Clinton said the country has made tremendous strides despite the recent violence and continues to deserve support as the Obama administration moves to reduce the U.S. military presence there.

Clinton arrived in Baghdad Saturday on an unannounced trip after suicide bombings in the capital and outside killed at least 148 people on Thursday and Friday. The attacks have exposed gaps in security as Iraq takes over from U.S. forces in protecting the country.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jackie Newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 6:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Thanks

Secretary Clinton,

Thank you! Will update you when I hear from her, and if there is anything else I should do, let me know. All best, Jackie

ps Saw that Foreign Minister Yeo was on your schedule today. Fyi, he is one of my favorite Singaporeans because of this 2007 speech on China, which he posted to his blog (!):

April 12, 2007
Foreign Minister George Yeo's speech at a dinner organised by the Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy on 5 February 2007, which he gave off-the-cuff.
Thank you Kishore for your kind words. Dear friends, ladies and gentlemen. I was in India two weeks ago, had a good trip, spent nine days there. While in Calcutta after calling on the Chief Minister, I had a bit of time to look around so I attended a mass at Mother Theresa's house. Very interesting gathering; there was a Singapore nun there, she had been there for over ten years; Sister Maria Tony. I wondered why someone from Singapore would want to dedicate her life to picking up the dead and the dying in the back alleys of Calcutta and living that life. There were many nuns there who were in their ninth year. They take their first vow in the fifth year, renewable every year. In the tenth year they have to decide - final vows for eternity. So if you are not sure, please, there's a way out; if you are sure, then you're in the room. So the priest gave a very tough sermon and while he was talking, I could hear the din of traffic noise outside, in the streets of Calcutta. Then suddenly, I heard the call of the muezzin. Now this is a Hindu city. I told myself, I thought wow, what diversity in this country, India, and its ability to internalise this diversity and to find it unremarkable that the tiny woman from Albania should come into their midst, become one of them and then when she was beatified, was celebrated as an Indian, on the way to canonization.
China and India in that comparison- China is not India. China has a very different sense of itself; its deep internal construction is very different. Superficially there are similarities, as there is between China and the US and China and Europe. But if you look into it's deep construction, it is very different. When we talk about China's future and China in the 21st century, how China will behave in a world community, China's attitudes towards democracy, towards law, towards social justice. It is important first to look into this deep construction because that is their nature. Naturally we should not be deterministic, there is nothing inevitable in human history, but when we understand its nature, it becomes easier to anticipate its moves and you'll be less suprised by its actions.
A few years ago, the Chinese government embarked on a major project which is its dynastic duty- to write the history of the Qing dynasty, the Manchus, from 1368 to 1644. Ever since the Han dynasty, roughly contemporaneous with the Roman Empire, they developed a historiographic tradition of each dynasty writing the history of the previous period. So Sima Qian of the Later Han wrote of the earlier Han and the entire period before that; then later on they wrote about the Later Han, Jin wrote up the Three Kingdoms; Ming wrote up the Yuan. The Yuan, which was Mongol in its origins wrote up the Sung. Sung wrote up the Tang dynasty which was the Classical Period. The last dynasty, the Qing, collapsed in the Republican Revolution of 1911; this is now 2007. I think in 2003, they embarked on this project, 'The Official History of the Qing dynasty'. When the former Vice Premier Li Lanqing was in Singapore recently, I asked him about it. He said "we told the scholars not to rush this project". I asked him how long it would take to write this history. He said it would take many years, easily 10 years. I said, "have you collected the material?" He said yes. I asked, "external sources?" He

said yes, external sources too. All the countries, the Vatican, European countries, the whole lot; all assembled - 24 official histories, this would be the 26th. And Li's instruction to them was not to be too quick to draw conclusions!

Now Mao Tze Tung was a revolutionary, he was a great revolutionary. He overturned everything before but he knew his history, he read the 24 histories, and not only did he read them, he annotated them. But he wrote with a terrible, illegible scrawl, so scholars had to decipher those scrawls. Then they wrote commentaries on his commentaries and now it's all published. It is very difficult for the Chinese to depart from their own history because it's the same great plains, the same mountains more or less, the same neighbours more or less and they have seen the same patterns recur. So a long time ago during the Ming dynasty they wrote up the romantic period of the Three Kingdoms. The first line said: "Below heaven, great movements, great currents, long disunity leads to unity and long unity leads to disunity." So they accept as a part of their own deep nature that there are cycles in their civilisation; that they have ups and downs. In recent years, when you visit them, you could sense them feeling their own re-emergence, it's a bit scary. In the initial years, they were lacking in confidence, they asked many questions, but now they know that there is an organic vitality. It is like an adolescent who watches his own development, and conscious of it. I say scary because for those of us who live on the periphery of that empire, what happens there will radiate its influence on us and eventually, to a greater or lesser degree envelope us.

How do we see China? I think to begin with, it's important not to try and, from an intellectual viewpoint, transpose our own experiences on to China, because I believe it will develop according to its own logic, according to its own DNA. It is growing now, it will continue to grow, it will develop its own contradictions and one day those contradictions, many years from now, will lead to its own decline. So they say at the beginning of a dynasty the taxes are light but the treasury is full, and at the end of a dynasty, the taxes are crushing but the treasury is empty. The important thing to realise about China is that some things are deeply resistant to change. Take Confucius. Every time they had to overturn a dynasty, they had to overturn Confucius, because Confucius justified the status quo. So throughout the 19th century, whether it was the Taiping Revolution, the Communist movement or Sun Yat Sen; they spent their lives debunking Confucius. It reached its final moment when they criticised Zhou Enlai together with Confucius- no- Lin Biao together with Confucius. That was the last paroxysm. Today, Hu Jingtao said [in Mandarin He Xie She Hui], "harmonious society". Suddenly you hear the resonance of Confucius coming back. And everywhere in the world on the pattern of Alliance Francaise, the Goethe Institute, the USIA, they establish Confucius Institutes and the learning of the Confucianist classics are coming back with remarkable force in China, not yet in the state curriculum but just parents wanting to teach their children the Confucianist ditties. Now why is this important? From Mao to Deng to Jiang Zemin, at every stage, they re-interpreted Marx. They are comfortable with the young Marx, the idea of the superstructure on the economic base, the idea that politics is concentrated economics- that they like. But the class struggle, they downplay. They talk about the Three Represents, the progressive forces, the progressive elements, they nurture those. Now Hu Jingtao says "harmonious society", "peaceful re-emergence". This mindset has a certain attitude towards law, has a certain attitude towards religion, towards democracy and towards foreign policy and I would like to deal with each in turn.

Joseph Needham many years ago, I think in the 60's, the man who wrote The Science and Civilisation of China, that great encyclopedic work; he said its not that the Chinese have no legal tradition. In fact, by his estimation, the Chinese had a greater corpus of legal codification than the West. A greater corpus of legal codification than the West! Surprise, surprise. But the idea that the Emperor is below the law, that is completely alien to their thinking. Where did that idea come from? Well in the West, you may trace it back to Hammurabi, you may trace it back to Moses, to Greece, to Rome. But if you trace the evolution of that DNA, it goes back, way back, into its early beginnings. The Chinese had a very different evolutionary starting point. It was not in law, it was in an idea they call 'li' which is proper conduct among human beings. They believe, deep in their instincts, that law is only a means towards justice, and that when the outcome of law is perverse, then that law must be overridden, because justice, proper conduct, the proper relationship among human beings must take precedence. And to suddenly say that all that had happened in the previous dynasties, all that the earlier histories have summarised, are all wrong and that they should instead adopt something with its origins in Western Europe, which had as its crowning moment the crowning of Charlemagne by the Pope in the year 800;

to them, what are you talking about? For the Chinese, laws are more like regulations, means towards a larger end. Their attitude towards democracy follows a parallel pattern.
Democracy is not an end in itself, democracy is a means and they are quite happy to consider democracy as a useful means towards government and that at appropriate places and appropriate levels, democracy is good. So at the village and the township level they have democracy, it is a universal franchise. They approve a slate of candidates, and they have fierce elections, fierce campaigning, and those who are incompetent or arrogant or despotic, they get chucked out. But once you reach the level of the cities and the provinces, they say no. No direct democracy. Why not? Because they do not believe, with their view of the universe, that that is the way to produce good government. Any more than the Catholic Church believes that the way to elect the Pope is through universal franchise. That the parishioners should decide who should be the parish priest. The Parish Council, maybe, that's ok. But the parish priest? Bishops? Universal franchise? That's against God's laws. They have that kind of instinct embedded in them, it is not Communist, it is just Chinese. And they know that too much democracy, invariably leads to 'localitis'; of favouritism towards friends, relatives, people who are from the same villages whom we have a relationship with.
We have the same problem in Singapore, in fact this problem exists throughout East Asia. Till today, apart from Singapore, among Chinese communities and among many East Asian communities, the idea that ultimate control of the business should go outside the family is unpersuasive. You talk to Li Ka-shing, you talk to Robert Kwok, you talk to Lim Soe Liong, you talk to any of them; they will go back to the family. Why? Because they believe that in the end, what matters at the deepest level, is trust. And the family guarantees you that trust.

This is also the Indian wisdom. So you have the Marwaris controlling the economy of Calcutta, you have the Parsees, you have Sindhis, of which Kishore is a member, you have the Chettiars. They operate on the basis of deep trust and at a completely different level from that of contract law. And because they distrust local affiliations, in two dynasties, Ming and Qing, no senior official could serve within 400 miles of where he was born. No local official to serve within 400 miles, so in other words, in Singapore, no Singaporean can govern Singapore. They go beyond that, today there's almost no province in China where the provincial leader is from that province. Now you consider this, you transpose this onto Europe, it's as if routinely, the President of France is not French. And if you are a German, you are disqualified as a Chancellor of the Republic. And an Italian cannot be trusted to serve in Rome. Ancient wisdom! What is remarkable is this is accepted as legitimate. If you are Cantonese and you have a Northener squatting over you, you do not consider it perverse or an abomination. This is part of life and in fact, you are more likely to have impartial governor than if a local boy were to be there, because he is more likely to favour those who are related to him or those who are his friends.
So when you talk democracy to the Chinese, it is against this cultural backdrop. So what applied to the Qing dynasty, the Ming dynasty, they have taken a step further recently in China. They introduced even more rules, governing the circumstances under which you are disqualified from serving in the area near where you were born. You can't stay in a ministry for too long, you can't stay in a department for too long beacuse if you stay too long, you develop affiliations and those affiliations will result in sidedoors and guanxi and corruption. Of course, many of these rules will still be breached, and there will be scandals from time to time and they will act on those scandals. For as long as the central leadership is pristine, the system can be maintained. But one day they will become corrupt, as in so many previous dynasties, and a downward spiral begins. And it is very difficult in that situation to reverse it.
So I mentioned at the table that for India, it's not a country that can sustain a revolution, because its deep structure would not allow for it. I think China is a country which can only be renewed through periodic revolutions. Kishore asked me when- I said well maybe in 200 years time because these are long cycles and they expect as a matter of course that the history of the People's Republic would not be written by the People's Republic. It will be written by the next dynasty. Except that right now they say we shouldn't call it a dynasty, we are now democrats, we are a republic, there is no Emperor... Well, whatever term you call it, it will be done much later.
Let me now talk about their attitude towards religion. Being a Roman Catholic I follow quite closely the ups and downs in their relationship with the Vatican and I find it quite fascinating. The Jesuits, when they went to China in the Ming dynasty, hoped that they could convert the Emperor, and all of China would become Catholic and a great mission would have been achieved. They never succeeded. Some of the brightest minds from

Europe went there. Matteo Ricci, he corrected the Chinese calendar, he repaired the clocks in the palace, he saw the throne, but he never got to meet the Emperor and he died in China. And his tomb, it's in the compound of the Beijing Party School today. And the church which he founded is still there today. 'Li Matou', that's what he called himself, he applied for permission to be buried in China and he was given that permission. Then when the Manchus swept southwards, in 1644, the missionaries thought now they had a chance, "the Manchus will be a bit more accomodating towards us, we will yet Christianise China". So if you read the despatches of the missionaries during that period, the Tartar Wars they described it, they were full of hope. But not a chance. They appealed to the Second Emperor, Kangxi.

"Can we send more missionaries?" "No problem". "Can we have them all report to a papal legate in Beijing" "No such thing". The Emperor imposed one condition: "you can send as many priests as you want to China but they must die in China, they cannot leave after that". You see, instinctively, the Chinese, when they see the Catholic Church, they see someone like themselves, someone whose claim to its members is total. And for whom that loyalty is a jealous one.

Mind you they do not try to control everything; they just control the big things, dogma, doctrine. After that you are left on your own and you are financially independent, but on the big issue of who appoints ministers and provincial secretaries, it's the same as the Pope appointing Bishops and Bishops ordaining Priests and governing this vast empire, whether we're talking about the Chinese empire or the Catholic empire. They have about the same number of members in the world which is why their interaction is a complex and difficult one. And over the appointment of Bishops, both seek ultimate authority. In the end they have got to find a way to resolve it. I can anticipate the Vatican's argument that "we are not of this realm, we are of the other realm, so please we are not in conflict". As for the Chinese leaders, their attitude towards religion from the earliest days is to keep religion at arm's length. Those who govern the empire, they are areligious, they can have private religions, but in matters of their public conduct, they are Confucianist. In public, you are not a Catholic, you are not a Muslim, you are not a Buddhist. When the Jesuits went to China, they were very impressed by this - that it was possible to create a moral order based not on religion but on ethics. So impressed, that they wrote about it, and influenced people like Voltaire and the other Encyclopedists. It helped inspire the Frence revolution- that you could get rid of the Church, and still maintain a moral order in society.

I've talked about China and law, China and democracy, China and religion, now let me talk about China and foreign policy which is perhaps your greatest interest; about which I can only talk about generally because you are the experts in this field. I think it's very difficult for the Chinese to break from their old mindset that they are [Zhong Guo], the Middle Kingdom, they are at the center of the Universe, then there are the other 'chopsticks peoples' who are semi-Sinic. I think they consider Singapore to be semi-Sinic. Then there are those who are beyond that realm, who are what the Greeks would have called Barbarians. The Mongols, the Manchus, the Europeans, the Americans, the Indians and so on.

Now all that jargon of course is not in use today. They are very civilised, they will welcome you with great hospitality - lavish dinners, very courteous words, offering you the best of everything in the house. It reminded me of the way my mother used to teach us at home, we were a big family and the stranger has got to be treated well, the visitor has to be treated well, because you are afraid of the visitor. So you always treat visitors better than your own family members because the visitor is capricious, he can harm you so make sure you fit him in. Those who are within your authority, you can always maintain order anyway. This is the way, in their minds, the universe is ordered. So I can understand why the Koreans, the Japanese, the Vietnamese, to some extent, the Singaporeans, they feel uncomfortable about what they see as a repetition of a pattern they have seen many times before in the past. Will the Chinese change this principle of foreign policy, I think they have, because, never in their history have they met, have they existed in close contact with competing centers of power, with the US, with Europe, India, and you are no longer separated by oceans which cannot be crossed, mountains which cannot be crossed, now they are connected. So they are making adjustments.

It will be interesting to watch how they handle their Olympics; if the Olympics become an exercise of pure Chinese nationalism, I think the international reaction against China will be very severe. But I think the Chinese will be sophisticated. I expect they will organise cheer teams for the Americans, for the Japanese, so that there is an appearance of courtesy and common humanity. They are very careful to ensure that their words fit conditions today.

But let me say in their defence that many of these methods they use arise out of fear, not out of a desire to

dominate. If you analyse the old tributary system, those who sent tributes got more than they gave in return. It became a racket. So the Japanese merchants competed to get tokens from [Ryukyu] in order to be able to do the China trade because it meant a lot of money. The Chinese are fearful of foreigners. They are fearful of the Russians to the north, the Muslims to the West, the Indians across the Himalayas, but mostly the Americans across the Pacific. Their strategy is to keep the temperature low, low profile, hide the shine of your armour, the shine of your sword, just play the game and concentrate on economic development. Internally will they make preparations? I have no doubt, because they have a deep fear. Is their intention to dominate, to conquer, the way the European powers did in the past? I don't think so. I don't think there is a great desire to turn non-Chinese into Chinese. Maybe Korea, maybe Vietnam, maybe Mongolia, who at various points in time were part of their greater empire, they might consider worth civilising. But beyond that, Sinicising Indians, Russians? No, I don't think they will want to do that. So as they now become stronger and more powerful, I believe that while their old nature will re-assert itself, they will also be reacting to us. If they find the world a threatening place, they will develop their own responses to those threats.
Quite early in the day, they wanted to neutralise Southeast Asia, Asean. It had never been an area which threatened them, historically. So they didn't worry about Southeast Asia as a threat. But resources, sea lanes, they go through Southeast Asia. And they made a dramatic move a few years ago. Zhu Rongji was here for the ASEAN-China summit. He said, "free trade agreement". At that time when he announced it, the leaders of ASEAN almost fell off their chairs. We thought of China an economic threat to us. Not as a partner. Took us almost a year to digest the implications and to say yes, let's negotiate. Step by step. And all the time China was assuring us that we would benefit more than they would benefit. When the Framework Agreement was signed by Zhu Rongji in 2002 in Phonm Penh, he made two remarkable statements. He said if after 10 years, the agreement benefits China more than it benefits Southeast Asia, let us renegotiate it. I can never imagine the American USTR, or the European Trade Commissioner, talking like that. I mean in a contract, a deal is a deal, it's settled for eternity. Then he said: "China does not seek for itself an exclusive position in Southeast Asia", so he acknowledged that we were not just going to date one girl, we intended to be promiscuous from the beginning. Towards Northeast Asia, I think it is very complicated, because there is so much history and legacy. Towards Central Asia, towards Russia, they have deep fears. Towards America, it has become a relationship bound together at so many points, a rupture would become more and more unthinkable. But it is going to be a very difficult relationship, it will be the most important and most difficult relationship to manage in this century. If it's badly managed there will be war, if it's properly managed there will be another generation of peace and hundreds of millions of people in the world would be raised out of the depths of poverty. In this area, you are the experts, but whenever I see China and watch its moves, I go back to its deep nature because it's not easy for a country so vast, with such a sense of itself, to depart too much from its deep nature. Thank you.
George Yeo

On Mon, Apr 27, 2009 at 5:57 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Michele,

I look forward to getting together to discuss a number of issues. Thanks for following up w Jackie Newmyer. Her email:

[redacted] B6

All the best, Hillary

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138

B6

617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills
Sent: Saturday, April 18, 2009 10:33 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Are you home?

Nothing new to report- count yours -- our flights were delayed on runways so I got home at midnight!

xo
cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 7:58 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Are you home?

Count your blessings since I am sitting thru, as of now, 2 hours of speeches. Not done yet and still have cultural performance to go.

Anyway, anything to report?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: jake.sullivan B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:43 AM
To: H
Cc: 'HAbedin B6
Subject: Re: Testimony

We'll have this for you.

------Original Message------
From: H
To: 'Jake.Sullivan
Cc: 'HAbedin B6
Subject: Testimony
Sent: Apr 21, 2009 7:16 AM

I would also like an updated list of all the FMs, DMs and heads of State w whom I've met.

Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:28 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: 2-pager

See comments on AMS document I left on you chair today.

Jim and tom F comments in email below.

Cdm

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted]
To: Tom Freedman
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Apr 27 21:38:56 2009
Subject: Re: 2-pager

B6

i like virtually everything in the two-pager, and I do agree with Tom on the notion of elevating the "national interest" and security elements of this, both because those have become part of her "brand," and also to help flesh it out in a way that both gives it the widest reach, and also protects it from attack.

some ways to consider doing that might be to just ensure that the overarching description of it "call out" the national interest/security reasoning at work - something like "America has the opportunity and the responsibility to lead in the creation of a network of principled partnerships that will serve our national interest, enhance our security and build the capacity of people and nations to solve global problems and give everyone more power to reach their potential."

(i inserted "network" of principled partnerships because i think it kind of alludes to both the diversity of the kinds of partnerships as well as to the influence of technology on building them...not a "must have" but just a thought....in the same vein, i don't know whether there might be an advantage in alluding to a network of "pragmatic and principled partnerships" or "practical and principled partnerships" just to ensure it's got that pragmatism aspect of it sharply inserted).

On Mon, Apr 27, 2009 at 3:05 PM, Tom Freedman <[redacted] wrote:
I like the substance and I might consider if there are ways to communicate, or more strongly affirm, some elements that would be important for audiences to hear, including that this is an approach of leadership, self-interest, and values. The values I mean are things like security, stability and building opportunity. Those pieces are in here, but the notion should be clear that we are not ceding leadership, we are leading by building alliances and partnerships. And we will always stand for what is right and the right to defend ourselves and our allies, but we are fighting as we always have for shared values. Make sense? Tom

---

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 1:37 PM
To: Jim Kennedy; Tom Freedman
Subject: FW: 2-pager

See attached

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:14 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: 2-pager

I tried out "principled partnership" on her this morning and she likes it – I really think we're almost there. Pse let me know whether I can distribute this more widely for tomorrow's mtg.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 8:56 PM
To: H2
Subject: Fw:

See below, monica did confirm. I don't know what the confusion could have been. I'm very sorry. Marianne is saying she can late tonite (around 10) or tomorrow at 8pm.

----- Original Message -----
From: Hanley, Monica R
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Apr 28 20:49:26 2009
Subject: Re:

So sorry - trying to contact her now. She was set to come when I spoke to her.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: Hanley, Monica R
Sent: Tue Apr 28 20:34:43 2009

marianne isn't there yet. She was supposed to be there at 8.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 6:36 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: tonite

Ok to all.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 18:32:54 2009
Subject: tonite

Fyi – lauren will meet you at the Renwick, will have your remarks.

Per your request, we have set up a hearing call tonite. 9:15pm ok?

Isabelle at 7:45.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 9:05 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Will I be called at 9:15?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:14:45 2009
Subject: Re:

745

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:14:10 2009
Subject: Re:

Thank you! What time tomorrow for Isabelle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:06:07 2009
Subject:

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 3:46 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Mexico City to suspend public gatherings (SBU)

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Apr 28 12:33:03 2009
Subject: Mexico City to suspend public gatherings (SBU)

Mexico City Mayor Ebrard told reports that all restaurants, bars, discos, night clubs, cinemas, movie theaters, theaters, gyms, and convention centers will suspend activities.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 8:14 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Thank you! What time tomorrow for Isabelle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 20:06:07 2009
Subject:

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2009 6:53 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Birthdays Today, 5/5/09

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue May 05 06:25:19 2009
Subject: Birthdays Today, 5/5/09

RELEASE IN PART
B5

To: Cheryl

From: Alec

Following are proposed benchmarks for core areas of activity as we discussed last week.

B5



B5



RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 11:28 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Call list

Pls put Michelle Fluornoy at DOD on list.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 8:34 PM
To: 'michele.flournoy [redacted] B6
Cc: 'newmyer [redacted]
Subject: Thanks

Michele,

I look forward to getting together to discuss a number of issues. Thanks for following up w Jackie Newmyer. Her email: [redacted]

All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 6:59 AM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan [redacted] B6
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

Here's a partial list of followup from our last trip and the last week:

What can we do to help protect the Christians in Iraq as requested by Ken Joseph whom we saw in Baghdad?

JoDee Winterhof raised questions about how the PRTs and the language DOD uses about them are problematic for NGOs like care.

Pls ask one of Holbrooke's people if they ever talked to Wolfgang Danspeckgruber at Princeton about building a railroad in Aghanistan.

Also Dr. Arthur Keys at International Relief + Development wanted to talk w someone from Holbrooke's team about development in Af.

I asked the Spec IG for Af Recon, Arnold Fields, to alert us to problems as soon as they can. I'm not sure how to formalize this or even if it's appropriate. Let's discuss.

What are the "Iran Watchers"?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 10, 2009 7:53 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'HAbedin[redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: May 19-21

Pls advise if I can invite him stop by.

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry <[redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu May 07 13:48:59 2009
Subject: May 19-21

Madam Secretary-

I hope this note finds you and your family doing well. I've been following all of your activities over the last several months. You are doing an incredible job, which I'm sure is a surprise to no one. I'm going to be in DC May 19-21. We have our annual gathering out there with about 150 folks coming out from the Exchange. I know this is probably a long shot at best, but we are having a dinner for our group at the Hay Adams on Tuesday, May 19 and it would be a tremendous honor if you would come by and say a few words. If this doesn't work but you are in town, I'd love to stop by for 5 minutes to say hello. Please let me know if any of this is possible. I look forward to seeing you soon.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 8:45 AM
To: 'Jake.Sullivar[redacted] B6
Subject: Holbrooke Memos

Do you have the two memos that he has prepared for my signature to the President? I'd like to see them.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 10, 2009 4:05 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; HAbedin[redacted] <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Sun May 10 15:58:26 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes to Kouchner, Miliband and Angolian FM.
Unfortunately, we need to choose one airport because of cost -- we could fly into White Plains on Tuesday night. On Wednesday, leave Yankee Stadium and drive back up to White Plains.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; 'HAbedin[redacted] <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Sun May 10 15:34:59 2009
Subject: Schedule

Am I seeing both Miliband and Kouchener this week?

Am I seeing the Angola FM btw May 19-22?

Can I fly to W'chester Tues night and fly back out of LaGuardia after NYU?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 4:25 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: May 19-21

Can I fit in a visit at the State Dept??

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry [redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Mon May 11 13:41:58 2009
Subject: May 19-21

Madam Secretary-

I hope this note finds you and your family doing well. I've been following all of your activities over the last several months. You are doing an incredible job, which I'm sure is a surprise to no one. I'm going to be in DC May 19-21. We have our annual gathering out there with about 150 folks coming out from the Exchange. I know this is probably a long shot at best, but we are having a dinner for our group at the Hay Adams on Tuesday, May 19 and it would be a tremendous honor if you would come by and say a few words. If this doesn't work but you are in town, I'd love to stop by for 5 minutes to say hello. Please let me know if any of this is possible. I look forward to seeing you soon.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 6:44 AM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Testimony

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2009 7:38 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tom in Jamaica

When will we hear from Paul Farmer???

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 09 07:16:58 2009
Subject: Fw: Tom in Jamaica

Fyi

From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Fri May 08 18:03:51 2009
Subject: Tom in Jamaica

To All:

Tom wanted you to know that he and Hector Morales just departed Jamaica after meeting with Caribbean foreign ministers. Three main points:

--The Caribbean FMs welcomed discussion with Tom about the Caribbean security dialogue. Tom told them we would go into more detail at the May 20 experts meeting in Suriname.

--The FMs look forward to meeting with S in San Pedro Sula at the OASGA.

--On Cuba, the FMs expressed sympathy with what we are trying to do, but want to hear more about next steps.

Best,

ck

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2009 8:23 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: My thoughts of Haiti

Sounds good.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 14 22:00:55 2009
Subject: FW: My thoughts of Haiti

FYI below

[redacted] B6

Memo attached and below

cdm

From: Jones, Kali C
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 4:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: My thoughts of Haiti

Counselor Mills,

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to share my ideas with you about Haiti. [redacted] B5

[redacted]

Again, thank you for the opportunity.

Best,

Kali


Kali C. Jones

Watch Officer

To improve Haiti's prospects of becoming a non-fragile democracy and a productive international partner, economic development must be the country's number one priority.

B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 8:19 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Tomorrow

How late could I come tomorrow if you were able to move things? I [redacted] would like to take the 11 or 12 shuttle or even later if possible. B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2009 12:32 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke

I spoke w him. Any word from Pam? I have to leave.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 09 12:29:28 2009
Subject: Holbrooke

He says berry phone better for today [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2009 7:47 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Stuff

Thx. I have them all.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Sun Apr 19 18:58:39 2009
Subject: Stuff

These are the documents you should have.
1) HFAC remarks by courier
2) Mitchell Report by courier
3) Verma Q and A by fax
4) Cabinet statement by fax

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:06 AM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan[redacted]
Subject: Testimony

B6

I had asked for a snapshot of statistics about Dept and AID--facts and figures. Can you have one for me this am so I can see what else should be in it?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:16 AM
To: 'Jake.Sullivar
Cc: 'HAbedir
Subject: Testimony

B6

I would also like an updated list of all the FMs, DMs and heads of State w whom I've met.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:40 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 2-pager

I agree. Can you talk--i have a matter of some urgency.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Apr 28 05:28:02 2009
Subject: Fw: 2-pager

See comments on AMS document I left on you chair today.

Jim and tom F comments in email below.

Cdm

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Tom Freedman [redacted]
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Apr 27 21:38:56 2009
Subject: Re: 2-pager

i like virtually everything in the two-pager, and I do agree with Tom on the notion of elevating the "national interest" and security elements of this, both because those have become part of her "brand," and also to help flesh it out in a way that both gives it the widest reach, and also protects it from attack.

some ways to consider doing that might be to just ensure that the overarching description of it "call out" the national interest/security reasoning at work - something like "America has the opportunity and the responsibility to lead in the creation of a network of principled partnerships that will serve our national interest, enhance our security and build the capacity of people and nations to solve global problems and give everyone more power to reach their potential."

(i inserted "network" of principled partnerships because i think it kind of alludes to both the diversity of the kinds of partnerships as well as to the influence of technology on building them...not a "must have" but just a thought....in the same vein, i don't know whether there might be an advantage in alluding to a network of "pragmatic and principled partnerships" or "practical and principled partnerships" just to ensure it's got that pragmatism aspect of it sharply inserted).

On Mon, Apr 27, 2009 at 3:05 PM, Tom Freedman <[redacted]> wrote: B6

I like the substance and I might consider if there are ways to communicate, or more strongly affirm, some elements that would be important for audiences to hear, including that this is an approach of leadership, self-interest, and values. The values I mean are things like security, stability and building opportunity. Those pieces are in here, but the notion should be clear that we are not ceding leadership, we are leading by building alliances and partnerships. And we will always stand for what is right and the right to defend ourselves and our allies, but we are fighting as we always have for shared values. Make sense? Tom

---

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 1:37 PM
To: Jim Kennedy; Tom Freedman
Subject: FW: 2-pager

See attached

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:14 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: 2-pager

I tried out "principled partnership" on her this morning and she likes it – I really think we're almost there. Pse let me know whether I can distribute this more widely for tomorrow's mtg.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 2, 2009 5:49 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: 'HAbedin[redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: YOUR people... w: Visit of worldwide United Methodist Bishops -- possible to arrange a meeting with Hillary?

Pls follow up. They could come by the Dept. which seems like the only time it would work.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat May 02 17:45:57 2009
Subject: YOUR people... w: Visit of worldwide United Methodist Bishops -- possible to arrange a meeting with Hillary?

I received call today from Bishop Schol and David Young.... The worlwild Conference of Catholic Bishops are in town and they're seeking an audience... Very late notice I told them BUT they have about a hundred here from all over the globe and they are our Methodists... I had the Bishop send me the details and I contact number. Attached below. I left it very vague and told them you may not even be town and how extremely busy you are...

-----Original Message-----
From: Bishop John R. Schol[redacted]
To: Burns Strider[redacted] B6
CC: David Young (on Hill)[redacted] Gregory Vaughn Palmer[redacted]
David and Diane Weisz Young[redacted]
Sent: Sat May 02 10:00:17 2009
Subject: RE: Visit of worldwide United Methodist Bishops -- possible to arrange a meeting with Hillary?

Dear Burns,

It was good to talk with you again this morning. I am grateful for your willingness to help the Council of Bishops. The following are dates and times that are available:

Sunday, May 3, 6:00 PM dinner - This is the opening dinner for the Council in which all of the bishops will be present. It will be at the American University Katzman Arts Center (right on the Nebraska Circle).

Wednesday, May 6, 6:00 PM dinner - Again all of the bishops will be present. This dinner will be at the Bethesda Hyatt, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue.

Another option is on Tuesday, May 6, any time between 2:00 and 4 PM with a group of bishops who are available to come to the State Department.

Also a group of bishops are available for breakfast or lunch Tuesday through Thursday.

Our first hope is either the Sunday or Wednesday for dinner.

You or someone on behalf of Secretary Clinton can call me at [redacted] If you could call or email me today to let me know how the initial call went. B6

Keep the faith!

John R. Schol, Bishop

The United Methodist Church

Washington, DC Area

Baltimore-Washington Conference

From: David and Diane Weisz Young [mailto [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 8:55 PM
To: Burns Strider; Bishop John R. Schol
Cc: Diane and Dave Weisz Young; David Young (on Hill)
Subject: Visit of worldwide United Methodist Bishops -- possible to arrange a meeting with Hillary?

John and Burns, Great talking to you both this evening.

Burns, the United Methodist Bishops from around the world are coming to the Washington area for a weeklong gathering of bishops. Bishop John is host and arranging their schedule. John can tell you more details ...
it would be wonderful if a time could be found sometime during the week
(Sunday-Friday) for Hillary to meet with the entire group of bishops (around 100 total) or at least the leaders of the African bishops (perhaps a group of 10 or so).

John notes that the group can be flexible and accomodate Hillary's busy schedule. He suggests that there are a couple of ideal evening opportunities where all the bishops will be present: this Sunday evening at 6 pm at American University's Arts Center, or Wednesday at 6 pm at the Hyatt in Bethesda. There also is a Tuesday evening gathering and reception with just the African bishops -- 7 pm onwards at AP Shaw UMC in SE DC.

If it is not possible for her to go to the bishops, I wonder whether it might be possible for a smaller group (eg, John, the African bishops and a couple others) to come to the State Department for a meeting in the Secretary's suite or conference room on the 7th floor. The Secretary obviously has a very busy schedule, but it might well be possible to find 20-30 minutes sometime during the week at the Department. (I haven't yet done so, but I will check the State Dept website and see what her announced travel schedule is.)

I am happy to help however I can, though it probably is easiest if you coordinate directly. Feel free to copy me at the above emails if I can be helpful. Here are your cell numbers: Bishop John's is [redacted]
Burns's is [redacted]

God's blessings to you both,

David

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 1:02 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call

Is he back in the country? Does it need to be secure?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 12:17:23 2009
Subject: Call

Dennis ross wants to talk to you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2009 8:01 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tom in Jamaica

Can you talk? Call the house.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 09 07:16:58 2009
Subject: Fw: Tom in Jamaica

Fyi

From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Fri May 08 18:03:51 2009
Subject: Tom in Jamaica

To All:

Tom wanted you to know that he and Hector Morales just departed Jamaica after meeting with Caribbean foreign ministers. Three main points:

--The Caribbean FMs welcomed discussion with Tom about the Caribbean security dialogue. Tom told them we would go into more detail at the May 20 experts meeting in Suriname.

--The FMs look forward to meeting with S in San Pedro Sula at the OASGA.

--On Cuba, the FMs expressed sympathy with what we are trying to do, but want to hear more about next steps.

Best,

ck

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 1:16 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Gen Shelton
Attachments: image001.png

Pls get #s for Gen. Shelton for me. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider
To: H; Doug Band
Sent: Thu Apr 16 17:31:05 2009
Subject: Gen Shelton

Note below per General Shelton... B6

From: Saif Khan [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 5:26 PM
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Gen Shelton FYI

Burns,

Gen. Shelton sends his regards. I spoke with him just now.

Saif Khan

Deputy National Director & Senior Advisor

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 10, 2009 3:35 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Schedule

Am I seeing both Miliband and Kouchener this week?

Am I seeing the Angola FM btw May 19-22?

Can I fly to W'chester Tues night and fly back out of LaGuardia after NYU?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 7:36 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Testimony

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'Jake.Sullivar <Jake.Sullivar B6
Sent: Thu Apr 23 06:43:41 2009
Subject: Testimony

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 9:30 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can we do tomorrow around 9?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 28 20:56:24 2009
Subject: Fw:

See below, monica did confirm. I don't know what the confusion could have been. I'm very sorry. Marianne is saying she can late tonite (around 10) or tomorrow at 8pm.

----- Original Message -----
From: Hanley, Monica R
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Apr 28 20:49:26 2009
Subject: Re:

So sorry - trying to contact her now. She was set to come when I spoke to her.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: Hanley, Monica R
Sent: Tue Apr 28 20:34:43 2009

marianne isn't there yet. She was supposed to be there at 8.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 2, 2009 1:10 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

That's great!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 02 12:41:30 2009
Subject:

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 4:08 PM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Kazakhstan bilat

Ok w me. India raised issues that this doesn't.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 15:19:05 2009
Subject: Kazakhstan bilat

Holbrooke would like to attend the Kazakhstan bilat. Bill Burns will attend, as will the ambassador and Richard Boucher. I recall that you thought it didn't make sense for Holbrooke to join the Menon bilat (which Burns also attended) so I wanted to check with you to see if you had views on this.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 9:28 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sat

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 21:10:46 2009
Subject: Sat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2009 6:10 AM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I'll call her this am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 07 06:09:12 2009
Subject:

Carolyn maloney called for you.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2009 7:12 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Cinco de Mayo

What happened w our plans for this? There's nothing about it on my schedule so I assume nothing is happening.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 5:59 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's wait until next week.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 05:35:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Do you want to see her this week?

From: Wendy Sherman <[redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills1 <[redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue May 05 17:53:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Cheryl,

Trying to decide when to take a quick trip to Munich. Any sense of when a meeting might occur? Thanks very much.

Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman

Principal

The Albright Group LLC

Albright Capital Management LLC

1101 New York Avenue NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 6:44 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Italy? Greece?

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Doug Band
Sent: Wed May 13 06:40:28 2009
Subject: Re: Italy? Greece?

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Doug Band [redacted]
Sent: Wed May 13 06:30:30 2009
Subject: Italy? Greece?

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 8:21 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti [PAUL COLLIER]

Pls tell Jake to vet w others so I can raise.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Apr 16 06:12:35 2009
Subject: FW: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti [PAUL COLLIER]

See below.

From: Paul Collier
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Apr 15 20:44:55 2009
Subject: Fwd: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti

Jake this is for cheryl but I don't have her email. It is urgent - a suggestion to add ports to the secretary's agenda for her meeting with Preval tomorrow. Vital that he does not slide off fixing the port. More below. Paul

Begin forwarded message:

> From: "Jean-Louis Warnholz" [redacted] B6
> Date: 16 April 2009 01:31:16 BST
> To: "'Paul Collier'" [redacted]
> Subject: For the Secretary of State visit to Haiti

I enjoyed the opportunity to discuss the emerging potential that Haiti presents with the Secretary of State and former President Clinton last night. I would like to take this opportunity to make a brief suggestion for Thursday's trip to Haiti:

We discussed the catalytic role the United States could play in leveraging much needed private investment in large scale job creation, for example through direct involvement of OPIC. In my report I pointed out that the port in Port-au-Prince is the most expensive port in the Caribbean and recommended the opening of new private ports. Ports remain a critical bottleneck to a job creation strategy both in garment manufacturing and agriculture. They also play a pivotal role in ensuring that some of the complementary imports necessary for the proposed road construction projects can enter the country both in the required quantities and in a cost-effective and timely manner. Elsewhere I have argued that spiraling prices in construction booms often mean that available funds only go half way to the finishing line.

The Haitian authorities have already made inroads in addressing some of these inefficiencies and stand ready to do more. In fact, Prime Minister Pierre-Louis noted in the letter to investors which was presented at the meeting with

Charles Rangel and garment entrepreneurs on Monday, that "ISPS certification of port facilities and alleviation of customs procedures" are in progress. The meetings tomorrow may present an opportunity to emphasize once again how central well-functioning, competitive ports are to attract foreign investors as part of the HOPEII window and to put the right transport infrastructure in place.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 6:50 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Public/Private Partnership for Italy

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue May 05 18:39:44 2009
Subject: FW: Public/Private Partnership for Italy

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 3:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Public/Private Partnership for Italy

EUR tells me that they have engaged fully with Ambassador Bagley and Kris Balderston on this project, and that Ambassador Bagley has spoken with the Italian Ambassador and reviewed the proposed approach. She said he thought that it sounded like a good plan and would be warmly received. They are ready to convene a meeting with the NIAF President as soon as the Secretary approves the action memo.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2009 8:09 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Email addresss

Pls help me update my berry w email addresses of key staff like Monica, Kris, etc.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 6:31 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; Doug Band
Subject: Italy? Greece?

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 8:55 PM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan[redacted] B6
Subject: 100 Day report

Could you work w Derek, Philippe and others to draft this report for us to send to the President after our next trip?

We could workd on it during the trip. Let's discuss tomorrow. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 11:04 AM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

If we can clear schedule tomorrow that's best but I don't want to offend the Armenians or Azeris. Can you send me what Tues-Fri would look like if we did that? Also we have the Trilateral plus Lavrov [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 03 09:58:49 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Here is what we can do if you take the 1pm shuttle. Do u want to still do press or move to tuesday? I also think we can clear your schedule tomorrow and move things into the rest of week so u can stay in ny.

Afternoon Meeting with the FM Armenia

3:00pm Meeting with Joe Klein
3:30pm

3:45pm Meeting with David Ignatius
4:15pm

4:15pm Brief Meeting with Jim Smith,
4:30pm (saudi amb choice)

4:30pmBrief Meeting with Steve Radelet, MCC choice 4:45pm

5:00pm Meeting with Shimon Peres
(He's now saying he can't go to state. So we may have to move to tuesday)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2009 6:10 AM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Do you know why?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 07 06:08:17 2009
Subject: Calls

Calls with turks will not take place this morning.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 11:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Let's do Patsy. I'm ready.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 13 08:13:05 2009
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Print for HRC

-----Original Message-----
From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 6:13 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: [redacted] Toiv, Nora F B6
Subject: FW: Note for Secretary re Office of Overseas Buildings

Cheryl

Per discussion

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:13 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

Yeah!! Is he going w us?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Tue Apr 21 17:44:38 2009
Subject: CHRIS HILL CONFIRMED

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2009 10:57 PM
To: 'AbedinH@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called for you

I called him back and got vm. If he calls you again tonight or tomorrow, can you connect him to me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri May 08 22:02:30 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called for you

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 6:08 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Undeliverable: Thanks
Attachments: Thanks (1.53 KB)

Pls call Fluornoy's office at DOD and check this address which she sent me this am and send me the correct one asap. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: postmaster@Local <postmaster@Local>
To: B6
Sent: Mon Apr 27 17:57:56 2009
Subject: Undeliverable: Thanks

Delivery has failed to these recipients or distribution lists:

The recipient's e-mail address was not found in the recipient's e-mail system. Microsoft Exchange will not try to redeliver this message for you. Please check the e-mail address and try resending this message, or provide the following diagnostic text to your system administrator.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: RSRCNEXFE01.rsrc.osd.mil

#< #5.1.1> #SMTP#

Original message headers:

Received: from OSDNSG01R2.OSD.MIL ([130.16.205.111]) by
RSRCNEXFE01.rsrc.osd.mil with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Mon, 27 Apr
2009 17:57:48 -0400
Received: from osdnspgw03r2.osd.mil (unknown [130.16.210.73])
by OSDNSG01R2.OSD.MIL (BorderWare MXtreme Mail Firewall) with ESMTP id EEEDAB587L
for Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:53 -0400 (EDT)
X-AuditID: 8210d249-a4b48bb0000007bd-ec-49f62a61e8cd
Received: from mail.clintonemail.com (ool-18bbeabb.static.optonline.net [24.187.234.187])
by osdnspgw03r2.osd.mil (Symantec Mail Security) with SMTP id D9C0518678E
for Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:53 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from CLNTINET08.clinton.local ([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993]) by CLNTINET08.clinton.local ([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993%10]) with mapi; Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:50 -0400
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: '
CC: "'newmyer@ <newmyer@
Date: Mon, 27 Apr 2009 17:57:48 -0400
Subject: Thanks
Thread-Topic: Thanks
Thread-Index: AcnHgzQ9CXPTB9LmTC2AwUsPbh5yjw==
Message-ID: <786762D781A7FF4FAC9060892B4044880332BC6E7B@CLNTINET08.clinton.local>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
acceptlanguage: en-US
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Brightmail-Tracker: AAAAAQ6CR+E=
X-STA-Metric: 39 (engine=024)
X-STA-NotSpam: cc:2**0 michele hillary thanks issues
X-STA-Spam: subject:Thanks best, header:Message-ID:1 her best
X-BTI-AntiSpam:
score:0,sta:39/024,dcc:off,dnsbl:off,sw:off,bsn:none,spf:off,dk:off,pbmf:trust/9,ipr:1/2,trusted:yes,ts:no,bs:no,ubl:off
Received-SPF: none
Return-Path: HDR22@clintonemail.com
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 27 Apr 2009 21:57:48.0286 (UTC) FILETIME=[33F139E0:01C9C783]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 6:54 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Brookings request

They are meeting at State so it's easy to do. We should schedule. Let's discuss today.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Apr 29 04:00:08 2009
Subject: Fw: Brookings request

Per your strobe request regarding a june meet with board

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Apr 29 03:37:25 2009
Subject: Brookings request

Lona -

Gotcha - agree with that concern so let's flag and present to her. I had asked strobe if we could move date but given that it is their board mtg, they can't.

I do think it provides a useful oppor for her to engage an imp group post her BIG Speech but the first day after such a long trip is not ideal.

We should share that concern with hrc so she can decide..

Cdm

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 6:06 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: message for Cheryl.....

It's the day after she returns from an international trip – I would prefer not to.

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:45 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: FW: message for Cheryl.....

Do you think we can try to do this June 3?

B6

From: Strobe Talbott [mailto:
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:44 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Re: message for Cheryl.....

The proposed meeting is built around annual combined board and international advisory council meeting, so we're locked into June 3. Hope it might still work. Will send full board and council member list.

Strobe Talbott

President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 2, 2009 1:37 PM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Followup

Are you at the planning meeting w Holbrooke and Patraeus?

Also, have you seen the readout from my Karzai call? Has Holbrooke? What's the feedback?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:05 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Followup

Pls find a copy of the movie "Pray the Devil Back to Hell" about the war in Liberia.

Add Gloria Steinem to my call list.

Pls find a copy of the new Mary Pipher book, "Seeking Peace: Chronicles of the Worst Buddhist in the World."

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:05 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'HAbedin
Subject: Schedule

B6

A few items:

Are you able to move everything from today to later in the week? What about the personnel meetings?

Am I seeing the Angolan FM when he visits May 19-22?

Was I invited by Powell and Albright to attend the Initiative for Global Development event this Wed-Thurs at the Willard Hotel?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:40 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills 'Jake.Sullivan
Subject: Fw: Some intel for you...

B6

Do you know anything about this--from any angle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 09:36:17 2009
Subject: Some intel for you...

This is about Sri Lankan Govt and the Tigers...

I have a good source.

This was shared to me at my and Karen's Derby Party yesterday (can you believe the 50 to 1 odds winner?).

There was a meeting held with Geitner asked for and led by IMF... They told him you were intruding into his domain by ordering/telling IMF to suspend funding to Sri Lakan Govt.

My take is that the people on the ground both with World Bank and IMF believe the Tigers need to be completely defeated and any collateral damage inflicted on private people by SL govt in process is ok... They also believe Tigers are better at propaganda than SL govt...

I have no idea what reality is... I know all about the conflict because there's been so much written over time but no idea of reality on ground.

My point is that IMF/World Bank is hoping to get Geitner to intervene and they recently played to his sense of who is US point person on IMF... So, that's what I know. I'll keep my ears open.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 7:36 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Message for CDM

I know. Sooo sad.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Justin Cooper
Sent: Mon May 04 17:17:25 2009
Subject: FW: Message for CDM

FYI

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 4:53 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Message for CDM

Walter Isaacson asked that I pass along to CDM (and suggestion that the Secretary may want to know) that [redacted] B6

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 7:58 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Followup

Pls do followup as indicated:

Condolence letter to [redacted] from NC on loss of his mother B6

Congrats note to Alan Bersin the new border czar and old friend of mine

Call List adds: Ellen Chesler; Jeff Romanoff from UPMC at [redacted] at Rendell's request; Hassan Nemazee, Derek Shearer, Sim Farar,

Has Rob done TY notes from this last trip to the officials, the Iraqi women etc

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 6:37 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

How did your dinner w Paul go? When will we know?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 06:19:57 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed May 06 05:58:44 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's wait until next week.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 05:35:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Do you want to see her this week?

From: Wendy Sherman [redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills1 [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue May 05 17:53:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Cheryl,

Trying to decide when to take a quick trip to Munich. Any sense of when a meeting might occur? Thanks very much.

Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman

Principal


The Albright Group LLC

Albright Capital Management LLC

1101 New York Avenue NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 6:46 AM
To: 'jfarrow
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Palau offended by US positions

Thx for sending. I've forwarded to Jake Sullivan in my office to follow up.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jeffrey L Farrow B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 10 18:36:45 2009
Subject: Palau offended by US positions

Below is the statement of very impressive Palau reps at the end of a session of required meetings on the next phase of free association aid. FYI only, the Palauans were insulted by the nature and depth of the response to their proposal as well as the content and the overall approach. They are even privately questioning the relationship. (This hasn't affected their intent to take the Uighurs.) I will send a few further thoughts.

At the conclusion of yesterday's meeting, the Palau delegation caucused to discuss its reaction to the response of the United States delegation to the proposals that it made in June in Washington D.C., and expanded upon in subsequent communications.

While the Palau delegation has agreed to respond to certain additional questions raised by the United States delegation on specific elements of the Palau proposal, and further has agreed to participate in certain working groups on specific issues, the Palau delegation was deeply disappointed with the response of the United States delegation. The United States delegation did not seriously respond, as required by the Compact, to the operational requirements of the Government of Palau or to Palau's comprehensive and considered plans to achieve economic advancement and self-sufficiency.

In light of the foregoing, the Palau delegation has determined that it must now return to Palau to discuss with President Toribiong the next steps that Palau needs to take regarding the Compact. Thank you.

Jeff

Jeffrey L. Farrow
c B6
202-343-2905 o

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 11:56 AM
To: 'preines
Subject: Fw: heads up

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Lissa Muscatine
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 11:53:13 2009
Subject: heads up

B6

You probably already know this, but I just heard from a friend who is wired at Meet the Press that David Gregory will ask you about David Maziar, the Newsweek journalist arrested in Iran.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Sorry I hit send too soon!

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov' <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Jul 31 06:13:25 2009
Subject: Schedule

Can we start Saud 15 minutes later so I don't have to leave the WH early?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 8:01 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Connecting this weekend

Let's do tomorrow after MTP. Ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 06:34:57 2009
Subject: Connecting this weekend

Let me know if today or tomorrow works for you (or if you would rather find time monday).

I have a dinner at 5pm I have to get my house ready for so my best times are b/f 2pm today or anytime tomorrow.

Cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 11:55 AM
To: 'David_Garten@lautenberg.senate.gov'
Subject: Re: Small World

David--Great hearing from and delighted you got to meet [redacted] I saw [redacted] in India and he's relishing the experience. Hope you're relishing yours as well. All the best. H B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Garten, David (Lautenberg) <David_Garten@lautenberg.senate.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Sun Jul 12 16:23:00 2009
Subject: Small World

Secretary,

I wanted to let you know that last night I met old friends of yours and your husband's [redacted] B6

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

Best,

David

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: Lavrov

Russians are saying he is unavailable and don't know when or if he will call in. What's next step?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 11:55 AM
To: 'preines[redacted] B6
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: MTP briefing?

I rec'd the two books for the SED but nothing for MTP. Will it arrive soon?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 12:14 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: MTP briefing?

Ok. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines [redacted]>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 12:02:58 2009
Subject: Re: MTP briefing?

Huma said she's getting to you now

It's laid out on the first page, but we're doing two calls

1pm: call w/Axelrod, Gibbs, Denis and Nancy Deparle to brf you (their request)

1:45 call/us, plus Denis

I'll MC both and make sure you know exactly who is on

Ops will connect you to both

------Original Message------
From: Evergreen
To: PIR
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: MTP briefing?
Sent: Jul 25, 2009 11:55 AM

I rec'd the two books for the SED but nothing for MTP. Will it arrive soon?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 6:11 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Question

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Jul 25 17:06:19 2009
Subject: Re: Question

I do
It's [redacted] h B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Huma Abedin <[redacted]> B6
Sent: Sat Jul 25 16:42:45 2009
Subject: Question

Do you have my call list? I'm looking for Joe Duffy/Anne Wexler number.

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:53 PM
To: 'wburns66
Subject: Re: Note from Bill Burns

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 07/25/2024

Thx so much for all of your help.

----- Original Message -----
From: wburns66 B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 11:31:21 2009
Subject: Re: Note from Bill Burns

Madam Secretary,

You did a really fine job on Meet the Press today. The Russia, Iran, Afghanistan and Asia points were all right on the mark. And even though it goes beyond my professional scope, the second half was just as good. Enjoy the rest of the weekend.

Bill

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'wburns66
Sent: Sun, Jul 5, 2009 5:43 pm
Subject: Re: Note from Bill Burns

Bill--

Thanks for the update and for all the work you did and led to get us to this point. I hope you'll have time to send me periodic updates, especially your impressions of the Medvedev-Putin relationship.

I am considering a trip to the Caucusus and Central Asia in the Fall, perhaps attached to the Russia bilat, if we decide that makes sense.

As you know, 1.4(D) B1

Travel safely. All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: wburns66 B6
To: H; H2
Sent: Sun Jul 05 15:46:20 2009
Subject: Note from Bill Burns

Madam Secretary,

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Just a quick note before leaving for Moscow, Rome and Central Asia.

Moscow preparations are in reasonable shape. Afghan lethal transit agreement is done, and will be signed on Monday. There's also a broader statement on cooperation on counter-narcotics and reconstruction in Afghanistan. (Bakiyev has now signed the Manas agreement, which is a further boost on Afghanistan.) The Presidents will announce the bilateral commission, and your trip to Russia in the fall to launch the process with Lavrov. All in all, a good, measured, realistic step in our effort to rebuild relations --

I'll be in Rome just for the first, "foreign policy" portion of the G8. We're working on a Leaders' statement that is likely to look a lot like last week's G8 Foreign Ministers statement on most issues, with an update on North Korea, and essentially the same, firm line on Iran.

I leave Rome on July 9 with an interagency delegation for stops in Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and Kyrgyzstan, back in DC on July 14.

Hope you had a good Fourth, and all the best,

Bill

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!
<http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1222887319x1201497660/aol?redir=http://www.freecreditreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072%26hmpgID=62%26bcd=JulystepsfooterNO62>

An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!
<http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1221323048x1201367271/aol?redir=http://www.freecreditreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072&hmpgID=62&bcd=JulyExcfooterNO62>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 3:25 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Brava!

Pls put [redacted] on call list and do letter to her wishing her well etc. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Keogh [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 31 12:25:49 2009
Subject: Re: Brava!

It was great to you see you too. You look fantastic. It was a wonderful mini reunion. Best way to reach [redacted]

On Fri, Jul 31, 2009 at 12:18 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Karen--

It was great seeing you yesterday at what felt like a mini-reunion. Thanks so much for coming.

Also could you please send me the contact info for [redacted] so I could call or write her?

Give my best to Mike and Jessica and enjoy the rest of summer. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Keogh [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 21:54:03 2009
Subject: Brava!

Secretary Clinton -- You were phenomenol this morning on Meet the Press. Many NY friends and fans have been emailing me today with rave reviews, even some of my new Republican pals. I missed you at the UN Eleanor Roosevelt breakfast - you were mobbed. I will be in Washington on Thursday for Andrew Shapiro's swearing-in, perhaps I will see you there. Thanks for the note to Jessica for her graduation -- she's doing great -- a counselor in training at a local day camp and (yikes) High School this fall. Some sad news to report - [redacted] Best, KPK

--

Karen Persichilli Keogh

--

Karen Persichilli Keogh

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 4:19 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Feingold asking to talk between 8 and 9am. Should I say 9?

No, 8 is better.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 21:44:09 2009
Subject: Feingold asking to talk between 8 and 9am. Should I say 9?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:53 AM
To: 'BStrider [redacted] B6
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tony Campolo... Fw: Your help is needed

Burns--thank you for forwarding this request. I always want Tony Campolo (and Peggy) to be able to find me. I'm copying my assistant, Lauren Jiloty, so she can give you her info for contact purposes. All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 15:30:37 2009
Subject: Tony Campolo... Fw: Your help is needed

Hey Bosslady... Obviously I will always connect dots when asked/needed... But see below... I assume providing Huma's contact info is the appropriate course of action?

I hope you are well...

------Original Message------
From: James Warren
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Your help is needed
Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:20 PM

Burns,

James Warren here.

I need your help. I'm trying to update my contact list . and I came to Secretary of State Clinton..

Who would give me the contact names to get to Mrs. Clinton, for Tony?

In the past, I had

Sen. Hillary Clinton's Office 202-224-4451 (main)

Hma Abedine 202-224-2330

Phil 202-224-2873

Lauren Joloty 202-224-5723

Now with the change of location and jobs, I'm not sure who I should have, for Tony if he has me try to get a message to her office.. I know this is a rare thing, but once in a while he would do that.

I'm just looking for a friendly name to talk to, to give me some (one of
two) names of people who if we called and said Tony Campolo . it would mean something and get the message to the right person. to get to her. (Rare ocassion, remember that.)

Thanks,

James

James Warren
Executive Assistant to Dr. Anthony Campolo EAPE, Office Administrator Eastern University, Fowler Hall, 1300 Eagle Road, St. Davids, PA 19087 [redacted] <mailto [redacted] on the web at:
<http://www.tonycampolo.org/> www.tonycampolo.org and <http://www.eape.org/> www.eape.org

B6

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 10:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jones

Done

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 08:19:40 2009
Subject: Jones

Also, jim jones just called for you. Can be non-secure

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 10:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Angela

Done.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 09:52:51 2009
Subject: Angela

Jim just called me.
Angela has some thing you need to see before tomorrow that she cannot leave.
She's scheduled to be there at 10.
Marina knows.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:56 PM
To: 'jacobjlew
Subject: Re: Questions

Thx for all of your help.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jacob Lew
To: H
Cc: Lew, Jacob J <lewjj@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Jul 26 13:10:50 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

B6

Great job this morning. Glad it went well.

Hope you get a few hours off this afternoon -- though I assume that with the China prep not likely.

On Sat, Jul 25, 2009 at 8:46 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Is the 50k figure State and AID?

Kurdistan election results?

Can you find out for me how many Nazi prison camps we had in US during WW2 and what we did w the detainees--did we ever try any? Did we deport them after war ended?

Re Sudan: how do you pronounce Abyei?
What is % and dollar increase in 2010 budget for ops and aid?

I don't understand the answer to the prostitution policy question. What's the simplest way to say it?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 8:00 AM
To: 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Subject: Columbia

Will the DCA team be in Columbia in early August? I thought we had set that up but heard today they might not get there until September. What's the plan?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 11:55 AM
To: 'David_Garten@lautenberg.senate.gov'
Subject: Re: Small World

David--Great hearing from and delighted you got to meet [redacted]. I saw [redacted] in India and he's relishing the experience. Hope you're relishing yours as well. All the best. H B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Garten, David (Lautenberg) <David_Garten@lautenberg.senate.gov>
To: H2
Sent: Sun Jul 12 16:23:00 2009
Subject: Small World

Secretary,

I wanted to let you know that last night I met old friends of yours and your husband's – [redacted] B6

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

Best,

David

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 8:31 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Thank you!

Jake--i told you yesterday, but it bears repeating--you're doing a wonderful job. Not just on the speech, but all the work to establish and implement the priorities it represents. I'm very grateful---Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 5:17 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Or after video conf?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 02 17:15:33 2009
Subject: RE: Tomorrow

Yes, we can certainly do that.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 5:14 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Could we postpone start of video conf so I could meet his family?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 02 17:09:33 2009
Subject: RE: Tomorrow

It will be tricky -- his swearing in is at 10:00am which is during your Iran Video Conference. If for some reason it ends early, you could stop by. I will list it as an FYI and let Claire know as well.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 4:56 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Tomorrow

Charles Rivkin, the new Amb is being sworn in tomorrow, and asked me to please come. Is there any way I could be there at all?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2009 6:17 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Whitehaven cocktail tables

I can't do tomorrow and I won't be back for two! weeks.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: [redacted] H
Sent: Mon Aug 03 03:33:41 2009
Subject: Re: Whitehaven cocktail tables

She's headed to africa tomorrow (monday) evening. Late afternoon might work but she might get stuck at meetings and have to head straight to airport.

----- Original Message -----
From: Rosemarie Howe [redacted]
To: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 02 20:04:09 2009
Subject: Whitehaven cocktail tables

Are you around this week? If you have any time we could look at coffee tables for the den. I'd love to see the new additions to your office also.
How's the elbow?

Rosemarie

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2009 7:24 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Follow-up

Ok. Let's discuss this and my "list" over the weekend. Can we set time for meeting?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 21 17:39:06 2009
Subject: FW: Follow-up

Remind me to discuss this with you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2009 10:09 AM
To: Turk, David M; Verma, Richard R
Cc: Jackson, Marjorie W; Ogden, Peter R
Subject: RE: Follow-up

Rich -- I thought the admonitions S gave to Ellen Tauscher about how we need a serious Hill strategy plan re Start and CTBT and that such a plan must necessarily inform the actual negotiating work that Rose does could have been applied word for word to climate. I am going to raise this with her on the India trip. I'll tell her we've met and that we're meeting again soon. But I am also going to make clear that I may need to bring in a completely dedicated high-level person to do this. I just have a very hard time figuring out how you guys, with all you're up against, can do this yourselves.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 8:04 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called for you late last night.

Ok. [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 07:11:13 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called for you late last night.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 10:58 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Angela

I still don't have my MTP briefing.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 09:52:51 2009
Subject: Angela

Jim just called me.
Angela has some thing you need to see before tomorrow that she cannot leave.
She's scheduled to be there at 10.
Marina knows.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 7:53 AM
To: 'preines
Subject: Re: Questions

Thx. I meant budget question to refer to overall budget. Can you get that for me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Philippe Reines
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 07:43:53 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

B6

o Is the 50k figure State and AID?
YES [U/S Kennedy & Ops]

---

o Re Sudan: how do you pronounce Abyei? What is % and dollar increase in
2010 budget for ops and aid?

Per the VoA pronunciation guide: ab-YAY (Ops). The FY09 base level for Sudan assistance programs is $327m vs $403m in FY10- mostly related to infrastructure and election/referendum requirements. This does NOT include several hundred million dollars of food aid and other humanitarian assistance that is also provided yearly (close to $400m on top of numbers
below) a year. Also in addition is funding for UN peacekeeping missions- also nearly $200m a year. [D(L)]

---

o I don't understand the answer to the prostitution policy question. What's the simplest way to say it?

[Per D(L): Because of the politics of health care reform on the Hill, there is great sensitivity about addressing the substance of these issues at this time.]

Q: Under the previous Administration organizations receiving HIV\AIDs funds from the U.S. Government were required to have a policy explicitly opposing prostitution and sex trafficking. Is that going to continue to be the policy of this Administration?

A: This matter has been the subject of litigation - outside groups have sued both USAID and the HHS over the policy - and we recently notified the Court that we needed additional time to review the policy. That review is underway; no final decisions have been made.

On 7/25/09 8:46 PM, "Evergreen" <Hdr22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

> Is the 50k figure State and AID?
>

> Kurdistan election results?
>
> Can you find out for me how many Nazi prison camps we had in US during
> WW2 and what we did w the detainees--did we ever try any? Did we
> deport them after war ended?
>
> Re Sudan: how do you pronounce Abyei?
> What is % and dollar increase in 2010 budget for ops and aid?
>
> I don't understand the answer to the prostitution policy question.
> What's the simplest way to say it?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 4:19 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Feingold asking to talk between 8 and 9am. Should I say 9?

No, 8 is better.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 21:44:09 2009
Subject: Feingold asking to talk between 8 and 9am. Should I say 9?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 8:00 AM
To: 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Subject: Columbia

Will the DCA team be in Columbia in early August? I thought we had set that up but heard today they might not get there until September. What's the plan?

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D)

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 10:26 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Update

No need.

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 08/01/2024

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 02 09:37:30 2009
Subject: Fw: Update

Russians saying that lavrov could talk after 10:30 am but phil sent message below and doesn't think u need to call any longer. Bill burns concurs. Ok to tell them no need for a call? They r supposedly tracking lavrov down on vacation.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 02 06:37:10 2009
Subject: Update

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

EUMM reported no overnight incidents and Georgian Interior Ministry concurs.

We are still seeking solid confirmation of all this and conveying messages to parties but with things moving in right direction I don't think S-Lav call is any longer necessary.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 10:39 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Monday schedule

Is there any time on Mon that I could see Jim Jones? Also can you send me tomorrow's schedule?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 1:25 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 02 12:54:44 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

For morning appointments.
- Catherine 6:45am (so she can do everything)
- Barbara: 7:15am
- Isabelle: 7:30am

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 3:06 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

See if I can stay and see Jones or if he'd rather wait until I come back.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 02 12:36:02 2009
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

Not sure if lona sent:
8:45 senior staff mtg
9:15 mtg with assistant secretaries
10 iran video conference
10:45 drop-by cheryl mtg with scott gration
11:15 jordanian fm bilat and press avail
12:15 nytimes photo shoot
12:45 potus lunch with emir of kuwait
2:00 pre-brief for landler interview
2:30 landler interview
3:30 small group meeting
You could stay and do jones after that?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 02 10:39:01 2009
Subject: Monday schedule

Is there any time on Mon that I could see Jim Jones? Also can you send me tomorrow's schedule?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 3:10 PM
To: 'preines
Subject: Re: Feedback

Thx for the feedback and for everything you did to make it happen.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 14:12:27 2009
Subject: Feedback

B6

Whenever you do something big on TV we all hear from lots of folks saying you did great. But this time is noticeably different. First, people across the spectrum - DC insiders, reporters, staff, ex-staff, friends of friends, WH folks, etc - were blown away. Second, people were REALLY moved by the last segment, three people even told me they teared up. I'm guessing and hoping you hear this directly from random folks in the coming days.

And I think because it's been a lousy couple of weeks for the Admin, with mixed messages and bad news, coupled with many people seeing you for the first time for an extended period talking about the job and your relationship with the President, and that combo was probably the most powerful reminder since your speech in Denver that you're in a class all your own (including the President who became enmeshed in the Gates incident)

That you didn't wait for the envoy question was key, you turned it into a strength. What you said about the President and how you said it, one can't help but think how much of a team player you are and how remarkably you've adapted to the role.

You were definitely on your game. You either threw a perfect game - or at least a no hitter. So this couldn't have gone better, achieved everything we needed to times 10, and comes on the heels of a great 10 days.

Will be interesting to see the storyline that emanates from this. Because there was no substantive news, it'll be a focus on style - especially saving Biden.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 3:25 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Brava!

Pls put [redacted] on call list and do letter to her wishing her well etc. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Keogh [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 31 12:25:49 2009
Subject: Re: Brava!

It was great to you see you too. You look fantastic. It was a wonderful mini reunion. Best way to reach [redacted] B6

On Fri, Jul 31, 2009 at 12:18 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Karen--

It was great seeing you yesterday at what felt like a mini-reunion. Thanks so much for coming.

Also could you please send me the contact info for [redacted] so I could call or write her? B6

Give my best to Mike and Jessica and enjoy the rest of summer. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Keogh [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 21:54:03 2009
Subject: Brava!

Secretary Clinton -- You were phenomenol this morning on Meet the Press. Many NY friends and fans have been emailing me today with rave reviews, even some of my new Republican pals. I missed you at the UN Eleanor Roosevelt breakfast - you were mobbed. I will be in Washington on Thursday for Andrew Shapiro's swearing-in, perhaps I will see you there. Thanks for the note to Jessica for her graduation -- she's doing great -- a counselor in training at a local day camp and (yikes) High School this fall. Some sad news to report [redacted] B6
Best, KPK

--

Karen Persichilli Keogh

--

Karen Persichilli Keogh

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Sorry I hit send too soon!

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov' <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Jul 31 06:13:25 2009
Subject: Schedule

Can we start Saud 15 minutes later so I don't have to leave the WH early?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:48 AM
To: 'cholletdh@state.gov'
Subject: Thank you!!

Derek---Thank you for all of your help and leadership on the speech and the policies it embodies. It is a delight working w you. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 4:43 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Question

Do you have my call list? I'm looking for Joe Duffy/Anne Wexler number.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 5, 2009 2:54 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Higher Education Dinner on August 17 - Guest

I can't pull up the whole email but assume it is fine to go. Any other news? We can talk later if needed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 05 11:39:17 2009
Subject: FW: Higher Education Dinner on August 17 - Guest

HRC:

Added [redacted] B5

In speaking to John he pointed out that frame is also to have some of the larger institutions to do and create development in Africa and other countries and they must see themselves as having obligation of being part of solution.

[redacted]

See list.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 11:24 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Fedoroff, Nina V (STAS); Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Klevorick, Caitlin B; Smith, Jeannemarie E
Subject: Higher Education Dinner on August 17 - Guest

Jake/Huma/Lona:

I've got to have Lona get invites out today - the list is 18 after speaking with the Secretary on theory we will have yield of 12 - 14.

Before I have Lona invite anyone, I want to phase invites so our highest priorities have chance to response first and need to know how big is too big to work; I have targeted 12-14 outside guests - given an expectation of 6-8 government folks.

Proposed Outside Dinner Guest List:

[redacted]

B5

B5

Potential other invite:

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 8:46 PM
To: 'preines[redacted]; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
'jacobjlew[redacted] Huma Abedin
Subject: Questions

Is the 50k figure State and AID?

Kurdistan election results?

Can you find out for me how many Nazi prison camps we had in US during WW2 and what we did w the detainees--did we ever try any? Did we deport them after war ended?

Re Sudan: how do you pronounce Abyei?
What is % and dollar increase in 2010 budget for ops and aid?

I don't understand the answer to the prostitution policy question. What's the simplest way to say it?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Let Jim know about Lavrov and I can't talk w Feingold now. What about tomorrow morning?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 16:12:30 2009
Subject: Calls

Russians saying lavrov unavailable and they don't know when he will call back.
Also, feingold called asking if u can talk before 6:30.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 5:42 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Lavrov

Ok. Let me know how it unfolds.

----- Original Message -----
From: Steinberg, James B <SteinbergJB@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 17:03:41 2009
Subject: Re: Lavrov

Continuing various other channels including jcs

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Sat Aug 01 16:22:27 2009
Subject: Lavrov

Russians are saying he is unavailable and don't know when or if he will call in. What's next step?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 8:00 AM
To: 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Subject: Columbia

Will the DCA team be in Columbia in early August? I thought we had set that up but heard today they might not get there until September. What's the plan?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 5:42 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Lavrov

Ok. Let me know how it unfolds.

----- Original Message -----
From: Steinberg, James B <SteinbergJB@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 17:03:41 2009
Subject: Re: Lavrov

Continuing various other channels including jcs

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Sat Aug 01 16:22:27 2009
Subject: Lavrov

Russians are saying he is unavailable and don't know when or if he will call in. What's next step?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 4:52 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 02 16:15:10 2009
Subject: RE: Monday schedule

He would be happy to see you tomorrow immediately following the Small Group meeting.
Two minor additions -- 7:30am phone call with Columbian President Uribe (his request) was confirmed. And Jack Lew would like to see you around 2:00pm to talk to you about trade before you leave for your trip so will work in.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 3:06 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

See if I can stay and see Jones or if he'd rather wait until I come back.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 02 12:36:02 2009
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

Not sure if lona sent:
8:45 senior staff mtg
9:15 mtg with assistant secretaries
10 iran video conference
10:45 drop-by cheryl mtg with scott gration
11:15 jordanian fm bilat and press avail
12:15 nytimes photo shoot
12:45 potus lunch with emir of kuwait
2:00 pre-brief for landler interview
2:30 landler interview
3:30 small group meeting
You could stay and do jones after that?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 02 10:39:01 2009
Subject: Monday schedule

Is there any time on Mon that I could see Jim Jones? Also can you send me tomorrow's schedule?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:51 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Netanyahu's Speech for Peace: Is Anyone Listening?
Attachments: att30ebd.jpg

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Lanny J. B6
To: Davis, Lanny J. B6
Sent: Tue Jul 14 17:08:20 2009
Subject: Netanyahu's Speech for Peace: Is Anyone Listening?

July 14, 2009

Netanyahu's Speech for Peace: Is Anyone Listening?

By Lanny J. Davis

http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2009/jul/13/netanyahus-speech-for-peace-is-anyone-listening/?feat=home_columns&page=2&FORM=ZZNR

http://pundits.thehill.com/2009/07/13/netanyahu%e2%80%99s-speech-for-peace-is-anyone-listening/

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/photos/2009/jul/07/50034/>

AGENCE FRANCE-PRESSE: Israeli Prime Minister

Benjamin Netanyahu has determined "it made no

sense" to press the U.S. for assistance, a senior

Israeli official said.

I really don't get it. On June 14, less than a month after his meeting with President Obama, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu gave one of the most comprehensive, thoughtful and highly personal speeches supporting the Israeli-Palestinian peace process of any Israeli prime minister in recent years, perhaps ever.

One would have thought his speech would have been big news in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere. Mr. Netanyahu for the first time clearly stated he would support a two-state solution, albeit with understandable requirements to guarantee Israel's security, despite leaving a different impression during last month's U.S. visit.

Yet, the reaction of governments and media in the U.S. and Europe was the functional equivalent of a tree falling in the forest with no one listening. Where it was covered, the writing emphasized the cynical and focused on the half-empty, rather than the half-full, glass.

So let's take a closer look at what could turn out to be a very significant, game-changing speech. It can be divided, essentially, into four parts: personal, historic, diplomatic and economic.

The personal aspect of the speech deals with the issue of peace. At one point, Mr. Netanyahu spoke directly to the Palestinian people: "We want to live with you in peace, as good neighbors. We want our children and your children to never again experience war: that parents, brothers and sisters will never again know the agony of losing loved ones in battle; that our children will be able to dream of a better future and realize that dream; and that together we will invest our energies in plowshares and pruning hooks, not swords and spears."

And then he reminded Palestinians that for him and his family, peace and war are quite personal: "I know the face of war. I have experienced battle. I lost close friends. I lost a brother. I have seen the pain of bereaved families. I do not want war. No one in Israel wants war."

Mr. Netanyahu also spent time in his speech on history, but not to relitigate the ancient argument over who lived in Palestine first or for the longest time. Rather, Mr. Netanyahu explained that the "root of the conflict" has been based on a fundamental misstatement of undeniable historical facts.

Palestinians have been taught that Israel was founded largely by alien foreigners, foisted on the native Palestinians by Europeans feeling guilty over the Holocaust. That misstatement has been the central rationale for Arab and Palestinian unwillingness to publicly recognize Israel as a Jewish state.

In fact, he points out, "the attacks against us began in the 1920s," 20 years before the Holocaust. And the undeniable historical fact is that "the Jewish people and the land of Israel go back over 3,500 years" - when Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, forefathers of all Jews; David and Solomon, ancient Israel's two greatest kings; and Isaiah and Jeremiah, two of

the Jewish religion's greatest spiritual prophets, all lived in what was then Judea and Samaria, which today is called the West Bank.

"The right of the Jewish people to a state in the land of Israel does not derive from the cascade of catastrophes that befell our people," the prime minister said. "There are those who say that if the Holocaust had not occurred, the state of Israel would never have been established. But I say if the state of Israel would have been established earlier, it is the Holocaust that would not have occurred."

Third, the prime minister addressed the two simple requirements for peace between Israel and the Palestinians: recognition and security.

As to the first, he put it quite simply: "A fundamental prerequisite for ending the conflict is a public, binding and unequivocal Palestinian recognition of Israel as the nation-state of the Jewish people."

As to the security, it is also quite simple: Palestine must be demilitarized, as guaranteed by the international community, with open skies, no military alliances with hostile powers, and "effective security measures to prevent weapons smuggling into the territory - real monitoring, and not what occurs in Gaza today."

Once these two issues of recognition and security for Israel have been guaranteed, the rest of the difficult issues seem to come much easier: no more new settlements, with some wiggle room for the natural growth of current settlements caused by families growing; equitable treatment and assistance in placing refugees in land and homes outside of Israel (to preserve the essence of historic Israel as a Jewish state).

And on the always controversial issue of Jerusalem, Mr. Netanyahu was deftly ambiguous: "Jerusalem, the capital of Israel, must remain undivided, with continued religious freedom for all faiths." That does not seem to exclude Palestinian de facto control over certain parts of Jerusalem and certainly over their holy places.

Finally, the prime minister expressed a clear and concrete vision of economic prosperity for Palestinians in partnership with Israel. Indeed, he stated that such economic prosperity can come even before a final peace agreement is reached.

"An economic peace is not a substitute for a political peace but an important element in achieving it. Together we can undertake projects that overcome the scarcities of our region, like water desalination, or maximize its advantages, like developing solar energy, and exploiting our geographic location by laying gas and petroleum lines and establishing transportation links between Asia, Africa and Europe. ...

"Together we can develop industrial areas that will generate thousands of jobs and develop tourist sites that will attract millions of visitors eager to walk in the footsteps of history - in Nazareth and in Bethlehem, around the walls of Jericho and the walls of Jerusalem, on the banks of the Sea of Galilee, and the baptismal site on the banks of the Jordan."

Who cannot be moved by these words? Perhaps Mr. Netanyahu has it right - a new sequencing of the peace process: First, economic partnership and prosperity between Israelis and Palestinians; and then peace, not the other way around.

It could not have been by accident that Mr. Netanyahu chose to deliver his remarkable speech at the Begin-Sadat Center at Bar-Ilan University. It was slightly more than 30 years ago, on March 26, 1979, that Prime Minister Menachem Begin, as the leader of the right-wing Likud or "Consolidation" Party, joined with Egyptian President Anwar Sadat and signed a historic peace agreement between Israel and Egypt.

Now another man of the right, another Likud prime minister some three decades later, seems poised to make the lasting peace between Israel and Palestinians that has so long eluded his predecessors.

One wonders whether Mr. Netanyahu might also be thinking: If Richard Nixon could go to China because of a base on the right that trusted him, then I can bring peace and prosperity between Israelis and Palestinians.

Let's hope - and pray - that he is right.

# # # # #

Lanny J. Davis, a Washington lawyer and former special counsel to President Clinton, served as a member of President George W. Bush's Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board. He is the author of "Scandal: How 'Gotcha' Politics Is Destroying America."

This piece appeared in Mr. Davis' weekly column, "Purple Nation," in the Washington Times and the Hill.com/PunditsBlog today, Monday, July 13, 2009.

==========

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==========

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E- MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/

==========

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 6:43 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: H2
Subject: Re: Congratulations!

Can we implement his suggestion about pooling donors?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:47:53 2009
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Jul 10 21:29:39 2009
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

From: Jeff Sachs [redacted] B6
To: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri Jul 10 20:52:06 2009
Subject: Congratulations!

Dear Nora,

Please pass along the following message to Cheryl.

Thanks,

Jeff Sachs

Dear Cheryl,

Congratulations on the launch of the $20b G8 initiative. This will stand as a historic success of President Obama and Secretary Clinton. Please do convey my personal congratulations and gratitude to Secretary Clinton if you have a moment to do so. I know what a key role you personally played in bringing this to fruition.

I would like to suggest one overarching strategy for success.

Please do push hard on the donors (USAID included!) to pool the donor resources into one, at most two, multilateral funding streams. Certainly the World Bank should be the main spigot, and IFAD possibly a second. By pooling the resources, we'll cut down BY YEARS on the delays, donor negotiations, further talk, report writing, expert trips, etc., etc., which are now the biggest single threat to success of the initiative.

I look forward to a few minutes by phone or in person to offer some tips coming from long years of experience in this sphere. This can be a real historic path-breaker, if it's not swallowed in the agencies!

Warm regards and kudos!

Jeff Sachs

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 5:14 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Could we postpone start of video conf so I could meet his family?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 02 17:09:33 2009
Subject: RE: Tomorrow

It will be tricky -- his swearing in is at 10:00am which is during your Iran Video Conference. If for some reason it ends early, you could stop by. I will list it as an FYI and let Claire know as well.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 4:56 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Tomorrow

Charles Rivkin, the new Amb is being sworn in tomorrow, and asked me to please come. Is there any way I could be there at all?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 7:37 AM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Biden

Ok--but when are we scheduled top talk? Also pls send me five main points of my speech to summarize our goals. I want to reference them.

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 21:49:41 2009
Subject: Biden

The WH just issued the below because the Biden story (based on interview with Peter Spiegel, WSJ, on way home from Tblisi) gained momentum throughout the day

From Robert Gibbs:

"The President said in Moscow that the United States seeks a strong, peaceful and prosperous Russia - one that will be an even more effective partner in meeting common challenges, including reducing nuclear arsenals, securing vulnerable nuclear materials, contending with nuclear programs in North Korea and Iran, defeating violent extremism, and advancing global security and economic growth.

Working together to advance security and prosperity for the American and Russian people is the focus of our effort to reset relations. The President and Vice President believe Russia will work with us not out of weakness but out of national interest."

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 12:41 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: for HRC

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 12:20:41 2009
Subject: Fw: for HRC

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jul 26 12:19:04 2009
Subject: for HRC

You did a great job on Meet the Press. The only thing I would add is when they ask you about the relationship b/w you and the White House you can say not only that you are the diplomat in chief and the implementer, but also the architect of the strategies we need actually to achieve the objectives and the visions that the President lays out. I hope you saw the piece by Sid Kaplan in Slate that says you and the President are closer than any administration in recent memory. I hope you get at least a tiny piece of Sunday to yourself! AMS

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:55 PM
To: 'stalbott
Subject: Re: Brava!

Thx for all of your help. And I'd love to talk soon. I'll start trying to find a time.

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 12:26:04 2009
Subject: Brava!

B6

Fabulous. Couldn't have been better

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Let Jim know about Lavrov and I can't talk w Feingold now. What about tomorrow morning?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 01 16:12:30 2009
Subject: Calls

Russians saying lavrov unavailable and they don't know when he will call back.
Also, feingold called asking if u can talk before 6:30.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: Lavrov

Russians are saying he is unavailable and don't know when or if he will call in. What's next step?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 1:24 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tonite

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sun Aug 02 12:49:38 2009
Subject: Tonite

Plane is preparing for a 6pm wheels up. Is that ok? Earlier? Later?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 11:07 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Angela

Ok. Is Kristy in town? If so, can I see her tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 11:06:20 2009
Subject: Re: Angela

Philippe got final edits
You will have no later than 11:30

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Jul 25 10:58:05 2009
Subject: Re: Angela

I still don't have my MTP briefing.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 25 09:52:51 2009
Subject: Angela

Jim just called me.
Angela has some thing you need to see before tomorrow that she cannot leave.
She's scheduled to be there at 10.
Marina knows.

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 6:16 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Kuwait

Tom--Jeff Feltmann will call to disuss issue w Puneet. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 6, 2009 3:55 PM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: Sorry but I can't get you back. I'll try later.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:52 PM
To: 'SBerger
Subject: Re:

Thx so much for all of your help.

----- Original Message -----
From: Berger, Samuel R.
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 11:29:01 2009
Subject:

B6

Couldn't have been better!
This is written from my BlackBerry.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Sorry I hit send too soon!

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov' <abedinh@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Jul 31 06:13:25 2009
Subject: Schedule

Can we start Saud 15 minutes later so I don't have to leave the WH early?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:18 PM
To: 'wsherman
Subject: Re: Superb

Thanks so much. But all the positive feedback is worrying! Loved seeing you the other night.

----- Original Message -----
From: Wendy Sherman
To: H2
Sent: Sun Jul 26 11:23:41 2009
Subject: Superb

B6

Madame Secretary-
A first rate job on Meet the Press. Your experience, your skill, your competency and humor all on strong display.
Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman
Albright Stonebridge Group*
1101 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
Tel:
Fax:

* On June 25, 2009, Stonebridge International and The Albright Group announced their merger, creating the Albright Stonebridge Group. For the time being, all contact information remains the same. Once we complete the transition, we will inform you of our new contact details. We appreciate your patience and look forward to continuing our work with you.
sent from Blackberry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2009 4:56 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Tomorrow

Charles Rivkin, the new Amb is being sworn in tomorrow, and asked me to please come. Is there any way I could be there at all?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2009 12:10 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar


I'd like to see her. Can we work it out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: psolisdoyle
Sent: Mon Sep 14 12:01:37 2009
Subject: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

B6

She is available to see you on 24th September either morning or afternoon? Alternatively 28th or 29th September Does that work for you

Cherie Blair



This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:50 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Also give a copy to EAP rep who will be at mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 11:25:16 2009
Subject: RE: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Done - I put one copy on your desk and will give a spare copy to Claire.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:14 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Fw: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Pls print for my mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 10:34:49 2009
Subject: Fw: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

See below
Yunus still very concerned about future of Grameen

From: Verveer, Melanne S
To: Blake, Robert O
Sent: Wed Sep 09 23:04:57 2009
Subject: FW: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Issue I earlier mentioned to you about Mohammad Yunus.

From: [redacted] On Behalf Of Dr. Muhammad Yunus B6
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2009 8:53 PM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Dear Melanne:

Greetings from Bangladesh!!!

Bangladesh Foreign Minister Ms Dipu Moni will call on Secretary Clinton on September 16 to discuss the details of the visit of Bangladesh Prime Minister Ms Hasina Wazed to the USA during the UN General Assembly meeting. Please see if the issues of Grameen Bank can be raised in a friendly way.

I sought an appointment with the Prime Minister to brief her on our problems, at the advice of the US ambassador in Dhaka. But it is now over six weeks, her office never responded.

Almost every important person in Bangladesh congratulated me for receiving the Presidential Medal of Freedom. Prime Minister and her party said not a word about it.

So you can see the depth of the problem.

I thought I should keep you briefed and let you figure out what to be done.

Thanks for your help. Give my regards to H.

See you in CGI and Hilton event soon. Best.

Yunus

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 7:56 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Turkish FM call

I need to see memcon for followup. He covered so many subjects and I didn't have time to respond so I will need to talk w him again this weekend.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 9:35 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mini Schedule 9/18/09 Friday

Pls tell Lona and Huma I will return to State from FSI. Also could Jim and I meet in room w buffet on 8th floor for lunch.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Sep 18 09:31:57 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule 9/18/09 Friday

10:10 am DEPART Private Residence *En route Brookings Institution

10:20 am ARRIVE Brookings Institution

10:25 am SPEECH AT THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

11:30 am Location: Falk Auditorium *Approx. 110 ppl expected. You take Q&A.

11:35 am DEPART Brookings Institution *En route State Department

11:35 am (t) PHONE CALL w/QUARTET ENVOY TONY BLAIR (T) * En route State Department

11:50 am ARRIVE State Department

12:00 pm SWEARING IN CEREMONY FOR NANCY POWELL,

12:20 pm DIRECTOR GENERAL OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE AND DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor Approx. 175 ppl expected.

12:30 pm LUNCH w/JIM STEINBERG

1:30 pm James Madison Room, 8th Floor

1:30 pm PHOTOS

1:35 pm Secretary's Office

1:35 pm PHOTO OP w/DEMOCRACY VIDEO CHALLENGE WINNERS

1:45 pm Treaty Room *Open Press.

1:45 pm CLASSIFIED BRIEFING w/DANIEL BENJAMIN

2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:40 pm DEPART State Department *En route Foreign Service Institute

2:55 pm ARRIVE Foreign Service Institute

3:00 pm VISIT TO THE FOREIGN SERVICE INSTITUTE (FSI)

4:45 pm George P. Shultz National Foreign Affairs Training Center *Open press.

5:00 pm DEPART Foreign Service Institute *En route Tbd

5:00 pm TBD

8:30pm Location: Tbd

8:30 pm DEPART Tbd *En route Andrews AFB

8:55pam ARRIVE Andrews AFB

9:00 pm DEPART Andrews AFB via G-5 Air Force Aircraft Tail #90404

En route Westchester County Airport

9:50 pm ARRIVE Westchester County Airport

FBO Net Jets Office 914-287-6760

10:05 pm ARRIVE Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2009 9:56 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Also can you email copy of my speech yesterday to Oscar to print for me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 19 09:10:17 2009
Subject: Fw: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Are you willing to call nalbandian today? See phils note below and we also have a call sheet coming your way.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 19 08:56:35 2009
Subject: Fw: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Huma: will she be able to call Sargsian? Highly recommend given ongoing. Thanks.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Fitzpatrick, Kathleen M
Sent: Sat Sep 19 05:57:33 2009
Subject: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

B5

Nalbandian statement: "The Turkish side is informed and we are confident that they realize that we exclude the conditioning of the normalization of Turkish-Armenian relations on steps toward the settlement of the Karabakh conflict. Trying to link these two processes could lead to the failure of both. A number of countries, including those of the Minsk Group Co-Chairs, have the same position. They have said many times that the normalization of relations between Turkey and Armenia must be without preconditions. With this mutual understanding, we have started and conducted negotiations and come to agreements that are reflected in the initialed protocols. If the Turkish leadership has problems with the signing of these documents, they should not try to solve them by distorting the spirit, essence,

and goals of the initialed documents. The signing and implementation of the agreed documents is a process that is full of difficulties. Nevertheless, Armenia is ready to respect the agreements and move forward. If the current authorities in Turkey are not ready to accept the fact of the genocide perpetrated in the Ottoman Empire, then they are at least obliged to show respect toward the survivors of the Armenian genocide and their descendants."

Huma can you make sure S sees this before calls.

B5

Erdogan quote: "Until the Karabakh issue is solved we cannot open the border. We have told them that already. We cannot open the border until the Azerbaijani-Armenian conflict is overcome. There are frequent meetings of Aliyev-Medvedev-Sargsian. We are much closer to a Karabakh solution. Without that issue being resolved, it is impossible for our side to take this step. Armenia must free itself of being led by the diaspora. Armenia doesn't get any benefit from the diaspora. The diaspora scores points by using Armenia. That's what it does. The diaspora in the U.S. and France does this."

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:59 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: UNGA

I hope the bilateral meetings will be across a table and not in chairs. Can that happen?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Schedule

I need to find a time to meet w the Undersectretaries every week. What do you suggest?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'pverveer[redacted] B6
Cc: H2
Subject: Follow up

Here are some matters I haven't raised w you but wanted to get your reaction:

Was there any followup to [redacted] offer to help/advise on Afghan women?

Re your memo about S/GWI priorities for FY 09 and 10--
Will we be announcing the global womens fund and/or other partnerships at UNGA?
Are we closely coordinating w the WH and its Council?
How can we strengthen the AID Women's office?
Are the Congress Members ok w our work and priorities so far? What about the 2010 budget?
Who will be the UN women's voice on the inside and outside?
How will we mark 15th anniv of Cairo?
Let's do a thorough review about CEDAW strategy. Should we ask [redacted] to be on contract w H to lead our efforts?
What's followup w Doug Hattaway?
Are you working w Alec Ross? ECA?
Are you working w WHA and Julissa Reynoso on econ issues?
What are we doing w MEPI?
Are you staffed up now?

Did you see Kay Warren when she was in DC for Eric Goosby's swearing in?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2009 10:04 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Interim Clearance Denied - [redacted] B6

What's that about?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 18 23:13:55 2009
Subject: FW: Interim Clearance Denied - [redacted]

Just fyi – I am sure it will be fine eventually

From: Carter, Pat
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 1:48 PM
To: Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura
Cc: Kennedy, Patrick F
Subject: FW: Interim Clearance Denied [redacted]

FYI – interim clearance denied.

From: DS CLEARANCE NOTIFICATION
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 12:19 PM
To: Carter, Pat; Garrand, Chasity N
Subject: Interim Clearance Denied - [redacted]

Pursuant to your request, and in accordance with Executive Order 12968, Section 3.3, your request to grant an interim clearance to [redacted] is denied. Due to the fact this is not a final security clearance determination, a decision not to grant an interim clearance is not subject to appeal under the provisions of the E.O. No negative inference shall be made regarding the denial of an individual's interim clearance, which solely means that there is investigative work that must take place in the US or abroad that will take additional time to complete to comply with national standards prior to a final clearance determination being made.

B6

may not be allowed access to classified information until the appropriate investigation is completed and a final security clearance decision is made.

For further assistance, you may contact our Customer Service Center toll-free at 1-866-643-INFO(4636), or 571-345-3186, or by email at securityclearance@state.gov.

Note: This is a send-only email box so please do not reply to this message.

Office of Personnel Security and Suitability

Bureau of Diplomatic Security

US Department of State

1801 N. Lynn Street

SA-20

Washington, DC 20522-2008

RELEASE IN PART
B3 INA, B4, B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 6:58 AM
To: 'balderstonkm@state.gov'
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]' 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: NYSE followup

Kris-- can you follow up on these issues and let me know so we can report back? Thx.

Steve Schwarzman at Blackstone wanted help on a visa for [redacted] and said Mickey Kantor was talking to Cheryl about it. What's status? B3 INA

David Cote of Honeywell wanted help w[redacted] He also complained about export control regs that interfere w sales. He said the State Dept is the holdup and gave example of nuts and bolts for an engine being labelled as "dual use and therefore couldn't be exported. Andrew may know about this. B4

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

I'm confused--will he be there in am? Can he be urged to reschedule whatever else he has and come? Also tell NSC we're having this retreat and cannot do DCs, PCs or other WH mtgs that day.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 10:04:32 2009
Subject: RE: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

He initially indicated he couldn't do anything until the afternoon but yesterday emailed me and said he could not do am.

I will move his presentation -- which would be NK up

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:02 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Where is Steinberg? He needs to be upfront early.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 23 09:59:07 2009
Subject: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Take a look – we are now eliminating Saturday but agenda is here:

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Blair House

October 2, 2009

Notional Timeline

8:00am: Continental Breakfast

8:15am: Welcome [HRC]

8:25am: Overview and Strategic Objectives for Retreat [CDM]

8:30am: Foreign Policy in the Obama Administration [HRC]

o priorities]

· Our Accomplishments To Date

o [HRC uses as opportunity to celebrate what has been accomplished and call out areas for recognition –show appreciation for work that has been done and set the tone of appreciation, collaboration, team approach to success]

8:50am: Funding our Needs and Ambitions – State/USAID Budgets

· Presentation by Jack Lew [10 minutes]

o New Processes and Protocols for Implementing 2010

o Expectations for 2011

o Expectations for State/USAID approach

· Moderated Q and A by Lew with Greene, Smith, other appropriate support [10 minutes]

9:25am: QDDR: Restoring Diplomacy/Elevating Development

· Introduction by Jack Lew [5 minutes]

· Presentation by Anne Marie Slaughter/Alonzo Fulgrum

[10 minutes]

· Moderated Questions and Answers [20 minutes]

o Lew to moderate discussion

o Alonzo/AMS/Jim Michel to field questions

o HRC field questions as approach in instances where she wants to make or emphasize a point

10:05am: Seizing the Day – Immediate and Long-term Opportunities

- Intro of topic by CDM [5 min]:

1. What are 3 opportunities that State/USAID/MCC (or any individually) have
2. How specifically would we implement each one

- Break out session – 30 minutes (4 groups of 17), with designated staff member facilitator and note-taker

10:45am Coffee Break

11:00am Traditional Threat: Security Peace in the Middle East

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by George Mitchell [10 minutes]
- Moderated [Mara Rudman] Questions and Answers [15 min]

o recognize Mitchell team to permit them to respond to questions with George

11:30am Transnational Threat: Non-Proliferation (or alternatives below)

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Ellen Tauscher [other options: climate change, energy security, global health, others]
- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize T team to permit them to respond to questions with Ellen

12:15: Lunch

- Introduction by CDM [5 min]
- 21st Century State Craft – Alec Ross [10 min]
- [No Q/A or Q/A]

1:15pm Partnering for Success – Paradigms and Pitfalls

- Introduction by: TBD [5 min]
- Breakout into 4 Groups of 17 with facilitator and note-taker [40 min]
- Whole of State/USAID/MCC Approach
- Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency
- Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections
- In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

2:00pm Traditional Threat: Iran is Not Ten Feet Tall

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Bill Burns [10 min] [other options: Af/Pak, North Korea, Iraq]
- Moderated [by Jeff Feltman] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize Iran team to permit them to respond to questions with Bill

2:30pm Transnational Threat: Health in a Global Age [alternatives b/l]

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by TBD/USAID [10 min] [other options: climate change, energy security, others]
- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize team to permit them to respond to questions with presenter

3:00pm Coffee Break

3:15pm Traditional Threat: North Korea – Moving Forward or Not?

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Jim Steinberg [10 min] [other option: Iraq]

- Moderated [by Kurt Campbell/Phil Goldberg] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize North Korea team to permit them to respond to questions with Jim

3:45pm: Presentation of Working Group Findings

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Lessons and Best Practices [5 min per group]

o Whole of State/USAID/MCC

o Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency

o Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections

o In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

4:20pm Working Group Presentations of the Opportunities Ahead

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Opportunities and Actions Needed [5 min per group]
- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

5:10pm: The Challenges on the Horizon [HRC]

- HRC expresses appreciation for work done today
- Outlines the Challenges Ahead
- Seeks Investment and Commits to Follow-Up
- Invites Participants and Partners to Whitehaven at 7:30pm

5:30pm: Retreat Concludes until Dinner

7:30pm Dinner at Whitehaven Residence

Staff and Partner/Spouses invited

Buffet Dinner – No formal remarks

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Whitehaven (or Department)

October 3, 2009

Notional Timeline

9:00am: Coffee

9:15am: General Retreat Impressions

9:30am: Specific Takeaways and Tasking

- Review list of Takeaways
- Discussing Tasking and Follow-Up

10:00am: Building Our Leadership Team Connection

- Collaboration and Communication
- Operational Flows (Paper, Clearance, Communication, etc.)
- Seen/Heard in the Building

o 3 criticisms and ways to approach;

o 1 praise and way to multiply

11:00am Creating a Leadership Culture

- Listening and Responding
- Inviting Appropriate Risk-Taking and Creativity

- Embracing Broad Accountability
- Empowering Your Respective Teams

Noon Close

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:38 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Apples

Be sure they know we'll be happy to pay for them. State and I. Talk w Justin about it too.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 09:36:35 2009
Subject: Re: Apples

I was just thinking about this last week. I'm going to order some tomorrow so that they'll be in your office when you get back from unga. For some reason our ny supplier just stopped sending them after the new year. Ill set up an account with them to ensure we get regular deliveries again.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sun Sep 20 09:31:46 2009
Subject: Apples

Will we receive them this Fall? How can I buy some for personal use?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 6:43 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes--but, first, be sure both Ds can come at that time.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:41:45 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Happy to set up moving forward. Is 45 minutes long enough?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:38:06 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Could we do Tuesday after the 8:45?

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin
To: H; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Cc: H2
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:16:21 2009
Subject: RE: Schedule

does it make sense to do it every monday so you have your series of meetings the top of the week and then people can get direction for the week?

From: H
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Schedule

I need to find a time to meet w the Undersectretaries every week. What do you suggest?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:43 PM
To: 'cb [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Eid Mubarak

Great. Thx so much and I hope to see you as well.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 22:41:13 2009
Subject: RE: Eid Mubarak

I have been back to them and yes they are all set to see you then.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: 20 September 2009 22:35
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Re: Eid Mubarak

Cherie--this is strange since meeting was confirmed several days ago. In fact, her office called back to say it was the only time that worked for her. Its at 7pm on the 24th. Can you confirm for me that she knows this. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted]
To: H; Hillary Clinton <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sat Sep 19 14:09:57 2009
Subject: FW: Eid Mubarak

Sorry to email you again on this but have you a date and time for sheikha moser see below

Sent from my HTC FUZE™, a Windows Mobile® smartphone from AT&T

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 7:54 AM
To: 'FuchsMH@state.gov'
Subject: Cook Stoves

Mike--What's the plan for working w EPA? This may come up in mtgs about climate change this week. HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:29 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: for S

My one reaction is that I want Derek to handle India dialogue.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 14 06:50:53 2009
Subject: FW: for S

I have comments on this so don't want to accept as final until giving them to her and you.

cdm

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 12 16:56:33 2009
Subject: for S

Attached and below is my memo just outlining what I am prepared to give up in order to be able to spend the majority of my time on QDDR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 9:45 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Revised Op-Ed

Ok. Did Dan redraft?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 21:39:53 2009
Subject: FW: Revised Op-Ed

See below - are you fine with this revised draft?

-----Original Message-----
From: Schwerin, Dan B (PACE) [mailto:SchwerinDB2@state.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 9:15 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Muscatine, Lissa; Crowley, Philip J; Reines, Philippe I; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Rose, Frank A
Subject: Revised Op-Ed

PJ ask me to pass along this revised draft of the missile defense op-ed. Its attached and pasted below for your review.
Thanks
Dan

A New Approach for Missile Defense

By Hillary Clinton

Iran's development of short- and medium-range ballistic missiles poses a clear and growing threat to the security of our European allies and our own forces stationed in the region. That is why President Obama announced yesterday that the United States is ready to deploy missile defenses in Europe specifically geared toward stopping these missiles. This is a threat we cannot ignore.

Previous missile defense efforts have focused on protecting against intercontinental ballistic missiles capable of reaching the United States, but our intelligence now shows that the threat from these long-range weapons has not progressed as quickly as had been feared. In contrast, evidence indicates that Iran is developing short- and medium- range missiles that will eventually enable it to target most of Europe, the Caucuses and the Middle East, including U.S. and NATO forces.

We deeply appreciate the willingness of the Czech and Polish Governments to host the original missile defense architecture on their soil, and the decision to deploy other systems to better meet this growing threat came as a result of a long and careful review of our policies, capabilities, and requirements. This decision does not alter the unshakeable commitment of the United States to the security of these vital NATO allies. Rather, it reflects our determination to jointly meet the threats that endanger us all.

The old focus on long-range missile defense systems left significant gaps in our ability to defend against shorter-range threats, exposing our allies and our forces stationed in Europe. Many experts have also expressed concerns about the testing record and effectiveness of the long-range interceptors that were to be deployed in Poland.

Our new approach is different in a number of ways.

First, we will use well-tested missile defense systems, such as the Standard Missile-3, against the current threats. And unlike the old fixed, silo-based interceptors, they will be mobile and able to shift as threats evolve.

Second, while previous efforts sought to by-pass NATO in favor of bilateral agreements, the Obama Administration plans to make coordination with NATO a central element of our approach. By working with our allies to build a workable and cost-effective missile defense system in Europe, we can better contribute to the protection of our NATO allies and increase regional stability.

For 60 years, the NATO alliance has been a force for peace, prosperity and security in Europe and around the world because of its commitment to collective security: an attack on one ally is an attack on all. An attack on London or Warsaw is an attack on New York or Washington. NATO demonstrated this commitment after the September 11 terrorist attacks, when for the first time, the alliance invoked Article V and NATO sent assets to the United States to help protect us from additional terrorist attacks.

Unfortunately, the old long-range defense plan created the impression of "two-tiers" of security within the alliance by focusing only on theoretical threats that could one day affect the mainland United States, while leaving our European allies vulnerable to very real short- and medium-range missiles.

Our new plan corrects this imbalance. We will continue to monitor and counter longer-term threats, but we will work with our allies to protect all NATO territory. We will focus on the most imminent threat, while also developing the capacity to adjust quickly if the threat evolves.

Finally, we will engage with Russia as part of our new approach. Russia is also vulnerable to Iran's short- and medium-range missiles and we will seek to cooperate to address this common threat. I am pleased that the Russians agreed at the Moscow Summit to a joint threat assessment of the ballistic missile challenges of the 21st century, including from Iran. This is a positive first step and I look forward to future progress.

In short, this new missile defense plan is more realistic about the threats we face, more reliable in the tools we will use, and more inclusive of the allies we are pledged to protect.

While we pursue this new path, we will also redouble our diplomatic efforts to engage Iran and focus its leaders on a clear choice: whether to join the international community as a responsible member or to continue down a path to further isolation.

But the security of our allies and our forces cannot wait. That is why we are moving ahead with a new approach for missile defense.

728 words

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 1:52 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Bulgarian wins 31-27 in final round of UNESCO DG Election

Glad that's over!

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 22 13:51:21 2009
Subject: Fw: Bulgarian wins 31-27 in final round of UNESCO DG Election

---

From: Anderson, Gerald C
To: Wells, Alice G; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Sullivan, Jacob J; Smith, Daniel B; Schlicher, Ronald L; Scobey, Margaret; McEldowney, Nancy E; Macmanus, Joseph E
Cc: Platukis, Lauren D; Brimmer, Esther D; Cook, Nerissa J; Siekman, Kelly O; Sadoski, Nicholas A
Sent: Tue Sep 22 13:37:11 2009
Subject: Bulgarian wins 31-27 in final round of UNESCO DG Election

There were no procedural motions prior to the 5th and final round vote. The issue of irregularities did not come in the Board meeting.

Gerald C. Anderson

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of International Organization Affairs

Room 6323

U.S. Department of State

Washington, DC 20520

Office: 202-647-9602

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 5:49 AM
To: 'cb B6
Subject: Re: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

Thanks for the help. We're working to schedule a time, and I'm looking forward to seeing her. Will you be in NY next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: psolisdoyle
Sent: Mon Sep 14 12:01:37 2009
Subject: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

She is available to see you on 24th September either morning or afternoon? Alternatively 28th or 29th September Does that work for you

Cherie Blair



This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 5:56 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: (WSJ) U.S. to Shelve Nuclear Missile Shield

Can I see Kristy just for hands this am, tonight or tomorrow am?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 05:52:30 2009
Subject: Fw: (WSJ) U.S. to Shelve Nuclear Missile Shield

From: McNeil, Matthew C
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-EUR; NEWS-ISN; NEWS-PM; NEWS-VCI
Sent: Thu Sep 17 01:57:03 2009
Subject: (WSJ) U.S. to Shelve Nuclear Missile Shield

WASHINGTON (Wall Street Journal) - The White House will shelve Bush administration plans to build a missile-defense system in Poland and the Czech Republic, according to people familiar with the matter, a move likely to cheer Moscow and roil the security debate in Europe. The U.S. will base its decision on a determination that Iran's long-range missile program has not progressed as rapidly as previously estimated, reducing the threat to the continental U.S. and major European capitals, according to current and former U.S. officials.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2009 2:13 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Eid Mubarak
Attachments: Re: Eid Mubarak (4.90 KB)

Do we have date yet? I thought we did but apparently that's not been confirmed w Qataris. Pls let me know so I can respond to Cherie.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair <
To: H; Hillary Clinton <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sat Sep 19 14:09:57 2009
Subject: FW: Eid Mubarak

B6

Sorry to email you again on this but have you a date and time for sheikha moser see below

Sent from my HTC FUZE™, a Windows Mobile® smartphone from AT&T

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:52 AM
To: 'jpiercy [redacted] B6
Cc: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Friday 9/25

I would love to see you. We'll have to play timing by ear so I'm copying Huma and Lona to help schedule.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jan Piercy [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 10:24:45 2009
Subject: RE: Friday 9/25

Hillary,
I expect you are claimed six times over, given everyone who is in NY for CGI, but I will be in NY from tonight through next Saturday (returning Saturday), so if you could have dinner Friday night or just a cup of tea after the closing plenary, I would love to see you.

I loved the Washington Post piece!!!!! And your inquiry meant a lot to [redacted] and I appreciated having a few minutes to talk. I know how true it is that this is "24/7" as the Post quoted you remarking.

Jan

Jan Piercy
Executive Vice President
ShoreBank Corporation
[redacted]
1200 G Street NW Suite 401
Washington, D.C. 20005

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 07, 2009 8:35 AM
To: Jan Piercy
Subject: Re: A must read

Thx Jan. I'll call to check in.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jan Piercy [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 03 06:48:59 2009
Subject: A must read

Hillary: See today's "Limits of Force" OpEd by Chuck Hagel. No answers but apt analysis.

Might Congressional momentum for development assistance reform warrant serious consideration of former or current Member of Congress to head USAID? Hagel? Lee Hamilton?... Still thinking!

Jan

Jan Piercy

Executive Vice President

ShoreBank Corporation

[redacted]

B6

1200 G Street NW Suite 401

Washington, D.C. 20005

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:52 AM
To: 'preines[redacted]'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin B6
Cc: H2
Subject: UNGA

Would it be a good idea to pass out copies of the NYTimes Magazine issue on Half the Sky w my interview at the several events we are doing re women's issues?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 6:38 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Could we do Tuesday after the 8:45?

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin
To: H; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Cc: H2
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:16:21 2009
Subject: RE: Schedule

does it make sense to do it every monday so you have your series of meetings the top of the week and then people can get direction for the week?

From: H
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Schedule

I need to find a time to meet w the Undersectretaries every week. What do you suggest?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 12:04 AM
To: 'balderstonkm@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Question and Ireland

Can you come over in the morning early-7:30-to do these mtgs w me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 23:40:04 2009
Subject: Re: Question and Ireland

[redacted] B5

Just saw Sean Woodward and heard you were mtg with the Irish parties in the am. He was quite distressed after their mtg w PM Gordon Brown this eve. Robinson very "nit-picky". You may want to chat w him prior to the mtg. He had thghts on how you could be helpful to the cause. His # is [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Wed Sep 23 22:52:38 2009
Subject: Question

Which banks have contributed to the Expo?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 12:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

Can we work some of these ideas into CGI speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 22:25:53 2009
Subject: Fw: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Sep 23 19:45:51 2009
Subject: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

Matthew Bishop is the New York bureau chief for The Economist magazine and, with Michael Green, he is author of the 2008 book, Philanthrocapitalism: How the Rich Can Save the World <http://www.philanthrocapitalism.net/> .

The Clinton Global Initiative's fifth birthday celebration this week <http://www.clintonglobalinitiative.org/ourmeetings/meeting_annual.asp?Section=OurMeetings&PageTitle=CGI%20Annual%20Meeting> may be a less glitzy affair than in previous years but it marks an amazing comeback. Last year the Obama administration actually thought about having it shut down as a price of Mrs. Clinton becoming Secretary of State <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/11/16/AR2008111602039.html> , fearful that Bill's schmoozing of wealthy philanthropists could compromise his wife's role as America's top diplomat. Now, Obama is going to be topping the bill, outshining the A-list celebrities, heads of state and corporate titans who pack the hall for the annual "Philanthropy Oscars."

Yet the most important speech at the event may not be delivered by either the current or the former president but by Mrs. Clinton herself. She has said the State Department is going to forge new partnerships with philanthropists to achieve America's foreign policy goals (and has already started work on a new initiative around the Middle East peace process). Her speech is an opportunity to announce a radical new departure in the way America gives aid.

The aid business in crisis. The past year may have been tough for the world's richest countries, but it has been far harder for the poorest. Markets for exports have slumped as rich consumers have reined in their spending. Government budgets are creaking under the strain of the global recession and foreign aid is threatening to dry up. At the same time,

the intellectual attack on aid has escalated, led by the author of "Dead Aid" <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/04/02/AR2009040203285.html> , the African economist, Dambisa Moyo.

The CGI has become the showcase for those who believe Moyo is wrong and that aid can be effective. The CGI is not about the old government-to-government aid model. Its members aim to deliver smart aid, based on partnerships between business, philanthropists, and social entrepreneurs. Mrs. Clinton's speech is the perfect opportunity to show that government is ready to work with the CGI crowd to rethink how it does aid.

One promising, for-profit solution to poverty is to take the model of microfinance -- one of the more resilient corners of banking during the financial crisis -- and extend it to improve education, health care, clean water and sanitation. Loan-based investments can be more sustainable than traditional aid or charity, because they tap the far larger sums available in the capital markets and are not dependent on the whims of political leaders or budgetary wrangling. Some in government may be reluctant to give up their near monopoly on aid but it is long overdue.

A second opportunity is to get the public more involved in the aid business. New giving organizations such as Kiva <http://www.kiva.org/about/how> have demonstrated how the public can play an effective role in allocating money to needy people <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/07/06/AR2009070603594.html> . Government should be doing all it can to encourage his type of mass philanthrocapitalism that levers the generosity of the American people and taps into the wisdom of crowds. It is time for government aid to be made more democratic by letting Kiva and others like it distribute some of the tax dollars.

Finally, Mrs. Clinton should seize the opportunity to turn the aid business on its head and pass control from the bureaucrats to the poor people themselves. Technology such as the internet and the mobile phone are now widespread in the developing world, so why not use these tools to give a greater voice in aid spending to the people the money is intended to help? Much aid has gone to waste because of a lack of feedback from the intended beneficiaries. Imagine how the best technology entrepreneurs could transform the aid business, in the same way that they have changed the media, by giving the poor a say in how the aid billions are spent.

The Obama Administration has made all the right noises about making government smarter by working more with the philanthrocapitalists. At home, the creation of a White House Office of Social Innovation <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/President-Obama-to-Request-50-Million-to-Identify-and-Expand-Effective-Innovative-Non-Profits/> was a welcome first move, yet it is still to be seen whether this will amount to much more than a new source of grant funding for the nonprofit sector. The big win is in remaking government through innovative partnerships with the private sector. Mrs Clinton has been mulling over what to do with USAID for nearly a year. What better opportunity than the CGI to announce a bold new strategy for smart aid?

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:20 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

Lona--have we worked this out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair <
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: psolisdoyle
Sent: Mon Sep 14 12:01:37 2009
Subject: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

B6

She is available to see you on 24th September either morning or afternoon? Alternatively 28th or 29th September Does that work for you

Cherie Blair



This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:48 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hey

Pls try to set up week after UNGA.

----- Original Message -----
From: Tina Flournoy, Office of the President [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 15 09:14:32 2009
Subject: Re: Hey

I gave you the wrong number - it's [redacted] Sorry

----- Original Message -----
From: Tina Flournoy, Office of the President
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 15 09:13:59 2009
Subject: Re: Hey

Lona, you can reach me via this email or work is [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Tina Flournoy, Office of the President
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 15 06:46:27 2009
Subject: Re: Hey

I would love to see you and Randi. I'm copying Lona to see how soon we can schedule. Hope you're well.

----- Original Message -----
From: Tina Flournoy, Office of the President [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 07:47:35 2009
Subject: Hey

Saw you from afar at Capricia's event but had to make a quick exit.

Randi and I would like to visit with you re: child labor issues - if that's possible, whom should I contact to schedule?

Thanks. Hope to see you soon and hope you're well.

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee, you should not copy, alter, post, forward, distribute or disseminate the contents of the e-mail or

attachments. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the individual and do not necessarily represent those of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT).

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: on way back

Where are we lunching?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 11:47:28 2009
Subject: on way back

The photog will shoot you when you return and are getting out of car.

Come up to 7, not straight to 8.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:55 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: on way back

Help guide me where to get something good to eat!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 11:53:13 2009
Subject: RE: on way back

The cafeteria, sitting in the outside courtyard Hes excited about that

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:51 AM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: on way back

Where are we lunching?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 11:47:28 2009
Subject: on way back

The photog will shoot you when you return and are getting out of car.

Come up to 7, not straight to 8.

RELEASE IN PART B5

Suggested Points for S Speech on Development:

B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 4:40 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Goldstone

B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:15 AM
To: [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: When can you talk?

I know you're seeing Denis. Can you call after?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:18 AM
To: 'pverveer[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Fwd: list of 5 update

Pls let me know what the follow up will be. Kabila may be at the luncheon this week so I at least could say something or deliver a letter.

----- Original Message -----
From: PVerveer[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 19 22:14:54 2009
Subject: Fwd: list of 5 update

Thought you'd be interested in this --[redacted] on the status of the "notorious 5" you raised with Kabila.
I will get to Johnnie Carson too. I think we will have to follow up through best channel.

From: howlandt[redacted]
To: pverveer[redacted]
Sent: 9/18/2009 12:36:34 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time
Subj: list of 5 update

Hello Melanne,

Well the list of 5 continues to be a Quizomba dance (a bit forward, a bit backward).

On the positive.

The two, Mosala and Pitchen, that had been commanders in Kimia II, have finally been changed. They are supposedly in route to Kinshasa.

That means none of the 5 are now in active operational command position.

This is positive.

All 5 are supposedly in Kinshasa, but not yet confirmed. 2 are in the central prison in Kinshasa.

On the negative.

None of the 5 have been charged. 2 are arbitrarily detained.

More negative.

We received attached request this week for support to investigate the cases of the 5 officers. While only about $70,000, the request is strange and worrying. In 4 of the cases an open file in North or South Kivu military prosecutors office exists. Only in the case of [redacted] should the Military Prosecutor General need to be involved, given the rank of the suspect. B6

In all 5 cases the local military prosecutor had opened a legal investigation and had interviewed all the victims. The cases were stopped by political or financial intervention. Now the request indicates the Military Prosecutor General needs to fly all over the country to investigate. Not only is the request 18 months late in coming, it makes no sense. In 4 of 5 cases, the military prosecutor in the Province has jurisdiction. They just need to continue their work. With the suspects all in Kinshasa, it makes their work more difficult.

Is someone trying to make some money? Were they given the impression that the international community is so interested in this case, but the government is not? Or is there so little trust in the local prosecutors that central government wants more control? Or do governmental officials know so little about how criminal cases function that they are inadvertently delaying procedures.

Conclusion. Lobby has been effective to remove from position of active command. Political intervention has not had desired impact in terms of judicial action.

To be continued.

Have a good weekend.

Todd

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:40 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

So put him on agenda before Jack to do overview of issues or some other topic.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 10:26:59 2009
Subject: RE: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

1. he's coming entire day now.
2. okay

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:09 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

I'm confused--will he be there in am? Can he be urged to reschedule whatever else he has and come? Also tell NSC we're having this retreat and cannot do DCs, PCs or other WH mtgs that day.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 10:04:32 2009
Subject: RE: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

He initially indicated he couldn't do anything until the afternoon but yesterday emailed me and said he could not do am.

I will move his presentation -- which would be NK up

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:02 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Where is Steinberg? He needs to be upfront early.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 23 09:59:07 2009
Subject: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Take a look – we are now eliminating Saturday but agenda is here:

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Blair House

October 2, 2009

Notional Timeline

8:00am: Continental Breakfast

8:15am: Welcome [HRC]

8:25am: Overview and Strategic Objectives for Retreat [CDM]

8:30am: Foreign Policy in the Obama Administration [HRC]

o priorities]

· Our Accomplishments To Date

o [HRC uses as opportunity to celebrate what has been accomplished and call out areas for recognition –show appreciation for work that has been done and set the tone of appreciation, collaboration, team approach to success]

8:50am: Funding our Needs and Ambitions – State/USAID Budgets

· Presentation by Jack Lew [10 minutes]

o New Processes and Protocols for Implementing 2010

o Expectations for 2011

o Expectations for State/USAID approach

- Moderated Q and A by Lew with Greene, Smith, other appropriate support [10 minutes]

9:25am: QDDR: Restoring Diplomacy/Elevating Development

- Introduction by Jack Lew [5 minutes]
- Presentation by Anne Marie Slaughter/Alonzo Fulgrum

[10 minutes]

- Moderated Questions and Answers [20 minutes]

o Lew to moderate discussion

o Alonzo/AMS/Jim Michel to field questions

o HRC field questions as approach in instances where she wants to make or emphasize a point

10:05am: Seizing the Day – Immediate and Long-term Opportunities

- Intro of topic by CDM [5 min]:

1. What are 3 opportunities that State/USAID/MCC (or any individually) have
2. How specifically would we implement each one

- Break out session – 30 minutes (4 groups of 17), with designated staff member facilitator and note-taker

10:45am Coffee Break

11:00am Traditional Threat: Security Peace in the Middle East

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by George Mitchell [10 minutes]
- Moderated [Mara Rudman] Questions and Answers [15 min]

o recognize Mitchell team to permit them to respond to questions with George

11:30am Transnational Threat: Non-Proliferation (or alternatives below)

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Ellen Tauscher [other options: climate change, energy security, global health, others]
- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize T team to permit them to respond to questions with Ellen

12:15: Lunch

- Introduction by CDM [5 min]
- 21st Century State Craft – Alec Ross [10 min]
- [No Q/A or Q/A]

1:15pm Partnering for Success – Paradigms and Pitfalls

- Introduction by: TBD [5 min]
- Breakout into 4 Groups of 17 with facilitator and note-taker [40 min]
- Whole of State/USAID/MCC Approach
- Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency
- Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections
- In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

2:00pm Traditional Threat: Iran is Not Ten Feet Tall

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Bill Burns [10 min] [other options: Af/Pak, North Korea, Iraq]
- Moderated [by Jeff Feltman] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize Iran team to permit them to respond to questions with Bill

2:30pm Transnational Threat: Health in a Global Age [alternatives b/l]

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by TBD/USAID [10 min] [other options: climate change, energy security, others]

- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize team to permit them to respond to questions with presenter

3:00pm Coffee Break

3:15pm Traditional Threat: North Korea – Moving Forward or Not?

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Jim Steinberg [10 min] [other option: Iraq]
- Moderated [by Kurt Campbell/Phil Goldberg] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize North Korea team to permit them to respond to questions with Jim

3:45pm: Presentation of Working Group Findings

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Lessons and Best Practices [5 min per group]

o Whole of State/USAID/MCC

o Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency

o Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections

o In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

4:20pm Working Group Presentations of the Opportunities Ahead

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Opportunities and Actions Needed [5 min per group]
- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

5:10pm: The Challenges on the Horizon [HRC]

- HRC expresses appreciation for work done today
- Outlines the Challenges Ahead

- Seeks Investment and Commits to Follow-Up
- Invites Participants and Partners to Whitehaven at 7:30pm

5:30pm: Retreat Concludes until Dinner

7:30pm Dinner at Whitehaven Residence

Staff and Partner/Spouses invited

Buffet Dinner – No formal remarks

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Whitehaven (or Department)

October 3, 2009

Notional Timeline

9:00am: Coffee

9:15am: General Retreat Impressions

9:30am: Specific Takeaways and Tasking

- Review list of Takeaways
- Discussing Tasking and Follow-Up

10:00am: Building Our Leadership Team Connection

- Collaboration and Communication
- Operational Flows (Paper, Clearance, Communication, etc.)
- Seen/Heard in the Building

o 3 criticisms and ways to approach;

o 1 praise and way to multiply

11:00am Creating a Leadership Culture

- Listening and Responding
- Inviting Appropriate Risk-Taking and Creativity
- Embracing Broad Accountability
- Empowering Your Respective Teams

Noon Close

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:20 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Fwd: Left at the Gate - blog
Attachments: image001.jpg

Pls call and ask what he wants and put on my call list.

----- Original Message -----
From [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 14 15:08:50 2009
Subject: Fwd: Left at the Gate - blog

B6

Hi Mrs. Secretary,

I am chairman of AEG. I need your advice on a few matters. Is there a time that would be convenient for me to call or visit you? I shall be in DC Thursday evening through Monday. Please let me know if anything works. Thanks.

Richard Mays
Mays, Byrd & Associates, P.A.
415 Main Street
Little Rock, AR 72201
[redacted]
fax - 501-399-9280
toll free 1-800-227-1529

[redacted]

Sent: 9/14/2009 10:57:14 A.M. Central Daylight Time
Subj: Left at the Gate - blog

Monday, September 14, 2009

Odds On the Big A <http://leftatthegate.blogspot.com/2009/09/odds-on-big.html>

Even as Governor Paterson reportedly has his recommendation on the Aqueduct racino in hand (this reader says that he actually has two to consider <http://leftatthegate.blogspot.com/2009/09/sunday-morning-notes-and-nuts.html#comment-1156672372250588546>), at least one of the bidders is still taking its case to the local community around the track. The Queens Chronicle reported last week <http://www.zwire.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=20368289&BRD=2731&PAG=461&dept_id=574908&rfi=6> that SL Green/Hard Rock made a presentation on Thursday.

"Hard Rock is the right balance," [Pres/CEO Marc] Holliday said. "Its recognized brand and quality entertainment will attract younger and older players across the stratum. People flying in from JFK will say, 'I hear there's a Hard Rock, I want to see it.'"

One of this group's big pitches is that they'll be the first to generate a revenue stream ("shovel-ready, in the ground, the day after the award.")

And thanks to the reader who alerted us to this article in Crain's <http://www.crainsnewyork.com/article/20090913/FREE/309139964> which, more importantly than comprising yet another virtual press release for Steve Wynn, contains, towards the bottom, a handy pocket guide to the six bidders, the financial portion of their bids (or at least what is known about them), and the ups and downs of their proposals.

This is a tough call; handicapping without the Form as I said before. I can't say I have a favorite; neither a particular personal preference, nor a clue as to the most likely winner. But I'll give it a shot and take the Crain's piece one step further by coming up with a morning line.

<http://1.bp.blogspot.com/_YiJ1ri4LCfk/Sq2_nTNU_jI/AAAAAAAABHM/UuclTWnkilM/s1600-h/dont_bother.jpg> Aqueduct Entertainment Group <http://www.aqueductentertainment.com/> (3-1): Competitive bid of $251 million (contingent on 7,650 machines; $301 million with 10,000), a plethora of local connections on the real estate/construction side, and approval by local unions could compensate for the lack of brand name recognition of gaming partner The Navegante Group. Presence of Senator Malcolm Smith's longtime confidante Rev. Floyd Flake doesn't hurt either.

SL Green/Hard Rock (4-1) - Boosted its bid to $275 million, and has the Hard Rock brand name as mentioned above. Manhattan-based realtor SL Green has been endorsed by several local unions <http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m3601/is_43_54/ai_n27894917/> . But, as mentioned by Crain's, they were passed over in favor of Delaware North the first time around.

Aqueduct Gaming (6-1), Buffalo-based Delaware North's group, has rallied late with a stepped-up publicity effort and a major community initiative <http://leftatthegate.blogspot.com/2009/08/back-in-game.html> after appearing indifferent early on (though it's original $100 million upfront offer remains intact with an additional $200 million to follow). The addition of Harrah's <http://www.marketwire.com/press-release/Aqueduct-Gaming-Llc-1034906.html> adds an experienced operator and an interesting cross-marketing twist which is probably not allowed under the now-disappeared MOU. And, like the two bidders above, Aqueduct Gaming has been reported to have reached accommodations with the unions. So I listed them as the third choice on these merits. However, a part of me wants to list them as the rank outsider - I'd be pretty damn shocked if the state goes back to the company which dropped out after failing to raise the money last time. And, as I've mentioned several times, given the reports of an investigation <http://www.nydailynews.com/news/2009/05/01/2009-05-01_attorney_general_andrew_cuomo_subpoenas_contracts_won_by_clients_of_firm_tied_to.html> by Attorney General Andrew Cuomo into lobbyist Patricia Lynch's dealings with the Assembly Speaker, I don't know if her involvement here is going to help or hurt.

Steve Wynn (8-1) has offered $300 million, but he was the only bidder of the six contenders to receive a bad grade from the hotel unions <http://www.timesunion.com/AspStories/story.asp?storyID=838256&category=STATE> as

reported by Jim Odato in the Times Union last week. Whatsmore, the scale of his building proposal <http://www.queenstribune.com/news/1250798405.html> is laughably ridiculous, and it wouldn't be ready until 2011. He'd be the favorite if the decision is based on the number of newspaper and online articles <http://leftatthegate.blogspot.com/2009/08/all-wynn-all-of-time.html> each bidder has been featured in. But, quite simply, he sometimes comes off as a nut <http://www.nypost.com/p/pagesix/cindy_adams/wynn_hopes_to_win_race_for_race_hzqJ384wLxUSxFKsUWiSyM> .

Penn National (12-1) might be worth a ducat at long odds. No local connection here makes them a dark horse. But a $250 million bid (as reported elsewhere <http://blog.timesunion.com/capitol/archives/17717/penn-national-lobbies-up/> though not in the Crain's piece) puts them in the ballgame, and the company is an experienced gaming operator with a solid balance sheet <http://www.businessweek.com/investor/content/aug2009/pi20090814_742719.htm> which would probably do as competent a job as anyone else.

R. Donahue Peebles/MGM Mirage (20-1) didn't increase its $150 million bid, and doesn't have a lobbyist; good luck with that. Whatsmore, no local flavor and MGM Mirage has had some well-publicized financial woes (though things have been looking up of late <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125212120561188377.html>). Would be a major surprise.

Andrew Frank
Managing Partner New York

Kreab Gavin Anderson
515 Madison Avenue, 18th floor, New York, NY 10022, United States

Tel [redacted] B6
Mob [redacted]
Fax +1 212 935 6577

[redacted]
www.kreabgavinanderson.com <http://www.kreabgavinanderson.com/>

This communication is only intended for the use of the individual or entity, to which it is directed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If received in error please notify us immediately, delete this e-mail and destroy all copies.

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:48 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'

Pls add to call list to discuss Iraq election monitoring-- Karla Rubinger [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:45 AM
To: 'sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Subject: Re:

Congrats to Jackie!! Will she have a swearing in ceremony? Is Max still rising up the best seller list?

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 11 07:55:12 2009
Subject:

Thanks, Hillary. I don't know about details of Obama's plan, but you looked terrific at the speech. (Lincoln, in 1864, on Obama's plan: "The difficulty is not in stating the principle, it is in applying it.") Too bad Rep. Wilson didn't wear a red pantsuit.

Max continues to rocket--up to #8 overall this am, #1 in every nonfiction category except cookbooks. And last night in an special election Jackie was elected Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner in DC. It was a hard fought race, in fact, and her opponent ran a negative campaign against her on email with the slogan: "She's Not Jackie."

Here's the draft of the article Max has written on the bad Joe Wilson (membership in racist organization) and that will be published today. This should do it.

Let's speak when you have time.

Rep. Joe Wilson's Rebel Yell
Inside the racist klavern that Joe Wilson joined By Max Blumenthal

Since Republican Rep. Joe Wilson of South Carolina bellowed at President Barack Obama, "You lie!" during the president's nationally televised speech on healthcare, the fourth term backbencher has emerged as a hero among the conservative grassroots. One of the most enthusiastic endorsements of Wilson's histrionics came from the Sons of Confederate Veterans (SCV), a group that Wilson has belonged to for several years.

"Mr. Wilson, never apologize for allowing your love of truth to overrun your desire to be polite," the SCV Tea Party declared on its website. "It is the liar who must apologize, not the one who identifies the liar!"

Who are the SCV? A once-proud organization of Confederate history buffs and re-enactors who spent their organization's money to restore Civil War-era battlefields and Confederate cemeteries, by 2006 the SCV was substantially taken over by an organized cadre of white supremacist radicals (read here for more background) who sought to turn the nation's oldest Southern historical society into what the veteran white supremacist activist Kirk Lyons called "a modern, 21st century Christian war machine capable of uniting the Confederate community and leading it to ultimate victory." When the racist junta had seized much of the SCV's leadership positions, the Southern Poverty Law Center released an <a

href=http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?sid=90 >extensive list of SCV officials who belonged to "hate groups."

Lyons, a key leader of the white supremacists who took over the SCV, had harbored dreams of creating a seemingly benign front group for a more sophisticated version of the Ku Klux Klan. "I have great respect for the Klan historically, but, sadly the Klan today is ineffective and sometimes even destructive," Lyons told a German neo-Nazi magazine in 1992. "It would be good if the Klan followed the advice of former Klansman Robert Miles: 'Become invisible. Hang the robes and hoods in the cupboard and become an underground org anization.'" With the SCV, Lyons discovered he didn't have to go underground after all.

Once Lyons helped install his close friend, Ron Wilson, as president of the SCV, the organization's political newsletter, The Southern Mercury (where Wilson served as senior editor), transformed into a propaganda mill for crude white supremacist cant. Mailed to all dues-paying members of the SCV – presumably including Rep. Joe Wilson – until it went defunct in 2008, the Mercury has published articles describing blacks as genetically inferior to whites, calling African-Americans as "a childlike people," and warned that if Obama runs for re-election, race riots of an "exceedingly violent nature" would immediately ensure, leaving "entire sections of some of our cities in ruins."

Here are a few highlights from the Southern Mercury:

"After the turn of the 20th century, the white Southerners had disfranchised and segregated the blacks, in perhaps the mildest reaction possible at=2 0that time to the blacks' transgressions. The blacks – then a childlike people – had been selling their votes to the Democrats en masse for $.25 apiece in national elections."

--"Where We Stand Now, And How We Got Here," by Frank Conner, Southern Mercury, September/October 2003

"Previously, anthropologists had routinely recorded the notable differences in IQ among the races; but at Columbia, a liberal cultural anthropologist named Franz Boas...decreed that there were no differences in IQ among the races, and the only biological differences between the blacks and whites were of superficial nature... Meanwhile, the liberals in the media heaped special praise upon black athletes, musicians, singers, and writers – and treated them as typical of the black race. The liberals were creating a false image of the blacks in America as a highly competent people who were being held back by the prejudiced white Southerners."
--Conner, September/October 2003

"It is very clear to me that if Barack Obama should be elected President, he would be extremely anti-white and would demand reparations for slavery and press hard for affirmative action to the degree that it would hurt young whites who were seeking jobs or admission to College and Graduate Schools."

--"Americans Face The Worst Presidential Candidates In History," by Robert Slimp, Southern Mercury, May/June 2008

"I believe that [Obama's] rhetoric and anti-white legislative proposals would stir up racial riots. If he were running for re-election, these riots would turn into an exceedingly violent nature that would seriously damage race relations in America, and leave entire sections of some of our cities in ruins."

--Slimp, May/June 2008

Rep. Joe Wilson could have resigned from the SCV as soon as the organization's takeover by open white supremacists began. But he didn't. Or he could have withdrawn his membership when the SCV's flagship journal turned into a racist rag. But he didn't. Instead, while serving as a state senator, Wilson led an SCV-inspired campaign in 2000 to keep the Confederate flag flying above South Carolina's state capitol. "The Southern heritage, the Confederate heritage, is very honorable," Wilson proclaimed at the time, responding to critics of the Confederate flag.

Wilson's rebel yell at Obama has electrified the Republican grassroots, filling his campaign coffers with donations he is soliciting from conservatives across the country and earning the undistinguished, previously unknown backbencher primetime appearances on right-wing talk shows. Wilson has become the new voice of the Republican opposition, reigniting the Lost Cause as a raucous crusade to sabotage healthcare reform.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 7:32 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: CGI

That makes sense so go ahead. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 15 19:28:20 2009
Subject: CGI

Declan spoke with WJC tonight. We also talked with a few other folks on the ground and in the Republic.

B5

Wanted to check back in with you.

Also, I will be writing up our collective thoughts on the latest state of play to give to you this week.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:14 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Pls print for my mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 16 10:34:49 2009
Subject: Fw: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

See below
Yunus still very concerned about future of Grameen

From: Verveer, Melanne S
To: Blake, Robert O
Sent: Wed Sep 09 23:04:57 2009
Subject: FW: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Issue I earlier mentioned to you about Mohammad Yunus.

From: [redacted] On Behalf Of Dr. Muhammad Yunus B6
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2009 8:53 PM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: Meeting With Bangladesh Foreign Minister on Sept 16

Dear Melanne:

Greetings from Bangladesh!!!

Bangladesh Foreign Minister Ms Dipu Moni will call on Secretary Clinton

on September 16 to discuss the details of the visit of Bangladesh Prime

Minister Ms Hasina Wazed to the USA during the UN General Assembly

meeting. Please see if the issues of Grameen Bank can be raised in a friendly way.

I sought an appointment with the Prime Minister to brief her on our problems, at the advice of the US ambassador in Dhaka. But it is now over six weeks, her office never responded.

Almost every important person in Bangladesh congratulated me for receiving the Presidential Medal of Freedom. Prime Minister and her party said not a word about it.

So you can see the depth of the problem.

I thought I should keep you briefed and let you figure out what to be done.

Thanks for your help. Give my regards to H.

See you in CGI and Hilton event soon. Best.

Yunus

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 6:38 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Saturday

What about leaving late Friday after the WH mtg?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Sep 17 06:26:17 2009
Subject: Re: Saturday

The small issue with Saturday (which I found out late yesterday) is that they are doing construction at Andrews and have asked that no flights depart before 12:15pm. But let me see what the update is this morning.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wed Sep 16 23:30:26 2009
Subject: Saturday

Could I leave earlier on Sat--btw 8-9am since the mtg is Fri night?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:47 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Notes

What time is the plane tomorrow night?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:45:01 2009
Subject: Re: Notes

My email is being strange, sorry
Speech has been faxed
For tomorrow:

B6

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:41:51 2009
Subject: Re: Notes

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:32:27 2009
Subject: Notes

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 10:25 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Negotiations with Iran

Also I should mention the G-20.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 18 09:55:40 2009
Subject: Negotiations with Iran

After much back and forth, the speech does not affirmatively indicate our willingness/openness to explore talking about other issues with Iran. Bill's recommendation is that if you are asked about it, you should say we are open to exploring other subjects in addition to the nuclear file, but that you should not state that affirmatively.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:46 AM
To: 'TFlourno[redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Hey

I would love to see you and Randi. I'm copying Lona to see how soon we can schedule. Hope you're well.

----- Original Message -----
From: Tina Flournoy, Office of the President <[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 07:47:35 2009
Subject: Hey

Saw you from afar at Capricia's event but had to make a quick exit.

Randi and I would like to visit with you re: child labor issues - if that's possible, whom should I contact to schedule?

Thanks. Hope to see you soon and hope you're well.

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee, you should not copy, alter, post, forward, distribute or disseminate the contents of the e-mail or attachments. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the individual and do not necessarily represent those of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT).

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:44 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Packer Article

I know more about this if you wish to discuss.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:30:42 2009
Subject: FW: Packer Article

FYI – see original message

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 7:11 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Subject: FW: Packer Article
Importance: High

Heads up. Richard invited George to go on one of his recent AfPak. I endorsed it as did the White House because George is a first-rate journalist. Obviously Richard strayed shall we say from discussion of our strategy. It ends up being a semi-profile on Richard. I'll alert the WH. I don't see anything here that is problematic for the Secretary, but I don't know that every detail here is correct.

PJ

From: Jennifer Stahl [mailto [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 1:55 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Packer Article
Importance: High

Dear Mr. Crowley,

The New Yorker Magazine is going to press with George Packer's article on Richard Holbrooke. George briefly mentions Secretary Clinton in the piece. I am fact-checking the piece and want to make sure our information is accurate. If you

could let me know if you have any comments or corrections by the end of the day, I would greatly appreciate it. I apologize for the short notice.

Best,
Jennifer

B6

1. Secretary Clinton told George Packer that the Administration was coördinating diplomatic and development and defense efforts much more closely than it had before.
2. In 1996, Secretary Clinton encouraged her husband to name the first female Secretary of State.
3. Last year at the transition headquarters at the Hilton Hotel in Chicago, Obama asked Hillary Clinton about possible candidates for the the position of Secretary of State.
4. Clinton recommend Holbrooke to Obama.
5. A few days after Obama offered Clinton the position of Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton called Holbrooke to offer him the job that is now called Special Representative for Afghanistan and Pakistan.
6. In late November, HRC and Holbrooke talked for three hours at Essex House, in New York, about the job.
7. Early on, Clinton told Holbrooke that he would be the civilian counterpart to General David Petraeus.
8. Clinton introduced Holbrooke to Petaeus.
9. Holbrooke initially told Clinton that he would need around 15 staff members in his department.
10. Holbrooke told HRC last fall that he would prefer the title Special Representative to Special Envoy.
11. Holbrooke and Clinton often speak several times a day.
12. On April 23rd at 4 pm, Clinton, Donilon and Holbrooke met the President at the Oval Office.
13. In the hallway, fifteen minutes before the meeting began, Holbrooke gave Clinton and Donilon a memo written by his staff member, Vali Nasr.
14. Clinton read the memo in the hallway.
15. Clinton gave a copy to the President after they walked into the Oval Office.
16. Holbrooke has praised Clinton's idea of tapping the Pakistani diaspora. She has urged Pakistani-American doctors, especially women, to volunteer in the refugee areas.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:50 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Demarche on UNGA redlines

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 10:43:25 2009
Subject: Demarche on UNGA redlines

FYI: We will send today for your review and approval a demarche describing the circumstances in which the U.S. would walk out of speeches at the UN General Assembly and asking countries to join us in the walkout. Note that we are differing from past practice when we simply did not have anyone in the U.S. seat during speeches by certain countries. This year an appropriate-level individual will be behind the U.S. placard for all speeches and will remain unless these redlines are crossed.

This demarche is going out to EU member state capitals, as well as Oslo, Reykjavik, Ottawa, Canberra, Wellington, Tokyo, Seoul, Buenos Aires, Bogota, San Jose, and Montevideo. USUN asks that our posts deliver the demarche in advance of an EU political directors meeting on this issue in New York Monday morning our time.

The background portion of the cable, which discusses EU internal dynamics on this issue, is classified, but the unclassified talking points will come to you for your review.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2009 9:55 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Yes--after 12:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 19 09:10:17 2009
Subject: Fw: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Are you willing to call nalbandian today? See phils note below and we also have a call sheet coming your way.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 19 08:56:35 2009
Subject: Fw: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

Huma: will she be able to call Sargsian? Highly recommend given ongoing. Thanks.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Fitzpatrick, Kathleen M
Sent: Sat Sep 19 05:57:33 2009
Subject: Nalbandian statement/S Calls

B5

Nalbandian statement: "The Turkish side is informed and we are confident that they realize that we exclude the conditioning of the normalization of Turkish-Armenian relations on steps toward the settlement of the Karabakh conflict. Trying to link these two processes could lead to the failure of both. A number of countries, including those of the Minsk Group Co-Chairs, have the same position. They have said many times that the normalization of relations between Turkey and Armenia must be without preconditions. With this mutual understanding, we have started and conducted negotiations and come to agreements that are reflected in the initialed protocols. If the Turkish leadership has problems with the signing of these documents, they should not try to solve them by distorting the spirit, essence,

and goals of the initialed documents. The signing and implementation of the agreed documents is a process that is full of difficulties. Nevertheless, Armenia is ready to respect the agreements and move forward. If the current authorities in Turkey are not ready to accept the fact of the genocide perpetrated in the Ottoman Empire, then they are at least obliged to show respect toward the survivors of the Armenian genocide and their descendants."

Huma can you make sure S sees this before calls.

B5

Erdogan quote: "Until the Karabakh issue is solved we cannot open the border. We have told them that already. We cannot open the border until the Azerbaijani-Armenian conflict is overcome. There are frequent meetings of Aliyev-Medvedev-Sargsian. We are much closer to a Karabakh solution. Without that issue being resolved, it is impossible for our side to take this step. Armenia must free itself of being led by the diaspora. Armenia doesn't get any benefit from the diaspora. The diaspora scores points by using Armenia. That's what it does. The diaspora in the U.S. and France does this."

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 12:43 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Just a mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Sent: Sun Sep 20 12:12:23 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Either Mondays or Tuesdays are best -- at one point, you had mentioned a meal. Would you still like to do that or just a normal meeting?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Cc: H2 <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sun Sep 20 10:53:10 2009
Subject: Schedule

I need to find a time to meet w the Undersectretaries every week. What do you suggest?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 7:32 PM
To: 'stalbott [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: MD oped

I'm proud of the company we're keeping! Thx again for the chance to speak at Brookings. All best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Sun Sep 20 14:14:26 2009
Subject: FW: MD oped

Apparently you can't get away from me. We're sharing the FT op-ed page tomorrow. Here's my piece....

Thanks again for Friday. Much favorable comment. Good luck at the UNGA.

President Barack Obama's announcement of a new system for protecting America's allies against Iranian missiles has ignited charges that he is scaling back the one he inherited from George W. Bush as a concession to the Russians. In fact, the Obama plan is a big improvement on the Bush one, and it is designed to counter an evolving Iranian threat, not to mollify Russian anxieties.

Mr Bush's decision to put 10 missile interceptors in Poland and an associated radar in the Czech Republic was based on a concern that Iran was developing long-range rockets that could hit the US. The Bush system would have been less effective against shorter-range Iranian missiles, and it would have left much of Europe, notably including America's ally Turkey, unprotected.

Over the last several years, the Iranians have shifted their efforts from developing a few long-range rockets to deploying large numbers of shorter-range ones that pose an immediate danger to southeastern Europe and the Middle East, as well as to US forces stationed there. Unlike Mr Bush's, Mr Obama's plan will quickly field a missile-defence capability to address an existing threat. It can be upgraded to deal with longer-range Iranian missiles in the future.

The Central Europeans who have reacted to the decision with apprehension or alarm should recognize that the replacement of a conceptually flawed plan with a more credible one strengthens the bonds of transatlantic defence.

The view from Moscow has been clouded by outright paranoia. Military experts there suspected that the US rockets in Poland, while advertised as defensive, could be used offensively, even pre-emptively, against Russia. Laying to rest that far-fetched scenario was not the prime motivation for Mr Obama's decision, nor should it have been. However, by removing what has been a gratuitous irritant in bilateral relations, the president has improved the prospects for US-Russian arms control negotiations now underway in Geneva.

Those talks could produce by December a new treaty reducing numbers of strategic – that is, intercontinental – weapons. If ratified by the US Senate (which will not be easy, given the ferocity of the partisan wars in Washington), the US and Russia will be on track for further reductions in the future. Mr Obama and Russian President Dmitri Medvedev, who are due to meet in New York this week, have jointly called for the eventual goal of eliminating nuclear weapons.

As they and their successors take steps in that direction, they will have to grapple with a stark paradox of the nuclear age: mutual deterrence depends on each side being vulnerable to retaliation from the other. If either side has enough defences to trump the other side's offence, the mutuality of deterrence breaks down and one or both sides will be on hair-trigger, making war more likely in a crisis.

American statesmen pounded this inescapable truth into the heads of their initially sceptical Soviet counterparts 40 years ago. The result was the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) treaty signed by Richard Nixon and Leonid Brezhnev in 1972. By limiting the defensive capability of both superpowers, the ABM treaty was a necessary companion piece to a series of treaties setting ceilings on offensive weaponry. Because nuclear weapons were expected to with us forever, the ABM treaty was meant to last in perpetuity.

While the Soviets were converted to the logic of restricting defence, Ronald Reagan was not. The late US president championed the development of a space-based comprehensive shield that would make offensive weapons – and therefore mutual deterrence – "impotent and obsolete."

Many experts believed Reagan's vision, which they derisively nicknamed Star Wars, was an impossible dream. So did his successor, George H. W. Bush, who treated the program with benign neglect while negotiating with the Russians in the Strategic Arms Reduction Talks (START) begun by Mr Reagan.

The second president Bush reversed his father's policy. He greatly weakened the START process, pulled the US out of the ABM treaty and sought to revive a national missile defence (NMD) system. His decision to build the interceptor and radar sites in Poland and the Czech Republic was, as one of his aides told me at the time, in large measure about "pouring concrete for what will eventually be a global NMD."

Key officials of the Obama administration – including the president himself, who studied arms control in college – understand the linkage between reduction of offences and regulation of defences. However, they have avoided raising that issue in the context of last week's decision. That is partly because they want their plan to be judged on its own merits, vis-à-vis the Iranian threat. But it is because they have more than enough fights with the Republicans without further energizing the right wing by trying to reinstate the principles of the ABM treaty.

Yet sooner or later the US administration will have to grasp the nettle of regulating strategic defences. Otherwise, continued reductions in strategic nuclear offences will be either impossible or, worse, dangerous.

The author is president of the Brookings Institution.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2009 7:09 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: for S

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 14 06:50:53 2009
Subject: FW: for S

I have comments on this so don't want to accept as final until giving them to her and you.

cdm

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 12 16:56:33 2009
Subject: for S

Attached and below is my memo just outlining what I am prepared to give up in order to be able to spend the majority of my time on QDDR.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:19 PM
To: 'lmuscatine
Subject: Re: CGI Speech First Draft

B6

I'm in NY. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine Lissa
To: Huma Abedin <AbedinH@state.gov>
Cc: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 22:09:51 2009
Subject: CGI Speech First Draft

This is a very rough first cut at the CGI speech, mostly to give you an idea of structure, themes, and some of the substance. It has a long way to go. We are still waiting for feedback and for input from various people, including Jack.

We will have a much improved draft by Monday night.

I'm not sure if you are in Washington or NY, but will fax this to Whitehaven in case you are there. Thanks.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:02 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Where is Steinberg? He needs to be upfront early.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 23 09:59:07 2009
Subject: Here is the draft agenda per our discussion

Take a look – we are now eliminating Saturday but agenda is here:

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Blair House

October 2, 2009

Notional Timeline

8:00am: Continental Breakfast

8:15am: Welcome [HRC]

8:25am: Overview and Strategic Objectives for Retreat [CDM]

8:30am: Foreign Policy in the Obama Administration [HRC]

o priorities]

- Our Accomplishments To Date

o [HRC uses as opportunity to celebrate what has been accomplished and call out areas for recognition –show appreciation for work that has been done and set the tone of appreciation, collaboration, team approach to success]

8:50am: Funding our Needs and Ambitions – State/USAID Budgets

- Presentation by Jack Lew [10 minutes]

o New Processes and Protocols for Implementing 2010

o Expectations for 2011

o Expectations for State/USAID approach

- Moderated Q and A by Lew with Greene, Smith, other appropriate support [10 minutes]

9:25am: QDDR: Restoring Diplomacy/Elevating Development

- Introduction by Jack Lew [5 minutes]

- Presentation by Anne Marie Slaughter/Alonzo Fulgrum

[10 minutes]

- Moderated Questions and Answers [20 minutes]

o Lew to moderate discussion

o Alonzo/AMS/Jim Michel to field questions

o HRC field questions as approach in instances where she wants to make or emphasize a point

10:05am: Seizing the Day – Immediate and Long-term Opportunities

- Intro of topic by CDM [5 min]:

1. What are 3 opportunities that State/USAID/MCC (or any individually) have

2. How specifically would we implement each one

- Break out session – 30 minutes (4 groups of 17), with designated staff member facilitator and note-taker

10:45am Coffee Break

11:00am Traditional Threat: Security Peace in the Middle East

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by George Mitchell [10 minutes]
- Moderated [Mara Rudman] Questions and Answers [15 min]

o recognize Mitchell team to permit them to respond to questions with George

11:30am Transnational Threat: Non-Proliferation (or alternatives below)

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Ellen Tauscher [other options: climate change, energy security, global health, others]
- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize T team to permit them to respond to questions with Ellen

12:15: Lunch

- Introduction by CDM [5 min]
- 21st Century State Craft – Alec Ross [10 min]
- [No Q/A or Q/A]

1:15pm Partnering for Success – Paradigms and Pitfalls

- Introduction by: TBD [5 min]
- Breakout into 4 Groups of 17 with facilitator and note-taker [40 min]
- Whole of State/USAID/MCC Approach
- Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency
- Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections
- In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

2:00pm Traditional Threat: Iran is Not Ten Feet Tall

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Bill Burns [10 min] [other options: Af/Pak, North Korea, Iraq]
- Moderated [by Jeff Feltman] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize Iran team to permit them to respond to questions with Bill

2:30pm Transnational Threat: Health in a Global Age [alternatives b/l]

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by TBD/USAID [10 min] [other options: climate change, energy security, others]
- Moderated [TBD] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize team to permit them to respond to questions with presenter

3:00pm Coffee Break

3:15pm Traditional Threat: North Korea – Moving Forward or Not?

- Introduction by HRC [5 min: praise/validation for team]
- Presentation by Jim Steinberg [10 min] [other option: Iraq]
- Moderated [by Kurt Campbell/Phil Goldberg] Questions and Answers [15 minutes]

o recognize North Korea team to permit them to respond to questions with Jim

3:45pm: Presentation of Working Group Findings

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Lessons and Best Practices [5 min per group]

o Whole of State/USAID/MCC

o Partnering and Leading Through the Interagency

o Regional and Functional Bureaus – Ensuring Connections

o In-Country USG Coordination – the Art of the Possible?

- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

4:20pm Working Group Presentations of the Opportunities Ahead

- Presentation by Group Facilitator (or Other) of Opportunities and Actions Needed [5 min per group]
- Q/A Moderated by CDM [15 min]

5:10pm: The Challenges on the Horizon [HRC]

- HRC expresses appreciation for work done today
- Outlines the Challenges Ahead
- Seeks Investment and Commits to Follow-Up
- Invites Participants and Partners to Whitehaven at 7:30pm

5:30pm: Retreat Concludes until Dinner

7:30pm Dinner at Whitehaven Residence

Staff and Partner/Spouses invited

Buffet Dinner – No formal remarks

Senior Leadership Retreat

Department of State

Whitehaven (or Department)

October 3, 2009

Notional Timeline

9:00am: Coffee

9:15am: General Retreat Impressions

9:30am: Specific Takeaways and Tasking

- Review list of Takeaways
- Discussing Tasking and Follow-Up

10:00am: Building Our Leadership Team Connection

- Collaboration and Communication
- Operational Flows (Paper, Clearance, Communication, etc.)
- Seen/Heard in the Building

o 3 criticisms and ways to approach;

o 1 praise and way to multiply

11:00am Creating a Leadership Culture

- Listening and Responding
- Inviting Appropriate Risk-Taking and Creativity
- Embracing Broad Accountability
- Empowering Your Respective Teams

Noon Close

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:34 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Cc: 'toivnf@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Branch Summary

Pls give me printed copy today.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 09 21:23:22 2009
Subject: Branch Summary

See below. Will send PIR comments shortly.

To: CDM

Fr: Caitlin

Re: Branch Book

Overview:

There are few surprises in this book. The "surprises" that might exist are buried and took a close reading to pick up on—the two main ones are about the terror clause of WJC's crime bill and why he hadn't disclosed information about Lewinsky (because he hadn't wanted to become a witness against her). There are a lot of things that have been covered in My Life and other publications. Quite honestly the book was pretty boring and much more focused on Branch than I had anticipated. While not as "and this day, and the next day" as My Life, it does share many of the same elements that would engage only a true Clinton fanatic or historian. The fact that there appears to be no index also makes it difficult for anyone looking for a quick hit to get one. Furthermore, WJC's words are so interlaced with Branch's recollection through his dictations, that the number of direct WJC quotes was far fewer than I had expected.

HRC was not as much of a player as one might imagine. Her role is largely seen through her interactions with Branch when he is recording WJC. Her statements were consistent with the past, with the exception of the Gephardt comment, and didn't grab much attention at all.[1] (Others included HRC's devotion to CVC, thought that there should not be a special prosecutor and images of her returning from meetings. They were pretty much aligned with her interactions with Branch.) Surprisingly, or not surprisingly, many of the problems discussed in the book are those, which face the current Administration—Middle East peace, North Korea, China, etc...

Branch repeatedly injects his feelings on specific subjects and seems to use the book to voice his own opinion of the Administration and events of the time. He clearly has topics he prefers over others (for example his connection with the events in Haiti result in a lot of discussion about it), but there are some subjects that are strikingly sparsely discussed such as Rwanda. Its absence from long discussions was surprising, but it did not appear as thought WJC ever-dodged questions about the topic, they just weren't addressed. Much like My Life, one theme that repeated itself was WJC's conflict with and disdain for the media, especially long passages about NYT and Washington Post. There are a few instances where gives them credit on their reporting, but you would be hard-pressed to find them. Additionally, there are numerous mentions of WJC's acute allergies and his chronic fatigue, with imagery of him falling asleep in sessions on more than a couple occasions.

The book has quite a bit on Whitewater, but given the dearth of public documentation there is little that Branch could write that hadn't been said. One thing I had not recalled was the D'Amato's subpoena of CVC's friends, later quashed, who slept over while HRC's Rose Law Firm records were in the White House. Branch does portray a helpful distinction in how WJC looked at Whitewater and other scandals. While WJC attacks Whitewater as a political hunt, Branch does have him WJC saying that Filegate needed to be separated from Whitewater, because this was a legitimate inquiry. There are some quips that could catch someone's eye, such as WJC referring to Paula Jones as "a 'new bimbo' he [WJC] didn't even recognize."

Branch does not dwell on Lewinsky or the impeachment. With the exception of Bruce, Bennett and Kendall, no other lawyers are mentioned. There is one passage that I am looking into, in which WJC says part of the reason he didn't mention his relationship with Lewinsky at first was because she was being threatened with jail time if she was found to be lying under oath and had he told the truth he could become a witness against her. This is a very small passage that could easily be missed, but one that it is important to note as I don't recall hearing this before. (This is addressed more fully below.)

There are a few relationships that track through the book more than others. They include WJC's relationship with Gore, which maps in part because it is not a focal point until the end, and his contentious relationship with Janet Reno. The one Senator that appears to be most discussed negatively is Moynihan. All three of these issues are discussed in turn below. WJC is portrayed as an extremely loving and attentive father and HRC is portrayed in a much more human light than other books/articles covering this time period, with ample mention of her relationship with CVC and images of affection between her and WJC, both pre- and post-impeachment.

On foreign relations there is a lot of time spent discussing the Middle East, Northern Ireland, the Balkans, China, Russia, Pakistan/India and in the beginning of the book, Haiti. (Note: Much of what is written about Haiti is about Branch's own experience there and on the subject.) There is also a fair amount of discussion about North Korea, but nothing that has not been discussed in the past few weeks. Bin Laden is discussed in what seems to track mention of him in My Life, which seems to have more detail and background than this book.

In certain cases there is more detail here than in My Life, for example on his trip to Pakistan WJC wrote that the USSS cancelled one stop because they would be "defenseless" flying in, whereas Brach writes that the streets would be lined

with "assassins." There are candid looks at WJC's take on certain people and the relationship between some, such as Albright and Berger's dislike of Holbrooke, and a more detailed depiction of Yeltsin's drinking, such as yelling outside of Blair House for a cab to get pizza.

One thing to note, is that often times WJC seems to be speaking to Branch intentionally without the recorders going, but Branch writes about those interactions and exchanges nonetheless. Such is the case with HRC's Gephardt comment.

The following items are addressed in the memo:

Wen Ho Lee and Terror Clause of Crime Bill 4

WJC and Lewinsky's Silence 4

HRC and Kissinger 4

HRC and gay/lesbian 5

Tension with Moynihan 5

HRC as World Player 5

Jesse Jackson 6

Tension with Janet Reno 6

Portrayal of Carter 7

Relationship with Gore 8

Other (This includes: Maggie recommending Panetta, HRC and Haiti Invasion, ITAV co-author flap, Subpoenas of CVC's Friends, Vernon Jordan on Extramarital Sex, Gore/HRC ticket, WJC suggesting Rubin run for Senate, Trip to South Asia) 11

Wen Ho Lee and Terror Clause of Crime Bill

In discussing Wen Ho Lee's release Branch characterizes WJC's lack of knowledge about the strained interpretation of the anti-terrorist provisions in the crime bill and arbitrary detentions as a "confession." My Life only mentions Lee's accusation in passing and does not again discuss Lee. Below are two passages from Branch's book the first giving context and the second about the Terror Clause of the Crime Bill.

Ø The year closed on spies and terrorism. The Justice Department, after fierce debate among the security agencies, indicted nuclear scientist Wen Ho Lee on fifty-nine counts of copying classified material to his personal computer. Lee was being handled like a radioactive espionage defendant—no bail, lockdown, solitary confinement—even though the long dragnet had produced no evidence that he offered secrets to China or anyone else. The president said experts were telling him the worst spies sometimes stored their treason for a rainy day. This sounded fishy to me. Clinton shrugged. Nothing would please him more than to establish Lee's innocence. [Branch, 577]

Ø While Lee was indicted, Branch writes that WJC noted that others "were being held in secret, uncharged and untried...He [WJC] was embarrassed not to have sponsored this measure into law without foreseeing such arbitrary detentions...Under the terrorist act, any prosecutor should decide within the legal limit...whether to bring charges on the testimony of a secret informant or otherwise. To his dismay, Clinton had learned of defendants held incommunicado for more than six months. One extreme detention had last three years. 'I think it's wrong,' he [WJC] said. 'It's not American. We accept guilty people on the street to enjoy and protect our freedom.'" [Branch, 629]

WJC and Lewinsky's Silence

Branch writes that part of WJC's motive for not discussing what had happened with Lewinsky was that it could send her to jail, as she had denied it.

Ø "Also, he pointed out that Starr had been threatening to jail Lewinsky all year over her sworn denial of the affair. If Clinton had come forward with anything at all about their relationship, he said Starr could have turned him into a witness against Lewinsky, betraying her discreet silence. Such subtleties, while original, struck me as tendentious. The president never claimed chivalry as the real motive for his steadfast denial, nor did he dispute the essential truth of Lewinsky's account." [Branch, 511]

HRC and Kissinger

The following could be fodder for people who want to continue to string along the notion of channeling Eleanor Roosevelt.

Ø There is a passage in which HRC describes an exchange she had with Kissinger at a dinner where he complained that if the health care bill passed he wouldn't be able to see his own doctor. She continued to say "it was interesting, in fact, that she had dreamed about Kissinger only a few nights before. His visage turned suddenly effervescent at a banquet, announcing gladly that his worries were over because the Clinton health care bill was dying. 'Oh, no, Dr. Kissinger,' she replied coolly. 'Don't be sure that it's dead. We'll keep fighting, and there's always light at the end of the tunnel.' She said Kissing blanched, speechless at her deft reminder of the Vietnam War...Now at last, in Hillary's dream, it registered that his strategic designs had spewed carnage and venom for seven needless years...'That's what I dreamed,' Hillary repeated, lost in thought. 'You know, I always get my revenge in dreams, but never in real life.'" [Branch, 179]

HRC and gay/lesbian

Writing about a call with HRC from NYS, Branch recalls how WJC's counsel got very "exercised." WJC left the room. "He was subdued on his return. 'You know, I've had a lot more contact with gay people in my life than Hillary.' He sighed. Her temperament had a conservative, religious core, formed before homosexual issues were even mentionable. New York politics was a tough crucible, and she was unsure what heat she could take. On the other hand, personal experience could speed adaptation." [Branch, 551]

Tension with Moynihan

There are several occasions throughout the book that Branch recalls WJC's grievances and frustration with Moynihan. For example:

Ø When Moynihan called for the international arms embargo to be unilaterally lifted so that Bosnia could fight for themselves, Branch writes, "The president flinched when I mentioned [it]...'That's just a freebie for him,' snapped Clinton, 'and he knows it.'" [p.140]

Ø In regard to health care: "Echoing comments by Hillary at dinner, he [WJC] faulted Senator Moynihan for aimless hearings conducted without a strategy or theme." [p.170]

Ø Discussing Independent Counsel: "She [HRC] was especially scornful of the Democrats, and quoted a number of them. Senator Moynihan, for instance, publicly said the Clintons were nice people and he was sure they had nothing to hide. 'Why not have an investigation?' he often asked. She said Moynihan skated blithely on the surface, never produced results in Congress, and could not withstand such scrutiny himself for five minutes, living in an apartment secured through his chairmanship of the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation." [Brach, 182]

Ø "Donnybrook" argument in which Moynihan said of a fundraising speech WJC gave citing a regretted hypothetical about a controversial decision. Moynihan told the press, "He doesn't understand...that he's conceding the principles." WJC confronted him about lack of context given to the statement to the press and said that Moynihan knew what he was doing by "feeding the beast of hostile presumption about Clinton's motives." [Branch, 299]

HRC as World Player

There are several images as HRC as a player on the world stage, both with WJC and on her own. Several examples follow:

Ø Middle East: Discussing peace with King Hussein: "They had retreated for lunch as two couples—the Clintons, with the king and his wife, Queen Noor—in the family dining room...Their agenda was a delicate race between Hussein's failing health and his desire to make peace with Israel. The president said he and Hillary pounded away on symptoms of national estrangement: closed boarders, water disputes, travel barriers, war grievances, contested airspace, sealed electrical grids, and so on. Hussein gave them a feast of goodwill without commitment on any point." [Branch, 170]

Ø South Asia: Regarding her trip to South Asia with CVC in 1995, "Her [HRC's] schedule was far more demanding than ceremonial, pushing both conflict resolution and civic empowerment for downtrodden women." [Branch, 236] Later Branch tells of Benazir Bhutto praising CVC and HRC. "By contrast with a superficial American press, which portrayed Hillary as a demure tourist of no policy significance in exotic Asia, Bhutto said she had touched essential political chords with whirlwind encouragements for citizenship groups and small-scale economic development." (The note contrasting Bhutto corresponds to a NYT article which Branch quotes in the footer saying, "she [HRC] played the traditional role of First Lady as wife and mother...") [Branch, 246]

Ø China Speech: Branch outlines how HRC felt the speech needed to be bigger than China and how she depicted the "harsh reality everywhere" from China to Africa. He then cites WJC commenting on the rave reviews of HRC's speech. [Branch, 289]

Ø Regarding the Russian financial system meltdown, Branch writes "Yeltsin seemed so removed from urgent political tasks that both Clintons counseled him directly." [Branch, 512-513]

Jesse Jackson

Branch writes about Jesse Jackson calling CVC after the Lewinsky story broke and having continued contact with her throughout the period. WJC thanks him for his support of CVC. This goes into some detail about WJCs gratitude, but the fact that Jackson "counseled" CVC has been public knowledge dating back to at least 1999.

Ø "But now Jesse had done something he would not forget. When the Lewinsky news broke, he called Chelsea at Stanford. Jesse was the only nonfamily adult with her cell phone number. How he got it was another story. Jesse said he knew this was terrible for her. Nothing like it had ever happened in American politics. He did not know everything involved, but he wanted to remind Chelsea how much her parents loved her. Their family needed to stick together now above all, and if she needed to counsel, rage, or pray, he was always available." [Branch, 497]

Tension with Janet Reno

Throughout the book, from his nomination of her, WJC is portrayed as having no opinion to having a negative opinion of Janet Reno.

Ø "He complained about Janet Reno—made it clear, in fact, that he felt alienated from his own attorney general. If he removed her, however, the pending decision on yet another special prosecutor [for 1996 campaign finance] would fall to Reno's deputy, Jamie Gorelick, who, Clinton feared, may share Reno's wholesale devotion to outside control for any case in which the president himself may become a target...If he removed both Reno and Gorelick, to start fresh, it would touch off a firestorm of protest like Nixon's Saturday Night Massacre of the Justice Department officials during the Watergate scandal. That was not an option." [Branch, 388-389]

Ø Branch writes about WJC talking about Reno and Louis Freeh disregarding a letter from D'Amato and continuing to allow independent counsel to go after Ciseneros. The same held true for Mike Espy. Branch then outlines a meeting between WJC and Reno. Reno had made public statements about her "desire to stay on the job. 'I told her I didn't like that one damn bit,' the president said tersely. 'I didn't hire her to work for the New York Times and Washington Post. I hired her to work for me.'" [Branch, 398] He continues to outline that Clinton did give Reno credit for some good achievements including coordination with U.S. attorneys and that he didn't blame her for the special prosecutor on Whitewater, but that "he did blame her for abdicating responsibility to supervise the investigations ever since, letting mandates multiply almost at will. She accepted criteria that were ludicrous and crassly partisan. Reno had failed to defend the presidency itself—not Clinton personally, but the institution—and by extension the entire executive branch...He told me she had no clue what he meant. His advisers considered her more naïve than upright, and the fuzziness of the distinction seemed to save her." [Branch, 399] He cites that Clinton warned her that she should prepare herself for not staying in the job for the entire second term.

Portrayal of Jimmy Carter

There are parallels that could be drawn between WJC/BHO and Carter/WJC both in terms of Carter's trip to North Korea and the mission he went on to Haiti. WJC clearly was frustrated by Carter's seeming desire to be on the front lines.

North Korea:

Ø "On North Korea, he [WJC] said Jimmy Carter had initiated his own recent trip with a call to Al Gore, and Clinton had approved the volunteer mission to break through North Korea's extreme isolation...Sure enough, Carter did reach North Korean dictator Kim Il-sung, who proposed to allow inspection of North Korea's nuclear facilities in exchange for relief from the harsh economic sanctions. The only hitch was that Carter announced the terms on CNN before they became official, adding gratuitously that he never had agreed with sanctions in the first place. News stories had the White House seething, but Clinton made light of the spat. He said the deal was since ratified by an exchange of letters, and he did not believe Carter would criticize official policy 'if the game were still in doubt.'" [Branch, 162]

Haiti:

Ø After pushing to be sent to Haiti and enlisting Colin Powell and Sam Nunn to get WJC to break with Gore (who said Carter shouldn't be trusted a second time) and Christopher and approve the mission to Haiti to seek a truce with the junta, Carter is portrayed as acting stubbornly and having 'clientitis' (arguing for acceptance of Haitian generals versus what was in the United States' best interest). He didn't want to leave and WJC told him he had to. Like after North Korea, Carter again, Carter went to the press first. "The three negotiators flew back to Washington late Sunday, and Carter, invited to stay over at the White House, called CNN's Judy Woodruff after midnight to arrange an interview the next morning before he reported to Clinton on his mission. This conduct, the president said tersely, was not right...The press was full of retrospective stories about friction between him and his three prominent negotiators...He said the current newsweeklies lapped up criticism by Carter as an embarrassment to Clinton...Many of his advisers griped that Jimmy Carter thought he was still president, but Clinton found this criticism too jaundiced. Carter had spoken with restraint at their joint press conference, he allowed, exhibiting a keen political ear...To Clinton, Carter simply felt that he had earned the right to speak his mind as an international statesman, even about a mission he had accepted for the United States government complete with an Air Force jet. This sense of entitlement could make Carter a thorn, but Clinton thought his value far outweighed the political annoyance. He considered Carter a sterling ex-resident." [Branch, 200-201]

Dispatch of Bombers Near Iraq

Ø "He expected military strikes to win bipartisan assent, including former presidents Ford, Reagan and Bush. 'Dole will support me,' he said. 'Carter will probably criticize me. Carter always criticizes, but he doesn't have much positive to say." [Branch, 518]

Gore

For the majority of the book, there is little discussion of WJC and Gore's relationship. There are few places where he is briefly mentioned and a couple of scenes with some levity. The end, however, describes the seemingly brutally candid conversation between the two about the 2000 election and the impeachment.

Ø On page 92 Branch writes, "No, he [Clinton] did not ask Gore to take over a failed foreign policy, as alleged, but to improve public presentations by his troika of 'bad talkers': shy National Security Adviser Tony Lake, boring Secretary of State Warren Christopher, and disorganized Defense Secretary Les Aspin." [Branch, 92]

Ø In one-passage WJC talks about asking Gore to lead the independent review of Hazel O'Leary and also having Gore substitute for him at an economic conference in Japan. The latter did not fare well as other nations were offended that Gore, not WJC attended. Gore insisted that WJC needed to go to Japan to redeem himself and the administration for his absence. Gore pointed to a few days in January, but WJC "pronounced them vital to Chelsea's schoolwork. Gore blinked. So what?...Mutual exasperation spiked. 'Al,' Clinton told him, 'I am not going to Japan and leave Chelsea by herself to take these exams.' Gore erupted. He thought Clinton had lost his bearing. They had a big fight, said the president, and were still wrangling about dates for Japan." Branch states that this fight wound up being around the time WJC saw Lewinsky. [Branch, 316-317]

Ø On page 396-397 there is a scene, which shows Gore's sense of humor and Gore, WJC, et al working as a team to secure the Cabinet for the second term.

Ø Later there is a passage about an exchange between WJC and HRC about Gore trying to hire a second criminal lawyer. HRC already knew he was paying the first one $30,000 per month. The conversation is about a leak of an offer from Jim Neal of TN, the former Watergate prosecutor to defend Gore for free. The exchange is more on ethics of the offer and its leak to the press than about Gore.

Ø On Gore's announcing his campaign. "The president had called twice to congratulate Gore for his kickoff events—once from Geneva, hours later from Paris. Clinton thought the only glitches were technical...But Gore got all the big things right. His twofold message was perfect: distinguish himself from Clinton's personal flaws, and tell voters exactly what he would do for them in the White House. The president said it was fine for Gore to call Clinton's affair with Monica Lewinsky 'inexcusable.' First, it was true. Second, it showed a necessary strength to criticized the boss. Third, Gore would be badgered to death until he did. 'Al,' Clinton told him, 'if you thought it would help in the campaign, I would let you flog me at noon right on the doorstep of the Washington Post.'" [Branch, 553-554] Branch continues that WJC told Gore to ignore reporting that he was offended or critical of his campaign. "On tape, the president said he confided his chief strategic worry only to Gore himself and to Gore's pollster, Mark Penn. The vice president must project his platform—where he stood, precisely how and where he would lead the country—because the Republicans would try to knock him off substance." [Branch, 554]

Ø WJC offered critiques of Gore's campaign throughout, but it was always framed as his fear of Gore not succeeding him. For example, "Clinton still thought Gore could win. Most people considered him smart, experience, and motivated. He examined the pitfalls for Gore in a campaign showcasing presidential character over detailed agendas for the country. Reporters called Gore "stiff," but Clinton discounted this liability. He thought Gore's droll sense of humor would wear well over time. Gore also was perceived to be too conventional a Democrat, therefore lacking independence, which called for Gore to emphasize more his own passion and initiatives. Then the president said Gore could have a brooding quality about him, not worrisome or off-putting in itself, but potentially damaging if his serious nature failed to give voters a lifted. Clinton isolated the word "sunny." Gore needed more of it." [Branch, 599]

Ø He also complimented Gore's knowledge of government workings. "Gore knew Washington. Believe me, said the president, his administration would have made many more errors in the first two years had it not been for the vice president." He then goes on to say that WJC suggested Gore find someone who would be a bright spot to run with, perhaps Durbin or Bradley or Kerrey, although he also goes into great detail about the virtues of Mikulski, even though she would never get chosen. How much of this was WJC's emphasis versus Branch's focus on his home state senator is unclear. [Branch, 599, 618-19]

Ø WJC criticized Gore for not running on the successes of the administration. "They should be running essentially for a third term, said the president, but they were afraid the Clinton-Gore record would tarnish them with Clinton's character." [Branch, 623] Branch gives WJC's critique of Gore in debate that had been heard before—that Gore lacked style and a light touch.

Ø Branch has WJC recalling a conversation between WJC and Gore where "Gore had bared himself in one of their stressful consultations by insisting that he, Gore, was a good politician, elaborating that he meant good on the policy, and also good on the politics, but admitted that he did not instinctively blend the two. Gore said he had to think about it, and Clinton thought this was pretty close to the bone...He had the stuff to be a great president, and this was the message that Clinton must convey somehow without seeming to brag on his own record." [Branch, 623-624]

Ø The big passage about Gore begins on page 641 and extends to 645. Branch notes that WJC was unsure at first about recording the details. At the outset it says, "For his own part, Gore was not angry, nor did he blame Clinton for his defeat, but he wanted to acknowledge forthrightly that some people around him did." [Branch, 642] It has WJC acknowledging that Gore's use of him was proper—he was best in the White House. Then he says, "'I think you made a mistake not to use me more in the last ten days,'" Clinton told Gore, 'but otherwise that was not a big deal. I was much more upset about your message, now that we're being honest.'" [Branch, 642] Branch recalls WJC saying Gore fought bag saying that "Clinton's drag plagued every direction." Clinton then said that Gore was better at governance than Clinton, and Gore would be better for the nation than Bush, and that he was an "unparalleled" vice president, but that he had "blind spots." Branch writes that WJC kept saying their confrontation was "surreal."

o Gore had a "fresh grievance...he wanted Clinton to know that the Buddhist temple fund-raising scandal had been the worst experience of his life. No one had ever questioned his personal integrity, but corrosive publicity and whispers had tormented him now for four years. Gore said he had not been disposed to blame Clinton. However, he remembered telling the president that he found himself the only English-speaking person at one of those Asian fund-raisers. And the president was in charge of the party apparatus that was supposed to screen all the donations for trouble. So he did blame Clinton in a way. The president told me he could scarcely believe the ensuing tales of suspicion. Gore must still be in shock from the election, or unhinged. 'I thought he was in Neverland,' he said." [Branch, 643-644]

o Branch continues: "The vice president asked Clinton about Monica Lewinsky. He had supported Clinton all through the impeachment, publicly and privately, while declaring himself disappointed. Yes, said the president. He appreciated that. However, persisted Gore, Clinton did not confide any personal feelings. He never explained exactly what happened. There was little to say, Clinton replied, beyond failure and regret. He was humiliated and angry, had made mistake. He had misled the American people, and he was sorry. Gore closed his point with emphasis. Well, he said, this is the first time you have apologized to me personally. Clinton did a double take...Gore treated the confession as a watershed. Their colliding perspectives made both of them angry. Clinton exploded that Gore's reaction was phony. What was so significant here?...Gore exploded back. He was feeling a stubborn repeat of the defensiveness and delayed honesty that somehow cost the election. Clinton's character was at the root of it..." [Branch, 644-45]

Other

Ø Maggie as Person Recommending Panetta: Unlike in My Life, in Branch's book, it has WJC asking Maggie to compile confidential suggestions for McLarty's replacement. "Williams was shrewd, discreet, and efficient." [Branch, 161]

Ø HRC and Haiti Invasion: Branch asked WJC what HRC thought about his foreign policy team's suggestion to invade Haiti. "He said the pell-mell rush to invade was crazy to her. Reacting against the pressure, the lack of options, and his

sense of being trapped, she said he was badly served by his foreign policy staff. He said she observed with some suspicion that the chief sponsors of the invasion—Lake, Berger, and Talbott—were the same people who had pushed the ill-fated appointment of Bobby Ray Inman as defense secretary." [Branch, 189]

Ø ITAV: ITAV co-author flap in which Sally Quinn spread rumors that HRC had not written the book. Quinn said that HRC had denied credit to the book's collaborator, Barbara Feinman, a friend of Quinn. HRC said Feinman had withdrawn from the project. Branch notes that the White House had draft chapters in HRC's handwriting. (Branch turned this to be about him and how it affected him as a ghostwriter.) From this HRC said that Quinn and her friends launched a rumor that HRC and a female veterinarian of Socks' were "discovered...in flagrante on a bedroom floor in the White House." [Branch, 342-343]

Ø Subpoenas of CVC's Friends: Branch tells of how D'Amato, as Chair of the Banking Committee, had subpoenaed all those who might have been in the White House when HRC's Rose firm records were there. This included "some two dozen of Chelsea's sleepovers." Senator Sarbanes quashed the subpoenas for the sleepovers. [Branch, 362]

Ø Vernon Jordan Extramarital Sex: In the context of Lewinsky, Branch says of Vernon Jordan, "In our glancing associations, I had picked up from Vernon an attitude toward extramarital sex best described as lighthearted or recreational." [Branch, 495]

Ø Gore/HRC ticket: "By the numbers, and Clinton's gut, a Gore-Hillary ticket would give Democrats their best chance to defeat Bush for the White House. 'Hands down,' he [WJC] said, 'but I don't think Al would ever do it.'" [Branch, 540]

Ø WJC suggesting Rubin run for NY Senate seat: The passage comes after WJC talks about supporting HRC if she decided to run, which raises a question of whether he had preferred Rubin or whether the two events are unconnected. "When the president had urged him [Rubin] to run for and easily win the New York Senate seat, Rubin recoiled...He could not fathom why Hillary wanted to run now..." [Branch, 557]

Ø On trip to South Asia: Branch says that WJC agreed to cancel a stop in Bangladesh, because "of hard intelligence that Osama bin Laden had lined the route with assassins." [Branch, 594] My Life discusses the cancelled trip but does not specify it was because of bin Laden or assassins.

---

[1] ""Gephardt is an asshole," Hillary commented. The president, pointing to my recorders, advised gently that the tapes were on. She winced but shrugged with a smile. "Well, he is," she insisted. In an awkward silence, I backed up both machines to erase the first lady's epithet." [Branch, 263]

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:42 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: u run for gov of New York and I run for gov of texas-what say you?

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 10 20:22:47 2009
Subject: RE: u run for gov of New York and I run for gov of texas-what say you?

[redacted] B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 6:57 PM
To: Roy Spence
Subject: Re: u run for gov of New York and I run for gov of texas-what say you?

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 10 19:39:04 2009
Subject: u run for gov of New York and I run for gov of texas-what say you?

———————————————————

This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.

----------ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 7:01 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Pls print for me

Cook stoves
Export control
Visa reform--categories that have to be vetted

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 11:30 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Saturday

Could I leave earlier on Sat--btw 8-9am since the mtg is Fri night?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 7:11 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Calls

As I now understand it, I'm supposed to talk to the Turkish FM at 7:15, the Polish FM at 7:30 (which I just found out about) and then Kerry, Lugar and Berman btw 8-10. What about the calls to Mubarak and King Abdullah? Do you know of any other calls?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 7:52 PM
To: 'pverveer B6
Subject: Fw: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

Are you seeing Cherie Blair as she requests below?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair
To: H
Cc: Angela Goodchild Henriette Kolb
Sent: Thu Sep 17 06:03:43 2009
Subject: RE: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

I am and would love to see you and/or melanne. We arrive on19th leave 25th

Sent from my HTC Touch Pro

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: 17 September 2009 10:46
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Re: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

Thanks for the help. We're working to schedule a time, and I'm looking forward to seeing her. Will you be in NY next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: psolisdoyle
Sent: Mon Sep 14 12:01:37 2009
Subject: Sheikha Mosa of Qatar

She is available to see you on 24th September either morning or afternoon? Alternatively 28th or 29th September Does that work for you

Cherie Blair

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:32 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Apples

Will we receive them this Fall? How can I buy some for personal use?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:52 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Schedule

UNGA question:
What is timing for POTUS meetings w Israel and PA?
Am I going to the deforestation mtg? If not, can Todd go?

Do we know yet if there will be an ASEAN-US Summit while POTUS in Singapore?

I'd like to set up mtg w John Kerry for week after UNGA. Offer him choice if he wants to come to State but make clear I will come to meet him at his office or other place of his choosing.

Also, how are we doing w Durbin mtg--I owe him one and not sure I can wait for larger Reid/Pelosi mtg.

There is a Dec 11 mtg in Costa Rico which I may want to attend as part of the larger Latin Am trip.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 3:26 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

They're ok to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 15:22:31 2009
Subject:

I understand cherly forwarded you the unga demarche points Do you have edits to them?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 5:35 PM
To: 'cb [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Eid Mubarak

Cherie--this is strange since meeting was confirmed several days ago. In fact, her office called back to say it was the only time that worked for her. Its at 7pm on the 24th. Can you confirm for me that she knows this. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair <[redacted]
To: H; Hillary Clinton <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sat Sep 19 14:09:57 2009
Subject: FW: Eid Mubarak

Sorry to email you again on this but have you a date and time for sheikha moser see below

Sent from my HTC FUZE™, a Windows Mobile® smartphone from AT&T

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 10:28 PM
To: 'jpodesta [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: When could we talk?

I'm on endless calls about the UN. Could I call you early tomorrow? Would btw 6:30 and 8:00 be too early? Please wear socks to bed to keep your feet warm.

----- Original Message -----
From: John Podesta [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 21:20:52 2009
Subject: Re: When could we talk?

Sorry. Didn't see this earlier. I'm at [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: John Podesta
Sent: Sun Sep 20 17:32:54 2009
Subject: When could we talk?

Dear John,

I would like to talk w you tonight if possible. Is there a time that is best for you? And which number should I call? This is the right thing to do and I do not believe there are any serious obstacles, [redacted]

Please let me know when we can connect. All best, H

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 7:59 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: dire situation in horn of africa

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 22 18:35:45 2009
Subject: FW: dire situation in horn of africa

FYI

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 6:23 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Muscatine, Lissa; Rooney, Megan
Subject: dire situation in horn of africa

I haven't seen the latest draft of the speech but the horn of Africa is currently experiencing a serious crisis – one of its worst in years. I think we should reference the crisis in the speech and perhaps discuss what we are doing to address – although it's mostly just providing emergency food assistance. Best, Matt

Somalia faces worst food crisis in 18 years: UN

(AFP) – 1 day ago

ROME — Drought, conflict and displacement are causing the worst humanitarian crisis in war-torn Somalia in 18 years, the UN food agency warned on Monday.

Some 3.6 million people, about half the Somali population, need emergency aid including 1.3 million people displaced by fighting in the Horn of Africa country, the Food and Agriculture Organisation said in a statement.

Around 1.4 million Somali farmers face a severe drought, while some 655,000 poor urban dwellers face high prices for basic food staples, the FAO said.

Hundreds of thousands of Somalis have fled their homes over the past three years of violence involving hardline Islamist movements and many more in total over the country's 18 years of almost uninterrupted civil chaos.

Hunger also stalks other countries in east Africa and the Horn of Africa region, the UN agency said.

The number of people depending on food aid in the region -- currently nearly 20 million -- "may increase as the hunger season progresses, particularly among marginal farmers and low-income urban dwellers," the FAO said.

"Below-average rains combined with conflict and displacement are aggravating an already serious food insecurity situation in the region," it said.

An added element is El Nino, a weather phenomenon associated with floods, droughts and other climatic disturbances, the FAO said.

"The effects of El Nino, which usually brings heavy rains towards the end of the year, could make matters worse, ... destroying crops both in the field and in stores (and) increasing livestock losses," the statement added.

Continued low purchasing power is also threatening food security in the region, the UN agency said.

Matthew Stremlau, Ph.D.

Office of the Counselor and Chief of Staff

U.S. Department of State

Office phone: 202-647-4686

Blackberry: [redacted] B6

Email: StremlauMH@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 6:13 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Since the Secretary likes to track such things - The Associated Press: Rocker Juanes' wife gives birth to son in Miami

??????????????????????????

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 15 05:18:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Since the Secretary likes to track such things - The Associated Press: Rocker Juanes' wife gives birth to son in Miami

Not sure why jim mcgovern wants you to know this but fyi

From: Buhl, Cindy <Cindy.Buhl@mail.house.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Buhl, Cindy <Cindy.Buhl@mail.house.gov>
Sent: Tue Sep 15 00:37:40 2009
Subject: Since the Secretary likes to track such things - The Associated Press: Rocker Juanes' wife gives birth to son in Miami

http://www.google.com/hostednews/ap/article/ALeqM5i0gPtcVQYpRav9SbVPG3q59lmvpgD9AN67S01

Jim tells me the Secretary likes to be aware of such things - in case you haven't already heard - FYI - Cindy

---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 7:06 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Add to call list

Judith Hope

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 11:38 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I'm upstairs at mtg and will meet you in room.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 11:32:30 2009
Subject:

I'm back, just waiting for elevator.
Let me know if you need notes.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:51 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Notes

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:50:39 2009
Subject: Re: Notes

9pm.


----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:46:38 2009
Subject: Re: Notes

What time is the plane tomorrow night?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:45:01 2009
Subject: Re: Notes

My email is being strange, sorry
Speech has been faxed
For tomorrow:

B6



----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:41:51 2009
Subject: Re: Notes



----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:32:27 2009
Subject: Notes



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 6:37 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: UNESCO update

This doesn't sound like there's anything for me to do but pls keep me informed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:21:56 2009
Subject: Fw: UNESCO update

----- Original Message -----
From: Brimmer, Esther D
To: Abedin, Huma; Macmanus, Joseph E; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Anderson, Gerald C; Killion, David T; Feltman, Jeffrey D
Sent: Sun Sep 20 18:20:50 2009
Subject: UNESCO update

Huma, Joe, Jake,

We are likely to have one candidate against Hosni in round 4 of the UNESCO director general race on Monday.

EU Commissioner Ferraro-Waldner has pulled out formally.

Our UNESCO Amb. Killion reports that candidates Baki (Ecuador) and Bukova (Bulgaria) met Sunday night Paris time. Baki has given Bukova an ultimatum. Either they form a unity ticket with Ivonne Baki on top or Baki leaves the race.

Tonight former Ferrero-Waldner supporters are considering how to vote. Mexican Dep Secretary for IO issues called me. They are wondering whether to support Baki in round 4 now that F-W is out.

I noted that we wanted the strongest of the ladies to stay in (Fyi, they both were on our list of the most qualified candidates.) Too early a pull out could also affect the race.

The Egyptian candidate could lose because of a consolidation of alternative candidates.

I am sorry to report that the Egyptians have used harsh tactics in the halls of UNESCO. A member of the U.S. delegation has seen a member of the Egyptian-hired "public relations" group walking around with an envelope of cash. They pushed to have two Amb recalled. Disgust and resentment is running high.

The Egyptians are pushing delegations very hard. FM Aboul-Gheit has stopped in Paris to deal with the UNESCO race while en route to NY for UNGA.

A/S Feltman writes that Egyptian Ambassador Shoukry has called him twice today with a "message from the Foreign Minister." FM Aboul Gheit is angry and reports President Mubarak is angry.

A/S Feltman notes " maybe we've become a convenient excuse." A/S Feltman very helpfully pushed back and helped defend our ambassador who had been criticized.

Our strategy relies on our Amb being low-key. He is following his instructions. We should be unhappy with the way he is being attacked personally. Candidates Bukova and Baki are moving ahead on their own lobbying. FYI, Hosni even said on French TV that the U.S. is neutral reflecting our especially low key approach this past week. Now that Hosni may lose, the U.S. looks like a convenient one to blame.

Events will continue to develop among the candidates and voting delegations. The Monday 9am Paris time Europeans' meeting could indicate Bukova's relative support and offer a chance to reveal any Baki-Bukova deal if there is one. Meanwhile Egyptian pressure is likely to continue at UNESCO on Monday.

Monday's vote is midday EDT.

Esther

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 4:21 PM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

Would he write a letter we could deliver to her setting all this out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 21 15:26:20 2009
Subject: Fw: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

I talked to Yunus. She is making any progess very difficult. The health project he discussed with yoiu can't get OKs from govt for Nursing school, univ health center, corporate partnerships, etc. If she hears it's Grameen, she stands in way of licenses, etc, even tho it benefits the country.
He was hoping there might be some way to assure her that he has NO intention of getting into politics in any way and that she can use him effectively to make the country a model in ways that Grameen can do that. There is some deep personal antagonism that he can't fathom. Very sad development.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S
To: 'H' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Sep 20 20:04:38 2009
Subject: RE: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

I'm seeing Yunus tom'w. We're both speaking to the Hilton Foundation. He wants to meet with me there. I will learn more.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 7:30 PM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: Re: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

What can we do about this? And what is the other side of the saga so we are better informed?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 18 00:30:12 2009
Subject: FW: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

The ongoing saga involving Yunus and Hasina.

From: [redacted] On Behalf Of Dr. Muhammad Yunus

B6

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:54 AM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: Fwd: Daily Star Article about Prime Minister Hasina's Speech

Dear Melanne:

I am drawing your attenrtion to the following report in the leading daily newspaper in Bangladesh. All other papers carried the same news. This is a report on the Prime Minister Hasina's speech on the floor of the parliament. High-lighted section of the report refers to me without naming name but giving her usual description of me. See if you can find a way to clear her mind of all the terrifying thoughts she has about me. I invite you to be a peace-maker! Otherwise it will become explosive for nothing. PM Hasina is coming to NY for General Assembly meeting, and will have a meeting with Sec H during her visit.

See you soon.

Yunus

Prime Mnister Hasina Warns

The Daily Star, Dhaka, September 17, 2009

Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina yesterday alerted the countrymen to the ongoing conspiracy of 'special quarter' and 'undemocratic forces' who are still plotting to wipe out democracy and grab the seat in power after being failed to capture it.
The prime minister, however, urged the Leader of the Opposition and BNP Chairperson Khaleda Zia and her allies to return to parliament for raising their voices.
Recalling her experience as the opposition leader, Hasina said, "We used to walk out from parliament sessions, boycott it but we used to return to

the House again."

Meanwhile, the parliament session was adjourned till 4:00pm on October 4.
Sheikh Hasina, also ruling Awami League (AL) president, urged people to keep vigil against recurrence of incidents like BDR mutiny to reverse the democratic strides. She also urged the people not to be misguided by any 'false campaign.'

"While running the government and doing development works, we find continued obstacles in every step from the 'special quarter', benefited by undemocratic government, who earlier had captured power through undemocratic means, So, I urge the countrymen to be cautious so that the democratic trend continues," she told the parliament.
The prime minister was speaking at a discussion titled 'democracy and political tolerance' on the occasion of the International Day of Democracy.

Following an hour long discussion, the House passed a resolution by which Bangladesh will also observe the International Day of Democracy through holding a discussion in the parliament every year.
Inter-Parliamentary Union decided to observe September 15 of every year as International Day of Democracy in the context of a resolution adopted by the United Nations in 2007.

The International Day of Democracy was first observed in 2008 but Bangladesh could not observe the day as unelected caretaker government ruled the country during that time.
Referring to the sugar price, Sheikh Hasina told the House that a big conspiracy is going on regarding sugar price. Price of sugar is being raised though stock of sugar is available. "We are investigating the matter and action will be taken against those who are responsible for it,"
said the Leader of the House.

On plotting of the conspiracy, Sheikh Hasina said people who wanted to sit in power without election are still hatching conspiracy against her government.

Pointing out the two years of the past caretaker government, Hasina said, "Various formulas were floated during that time by those who would lose security money if contest any election."

She also disclosed in parliament that many proposals were given to her (Hasina) during that time including offering her the status of a prime minister without participating in elections, which she rejected.

Without mentioning any name, the prime minister said, those who wanted to capture power by creating new political parties are still continuing their activities to capture power.

> "Those persons who had failed to float a new political party, exploit people, get huge interest from poor people and remain in disguise of good men wearing good dresses are still busy hatching a conspiracy against the government," said Hasina, who was sent to a makeshift jail during the anti-graft drive of the past caretaker government.

She, however, hailed the caretaker regime for holding a free and fair election, which she claimed as the best election since 1973.

The AL Chief Hasina told lawmakers that the country has been pushed back economically, socially and culturally by applying the undemocratic and military rule while only a special quarter became rich but the majority people remained ignored.

The premier vowed that her government is committed to materialise all its election pledges to fulfil the desire of the people.
Hasina said her party believes in the definition of democracy given by Abraham Lincoln who said Democracy means--by the People, of the People, for the People.

"But this democracy has been absent in the country since 1975 when the military ruler grabbed power throwing an elected government," she said.
AL leaders Sheikh Fazlul Karim Selim, Suranjit Sengupta, Abdul Latif Siddiqui, Advocate Fazle Rabbi Mia, Abdul Matin Khasru, Bangladesh Workers' Party President Rashed Khan Menon, among others, took part in the discussion.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 12:09 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid
Attachments: image001.jpg

Pls print for me and review for CGI speech.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 23 22:25:53 2009
Subject: Fw: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Sep 23 19:45:51 2009
Subject: A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

A Bold New Strategy for Smart Aid

Matthew Bishop is the New York bureau chief for The Economist magazine and, with Michael Green, he is author of the 2008 book, Philanthrocapitalism: How the Rich Can Save the World <http://www.philanthrocapitalism.net/> .

The Clinton Global Initiative's fifth birthday celebration this week <http://www.clintonglobalinitiative.org/ourmeetings/meeting_annual.asp?Section=OurMeetings&PageTitle=CGI%20Annual%20Meeting> may be a less glitzy affair than in previous years but it marks an amazing comeback. Last year the Obama administration actually thought about having it shut down as a price of Mrs. Clinton becoming Secretary of State <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/11/16/AR2008111602039.html> , fearful that Bill's schmoozing of wealthy philanthropists could compromise his wife's role as America's top diplomat. Now, Obama is going to be topping the bill, outshining the A-list celebrities, heads of state and corporate titans who pack the hall for the annual "Philanthropy Oscars."

Yet the most important speech at the event may not be delivered by either the current or the former president but by Mrs. Clinton herself. She has said the State Department is going to forge new partnerships with philanthropists to achieve America's foreign policy goals (and has already started work on a new initiative around the Middle East peace process). Her speech is an opportunity to announce a radical new departure in the way America gives aid.

The aid business in crisis. The past year may have been tough for the world's richest countries, but it has been far harder for the poorest. Markets for exports have slumped as rich consumers have reined in their spending. Government

budgets are creaking under the strain of the global recession and foreign aid is threatening to dry up. At the same time, the intellectual attack on aid has escalated, led by the author of "Dead Aid" <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/04/02/AR2009040203285.html> , the African economist, Dambisa Moyo.

The CGI has become the showcase for those who believe Moyo is wrong and that aid can be effective. The CGI is not about the old government-to-government aid model. Its members aim to deliver smart aid, based on partnerships between business, philanthropists, and social entrepreneurs. Mrs. Clinton's speech is the perfect opportunity to show that government is ready to work with the CGI crowd to rethink how it does aid.

One promising, for-profit solution to poverty is to take the model of microfinance -- one of the more resilient corners of banking during the financial crisis -- and extend it to improve education, health care, clean water and sanitation. Loan-based investments can be more sustainable than traditional aid or charity, because they tap the far larger sums available in the capital markets and are not dependent on the whims of political leaders or budgetary wrangling. Some in government may be reluctant to give up their near monopoly on aid but it is long overdue.

A second opportunity is to get the public more involved in the aid business. New giving organizations such as Kiva <http://www.kiva.org/about/how> have demonstrated how the public can play an effective role in allocating money to needy people <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/07/06/AR2009070603594.html> . Government should be doing all it can to encourage his type of mass philanthrocapitalism that levers the generosity of the American people and taps into the wisdom of crowds. It is time for government aid to be made more democratic by letting Kiva and others like it distribute some of the tax dollars.

Finally, Mrs. Clinton should seize the opportunity to turn the aid business on its head and pass control from the bureaucrats to the poor people themselves. Technology such as the internet and the mobile phone are now widespread in the developing world, so why not use these tools to give a greater voice in aid spending to the people the money is intended to help? Much aid has gone to waste because of a lack of feedback from the intended beneficiaries. Imagine how the best technology entrepreneurs could transform the aid business, in the same way that they have changed the media, by giving the poor a say in how the aid billions are spent.

The Obama Administration has made all the right noises about making government smarter by working more with the philanthrocapitalists. At home, the creation of a White House Office of Social Innovation <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/President-Obama-to-Request-50-Million-to-Identify-and-Expand-Effective-Innovative-Non-Profits/> was a welcome first move, yet it is still to be seen whether this will amount to much more than a new source of grant funding for the nonprofit sector. The big win is in remaking government through innovative partnerships with the private sector. Mrs Clinton has been mulling over what to do with USAID for nearly a year. What better opportunity than the CGI to announce a bold new strategy for smart aid?

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 10:31 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject:

Reminder that tmw (thursday) is [redacted] birthday. B6
###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 6:45 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Reminder that today is [redacted]'s birthday B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 11:36 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Moving everything now. You had no press anyway so all is easily done.
You won't be canceling anything, just adding things to tues, wed and thurs.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun May 03 11:23:19 2009
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

I've been working on it.
We can clear tomorrow.
We will insert schedule into the rest of the week and send you a revised line block.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun May 03 11:03:50 2009
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

If we can clear schedule tomorrow that's best but I don't want to offend the Armenians or Azeris. Can you send me what Tues-Fri would look like if we did that? Also we have the Trilateral plus Lavrov B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 03 09:58:49 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Here is what we can do if you take the 1pm shuttle. Do u want to still do press or move to tuesday? I also think we can clear your schedule tomorrow and move things into the rest of week so u can stay in ny.

Afternoon Meeting with the FM Armenia

3:00pm Meeting with Joe Klein
3:30pm

3:45pm Meeting with David Ignatius
4:15pm

4:15pm Brief Meeting with Jim Smith,
4:30pm (saudi amb choice)

4:30pmBrief Meeting with Steve Radelet, MCC choice 4:45pm

5:00pm Meeting with Shimon Peres
(He's now saying he can't go to state. So we may have to move to tuesday)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 1:08 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Call

He's in cairo. Saying after 2:30 is ideal. Secure is better. You can call from the grey phone.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 04 13:01:47 2009
Subject: Re: Call

Is he back in the country? Does it need to be secure?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 12:17:23 2009
Subject: Call

Dennis ross wants to talk to you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 10:05 PM
To: H2

Richard holbrooke called at 10pm tonite.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 9:59 AM
To: H
Subject: Tomorrow

Here is what we can do if you take the 1pm shuttle. Do u want to still do press or move to tuesday? I also think we can clear your schedule tomorrow and move things into the rest of week so u can stay in ny.

Afternoon Meeting with the FM Armenia

3:00pm Meeting with Joe Klein
3:30pm

3:45pm Meeting with David Ignatius
4:15pm.

4:15pm Brief Meeting with Jim Smith,
4:30pm (saudi amb choice)

4:30pmBrief Meeting with Steve Radelet, MCC choice 4:45pm

5:00pm Meeting with Shimon Peres
(He's now saying he can't go to state. So we may have to move to tuesday)

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 8:12 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Birthdays Today, 5/5/09

Yes, we have donuts this morning. We will have cake and ice cream for later. I'll find a time at the end of the day. You are wide open at the end of the day, so it will be easy.
Also, as FYI, we will do another little "get-together" on Friday to send-off Jen to Brussels. So I figure we can do the cake and ice cream today, and then do wine and snacks on Friday.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 6:53 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Birthdays Today, 5/5/09

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue May 05 06:25:19 2009
Subject: Birthdays Today, 5/5/09

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 6:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Calls

Calls with turks will not take place this morning.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 3:08 PM
To: H; HAbedin[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

I will triple check now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'HAbedin[redacted] <[redacted]>; Valmoro, Lona J B6
Sent: Sun May 03 15:06:47 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Are you sure there is no PC Monday on Pakistan?

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 10:02 AM
To: H
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: Re: Fw: Some intel for you...

The below report is not consistent with what I know, which is as follows:

[redacted] B5

On Mon, May 4, 2009 at 9:39 AM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Do you know anything about this--from any angle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 09:36:17 2009
Subject: Some intel for you...

This is about Sri Lankan Govt and the Tigers...

I have a good source.

This was shared to me at my and Karen's Derby Party yesterday (can you believe the 50 to 1 odds winner?).

There was a meeting held with Geitner asked for and led by IMF... They told him you were intruding into his domain by ordering/telling IMF to suspend funding to Sri Lakan Govt.

My take is that the people on the ground both with World Bank and IMF believe the Tigers need to be completely defeated and any collateral damage inflicted on private people by SL govt in process is ok... They also believe Tigers are better at propaganda than SL govt...

I have no idea what reality is... I know all about the conflict because there's been so much written over time but no idea of reality on ground.

My point is that IMF/World Bank is hoping to get Geitner to intervene and they recently played to his sense of who is US point person on IMF... So, that's what I know. I'll keep my ears open.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 12:17 PM
To: H
Subject: Call

Dennis ross wants to talk to you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 2:40 PM
To: H

Revised karzai secpres coming thru on secure fax now

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 3:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Kazakhstan bilat

Holbrooke would like to attend the Kazakhstan bilat. Bill Burns will attend, as will the ambassador and Richard Boucher. I recall that you thought it didn't make sense for Holbrooke to join the Menon bilat (which Burns also attended) so I wanted to check with you to see if you had views on this.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 9:23 AM
To: H2
Subject: Today

This is what you will be receiving today:
- 11am: One intel piece that will come over the classified fax and will include one update for karzai call.
- 12pm: phone call from ops connecting you to karzai. Your call sheet was in louis last night.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 12:42 PM
To: H

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 6:40 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Public/Private Partnership for Italy

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 3:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Public/Private Partnership for Italy

EUR tells me that they have engaged fully with Ambassador Bagley and Kris Balderston on this project, and that Ambassador Bagley has spoken with the Italian Ambassador and reviewed the proposed approach. She said he thought that it sounded like a good plan and would be warmly received. They are ready to convene a meeting with the NIAF President as soon as the Secretary approves the action memo.

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 11:23 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

I've been working on it.
We can clear tomorrow.
[redacted]
We will insert schedule into the rest of the week and send you a revised line block.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun May 03 11:03:50 2009
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

If we can clear schedule tomorrow that's best but I don't want to offend the Armenians or Azeris. Can you send me what Tues-Fri would look like if we did that? Also we have the Trilateral plus Lavrov [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 03 09:58:49 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Here is what we can do if you take the 1pm shuttle. Do u want to still do press or move to tuesday? I also think we can clear your schedule tomorrow and move things into the rest of week so u can stay in ny.

Afternoon Meeting with the FM Armenia

3:00pm Meeting with Joe Klein
3:30pm

3:45pm Meeting with David Ignatius
4:15pm

4:15pm Brief Meeting with Jim Smith,
4:30pm (saudi amb choice)

4:30pmBrief Meeting with Steve Radelet, MCC choice 4:45pm

5:00pm Meeting with Shimon Peres
(He's now saying he can't go to state. So we may have to move to tuesday)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:08 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Followup

Got it

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 9:05 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Followup

Pls find a copy of the movie "Pray the Devil Back to Hell" about the war in Liberia.

Add Gloria Steinem to my call list.

Pls find a copy of the new Mary Pipher book, "Seeking Peace: Chronicles of the Worst Buddhist in the World."

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 4:19 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Thank you so very much!!!!

Fyi

From: Begala, Paul [redacted]
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Apr 30 12:46:55 2009
Subject: Re: Thank you so very much!!!!

I gave Sec. Clinton an A+ in our dopey CNN report card last night. So did Donna Brazile. The only two A+'s all night.

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Begala, Paul
Sent: Thu Apr 30 16:18:28 2009
Subject: RE: Thank you so very much!!!!
Xo

From: Begala, Paul [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 2:09 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: Thank you so very much!!!!

Thanks, Cheryl. Hope all is well and I'm so glad you are serving our country once again! PJ can give me what I need.

Paulie

From: Mills, Cheryl D [MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 11:49 AM
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall; Begala, Paul; Abedin, Huma; Philippe Reines1; Adler, Caroline E (PACE)
Cc: Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Thank you so very much!!!!

Thanks Paulie – also looping in PJ Crowley

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 9:30 AM
To: pbegala[redacted]Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Philippe Reines1; Adler, Caroline E (PACE)
Subject: Re: Thank you so very much!!!!

Adding a whole bunch of folks who I know can assist you with the information you need.

-----Original Message-----
From: Begala, Paul [redacted]
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall
Sent: Tue Apr 28 09:18:25 2009
Subject: RE: Thank you so very much!!!!

Capricia,

Who at State should I talk to about getting a briefing on what HRC has accomplished in the first hundred days? CNN is doing special coverage all night tomorrow night, and we'll be rating HRC as well as the President. Any ideas who I should contact and how to reach her/him?

Thanks.

Paul

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2009 5:59 PM
To: Begala, Paul; [redacted]
Subject: Thank you so very much!!!!

We raised 500K from the email contest!! You all are amazing - the world adores you! You put a serious hole in hrc debt!
A million thanks!
capricia

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 4:11 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Kazakhstan bilat

Got it.

On Mon, May 4, 2009 at 4:08 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
Ok w me. India raised issues that this doesn't.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Mon May 04 15:19:05 2009
Subject: Kazakhstan bilat

Holbrooke would like to attend the Kazakhstan bilat. Bill Burns will attend, as will the ambassador and Richard Boucher. I recall that you thought it didn't make sense for Holbrooke to join the Menon bilat (which Burns also attended) so I wanted to check with you to see if you had views on this.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 6:09 AM
To: H

Carolyn maloney called for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 7:06 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Calls

Times just didn't work. We are looking for different times today.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu May 07 06:09:32 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Do you know why?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 07 06:08:17 2009
Subject: Calls

Calls with turks will not take place this morning.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: newmyer [redacted] on behalf of Jackie Newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 1, 2009 7:06 PM
To: H
Subject: update
Attachments: Newmyer USCC testimony 30 April 2009.doc

Dear Secretary Clinton,

I am seeing Michelle on Tuesday at 3 pm. Thank you very much for making this happen, and I will let you know how the meeting goes.
Congratulations on all the glowing first 100 days reviews. Hope your testimony yesterday went well. Mine is attached, fyi.

All best, and thank you again, Jackie

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138
[redacted] B6
617-661-1626 (fax)
www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 11:57 AM
To: H; Oscar Flores
Subject: Fw: Steinberg

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hr15@att.blackberry.net' <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sat May 02 11:37:55 2009
Subject: Steinberg

B5

RELEASE
IN PART B6

B6

From: Huma Abedin <Huma
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 8:34 AM
To: H; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'habedin
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Can be easily done. We will work on it and send you revised schedule.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>; 'HAbedin
Sent: Sun May 03 08:19:14 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

How late could I come tomorrow if you were able to move things? would like to take the 11 or 12 shuttle or even later if possible.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 9:15 AM
To: H
Subject: Fyi

Iran: US journalist's case will get fair review

TEHRAN, Iran – Iran's foreign minister assured his visiting Japanese counterpart that the case of an American journalist imprisoned in Tehran for allegedly spying for the United States will get a fair review on appeal. Foreign Minister Manouchehr Mottaki said 32-year-old Roxana Saberi's appeal will be "reviewed justly and humanely." He spoke at a joint news conference Saturday with Japan's Hirofumi Nakasone, who expressed concern over the case during his visit to Iran. Saberi is a dual Iranian-American citizen who was born in Fargo, North Dakota. Her father is Iranian and her mother is Japanese. She was arrested in January in Tehran and sentenced last month to eight years in prison after a one-day trial behind closed doors. Her case has raised an international outcry

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:41 AM
To: H; HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: RE: Schedule

One more item -- I am sure you noticed on the line block the possible meeting with Peres around 9:00am. If we were to do this meeting, we would have to go to his hotel in Woodley Park -- we asked if he would come to State and they pushed back. Do you feel strongly about doing this meeting or should I go ahead and let them know we need to take a pass this time?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 9:05 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; 'HAbedin [redacted]
Subject: Schedule

A few items:

Are you able to move everything from today to later in the week? What about the personnel meetings?

Am I seeing the Angolan FM when he visits May 19-22?

Was I invited by Powell and Albright to attend the Initiative for Global Development event this Wed-Thurs at the Willard Hotel?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 2:38 PM
To: H

Dennis ross just spoke with cdm and says he doesn't need to speak to u anymore.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 8:17 AM
To: H2
Cc: Oscar Flores
Subject: Holbrooke

He called again this morning.
Asking to talk to you before 9am.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 5:35 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Do you want to see her this week?

From: Wendy Sherman <wsherman [redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills1 <cheryl.mills [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue May 05 17:53:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Cheryl,
Trying to decide when to take a quick trip to Munich. Any sense of when a meeting might occur? Thanks very much.
Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman
Principal
The Albright Group LLC
Albright Capital Management LLC
1101 New York Avenue NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 8:27 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Schedule

We will know by this sat - it went well.

We should discuss.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2009 6:37 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Schedule

How did your dinner w Paul go? When will we know?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 06:19:57 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed May 06 05:58:44 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's wait until next week.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 05:35:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Do you want to see her this week?

From: Wendy Sherman <wsherman[redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills1 <cheryl.mills[redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue May 05 17:53:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Cheryl,

Trying to decide when to take a quick trip to Munich. Any sense of when a meeting might occur? Thanks very much.

Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman

Principal

The Albright Group LLC

Albright Capital Management LLC

1101 New York Avenue NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 1, 2009 7:50 AM
To: H2
Subject: Call

Richard holbrooke called again for u this am.

RELEASE
IN PART B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 11:38 AM
To: H2
Subject: Steinberg

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 2:40 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Support for Italian Restoration of Cultural Monuments

FYI

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 2:31 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] Balderston, Kris M
Cc: Abedin, Huma; 'Jake Sullivan'
Subject: RE: Support for Italian Restoration of Cultural Monuments

B6

Berlusconi had asked that each donor country "adopt" a damaged cultural heritage monument, but EUR seems to think that the Italians would welcome the U.S. initiative which might include help in repairing physical damage to the University itself but would concentrate primarily on development of human capital. One of Ambassador Bagley's suggestions was that we work with the Italian Ambassador here, who is well connected to the Prime Minister, to ensure a positive Italian response.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 2:26 PM
To: Smith, Daniel B; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] Balderston, Kris M
Cc: Abedin, Huma; 'Jake Sullivan'
Subject: RE: Support for Italian Restoration of Cultural Monuments

Is this what the Italians want though?

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] Balderston, Kris M
Cc: Abedin, Huma; 'Jake Sullivan'
Subject: RE: Support for Italian Restoration of Cultural Monuments

Cheryl,

Ambassador Bagley and Kris joined representatives from EUR and ECA today to review where things stand with respect to launching a public/private partnership in response to PM Berlusconi's appeal to the President. EUR and ECA will be sending up today a follow-up action memo to the Secretary asking that she approve in principle a partnership in conjunction with the National Italian American Foundation (NIAF) that would focus largely on raising money for academic exchanges for students from L'Aquila University, the school of higher education most affected by the earthquake. This is not exactly the monument rebuilding that PM Berlusconi initially proposed, but it is something very much in keeping with our mission and of interest to NIAF. Ambassador Bagley and Kris had a number of suggestions that will help EUR and ECA as we move forward especially in selecting the right people to help with fund raising and making sure the Italian Government welcomes this initiative. Dan

---

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 9:13 AM
To: 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] Balderston, Kris M
Cc: Smith, Daniel B; Abedin, Huma; 'Jake Sullivan'
Subject: Support for Italian Restoration of Cultural Monuments

Elizabeth/Kris:

Dan Smith, the Secretary's Executive Secretary, will be connecting you with folks in EUR and ECA with respect to a commitment from the Secretary to assist Italy in the restoration post-earthquake of certain cultural monuments.

Best.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2009 6:20 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Schedule

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed May 06 05:58:44 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's wait until next week.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 06 05:35:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Schedule

Do you want to see her this week?

From: Wendy Sherman <wsherman[redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills1 <cheryl.mills[redacted] Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue May 05 17:53:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Cheryl,

Trying to decide when to take a quick trip to Munich. Any sense of when a meeting might occur? Thanks very much.

Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman

Principal


The Albright Group LLC

Albright Capital Management LLC

1101 New York Avenue NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 7:30 AM
To: H2
Subject: Fyi before karzai call

This news just broke. Karzai call is at noon.

Challenger withdraws from race to unseat Afghan president

KABUL (Reuters) – One of the top candidates expected to bid to unseat Afghan President Karzai withdrew abruptly from the election race, dealing a blow to opposition hopes of fielding a contender with a broad enough base to win.

Gul Agha Sherzai, popular governor of Nangarhar Province and a former anti-Soviet guerrilla commander, had been in talks to head up a broad opposition ticket with two vice presidential candidates that would span ethnic lines.

But he told a news conference that he had met Karzai and had been persuaded not to run.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 11:57 AM
To: H; Oscar Flores
Subject: Fw: Today

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hr15@att.blackberry.net' <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sat May 02 09:22:45 2009
Subject: Today

This is what you will be receiving today:
- 11am: One intel piece that will come over the classified fax and will include one update for karzai call.
- 12pm: phone call from ops connecting you to karzai. Your call sheet was in louis last night.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 8:09 PM
To: H2
Subject: Today

Great job @ hearing----like the 3 D's. There is tepid support for the.afghan policy bcause of issues I raised. -- boxer lowey and me want to do more for women- but. Developement is. Thee issue in any part of world----AID needs rebuilding and recapitalizing-- any thing you want me to be doing with. Leahy?? Where are we on a. Director???? #. #. Health. Care. HELP. Comm is moving @ beakneck speed to have bill.by. June one. Miss having you. But wow. 74'k miles. 22 counties. That's quite a listening tour

---

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 3, 2009 3:07 PM
To: H; 'habedin [redacted]; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' B6
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Tuesday

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'HAbedin [redacted] < [redacted]; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov> B6
Sent: Sun May 03 15:06:47 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

Are you sure there is no PC Monday on Pakistan?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 8:15 PM
To: 'Cheryl Mills'
Subject: FW: [InsidersOut] my latest: Iraqi Gays Face Gruesome Torture/ Murder Technique

FYI

From: Richard Socarides[redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 5:11 PM
To: Cheryl Mills1; Cheryl Mills; Melanne Verveer; Jim Kennedy1
Subject: Fwd: [InsidersOut] my latest: Iraqi Gays Face Gruesome Torture/ Murder Technique

You guys will have to deal with this at some point if not already.

Iraqi Gays Face Gruesome Torture/ Murder Technique

By: DOUG IRELAND

GAY CITY NEWS, 04/30/2009
http://gaycitynews.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=20307475&BRD=2729&PAG=461&dept_id=569346&rfi=6

As the murder campaign targeting Iraqi gays intensifies, a leading Arabic television network last week revealed the use of a horrifying new form of lethal torture against Iraqi gay men - anti-gay Shiite death squads are sealing their anuses with a powerful glue, then inducing diarrhea, which leads to a painful and agonizing death. The use of this stomach-turning new torture was first reported by the Al Arabiya network, which is headquartered in the United Arab Emirates and was alerted to the story by a leading Iraqi feminist and human rights activist.

Yanar Mohammed, president of the Organization of Women's Freedom in Iraq (OWFI), told Al Arabiya that the torture substance "is an Iranian-manufactured glue that, if applied to the skin, sticks to it and can only be removed by surgery. After they glue the anuses of homosexuals, they give them a drink that causes diarrhea. Since the anus is closed, the diarrhea causes death. Videos of this form of torture are being distributed on mobile telephones in Iraq."

Al Arabiya said its reporter confirmed the use of this anal torture by "visiting the Baghdad morgue in Bab-al-Moazaam in central Baghdad, where Neman Mohsen, the medical examiner, confirmed they have the bodies of seven homosexuals in the morgue. He said, 'We were not able to identify the culprits, who dumped the bodies in front of the morgue and fled without being seen.'" A two-person team from Human Rights Watch (HRW) currently in Iraq to investigate persecution of LGBT people has also confirmed the use of this form of torture. In a widely-circulated email from Iraq, the head of HRW's LGBT desk, Scott Long, said he and his colleague had gathered evidence which confirms the Al Arabiya report and that HRW would make its own detailed report after the organization's two staffers return to the United States next week.

OWFI's Mohammed, the woman responsible for gathering information about the use of this sadistic anal torture and passing it on to Al Arabiya, told Gay City News that "the story was so horrific that when I first heard it from gay friends I didn't believe it. But then I investigated and found it was really true that the anuses of gay men were being glued shut." Speaking by telephone from Toronto, where she was on a brief visit to relatives before a scheduled return to Iraq next week, Mohammed told this reporter that, "Fortunately, Al Arabiya has a very good human rights reporter, to whom I told what I had found, and he was able to confirm it by visiting the morgue."

She said that "many older women in my organization were quite opposed to taking up the question of the persecution of homosexuals and didn't understand why it was important. But I firmly believe that misogyny and homophobia are two sides of the same coin, and that we had a duty to speak out against the persecution of gays in Iraq, which is so little

known that I was surprised by the extent of it when I began to look into it."

Mohammed, 49, is well known for her courageous human rights work. She co-founded OWFI in Baghdad in June 2003 in the wake of the U.S. invasion and occupation, and the organization has led campaigns against so-called honor killings, the abduction of women, and trafficking in women and children. She also co-founded Iraq's first feminist newspaper, Al Mousawat (Equality), which has been published quarterly for the last three years. Trained as an architect, Mohammed told Gay City News she has abandoned that profession to work full time for OWFI. She has received numerous awards for her work for women's rights and human rights, including the Eleanor Roosevelt Global Rights Award given by the US Feminist Majority Foundation.

Ali Hili, the 33-year-old gay Iraqi exile who coordinates the association Iraqi LGBT, which is headquartered in London but has members and informants throughout Iraq, told Gay City News that he has also been able to confirm the use of lethal anal torture. "We have had reports, increasingly over the last four or five days, about the use of this technique not just in Baghdad but in smaller town and cities all over Iraq," Hili said by telephone. "We have reports on seven young men who have been through this horrible experience in which they were arrested in the south of Iraq and had their anuses sealed, or 'locked' as the torturers say. Our sources told us that hospitals all over Iraq's southern region have received so many cases of similar incidents where men have had their anuses glued, but that what makes the situation even worse and more lethal is that they have been refused treatment in hospitals when they sought it because of homophobia."

As of this week, Hili and Iraqi LGBT have documented 617 cases of assassinations of LGBT people since a death-to-all-gays fatwa was issued by Grand Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani, the spiritual leader of all Shiite muslims in Iraq, in 2005. The murder campaign of "sexual cleansing" of homosexuals has intensified in recent months, with 70 new killings since December alone (see this reporter's April 16-29 article, "Iraqi Gay Murders Surge; World Finally Takes Note").

Now, Hili says, "I have just received word of seven new murders of gays in one week, last week." He provided Gay City News with the names and towns of origin of the most recent victims of the anti-gay-death squads. They are Abbas Mosa, 33, from Al Hay; Saeed Majeed, 27, from Al Samawa; Jabar Khothayer, 19, from Al Dewaniya; Majed Alawi, 41, from Al Hindiya; Hazim Hussein, age unknown, from Al Najaf; Mohammed Qasim, 25, from Al Dewaniya; and Rama Sabri, 19, from Al Mohanawiya.

At the same time, the Iraqi news website nigash.org reported last week that "Al-Baghdadiya, a satellite television channel based in Cairo... broadcast a report on April 7 saying that 20 young men accused of homosexuality were taken to Ibn al-Nafis hospital in Baghdad with mutilated genitals."

Hili told Gay City News that much of the most recent wave of assassinations appears to be the work of the Mahdi Army, the militia led by fundamentalist Shiite cleric Muqtada al-Sadr. He said that one of Iraqi LGBT's informants "is connected to high-ranking religious within the Mahdi Army, and he has been told of a campaign to target anyone who is suspected of being gay." Increasingly, Hili said, leaflets and "Wanted" posters are appearing with lists of men targeted to be killed for what are called "crimes against morality," meaning homosexuality. A list of Iraqi LGBT members to be eliminated is also circulating, Hili said, and he's been told his own name is at the top of this list.

Hili also said that pharmacists have been reporting to the police about male customers who were regularly buying female hormones and cosmetic creams. In one such recent instance, two of these supposed transgendered men were arrested and taken to an unknown location, and have not been heard from since.

Reminder: This list is an invitation only lists and all posts are OFF THE RECORD.
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "InsidersOut" group.
To post to this group, send email to InsidersOut@googlegroups.com
To unsubscribe from this group, send email to InsidersOut-unsubscribe@googlegroups.com
For more options, visit this group at http://groups.google.com/group/InsidersOut?hl=en

---

--
Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP

501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
m: 917.400.6178 w: 212.949.5800

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 2:33 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: High Praise Indeed

Enjoy – see message below PJ's

From: PJ Crowley [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 2:28 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I (PACE)
Subject: High Praise Indeed

Richard Sisk of the NY Daily News reports that even Elliott Abrams is having difficulty criticizing the first 100 days.

PJ

From: Sisk, Richard [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 12:02 PM
To: PJ Crowley
Subject: white house correspondents dinner

P.J.

I am stuck with one of those confounded calendar stories -- Hillary's 100 days. ***Just got off the phone with Elliott Abrams, thinking I'd give the dark side their due, but even he was at a loss. "I can't think of anything very critical, but give me time," said he.***

Who knew he had a sense of humor?

Cheers

Rich

RELEASE IN FULL

National Hispanic Leadership Agenda

NATIONAL LATINO LEADERS REPORT ON OBAMA ADMINISTRATION'S FIRST 100 DAYS

April 29, 2009

BACKGROUND

Every President of the United States since Lyndon B. Johnson has made some effort to include Hispanics in his Administration. Hispanics have held top level positions in the administrations of Lyndon B. Johnson, Richard M. Nixon, Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan, George H. W. Bush, Bill Clinton, and George W. Bush.

Ronald Reagan was the first President to appoint Hispanics to cabinet-level positions. He appointed two and every President since Reagan has had at least one Hispanic serving in a cabinet-level position in their administration whether they served two, four, or eight years as President. Bill Clinton was the first to have three Hispanics in cabinet-level positions at the same time when he exercised his prerogative and elevated the office of the Administrator of SBA to cabinet level. George W. Bush chose not to continue the designation but still had two Hispanics in his cabinet throughout his two terms.

All Presidents want to fill positions in their administration with the best qualified persons, and that is the way it should be. No one should expect to be appointed to any position by the President because of their race, gender or national origin, even though as presidential candidates they promise to do so. More importantly, given massive demographic change that the country is experiencing, it is no longer possible to craft or implement effective policies and programs without the experience and expertise provided by a diverse, truly representative government.

Still, Presidents try to accomplish something in the course of appointing the best qualified persons to their administration. Bill Clinton wanted a government that looked like America and in the process named two Hispanics to head two major departments in his cabinet, elevated a third to cabinet level, brought an unprecedented number of Hispanics into his administration, and signed Executive Order 13171, which called for government-wide strategies to address the persistent under-representation of Latinos within the federal

workforce. He was also very much aware of the fact that Hispanics helped him carry 14 of 16 major electoral vote states in which over 90% of the Hispanic vote was concentrated.

George W. Bush brought a natural sensitivity and affinity to his administration, having served as Governor of Texas, a state with the second largest Hispanic population in the nation. He too appointed two Hispanics to his cabinet, chose to extend Executive Order 13171, and, like Clinton, brought a reasonably high number of Hispanics into his administration. Similarly, George Bush was very much aware of the fact that Hispanics helped him carry six major electoral vote states in which 90% of the Hispanic vote was concentrated.

Barack Obama assumed the Presidency promising change, which lends itself more to qualitative measurement than quantitative. Still, during his first 100 days he nominated three Hispanics to major cabinet-level positions. One nominee withdrew and the other two were subsequently confirmed by the Senate. It remains to be seen how many Hispanics are ultimately named to high positions in his administration, and whether he will choose to extend Executive Order 13171. And, like his last two predecessors, he too is very much aware of the fact that Hispanics helped him carry 14 of 16 major electoral vote states in which 90% of the Hispanic vote is concentrated, in addition to North Carolina, Virginia, and Indiana.

On comparing the Clinton, Bush, and Obama Administrations

In order to make a fair comparison as to how Hispanics have fared during the first 100 days of the Clinton, Bush, and Obama administrations, one should first recognize that the Hispanic community has changed considerably since 1992. In 1992 the estimated Hispanic population was 22.4 million, 5.1 million were registered to vote, approximately 4.2 million voted, and 61% voted for Clinton. In 2000 the estimated Hispanic population was 33.3 million, 7.5 million were registered to vote, approximately 5.9 million voted, and 35% voted for Bush. In 2008 the estimated Hispanic population was 44 million, 14 million were registered to vote, approximately 10 million voted, and 67% voted for Obama. So not only has the Hispanic population grown exponentially since 1992, the Hispanic electorate has grown dramatically, and in 2008 helped carry traditional states and more importantly proved decisive in carrying non-traditional states.

So from Clinton to Obama the Hispanic population doubled, voter registration nearly tripled, and voter turnout more than doubled. Obama received more Hispanic votes than the combined total number of votes cast in 1992 and 2000. He received 4.1 million more Hispanics votes than Clinton in 1992 and 4.6 million more Hispanic votes than Bush in 2000. The November 2008 election created a new political reality – a new political reality that has emboldened the Latino community and Latino leaders to demand the change President Obama promised and to expect more than we got from both Clinton and Bush. Clinton and Bush did not give Hispanics something to strive for. They gave us something to surpass. Anything less is not change, but more of the same or worse.

President Obama's first 100 days

President Obama is to be commended on the appointments of Hispanics he has made to date and for the access to the process that NHLA has had, but NHLA is very disappointed in the overall results. For the record, the Transition Team and NHLA leaders worked very closely from the beginning to find and encourage well qualified Hispanics to apply. Once the President was sworn into office NHLA continued working with the White House Office of Presidential Personnel to ensure that more Hispanics would be nominated and appointed and that more prospective candidates would continue to apply. NHLA commends the President for the access we have had and the transparency with which the process has been conducted, but the results speak for themselves. These efforts fell well short of the target. Latinos remain one of the most under-represented groups in government and the most under-represented at the Senior Executive Service level.

We are also mindful of the fact that senior White House appointees and the Office of Presidential Personnel have been similarly accessible to other Hispanic groups on matters pertaining to personnel as well as policies, and the President is to be commended for that as well. But regardless of the group with which the Administration has worked, the results have fallen below target and Latinos remain seriously under-represented.

As of April 27, has announced 58 Hispanics who have been nominated or appointed to positions in the Obama administration, including 19 that require Senate confirmation.

The Cabinet. NHLA commends the President for nominating two highly qualified Hispanics to major cabinet level positions – Hilda Solis at Labor and Ken Salazar at Interior. Both departments are extremely important, given the nature, scope, and purview of their responsibilities.

And while NHLA also commends the President for nominating a third Hispanic, Governor Bill Richardson, to a major cabinet level position (Commerce), NHLA is extremely disappointed in that another Hispanic was not nominated from the impressive list of well qualified and experienced Puerto Ricans, Dominicans, and Cubans that NHLA provided when Governor Richardson withdrew, considering that no Puerto Ricans, Dominicans, Cubans, or Central and South Americans had been nominated for anything at the time.

The White House. NHLA commends the President for appointing four highly qualified Hispanics to senior staff level positions in the White House – Cecilia Munoz, Louis Caldera, Adolfo Carrion, Jr., and Moises Vela, Jr.

But NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only four Hispanics are among the 49 Presidential appointees selected to date to fill senior staff positions in the White House and that no Cubans or Dominicans have been included.

And while NHLA commends the President for appointing five highly qualified Hispanics to the position of Special Assistant to the President including Alejandro Perez at Legislative Affairs, Michael Camunez at Presidential Personnel, and Roberto Rodriguez, Carlos Monje, and Tino Cuellar at the Domestic Policy Council, NHLA is extremely disappointed in that not one Hispanic has been appointed Assistant to the President or senior advisor, the President's inner circle. NHLA is also disappointed in that not one of the five Special Assistants is Puerto Rican, Cuban, or Dominican.

The Sub-Cabinet. NHLA also commends the President for nominating 17 highly qualified Hispanics to Senate-confirmation required sub-cabinet level positions at various departments –

Edward M. Avalos	Under Secretary Marketing & Regulatory Services	USDA
Luis C. de Baca	Ambassador-at-Large Monitor Human Trafficking	State
Lorelei Boylan	Administrator Wage & Hour Division	Labor
Gabriella Gomez	Asst Secretary Legislation/Congressional Affairs	Education
Sandra B. Henriquez	Asst Secretary	HUD
Mercedes Marquez	Asst Secretary Community Planning/Development	HUD
Kathy Martinez	Asst Secretary Disability Employment Policy	Labor
Alejandro Mayorkas	Director, Citizenship & Immigration Services	DHS
Victor M. Mendez	Administrator, Highway Administration	Transportation
Tom Perez	Asst Atty Gen for Civil Rights	DOJ
Brig Gen Jose Riojas	Asst Secretary Operations, Security & Preparedness	Veteran's Affairs
Frank Sanchez	Under Secretary International Trade	Commerce
Daniel Sepulveda	Asst Secretary Congressional Affairs	Office of USTR
John Sepulveda	Asst Secretary for Human Resources	Veteran's Affairs
Peter Silva	Asst Administrator for Water	EPA
John Trasvina	Asst Secretary Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity	HUD
Ines Triay	Asst Secretary Environmental Mgmt	Energy

NHLA is extremely disappointed in both the number of Hispanics appointed to date and that not one single Hispanic has been nominated for a position that requires Senate-confirmation at four major departments including Interior, Health and Human Services, Treasury, and Defense.

High Level Staff Positions. Though Hispanics are severely under-represented at this level as well, NHLA commends the President for appointing 27 well qualified Hispanics to other high level positions throughout his Administration including the White House (17), Transportation, Labor, Homeland Security, State, Office Personnel Management, Peace Corps, and U.S. Trade Representative (3). They are:

Lizette Alvarado	Office of Presidential Personnel	White House
Anthony Bernal	Director of Scheduling Dr. Jill Biden	White House
Xavier Briggs	Assoc Director, Office Mgmt & Budget	White House
Alejandra Campoverdi	Assistant to the Deputy Chief of Staff	White House
Carlos Elizondo	Social Secretary for Vice President	White House
Kirsten Garcia	Legislative Affairs	White House
Roberto Gonzalez	Associate Counsel, Office of Legal Counsel	White House
Noerena Limon	Staff Assistant, Presidential Personnel	White House
David Medina	Deputy Chief of Staff Office of First Lady	White House
Luis Miranda	Director Hispanic Communications	White House
Lizette Ocampo	Staff Assistant, Legislative Affairs	White House
Carlos Odio	Deputy Assoc Director, Political Affairs	White House
Michael Ortiz	Legislative Affairs	White House
Dan Restrepo	Western Hemispheric Advisor NSC	White House
Nancy Sutley	Director Office Environmental Quality	White House
Dag Vega	Director of Communications	White House
Stephanie Valencia	Office Public Liaison, Intergov'tal Affairs	White House
Lisa Garcia	Intergov'tal Affrs/Public Liaison USTR	Exec Office President
Luis Jimenez	Office of the U.S. Trade Representative	Exec Office President

Jennifer Urizar	Office of the U.S. Trade Representative	Exec Office President
Elizabeth (Liz)Montoya	Chief of Staff	OPM
Elisa Montoya	Senior Advisor to the Director	Peace Corp
Esther Olavarria	Deputy Asst Sec Policy Development	DHS
Laura Pena	Special Asst Office White House Liaison	State
Oscar Ramirez	Special Asst to the Secretary	Labor
Miguel Rodriguez	Deputy Asst Secretary Legislative Affairs	State
Yasmin Yaver	Office Governmental Affairs	Transportation

Other Appointments. Additionally, the President has made four additional Hispanic appointments. Two have been appointed to the President's Advisory Council on Faith-based and Neighborhood Partnerships, one ot the President's Advisory Council on Science& Technology, and one Judge. They are:

Noel Castellanos	Faith-based Adv Council	Member
Dr. Arturo Chavez	Faith-based Adv Council	Member
Mario Molina	Adv Council Science & Tech	Member
Marisa Demeo	Judge	DC Superior Court

Review of Departments:

Agriculture

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the nine positions filled at the Department of Agriculture to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Agriculture to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the eight high level positions remaining.

Commerce

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the eight positions filled at the Department of Commerce to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of

Commerce to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 15 high level positions remaining.

Defense

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that no Hispanics have been appointed among the 16 positions filled at the Department of Defense to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Defense to appoint well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 15 high level positions remaining.

Education

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the nine positions filled at the Department of Education to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Education to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 10 high level positions remaining.

Energy

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the eight positions filled at the Department of Energy to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Energy to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 14 high level positions remaining.

Environmental Protection Agency

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the seven positions filled at the Environmental Protection Agency to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the seven high level positions remaining.

Health and Human Services

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that no Hispanics have been appointed among the five positions filled at the Department of Health and Human Services to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Health and Human Services to appoint well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 15 high level positions remaining.

Homeland Security

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the seven positions filled at the Department of Homeland Security to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of

Homeland Security to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 14 high level positions remaining to be filled.

Housing and Urban Development

NHLA is very pleased that three Hispanics have been appointed among the nine positions filled at the Department of Housing and Urban Development to date. NHLA commends the President and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and respectfully recommends that additional well qualified Hispanics be appointed during the course of filling the five high level positions remaining.

Interior

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the seven positions filled at the Department of Interior to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Interior to appoint well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 10 high level positions remaining.

Justice

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the 11 positions filled at the Department of Justice to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Attorney General to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 16 high level positions remaining.

Labor

NHLA is pleased in that three Hispanics have been appointed among the seven positions filled at the Department of Labor to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Labor to appoint well qualified Hispanics to fill one or more of the 10 high level positions remaining to be filled.

State

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the 15 positions filled at the State Department to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of State to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 30 high level positions remaining.

Transportation

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only one Hispanic has been appointed among the eight positions filled at the Department of Transportation to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Interior to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the 14 high level positions

remaining to be filled.

Treasury

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that no Hispanics have been appointed among the ten positions filled at the Treasury Department to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of the Treasury to appoint well qualified Hispanics to fill one or more of the 14 high level positions remaining to be filled.

Veteran's Affairs

NHLA is extremely disappointed in that only two Hispanic have been appointed among the seven positions filled at the Department of Veteran's Affairs to date. NHLA respectfully urges the President and the Secretary of Veteran's Affairs to appoint additional well qualified Hispanics during the course of filling the eight high level positions remaining to be filled.

Three of these 16 major departments have no Hispanics in Senate confirmation required positions – Defense, Health and Human Services, and Treasury. A combined total of 143 high level positions in these 16 departments have been filled to date and only 18 by Hispanics. Ten departments have only one Hispanic in a high-ranking position; one department has two Hispanics; and two departments (HUD and Labor) have three Latinos.

Executive Office of the President – excluding the White House:

Council of Economic Advisors

Three appointments have been made; no Hispanics.

Council on Environmental Quality

One of three members has been appointed; one Hispanic.

Office of Management and Budget

Two appointments have been made; no Hispanics. There are four positions to be filled.

Office of National Drug Control Policy

One position has been filled; no Hispanics. There are four positions to be filled.

Office of Science and Technology Policy

Four positions have been filled; no Hispanics. There are two positions to be filled.

Office of the U.S. Trade Representative

Two positions have been filled; no Hispanics. There are three positions to be filled.

Five of these six offices and councils in the Executive Office of the President have no Hispanics. Only one Hispanic has been appointed to one of these six offices and councils, but this is not the worst case of Hispanic under-representation in the Obama administration.

Regulatory Agencies:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. The number of positions to be filled is unknown.

Consumer Protection Safety Commission

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Federal Communications Commission

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There is one position to be filled.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Federal Election Commission

No position has been filled. There is no known position to be filled.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There is no known position to be filled.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Securities and Exchange Commission

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There is no known position to be filled.

No Hispanics have been appointed by the President to any of these nine regulatory commissions. Four of 13 positions have been filled, leaving nine to be filled, which provide an opportunity for the President to improve on his record on Hispanic appointments.

Independent Agencies:

Appalachian Regional Commission

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Broadcasting Board of Governors

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Central Intelligence Agency

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are two positions to be filled.

Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Corporation for National and Community Service

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Delta Regional Authority

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Export-Import Bank of the United States

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

Farm Credit Administration

No position has been filled. There is no position to be filled.

Federal Housing Finance Board

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Federal Labor Relations Authority

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Federal Maritime Commission

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

Federal Reserve System

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are two positions to be filled.

General Services Administration

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There is one position to be filled.

Millennium Challenge Corporation

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

No position has been filled. There are four positions to be filled.

National Archives and Records Administration

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

National Credit Union Administration

No position has been filled. There is no known position to be filled.

National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

National Labor Relations Board

No position has been filled. There are three positions to be filled.

National Mediation Board

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are no positions to be filled.

National Science Foundation

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

National Transportation Safety Board

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Office of Government Ethics

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Office of Personnel Management

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are no known positions to be filled.

Office of the Special Counsel

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Office of the Director of National Intelligence

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are no known positions to be filled.

Office of the Federal Coordinator Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Projects

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Overseas Private Investment Corporation

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Peace Corps

No position has been filled. There are two positions to be filled.

Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Postal Regulatory Commission

No position has been filled. There are no known positions to be filled.

Railroad Retirement Board

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Selective Service System

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Small Business Administration

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are three positions to be filled.

Social Security Administration

One position has been filled; no Hispanic. There are two positions to be filled.

Tennessee Valley Authority

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

Trade Development Agency

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

United States Agency for International Development

No position has been filled. There are 10 positions to be filled.

United States Election Assistance Commission

No position has been filled. There are no known positions to be filled.

United States International Trade Commission

No position has been filled. There is one position to be filled.

No Hispanics have been appointed by the President to any of these 45 Independent Agencies. Eight of 83

positions have been filled to date, none by a Hispanic. There are 75 positions yet to be filled, which provide an excellent opportunity for qualified Hispanics to be appointed and for the Obama Administration to improve on its record.

Conclusion. While NHLA commends the President for his commitment to change, there is none to be seen with regard to personnel. Hispanics are under-represented at the sub-cabinet and senior staff levels, and on the White House staff. Of the countless number of positions filled to date by the Administration, including senior advisors, Cabinet, sub-cabinet, White House staff, and senior staff in major departments, only 58 have been filled by Hispanics. This is not change. This is more of the same, below target. More announcements of Latinos being nominated for Senate confirmation required positions are expected in the coming days, weeks and months, which will undoubtedly improve what has been accomplished during the President's first 100 days.

NHLA would respectfully suggest to the President that he impress upon his Cabinet Secretaries, senior White House staff and the Office of White House Personnel, and the Office of Personnel Management that they need to be more attentive to the serious under-representation of Hispanics in the Obama Administration. NHLA respectfully urges the President to order an agency-by-agency review of the hiring process being utilized and request a "Plan for Change and Improvement" from each department as it pertains to appointing more Latinos for high level positions, and urge him to call for a comprehensive report on Hispanic employment in 90 days.

The 16 departments surveyed in this report have a combined total of 205 vacancies which would be the logical place to start implementing a "Plan for Change and Improvement" and increasing the number of high-ranking Hispanics in the Obama Administration including Senior Executive Service level positions.

The all but total lack of Hispanic appointments to councils in the Executive Office of the President, as well as to Regulatory Agencies and Independent Agencies, is a great source of disappointment to NHLA and leaves very much room for improvement. NHLA respectfully urges the President to order a thorough review of all applications submitted to identify qualified Hispanic candidates for possible appointment to boards, commissions, and agencies, and to request more applications if necessary.

NHLA remains committed to working with the President, the Office of Presidential Personnel, and all departments to assist in identifying qualified Hispanics to serve in the Obama Administration, but realizes that the direction and enforcement must come from the President.

Finally, we commend the President for the aggressive steps he has taken to address tough issues of public policy that, while affecting all Americans, have disproportionately had an adverse affect on the Latino community, i.e., mortgage foreclosures, unemployment, education, health (SCHIP), small businesses and immigration reform. NHLA also looks forward to working with the President and his Administration on specific proposals that affect all Americans and the Hispanic community particularly, pertaining to education, civil rights, immigration, economic empowerment, health, and governmental accountability.

NHLA reaffirms its unqualified commitment to continue working with the President and those in his Administration to help get America back on the right track and moving again.

For further information:

Dr. Juan Andrade via email at docandrade@ushli.organd by phone at (312) 371-5826.

National Institute for Latino Policy (NiLP)

101 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10013

800-590-2516 | Fax: 917-677-8593

www.latinopolicy.org | info@latinopolicy.org

To make a tax-deductible donation to NiLP, click here.

To get on our mailing list to receive the *Latino Policy eNewsletter*, click here

---

Messages in this topic (1) **Reply** (via web post) | **Start a new topic**

Messages | Files | Photos | Links | Database | Polls | Calendar

PLEASE SPREAD THE WORD!

MARKETPLACE

I Got Fired But now make $350/day online!.

I'm happy I lost my Job. Now I make $12,000/mo online! See how I do it: WealthResource.org.

Mom Power: Discover the community of moms doing more for their families, for the world and for each other

---

Error! Filename not specified.
Change settings via the Web (Yahoo! ID required)
Change settings via email: Switch delivery to Daily Digest | Switch format to Traditional
Visit Your Group | Yahoo! Groups Terms of Use | Unsubscribe

RECENT ACTIVITY

New Members

Visit Your Group

Yahoo! Finance

It's Now Personal

Guides, news,

advice & more.

Search Ads

Get new customers.

List your web site

in Yahoo! Search.

Yahoo! Groups

Weight Management Challenge

Join others who

are losing pounds.

Error! Filename not specified.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 4:00 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Brookings request

Per your strobe request regarding a june meet with board

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Apr 29 03:37:25 2009
Subject: Brookings request

Lona -

Gotcha - agree with that concern so let's flag and present to her. I had asked strobe if we could move date but given that it is their board mtg, they can't.

I do think it provides a useful oppor for her to engage an imp group post her BIG Speech but the first day after such a long trip is not ideal.

We should share that concern with hrc so she can decide..

Cdm

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 6:06 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: message for Cheryl.....

It's the day after she returns from an international trip – I would prefer not to.

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:45 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: FW: message for Cheryl.....

Do you think we can try to do this June 3?

From: Strobe Talbott [ ] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:44 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Re: message for Cheryl.....

The proposed meeting is built around annual combined board and international advisory council meeting, so we're locked into June 3. Hope it might still work. Will send full board and council member list.

Strobe Talbott

President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036

RELEASE IN FULL

Mr/s Chairman, Members of the Commission, Thank you for the invitation to speak today on this timely subject.

My testimony will address how Chinese foreign-directed information operations figure into the People's Republic of China's (PRC's) broader strategy as it rises, and my remarks will be structured around what the Chinese themselves are saying and writing about foreign-directed information operations in their internal reports. After reviewing a representative sample of the Chinese reports, I'll move to the logic behind their approach, or where it comes from, and finally, what China's aims are, before offering a preliminary assessment of their success. To give you a preview, my answer is, clearly, yes, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) leadership is trying to influence or shape American perceptions of, and policies toward, China. Mainly, up to now, these efforts have been in the direction of reassurance, to allay US concerns about China's economic rise, military build-up, and increasing political and diplomatic influence.

Let me begin by referring to a Chinese report addressing the need for, and character of, foreign-directed strategic information capabilities. This article, a kind of roadmap for foreign-directed information campaigns, appeared in a February 2009 *Reference News* (*Cankao Xiaoxi*) outlet, and it was translated by the American Open Source Center, along with the other articles that I am going to cite today. *Reference News* publications come out of a special branch of the official Chinese news agency Xinhua that is charged with preparing information and analysis for senior cadres. In theory, the circulation of these *Reference News* reports is limited to high-ranking Party members. To be sure, I am not about to quote a policy document but rather an analytical piece. It seems to be representative of a certain cast of mind, however, as we will see. The article from February refers to "national public relations weapons," which it defines: "By national public relations, we mean dialogues between nations or between a country and relevant stake holders against the backdrop of competition over power and interest."

The need to "set the agenda for foreign media" is explicitly stressed. Under a subhead of the article called "Media Diplomacy: Breaking the Siege of Public Opinion in the West," the author writes:

> It is obvious that the West still has the upper hand while the East remains weak… Whenever there is an agenda dispute, international public opinion will form a force that involves the West's besieging the East.

And the article proceeds to explain:

> Influencing and setting the foreign media agenda fully embodies a rule of the game in modern society – that is, whoever can influence the media agenda can influence the public agenda… The foreign media are not a taxi on the road that can be flagged when one needs it and shoved away when one does not need it.

In other words, the foreign press has to be cultivated, so that when a crisis strikes, certain outlets can be counted upon to report favorably on China.

How can this be achieved? "Foreign media outlets should be given special treatment so that they will not be forced to unite and form a confrontational alliance." In other words, divide them, or keep them divided. The article elaborates on this question, emphasizing the need to "provide them with specialized information..." That is, grant privileges to encourage positive coverage.

Under the same heading of "Breaking the Siege of Public Opinion in the West," the article also offers general precepts such as,

> When a negative event occurs, [the authorities] should not order the media to shut up. Public opinion is like a big container. The more information you inject... the less space there is for other people's views and ability to return fire.

In other words, flood the zone.

More specifically, under the heading of "Lobbying," the author writes:

> We need to influence the influential. In every country, there are famous commentators and writers, and they are the media and public focus. With the support of these foreign 'eloquent speakers' and writers, the national public relations drive can yield twice the results with half the effort. In addition, it is necessary to fully mobilize overseas elites and [overseas] Chinese who are 'familiar with China.' ... [Because they] understand the cultural environment abroad, they can be good assistants in China's public relations.

Where does all this come from? Whence this talk of a "siege of public opinion," "national public relations weapons," and the like? It flows from a tradition that stresses the role of information in political and geopolitical interactions – that is, in both domestic and foreign strategy. I'd go so far as to say that the Chinese tradition puts managing perceptions at the heart of strategy. This is evident from Sun Zi's injunction to know the enemy and oneself to the CCP's extensive domestic propaganda and information management efforts, which have been explored by scholars such as Anne-Marie Brady, David Shambaugh, Daniel Lynch and Ashley Esarey, among others. Perhaps most relevant for our purposes, the emphasis on information in China's strategic culture is evident in Deng Xiaoping's famous injunction that China should "bide its time and hide its capabilities," which can only be understood as a call to shape the data that reaches foreigners, in this case to conceal certain data.

In this connection, consider the formulation designed to influence how foreigners understand China's increased power on the world stage, "peaceful rise." The line, promulgated by Zheng Bijian in 2002, was later changed to "peaceful development," lest the word "rise" provoke anxiety and undermine the intended effect of reassuring foreigners about China's trajectory. But before this change took hold, Zheng slipped up in a September 2004 Shanghai TV appearance, as quoted in a recent *China Quarterly* article by Daniel Lynch: "Working in this way [touting the "peaceful rise"] has its

advantages – in obtaining greater understanding, sympathy and support, in winning discourse power on the question of China's development path, in winning discourse power in the international sphere... It is all extremely advantageous, and there is absolutely no downside." This is a pretty stunning shift from insisting that "peaceful rise" is an earnest description of China's present and future to describing the label as instrumental, a tool for "winning discourse power."

Now Zheng is affiliated with the Central Party School of the Chinese Communist Party, but he has been a senior member of the Party's propaganda apparatus, an extensive network of offices that exists behind the official bureaucracy, since the 1960s. Zheng is never identified this way in Chinese Western-language news outlets. Further, the Party School is often referred to as a Chinese think tank. Is this an institution just like our Brookings or AEI? No. In another recent article called "It Is Difficult for Chinese Think Tanks to Learn from the American Model," the author explicitly notes the differences: "US private think tanks are in ... a thriving state. This is unique in the entire world, ... a byproduct of ... the US dual-party election system... fundamentally different from the domestic conditions of China." Therefore, "one is afraid that what China think tanks can learn from US think tanks is more about micro-level management and operational models." In other words, because most Chinese think tanks are sponsored by the Party, while American think tanks are private, all Chinese think tanks can learn from their US counterparts is internal organizational details.

A different recent piece, "China Must Have Strong Nongovernmental Diplomatic Power," published in a Chinese *People's Daily* [*Renmin Ribao*] outlet that tracks foreign opinion on China for senior cadres, reviews the fundamental differences between the United States and China in the think tank realm, and assesses that China is at a disadvantage:

> The diversified nongovernmental forces have provided US diplomacy with multiple abilities to set up various agendas. In the meantime, China can do nothing but rely on its government's single-track diplomacy. This has placed China in a passive position, in which it is hard to cope with the situation, as if it is shooting mosquitoes with a cannon.

The article goes on to say that to avoid embarrassments like the crackdown on free Tibet protestors in the run-up to the Olympics, China must unleash overseas Chinese to counter Western public expressions of sympathy for Tibet.

What is this talk of "discourse power in the international sphere" and "shooting mosquitoes" about? Well, with regard to the United States and the lines about "biding time, and hiding capabilities" and "peaceful rise," we can be sure that there is an effort to keep America friendly and complacent. But there is also increasing realism in the Chinese reports about the continuing feasibility of this approach as China passes through different stages of modernization and development and starts to assume roles associated with great powers.

From the "national public relations" document that I quoted at the outset, here is a description of a natural evolution for China's foreign-directed information campaigns:

> China's public relations drive is not a...competition that involves waging a quick battle, but what Chairman Mao...described as a 'long-running battle.' According to Chairman Mao, a long-running battle involved three stages: defense, confrontation, and counterattack. From the strategic level of national public relations, the defense stage comprises passive defense and active defense. We divide the confrontation period into two parts: confrontation resulting from both sides being well matched in strength, and [then] dialogue brought about by a balance of power. The counterattack stage involves attacking and conquering.

Within the PRC today, there seems to be significant discussion of whether China can continue to bide its time or whether China will be compelled to shift its foreign-directed information efforts. The roadmap article concludes, "While we should not demonstrate toughness characteristic of the confrontation stage and the counterattack stage, we cannot continue making the kind of unprincipled compromises or maintaining the unrestrained modesty that marks the passive defense stage."

In addition to shifting the message directed at the United States, China may accelerate its wooing of other countries. Perhaps inspired by the idea of "soft power," the Chinese seem to believe that a competition exists in the realm of "international public opinion." A January 2008 analysis by the scholar Yan Xuetong, published in a journal of the Chinese Institute of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR), a "think tank" with ties to the Ministry of State Security, which oversees Chinese counterintelligence, argues that "if a country has relatively many strategically friendly countries, it is likely to win support from other countries." According to Yan, the United States has an advantage in allies, or "international mobilization ability," but this can be eroded in three to five years if China properly "positions" itself or manipulates impressions of China's "identity":

> We may think of repositioning China's international identity and expanding the homogeneity between China and other countries in identity. Economically, China may position itself as a burgeoning modern country, being between a developed and underdeveloped country. Politically, China may position itself as a democratizing country, ... between a democratic country and ... [an autocracy]. Culturally, China may position itself as a Confucian country, between a religious and a nonreligious country...

I have been talking about Chinese intentions up to now. To conclude, I would like to turn to an assessment of effects. But effects are difficult to measure, in part because any judgment requires addressing the counterfactual question, Would we have acted as we have in the absence of Chinese foreign-directed information campaigns? As a preliminary answer, let me adduce the article "Have China Scholars All Been Bought," by the Hong Kong-based professor Carsten Holz. Holz begins, "Academics who study China, which includes the author, habitually please the Chinese Communist Party,

sometimes consciously, and often unconsciously." Consider, too, the words of one of the most senior American scholars of China, Orville Schell:

> I try to say, 'Okay, here is what I think, what I understand, what I think I see, have learned and read.' Then, I try and think through what the Chinese government's reaction will be... And then I try to be as truthful as I can in a way that is respectful and unprovocative but that is not pandering. China has a tremendously highly evolved capacity to create panderers both among its own people and foreigners who become involved with them.

Where is all of this going if China succeeds in reassuring the United States while increasing its "international mobilization ability"? That's a subject for a different hearing, I think. Thank you again, and I look forward to your questions.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 03, 2009 3:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke just called for u

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 9:21 AM
To: H; HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: RE: Schedule

Yes, everything is being readjusted including the personnel meetings. Angolan FM -- yes, I can set that up. And yes, invited to the Initiative for Global Development but after running the invitation by senior staff here and having a candid conversation with Suzy George (Albright is not pushing this event), the recommendation was to decline especially given how hectic this week is going to be.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 9:05 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; 'HAbedin [redacted]
Subject: Schedule

A few items:

Are you able to move everything from today to later in the week? What about the personnel meetings?

Am I seeing the Angolan FM when he visits May 19-22?

Was I invited by Powell and Albright to attend the Initiative for Global Development event this Wed-Thurs at the Willard Hotel?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2009 8:02 AM
To: H; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'habedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Cinco de Mayo

Yes. There isn't. Ann Maries team brainstormed on ideas for a day long session and celebration but didn't materialize. We looked into doing something else last week but given the swine flu outbreak, most people were either canceling or toning down things. Dan Smith said that even the mexican embassy isn't doing what they normally did either.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>; 'HAbedin [redacted] < [redacted] > B6
Sent: Tue May 05 07:11:53 2009
Subject: Cinco de Mayo

What happened w our plans for this? There's nothing about it on my schedule so I assume nothing is happening.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2009 7:51 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Fwd:

FYI
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Edward Hughes** <[redacted]
Date: Wed, May 6, 2009 at 10:21 PM
Subject:
To: Melissa Prober [redacted], Dana Hughes [redacted]

Obama is morphing into old rival Clinton

By: Alex Conant

May 6, 2009

Politico

A year ago today, Barack Obama won the North Carolina and Indiana primaries, leading the late Tim Russert to famously declare, "We now know who the Democratic nominee will be, and nobody is going to dispute it."

Russert was right, but Hillary Clinton, nevertheless, kept campaigning for several more weeks, fueled by her supporters' convictions that her proposals were better than Obama's.

After barely 100 days in office, it now appears Obama agrees: Since taking office, he has dropped virtually every position that distinguished him from Clinton.

Granted, there were not many policy differences between Obama and Clinton during the campaign. But those that existed were sharply debated and helped Obama define himself as the pragmatic change agent that many voters now believe him to be.

Take Iraq. Obama never missed an opportunity on the campaign trail to remind Democrats that he was the sole candidate to oppose the war in 2002, and — unlike Clinton — he had a hard date for ending the war. Clinton repeatedly questioned the wisdom and sincerity of Obama's pledge to remove all combat troops from Iraq within 16 months of taking office. It was the biggest difference between the two candidates — and one of the top reasons Obama won the nomination.

Yet just weeks after entering office, Obama largely dropped his campaign plan. Rather than withdraw all combat troops on a set timeline, Obama opted for a conditions-based withdrawal that will leave as many as 50,000 troops in the war zone at the end of 2011 — exactly the sort of drawdown he maligned Clinton for proposing.

Health care is another example. While Obama was outflanking Clinton on the left on Iraq, she made up for it by criticizing his health care plan as inadequate. Both candidates claimed to support universal health care, but only Clinton's plan included a government mandate that would force all Americans to have health insurance.

Primary voters will recall Clinton and Obama endlessly debating this, with Clinton accusing Obama of leaving about 15 million people without health care and Obama warning voters that Clinton's plan would require "harsh, stiff penalties on those who don't purchase it."

Just as with Iraq, Obama is now moving toward Clinton's position. His budget outline proposes a health care plan that "must put the United States on a clear path to cover all Americans." That strongly suggests a mandate, since any volunteer system would see some opting out. As Ezra Klein reported in The American Prospect when the administration's health care plan began to leak out, "Despite the controversy over the individual mandate in the campaign, [Obama's team] will support it."

Some of the most significant differences between Clinton and Obama focused on governing. One of Obama's first breakthrough moments was at the YearlyKos convention in August 2007, when Clinton defended her relationships with lobbyists, saying "a lot of those lobbyists, whether you like it not, represent real Americans." Drawing a sharp contrast, Obama promised to ban anyone who had recently worked as a lobbyist from serving in his administration.

But that promise was broken even before he took office, when the president-elect chose several lobbyists for high-level posts, including deputy secretaries at the Defense and Health and Human Services departments. (Ironically, Obama even nominated a lobbyist to be an assistant secretary of state under Clinton.)

Obama's campaign also made Clinton's partisanship an issue. In a thinly veiled reference to Clinton's poor standing among Republicans, his stump speech included overt pleas for Republican support. Presenting himself as a post-partisan leader, he promised to forge a new era of bipartisanship in Washington.

As Obama confessed at his prime-time press conference last week, he's fallen short on that front, too. Since taking office, the president's agenda has been demonstrably partisan; nearly every bill he has so far signed into law passed Congress on a party-line vote. If Clinton were sitting in the Oval Office instead of Obama, it's hard to imagine how Washington would be any more partisan.

Clinton lost the battle for the Democratic nomination, but a year later, it appears her campaign has won the war of ideas within the Democratic Party.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 10:38 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Tom in Jamaica

Today - we then need to decide [redacted] B5

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat May 09 07:37:39 2009
Subject: Re: Tom in Jamaica

When will we hear from Paul Farmer???

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 09 07:16:58 2009
Subject: Fw: Tom in Jamaica

Fyi

From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Fri May 08 18:03:51 2009
Subject: Tom in Jamaica

To All:

Tom wanted you to know that he and Hector Morales just departed Jamaica after meeting with Caribbean foreign ministers. Three main points:

--The Caribbean FMs welcomed discussion with Tom about the Caribbean security dialogue. Tom told them we would go into more detail at the May 20 experts meeting in Suriname.

--The FMs look forward to meeting with S in San Pedro Sula at the OASGA.

--On Cuba, the FMs expressed sympathy with what we are trying to do, but want to hear more about next steps.

Best,

ck

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 1:28 PM
To: H
Subject: Call cheryl too when u can.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 4:28 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: May 19-21

Yes, I will reach out to him.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 4:25 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Fw: May 19-21

Can I fit in a visit at the State Dept??

----- Original Message -----
From: Duffy, Terry <[redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Mon May 11 13:41:58 2009
Subject: May 19-21

Madam Secretary-

I hope this note finds you and your family doing well. I've been following all of your activities over the last several months. You are doing an incredible job, which I'm sure is a surprise to no one. I'm going to be in DC May 19-21. We have our annual gathering out there with about 150 folks coming out from the Exchange. I know this is probably a long shot at best, but we are having a dinner for our group at the Hay Adams on Tuesday, May 19 and it would be a tremendous honor if you would come by and say a few words. If this doesn't work but you are in town, I'd love to stop by for 5 minutes to say hello. Please let me know if any of this is possible. I look forward to seeing you soon.

All my best,
Terry

Terrence A. Duffy
Executive Chairman
CME Group
20 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761872 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

FINCA International

Perspective on USAID Contracting Mechanisms
May 2008

USAID's indefinite quantity contracts and other large-scale contracting mechanisms were designed to make the country's bi-lateral assistance more efficient, resulting in more and better delivery of development aid to the world's poor. As an implementing partner on several of these awards, FINCA International thinks that the benefits of multiple layers of contracted assistance rarely materialize. In FINCA's experience prime contractors (a) pass very little funding to their implementing partners, (b) greatly increase the cost to the taxpayer through 'reselling' the industry's technical expertise at high mark ups, and/or (c) provide unclear instructions to the organization actually implementing the program on the ground regarding goals or compliance procedures. FINCA's experiences with direct awards from USAID missions have proven to be much more successful.

With respect to point A, as a specialized organization, FINCA is unable to compete as a prime for these multi-disciplinary awards. As a result, FINCA (like many other specialized agencies) joins a larger team as a sub-contractor, helping the prime demonstrate to USAID that they have assembled a team of implementing partners with the skill and expertise to deliver. However, after the prime wins the award, FINCA often finds that the primes have little interest in utilizing the mechanism to fund sub-contractor activities. While USAID generally makes multi-million dollar awards, FINCA's sub-awards rarely exceed $100,000.

There is little transparency within consortia and very little information is readily available from USAID, so it is difficult for FINCA to state unequivocally how much money prime contractors receive, how much they spend on their own overhead and profit, and how much they pass to sub-contractors, but FINCA's experience includes:

- **Haiti:** In 2006, USAID Haiti issued a task order under the AMAP MF IQC to support Haiti's microfinance, small and medium enterprise sector. DAI won the award in the amount of $6–8 million. DAI sub-contracted a total of $1.2 million. FINCA received $85,000.
- **Uganda:** In 2002, FINCA signed a sub-award with Chemonics as part of the USAID-funded SPEED project to facilitate the transformation of FINCA Uganda. While the sub-award to FINCA was for $600,000, the procurement process was so restrictive, that FINCA was only able to receive $325,000. FINCA believes that the total award to Chemonics was $30 million.
- **Armenia / Georgia / Mexico / Ecuador:** There are certainly more examples, but over the last six to eight years, Chemonics and DAI received sizable awards to support micro-enterprise in these markets. Though running the largest or one of the largest MFIs in each of these countries, FINCA International has received no sub-award funding through these mechanisms.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761872 Date: 06/30/2015

As a result of their failure to secure funding through the IQC system, a coalition of practitioners (FINCA among them) raised concerns about the system to USAID. USAID replied that given limited staffing they could not manage contracts with individual microfinance institutions (MFIs) and suggested that the coalition organize itself and find a leader to bid against the big contractors. In preparing to bid on the Leaders with Associates Award (LWA), the industry followed USAID's advice, selecting AED to serve as the prime since none of the MFIs had the capacity to manage such a large contract. The ARIES funding for Afghanistan was channeled through the LWA mechanism. Unfortunately, although FINCA has received significant funding for its program in Afghanistan, AED's limited industry expertise created another reporting layer between USAID and the MFIs while providing little technical value to the MFIs or USAID when things got tough in Afghanistan.

With respect to point B, senior FINCA staff who have been hired away by large consulting firms to provide technical assistance to FINCA and other MFIs at a much higher cost to the US Government include Phil Broughton (hired by Chemonics but who has returned to FINCA because of its more productive environment), Mark Rostal (hired by DAI) and Adam Blanco (also hired by DAI). At best, this practice duplicates the talent required for a project (FINCA must replace these employees). At worst, as contractors lose or reassign these individuals a lack of continuity constantly hinders forward progress.
In addition, much of the technical assistance provided by contractors – despite the quality of the people they have hired – has been overly broad and not valuable to the organization's needs.

With respect to point C, FINCA has on numerous occasions found it difficult to obtain instructions directly from the local Mission or from AID in Washington, and dialogue with the prime contractor has in many cases been conspicuously influenced by self-interest or based on lack of understanding or attention to the goals/outcomes sought by USAID. We believe that this process is exacerbated by a proclivity to use the grant to hire as many consultants as possible, resulting in a short term outlook and a diminution of funds available to achieve the desired outcomes. FINCA believes that direct dialogue and reporting with AID can ensure a common understanding of goals and an effective resolution of issues that arise in the course of a project.

When contracting directly with USAID missions, FINCA has found substantially more success. In 2004, FINCA received an award for $1.2 million from the USAID mission in Kinshasa to launch a new program in the DR Congo. FINCA earlier had received direct awards from USAID missions for programs in Kyrgyzstan ($6.2 million) and Azerbaijan ($4 million). These programs are today among FINCA's most successful affiliates. Together they account for nearly 210,000 clients and a $120 million loan portfolio.

Analysis/Conclusion
The contractors that win the bulk of the awards from USAID have very different success criteria than organizations such as FINCA. While FINCA measures success in terms of

the ability to deliver sustainable financial services that have a long-term impact on development, most contractors are project focused, seeking to demonstrate short-term results.

Oversight has often been inconsistent or lacking in understanding of the programs. FINCA is committed to full compliance with the letter and spirit of regulations. Intervention by prime contractors with no on-the-ground involvement is often ineffective or even counterproductive.

In FINCA's experience, contractors fail to engage with organizations like FINCA and, often impede our efforts (as well as do a disservice to taxpayers) by attempting to cure their lack of expertise by hiring away FINCA's talent to manage the new contracts and provide technical assistance.

FINCA and many other MFIs have decades of experience working with USAID, managing contracts and producing results. FINCA does not need expensive consultants to help account for money and write reports. Ultimately, the US Government would be better served by making direct contracting relationships between organizations such as FINCA and USAID the rule, rather than the exception.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 5:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Read out on Hearing with Zelikow testimony and document

FYI

From: Turk, David M
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 9:40 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Heinemann, Thomas B; Verma, Richard R
Cc: Donoghue, Joan E; Deeks, Ashley S; Mathias, Stephen; Prosser, Sarah E; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Subject: Re: draft press guidance on Zelikow document

With respect to our interests, it went great. They had so many witnesses (five on a single panel) that Zelikow didn't get that much play and the memo itself even less. Zelikow mentioned in his opening statement, matter of factly, that his memo was located and that it was undergoing declassification review.

Senator Whitehouse didn't even mention that he had read the classified memo, and Coburn was in attendance but left before he could ask any questions.

Dave

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Turk, David M; Heinemann, Thomas B; Verma, Richard R
Cc: Donoghue, Joan E; Deeks, Ashley S; Mathias, Stephen; Prosser, Sarah E; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Sent: Wed May 13 20:59:39 2009
Subject: RE: draft press guidance on Zelikow document

What was the upshot of the hearing?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 2:18 PM
To: H
Subject: Susan rice

She called me while you were in service. Pls call her as soon as you can. They have been negotiating on the middle east presidential statement and have come to a disagreement with lavrov/russians. [redacted] B5 [redacted] They are reaching out to susan now but she is telling them she's consulting with washington and will call them back so if u can pls call when u get in car. Jake is also aware.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 8:25 PM
To: H
Subject: Roxana saberi just left iran fyi.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 7:36 AM
To: H
Subject: Blair

Only addition to your schedule today is tony blair for office mtg. He is seeing mitchell at 11, and will see u right after around 12 for one on one office meeting. Doug hattaway now coming later in the afternoon.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:14 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

sure -- bottom line - you need a special security code to get on line from a computer outside the building. Only 1 in 5 of our employees has gotten the device (fob) that allows you to do this access.

This effort is making sure they get fobs into the hands of more (or all) employees so folks can work from home thereby increasing productivity substantially since the 4 in 5 essentially do no work from home once they leave the building until they get in again b/c they don't have access to their email.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:02 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

Sounds great but you'll have to explain to me!

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 21:56:56 2009
Subject: FW: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

FYI - we're going to get a short video from you that we'll put on our site announcing this. It's also one of the ideas we can use for how we are reforming the department for the reform committee.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J [mailto:RossAJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Cheryl/Jake:

We're going to forward with the doubling of mobile access to email and productivity tools. It's INSANE that fewer than 1 in 5 state Department are able to access their email or documents when they're away from their desk.
It has contributed to the 9:00-5:00 culture here and exacerbates the disconnection between D.C. and the missions. This is a good short-term win and by my estimates will produce new fewer than 624,000 more hours of productivity by end of year one which I think is extremely conservative - it assumes just 1.5 additional hour online per employee per week. Given that those being given the tools are principally foreign service officers and people more senior than the mean average DoS employee, I think this is very reasonable. Will put an evaluative instrument into this to see if I'm correct. More detail on all this below if you want it.

I should point out that Pat Kennedy and the CIO have been great. This has been one of several instances where they listened, they got it, and they're moving forward. The CIO said she'd thought of it before, just didn't know if she could handle the politics. I'm not going to spend a ton of time on our "corporate IT" but in obvious cases like this I'll keep jumping in.

Last thing --- this idea got a lot of attention on The Sounding Board. I propose that HRC respond to the staff (maybe in a quick 60 second video that we post there) saying in effect - Thank you for sharing your thinking. I heard you. Because of you we're doing this.

Re-enforce that HRC is still listening to the staff.

From: Swart, Susan H
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 5:27 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Subject: Fobs for Everyone

Alec,

I met with Pat today and we did discuss expansion of the fob program. He is supportive and asked that we do a decision memo to him. WE need this get decision on funding and longer term strategy but I don't see this as slowing down an announcement the Secretary might make, we just need to coordinate
timing. Attached is what we are using as the basis for the decision memo.
It is more detail than you need but highlights some of the complexities.
If you have any questions please let me know. Susan

<<Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx>>

Susan H. Swart

Chief Information Officer

Department of State

(202) 647-2889

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: Jake Sullivan <[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2009 6:39 PM
To: H
Subject: followup on Danspeckgruber

Holbrooke's shop reached out to Danspeckgruber and offers the following update:

- Funding for railroads in and out of Afghanistan is on the agenda of next week's Regional Economic Cooperation Conference on Afghanistan in Islamabad. We are aiming for the Asia Development Bank to agree to fund feasibility studies for railroad. If ADB agrees, then we will link up Dr Danspeckgruber with the right ADB reps.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 4:34 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: NYTimes - Utah Governor to Become Ambassador to China

fyi

From: Mesquita, Mario M
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 10:33 AM
To: Piccuta, Daniel; SWO; NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-EAP
Subject: NYTimes - Utah Governor to Become Ambassador to China

Utah Governor to Become Ambassador to China

By Jeff Zeleny

Updated President Obama on Saturday selected one of the nation's leading Republican governors to serve as the ambassador to China, nominating Utah Gov. Jon Huntsman for the diplomatic post that Mr. Obama called "as important as any in the world."

"We will launch a new era of partnership between our two nations that will advance our shared dreams of opportunity and security in America, in Asia and around the world," Mr. Obama said. "I can think of no more important assignment than creating the kinds of bridges between our two countries that will determine the well being not just of Americans and Chinese, but also the future of the world."

In a morning announcement at the White House, Mr. Huntsman stood at the president's side in the Diplomatic Room and accepted the position that he said came as a surprise. As a co-chairman of Senator John McCain's presidential campaign last year, Mr. Huntsman said he did not expect to be "called into action by the person who beat us."

Mr. Huntsman, 49, learned to speak Mandarin Chinese from his days as a Mormon missionary in Taiwan. He has worked in two Bush administrations, serving as the ambassador to Singapore in the final year of George H.W. Bush's term and as a deputy United States trade ambassador for George W. Bush.

For Mr. Obama, whose advisers already have their eyes set on his re-election in 2012, the selection of Mr. Huntsman is something of a political coup. He has emerged as one of the nation's most visible Republican governors and was expected to at least consider seeking his party's presidential nomination to run against Mr. Obama.

"In the breadth of issues at stake, this ambassadorship is as important as any in the world," Mr. Obama said. "I believe there is much to be gained from a closer working relationship with China, but improved relationships will require candor on issues in which we don't always agree."

As the Republican Party forges through a period of reinvention, Mr. Huntsman has been a leading voice for the direction of the party. He has been a political moderate on issues like immigration, gay rights and the environment, even though he represented one of the most conservative states in the country.

It was far from certain whether Mr. Huntsman would have actually sought the Republican presidential nomination – his centrist views could have created a challenge in early-voting states – but if he is confirmed by the Senate for the ambassadorship to China, he is part of the Obama team at a time when China is of critical importance. And he is out of the mix in the 2012 presidential race.

"When the president of the United States asks you to step up and serve in a capacity like this, that to me is the end of the conversation and the beginning of the obligation to rise to the challenge," said Mr. Huntsman, who was joined by his wife Mary Kaye, and the couple's seven children, one of whom was adopted from China.

The courtship of Mr. Huntsman was overseen by the White House Chief of Staff, Rahm Emanuel, who met with him last Saturday when the governor was in town for the White House Correspondent's dinner. Mr. Obama also spoke to Mr. Huntsman, aides said, and was impressed by his experience and views on China.

"Governor Huntsman has respect for China's proud traditions," Mr. Obama said Saturday. "He understands what it will take to make America more competitive in the 21st century and will be an unstinting advocate for America's interests and ideals."

The nomination of Mr. Huntsman is the latest in a series of high-level Republican appointments in the Obama administration. The president selected two Republicans to serve in his Cabinet, but aides said he was frustrated when a third choice, Senator Judd Gregg, Republican of New Hampshire, withdrew his nomination as Commerce Secretary.

Mr. Huntsman, who was elected to his second term of governor last year, intends to step down if he is confirmed by the Senate.

"I hope the good people of Utah will forgive me and understand how proud they should be of their governor for his willingness to serve," Mr. Obama said. "He always puts country ahead of himself. That's what Jon has always done."

Mario Mesquita
Senior Watch Officer
State Department Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 1:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Susan rice says she needs to talk to you. Ops can connect.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 8:50 PM
To: H2
Subject: tonight....

Hillary, that was quite wonderful, what you made possible this evening. B6

This evening was a lovely moment. And I'll long remember your line about "Pretty Bunkers." Good luck in translating that into policy, or even practice. Anyway, thanks. S.

Strobe Talbott
President, The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cherie Blair
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 6:26 AM
To: hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net
Cc: H
Subject: confidential

Hilary,
You may know but for the last four years I have been working with the Qatari's and in particular with on B6 issues in Qatar and I have built up a good relationship with them has approached me privately saying they are keen to get their relationship with the USA onto a more positive footing and she was hoping for a "women to women" one to one private meeting with you. She is happy to come to Washington if you could make some time available.
Is this something you would be prepared o do. is someone who has real influence in Qatar and she has made a lot of difference already with her and with the in which I am involved as the I am sure the conversation would not be confined to these issues but would be about the US/Qatar relationship generally.

Cherie Blair

This message has been scanned by MailController.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 8:13 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Email addresss

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Thu May 07 20:08:49 2009
Subject: Email addresss

Pls help me update my berry w email addresses of key staff like Monica, Kris, etc.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills < [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2009 4:15 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Followup

shot him email that we need to talk -- but the Amb wanted to give me readout from his meeting with GTIP before raising it further.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 4:10 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]
Subject: Followup

Did you pass on to Jim S the concern about the TIPS report re UAE?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: cheryl.mills B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 8:52 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Can you talk?

Just seeing - do you want me still to call?
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: May 13, 2009 6:25 AM
Subject: Can you talk?

Pls call the house. Thx.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 10, 2009 12:42 PM
To: H
Subject: Richard called to give you update on this weekend.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 8:57 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: The speech hit a home run today

FYI

From: Goodman, Allan [mailto:[redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 3:53 PM
To: Tillemann, Tomicah S
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: The speech hit a home run today

Thank you!

Dr. Allan E. Goodman
President and CEO
Institute of International Education
809 United Nations Plaza
New York, NY 10017-3580
Phone: [redacted]
Fax: (212)984-5566



Learn more about IIE and our work. Visit us online today! www.iie.org

Fulbright Program: www.fulbrightonline.org / Scholar Rescue Fund: www.iie.org/srf IIE Membership Network: www.iienetwork.org

IIE Publications: www.iiebooks.org / Your Definitive Study Abroad Resources: www.iiepassport.org

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 7:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Phil gordon was confirmed by the Senate this evening

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 9:34 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: "the Cable"

fyi

From: Margaret V. W. Carpenter [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 6:25 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills
Subject: FW: "the Cable"

The New ForeignPolicy.com
Passport : Tom Ricks : Dan Drezner : Stephen Walt : David Rothkopf : Marc Lynch
The Cable : Madam Secretary : Net Effect : Shadow Govt. : The Argument : The Call

US Embassy Beijing "decapitated"

Thu, 05/14/2009 - 10:04am
Citing the desire to spend more time with his wife, the charge d'affaires of the U.S. embassy in Beijing, **Dan Piccuta**, has announced his retirement, a notice issued to embassy employees and obtained by *The Cable* says. Piccuta's departure will leave the embassy "decapitated" as of July 1, a source said. "If the process for selecting an ambassador to Beijing wasn't in high gear before, we better hope it is now," the official said. The U.S. embassy in Beijing will also need a formal, actual deputy chief of mission. One possibility -- that **Bill Weinstein**, who has been the effective DCM in Beijing for four months now, would be able to drop the "acting" title.
(*The Cable* previously reported that several people had turned down the Beijing ambassador job.)
Piccuta's retirement announcement here:
From: Beijing All Embassy
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 5:36 PM
To: ALL EMBASSY BEIJING
Subject: BROADCAST NOTICE: Dan Piccuta - Retirement
It seems to me that for some time now we have been living in a non-stop diplomatic highlights film. I won't soon forget the thrill of supporting our US Olympic family, the President and other Americans during the Beijing 2008 Summer Olympic Games. We can be proud to have safely and securely consolidated employees at our beautiful new embassy where proximity to colleagues and techno wizardry have catalyzed collaboration in our professional efforts. To that list we can now add our contributions to the engagement with China of a new U.S. administration through mutual efforts to build a positive, cooperative and comprehensive bilateral relationship. Your accomplishments are too numerous to mention in more detail, but the depth, breadth and excellence of our agencies and posts in China are acclaimed as without equal among U.S. diplomatic missions.
Just as important have been successes in improving work-life balance throughout the Mission, in facilitating employment of our family members, and in promoting the sense of community and mutual support among employees, sections, and agencies as well as with constituent and neighboring posts.
I am hopeful that some have noticed the effort to provide deserving officers, specialists, family members and LES employees with opportunities for professional growth including in supervisory and leadership roles. Because of the ever-increasing diversity and talent of our team, the exit of any one colleague has never diluted our combined strength nor caused us or the Department concern. I am therefore confident that we will manage the many transitions we'll address in the coming months with exceptional competence and that we can count on each others' support as we prepare for new leadership throughout

this terrific Mission.
As many of you know, Christina has been preparing our Hawaii home since March. No future challenge or incentive nor any measure of past success could fully repay two decades of sacrifice as a trailing spouse. Reflecting on our experience, I have great empathy for colleagues who meet the tandem, hardship and expeditionary demands of the military and our Foreign Service that require long periods of separation from loved ones.
Mindful of all of the above, I have earlier today asked the Office of Retirement and the EAP Bureau to act now on the retirement application I submitted for their review some months ago, and to initiate the formal DCM selection process, as I prepare to join Christina on the Big Island and to retire from the Foreign Service with effect from July 1.
Dan Piccuta

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:53 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Saberi family wheels up

fyi

-----Original Message-----
From: Bishop, Christopher W
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 8:24 PM
To: S
Subject: FW: Saberi family wheels up

Colleagues, FYI.

-----Original Message-----
From: Catalano, Elisa
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 8:17 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Subject: Saberi family wheels up

We have confirmation from the Swiss that the Saberi family has left Tehran.

Elisa -

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:55 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Phil Gordon

fyi

-----Original Message-----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 7:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura
Subject: Phil Gordon

FYI, Phil was confirmed by the Senate this evening.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 7:22 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject:

B6

Today, 5/15/09 is her birthday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 3:23 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mel Matrinez

His office called to leave his cell phone number for us. I've added it to the grid here, and I'll also share it with Ops so they have it on file.

[redacted] c B6

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 7:50 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: All 3 calls confirmed

No additional call sheets for them. You have the bios for them which have their names and pronounciation.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 16 06:41:01 2009
Subject: Re: All 3 calls confirmed

Will I get info/names for Philipines and Indonesia?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 16 00:27:39 2009
Subject: All 3 calls confirmed

All speak english. Ops will connect.
In order are:
- 9am: Philippine Foreign Secretary Romulo.
- 9:15am: Indonesian FM Wirajuda.
- 9:30am: Thai FM Kasit

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 8:38 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Are you still here

I sent call sheet for indonesia for marina to give you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat May 16 08:24:07 2009
Subject: Re: Are you still here

I called house and got vm
I came to ny last night
Have a few things for you

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 16 07:13:20 2009
Subject: Are you still here

Or already in NY? Pls call me when you can. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 9:29 AM
To: H
Subject: Richard

Just got an email saying richard is available at your convenience [redacted] Also, pdb coming at 10am. B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 1:25 PM
To: H
Subject: Call paul [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 11:19 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Request to see the Secretary, the Deputies and Undersecretary Burns

FYI

From: Boucher, Richard A
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 10:12 AM
To: Macmanus, Joseph E; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Russell, Daniel A; Campbell, Piper A
Cc: McLean, Lori A; Mills, Cheryl D; S_SpecialAssistants; Coleman, Claire L; Rana, Gautam A; West, Thomas; Smith, Daniel B; Richard, Dona F
Subject: Request to see the Secretary, the Deputies and Undersecretary Burns

As most of you know, this will be my last week as Assistant Secretary for South and Central Asia. After three years in this job and an able successor about to have his hearing, I'm glad to move on. Before I slip out, I would like to stop by and exchange a few thoughts with the Principals. These can be short chats, a few words and a goodbye handshake.

I'm in the office all this week, and will be back with more time the week of May 26-29 to clean up and check out. Dona Richard, my OMS, can fix the times.

Thanks for your help in setting some meetings up for me, if there is time on your bosses' schedules.

Richard

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Berger, Samuel R. [redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 9:40 PM
To: H; H2

Thought some more after our conversatiion and have something to discuss that might be useful.

Call when you have a chance.

Sandy
This is written from my BlackBerry.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 6:40 AM
To: H; Doug Band
Subject: Re: Italy? Greece?

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Doug Band <
Sent: Wed May 13 06:30:30 2009
Subject: Italy? Greece?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 12:23 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: HRC

Fyi
------Original Message------
From: Greg Albanis
To: Cheryl Mills
ReplyTo: Greg Albanis
Sent: May 13, 2009 12:09 PM
Subject: HRC

Awesome The largest ceremony in NYU historyl Thank You !!!!!! The book is a special gift Greg Albanis Senior Director of University Events

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 4:59 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: chicago

FYI

From: Jim Kennedy [mailto:] B6
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 2:14 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Jake Sullivan
Subject: chicago

the beginning of a nice review from chicago tribune below....(and it looks like she added some excellent personal touches to the final remarks, if I'm not mistaken)....

"Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton held the infield today at the new Yankee Stadium. "Does it get getter than this?" she asked her audience.

And the former senator from New York **may have hit one out of the park**, as the commencement speaker at New York University's graduation, suggesting that everyone who had used their social networks to help elect Barack Obama president should turn that e-power toward doing good for other people."

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 9:57 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State
Attachments: Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx

FYI – we're going to get a short video from you that we'll put on our site announcing this. It's also one of the ideas we can use for how we are reforming the department for the reform committee.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J [mailto:RossAJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Cheryl/Jake:

We're going to forward with the doubling of mobile access to email and productivity tools. It's INSANE that fewer than 1 in 5 state Department are able to access their email or documents when they're away from their desk. It has contributed to the 9:00-5:00 culture here and exacerbates the disconnection between D.C. and the missions. This is a good short-term win and by my estimates will produce new fewer than 624,000 more hours of productivity by end of year one which I think is extremely conservative – it assumes just 1.5 additional hour online per employee per week. Given that those being given the tools are principally foreign service officers and people more senior than the mean average DoS employee, I think this is very reasonable. Will put an evaluative instrument into this to see if I'm correct. More detail on all this below if you want it.

I should point out that Pat Kennedy and the CIO have been great. This has been one of several instances where they listened, they got it, and they're moving forward. The CIO said she'd thought of it before, just didn't know if she could handle the politics. I'm not going to spend a ton of time on our "corporate IT" but in obvious cases like this I'll keep jumping in.

Last thing --- this idea got a lot of attention on The Sounding Board. I propose that HRC respond to the staff (maybe in a quick 60 second video that we post there) saying in effect - Thank you for sharing your thinking. I heard you. Because of you we're doing this.

Re-enforce that HRC is still listening to the staff.

From: Swart, Susan H
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 5:27 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Subject: Fobs for Everyone

Alec,

I met with Pat today and we did discuss expansion of the fob program. He is supportive and asked that we do a decision memo to him. WE need this get decision on funding and longer term strategy but I don't see this as slowing down an announcement the Secretary might make, we just need to coordinate timing. Attached is what we are using as the basis for the decision memo. It is more detail than you need but highlights some of the complexities. If you have any questions please let me know. Susan

<<Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx>>

Susan H. Swart

Chief Information Officer

Department of State

(202) 647-2889

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 8:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL

Precursor to earlier email I sent you

From: Tong, Kurt W
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Park, Pamela P; Tesone, Mark; Davies, Glyn T; Arvizu, Alex A; Kim, Sung Y; Kim, Yuri J; Richardson, Eric N; Ohtagaki, Johna O; 'Bader, Jeffrey A.' [redacted]; 'Russel, Daniel R.' [redacted] B6
Cormack, Maureen E
Sent: Fri May 15 19:20:56 2009
Subject: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL

1.4(D)
B1

CA/OCS and EAP/K held a one-hour conference call today with the families of the detained journalists. During the call, we briefed the families on the Swedish Ambassador's consular visit with Ms. Ling and Ms. Lee on May 15; [redacted] recent progress on efforts to arrange the release of the two women; an update on the proposed letter from the Secretary; [redacted] 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

[redacted]

Media: Although the families have thus far shown restraint from approaching the media to publicize their story, they are increasingly anxious in response to the DPRK's announcement of a June 4 trial date. They now feel that a media appeal is necessary and would be helpful to their case, citing the public attention given the Saberi case. They are considering doing interviews on Larry King Live and/or The O'Reilly Factor. [redacted] 1.4(D) B1

[redacted]

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/15/2029

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 12:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called for you

Will do

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri May 08 22:57:00 2009
Subject: Re: Holbrooke called for you

I called him back and got vm. If he calls you again tonight or tomorrow, can you connect him to me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri May 08 22:02:30 2009
Subject: Holbrooke called for you

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 3:51 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: NYU

Fyi in case you wanted to see raw input

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Muscatine, Lissa; Tillemann, Tomicah S; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon May 11 02:13:50 2009
Subject: NYU

Thanks for the opportunity to suggest a line or two -

1. As a Yankees fan (though not as expert as Kris), and an eyewitness to the "cap" fiasco, I share everyone's sensitivity to sports references. But I'm not dead set against trying to leverage that sensitivity in a self-deprecating, appropriately funny way. A couple thoughts along those lines:

a. "As you can see, I resisted the urge to wear my Yankees cap."

b. (if the stage is set up near, for example, second base; substitute whatever part of the field she's near) "This is about as close as I'm going to get to playing second base for the Yankees"

c. "I kind of feel at home here in Yankee Stadium, because ever since January, I've been playing on a team with a bunch of guys wearing pinstripes."

(on the other hand, I'm a little concerned about suggesting that the 1923 team "looked the same, talked the same, and came from the same cities and towns" - seems to be a little dismissive of a team that included such outsized personalities as Babe Ruth and Lou Gehrig.

Also, saying "Today's Yankees play with the strength of a global team" could provoke an unintended laugh because they are playing so poorly this year, so far. Maybe safer to broaden it to all of baseball - like "Major League Baseball is comprised of truly global teams."

And the "I don't care whether you bring us home a World Series" seems like stretching too far for a sports metaphor, but in a way that doesn't really make sense in context. maybe better as "I have every confidence that you will...You are starting your post-graduate life on a field of dreams, and you have every reason to believe that your own dreams of a better world can come true." (or something akin to that).

2. I think the speech could benefit from one clear "soundbite" that more succinctly captures the essence of her remarks. More of a clarion call to service, something like:

"...And I hope some of you will join our ranks. But the State Department cannot go up against the great challenges of our time alone. And so my message to you today is this: be the special envoys of your ideals, using the vast communications tools at your disposal to help advance the interests of this nation and every nation. Be citizen ambassadors in the course of your personal and professional lives, helping to forge partnerships built on mutual interest in the face of global crises. By creating diplomatic social networks, you

can extend the powers of our and every government to meet the needs of this generation...and help lay the groundwork for the kind of public-private alliances we need to end hunger, defeat disease, combat global warming and give every child the chance to live up to her or his God-given potential."

(and maybe go from there into a little riff like "Don't sell yourselves short. Many of you showed your capacity to bring change by helping bring Barack Obama to the presidency." (And then, after the inevitable applause at that line, follow with this quip, with a smile: "Where were you when I needed you?")

Finally, just a couple small notes:

-- "half of my senior staff used to work here" - the word "here" given that it's in Yankee Stadium might confuse a little - better perhaps to say "used to work at NYU."

-- "swine flu" - hasn't the government been trying to avoid using that phrase? (I like that sentence overall)

-- nice examples with Colombia and Kilimanjaro - though just wondering, since I'm ignorant of the facts, is it too much to say that the Facebook project really did more than years of military action?

-- if you happen to like the Obama reference above, then it would supplant the "social networking platforms" line in the speech - but if you don't like my suggestion, I would still suggest changing the "I am told" as that sounds a little gratuitous - better to be a little more self-deprecating, like "And there was also a recent presidential election in which social networks brought legions of young people into the electoral process...a few more into certain campaigns than others, I'm afraid to say..." or something like that.

-- also, if you don't use the suggested Obama reference, I do think his name should be used somewhere in the speech.

-- "suited up" doesn't sound like HRC to my ear.

love the examples of things people can do online to make a difference. also like and agree with idea of being short and punchy.

thanks again for the chance to suggest a couple thoughts...

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 8:31 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Maria Otero

[redacted]

From: Stern, Todd D (S/SECC)
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Tue May 12 10:25:41 2009
Subject: Maria Otero

I see that Maria Otero is on my schedule today for a short courtesy visit.

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 8:55 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Invite: Building a National Strategy for Global Development

B5

B6

From: Nora Toiv [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 5:09 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fwd: Invite: Building a National Strategy for Global Development

fyi

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Center for American Progress** <events@americanprogress.org>
Date: Wed, May 13, 2009 at 4:05 PM
Subject: Invite: Building a National Strategy for Global Development
To: nora.toiv

Problems viewing this email? View the **mobile edition** | **online edition**

Error! Filename not specified.

Please join the Center for American Progress for a special presentation:

Building a National Strategy for Global Development

May 19, 2009, 10:00am – 11:30am

Admission is free.

RSVP to attend this event

Introduction:

Rudy deLeon, Senior Vice President for National Security and International Policy, Center for American Progress

Briefing:

Reuben Brigety, Director, Sustainable Security Program, Center for American Progress
Sabina Dewan, Associate Director for International Economics, Center for American Progress

Marlene Cooper Vasilic
events@americanprogress.org

Director of Outreach and Special Events

1333 H Street, NW
10th Floor
Washington, DC 20005

Tel: 202.741.6246
Fax: 202.682.1867
americanprogress.org

Response:

James Kunder, Senior Fellow at the German Marshall Fund and former Acting Deputy Administrator, U.S. Agency for International Development

The United States is the largest national donor of foreign aid in the world. It is engaged in two major wars, each of which require improving local economic conditions to achieve success. And it faces a variety of challenges around the world—from responding to natural disasters to promoting democratic governance—that demand effective responses.

Despite these circumstances, the United States does not have a comprehensive, coherent strategy to guide its development assistance programs. With the advent of a new administration, the time is ripe to have a serious policy discussion about the merits of a National Strategy for Global Development.

The Sustainable Security Program at the Center for American Progress has written a National Strategy for Global Development, which it hopes will spark debate. The authors of the document, Dr. Reuben E. Brigety, II and Sabina Dewan, will discuss their proposal for an NSGD at this event. They will be joined by Mr. James Kunder, senior fellow at the German Marshall Fund and former acting deputy administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development.

May 19, 2009, 10:00am – 11:30am

Space is extremely limited. RSVP required.
Seating is on a first-come, first-served basis.

Center for American Progress
1333 H St. NW, 10th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Map & Directions

Nearest Metro: Blue/Orange Line to McPherson Square or Red Line to Metro Center

RSVP to attend this event

For more information, call 202-682-1611.

The Center for American Progress is a nonpartisan research and educational institute dedicated to promoting a strong, just and free America that ensures opportunity for all. We believe that

Americans are bound together by a common commitment to these values and we aspire to ensure that our national policies reflect these values. We work to find progressive and pragmatic solutions to significant domestic and international problems and develop policy proposals that foster a government that is "of the people, by the people, and for the people."

Center for American Progress | 1333 H Street, NW, 10th Floor | Washington, DC 20005

Unsubscribe from Center for American Progress event notifications.

Error! Filename not specified.Error! Filename not specified.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 6:50 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/14/09

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:00 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:00 am **PHONE CALL w/GHANAIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MUMUNI**
9:15 am Secretary's Office

9:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL BUREAU SECRETARIES**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:00 am **PRIVATE MEETING**
10:30 am Secretary's Office

10:30 am **PHONE CALL w/SAUDI PRINCE SAUD AL-FAISAL**
10:45 am Secretary's Office

11:00 am **BILATERAL w/LATVIAN PRESIDENT VALDIS ZATLERS**
11:30 am Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

11:30 am **BRIEF MEETING/PHOTO OP w/AMBASSADORIAL SEMINAR**
11:50 am **PARTICIPANTS** James Monroe Room, 8th Floor

12:00 pm **PRIVATE MTG w/ DOUG HATTAWAY**
12:30 pm Secretary's Office

12:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:45 pm Secretary's Office

1:45 pm **PRIVATE MTG w/ PHIL LEVINE**
2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **PRIVATE MTG w/ ROY SPENCE**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm **BILATERAL w/MALAYSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER Y.B. DATUK**
3:00 pm **ANIFAH bin HAJI AMAN** Secy's Conf. Rm. *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

3:00 pm **PRESS AVAILABILITY w/MALAYSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER**
3:15 pm **Y.B. DATUK ANIFAH bin HAJI AMAN** Treaty Room

3:30 pm **MEETING w/ELIZABETH BAGLEY AND KRIS BALDERSTON**
4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm **OFFICE TIME**
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 9:33 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Thanks

fyi

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 6:52 PM
To: Cheryl D Mills
Subject: Thanks

Cheryl:
Thanks for all your help and guidance on getting HRC to our Commencement.
Clearly she was a hit!
Her presence and her message added luster to the event.

Greg reported record attendance for an NYU Commencement and largest seated graduation in NYC history: over 27,000.

Sorry I missed seeing you but I understand many did...

I hope the Secretary was pleased.

All the best,
Lynne

Lynne P. Brown
Senior Vice President for University Relations & Public Affairs

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 10:08 PM
To: H
Subject: Calls tomorrow

Want to give you an update on the calls you requested. The ones confirmed are:
- Philippine Foreign Secretary Romulo confirmed for 900am. No translator needed.
- Thai FM Kasit confirmed for right after. No translator needed.

Waiting on indonesia. I left u call sheet and bios on your desk before I left. Ops will call the house.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 7:50 PM
To: H

John kerry called. Didn't give subject matter. Said he's on cell.

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills < B6
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:30 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

fixed.

speaking with Paul - just blind copied you on something re: global health.

otherwise, rest will keep.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:20 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills B6
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Got it. Is the other matter fixed. Anything else going on?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:14:18 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

sure -- bottom line - you need a special security code to get on line from a computer outside the building. Only 1 in 5 of our employees has gotten the device (fob) that allows you to do this access.

This effort is making sure they get fobs into the hands of more (or all) employees so folks can work from home thereby increasing productivity substantially since the 4 in 5 essentially do no work from home once they leave the building until they get in again b/c they don't have access to their email.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:02 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Sounds great but you'll have to explain to me!

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills <
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 21:56:56 2009
Subject: FW: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

FYI - we're going to get a short video from you that we'll put on our site announcing this. It's also one of the ideas we can use for how we are reforming the department for the reform committee.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J [mailto:RossAJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills[redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J B6
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Cheryl/Jake:

We're going to forward with the doubling of mobile access to email and productivity tools. It's INSANE that fewer than 1 in 5 state Department are able to access their email or documents when they're away from their desk.
It has contributed to the 9:00-5:00 culture here and exacerbates the disconnection between D.C. and the missions. This is a good short-term win and by my estimates will produce new fewer than 624,000 more hours of productivity by end of year one which I think is extremely conservative - it assumes just 1.5 additional hour online per employee per week. Given that those being given the tools are principally foreign service officers and people more senior than the mean average DoS employee, I think this is very reasonable. Will put an evaluative instrument into this to see if I'm correct. More detail on all this below if you want it.

I should point out that Pat Kennedy and the CIO have been great. This has been one of several instances where they listened, they got it, and they're moving forward. The CIO said she'd thought of it before, just didn't know if she could handle the politics. I'm not going to spend a ton of time on our "corporate IT" but in obvious cases like this I'll keep jumping in.

Last thing --- this idea got a lot of attention on The Sounding Board. I propose that HRC respond to the staff (maybe in a quick 60 second video that we post there) saying in effect - Thank you for sharing your thinking. I heard you. Because of you we're doing this.

Re-enforce that HRC is still listening to the staff.

From: Swart, Susan H
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 5:27 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Subject: Fobs for Everyone

Alec,

I met with Pat today and we did discuss expansion of the fob program. He is supportive and asked that we do a decision memo to him. WE need this get decision on funding and longer term strategy but I don't see this as slowing down an announcement the Secretary might make, we just need to coordinate

timing. Attached is what we are using as the basis for the decision memo.
It is more detail than you need but highlights some of the complexities.
If you have any questions please let me know. Susan

<<Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx>>

Susan H. Swart

Chief Information Officer

Department of State

(202) 647-2889

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:40 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

[redacted] B5

[redacted] B5 B6

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:29:53 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

fixed.

speaking with Paul - just blind copied you on something re: global health.

otherwise, rest will keep.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:20 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Got it. Is the other matter fixed. Anything else going on?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills[redacted]

To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:14:18 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

sure -- bottom line - you need a special security code to get on line from a computer outside the building. Only 1 in 5 of our employees has gotten the device (fob) that allows you to do this access.

This effort is making sure they get fobs into the hands of more (or all) employees so folks can work from home thereby increasing productivity substantially since the 4 in 5 essentially do no work from home once they leave the building until they get in again b/c they don't have access to their email.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:02 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Sounds great but you'll have to explain to me!

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 21:56:56 2009
Subject: FW: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

FYI - we're going to get a short video from you that we'll put on our site announcing this. It's also one of the ideas we can use for how we are reforming the department for the reform committee.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J [mailto:RossAJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills[redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J B6
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Cheryl/Jake:

We're going to forward with the doubling of mobile access to email and productivity tools. It's INSANE that fewer than 1 in 5 state Department are able to access their email or documents when they're away from their desk.
It has contributed to the 9:00-5:00 culture here and exacerbates the disconnection between D.C. and the missions. This is a good short-term win and by my estimates will produce new fewer than 624,000 more hours of productivity by end of year one which I think is extremely conservative - it assumes just 1.5 additional hour online per employee per week.

Given that those being given the tools are principally foreign service officers and people more senior than the mean average DoS employee, I think this is very reasonable. Will put an evaluative instrument into this to see if I'm correct. More detail on all this below if you want it.

I should point out that Pat Kennedy and the CIO have been great. This has been one of several instances where they listened, they got it, and they're moving forward. The CIO said she'd thought of it before, just didn't know if she could handle the politics. I'm not going to spend a ton of time on our "corporate IT" but in obvious cases like this I'll keep jumping in.

Last thing --- this idea got a lot of attention on The Sounding Board. I propose that HRC respond to the staff (maybe in a quick 60 second video that we post there) saying in effect - Thank you for sharing your thinking. I heard you. Because of you we're doing this.

Re-enforce that HRC is still listening to the staff.

From: Swart, Susan H
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 5:27 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Subject: Fobs for Everyone

Alec,

I met with Pat today and we did discuss expansion of the fob program. He is supportive and asked that we do a decision memo to him. WE need this get decision on funding and longer term strategy but I don't see this as slowing down an announcement the Secretary might make, we just need to coordinate
timing. Attached is what we are using as the basis for the decision memo.
It is more detail than you need but highlights some of the complexities.
If you have any questions please let me know. Susan

<<Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx>>

Susan H. Swart

Chief Information Officer

Department of State

(202) 647-2889

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 8:24 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Are you still here

I called house and got vm
I came to ny last night
Have a few things for you

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 16 07:13:20 2009
Subject: Are you still here

Or already in NY? Pls call me when you can. Thx.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762103 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE May 16, 2009

Statement by the Press Secretary

President Obama congratulates India on its historic national elections. By successfully completing the largest exercise of popular voting in the world, the elections have strengthened India's vibrant democracy and upheld the values of freedom and pluralism that make India an example for us all.

While the world awaits the formation of a new government in India based on the elections result, the United States recognizes the significance of the election for the people of India, who remain the strength and foundation for India's prosperity and democracy.

President Obama looks forward to working with the new Indian Prime Minister, once he has taken office, to continue and enhance the warm partnership between our two countries.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762103 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 11:21 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Let me clarify my prior email

My apologies -- I was offline this morning. We will get you that document.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 16 08:18:41 2009
Subject: Let me clarify my prior email

There are Qs+As thru out the briefing book but not yet a document of the most likely/contentious ones. That's what I'm looking to get next week. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 10:03 PM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke called for you

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 7:17 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Tom in Jamaica

Fyi

From: Kelly, Craig A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Fri May 08 18:03:51 2009
Subject: Tom in Jamaica

To All:

Tom wanted you to know that he and Hector Morales just departed Jamaica after meeting with Caribbean foreign ministers. Three main points:

--The Caribbean FMs welcomed discussion with Tom about the Caribbean security dialogue. Tom told them we would go into more detail at the May 20 experts meeting in Suriname.

--The FMs look forward to meeting with S in San Pedro Sula at the OASGA.

--On Cuba, the FMs expressed sympathy with what we are trying to do, but want to hear more about next steps.

Best,

ck

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 12:29 PM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke

He says berry phone better for today [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 12:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Holbrooke

Oscar has it. Should be printing now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 09 12:32:13 2009
Subject: Re: Holbrooke

I spoke w him. Any word from Pam? I have to leave.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 09 12:29:28 2009
Subject: Holbrooke

He says berry phone better for today [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 1:57 PM
To: H
Subject: Service

B6

You will see your speaking order in program, there will be no intros, you just walk up to podium.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2009 4:33 PM
To: H
Subject: Paul farmer is ready for your cal per cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:45 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

yes

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:44 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B5

I have some ideas we can discuss tomorrow. Can you stay until 7 if we need the time?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:40:24 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

B5

B5
B6

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:29:53 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

fixed.

speaking with Paul - just blind copied you on something re: global health.

otherwise, rest will keep.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:20 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

Got it. Is the other matter fixed. Anything else going on?

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 22:14:18 2009
Subject: RE: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

sure -- bottom line - you need a special security code to get on line from a computer outside the building. Only 1 in 5 of our employees has gotten the device (fob) that allows you to do this access.

This effort is making sure they get fobs into the hands of more (or all) employees so folks can work from home thereby increasing productivity substantially since the 4 in 5 essentially do no work from home once they leave the building until they get in again b/c they don't have access to their email.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:02 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Re: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

Sounds great but you'll have to explain to me!

----- Original Message -----
From: Cheryl Mills
To: H
Sent: Thu May 14 21:56:56 2009
Subject: FW: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

FYI - we're going to get a short video from you that we'll put on our site announcing this. It's also one of the ideas we can use for how we are reforming the department for the reform committee.

cdm

From: Ross, Alec J [mailto:RossAJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: 624,000 more hours of productivity at State

B6

Cheryl/Jake:

We're going to forward with the doubling of mobile access to email and productivity tools. It's INSANE that fewer than 1 in 5 state Department are able to access their email or documents when they're away from their desk.
It has contributed to the 9:00-5:00 culture here and exacerbates the disconnection between D.C. and the missions. This is a good short-term win and by my estimates will produce new fewer than 624,000 more hours of productivity by end of year one which I think is extremely conservative - it assumes just 1.5 additional hour online per employee per week. Given that those being given the tools are principally foreign service officers and people more senior than the mean average DoS employee, I think this is very reasonable. Will put an evaluative instrument into this to see if I'm correct. More detail on all this below if you want it.

I should point out that Pat Kennedy and the CIO have been great. This has been one of several instances where they listened, they got it, and they're moving forward. The CIO said she'd thought of it before, just didn't know if she could handle the politics. I'm not going to spend a ton of time on our "corporate IT" but in obvious cases like this I'll keep jumping in.

Last thing --- this idea got a lot of attention on The Sounding Board. I propose that HRC respond to the staff (maybe in a quick 60 second video that we post there) saying in effect - Thank you for sharing your thinking. I heard you. Because of you we're doing this.

Re-enforce that HRC is still listening to the staff.

From: Swart, Susan H
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 5:27 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Subject: Fobs for Everyone

Alec,

I met with Pat today and we did discuss expansion of the fob program. He is supportive and asked that we do a decision memo to him. WE need this get decision on funding and longer term strategy but I don't see this as slowing down an announcement the Secretary might make, we just need to coordinate
timing. Attached is what we are using as the basis for the decision memo.
It is more detail than you need but highlights some of the complexities.
If you have any questions please let me know. Susan

<<Fobs for Everyone short (1).docx>>

Susan H. Swart

Chief Information Officer

Department of State

(202) 647-2889

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 12:28 AM
To: H
Subject: All 3 calls confirmed

All speak english. Ops will connect.
In order are:
- 9am: Philippine Foreign Secretary Romulo.
- 9:15am: Indonesian FM Wirajuda.
- 9:30am: Thai FM Kasit

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,B5,1.4(D)

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 12:39 PM
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: Sri Lanka update

Importance: High

B5

From: Rana, Gautam A
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Scanlon, Amy B; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 16 12:31:22 2009
Subject: Sri Lanka update

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Sir,

Gautam

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/15/2029

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 9:06 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Philippine Burma Statement

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Learned, George E
To: S_SpecialAssistants; Abedin, Huma
Cc: SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Sun May 17 08:45:38 2009
Subject: FW: Philippine Burma Statement

Colleagues,

Per Mr. Gibbons request, please see below.

Best regards,

George Learned, Watch Officer
State Department Operations Center

-----Original Message-----
From: Gibbons, Thomas B (Manila)
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 8:35 AM
To: SES-O
Cc: Memmott, Larry L (Manila); Fernandez, Mario A (Manila); Thompson, Philip A (Manila); Schinnerer, Karen P (Manila); Marciel, Scot A; Lakhdhir, Kamala S; Kleine, Michael F; Burgwinkle, Kevin J; Kenney, Kristie A (Manila)
Subject: Philippine Burma Statement

Ops - Following Secretary Clinton's call on Saturday, May 16, Philippine Foreign Secretary Alberto Romulo had the Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA) issue the following statement on Sunday, May 17. The statement is on the Philippine DFA website. Much appreciated if you would inform S staff and other appropriate folk:

Philippine Statement:

The Philippine Government is deeply troubled and outraged over the filing of trumped up charges against Daw Aung San Suu Kyi and her transfer to Insein prison, particularly when concerns have been raised regarding her health condition.

These recent incidents will surely impede the process of national reconciliation and the Roadmap to Democracy in Myanmar.

We urge the government of Myanmar to resolve the matter speedily, and to release Aung San Suu Kyi immediately and unconditionally.

Regards

Tom Gibbons
Political Counselor
U.S. Embassy Manila

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 9:19 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (AP) Italian foreign minister cancels trip to Iran

From: Desai, Nisha
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-Iran
Cc: SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Wed May 20 09:16:57 2009
Subject: (AP) Italian foreign minister cancels trip to Iran

Italian foreign minister cancels trip to Iran

ROME (AP) — Italy's foreign minister has canceled a planned trip to Iran for talks on regional security issues.

The Foreign Ministry in a statement Wednesday cited a problem over the meeting place with President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad. It said it regretted the "lost opportunity" to discuss involving Iran in the stabilization of Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Foreign Minister Franco Frattini had been scheduled to depart later Wednesday.

The communiqué made no mention of reported criticism over the planned trip, nor did it mention a missile test conducted Wednesday by Iran with a range capable of reaching Israel and U.S. Mideast bases.

Nisha Desai
State Department Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 11:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Sri Lanka army: 3 Tamil Tiger leaders killed

Fyi

From: Davis, Timmy T
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-SCA
Cc: SES-O_Shift-III
Sent: Sun May 17 23:05:26 2009
Subject: Sri Lanka army: 3 Tamil Tiger leaders killed

Colombo (AP) – Sri Lanka's military says special forces have killed the head of the Tamil Tigers' political wing and two other top leaders in the northern war zone. There has been no word yet on the whereabouts of the group's top leader, Velupillai Prabhakaran. A senior military official says an attack Sunday night killed rebels' political wing leaders Balasingham Nadesan, head of rebels' peace secretariat Seevaratnam Puleedevan and a military leader known as Ramesh.

Tim Davis
Watch Officer
Operations Center
202-647-1512

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761432 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE REFORM: DEFINING A WAY FORWARD

AGENDA

1. **Self-Introductions**

2. **Framing the conversation**

3. **Discussion of the questions in section I of the paper "What are we trying to achieve?"**

4. **Discussion of what needs to be changed to achieve that:**
   a. **Structural & Management Change in D.C.**
   b. **Structural & Management Change in the Field**
   c. **Contract Reform**
   d. **Relationship between State and DoD**
   e. **Metrics & Accountability**

5. **Moving Forward:** *Coming out of this discussion, we need to define a process that will develop a comprehensive and detailed strategy that addresses each of these areas. Part of that process and strategy must also include a vision for working with the White House, the Hill and other agencies to accomplish our goals.*

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761432 Date: 06/30/2015

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE REFORM: DEFINING A WAY FORWARD

Foreign assistance reform is one of the most widely discussed and debated topics on the foreign policy agenda. Indeed, the volume of literature on this topic led the Center for Global Engagement to produce a "Report on Reports," to summarize the major points of consensus and disagreement among reports and outline another series of recommendations. To make the key decisions to shape our foreign assistance reform effort we need to answer three basic questions: (1) What are we trying to achieve? (2) Where are we now? and (3) What do we need to change?

The U.S. Record on Foreign Assistance

The U.S. is the world's largest donor of bilateral foreign assistance. In calendar year 2008 the United States disbursed $26 billion in Official Development Assistance (ODA). Elements of this assistance include:

- $6.5 billion to sub-Saharan Africa, an increase from $4.6 billion in 2007;
- $6.9 billion in bilateral assistance to least developed countries;
- $4.4 billion in U.S. humanitarian assistance.

In addition, over the past five years the U.S. committed:

- $18.8 billion in funding through the U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief to treat HIV\AIDS, Malaria & TB;
- $6.4 billion in Compact funding for 18 strongly performing countries by the Millennium Challenge Corporation.

The President's 2010 budget request provides $53.9 billion to State and other international programs, of which $36.5 billion is for foreign assistance -- putting the U.S. on the path to doubling foreign assistance by 2015. Meanwhile the 2009 supplemental funding meets diplomatic and assistance needs focused on terrorism, humanitarian assistance, stabilization and peacekeeping operations including in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq.

I. What are we trying to achieve?

The development of a comprehensive foreign assistance strategy requires both an articulation of the problems we seek to address, as well as the principles, objectives, and operational strategies that will guide our efforts. We have already defined and articulated a number of ideas: Smart Power; the Three Ds – defense, diplomacy *and* development; and the three P's of principles, partnership, and pragmatism. Our challenge is to further define:

- What are the goals of our foreign assistance programs?
- What principles and objectives should guide the formulation of our strategy?
- What emphasis should be placed on security and strategic objectives, vice humanitarian and poverty goals?
- What problems are we trying to solve?
- What gaps remain in our foreign assistance programs relative to the outcomes we seek?
- How do we need to be organized to get the job done?
- What budget, planning and coordination functions do we need in the long-term and in the short-term during the period of transition?

II. Where are we now?

In keeping with the Administration's commitment to renewing America's global leadership through vigorous diplomacy and innovative development efforts, initial efforts have focused on getting State and USAID the resources needed to rebuild capacity and on the civilian aspects of our most immediate foreign policy priorities (Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq) :

The 2009 Omnibus and supplemental start the investment in capacity;

The 2010 Budget increases investment in capacity and program;

Afghanistan, food security, global health and other initiatives reflect new approach to coordination and identification of key objectives;

The 2011 Budget process is being developed to drive collaboration across silos, integrating USAID, MCC, PEPFAR with regional and functional bureaus of State;

In the short-term, Defense authorizations have been limited in time and scope and are now in process to be driven to strategic resolution;

Proposed foreign aid authorization bills and NSC processes create pressure to accelerate work on a long-term strategic plan.

III. What needs to be changed?

Our foreign assistance programs are too fractured and discordant and the question is what policy and structural changes are needed to achieve a greater level of coordination and coherence. The following structures and mechanisms form the key elements of any reform agenda:

(1) Structural & Management Change: DC

- What should be the relationship between State and USAID? Between State and MCC? Between PEPFAR and these institutions? To the extent changes in structures and relationships require legislative reform, what is the likelihood this can be achieved?

- What is the best budget management structure within the State Department? What is the current budgeting and planning process, and what role do F and M play in it?

- What training and recruitment practices need to be developed to build the civilian capacity we need?

(2) Structural & Management Change: Field

- What role should the Ambassador and DCM play in coordinating our foreign assistance programs in the field? What mechanisms are needed to achieve greater coordination, including with programs managed from Washington?
- How can we improve flexibility and responsiveness to circumstances on the ground?

(3) Contract Reform

We need to quickly constitute a task force to address issues related to the following categories of service providers: (1) DC-based contractors ("Beltway Bandits"); (2) implementing partners and NGOs; and (3) security and service contracts.

- How do we ensure that even "good contractors" receive strategic guidance at the front end?

- What are alternatives to the contracting model? Nation-to-nation cooperation, greater reliance on local organizations, public-private initiatives, economic drivers such as remittances.

(4) Relationship between State and DoD

- What is the appropriate balance between civilian and military involvement in foreign assistance activities?

- How do we define "conflict" situations, and where do we draw the lines in this regard?

- How do we make sure the authorities and the money move together?

(5) Metrics & Accountability

- How do we measure efficacy and achieve accountability for results?

- How do we create sustainable and durable programs and avoid the narrow focus on achieving numerical targets?

Coming out of this discussion, we need to define a process that will develop a comprehensive and detailed strategy that addresses each of these areas. Part of that process and strategy must also include a vision for working with the White House, the Hill and other agencies to accomplish our goals.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:57 PM
To: H; Jake Sullivan
Subject: Fw: Are you getting ready to do something on g/l employees? -- it's leaking out (see email I recieved below)

Fyi
------Original Message------
From: Richard Socarides
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Jim Kennedy
To: Melanne Verveer
Sent: May 20, 2009 12:59 PM
Subject: Are you getting ready to do something on g/l employees? -- it's leaking out (see email I recieved below)

l/Oers

Heads up -- A friend just sent this to me. Does anyone have any intel? This is a huge step and kudos to Sec. Clinton for expending the political capital to be the first federal agency to do this!

FYI. Berman just spoke about it.

•Enact two items sought by the Foreign Service: elimination of a rule that inadvertently reduces the pay of officers serving overseas by as much as 20 percent, and extension to same-sex partners of the benefits given to spouses and their families, such as access to U.S. health care and moving expenses. This last provision is likely to provoke GOP objections.

I don't have the source my friend used, but hopefully some of you might. If this is true (which I hope it is), we have to start gearing up for the battle to support her now!

Yours in the struggle for equality,
*
+ Phil*
--
Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800 m:[redacted] B6

--001636c5a8d1a9d288046a5af203--

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 6:41 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: [redacted] B6

Today, Friday 5/22, is [redacted] birthday. He will be in the office until noon today. There will be breakfast goodies in his honor.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 9:17 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Former South Korean President Roh Dead At 62

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri May 22 21:12:44 2009
Subject: Former South Korean President Roh Dead At 62

(SBU) Embassy Seoul reports former President Roh Moo-hyun died in a fall while mountain climbing. Roh was under inversigation for bribery.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 10:58 AM
To: H2;
Cc:
Subject: Re: memorial service

B6

Dear Strobe, Bill and I would be honored to participate

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott
To: H; H2; Doug Band Hannah Richert
Cc
Sent: Sat May 23 10:00:15 2009
Subject: memorial service

Dear, dear Hillary

Strobe

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:57 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok its a mob scene here
All your people.
They will start the program as soon as you get to your table.
All on with speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 08:22:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Let's try on drives.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:16:54 2009
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761340 Date: 06/30/2015
RELEASE IN FULL

TESTIMONY BEFORE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON STATE/FOREIGN OPERATIONS

MAY 13, 2009

DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE JACOB J. LEW

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Fiscal 2010 State-Foreign Operations Appropriations

Introduction: A New American Leadership

Madam Chairwoman, Representative Granger, members of the subcommittee, it is an honor to appear before you today to discuss the principles and priorities that underlie President Obama's international affairs budget request for 2010. During our first months in office, the Obama Administration has launched an ambitious foreign policy agenda, recognizing, as the President has said, that the challenges of our time will not wait for sequencing. The United States confronts what may well be the most complex array of threats in our history -- Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan, terrorism, climate change, pandemic disease, extreme poverty, nuclear proliferation, and global criminal networks.

American leadership remains essential. But we cannot meet these threats alone. Nor can we use only one set of tools. Tackling these challenges successfully -- and seizing the opportunity to help build a more peaceful and prosperous world -- requires robust partnerships, greater capacity, and stronger institutions. It also means that we must invest more in the programs and people with the skills and capabilities to address these complex challenges.

This approach is what Secretary of State Clinton has called "smart power." As she has explained, only through the appropriate use of smart power -- harnessing the tools of diplomacy, development *and* defense -- will we succeed. These three pillars of smart power are essential to prevent and deter conflict and emerging threats.

The resources in this budget fund the operational elements required to support two pillars of our smart-power strategy -- diplomacy and development. We know that the key to our security and prosperity is a stable and secure world. And we also know that our power does not just come from our military might, but from our values, our capacity to form strong partnerships, and our ability to improve the lives of others so we do not pay the price of global poverty, instability, and ultimately, conflict. This budget represents a fraction of what our government spends each year on what has traditionally been defined as national security, reflecting the cost-effectiveness of prevention through diplomacy and development.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761340 Date: 06/30/2015

That is why the Secretary of Defense has come to Congress and asked that more resources be devoted to foreign affairs. As Secretary Gates said in his recent testimony with Secretary Clinton, "I believe that the challenges confronting our nation cannot be dealt with by military means alone. They instead require whole-of-government approaches -- but that can only be done if the State Department is given resources befitting the scope of its mission across the globe. This is particularly important in Afghanistan and Pakistan, where our ability to provide resources beyond military power will be the decisive factor." Or, as Senators Kerry and Lugar noted in their successful bipartisan effort to fully fund international affairs in the Budget Resolution, "From pandemics to climate change to failed states, this century's security challenges demand a new level of commitment to diplomacy and development. With this relatively small investment, we are making significant strides toward restoring America's leadership role in the world."

This budget -- a total of $53.9 billion, of which $48.6 billion is for the State Department and USAID -- is a 9 percent increase over the total FY 2009 funding level, including supplemental appropriations. It reflects the Obama Administration's commitment to fiscal discipline and transparency by shifting funding for predictable and recurring programs, previously funded in emergency supplemental appropriations, into the FY 2010 request.

We understand that this is a difficult moment to ask the American people to support even a modest increase in spending overseas. At the same time, the American people understand that our future security depends on avoiding future conflicts that will force us to pay a terrible cost in lives and dollars. Humanitarian objectives are also security requirements. Children need basic education to pursue opportunities rather than hatred; parents need jobs to reject the appeal of extremists who often seem to offer the only way to support their families; and for many, survival requires minimal access to basic health care services. With this request, we seek to strengthen America's position of global leadership -- ensuring that we remain a force for peace and prosperity in the world, advancing our own security even as we expand the circle of dignity and opportunity for people left outside of it.

Five Smart Power Funding Objectives

First, we must build the civilian capacity within the Department of State and USAID necessary for 21st Century missions.

Our diplomatic and development missions have evolved. Foreign and civil service personnel deploy alongside the military in Afghanistan and Iraq, reaching far beyond embassy walls to connect with citizens and communities whose support we enlist to suppress insurgency and drive out enemies like the Taliban and al-Qaeda. They build the capacity of fledgling political parties, ministries, and trade associations. They help create the conditions for economic growth and democratic progress, and lay the groundwork for expanded access to health, education, and other basic needs. And they build the alliances necessary to use smart power, including multilateral engagement and private sector

collaboration. Our diplomatic and development teams are increasingly posted in situations that resemble military conditions rather than traditional diplomatic assignments. We simply cannot spread our workforce thinner and thinner without increasing the risk that while we address a current hotspot we are missing an opportunity to prevent the next crisis or engage in such a way as to build new and capable partners.

The FY 2010 budget requests $283 million to support adding over 740 new Foreign Service personnel at the Department of State, a significant step toward achieving a 25 percent increase in State Foreign Service personnel over four years. I want to call special attention, however, to our efforts to rebuild USAID, where, as many of you have noted, human resources have significantly eroded over the past decade, even as we have ramped up development activities and our expectations of our lead development institution. The FY 2010 request includes a 45 percent increase in USAID operations to support adding an additional 350 new permanent USAID Foreign Service Officers and related capital improvements under the Agency's Development Leadership Initiative. The FY 2010 budget puts the USAID on a path to double its Foreign Service officers by 2012. The budget also would provide the resources needed to train the expanded workforces of both State and USAID with the language, diplomatic, development and managerial skills necessary for their mission, and allow us to increase civilian presence and leadership in places like Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq.

We must not only expand our reach but improve our management and oversight capacity. We see contract reform as a critical component of that effort. In FY 1990, USAID employed nearly 3,500 permanent direct hires administering $5 billion a year in assistance. As of FY 2008, USAID employed about 2,200 permanent direct hires administering $13.2 billion in assistance. USAID's ability to provide strategic direction and appropriate oversight is clearly challenged at this level. This diminished workforce has resulted in contracting out more and more programs and activities, bundling activities under large mechanisms, and in many cases, higher overall costs.

The only way to reverse this trend is to increase the core of foreign and civil service staff whose full time and sole responsibility is to serve their mission. Foreign and civil service officers on the ground need to be developing objectives, working with locally based organizations, providing oversight, and making decisions about how resources are utilized. We have announced our intent to double foreign assistance by 2015. This can only be accomplished if we have the people on board to drive the program.

The State Department has long needed greater capacity to respond quickly to stabilize situations at times of conflict or crisis. These situations may be caused by political or natural disasters, but they share a common need for a rapid civilian response. Our military men and women are often called upon to respond to situations for which they were not trained and where a civilian presence would be more effective. The military has done an extraordinary job, but it cannot -- and should not -- handle these situations alone. In order to build the capacity to deploy civilians rapidly, we are requesting $363 million for the Civilian Stabilization Initiative. This will expand our total response team to over four thousand persons and greatly increases our ability to prevent and respond to conflict

with an immediately deployable civilian counterpart to the U.S. military, ready and able to help stabilize countries in the transition from war to peace.

Included in that request is $40 million for a Stabilization Bridge Fund, which will provide the Civilian Responders immediate resources for critical transition and stabilization programs to reduce the need for long-term deployments of military forces or peacekeepers. Separately, we also request $76 million for a Rapid Response Fund to help stabilize turmoil in new and fragile democracies, such as what we saw during Kenya's most recent election, where a quick infusion of resources can help reconcile competing interests and support the will of the people.

In order to increase personnel and conflict response capacity, we also need the tools and infrastructure to enable our overseas personnel to do their jobs. The FY 2010 budget includes $2.095 billion to construct safe, secure, functional new embassies; a strengthened American presence in critical emerging areas; and expanded and secure global classified and unclassified information technology networks. These resources also support efforts to improve the efficiency of diplomatic and development operations, including modernizing antiquated software systems; integrating State and USAID information technology; participating in e-government initiatives that promote transparency, accountability and citizen engagement; upgrading reporting and financial management systems, and consolidating State and USAID administrative platforms.

Smart power means using all of the tools available to reach out to the world, and the FY 2010 budget requests $1.13 billion for public diplomacy and educational and cultural exchanges, providing the resources required to engage and influence people around the world, advance understanding of our country's principles and values, and facilitate the formation of strategic partnerships through the exchange of people and ideas. These programs connect people to people -- exchanging knowledge, information, and expertise, and bring people together around shared values. Public diplomacy programs often help build the sustainable relationships with local communities that become foundations of our development programs. And in a world in which 60 percent of the population is under the age of 30, our youth programs are among the most critical investments we can make. Extracurricular programs, educational opportunities, and exchanges help divert at-risk youth away from the influence of violent actors, and the use of innovative new media greatly expands our reach into this critical population.

Second, we must promote long-term development and human security -- both from the top-down and bottom-up.

Americans have seen first-hand the threats that emerge from ungoverned spaces -- the dangers that can take root with poverty, political oppression, and disenfranchisement. Elevating development alongside diplomacy as an equal pillar of our foreign policy is not only a moral commitment, but a national security imperative. Our superb military can stem conflict, but it cannot lay the foundations of long-term economic growth, good governance, and human capacity that will result in sustainable peace and prosperity. In the long run, the more effective we are at development, the less we will be forced to turn to defense.

Our "top-down" development strategy must strengthen the ability of governments to support just and capable institutions that meet the basic needs of their populations; and the enabling environment for broad-based, equitable economic growth, including access to the global economy.

Our "bottom-up" development strategy partners with citizens and civic groups to build human capacity, ultimately spurring the power of individuals and societies to innovate, cooperate, and solve problems -- both locally and globally. Overall, 56 percent of our assistance request is targeted to development programs, with special emphasis on economic development and good governance, global health, food security, education, and global climate change.

As Secretary Clinton has said, "We believe that extreme poverty poses a grave threat to global security and certainly to prosperity." Development experts have predicted that 50 million more people could end up living in poverty this year. A sharp increase in global poverty has the potential to spark new humanitarian crises, erode gains from a wide range of U.S. taxpayer investments in development, reverse progress toward achieving the Millennium Development goals, and destabilize countries that are our partners. Many responsible countries cannot raise funds to support safety nets, restore financial markets, or serve the poor.

These burdens will disproportionately fall on women and children, who are the most marginalized in many populations and who constitute the great majority of poor around the globe. Raising the status of women and their families -- and supporting their participation in the political, economic and social spheres of society -- is a key ingredient of our development goals. Moreover, investing in women is one of the most effective development tools for poverty alleviation and a country's general prosperity. It remains a simple fact that no country can hope to get ahead if half of its citizens are left behind. In recognition of this imperative, the Secretary has consolidated activities that were spread across the State Department in an Office of Global Women's Issues to ensure that our foreign policy programs, including our development initiatives, reflect the needs and perspectives of women and girls.

Economic Development & Good Governance: The FY 2010 request demonstrates the Administration's strong commitment to fighting poverty, both because it is important for our national security interests, but also to further American values. Funding for economic growth and democratic progress, both critical to achieving sustainable development and accountable governance, are up significantly over last year's level: $4.7 billion -- a 16 percent increase over FY 2009 -- is requested to drive economic growth; and $2.8 billion -- a nine percent increase over FY 2009 -- is requested for assistance to strengthen democracy and good governance worldwide.

Support for economic growth and governance is particularly critical in Africa and each is up by more than 30 percent over the current year: economic growth in Africa grows from $891 million in FY 2009 to a request of $1.175 billion, and governance from $265 million to $347 million. Funding is targeted to development needs and economic opportunity and governance programs critical to the success of the investments we have

made through the Millennium Challenge Corporation and the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief.

Food Security: The President announced at the G20 meeting in London that we will seek to double U.S. financial support for agricultural development in developing countries in order to, in his words, "give people the tools they need to lift themselves out of poverty." The FY 2010 request makes good on this commitment by including $1.36 billion, an increase of 62 percent from last year, including the FY 2009 Spring Supplemental Request, to address the root causes of food insecurity by increasing agricultural production and productivity. Our strategy meets short-term needs and builds long-term foundations to reduce food insecurity by improving production, increasing rural household incomes, improving the nutritional status of children, and reducing reliance on international food aid. Another $1.99 billion will be used to provide food aid, of which $300 million will support emergency food security interventions such as cash voucher and cash transfer programs and the local and regional purchase of food.

Education: Our request for basic education expands the United States' leadership in global efforts to help all girls and boys access quality basic education. The FY 2010 request ramps up support for basic education to nearly $1 billion, an increase of 26 percent over the current year, to expand access to schooling, improve educational quality, increase emphasis on educational results, and provide alternatives to extremist ideology. The request expands focus to include at-risk and out-of-school youth, and programs are coordinated with partner countries, other donors, and multilateral institutions, including the Education For All Fast-Track Initiative, to reduce the global education deficit.

Global Climate Change: Since taking office, President Obama and Secretary Clinton have made it clear that the United States is fully engaged and committed to meeting the challenges of climate change. The Obama Administration is bringing significant resources to the table -- almost a $1 billion overall U.S. Government increase, including funds requested by the Treasury Department, signifying robust and ambitious measures by the Obama Administration to combat climate change and help put the world on a pathway to a low carbon future.

Within the State and USAID budget, the FY 2010 request includes $309 million of additional international climate change assistance, added to an FY 2009 base of $272 million for a total of $581 million. These funds will help developing countries most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change adapt and become more climate-resilient and also assist them in pursuing low carbon economies through the development of clean energy alternatives. Further, we will support international programs aimed at reducing emissions from the energy sector, industry, and urban areas and encourage sustainable water and land use, including deforestation, which accounts for about 17 percent of global greenhouse gas emission.

Global Health: The United States continues to lead on global health in HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria, and we are now taking the next steps that will save the lives of millions more women, children and families in the developing world. The FY 2010 budget request includes $7.6 billion for global health programs for the Global Health and Child

Survival (GHCS) Account. This request is part of a six-year, $63 billion effort to launch, alongside our existing commitments and partners, an integrated approach to increase resources for maternal and child health, family planning and neglected tropical diseases with the potential to enhance dramatically quality of life, exponentially increase the number of lives saved, and break the cycle of poverty and disease.

To combat HIV/AIDS, this budget provides a $100 million increase for HIV\AIDS bilateral programs over FY 2009 enacted and demonstrates this Administration's commitment to continuing the fight against HIV/AIDS as the centerpiece of its global health agenda. In FY 2010, HIV\AIDS funding is almost 75% of the GHCS funding. In addition, a total of $585 million is requested to support the malaria initiative to reduce malaria deaths by 50 percent in each of 15 priority countries; and the $525 million requested for maternal and child health and $475 million requested for family planning is an increase over the robust levels appropriated by Congress in FY 2009.

Third, we must enhance strategic bilateral and multilateral partnerships critical to global security, stability, and prosperity.

We must renew our diplomacy and target our development assistance to address global threats too big and complex to meet alone, helping to develop the capacity of willing partners to work with us to solve global problems. Our strategy focuses on states that can or must be partners in regional peace and prosperity and those tipping-point states whose frailties present regional and global threats. And it seeks to leverage multilateral engagement, always a force multiplier in addressing challenges of mutual concern.

Our simultaneous top-down and bottom-up approach allows us to focus on building much-needed capabilities in government institutions while at the same time reaching out directly to meet humanitarian needs of the population. As the President and Secretary have made clear, the Administration is committed to ensuring that our spending in these areas is guided by clear plans with achievable outcomes. Such assistance will not be a blank check -- we will hold ourselves and others accountable and establish clear indicators for success.

In Afghanistan, we are seeking to help develop self-reliant Afghanistan Security Forces; promoting capable, accountable and effective government at the provincial, local and national levels; ramping up quick impact civilian assistance efforts that promote licit economic opportunities to support counter-insurgency efforts; and increasing alternative development funding as part of our counter-narcotics strategy. The FY 2010 request includes significant resources for non-military assistance to Afghanistan -- $2.79 billion -- an increase of four percent over the FY 2009 total, including the Spring Supplemental Request.

In Pakistan, we will work toward improving Pakistan's capability to fight extremists; strengthening government capacity and the rule of law; providing emergency economic relief and promoting economic growth, agriculture, infrastructure, and education as alternatives to extremist ideology; and developing a strategic communications strategy to counter terrorist propaganda. The FY 2010 request for Pakistan is $1.6 billion, an 11

percent increase from FY 2009 and a tripling of non-military aid from $457 million in FY 2008 to $1.3 billion in FY 2010.

And in Iraq, our funding request advances efforts to continue our support toward greater Iraqi self reliance. The FY 2010 request of $500 million, a decrease of 18 percent from the FY 2009 total, focuses on key areas that will help the Iraqis lay a foundation for lasting peace and stability, including strengthened institutional capacity at both the national and provincial levels, assistance to displaced Iraqis, and support to advance national reconciliation.

In the broader Middle East, we will continue to work to support greater stability and prosperity. The United States remains committed to a comprehensive and secure peace between Israel and its Arab neighbors, including a two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. We remain steadfast in our commitment to Israel's security. The FY 2010 request includes $2.8 billion for Israel, a $225 million increase from FY 2009, to support the second year of a ten-year, $30 billion commitment to help Israel maintain its qualitative military edge. Other U.S. assistance to the countries of the region, including Egypt, Jordan and Lebanon, support this objective by fostering stability, security and the economic prosperity of our allies and mitigating the influence of extremists.

Our assistance also supports the conditions necessary for a Palestinian state to be realized while continuing to meet immediate humanitarian needs. We are seeking funds to help strengthen the Palestinian Authority's governing institutions. These steps are essential to resuming serious negotiations toward a comprehensive peace in the region and establishment of a Palestinian state that will live side-by-side with Israel in peace and security.

The FY 2010 request includes $502 million for the West Bank and Gaza to support reforms of the security sector; improve local infrastructure; build institutional capacity; strengthen moderate voices, including in the private sector; create jobs; provide necessary humanitarian assistance; and promote initiatives in health and education. In all cases, our assistance programs in Gaza will be based on work with fully-vetted private individuals, organizations, and public international organizations, and will be carried out in a transparent, accountable manner to help ensure that aid does not end up in the hands of terrorist organizations. We will work with the Palestinian Authority and our implementing partners to follow established safeguards that will ensure that our funding is used only where, and for whom, it is intended.

Finally, in keeping with our prevention strategy, the FY 2010 request increases funding for Yemen by 83 percent, to $55 million. We believe we must work to help keep Yemen out of failed state status. We have requested significant across-the-board development increases in education, health, and jobs creation to mitigate this threat.

Closer to home, we seek to strengthen ties with our partners in Mexico and Central and South America. Our approach is one of true collaboration, targeted to needs they identify and building the security capacity of the state while also focusing on the root causes of crime in the region, including economic inequality, the lack of educational opportunities,

corruption, impunity, and weak governmental institutions. We request $550 million in the FY 2010 request to strengthen our efforts under the Mérida Initiative to combat narcotics and arms trafficking, gangs and organized crime in our hemisphere by strengthening law enforcement and interdiction capacity. Other regional priorities include continued support for Colombia's fight against terrorism and narcotics trafficking -- although at a reduced rate as Colombia continues assuming greater responsibility for counter-narcotics efforts. And we are meeting the President's Summit of the Americas Commitments with $55 million for energy and climate change, $31 million for a Caribbean Security Initiative as part of the President's Shared Security Partnership to compliment Mérida, and $10 million for an education partnership for at-risk youth.

Together with the review of our strategy in Haiti, and on the heels of the Secretary's visit, we are requesting significant funding for Haiti to strengthen its democracy, reduce poverty, protect the environment, and expand counter-narcotics programs. In the security sector, U.S. programs will focus on recruiting, training and equipping Haiti's police, and on stabilizing urban hot zones that until recently were incubators of gang warfare and political violence. On economic growth, we will focus on developing the country's finance sector, helping the government create a regulatory environment favorable to investors, and growing the capacity of the country's agriculture industry.

In Africa, the imperative to counter the threats of AIDS, Malaria, and other major obstacles to health and prosperity remains compelling. The global economic crisis has hit Africa harder than expected, with food insecurity exacerbated by low commodity export revenues and dwindling remittances and investment flows. These factors have threatened social unrest and political instability, particularly in the form of coups. Our response is designed to promote economic growth and support good governance in order to create greater opportunities, as well as to address issues such as food insecurity, with significant resources targeted to those countries in Africa that have the potential to become regional bread baskets. We also seek to buttress the continent against the erosion of the hard-won gains in political stability and democratic progress, particularly in Kenya and Liberia. Finally, our request is targeted to help resolve and prevent destabilizing conflicts, especially in Sudan, Somalia, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo. African entrepreneurs and civil society leaders have begun to reorient their societies toward growth and better governance. With our support and partnership, we can save lives, prevent instability and extremism from advancing, and put Africa back on the road to a prosperous future.

Many countries of East Asia and the Pacific have, in the course of a generation, lifted themselves out of poverty and become models for economic prosperity and political diversity. Our goals for the region include ensuring peace, consolidating democratic gains, and fostering continued economic reforms to reach the region's poorest citizens. Our programs in the Philippines and our work in North Korea help to reduce the risk of terrorism and nuclear proliferation which threaten the United States and the region. On the democracy front, Secretary Clinton announced the launch of a U.S.-Indonesian Comprehensive Partnership during her trip to Jakarta in February. This partnership seeks to support the successful transformation of the world's third largest democracy into a

stable, democratic and moderate voice, and a beacon of promise around the world. Finally, our programs in Burma, Cambodia, and Vietnam not only foster a more vibrant civil society, but put in place the building blocks for prosperity and wellbeing for vulnerable populations by investing in public health, education, and broad-based economic development.

In Europe, we are seeking to support policies geared to promote a continent whole, free and at peace. That includes supporting democratic reformers in Georgia, Ukraine and Moldova. For Russia, our request seeks to support the efforts of those in Russia promoting democratic reform while cooperating with the government in areas of common interest. For Southeast Europe, ensuring the success of newly-independent Kosovo is a top priority, followed closely by the need to facilitate progress on reform in Serbia and prevent ethnic-based crises that would prevent implementation of the Dayton Accords in Bosnia and Herzegovina. In addition, the request restores full U.S. support for the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) budget, preventing further operating shortfalls. Providing full financial support to the OSCE is in keeping with the Administration's emphasis on revitalizing multilateral engagement, which includes active participation on our part, as well as a commitment to paying our dues on time and in full.

In all of these areas -- from Iraq and Afghanistan to the Balkans -- our objectives and investments are greatly enhanced when pursued in partnership with the international community. Many of our foreign policy challenges rely on coalitions of like-minded partners, forged within our international institutions. And as demonstrated through our support for U.N. peacekeeping activities, the dollars we contribute to these fora leverage significant returns.

Therefore, success lies in maintaining U.S. leadership in the international community. Responsible global leadership means meeting our financial commitments to international institutions, even as we work with them to institute reforms to strengthen their efficacy. The Administration's budget requests $4.1 billion for assessed contributions to multilateral organizations and peacekeeping assessments, demonstrating our resolve to work together with members of the international community in the pursuit of common goals. Our overall request meets U.S. financial commitments to the United Nations and other international organizations that support a wide range of U.S. national security, foreign policy, and economic goals, including full funding of all 2010 scheduled payments to the Multilateral Development Banks, and a portion of outstanding arrears.

Fourth, we must strengthen global security capabilities.

One of the most basic responsibilities of government is to provide security and order to its people. Our allies and partners must be able to defend their territory and borders against both external and internal attacks. That is why our strategy seeks to forge partnerships among states to help build global security capacity in a host of areas, including peacekeeping, police training, non-proliferation, and combating nuclear terrorism. Notable examples include our Cooperative Threat Reduction Program with Russia; the Global Initiative to Combat Nuclear Terrorism, which brings together 75

partner countries; and the Proliferation Security Initiative, a global effort that aims to stop trafficking of weapons of mass destruction supported by over 90 countries.

The FY 2010 request also includes $90 million to launch a new multi-year effort to help address the wide array of threats posed by terrorist organizations. The Shared Security Partnership will allow the United States to forge strategic partnerships with allies to confront common global extremist threats, building on previous law enforcement and counter-terrorist efforts to create a regional and global information-sharing and coordination infrastructure.

This budget also continues funding for the Global Peace Operations Initiative (GPOI), which builds and maintains the capacity and effectiveness of peace operations worldwide. GPOI is on track to complete its global target of training 75,000 peacekeepers, and is shifting its focus from the direct training of peacekeepers to building sustainable, indigenous capacity. Funding will also enhance the ability of our partners to address counterterrorism threats through the Trans-Sahara Counterterrorism Partnership and the East Africa Regional Strategic Initiative programs; reform military forces in the aftermath of conflict into professional military forces with respect for the rule of law, including those in Southern Sudan, Liberia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Somalia; and address critical regional coastal and border security problems in Africa.

Finally, our resource request for nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, and demining activities is up 17 percent, including increases to expand the terrorist interdiction watchlist system and efforts to destroy conventional weapons stockpiles.

Fifth, we must maintain the resources to respond to urgent humanitarian needs.

The U.S. remains the leader in responding to the most vulnerable populations, providing basic life-sustaining support in the face of disasters; and protection of refugees, conflict victims, and internally displaced persons overseas. Our humanitarian assistance programs are the most fundamental expression of our values, from responding to the devastating impacts of the cyclone in Burma to the victims of conflict and instability in Darfur, Zimbabwe, and now Sri Lanka and Pakistan. We have also seen that leading with these values has a multiplier effect. It was our humanitarian assistance in the aftermath of the earthquake in Pakistan that began to turn the sentiments of Pakistani citizens away from extremists, and to see the United States as a force for good in their lives. And we know that the deep human suffering -- the lack of access to the most basic of needs -- can feed instability and further conflict.

Our request aims to budget fully for expected requirements for refugees, rather than continuing to rely on supplemental appropriations. The request includes $4.1 billion in refugee, disaster, displaced persons and emergency food assistance, which is part of our comprehensive U.S. food security efforts. In addition to basic needs, these resources assist refugees with voluntary repatriation, local integration, or permanent resettlement in a third country, and provide critical humanitarian relief, rehabilitation, and reconstruction in countries around the world affected by natural and man-made disasters.

Conclusion: Managing for Success

Securing the resources to promote our goals is an important step toward restoring American global leadership. But resources are not enough. With leadership comes responsibility, and especially in these difficult economic times, we must be better managers of our resources. Congress has been at the forefront of identifying ways to improve our assistance policies and practice, and we thank you for your leadership and welcome your ongoing consultation.

I hope that the simple fact that I am here before you today is a sign of our commitment to greater fiscal discipline and efficiency in international affairs. One of Secretary Clinton's first orders of business was to fill for the first time the position of Deputy Secretary of State for Management and Resources. This reflected her seriousness and determination that the Department must be a responsible steward of taxpayer dollars and maximize resources for greatest effect.

Among my first duties has been to undertake a comprehensive review of our foreign assistance programs. Secretary Clinton has asked me to make recommendations on new ways to speed the cause of development in nations left behind by poverty, to use foreign aid to buttress our foreign policy aims, and to bring the full power of our resources to bear. This effort is well underway, and we look forward to working with you as it evolves.

At that same time, we are already *acting* on some of the principles that will help guide our new approach to foreign assistance. We are learning from real-world experiences what works and what does not. We are also rethinking where to focus our attention to best advance our nation's security.

In particular, let me highlight the importance of an integrated approach to development to our efforts in Afghanistan and Pakistan. To get our policies right toward these two critically important countries, we must deploy our resources for maximum impact.

Both the State Department and USAID are building upon the Administration's overall strategic review to craft and implement a comprehensive effort that focuses on ways that civilian agencies -- State, USAID, working together the Department of Agriculture and others -- can bolster both security *and* development. We recognize that over the long haul, we will not have one without the other. Different agencies have different tools and different strengths. We are working to unite them behind a common mission.

You can see a similar focus on unity of effort in an entirely different initiative -- the Administration's efforts to develop a new food security strategy to dramatically reduce global hunger and poverty. The State Department is leading a whole-of-government process to design and implement this new strategy. We are creating an inventory of each agency's programs, identifying gaps in our current programming, and coordinating among all agencies to develop a shared strategy.

Both of these efforts underscore the need to develop basic mechanisms that allow us to integrate our strengths -- acting as a sort of diplomatic force multiplier under a shared

mission. State and USAID account for about 70 percent of official U.S. development assistance; we are just two of the nearly 20 U.S. agencies involved in providing foreign aid. We must care more about strategy, unity and results than we do about turf. We must be able to look at a country, a function, or an objective, and be able to identify everything that the *U.S. government* is doing in that area -- not just State. To meet the challenges of a world being bound closer together, we need a government that is working closer together.

Similarly, we must speak with one voice. Our partners abroad have sometimes received mixed messages from the array of agencies working in international affairs, each of which has its own rules of engagement. Our ability to get results on the ground will depend on our ability to field coordinated teams, both in Washington and around the globe. This is why we are so urgently focused on better coordination of U.S. efforts in Afghanistan and Pakistan, and it is why we are reviewing options about how best to develop systems and incentives to strengthen coordination across our foreign affairs programs.

Let me also mention another key principle behind our reform efforts: accountability. We must demand results. That means defining specific strategic priorities; developing and validating ways to measure performance with OMB, Congress, and other key stakeholders; and making budget and program-management decisions on the basis of results -- or lack thereof.

Finally, we are mindful that in an age of economic crisis and foreign challenges, the United States must be a more effective donor. Development progress is best achieved when priorities are determined and implemented by responsible partners, and aid levels are sustained, predictable, and coordinated with other donors. We must continue to strive for our assistance to be demand-driven, giving our people in the field the means to leverage opportunities and enhancing our ability to partner with responsible governments.

This is an ambitious agenda, but Secretary Clinton and I are looking forward to the challenges. With the right resources and your good counsel, we are confident that we can rise to meet the moment. We look forward to consulting closely with the Congress and other stakeholders as we continue to move ahead in the coming weeks and months. And I look forward to our discussion today. Thank you again for having me here today, and thank you for your time.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:05 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Calls

They r starting now
I have speeches too, just checking to see if u have edits for barnard.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 09:02:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

I will get there in about 10 minutes so they could start the prelims. I have both speeches.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok its a mob scene here
All your people.
They will start the program as soon as you get to your table.
All on with speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 08:22:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Let's try on drives.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:16:54 2009
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:57 PM
To: H; Jake Sullivan
Subject: Fw: Are you getting ready to do something on g/l employees? -- it's leaking out (see email I recieved below)

Fyi
------Original Message------
From: Richard Socarides
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Cheryl Mills
To: Jim Kennedy
To: Melanne Verveer
Sent: May 20, 2009 12:59 PM
Subject: Are you getting ready to do something on g/l employees? -- it's leaking out (see email I recieved below)

I/Oers

Heads up -- A friend just sent this to me. Does anyone have any intel? This is a huge step and kudos to Sec. Clinton for expending the political capital to be the first federal agency to do this!

FYI. Berman just spoke about it.

•Enact two items sought by the Foreign Service: elimination of a rule that inadvertently reduces the pay of officers serving overseas by as much as 20 percent, and extension to same-sex partners of the benefits given to spouses and their families, such as access to U.S. health care and moving expenses. This last provision is likely to provoke GOP objections.

I don't have the source my friend used, but hopefully some of you might. If this is true (which I hope it is), we have to start gearing up for the battle to support her now!

Yours in the struggle for equality,
*
+ Phil*
--
Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800 m:[redacted] B6

--001636c5a8d1a9d288046a5af203--

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 6:59 AM
To: H; cheryl.mills[redacted] Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Speech

B6

Yes -- Stephens is in DC all day, we can work with Ops on the call. I don't think we need to push the Angolans more than 15 minutes so should be fine. Should I move forward and adjust?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu May 21 06:30:57 2009
Subject: Speech

Can we try to change the Sri Lanka call and reschedule Stephens and postpone until later the Angolans so I can go to speech?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 1:22 PM
To: H
Cc: Jake.Sullivan
Subject: Fwd: Advocate.com: Draft Letter Signals Clinton Ready For Equal Treatment at State

Oh my.

cdm
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Richard Socarides**
Date: Fri, May 22, 2009 at 11:30 AM
Subject: Advocate.com: Draft Letter Signals Clinton Ready For Equal Treatment at State
To: Cheryl Mills

http://www.advocate.com/news_detail_ektid85471.asp

May 22, 2009

Draft Letter Signals Clinton Ready For Equal Treatment at State

By Kerry Eleveld

The Advocate has obtained a draft of a letter from Secretary of State Hillary Clinton to employees of the State Department that details her intentions to extend certain benefits to same-sex partners of foreign service officers posted abroad.

"Historically, domestic partners of Foreign Service members have not been provided the same training, benefits, allowances, and protections that other family members receive. These inequities are unfair and must end. Providing training, medical care and other benefits to domestic partners promote the cohesiveness, safety and effectiveness of our Posts abroad," says the letter.

The letter explains that the department will be "exercising its inherent authority to change its regulations in the Foreign Affairs Manual and Department of State Standardized Regulations" in order to allow domestic partners of foreign service personnel to qualify as family members. "Where appropriate, this extension of benefits and allowances will apply to the children of domestic partners as well."

Providing these benefits to all employees, notes the letter, will help the department "attract and retain personnel in a competitive environment where domestic partner benefits and allowances are increasingly the norm for world-class employers. At bottom, the Department will provide these benefits for both opposite-sex and same-sex domestic partners because it is the right thing to do."

The letter appears to be the culmination of Secretary Clinton's work with LGBT employee groups at the State Department. A source familiar with the situation who spoke on the condition of anonymity said the letter was drafted by senior officials at

the department and represents the Secretary's thinking on the issue. The letter currently awaits final approval from senior government lawyers.

The draft is consistent with **statements made this week** by California Congressman Howard Berman that Secretary Clinton is committed to equalizing the treatment of gay employees of the State Department and that he anticipated an announcement on the matter soon.

Full text of the letter is below:

DRAFT INTERNAL RELEASE
For Review

Today, I am pleased to announce that the Department of State will be extending a number of benefits and allowances to domestic partners of members of the Foreign Service assigned abroad.

While a career in the Foreign Service is rewarding, the demands to serve our country both at home and abroad also require great sacrifice by our Foreign Service personnel and their families as well. Family members often must uproot their lives, endure hardship conditions, and put their own careers on hold. Like all families, our Foreign Service families come in different configurations; all are part of the common fabric of our Post communities abroad.

Historically, domestic partners of Foreign Service members have not been provided the same training, benefits, allowances, and protections that other family members receive. These inequities are unfair and must end. Providing training, medical care and other benefits to domestic partners promote the cohesiveness, safety and effectiveness of our Posts abroad. It will also help the Department attract and retain personnel in a competitive environment where domestic partner benefits and allowances are increasingly the norm for world-class employers. At bottom, the Department will provide these benefits for both opposite-sex and same-sex domestic partners because it is the right thing to do.

The Department will be exercising its inherent authority to change its regulations in the Foreign Affairs Manual and Department of State Standardized Regulations to allow the domestic partners of Department Foreign Service personnel to qualify as family members for a variety of benefits and allowances. Where appropriate, this extension of benefits and allowances will apply to the children of domestic partners as well. To qualify for these benefits and allowances, an employee must file an affidavit identifying his or her domestic partner and certifying to certain eligibility requirements that will be set forth in the FAM.

The Department of State intends to provide the following additional benefits and allowances for declared domestic partners of eligible employees serving overseas:

- *Diplomatic passports,*
- *Inclusion on employee travel orders to and from posts abroad,*
- *Shipment of household effects,*
- *Inclusion in family size calculations for the purpose of making housing allocations,*
- *Family member preference for employment at posts abroad,*
- *Use of medical facilities at posts abroad,*
- *Medical evacuation from posts abroad,*
- *Emergency travel for the partners to visit gravely ill or injured employees,*
- *Inclusion as family members for emergency evacuation from posts abroad,*
- *Subsistence payments related to emergency evacuation from posts abroad,*
- *Inclusion in calculations of payments of overseas differentials and allowances (e.g., payment for quarters, cost of living, and other allowances),*
- *Representation expenses, and*
- *Training at the Foreign Service Institute.*

The Department also will work with our inter-agency partners and host country governments to provide domestic partners with diplomatic visas, appropriate diplomatic and consular privileges and immunities, and authorization to work in the local economy abroad.

We look forward to implementing these changes.

--

Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800 m: B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 8:08 AM
To: H
Subject: I just faxed latest barnard draft for you to review.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:07 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: FW: Budget Testimony
Attachments: FY_2010_testimony-- HACFO Lew.docx

Huma

Will you print for HRC for the plane – it gives background for budget testimony. It will also be included in her materials.


From: Hyde, Dana
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:28 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Lew, Jacob J
Subject: Budget Testimony

Jack's budget testimony -- ☺


RELEASE IN PART B5

Position Description
International Energy Coordinator/Ambassador-at-Large
Draft

B5



B5



RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 11:56 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Follow up

I did update a number of your contacts while you were at the FAPE dinner last Monday. They all were saved. I updated them from what I have in my blackberry, so this is from memory, but there were probably more:

Philippe
Monica
Jake
Andrew
Melanne
Todd Stern
Steinberg
Jack Lew
Kris
Lissa
Pam
Tamera
Anne Marie Slaughter
Miguel R
Robert Wood
Rob Russo
Laura Pena
Heather Samuelson

I'm making a list of other building people you may not have, and I'll do them tonight when you are in Netenyahu dinner. They include:
Ian Kelly
Dan Fried
Chris Hill
Glyn Davies
Jeff Feltman
Tom Shannon
Karen Stewart
Richard Greene
Michael Polt
Joan Donoghue
Jim Thessin
Reno HArnish
Derek Chollet
Ed Lacey
George Mitchell
Stephen Bosworth
Dick Morningstar
Paul Selva
Alec Ross
Dennis Ross

Ali Rubin
Brent Scowcroft
Joe Macmanus
Megan Rooney
Tomicah Tilleman
Rich Verma
Margaret Carpenter
Courtney Beale
Christopher Bishop
Charles Stith
Neera

I hope this is a more comprehensive list.

LJ

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:06 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Follow up

Which names did you put in? Maybe they didn't save. But I need a lot. Pls make a list and I will check and add if necessary.
I'd like to set up call to Sonia Gandhi in next few days.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Bill Clinton to be UN special envoy to Haiti: UN official

---

From: Gorog, Andrea
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-WHA
Cc: SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Mon May 18 20:33:42 2009
Subject: Bill Clinton to be UN special envoy to Haiti: UN official

Bill Clinton to be UN special envoy to Haiti: UN official

UNITED NATIONS, May 18 (Reuters) - U.N. Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon plans to name former U.S. President Bill Clinton as his special envoy to Haiti, U.N. officials said on Monday, in a move that could attract investment in the Western Hemisphere's poorest nation and help stabilize the country. "The announcement is expected to come soon," one U.N. official told Reuters on condition of anonymity. The official said a formal announcement could come as early as Tuesday. Clinton, who has galvanized efforts to help the impoverished Caribbean nation recover from the devastating impact of four hurricanes last year, accompanied Ban on a trip to Haiti earlier this year. Several other diplomats confirmed the appointment of the former president, who is trying to help Haiti through his Clinton Global Initiative foundation

Andrea Görög
Watch Officer
Department of State Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:05 PM
To: H
Subject: Gore number for tonite

Al Gore

[redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:19 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: mini schedule 5/20/09

8:55 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route Dirksen Senate Office Building

9:00 am **CONFERENCE CALL w/HEARING PREP TEAM**
9:15 am En route to the Dirksen Building

9:15 am **ARRIVE** Dirksen Senate Office Building

9:30 am **TESTIMONY BEFORE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS**
12:00 pm **SUBCOMMITTEE ON STATE/FOREIGN OPERATIONS**
192 Dirksen Senate Office Building

12:05 pm **DEPART** Dirksen Senate Office Building *En route State Department

12:20 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

12:20 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:00 pm Secretary's Office

1:05 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Hart Senate Office Building

1:20 pm **ARRIVE** Hart Senate Office Building

1:30 pm **TESTIMONY BEFORE SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE**
5:00 pm 216 Hart Senate Office Building

5:05 pm **DEPART** Hart Senate Office Building *En route State Department

5:20 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

5:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 10:10 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: dinner tomorrow night at 6:00pm
Attachments: Foreign Aid Reform v4.docx; Foreign Aid Reform dinner agenda.docx

Will print for you in am too

From: Hyde, Dana
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Lew, Jacob J; Steinberg, James B; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Verma, Richard R; Abedin, Huma; Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
Cc: Hanley, Monica R; Lavy, Brendan L; Dubose, Mary L; Toiv, Nora F; Laszczych, Joanne
Sent: Wed May 20 21:31:26 2009
Subject: RE: dinner tomorrow night at 6:00pm

All –
Attached is an agenda and background paper to help guide tomorrow's discussion. Thanks - Dana.

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 11:26 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Lew, Jacob J; Steinberg, James B; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Hyde, Dana; Verma, Richard R; Abedin, Huma; Carpenter, Margaret V.W.; 'paulhaiti [redacted] B6
'jpodesta [redacted]
Cc: ebahnser [redacted] Hanley, Monica R; Lavy, Brendan L; Dubose, Mary L; Toiv, Nora F; Laszczych, Joanne; 'Juliana Gendelman'
Subject: dinner tomorrow night at 6:00pm

As a reminder, please join the Secretary for a working dinner tomorrow night at 6:00pm on the 8th Floor in the Monroe room. The topic of this session will be "Foreign Assistance: Principles and Process for a Comprehensive Plan".

Please let me know if you need any further details – Emily and Juliana, I am sending you information on how to get into the building at that time.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to the Secretary of State
[redacted] (direct)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 4:48 PM
To: H
Subject: Calls

- call cheryl
- Durbin called u back.
Is available for next few hours on [redacted] B6
- don't return barak call. Mitchell just talked to him. We'll get you call readout first and then u can decide if u want to talk to him.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 9:16 AM
To: H
Subject: Trying to reach you

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott B6
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 10:00 AM
To: H; H2; Doug Band; Hannah Richert
Cc: B6
Subject: memorial service

Dear, dear Hillary

Strobe

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 7:54 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

I don't have her in my contacts but will track it down

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat May 23 19:20:26 2009
Subject: Ursula Burns

Do we have a # for her in Rochester?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 7:55 PM
To: H
Subject: Fyi

By MATTHEW LEE

WASHINGTON (AP) — Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton will soon announce that gay American diplomats will be given benefits similar to those that their heterosexual counterparts enjoy, U.S. officials said Saturday.

In a notice to be sent soon to State Department employees, Clinton says regulations that denied same-sex couples and their families the same rights and privileges that straight diplomats enjoyed are "unfair and must end," as they harm U.S. diplomacy.

"Providing training, medical care and other benefits to domestic partners promote the cohesiveness, safety and effectiveness of our posts abroad," she says in the message, a copy of which was obtained by The Associated Press.

"It will also help the department attract and retain personnel in a competitive environment where domestic partner benefits and allowances are increasingly the norm for world-class employers," she says.

"At bottom, the department will provide these benefits for both opposite-sex and same-sex domestic partners because it is the right thing to do," Clinton says.

Among the benefits that will now be granted gay diplomats: the right of domestic partners to hold diplomatic passports, government-paid travel for their partners and families to and from foreign posts, and the use of U.S. medical facilities abroad.

In addition, gay diplomats' families will now be eligible for U.S. government emergency evacuations and training courses at the Foreign Service Institute, the message says.

The announcement, expected this week, was provided to the AP by a State Department official who is a member of the Gays and Lesbians in Foreign Affairs Agencies organization. Two department officials not affiliated with the organization confirmed its accuracy.

The officials spoke on condition of anonymity because they were not authorized to speak publically about the changes.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 11:13 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

Ok great
His guy Conor called me at 11 saying he had just heard from Gore.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 22:59:23 2009
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

Who did he call and when? I talked to him 15 minutes ago.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 22:58:17 2009
Subject: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:41 PM
To: H
Subject: from rome... Sid

Hillary: In Rome, on way to Florence to NYU Center on Law and Security Conference. Here's the point: While here, I had dinner tonight with [redacted] (meeting with Pope on N. Ireland). He told me he's meeting with [redacted] on Friday. He told me things you would in my judgment want and need to hear because they will likely involve your personal role. I think you should step in and ask him to tell you directly. I also should relate to you when I return information about Brown government re foreign ministry. Meetings here with former PM Amato, former amb. Saleo, key journalists for Correire, Repubblica, Europa, RAI, etc, new re Berlusconi, as usual the real story is not what's public. Sid

Dell Inspiron 15 Laptop: Now in 6 vibrant colors! Shop Dell's full line of laptops.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:51 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/18/09

8:00 am **DEPART** Private Residence
9:00 am En route UN Building

9:00 am **ARRIVE** UN Building

9:00 am **"FOLLOWING IN HER FOOTSTEPS" BREAKFAST HONORING**
10:00 am **ELEANOR ROOSEVELT** UN Delegates Dining Room, UN Building

10:00 am **DEPART** United Nations Building *En route OTR

10:30 am **OTR**
12:30 pm Location: Tbd

1:00 pm **ARRIVE** Barnard College

1:00 pm **PULL-ASIDES w/PAOLA RAMOS AND KATE PYNOOS**
1:10 pm Location Tbd

1:15 pm **KEYNOTE ADDRESS AT BARNARD COLLEGE's 2009**
2:30 pm **COMMENCEMENT** South Lawn, Columbia Campus

3:00 pm **DEPART** Barnard College *En route LaGuardia Airport

3:30 pm **ARRIVE** LaGuardia Airport (LGA)

4:00 pm **DEPART** LaGuardia Airport (LGA) via US Airways Shuttle #2181

5:16 pm **ARRIVE** Reagan National Airport

5:30 pm **DEPART** Reagan National Airport *En route State Department

5:45 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

5:45 pm **MEETING w/CHERYL**
6:30 pm State Department

6:30 pm **PRE-BRIEF MEETING**
6:55 pm Secretary's Office

7:00 pm **WORKING DINNER FOR ISRAELI PRIME MINISTER**
8:30 pm **BINYAMIN NETANYAHU** James Monroe Room, 8th floor *Official photo at top.

8:35 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

8:45 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 9:31 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Speech

Will call you shortly - my tain gets in in 15 min.

Remind me to discuss brief filing and speech again -- everyone is going (Gates, Panetta, Napolitano, Holder) except for Blair. We don't have logically response to why you are not there when asked and team is showing up. Easy box to check since we don't yet anticipate going to egypt.

Cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 8:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Some other thoughts

AMS did not like any of this both for the substance and because she did not write it so we did not share it with you today. Then I decided to share it with you anyway for any value it has for you.

Frame:
The world we live in today is inextricably interconnected. Together we succeed. Apart we fail. In the Obama Administration, **we will use smart power to advance to our shared security, shared prosperity and shared humanity.**

In advancing our shared security, we seek to:

- Reduce threat of violent extremism
- Reduce the spread of weapons of mass destruction

In advancing our shared prosperity, we seek to:

- Use development to create and sustain strong stable economies by:
  - Focusing on women as essential for driving economic growth;
  - Expanding access to food and the means to grow or buy it; and,
  - Expanding access to quality education in developing countries;
- Expand access to stable, affordable and increasingly clean energy sources

In advancing our shared humanity, we seek to:

- Expand human rights and the conditions that promote respect for human rights
- Support the development of health systems that support healthier societies and confront the spread of pandemic disease (e.g., AIDs)

How we achieve these goals:

We will advance these goals through shared responsibility and the application of smart power. America has the responsibility to lead, but can only lead in partnership with others. Smart power means elevating and integrating diplomacy and development.

DIPLOMACY:

Focus on priority partnerships:

- Revitalized partnerships with historic allies EU, Japan, Korea, Australia;
- Calibrated partnerships with China and Russia;

- Cultivated partnerships: Brazil, India, Turkey, Indonesia, and South Africa;
- Targeted public/private and multilateral partnerships based upon the principles of variable geometry (*i.e.,* focused on the problem at hand).

Build strong partnerships by:

- listening and mutual respect – which has begun already and will continue
- being accountable for the challenges we create and demanding that our partners are similarly accountable;
- focusing on government to people, and people to people connections using new technology to create buy in from bottom up so shared responsibility is experienced on the ground and sustainable;
- eliminating the obstacles to cooperation that stand in the way of us achieve our goals (*e.g.,* resolving Cyprus so Turkey can enter the EU; engaging Iran to create greater accountability among EU partners if engagement fails)
- creating work plans to drive cooperation on our shared agenda: tasks, timetables and benchmarks for each country

DEVELOPMENT:

Establish and implement against our critical priorities:

- Women as economic drivers and social stabilizers;
- Education as the gateway to economic opportunity and human rights;
- Food and agriculture as the cornerstone of sustainable local economies
- Global health as the foundation for the future

Reform our government institutions

- Improve coordination in planning and budgeting across our diplomacy and development
- Make Ambassadors country-level CEOs responsible for government-wide efforts in each country.
  - Grow the quality, talents and capacities of our development institutions;
  - Introduce effective metrics and evaluation for accountability

Improve delivery and outcomes

- Assess and promote what works and stop spending resources on what doesn't.
- Establish transparent benchmarks for measuring success

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 1:09 PM
To: H
Attachments: CFR Speech Draft1ams5 23 09.docx

Fyi - oscar is printing the below from anne marie for you.

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Chollet, Derek H; Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Sat May 23 11:17:39 2009
Subject:

Cheryl and Huma,

As HRC requested for this weekend, attached is a draft base text for the big speech/FA article. I will be on a plane all day Wednesday heading for Asia to do policy planning talks, so it would be great to have her feedback either by phone on Monday or meeting with her on Tuesday – that way I could turn around another draft by Thursday to response to her reactons and then Lissa and I could work on turning it into a real speech draft and circulate more widely. I will also have plenty of time on my trip to work over a version that would be more suitable for Foreign Affairs, assuming she is comfortable with the overall frame and the different pieces.

Have a good weekend!

AM

RELEASE IN PART B5

A NEW COMPACT

B5

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 6:35 AM
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net
Subject: FW: FW: confidential

Dear Hilary it seems if you suggest a day she will come to you. DO you want to let me know and I will go back to them

From: [redacted] B6
Sent: 24 May 2009 11:31
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Re: FW: confidential

Dear Cherie,

Thank you for your message.

Would you be able to ask Hilary to suggest two dates either in Washington and or Europe in the near future? With the suggested dates, I can then confirm a meeting.

Should you need to contact me urgently you can reach me on my mobile at [redacted]

Regards,

[redacted]

2009/5/23 Cherie Blair [redacted]

Here is my reply from hilaary how do you suggestu I move it forward

Sent from my HTC Touch Pro

-----Original Message-----

Cherie-

So sorry I've been slow in responding but I am very interested in talking w [redacted] I would be happy to host her if she were to come to DC or meet her in Europe when I'm next there. How do you think I should proceed? All the best, Hillary B6

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 11:09 PM
To: H; Shapiro, Andrew J; preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

We will confer in the morning and come up with a plan

Also, sent Huma some info on NK to pass on to you.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Shapiro, Andrew J; 'preines [redacted]
Sent: Sun May 24 21:25:02 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Pls read Brian's message and all the email traffic below. What can we do about this? Let me know if you have ideas. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Greenspun [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Sun May 24 17:21:40 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Hi hillary,
Please call me about this if you have a moment. The message is self-explanatory, apparently there is no american voice in place to speak out or lead on this issue so --there is no voice. I believe you know Bruce Ramer who is my brother-in-law, former president of american jewish committee and well-respected entertainment lawyer in la. And, he is right. Look forward to seeing you next week in washington. Best, brian

---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Bruce M. Ramer [redacted]
To: Brian Greenspun
Sent: Sun May 24 14:08:16 2009
Subject: FW: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Brian, First, thanks for a nice family morning and for breakfast. Always fun, always interesting and always fulfilling.

Below is a sample of the kind of emails I sent to various people (studio heads; Dan Glickman; etc), which have started to generate concern, interest and action. AJC is also active on other fronts, as well.

Just below the email you will find the news item which first called this abomination to my attention.

I hope you can enlist Hilary's support (and please give her my personal best). We need, for many reasons, to have the US protest and condemn this outrageous boycott and to oppose the anti-Semitism inherent in it; cf Durban. The organizers of the festival must be convinced to reverse themselves. This, because of the inherent wrongness of it and that if it succeeds, it will encourage and motivate those who fomented the boycott to other, probably even worse, action. For example, there is in effect or pending (I am not certain which) a UK-Israeli treaty on film production; we should cut off at the pass any attempts to derail it.

Our Embassy in London does not yet have our Ambassador. The charge d'affaires is Robert Lebaron who I hope will receive appropriate instructions from DOS. If he acts quickly, it is likely that other nations will follow suit; again, compare Durban.

This is truly appalling and serious and I earnestly solicit your assistance with the Secretary, and through you my earnest solicitation of her assistance.

With appreciation and love, Bruce

PS Naturally, you are free to share this email.

Dear Michael, This is disgusting and outrageous.

If you have any films in the festival or can lean on anyone else who does, please get them withdrawn. We would not boycott the films of any other nation, even those we consider outlaws. Neither should they. And they should learn that boycotts beget boycotts.

Thanks for whatever help you can be.

Warmest regards. Bruce

May 20, 2009

Edinburgh film festival bows to pressure from Ken Loach over Israeli boycott

Mike Wade

A row threatened to engulf the Edinburgh International Film Festival yesterday after it bowed to pressure from the director Ken Loach and returned a £300 grant it had received from the Israeli Embassy.

Sir Jeremy Isaacs, the former chief executive of Channel Four, accused the festival's organisers of making "an appalling decision" and called on them to rescind it. Describing Loach's intervention as an act of censorship, he said: "They must not allow someone who has no real position, no rock to stand on, to interfere with their programming."

The grant was intended to enable Tali Shalom Ezer, a graduate of Tel Aviv University, to travel to Scotland for a screening of her film, Surrogate.

After days of protest against the award from pro-Palestinian organisations, Loach, an outspoken opponent of Israel's policies in Lebanon and Gaza, urged filmgoers on Monday to boycott Edinburgh.

"The massacres and state terrorism in Gaza make this money unacceptable," he said. "With regret, I must urge all who might consider visiting the festival to show their support for the Palestinian nation and stay away."

The intervention brought an immediate capitulation from the organisers. In a statement the festival said it accepted that Loach spoke "on behalf of the film community, therefore we will be returning the funding issued by the Israeli Embassy".

Sir Jeremy said that he was disgusted both by Loach's actions and by the capitulation of the festival organisers. "Ken Loach has always been critical of censorship of his own work, albeit it was many years in the past. The idea that he should lend himself to the denial of a film-maker's right to show her work is absolutely appalling," he said. He was "equally horrified" that festival organisers should accept that Loach was speaking on behalf of all British film-makers.

Sir Jeremy worked closely with Loach in the 1980s when, as chief executive of Channel Four, he commissioned a number of controversial documentaries from him. One, A Question of Leadership, was made in 1981 but never broadcast, leading to accusations of political censorship from Loach. The irony of the director's present position was all the more obvious, given the spirit of the Edinburgh festival, Sir Jeremy said.

"It must be good for cinemagoers at an international film festival to see films by Jews, Arabs, Israelis and Palestinians, to the benefit of all," he said.

"I have admired the Edinburgh International Film Festival for many years and would like to think that this appalling decision will be rescinded."

Loach's acclaimed new film Looking for Eric has made him the toast of the Cannes Film Festival. It is, uncharacteristically, a comedy, although its lead character is an authentic Loach creation — a Mancunian postman who goes off in search of his idol, the footballer Eric Cantona.

Ezer's film makes no reference to war or politics. It is a romance set in a sex-therapy clinic. It won the audience award at an international women's film festival in Israel recently.

Lord Janner of Braunstone, a Labour peer and former chairman of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, said that he was disappointed by the festival's decision. "By banning the Israeli Embassy from supporting a film-maker the festival is helping to exclude Israelis from British cultural life, something that is clearly unfair."

Last night a spokesman for the EIFF said that although it had returned £300 to the Israeli Embassy, the festival itself would fund Ms Shalom-Ezer's travel to Edinburgh out of its own budget

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:56 PM
To: 'Sullivan, Jacob J'; 'Abedin, Huma'; 'Lew, Jacob J'
Cc: laszczychj@state.gov; ToivNF@state.gov
Subject: CDM in NY on Wednesday for Funeral

I will be out on Weds to attend a funeral so am going to adjust my calendar accordingly tomorrow.

cdm

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 11:12 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (AP) North Korea: Nuclear Test

We are getting press confirmation. EAP is preparing call sheet for possible calls you place to the japanese and koreans, maybe chinese tomorrow morning.

From: McGriff, Tiffany L
To: NEWS-EAP; NEWS-Mahogany; S_SpecialAssistants; SES-O; NEWS-Korea
Sent: Sun May 24 23:08:53 2009
Subject: (AP) North Korea: Nuclear Test

(AP) North Korea says it has successfully conducted an underground nuclear test.

Tiffany L. McGriff

Operations Center

U.S. Department of State

Tel: 202.647.1512

McGriffTL@state.gov

From: McGriff, Tiffany L
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 10:45 PM
To: NEWS-EAP; NEWS-Mahogany; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: SES-O
Subject: (YONHAP) North Korea: Nuclear Test
Importance: High

N. Korea appears to have conducted nuclear test: source

SEOUL, May 25 (Yonhap) -- South Korea has detected an "artificial earthquake" in North Korea, suggesting the communist state went ahead with its threat to conduct a nuclear test, a source here said Monday.

"It was felt shortly before 10:00," the source said, declining to be named and adding that the magnitude was estimated at 4.5.

Tiffany L. McGriff

Operations Center

U.S. Department of State

Tel: 202.647.1512

McGriffTL@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:17 AM
To: H
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cherie Blair
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 4:37 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: confidential

I will go back to her and see when she is free when are you next in Europe or even better London. I will also ask when she can come to Washington

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: 22 May 2009 21:47
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Re: confidential

Cherie-

So sorry I've been slow in responding but I am very interested in talking w . I would be happy to host her if she were to come to DC or meet her in Europe when I'm next there. How do you think I should proceed? All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair
To: hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: H
Sent: Wed May 13 06:25:36 2009
Subject: confidential

Hilary,

You may know but for the last four years I have been working with the Qatari's and in particular with on issues in Qatar and I have built up a good relationship with them

has approached me privately saying they are keen to get their relationship with the USA onto a more positive footing and she was hoping for a "women to women" one to one private meeting with you. She is happy to come to Washington if you could make some time available.

Is this something you would be prepared o do. is someone who has real influence in Qatar and she has made a lot of difference already with her and with the in which I am involved as the I am sure the conversation would not be confined to these issues but would be about the US/Qatar relationship generally.

Cherie Blair

[redacted]

B6

US Mobile [redacted]

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 1:23 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

Long time politico, was close to rajiv ghandi. Ill send his bio to oscar to print for you.
On election calls, SCA is recommending calls to Congress Party head Sonia Ghandi, outgoing FM Mukherjee, and incoming FM Krishna. We also have a call sheet for Prime Minister Singh in case you want to call. Please let me know if u want to do these calls tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 23 12:51:45 2009
Subject: Re: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

What do we know about him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 23 12:02:59 2009
Subject: Fw: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat May 23 11:25:56 2009
Subject: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

(SBU) S.M. Krishna has been officially named Indian Minister of External Affairs, succeeding Pranab Mukherjee, Embassy New Delhi reports. Mukherjee, who had been dual-hatted as Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Finance, will keep the finance portfolio.

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 11:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (YONHAP) North Korea: Nuclear Test

Importance: High

This is still being reported, we have no confirmation.

From: McGriff, Tiffany L
To: NEWS-EAP; NEWS-Mahogany; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: SES-O
Sent: Sun May 24 22:45:24 2009
Subject: (YONHAP) North Korea: Nuclear Test

N. Korea appears to have conducted nuclear test: source

SEOUL, May 25 (Yonhap) -- South Korea has detected an "artificial earthquake" in North Korea, suggesting the communist state went ahead with its threat to conduct a nuclear test, a source here said Monday.

"It was felt shortly before 10:00," the source said, declining to be named and adding that the magnitude was estimated at 4.5.

Tiffany L. McGriff

Operations Center

U.S. Department of State

Tel: 202.647.1512

McGriffTL@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 7:05 AM
To: H

I'm going to skip Yale today.
Assuming that's ok with you.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From:	PVerveer[redacted] B6
Sent:	Sunday, May 17, 2009 11:31 AM
To:	H2
Cc:	abedinh@state.gov
Subject:	women finally win in Kuwait!

4 women were elected to be members of parliament for the first time. As you remember (because you were an eloquent voice for them), women finally won the right to vote and stand for office in 2005, but failed to win seats in the two previous election cycles.
You know some of the women who won, including Rola Dashti, who was one of the women who got the Vital Voices award and had been part of the long-time struggle.
I told Lissa. You might want to mention in your Barnard speech.

Recession-proof vacation ideas. Find free things to do in the U.S.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 5:06 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Crisis Hot Spots (05/18/2009) (SBU)

See first two

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Mon May 18 15:14:29 2009
Subject: Crisis Hot Spots (05/18/2009) (SBU)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

Crisis Hot Spots

Monday, May 18, 2009
1500 EDT

SRI LANKA: RAJAPAKSA TO DECLARE VICTORY, IDP NEEDS GROW

(SBU) The government and NGOs are struggling to respond to the needs of 60-80,000 civilians who fled the conflict zone since May 15 and are still arriving at IDP camps. Shelter, water, basic health care, and sanitation are the most urgent needs among the 280,000 civilians now in overcrowded camps. UNSYG Ban will travel to Sri Lanka May 22. The EU pushed for an independent investigation into alleged human rights violations in the war, mainly over reported civilian deaths. Czech FM Kohout said the inquiry should be carried out by Sri Lankan authorities, but could involve non-government and UN bodies. ***(Colombo 539, Reuters)***

SOMALIA: ISLAMISTS CAPTURE PRESIDENT'S HOMETOWN

(SBU) Al-Shabaab seized the key town of Jowhar north of Mogadishu as pro-government militia deserted their positions near the capital, media report. The government has lost control of most of south and central Somalia; press report insurgents are heading toward the border town of Beledweyn near Ethiopia. The Transitional Federal Government (TFG) requested support from other armed factions in the fight against al-Shabaab. Embassy Nairobi comments the TFG plans to lobby Ethiopia for support at a May 20 regional ministerial meeting. ***(Nairobi 1010, Reuters)***

MALAWI: MAY 19 ELECTIONS TOO CLOSE TO CALL

(SBU) Parties are skeptical of the integrity of the electoral process, although the U.S. embassy and international partners will deploy observers, Embassy Lilongwe reports. ***(Lilongwe 257)***

PAPUA NEW GUINEA: VIOLENCE TARGETS ASIAN BUSINESSES

(SBU) Attacks against ethnic Chinese-owned businesses have spread throughout the country since May 12, with some localities reporting thousands of rioters, according to Embassy Port Moresby. Post adds the violence demonstrates growing resentment of ethnic Chinese economic influence. The disturbances caught authorities by surprise, and the government has not yet taken action against those involved, according to the Papua New Guinea desk. The embassy comments the racial basis of the attacks is a new phenomenon in the country, where the tribal fragmentation of society has kept such nationalist violence from occurring previously. ***(Ops/Papua New Guinea desk telcon, Port Moresby 88, 87)***

Additional updates on events in MALAWI are available through our classified website at http://ses.state.sgov.gov.

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 10:13 PM
To: H
Subject: Confirmed tonite

PJ Crowley
Daniel Benjamin
Judith McHale
Robert Blake

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 6:15 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Crete

Ill lock in today.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu May 21 23:10:46 2009
Subject: Crete

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 12:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Miliband...

Hillary: Spoke with Jonathan Powell. What we heard is accurate. More: Labour will suffer a large defeat in the European elections on June 4. (New poll in Guardian shows widespread disaffection with all parties as a result of the Commons expenses scandal; 27 percent voting for fringe parties.) Gordon will attempt to overwhelm news coverage of the loss with a cabinet reshuffle, as early as the next day, June 5. The plot (sounds like the Tudors): David is the target, from multiple angles and motives, personal ambition mixed with anti-Blairism. Peter has always wanted to be Foreign Secretary, a job held by his grandfather, Herbert Morrison. (Morrison was also deputy PM, why doesn't Peter want that?) New Labour people regard Peter as more ambitious than New Labour at this point. He supported Gordon's utterly misbegotten and wildly unpopular proposal to raise the top tax rate to 50 percent. The fallout is less resentment of the affluent to paying more or grudging understanding of need for new revenues as it is a sense that Labour under Gordon is abandoning the project for social aspiration and opportunity that is at the heart of the New Labour message. Ed Balls, one of Gordon's closest longtime advisers, is also determined to topple David. Balls is a contemporary of David's, wants to be leader of the party himself, and continues the Gordon v. Tony bitter rivalry into the future. Balls is currently Minister for Children and Schools and was linked to Damian McBride (Gordon's hatchetman, who has trashed Blairites, got caught out on his blogging scheme to smear Cameron). If Gordon names Peter to David's job, Balls would take Peter's and become Business Secretary. David would be pushed into Home Secretary. Once Balls takes Peter's job, Balls will likely reverse Peter's privatization proposal for the Royal Mail to win support from party's left-wing base, beginning of his campaign against David for post-Gordon leadership struggle. Tony sees the situation as terminal. Hope to speak wi th him soon. He is trying to become President of Europe if and when Lisbon treaty passes. Jonathan made an interesting and ironic comment about Colin Powell, given Tony's deference to him, that Powell's flaw was being too deferential to Bush. From bitter experience, Jonathan is wishing you well, be close to the president, and advance your ideas, too. There's more for when we can talk. Sid

At last, a great mobile device for under $50. Take a Peek!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 8:02 AM
To: H

Oscar should have printed gates shangrila conf speech.
Also, he should have printed the draft US-EU joint statement on Georgia for your approval. We would like to release it jointly with the EU, under the your name. Goal is to issue Monday in advance of planned demonstrations.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 12:03 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat May 23 11:25:56 2009
Subject: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

(SBU) S.M. Krishna has been officially named Indian Minister of External Affairs, succeeding Pranab Mukherjee, Embassy New Delhi reports. Mukherjee, who had been dual-hatted as Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Finance, will keep the finance portfolio.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 1:09 PM
To: H
Subject: Dennis ross called for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

Madam Secretary,

The text below is a blueprint for both a speech and a Foreign Affairs article. For the speech, we will have to shorten it and add more examples, as well as put it more in your distinctive voice. You will see lots of places where I have indicated in footnote that we need examples from what you have done over the past four months. We also need to flesh out the smart power toolbox section – this is a chance to roll out a lot of the innovative techniques/ideas that Alec Ross, Jared Cohen and I have been working on with others in the building. I have asked Alec and Jared to start working on that section already.

There are enough big ideas here to power several speeches, and perhaps too many for one speech. You could, for instance, make this speech primarily about power and purpose – discussing the world we are in, the strategy for expanding and using our power, and the final section on purpose, and then give a second speech that would focus on a new opportunity for American leadership using all the tools of smart power (with again a particular emphasis on how we can innovate with mobile information technology). In addition, however you decide you want to deliver the ideas contained here, I propose that this big speech, or two big general speeches, then drive a series of major policy addresses over the next six months on 1) development, 2) human rights and democracy (you could give that one at the Community of Democracies meeting in Lisbon and July and outline your distinctive approach to using democracy as tool to improve people's lives through more accountable government), 3) global governance/making multilateralism work (you could give that one at the UN), and then several on more specific policies such as a strategy for failing and failed states, climate change/energy security, creating global economic opportunity, a global educational initiative, etc. This would all build up to an idea that PJ has proposed of giving a "State of the World Address" in December before the President's State of the Union address in January.

You will see here that I describe the overall grand strategy (our broad plan for employing the various instruments of national power to advance the U.S. national interest and other national goals) as partnership, which I then qualify in terms of pragmatic and principled partnership. I do that because lots of conversations have convinced me that the strategy itself has to be summed up in one or two words w/o adjectives – e.g. containment, engagement, power-balancing, isolationism, liberal internationalism. That's the difference b/w a strategy and a slogan. The principled and pragmatic parts are critical, but they should come in the elaboration of the strategy if this is too have legs.

I have road-tested this in roughly 8-10 different presentations, largely in house to our new ambassadors and lots of different classes of FSOs and folks from the rest of government over at the Foreign Service Institute, but also at closed presentations at think tanks. The response has been very positive in terms of the way this frame brings a lot of different things together. Various other ideas here have also been previewed in your speeches and testimony already.

I am leaving for Asia on Wednesday for policy planning talks w/ my Chinese counterpart, for a trilat policy planning talk w/ my Japanese and Korean counterparts (these are all established before me), and then w/ my G-8 counterparts and also the other 6 countries invited to L'Aquila. I will have lots of time on planes to revise and incorporate comments from you and the rest of the team, as well as working w/ Lissa. We will then still have a full week when I get back before the earliest day you might give this speech. I have loved working on this; if we get it right you will stand w/ Marshall and Kissinger as a Secretary of State who took American foreign policy in a new and bold direction in response to changing historical circumstance.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:07 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: FW: Budget Testimony
Attachments: FY_2010_testimony-- HACFO Lew.docx

Huma

Will you print for HRC for the plane – it gives background for budget testimony. It will also be included in her materials.

From: Hyde, Dana
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:28 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Lew, Jacob J
Subject: Budget Testimony

Jack's budget testimony -- ☺

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: PIR <preines [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 5:20 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: H; Shapiro, Andrew J
Subject: Re: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott B5 B6

[redacted]

[redacted] B5

On 5/25/09, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:
> We will confer in the morning and come up with a plan
>
> Also, sent Huma some info on NK to pass on to you.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
> To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Shapiro, Andrew J; 'preines [redacted]
> [redacted]
> Sent: Sun May 24 21:25:02 2009
> Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott
>
> Pls read Brian's message and all the email traffic below. What can we
> do about this? Let me know if you have ideas. Thx.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian Greenspun [redacted]
> To: H2
> Sent: Sun May 24 17:21:40 2009
> Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott
>
> Hi hillary,
> Please call me about this if you have a moment. The message is
> self-explanatory, apparently there is no american voice in place to
> speak out or lead on this issue so --there is no voice. I believe you
> know Bruce Ramer who is my brother-in-law, former president of
> american jewish committee and well-respected entertainment lawyer in la. And, he is right.
> Look forward to seeing you next week in washington. Best, brian
>
> ---
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>
>
> _____

>
> From: Bruce M. Ramer [redacted] B6
> To: Brian Greenspun
> Sent: Sun May 24 14:08:16 2009
> Subject: FW: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott
>
>
> Brian, First, thanks for a nice family morning and for breakfast.
> Always fun, always interesting and always fulfilling.
>
> Below is a sample of the kind of emails I sent to various people
> (studio heads; Dan Glickman; etc), which have started to generate
> concern, interest and action. AJC is also active on other fronts, as well.
>
> Just below the email you will find the news item which first called
> this abomination to my attention.
>
> I hope you can enlist Hilary's support (and please give her my
> personal best). We need, for many reasons, to have the US protest and
> condemn this outrageous boycott and to oppose the anti-Semitism
> inherent in it; cf Durban. The organizers of the festival must be
> convinced to reverse themselves. This, because of the inherent
> wrongness of it and that if it succeeds, it will encourage and
> motivate those who fomented the boycott to other, probably even worse,
> action. For example, there is in effect or pending (I am not certain
> which) a UK-Israeli treaty on film production; we should cut off at the pass any attempts to derail it.
>
> Our Embassy in London does not yet have our Ambassador. The charge
> d'affaires is Robert Lebaron who I hope will receive appropriate
> instructions from DOS. If he acts quickly, it is likely that other
> nations will follow suit; again, compare Durban.
>
> This is truly appalling and serious and I earnestly solicit your
> assistance with the Secretary, and through you my earnest solicitation
> of her assistance.
>
> With appreciation and love, Bruce
>
> PS Naturally, you are free to share this email.
>
>
>
> Dear Michael, This is disgusting and outrageous.
>
> If you have any films in the festival or can lean on anyone else who
> does, please get them withdrawn. We would not boycott the films of
> any other nation, even those we consider outlaws. Neither should
> they. And they should learn that boycotts beget boycotts.
>
> Thanks for whatever help you can be.
>
> Warmest regards. Bruce
>

>
>
>
>
> May 20, 2009
>
>
> Edinburgh film festival bows to pressure from Ken Loach over Israeli
> boycott
>
>
>
>
> Mike Wade
>
> A row threatened to engulf the Edinburgh International Film Festival
> yesterday after it bowed to pressure from the director Ken Loach and
> returned a £300 grant it had received from the Israeli Embassy.
>
> Sir Jeremy Isaacs, the former chief executive of Channel Four, accused
> the festival's organisers of making "an appalling decision" and called
> on them to rescind it. Describing Loach's intervention as an act of
> censorship, he
> said: "They must not allow someone who has no real position, no rock
> to stand on, to interfere with their programming."
>
> The grant was intended to enable Tali Shalom Ezer, a graduate of Tel
> Aviv University, to travel to Scotland for a screening of her film, Surrogate.
>
> After days of protest against the award from pro-Palestinian
> organisations, Loach, an outspoken opponent of Israel's policies in
> Lebanon and Gaza, urged filmgoers on Monday to boycott Edinburgh.
>
> "The massacres and state terrorism in Gaza make this money unacceptable,"
> he said. "With regret, I must urge all who might consider visiting the
> festival to show their support for the Palestinian nation and stay away."
>
> The intervention brought an immediate capitulation from the
> organisers. In a statement the festival said it accepted that Loach
> spoke "on behalf of the film community, therefore we will be returning
> the funding issued by the Israeli Embassy".
>
> Sir Jeremy said that he was disgusted both by Loach's actions and by
> the capitulation of the festival organisers. "Ken Loach has always
> been critical of censorship of his own work, albeit it was many years
> in the past. The idea that he should lend himself to the denial of a
> film-maker's right to show her work is absolutely appalling," he said. He was "equally horrified"
> that festival organisers should accept that Loach was speaking on
> behalf of all British film-makers.
>
> Sir Jeremy worked closely with Loach in the 1980s when, as chief
> executive of Channel Four, he commissioned a number of controversial
> documentaries from him. One, A Question of Leadership, was made in

> 1981 but never broadcast, leading to accusations of political
> censorship from Loach. The irony of the director's present position
> was all the more obvious, given the spirit of the Edinburgh festival, Sir Jeremy said.
>
> "It must be good for cinemagoers at an international film festival to
> see films by Jews, Arabs, Israelis and Palestinians, to the benefit of
> all," he said.
>
> "I have admired the Edinburgh International Film Festival for many
> years and would like to think that this appalling decision will be rescinded."
>
> Loach's acclaimed new film Looking for Eric has made him the toast of
> the Cannes Film Festival. It is, uncharacteristically, a comedy,
> although its lead character is an authentic Loach creation — a
> Mancunian postman who goes off in search of his idol, the footballer Eric Cantona.
>
> Ezer's film makes no reference to war or politics. It is a romance set
> in a sex-therapy clinic. It won the audience award at an international
> women's film festival in Israel recently.
>
> Lord Janner of Braunstone, a Labour peer and former chairman of the
> Board of Deputies of British Jews, said that he was disappointed by
> the festival's decision. "By banning the Israeli Embassy from
> supporting a film-maker the festival is helping to exclude Israelis
> from British cultural life, something that is clearly unfair."
>
> Last night a spokesman for the EIFF said that although it had returned
> £300 to the Israeli Embassy, the festival itself would fund Ms
> Shalom-Ezer's travel to Edinburgh out of its own budget
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 6:57 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: STATEMENT FROM THE PRESIDENT REGARDING NORTH KOREA

----- Original Message -----
From: Smith-Wilson, Shelby V
To: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E; D(L); D(S); P; Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Tong, Kurt W; Davies, Glyn T; Higgins, Melanie H
Cc: SES_DutyDeputies; SES-O_SWO-Only; Lan, Melissa J
Sent: Mon May 25 04:26:30 2009
Subject: FW: STATEMENT FROM THE PRESIDENT REGARDING NORTH KOREA

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Demyanovich, Melissa R. [mailto [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 4:13 AM
To: SES-O
Subject: STATEMENT FROM THE PRESIDENT REGARDING NORTH KOREA

THE WHITE HOUSE
Office of the Press Secretary

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
May 25, 2009

STATEMENT FROM THE PRESIDENT REGARDING NORTH KOREA

Today, North Korea said that it has conducted a nuclear test in violation of international law. It appears to also have attempted a short range missile launch. These actions, while not a surprise given its statements and actions to date, are a matter of grave concern to all nations. North Korea's attempts to develop nuclear weapons, as well as its ballistic missile program, constitute a threat to international peace and security.

By acting in blatant defiance of the United Nations Security Council, North Korea is directly and recklessly challenging the international community. North Korea's behavior increases tensions and undermines stability in Northeast Asia. Such provocations will only serve to deepen North Korea's isolation. It will not find international acceptance unless it abandons its pursuit of weapons of mass destruction and their means of delivery.

The danger posed by North Korea's threatening activities warrants action by the international community. We have been and will continue working with our allies and partners in the Six-Party Talks as well as other members of the U.N. Security Council in the days ahead.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:05 PM
To: H
Subject: Wjc

Wjc called during dinner. Its morning in seoul.
The number that works is [redacted] B6
I told doug u r home and wjc will call when he can.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:37 PM
To: H

Internet can help free US reporters in NKorea: Clinton

US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on Monday urged women students to use the Internet to campaign for the release of two American women journalists held in North Korea. Clinton urged graduates of Barnard College, a women's university in New York City, to show their opposition to Pyongyang's detention of the two journalists who are due to go on trial on June 4.

"We have two young women journalists right now imprisoned in North Korea and you can get busy on the Internet and let the North Koreans know that we find that absolutely unacceptable," Clinton told the graduation ceremony.

Clinton was highlighting the new media tools that women can use to fight injustice around the world.

North Korea announced last Thursday that it had set a June 4 trial date for the two journalists -- Euna Lee, a Korean-American, and Laura Ling, a Chinese-American -- but gave no details of the charges against them.

Clinton said afterward that the announcement of a trial date is "a signal that there can be" a resolution of the case soon, even though she said the pair should be released immediately and the charges against them are "baseless."

The North has previously said they would go on trial for "hostile acts" and illegally entering the country. Their trial would be held "on the basis of the confirmed crimes committed by them," it has announced.

While working on a story about refugees fleeing the hardline communist North, the pair were detained March 17 along the narrow Tumen River which marks the border with China.
Internet can help free US reporters in NKorea: Clinton

US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on Monday urged women students to use the Internet to campaign for the release of two American women journalists held in North Korea. Clinton urged graduates of Barnard College, a women's university in New York City, to show their opposition to Pyongyang's detention of the two journalists who are due to go on trial on June 4.

"We have two young women journalists right now imprisoned in North Korea and you can get busy on the Internet and let the North Koreans know that we find that absolutely unacceptable," Clinton told the graduation ceremony.

Clinton was highlighting the new media tools that women can use to fight injustice around the world.

North Korea announced last Thursday that it had set a June 4 trial date for the two journalists -- Euna Lee, a Korean-American, and Laura Ling, a Chinese-American -- but gave no details of the charges against them.

Clinton said afterward that the announcement of a trial date is "a signal that there can be" a resolution of the case soon, even though she said the pair should be released immediately and the charges against them are "baseless."

The North has previously said they would go on trial for "hostile acts" and illegally entering the country. Their trial would be held "on the basis of the confirmed crimes committed by them," it has announced.

While working on a story about refugees fleeing the hardline communist North, the pair were detained March 17 along the narrow Tumen River which marks the border with China.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: PIR <preines[redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 7:44 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Barnard

Yes, should be doable. On it.

------Original Message------
From: Evergreen
To: PIR
Subject: Barnard
Sent: May 19, 2009 7:40 AM

Anyway to get attn about what I said about religion being used to subjugate women?
Just wondering?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Neera Tanden <ntanden[redacted]> B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 6:51 PM
To: H
Subject: In case you missed this

This was on Paul Krugman's blog yesterday. I, for one, enjoyed it. Hope you're very well Hillary.

May 19, 2009, *7:35 am*

Ahem

Politico:

> Health care could soon go the way of the automobile, with users having no choice but to buy insurance coverage.
>
> Within four years, every American could be required to own health insurance or pay their way through tax penalties. The odds of such a sea change rose last week when chief Democratic and Republican Senate negotiators on a health care bill acknowledged that many on the Finance Committee considered the so-called individual mandate essential to lower insurance costs for those who already have coverage.
>
> ...
>
> President Barack Obama didn't embrace the individual mandate during the campaign, which means he would essentially start from scratch in terms of selling the idea to voters.
>
> The mandate was a central policy difference with Hillary Clinton, who argued that a mandate was the only way to achieve universal coverage. Obama disagreed, saying enough people would purchase insurance voluntarily if the costs could be brought down.
>
> Progressive policy experts widely agreed that Obama's plan would have left out about 15 million people. Clinton, with an assist from New York Times columnist Paul Krugman, regularly assailed Obama for his no-mandate position.

Actually, I don't care who gets credit, as long as we actually get universal health care.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 3:25 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: from rome... Sid

Hillary: I'm on train until 1 pm (six hours ahead), my cell works anywhere). Sid

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'sbwhoeop <sbwhoeop
Sent: Wed, 20 May 2009 7:55 pm
Subject: Re: from rome... Sid

Can you talk? What # should I call?

----- Original Message -----

From: sbwhoeop <sbwhoeop(

To: H

Sent: Wed May 20 19:41:01 2009

Subject: from rome... Sid

Hillary: In Rome, on way to Florence to NYU Center on Law and Security Conference. Here's the point: While here, I had dinner tonight with Shaun Woodward (meeting with Pope on N. Ireland). He told me he's meeting with Jim Steinberg on Friday. He told me things you would in my judgment want and need to hear because they will likely involve your personal role. I think you should step in and ask him to tell you directly. I also should relate to you when I return information about Brown government re foreign ministry. Meetings here with former PM Amato, former amb. Saleo, key journalists for Correire, Repubblica, Europa, RAI, etc, new re Berlusconi, as usual the real story is not what's public. Sid

Dell Inspiron 15 Laptop: Now in 6 vibrant colors! Shop Dell's full line of

laptops.
<http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1222399266x1201456865/aol?redir=http:%2F%2Fad.doubleclick.net%2Fclk%3B215073777%3B37034343%3Bf>

Huge savings on HDTVs from Dell.com!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 6:40 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/22/09

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**

8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**

9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:30 am **AWARD PRESENTATION FROM THE NATIONAL COORDINATED**

9:45 am **EFFORT OF HELLENES** Treaty Room

10:00 am **OFFICE TIME**

11:15 am Secretary's Office

11:15 am **MEETING w/KRIS BALDERSTON AND GLYN DAVIES**

11:30 am Secretary's Office

11:30 am **BILATERAL w/BELGIAN DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND**

12:00 pm **FOREIGN MINISTER KAREL DE GUCHT**

Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room at top.

12:00 pm **CONFERENCE CALL w/CEOs REGARDING THE SHANGHAI EXPO**

12:15 pm Secretary's Office

12:30 pm **MEETING w/RICHARD MORNINGSTAR, SE FOR EURASIAN ENERGY**

1:00 pm Secretary's Office

1:00 pm **OFFICE TIME**

2:15 pm Secretary's Office

2:20 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Reagan National Airport

2:35 pm **ARRIVE** Reagan National Airport

3:00 pm **DEPART** Reagan National Airport via US Air Shuttle #2176

En route New York, New York LaGuardia Airport

4:17 pm **ARRIVE** New York, New York

4:30 pm **DEPART** LaGuardia Airport *En route Private Residence

5:15 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 8:57 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

These are the numbers ali has in her database:

[redacted] B6

I tested them both and they are both correct. The voice mail at the home number is non-decript/an older gentleman's voice, but I crossed-checked it on the internet and it is listed under ursula's name.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat May 23 19:20:26 2009
Subject: Ursula Burns

Do we have a # for her in Rochester?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 10:06 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Sri Lanke

I will be home in 15 minutes and can talk anytime. [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun May 17 08:11:11 2009
Subject: Sri Lanke

It sounds as tho the war may be over. I want to move on a few fronts that I'd like to discuss. Pls let me know when I can call you--and what #. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 7:46 AM
To: H
Subject: Today

I'm meeting you at first stop.
I told oscar to pack commencement robe unless u want to use barnards. We would have to take back to dc otherwise.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 2:40 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: FW: my departure

FYI

From: Cicetti, Pamela S
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: my departure

Cheryl, thanks so much. [redacted] B6

[redacted] B6

My official day to leave will be Friday the 22nd which I will take as a leave day so that my last day in the office will be Thursday. Thanks again for your support and your friendship and good luck with the enormous responsibility you have assumed here at State. Love, Pam

---

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:05 PM
To: Cicetti, Pamela S
Subject: RE: my departure

Pam

First – congratulations – you know [redacted] is there, right? B6

Second – stay here as long as you like in lead up to June 1.

Third – I am happy for you – I loved working at a University (and miss it even more now), I am sure you will.

xo

cdm

From: Cicetti, Pamela S
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:34 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: my departure

Dear Cheryl, first of all, thanks for your support throughout this transition process. I have accepted a position in the

[redacted] B6

[redacted] Again, thanks for everything. Pam B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:54 PM
To: H; Jake Sullivan
Subject: Fw: LAWMAKER EXPECTS CLINTON TO SOON FIX GAY INEQUITIES AT STATE DEPT.

Whoo hoo!

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Richard Socarides
Date: Wed, 20 May 2009 18:55:59 -0400
To: Cheryl Mills Cheryl Mills
Subject: LAWMAKER EXPECTS CLINTON TO SOON FIX GAY INEQUITIES AT STATE DEPT.

LAWMAKER EXPECTS CLINTON TO SOON FIX GAY INEQUITIES AT STATE DEPT.

On Monday I posted that Rep. Howard Berman had introduced the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011 (H.R. 2410) late last week, which covers a broad range of foreign policy and diplomacy issues, one of which is to "end the long-standing practice of excluding the committed partners of Foreign Service officers from the benefits routinely provided to the spouses and children of officers serving abroad."

Today, news of developments in that area: "Berman, in a hearing on funding for the Foreign Service, said he would drop his legislative bid as 'it is my expectation, based on very recent conversations, that the Secretary of State will move forward with implementing all of the benefits provided in that provision in the very near future.' The congressman invited to the hearing Michael Guest, the former US ambassador to Romania who in 2007 left the Foreign Service, citing unfair treatment of his partner."

In February, Rep. Tammy Baldwin sent a letter co-signed by Senators Russ Feingold and Ron Wyden, and Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton asking that inequities be remedied. Clinton said that such remedies were "on a fast timeline."

--
Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017
w: 212.949.5800 m:

--001636c5b5264ec217046a5fee7c--

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 4:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Miliband...

Ok now,
Sent via Cingular Xpress Mail with Blackberry

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

Date: Fri, 22 May 2009 16:48:49
To: 'sbwhoeop
Subject: Re: Miliband...

Very sorry to read this confirmation. Email if and when it would be convenient to call you.

----- Original Message -----
From: sbwhoeop
To: H
Sent: Fri May 22 12:49:39 2009
Subject: Miliband...

Hillary: Spoke with Jonathan Powell. What we heard is accurate. More: Labour will suffer a large defeat in the European elections on June 4. (New poll in Guardian shows widespread disaffection with all parties as a result of the Commons expenses scandal; 27 percent voting for fringe parties.) Gordon will attempt to overwhelm news coverage of the loss with a cabinet reshuffle, as early as the next day, June 5. The plot (sounds like the Tudors): David is the target, from multiple angles and motives, personal ambition mixed with anti-Blairism. Peter has always wanted to be Foreign Secretary, a job held by his grandfather, Herbert Morrison. (Morrison was also deputy PM, why doesn't Peter want that?) New Labour people regard Peter as more ambitious than New Labour at this point. He supported Gordon's utterly misbegotten and wildly unpopular proposal to raise the top tax rate to 50 percent. The fallout is less resentment of the affluent to paying more or grudging understanding of need for new revenues as it is a sense that Labour under Gordon is abandoning the project for social aspiration and opportunity that is at the heart of the New Labour message. Ed Balls, one of Gordon's closest longtime advisers, is also determined to topple David. Balls is a contemporary of David's, wants to be leader of the party himself, and continues the Gordon v. Tony bitter rivalry into the future. Balls is currently Minister for Children and Schools and was linked to Damian McBride (Gordon's hatchetman, who has trashed Blairites, got caught out on his blogging scheme to smear Cameron). If Gordon names Peter to David's job, Balls would take Peter's and become Business Secretary. David would be pushed into Home Secretary. Once Balls takes Peter's job, Balls will likely reverse Peter's privatization proposal for the Royal Mail to win support from party's left-wing base, beginning of his campaign against David for post-Gordon leadership struggle. Tony sees the situation as terminal. Hope to speak wi th him soon. He is trying to become President of Europe if and when Lisbon treaty passes. Jonathan made an interesting and ironic comment about Colin Powell, given Tony's deference to him, that Powell's flaw was being too deferential to Bush. From bitter experience, Jonathan is wishing you well, be close to the president, and advance your ideas, too. There's more for when we can talk. Sid

At last, a great mobile device for under $50. Take a Peek!
<http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100122638x1222626752x1201458773/aol?redir=http://www.getpeek.com/aol>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 10:41 PM
To: H
Subject: Obama picks financial backer for UK ambassador post

FYI

-----Original Message-----

To see this story with its related links on the guardian.co.uk site, go to
http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/may/20/obama-uk-ambassador-louis-susman

Obama picks financial backer for UK ambassador post Chicago friend Louis Susman chosen for plum posting despite president's promise to end cronyism in Washington Ewen MacAskill in Washington Wednesday May 20 2009 guardian.co.uk

A little bit of Chicago's ruthless and combative political machine is soon to descend on the decorous calm of the Court of St James. Despite promising to end cronyism in Washington, Barack Obama is about to appoint one of his home town friends and financial backers to the plum posting of US ambassador to London.

The next ambassador extraordinary and plenipotentiary will be Louis Susman, a lawyer and financier with little experience of foreign affairs.

The appointment will end months of speculation. Susman's name first surfaced in a diary column in the Washington Post in February, but Caroline Kennedy was also mentioned, as was Oprah Winfrey, another of Obama's Chicago supporters.

There was no official confirmation of Susman's nomination today, but the Guardian has learned that the paperwork has been completed. Buckingham Palace approved the appointment this month and Obama will announce it shortly.

London has become a retirement posting for many backers of US presidents, offering a comfortable home in one of the best mansions in the city, Winfield House in Regent's Park.

Recent ambassadors have been political appointees more interested in country walks than Iraq or nuclear non-proliferation. Most of the work is left to the number twos at the embassy, career diplomats.

Susman, 71, a vice-president of Citigroup until he retired in February, has long been a backer of the Democrats, nicknamed the Vacuum Cleaner by the Chicago Tribune for his ability to hoover up campaign funding. "I don't think anyone enjoys raising money, but for some reason I seem to have a knack," he told the paper. Susman, who lives in an expensive neighbourhood of Chicago overlooking Lake Michigan, raised at least $500,000 (?320,000) for Obama's campaign and a further $300,000 for his inauguration.

He is so much a part of Obama's inner circle that he was invited to an exclusive party on the Sunday before the inauguration. Other guests included Rahm Emanuel, Obama's chief of staff, who typifies the sharp-suited, foul-mouthed approach of those brought up on Chicago politics.

London is not the only posting being used to reward political supporters.
Other positions in Europe are expected to be filled on the basis of patronage. Dan Rooney, owner of the Pittsburgh Steelers football team, who campaigned for Obama, is to be the ambassador to Dublin.

The appointments will disappoint former US career diplomats who have been pressing Obama to end the practice, especially since he promised to offer more of the top jobs to diplomats. In the Washington Post in December, a retired diplomat with 30 years' service, Morton Abramowitz, called on Obama to "declare that he will not appoint ambassadors who have secured their posts through financial contributions and who have little background to merit any such appointment".

Ronald Neumann, a former career diplomat and ambassador and president of the Washington-based American Academy of Diplomacy, wrote to Obama last year, saying ambassadors should have had experience of the country they are being appointed to. Neumann said today: "You would think with our most important ally we might occasionally send someone with some experience."

Historically, about 25% to 30% of the diplomatic posts have been political appointees. It is too early to say, but Obama's ratio could yet be lower.

The president's choice of Susman could be viewed as a snub to the UK. The relationship between the Obama administration and the UK government got off to a bad start. At least one member of the administration was irritated over the leaking during the campaign of a memo from the British ambassador, Sir Nigel Sheinwald, assessing Obama as "aloof". But the minor rows over the memo, the removal of the Churchill bust from the Oval office, the DVD present to Gordon Brown and other perceived slights have been presentational problems rather than a sign of ruptured relations.

Obama's predecessor, George W Bush, sent two of his financier backers to the
UK: William Farish, a Texas multimillionaire, and Robert Tuttle, a California car dealer. The lack of an experienced diplomat in London was felt most in the runup to the war in Iraq in 2003, with Farish invisible when a figure was needed to put the US case on camera and in print.

Copyright Guardian Newspapers Limited 2009

If you have any questions about this email, please contact the guardian.co.uk user help desk: userhelp@guardian.co.uk.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Visit guardian.co.uk - the UK's most popular newspaper website http://guardian.co.uk http://observer.co.uk

To save up to 33% when you subscribe to the Guardian and the Observer visit http://www.guardian.co.uk/subscriber

---

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately.
Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way.

Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited
A member of Guardian Media Group PLC
Registered Office
Number 1 Scott Place, Manchester M3 3GG
Registered in England Number 908396

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:31 AM
To: H
Subject: Fyi

Father Gutierrez, another honorary degree recipient and founder of Liberation Theology, is also not marching in procession and may be backstage when you get there.
People at yale think you have to deal with north korea issues so they are fine if need to leave lunch early.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:31 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok call in 20 min with australian fm smith

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 21:12:42 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

We can do tonight but not after 11. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 20:51:12 2009
Subject: Calls

Calling you at 9pm with FM yang.
Australian fm smith asking to talk to you tonite about north korea. U want to do after china? Or wait till tomorow?

RELEASE
IN PART B6

B6

From: Cherie Blair
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:54 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Further

Ok I will not suggest that but I will go back with the other suggestions and see what we can do

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: 26 May 2009 14:00
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Further

Cherie--

I will also be in Cairo for Pres. Obama's speech on June 4th, but do not believe that a meeting around that occasion would be a good idea or would be possible for me timewise. I did want you to know tho in case it is raised that I will be in the region. Thx again.

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 7:31 AM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

Tom backgrounded them yesterday in the briefing room, not certain but don't think he put down any groundwork on this specifically.

But in terms of setting expectations it would be a combo of a few overt and not overt things:

- Seeing what Admin/For Pol Members of Congress are on TV today and the shows tomorrow, all we need is one person to float it.

- We have flight time tomorrow when Tom & Jake can do it on background or OTR.

- There's one blogger that folks loosely follow, I know how to get her something through a 3rd party.

- I have to talk to a few of our guys today like Matt Lee on misc stuff, and I'm sure he'll ask me something about the OAS where I can easily say the folks in WHA are real pessimistic. And our travelers traffic info among themselves. The best thing to put out there would be the notion that there are those who don't think you should go. If you don't, you don't. But if you do, you chose to be direct, to make a principled stand.

Shouldn't be too tough, because the notion of Cuba being voted in is something they will WANT to believe, it'll make good copy. And it makes it easier to explain any decision/outcome

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 30 06:42:27 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

How will we set any expectations? Did anyone background the press yet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri May 29 21:47:49 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

If we set the expectation now that the outcome is likely to not go our way, ANY alternative - even one kicking the can down the road as Jake outlines below - would be seen as a significant victory.

And as an added bonus, it would be an opportunity to highlight your personal dedication to and prioritization of human rights/democracy, which we've been criticized for since China.

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
To: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri May 29 20:59:26 2009
Subject: Brazil and Mexico

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: jake.sullivan B6
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 10:26 AM
To: H
Subject: Re:

Will do
Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

Date: Sat, 30 May 2009 10:19:55
To: 'jake.sullivan B6
Subject: Re:

Pls review the memcons and follow up w Tom to see if we can work this thru B5 Both had good suggestions. Let me know if this gives us any new tools.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan B6
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 09:39:16 2009
Subject:

See Sections 1 and 3 at the bottom. 1 is the replace, 3 is the lift.

B5

Page Denied

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 8:11 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Any OAS News?

We r tracking down cheryl.
Will have a report in 30 min.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat May 30 19:52:22 2009
Subject: Any OAS News?

Are we going to Honduras?

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 2:41 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: 21st Century Statecraft

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Katie Dowd [mailto
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 2:05 PM
To: Ross, Alec J
Cc: Caroline.Adler Mills, Cheryl D;
Subject: Re: 21st Century Statecraft

B6
B6

85,000 views on the US Government Channel channel alone already. This is wonderful. Great work!

On Fri, May 29, 2009 at 12:27 PM, Ross, Alec J <RossAJ@state.gov> wrote:
> Even Craig from Craig's list getting in on the HRC 21st century
statecraft
> video ... http://cnewmark.com/
>
>
>
> Alec Ross
>
> Senior Advisor for Innovation
>
> Office of the Secretary of State
>
> (202) 647-6315
>
> RossAJ@State.gov
>
>

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 7:57 AM
To: Reines, Philippe I; H
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

Tom's backgrounder definitely played down expectations.

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I
To: 'Hdr22@clintonemail.com' <Hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 30 07:31:10 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

Tom backgrounded them yesterday in the briefing room, not certain but don't think he put down any groundwork on this specifically.

But in terms of setting expectations it would be a combo of a few overt and not overt things:

- Seeing what Admin/For Pol Members of Congress are on TV today and the shows tomorrow, all we need is one person to float it.

- We have flight time tomorrow when Tom & Jake can do it on background or OTR.

- There's one blogger that folks loosely follow, I know how to get her something through a 3rd party.

- I have to talk to a few of our guys today like Matt Lee on misc stuff, and I'm sure he'll ask me something about the OAS where I can easily say the folks in WHA are real pessimistic. And our travelers traffic info among themselves. The best thing to put out there would be the notion that there are those who don't think you should go. If you don't, you don't. But if you do, you chose to be direct, to make a principled stand.

Shouldn't be too tough, because the notion of Cuba being voted in is something they will WANT to believe, it'll make good copy. And it makes it easier to explain any decision/outcome

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 30 06:42:27 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

How will we set any expectations? Did anyone background the press yet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri May 29 21:47:49 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

If we set the expectation now that the outcome is likely to not go our way, ANY alternative - even one kicking the can down the road as Jake outlines below - would be seen as a significant victory.

And as an added bonus, it would be an opportunity to highlight your personal dedication to and prioritization of human rights/democracy, which we've been criticized for since China.

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
To: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri May 29 20:59:26 2009
Subject: Brazil and Mexico

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: jake.sullivan
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 9:31 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Overarching message

B6

Give me one minute -- just tried reaching you thru ops on Cuba's response on migration talks ------Original Message------
From: H
To: 'jake.sullivan
Subject: Re: Overarching message
Sent: May 30, 2009 9:29 AM

B6

Can you send me the language we prefer in a resolution?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 09:24:21 2009
Subject: Overarching message

B6

As the conversation with these two ministers unfold, you might make the point that we have come a LONG way on Cuba so far -- and it would be really unfortunate to jam or embarrass President Obama after all the steps he's taken, especially since what we are seeking is an entirely reasonable result.

Agreeing to lift the 1962 sanction is a BIG step.

Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 9:39 AM
To: H

B6

See Sections 1 and 3 at the bottom. 1 is the replace, 3 is the lift.

B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 12:00 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Clips

Back to two columns it is

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'preines
Sent: Sat May 30 23:59:12 2009
Subject: Clips

B6

I actually like the two column version better than the single page one I rec'd today.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 1:11 PM
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Subject: Chinese

We are going to confirm chinese fm yang call at 9pm unless that's a problem.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 11:01 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Picking up work

Thanks! Will do

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun May 31 09:58:34 2009
Subject: Picking up work

Tomorrow when you distribute the materials, pls keep a list of where stuff went. I'm particularly concerned that Cheryl get all the papers I marked for her. Everything not marked should either be filed or passed back to the line. If you have any questions, pls let me know.

B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 11:33 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: message back from our friend

Great. All the best to you its fantastic to see you doing so well and when I see what a difference you are making it remindsme why politics is too important to be left to the bad people

Sent from my HTC Touch Pro

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: 31 May 2009 15:03
To: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: message back from our friend

Thx. I will follow up. Best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 16:39:14 2009
Subject: message back from our friend

"Thank you for your immediate follow up. Her Highness informs me she is unable to meet during the suggested dates in June 2009 due to prior commitments. However, Her Highness is available to meet immediately after Ramadan/Eid week of September 27, 2009. I hope these dates are suitable for Mrs. Clinton. "

Cherie Booth QC

The information contained in this email and all attachments are private and confidential and may be privileged. The information is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient individual(s) or organisation(s). If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the content or attachments to this communication may not be disclosed, copied, used or distributed without our express permission and we would be grateful if you would then advise the sender immediately of the error in delivery by responding to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Virus Warning: Although this email and any attachments are believed to be free from software viruses which could damage your computer, it may contain such viruses. While the sender has taken every precaution to minimise this risk, the Blair Partnership cannot and will not accept liability for any loss or damage as a result of software viruses which you

sustain in any way from their receipt or opening. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the attachment.

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 10:04 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Speech

Faxed to house.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue May 26 21:19:05 2009
Subject: Re: Speech

You can send it to me or if that's not easy for you, tomorrow is ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue May 26 20:54:08 2009
Subject: Speech

I heard from jon.
Wjc reviewed your edits
Said they were all good
Has some of his own (from original copy) that he said he "doesn't feel very strongly about" but they make it less wordy and easier to give. I can send that version to you if u want.
He mentioned you may want the section on "purpose of power" at the end.

RELEASE
IN PART B6

B6

From: Cherie Blair [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 4:39 PM
To: H
Subject: message back from our friend

"Thank you for your immediate follow up. Her Highness informs me she is unable to meet during the suggested dates in June 2009 due to prior commitments. However, Her Highness is available to meet immediately after Ramadan/Eid week of September 27, 2009. I hope these dates are suitable for Mrs. Clinton. "

Cherie Booth QC

The information contained in this email and all attachments are private and confidential and may be privileged. The information is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient individual(s) or organisation(s). If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the content or attachments to this communication may not be disclosed, copied, used or distributed without our express permission and we would be grateful if you would then advise the sender immediately of the error in delivery by responding to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Virus Warning: Although this email and any attachments are believed to be free from software viruses which could damage your computer, it may contain such viruses. While the sender has taken every precaution to minimise this risk, the Blair Partnership cannot and will not accept liability for any loss or damage as a result of software viruses which you sustain in any way from their receipt or opening. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the attachment.

This message has been scanned by MailController.

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From:
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 8:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Any news to report?

Nothing to report - how did mtg go?
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: May 28, 2009 7:40 PM
Subject: Any news to report?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:33 AM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke just called for u

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 10:31 AM
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: message back from our friend

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun May 31 10:09:49 2009
Subject: Fw: message back from our friend

Lona--pls tell Jeff Feltman, that Sheika Mouza could not come to DC in June or July but can come as stated below in Sept. Ask if he thinks we should try to meet her in Europe earlier or wait until then. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 16:39:14 2009
Subject: message back from our friend

"Thank you for your immediate follow up. Her Highness informs me she is unable to meet during the suggested dates in June 2009 due to prior commitments. However, Her Highness is available to meet immediately after Ramadan/Eid week of September 27, 2009. I hope these dates are suitable for Mrs. Clinton. "

Cherie Booth QC

The information contained in this email and all attachments are private and confidential and may be privileged. The information is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient individual(s) or organisation(s). If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the content or attachments to this communication may not be disclosed, copied, used or distributed without our express permission and we would be grateful if you would then advise the sender immediately of the error in delivery by responding to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Virus Warning: Although this email and any attachments are believed to be free from software viruses which could damage your computer, it may contain such viruses. While the sender has taken every precaution to minimise this risk,

the Blair Partnership cannot and will not accept liability for any loss or damage as a result of software viruses which you sustain in any way from their receipt or opening. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the attachment.

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 7:33 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; H

Jeff thinks we should wait till sept to see sheikha muza. No need to do in europe earlier.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 7:43 AM
To: H
Subject: Re:

John crosby will be there. He's the tall blonde advance guy who's been on the plane with us a few times too.
He will have program for commencement and acknowledgement card for lunch.
You will go straight backstage and can go to hold if u want to put your robe on unless u put it on in the car.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 07:28:18 2009
Subject: Re:

Of course. Who will be there?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 07:05:18 2009
Subject:

I'm going to skip Yale today.
Assuming that's ok with you.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 3:42 PM
To: H; Shapiro, Andrew J; preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Here is the situation as I understand it.

The film festival returned funds that it had received from the Israeli Embassy, after protests from some UK film figures (Ken Loach) and Palestinian solidarity groups.

The Israeli director is now being funded directly by the festival.

The organizers issued a sort of apology today.

We have not been able to locate any State Dept response to similar episodes in the past (Israeli academics disinvited to a British conference).

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Shapiro, Andrew J; 'preines [redacted] B6
Sent: Sun May 24 21:25:02 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Pls read Brian's message and all the email traffic below. What can we do about this? Let me know if you have ideas. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Greenspun [redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Sun May 24 17:21:40 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Hi hillary,
Please call me about this if you have a moment. The message is self-explanatory, apparently there is no american voice in place to speak out or lead on this issue so --there is no voice. I believe you know Bruce Ramer who is my brother-in-law, former president of american jewish committee and well-respected entertainment lawyer in la. And, he is right. Look forward to seeing you next week in washington. Best, brian

---

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Bruce M. Ramer [redacted] B6
To: Brian Greenspun
Sent: Sun May 24 14:08:16 2009
Subject: FW: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Brian, First, thanks for a nice family morning and for breakfast. Always fun, always interesting and always fulfilling.

Below is a sample of the kind of emails I sent to various people (studio heads; Dan Glickman; etc), which have started to generate concern, interest and action. AJC is also active on other fronts, as well.

Just below the email you will find the news item which first called this abomination to my attention.

I hope you can enlist Hilary's support (and please give her my personal best). We need, for many reasons, to have the US protest and condemn this outrageous boycott and to oppose the anti-Semitism inherent in it; cf Durban. The organizers of the festival must be convinced to reverse themselves. This, because of the inherent wrongness of it and that if it succeeds, it will encourage and motivate those who fomented the boycott to other, probably even worse, action. For example, there is in effect or pending (I am not certain which) a UK-Israeli treaty on film production; we should cut off at the pass any attempts to derail it.

Our Embassy in London does not yet have our Ambassador. The charge d'affaires is Robert Lebaron who I hope will receive appropriate instructions from DOS. If he acts quickly, it is likely that other nations will follow suit; again, compare Durban.

This is truly appalling and serious and I earnestly solicit your assistance with the Secretary, and through you my earnest solicitation of her assistance.

With appreciation and love, Bruce

PS Naturally, you are free to share this email.

Dear Michael, This is disgusting and outrageous.

If you have any films in the festival or can lean on anyone else who does, please get them withdrawn. We would not boycott the films of any other nation, even those we consider outlaws. Neither should they. And they should learn that boycotts beget boycotts.

Thanks for whatever help you can be.

Warmest regards. Bruce

May 20, 2009

Edinburgh film festival bows to pressure from Ken Loach over Israeli boycott

Mike Wade

A row threatened to engulf the Edinburgh International Film Festival yesterday after it bowed to pressure from the director Ken Loach and returned a £300 grant it had received from the Israeli Embassy.

Sir Jeremy Isaacs, the former chief executive of Channel Four, accused the festival's organisers of making "an appalling decision" and called on them to rescind it. Describing Loach's intervention as an act of censorship, he said: "They must not allow someone who has no real position, no rock to stand on, to interfere with their programming."

The grant was intended to enable Tali Shalom Ezer, a graduate of Tel Aviv University, to travel to Scotland for a screening of her film, Surrogate.

After days of protest against the award from pro-Palestinian organisations, Loach, an outspoken opponent of Israel's policies in Lebanon and Gaza, urged filmgoers on Monday to boycott Edinburgh.

"The massacres and state terrorism in Gaza make this money unacceptable," he said. "With regret, I must urge all who might consider visiting the festival to show their support for the Palestinian nation and stay away."

The intervention brought an immediate capitulation from the organisers. In a statement the festival said it accepted that Loach spoke "on behalf of the film community, therefore we will be returning the funding issued by the Israeli Embassy".

Sir Jeremy said that he was disgusted both by Loach's actions and by the capitulation of the festival organisers. "Ken Loach has always been critical of censorship of his own work, albeit it was many years in the past. The idea that he should lend himself to the denial of a film-maker's right to show her work is absolutely appalling," he said. He was "equally horrified" that festival organisers should accept that Loach was speaking on behalf of all British film-makers.

Sir Jeremy worked closely with Loach in the 1980s when, as chief executive of Channel Four, he commissioned a number of controversial documentaries from him. One, A Question of Leadership, was made in 1981 but never broadcast, leading to accusations of political censorship from Loach. The irony of the director's present position was all the more obvious, given the spirit of the Edinburgh festival, Sir Jeremy said.

"It must be good for cinemagoers at an international film festival to see films by Jews, Arabs, Israelis and Palestinians, to the benefit of all," he said.

"I have admired the Edinburgh International Film Festival for many years and would like to think that this appalling decision will be rescinded."

Loach's acclaimed new film Looking for Eric has made him the toast of the Cannes Film Festival. It is, uncharacteristically, a comedy, although its lead character is an authentic Loach creation — a Mancunian postman who goes off in search of his idol, the footballer Eric Cantona.

Ezer's film makes no reference to war or politics. It is a romance set in a sex-therapy clinic. It won the audience award at an international women's film festival in Israel recently.

Lord Janner of Braunstone, a Labour peer and former chairman of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, said that he was disappointed by the festival's decision. "By banning the Israeli Embassy from supporting a film-maker the festival is helping to exclude Israelis from British cultural life, something that is clearly unfair."

Last night a spokesman for the EIFF said that although it had returned £300 to the Israeli Embassy, the festival itself would fund Ms Shalom-Ezer's travel to Edinburgh out of its own budget

RELEASE IN PART B5

DRAFT 2.1

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC STUDIES
SINGAPORE
SATURDAY, MAY 30, 2009 – 9:00 A.M.

B5

DRAFT 2.1

B6



DRAFT 2.1

B5



DRAFT 2.1

B5



DRAFT 2.1

B5



B5

DRAFT 2.1

B5



DRAFT 2.1

B5

DRAFT 2.1

B5

DRAFT 2.1


B5



DRAFT 2.1

B5

DRAFT 2.1



B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 7:42 PM
To: Rosemarie Howe; H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: RE: Columns

Last I heard, I thought you guys were organizing a meeting at Whitehaven?

-----Original Message-----
From: Rosemarie Howe [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 5:24 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Huma Abedin
Subject: Columns

Carl says he's trying to reach you to get these columns up and going.
Let
me know if there's something I can do to help. Shall he just drop off a contract? I think he wants to talk timing and hardware also.

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 8:48 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Reporting in

either works -- I can talk now and I can talk tomorrow am too - you want me to call?

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 7:51 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills
Subject: Reporting in

B6

I'd like to talk w you tonight or tomorrow before I leave. What time/s work for you?

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 8:09 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: mini schedule 5/25/09

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sun May 24 19:23:55 2009
Subject: mini schedule 5/25/09

8:35 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route Yale University

9:55 am **ARRIVE** Yale University

10:00 am **YALE UNIVERSITY's 2009 COMMENCEMENT**

11:30 am Old Campus Lawn (outside)

11:45 am **2009 HONORARY DEGREES LUNCHEON**

1:30 pm Nave of the Sterling Memorial Library

1:30 pm **DEPART** Yale University *En route Private Residence

###

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 8:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Calls

Calling you at 9pm with FM yang.
Australian fm smith asking to talk to you tonite about north korea. U want to do after china? Or wait till tomorow?

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 7:43 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Checking in

Isabelle 7:30

[redacted] B6

Still working through trip planning, Saudi still off and Honduras still on though the discussions are still dismal.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 7:40 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Checking in

Anything I need to know?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 5:38 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Latest Iteration

Confidential fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu May 28 17:24:41 2009
Subject: RE: Latest Iteration

Cheryl, Jake,
I owe you both an apology. I had a very productive flight (8-10 hours in a darkened quiet cabin is just what I needed) and finished Les Gelb's book -- it is astonishing how close our analyses are -- he says that foundation of US power is to catalyze others to get problems solved. HRC wanted me to make the speech harder and I see some very good ways to do that -- talking about assembling power coalitions to deal w/ troublesome states, a term Les uses.

But I also had a chance to reread the frame that you put together, much more carefully. There are a number of very good things in it that I am going to try to work into a revision, or at least flag for how we can build off of this speech in subsequent speeches. I am sorry that I didn't see that in the press of trying to get to this point.

I will have a revision by Sunday afternoon China time, which would allow her and you to see it Sunday morning.

AM

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 11:05 AM
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Latest Iteration

AMS:

This document is the latest iteration I understand from Justin (who works at Chappaqua). I also understand that last night she was making changes to it but Justin did not get them so they may not be reflected in this draft.

cdm

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 2:45 PM
To: H
Cc: williamsbarrett [redacted] B6
Subject: FW: book deal

FYI:

From: MangoMuse
To: mango_muse[redacted] B6
Sent: Fri May 29 14:28:17 2009
Subject: book deal

Washington Post's "Reliable Source" is reporting that Patti Solis Doyle has signed a book deal with Hyperion, with an anticipated publish date of 2011.

This Just In . . .

• **Patti Solis Doyle**: You've managed **Hillary Clinton's** presidential campaign, been sacked via e-mail, then resurfaced in the transition office of then-President-elect **Obama**. What's your next act? Book deal! She's inked it with Hyperion for an undisclosed amount. Scheduled for 2011, the nonfiction book will trace her political journey -- and, we can only hope, reveal some juicy campaign gossip.

http://voices. washingtonpost. com/reliable- source/2009/ 05/this_just_ in_60.html

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 8:59 PM
To: H
Cc: Reines, Philippe I (Special Use Only)
Subject: Brazil and Mexico

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From: Jake Sullivan
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 9:24 AM
To: H
Subject: Overarching message

As the conversation with these two ministers unfold, you might make the point that we have come a LONG way on Cuba so far -- and it would be really unfortunate to jam or embarrass President Obama after all the steps he's taken, especially since what we are seeking is an entirely reasonable result.

Agreeing to lift the 1962 sanction is a BIG step.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 2:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Tom

Reached out tom about your calls this morning.
He reports that the Mexicans are appreciative for the call and are energized. They are reaching out to others to try to find a helpful solution.
Amorim called the Brazilian Ambassador here, who tom spoke to after your call. Amorim was very happy with the call, especially the detailed discussion of possible solutions. He professed a desire to work with you to resolve this issue. Brazil recognizes that it is being tagged as the "blocker" on democratic language and wants to get out of the way. That explains Amorim's heavy push to give this issue back to Insulza. Tom told the Brazilian Ambassador that Insulza has a big credibility problem in our Congress, and that we, Brazil, Mexico, and others cannot walk away from the issue. This was being relayed back to Amorim.
Among a core group of countries there is a growing realization that lack of consensus could be very damaging for the OAS and its members. Tom thinks your calls have injected new urgency into the search for a solution.
He will be sending a classified update on the latest in a few hours. We will pouch to the house.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 10:26 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: No Injuries in Explosion at Manhattan Starbucks

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Mon May 25 10:18:24 2009
Subject: No Injuries in Explosion at Manhattan Starbucks

(U) According to the Department of Homeland Security, New York Police Department(NYPD) believes an improvised explosive device caused the explosion, reported at 0330. NYPD evacuated 25 apartments above the Upper West Side store located at 1642 3rd Ave and East 92nd St .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 10:32 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes, we can do Lee in the afternoon of the 5th. If we did Musa in DC, we have many options the week if the 8th or the 15th.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 21:56:16 2009
Subject: Schedule

S. Korea FM Yu wants to see me in DC June 5 to prepare for Pres Lee's trip to DC on June 16. Can I do that in the afternoon?

I need some dates asap to offer for a mtg in DC or Europe w Quatari Sheika Musa.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:33 AM
To: H
Subject: sonia sotomayer

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:54 PM
To: H
Subject: Speech

I heard from jon.
Wjc reviewed your edits
Said they were all good
Has some of his own (from original copy) that he said he "doesn't feel very strongly about" but they make it less wordy and easier to give. I can send that version to you if u want.
He mentioned you may want the section on "purpose of power" at the end.

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 7:00 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (AP) Blast in Lahore; many casualties

From: McGriff, Tiffany L
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-Pakistan; S_SpecialAssistants
Cc: SES-O
Sent: Wed May 27 01:32:01 2009
Subject: (AP) Blast in Lahore; many casualties

Blast in Lahore; many casualties

LAHORE, Pakistan (AP) A powerful bomb exploded in the eastern Pakistani city of Lahore on Wednesday, ripping buildings apart and causing many casualties, according to officials and television footage.

The mid-morning blast occurred the office of the emergency police service in main business district of Lahore. The nearby office of Pakistan's main intelligence agency was also badly damaged.

"There is a large number of casualties," local government official Khoro Pervaiz told Dawn news channel.

Sporadic gunfire was heard in the area of the blast, and troops rushed to the scene.

Television footage taken shortly after the blast showed emergency workers carrying at least one body covered by a blanket to an ambulance, and injured bystanders mopping blood from wounds.

The blast comes as government forces are engaged in a major offensive against Taliban militants who have seized control of the northwestern Swat Valley.

Tiffany L. McGriff

Operations Center

U.S. Department of State

Tel: 202.647.1512

McGriffTL@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 10:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: today's meeting with Roxanne Saberi

Fyi

From: Feltman, Jeffrey D
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 10:38:34 2009
Subject: today's meeting with Roxanne Saberi

Just FYI: wanted you all to know that, for S's meeting today with Roxanne Saberi, I deferred on my own participation in favor of the officer in the Iran office who has been doggedly working on this case for months. Erica Thibault [redacted] has been working all out to get messages via the Swiss and others, working with CA and the family, etc. on this case. So if S was wondering why I wasn't showing up, it was as a moral boost and recognition of the work Erica did on this case. B6

Jeffrey Feltman

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs

Department of State

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 8:44 AM
To: H
Subject: Pls call ops now so they can connect u to South Korean FM Yu

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 10:15 PM
To: H

Not sure if u r still up but I left the tomorrows bfg binder outside your door.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:49 AM
To: H2; H
Subject: if you just tried calling me, call again on [redacted].. I'm boycotting calls from "unknown numbers" -- but will take a callback as signal that it's you..... B6

Strobe Talbott
President, The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036
[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:40 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Fyi

I saw that too
They say they were holding seats just in case but they know he's not coming.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 09:33:02 2009
Subject: Re: Fyi

Good since it happens to be true! One thing I noticed is that both you and Bill were included in lunch seating. Can we be sure they know Bill is not coming.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 09:30:34 2009
Subject: Fyi

Father Gutierrez, another honorary degree recipient and founder of Liberation Theology, is also not marching in procession and may be backstage when you get there.
People at yale think you have to deal with north korea issues so they are fine if need to leave lunch early.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 10:16 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

Have not heard from carl. Left him 2 messages and emailed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thu May 28 22:14:42 2009

Not sure if u r still up but I left the tomorrows bfg binder outside your door.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 10:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: North Korea to move intercontinental missile: report

From: Gorog, Andrea
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-EAP; NEWS-Korea
Cc: SES-O_Shift-III
Sent: Fri May 29 21:47:25 2009
Subject: North Korea to move intercontinental missile: report

North Korea to move intercontinental missile: report

Fri May 29, 2009 9:40pm EDT

SEOUL (Reuters) - North Korea is preparing to move an intercontinental ballistic missile from a factory near Pyongyang to a launch site on the east coast, a South Korean newspaper quoted a source in Washington as saying on Saturday.

The factory north of Pyongyang is the same place where the North manufactured the long-range rocket it fired on April 5 before moving it to the east-coast Musudan-ri missile range for assembly and launch, the Dong-a Ilbo newspaper said.

Regional powers are waiting to see what the North might do next after it conducted a nuclear test on Monday. South Korea is on alert on the assessment Pyongyang may make provocative moves using conventional weapons at their heavily armed border.

North Korea has warned of an intercontinental ballistic missile test in anger over U.N. Security Council punishment of what Pyongyang said was a satellite launch on April 5.

"Preparations to move an ICBM from the Saneum Weapons Research Center near Pyongyang by train have been captured by U.S. spy satellites," Dong-a Ilbo quoted a source in Washington knowledgeable about the issue as saying.

The research lab is the North's main center of research and manufacture of long-range missiles, the newspaper said.

South Korea's defense ministry could not immediately comment on the report.

North Korea fired a short-range missile on Friday from the Musudan-ri missile base, South Korea's Yonhap news agency quoted an unnamed government source as saying. The missile appeared to be a modified surface-to-air missile with a range of up to 160 km (99 miles), Dong-a Ilbo said.

The two Koreas have fought two deadly naval clashes on their disputed maritime border in the past 10 years and the North has warned another could happen.

U.S. Defense Department spokesman Geoff Morrell said on Friday there had been no sign of stepped-up North Korean military activity.

But a U.S. defense official said the United States had observed "above average activity" in the past 24 hours at a site in North Korea that has previously been used to test fire long-range missiles.

South Korea's Defense Ministry said it had seen the number of Chinese fishing boats near the peninsula in the Yellow Sea drop sharply in recent days and is watching the North's activities for indications of aggression.

In Singapore, defense ministers of South Korea, Japan and the United States will meet to discuss responses to North Korea's further provocations, South Korea's defense ministry said.

Andrea Görög
Watch Officer
Department of State Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 9:05 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Sotomyer

Yes will get to work on it right away

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:46 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Sotomyer

Can you get #s for me so I can call and congratulate her?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 6:58 AM
To: H
Subject: Today

B6

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 5:43 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: FW: Frame

Fyi

Jim's thoughts on [redacted] B5

Cdm

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: [redacted] B6
Sent: Fri May 29 02:35:47 2009
Subject: Re: FW: Frame

I think this is very good. I really like the emphasis on security, which is part of her brand, and very relevant (I always have in the back of my mind the possibility that great tragedy will strike again, so we need to prepared to deal with that reality, should it come about some day).

I like combining that with the notion of prosperity and humanity, which together covers so much ground - from economic development to human rights.

A couple miscl thoughts --

- in terms of promoting prosperity/economic development, might there be a reason to technological advancement (giving people access to education and technological tools that can help them in terms of both education and access to social networks and other avenues for advancement). You do reference education in the development arena, and in prosperity, so that's good).

- there isn't much here mentioning democratization, freedom, etc. I know that is probably dicey given the multitude of governments we want to partner with that aren't ready to talk that talk, but still think it needs to be incorporated in some aspect of a mission statement.

- really like strong reference to women as a key to economic and social stabilization. That ties into her "brand" and into a reality. I know when the First Ladies of Africa came out here to LA, one of the desires was to try to draw them into an alliance that might transcend their own national differences and create cross-border force for positive change. A friend of mine is one of the executives with the Hunger Project, whose CEO is a woman who focuses, too, on the way targeting women can help alleviate hunger in ways that just dealing with men or generally cannot.

- really like that 'variable geometry' reference. This is kind of like 3 dimensional chess - strange and complicated alliances need to be made to grapple with a multitude of cross-cutting challenges. This is calculus, not arithmetic.

- would like to be sure religions are involved here, vis a vis the various partnerships. It can be either a powerful force of positive change, or it can get in the way of progress, depending on how it's used or abused,

but to the extent allowed Constitutionally, the power of religion should be encouraged in the direction of alliances and partnerships of progress.

- as I think about Kennan's "containment" doctrine a little further - in some ways it can be reapplied to extremism, meaning that our goal should be to contain not Communism this time, but extremist ideology whereever it exists, and just as Kennan supported, development is one of the ways to build those walls of containment around a dangerous ideology. We want to contain extremist, nihilistic, violent ideologies and movements whereever they might take root, and a good way to do that is to focus on development, on women, on technology, on education.

The power of diplomacy rests on two foundations. On the one hand, there is the reality and potentiality of military force. Without having the capacity to defend, and to project force, diplomacy is inherently weak and ineffectual.

On the other hand, development is the other foundation upon which successful diplomacy can be built. It's the carrot to the military's stick. And the State Department has an integral role to play in both the diplomatic and developmental parts of that tripod (while also being understanding of, and when necessary supportive of, implementing the military part).

The connective tissue of security, prosperity and humanity is, as you suggest, "purpose," or more prosaically - "morality." Morals. It is immoral for people to live in fear, to be unprotected, to be subject to harm and death. It is immoral for people's human rights to be violated, to not have access to education and heatlh. And it is immoral to be denied the opportunity to grow, to create a better future for children, etc. To let, as Roy has said, every child to live up to his or her God-given potential.

one last point - on shared humanity, perhaps protecting the natural resources of the Earth - environmentalism - should be referenced along with health and human rights. It's part of the underpinning of our international morality and the kind of partnership we need to solve global warming, etc.

On Thu, May 21, 2009 at 11:33 AM, Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov> wrote:

Can you both take a look at this – if this implementing agenda works, we will use language of purpose to surround it.

cdm

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761564 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Office of the Spokesman

For Immediate Release **June 2, 2009**
2009/

QUESTION TAKEN AT JUNE 1, 2009 DAILY PRESS BRIEFING

CURRENT RESULTS OF TEXTING CAMPAIGN FOR HUMANITARIAN AID TO PAKISTAN

Q. How much money has been raised through the texting campaign for humanitarian aid for Pakistan?

A. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reported that as of June 1, 2009, a total of $135,008 in private donations from U.S. sources for humanitarian aid to Pakistan had been received. Of that total, $31,390 was received via 5,722 text messages.

The remainder included $84,702 received via 439 online donations; and $18,916 by mail and from major donors.

# # #

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761564 Date: 06/30/2015

Drafted:	PRM/MCE:	GWills 3-1071	
Approved:	PRM/FO:	MPollack	ok
Cleared:	PRM/ECA:	AWendt	ok
	PRM/ECA:	ENagy	ok
	IO/RHS:	D Moore	ok
	USAID/PAPD:	TRigler	ok
	SCA/PAPD:	KFaillace	ok
	D(L):	CLacrosse	ok
	D(L):	JButte-Dahl	ok
	D(S):	LCue	ok if all others clear
	G:	KFriedrich	ok
	S/P:	SChaudhary	ok
	S/P:	MPatel	ok
	P:	AReinemeyer	ok
	UNHCR:	TIrwin	info
	PA:		

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:58 AM
To: H2; H
Subject: got your message....

much appreciated.... sounds like the right plan… no need to call back unless there's more to discuss re tomorrow…. you can go back to saving the world! cheers, s

---

From: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:49 AM
To: HRC; HRC Blackberry
Subject: if you just tried calling me, call again on [redacted].. I'm boycotting calls from "unknown numbers" -- but will take a callback as signal that it's you..... B6

Strobe Talbott
President, The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036
[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 9:48 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

If we set the expectation now that the outcome is likely to not go our way, ANY alternative - even one kicking the can down the road as Jake outlines below - would be seen as a significant victory.

And as an added bonus, it would be an opportunity to highlight your personal dedication to and prioritization of human rights/democracy, which we've been criticized for since China.

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
To: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri May 29 20:59:26 2009
Subject: Brazil and Mexico

[redacted] B5

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 6:29 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: OAS--again

I'm usually the pessimist, but I'm not sure this is as dire as it seems in the moment from 10,000 miles away.

First, the world/media is fixated on the President's speech, the imagery from Riyadh dominates, there's no Honduras footage of interest, which is key. Speech tomorrow will blanket coverage and extinguish the Cuba stuff, so we just need to weather the night.

Second, Tom & Dan did an on the record conf call not long ago and we think they did very well. Dan was especially strong, and he's also speaking to people 1:1

Third, your statement was strong and the reporting will catch up with it, it's only been out 90 minutes.

Fourth, we are suffering from two significant tactical problems: 1) you are here and removed 2) our press corps was out of position today and in flight, so the people we worked on all week and the ones likely to skew our way were replaced by reporters not connected to us.

The two issues above will be rectified tomorrow in your two interviews - especially Greta who is malleable. We can use that to make a strong case on the principle, and the simple fact Cuba wasn't in the OAS yesterday, and won't be tomorrow. Everyday that passes reinforces that point. The time difference to East Coast will help us in moving whatever is said in these interviews tomorrow.

Short term, we started the week showing we can engage with Cuba (mail, migration), and ending it showing we are serious about our redlines

Long term, we stood up for human rights and that can only be a plus.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'preines(Sullivan, Jacob J B6
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:11:59 2009
Subject: OAS--again

Well, Philippe looks right again. CNN is reporting this as being done against my wishes. Any way to salvage?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

Went on a falucca ride and are now at the bar/restaurant downstairs.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:56:26 2009
Subject: Re:

Are youy on a falucca? Here's my [redacted] if they want to call. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:59 2009
Subject: Re:

I do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:26 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:49:35 2009
Subject:

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Neera Tanden [redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 11:52 PM
To: H

Barack Obama may support insurance mandate

By CARRIE BUDOFF BROWN | 6/3/09 3:42 PM EDT
Updated: 6/3/09 11:22 PM EDT

As a presidential candidate, Barack Obama scoffed at Hillary Rodham Clinton's proposal to require people to buy health insurance.

"(If) they can't afford it, they just don't get it," Obama said during the primary, arguing that a mandate would not work unless insurance costs were lowered first.

For the first time, Obama confirmed Wednesday that he plans to stake out a different position as president.

In a letter to two leading Democratic senators, Obama said he could support a mandate on individuals to buy coverage, as long as Congress exempted those who could not afford it. This marks a shift from his stance during the campaign, when he tussled repeatedly with Clinton on the issue. She proposed an individual mandate, and he did not.

"I share the goal of ending lapses and gaps in coverage that make us less healthy and drive up everyone's costs, and I am open to your ideas on shared responsibility," Obama wrote. "But I believe if we are going to make people responsible for owning health insurance, we must make health care affordable."

"If we do end up with a system where people are responsible for their own insurance, we need to provide a hardship waiver to exempt Americans who cannot afford it," Obama wrote.

Detailing his positions on various health care proposals for the first time as president, Obama also said Americans should be able to choose between public and private insurance plans in a reformed health care system.

"I strongly believe that Americans should have the choice of a public health insurance option operating alongside private plans," he wrote. "This will give them a better range of choices, make the health care market more competitive, and keep insurance companies honest."

He offered qualified support for a mandate on employers to provide insurance or pay a fee to the government. Employers "have a responsibility to support health insurance for their employees," Obama wrote, but small businesses should be exempt.

Obama's positions on these issues were not entirely surprising, but his decision to weigh in before congressional Democratic leaders released a single bill was unexpected. Prior to the letter, Obama and his aides had declined to say specifically where he stood on various issues, including the public plan and individual mandate, saying they wanted Congress to lead the way in writing a bill.

But Democrats have been anxious for the president to take a more public stance, and the letter provides the guidance that many in Congress had been craving as House and Senate committees move closer to releasing draft bills.

"The president says get it done. Get it done right because we only get one chance," said Sen. Barbara Mikulski (D-Md.), a member of the Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee. "The letter essentially synthesizes what the president is saying."

He outlined the parameters in a letter to Senate Finance Chairman Max Baucus (D-Mont.) and Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee Chairman Ted Kennedy (D-Mass.).

Obama's endorsement of a public insurance option could boost the controversial proposal and serve as catalyst for the Democratic base as the president kicks off a grassroots campaign Saturday.

"It's clear that the Republican resistance to a public plan hasn't softened President Obama's support for one," Sen. Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) said in a statement. "This strengthens the hand of those of us advocating for a public option, which is the only way to keep the private insurers' feet to the fire."

Despite almost uniform opposition from Republicans on the public plan, the president wrote in the letter that he remains "hopeful that many Republicans" would back an overhaul bill.

Sen. Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa), the ranking Republican on the Finance Committee, struck an optimistic tone in a statement, saying the letter "doesn't draw lines in the sand," and "is helpful because of his statements that reform legislation needs to have bipartisan support."

However, the letter is likely to incite opponents, who have been frustrated by Obama's lack of specifics.

Indeed, on Thursday morning, Conservatives for Patients' Rights will begin airing a new TV ad called "Bulldozer," which says a "government-run plan" will drive private insurers out of business and eliminate consumer choices.

Back to top

Read more: "Barack Obama may support insurance mandate - Carrie Budoff Brown - POLITICO.com" - http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0609/23298.html#ixzz0HQhr4KMx&A

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:20 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Gay groups grow impatient with Obama

fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 12:03 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Gay groups grow impatient with Obama

POLITICO

Gay groups grow impatient with Obama

By: Ben Smith and Jonathan Martin
June 4, 2009 04:32 AM EST

President Barack Obama's promises of change are falling short for one core Democratic constituency: gays and lesbians, whose leaders say Obama's administration isn't keeping up with the times.

Gay rights campaigners, most of them Democrats who supported Obama in November, have begun to voice their public frustration with Obama's inaction, small jokes at their community's expense and deafening silence on what they see as the signal civil rights issue of this era.

His most important campaign promises repealing the Defense of Marriage Act and the military ban on openly gay and lesbian service-members have not been fulfilled.

And the news, which emerged quietly earlier this year, that he'd supported same-sex marriage back in 1996, then changed his mind, especially rankles. As mainstream Democratic politicians such as Sen. Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) move to support same-sex marriage, gay rights advocates say that the barrier-breaking president looks increasingly odd for opposing what they see as full equality.

"Obama is out of step with his party, which is overwhelmingly in favor of marriage at this stage," said David Mixner, a veteran gay rights activist who is among the organizers of a march on Washington for same-sex marriage scheduled for this fall. "He's out of step with the next generation."

Gay rights issues have been moving at breakneck speed, none faster than same-sex marriage. Most public opinion polls now show more than 40 percent of Americans support same-sex marriage, seen as a fringe issue just a few years earlier. Already, five New England states and Iowa have same-sex marriage laws on the books.

"Politicians are finding out that their voters are moving faster than they anticipated," said Democratic pollster Celinda Lake, who called Obama's place behind that curve

"surprising, because he is the next-generational candidate."

She noted that Obama could be concerned about alienating older voters in the Midwest who would be turned off if he came out in support of same-sex marriage.

The Politico 44 Story Widget Requires Adobe Flash Player.

The White House has been reluctant to spend its political capital pushing Obama's highest-profile pro-gay positions believing, White House allies say, that it could detract from priorities like health care. And it may be even less likely to do next year, with midterms approaching.

But officials have told restive gays and lesbians to give them until the end of this month to show movement on a number of lower-profile issues they support, including restrictions on visas for people with HIV. The Pentagon also has toned down public opposition to reversing the gay ban, and the new secretary of the Army's job will be, in part, to smooth the way for that move.

"The president remains fully committed to advancing LGBT rights. His positions on all of these issues are well-established and well-known. His staff continues to work with Congress on a variety of LGBT issues," said Jim Messina, the deputy White House chief of staff who is the point man on gay and lesbian issues, citing White House efforts to move hate crimes legislation through the Senate. "While we recognize that some in the community are anxious, the president's commitment has not wavered."

Meanwhile, however, marriage equality has emerged as the movement's central issue, a question that's seen as a simple matter of justice and fairness by a growing number of Democrats.

"There's going to come a point where's he going have to deal with it," former Democratic National Committee Chairman Howard Dean, who now supports same-sex marriage, said of Obama. "I'm in favor of giving him a little more time. He's got an awful lot on his plate."

"But he is a politician like everybody else, and he's going to respond to pressure. And I don't blame the LGBT community for trying to push," Dean said.

Rep. Jared Polis (D-Colo.), who is openly gay and was a major Democratic donor before being elected last year, said he was "very hopeful [Obama's] position will evolve."

But Polis warned, "If his position doesn't evolve, it could turn off some strong supporters."

Gay leaders in Washington, though, have been loath to publicly criticize the president. They say they still view Obama as an ally and think private talks are more promising than public pressure.

Still, it was especially frustrating for some left-leaning gay figures to see the otherwise dreaded former Vice President Dick Cheney publicly express his support this week for letting states allow gay marriage -- a position that puts him to the left of Obama.

"I think that freedom means freedom for everyone," Cheney said in an appearance Monday at the National Press Club.

Obama also has been criticized for a joke at the expense of same-sex marriage. After the White House Correspondents Association dinner, columnist Dan Savage fumed that Obama's only reference since being sworn in to the high-profile drive toward same-sex marriage in Iowa had been a joke about going to the state with longtime friend and adviser David Axelrod to "make it official."

"The best he can do — all he's willing to do — is toss off an Adam Sandler-level joke," Savage wrote.

Another Obama ally, writer Andrew Sullivan, recently referred to Obama's stance on gays as "the fierce urgency of whenever."

And on the front line, in the states, gay rights advocates are also growing increasingly impatient with Washington.

"His position has been causing some problems for those of us working in the states, those who are against it are using him for cover," said Alan Van Capelle, the executive director of New York's Empire State Pride Agenda, who called on Obama to fulfill another neglected campaign promise and back the full repeal of the Defense of Marriage Act, which blocks the federal government from extending benefits to married same-sex couples.

Gay activists cringed recently when reminded by Donald Trump, of all people, that Miss California Carrie Prejean shared the same position on gay marriage as the progressive president.

"I'm still optimistic that the president is going to be good on his word," said Aubrey Sarvis, the executive director of the Servicemembers Legal Defense Networks, which opposes the military ban.

"No one believes that [anti-gay federal policies] will be miraculously changed overnight," said Human Rights Campaign president Joe Solmonese, who added nevertheless that his group is "frustrated at the pace of progress."

Behind the scenes, patience is wearing thin.

"People are far angrier than they're saying publicly, because they don't want to jeopardize [White House] relationships with the groups," said John Aravosis, an openly gay blogger who speaks to gay leaders. "But everyone is feeling like we've entered a danger zone where the administration is backing away from us fast, and I can tell you that the professional gay crowd in Washington, D.C., to a person, feels a sense of impending betrayal."

The most heated battle is in California, where some of the same voters who overwhelmingly elected Obama also passed a referendum barring same-sex marriage. Proposition 8 has since energized the state's gay rights movement.

The Army linguist, Dan Choi, spoke at a rally across the street from the Beverly Hills Hilton while Obama spoke inside at a high-dollar fundraiser late last month. The group organizing the rally, the Courage Campaign, has gathered 140,000 signatures on a letter to Obama asking him to rescind the ban.

Back at the fundraiser, Messina had the same message delivered in a somewhat more intimate setting.
He was walking through the men's room at the Beverly Hilton when Mike Bonin, an activist and former Obama campaign staffer who loves the president "the way Walt Whitman loved Abe Lincoln," confronted him.

"I told him I was disappointed that [Obama] talked about justice and equal opportunity and across the street stands Dan Choi, who's about to be booted out of the Army," he recalled of the faucet-side chat.

Messina, Bonin said, responded that the White House hadn't forgotten, and complained that the administration hasn't gotten enough credit for pushing to outlaw hate crimes against gays and lesbians, but was ultimately "noncommittal." Bonin, who said he kept Messina standing by the sinks for about ten minutes before letting him proceed to his destination, said marriage advocates in California have been using Obama campaign tools and strategies to push the White House.

"All the people who signed this petition for Choi, all the people who are outside across the street at this rally, all the people who are coming to these [political training camps] are Obama people who love and support our president," he said. "But he didn't stand up for us, and until he does we're going to love him enough to be tough on him."

Correction: *Hate crimes legislation has passed the House, but not the Senate; an earlier version of this story wrongly reported that it had become law.*

© 2009 Capitol News Company, LLC

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2009 9:39 AM
To: H
Subject: Jim steinberg

Asking to talk to you secure
Hoping to do it soon.
You can call ops from your white phone in office or grey phone on 3rd and they can go secure.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 9:33 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

From: Adler, Caroline E (PACE) [mailto:AdlerCE2@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:52 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Ross, Alec J; Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Re: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

I will double check with the person who sends the actual tweets, but I have been really clear that the tweets should not be in her name (unless of course she signs off on something down the road)

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tue Jun 02 08:37:34 2009
Subject: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

But we should not be twittering in the Secretary's name since she is not the person actually twittering.

There is a reference in Newsweek to her twittering and says: Hillary Clinton Style: Dry info and offers 4,788 followers

Can you advise who is twittering in her name and how we can address?

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:59 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

FYI

From: Adler, Caroline E (PACE) [mailto:AdlerCE2@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:51 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Mills, Cheryl D; Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Re: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Our twittering guys confirmed that we don't tweet directly from HRC

The closest we come to that is to send out quotes from her official statements, e.g. using the format:

Secretary Clinton: ...We will work with the Goldman family and the Brazilian Government with the goal of ensuring this young boy's return.

From: Ross, Alec J <RossAJ@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J; Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tue Jun 02 08:54:18 2009
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Just saw Newsweek piece and went over site with Nora --- Nora can show to you. It's a leap for Newsweek to say that the State Dept DipNote Twittering = Secretary Clinton Twittering. I did a scan (and will do a longer one) but there appears to be no first person Twittering in Secretary's Clinton's name.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:46 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

I'll send you the newsweek piece

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:43 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Hi Cheryl,
I do not believe there is Twittering in the Secretary's name. The Twittering is from DipNote, the State Department's Blog http://twitter.com/dipnote

It will occasionally quote the Secretary but the Twittering should not be done in her name.

Alec

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:38 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

But we should not be twittering in the Secretary's name since she is not the person actually twittering.

There is a reference in Newsweek to her twittering and says: Hillary Clinton Style: Dry info and offers 4,788 followers

Can you advise who is twittering in her name and how we can address?

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 2:53 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: OAS

Yes, That's the plan.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'preines(B6
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Jun 03 14:52:15 2009
Subject: OAS

Can we be sure that press knows we supported lifting suspension w conditions which is what we got.

###

RELEASE IN PART
B7(A)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NOTE FOR DEPUTY SECRETARY LEW

SUBJECT: Afghanistan: Inappropriate Behavior by Department Contractor

FROM: INL – David T. Johnson


Over the course of the last few weeks, employees of DynCorp International, the INL contractor in Afghanistan providing police trainers, aviation support, and security, have been discovered in a series of compromising situations.

B7(A)

Based on this continuing pattern of allegations concerning inappropriate conduct in Afghanistan, I called in DynCorp International's corporate management April 16, to make crystal clear that:

B7(A)

B7(A)



The message was conveyed clearly and bluntly, and given the pattern of behavior, we will be watching closely for remedial actions. The DynCorp team was contrite, admitted that their internal controls were clearly inadequate if these things had occurred, and committed to take effective action, beginning with a visit by senior management to Kabul next week.

Approved by: INL: David T. Johnson

Drafted: INL/AP: Karen Hall, x68760/D Brown, x68724, 4/16/09
Cleared: INL: WJMcGlynn

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 2:26 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Supplemental news

FYI

CQ TODAY ONLINE NEWS – APPROPRIATIONS
June 4, 2009 – 1:39 p.m.

War Supplemental Conference Slips to Next Week

By Josh Rogin, CQ Staff

House leadership has decided not to hold a conference or a vote on the war supplemental spending bill this week, as leaders worked behind the scenes to secure enough Democratic votes to pass the measure absent GOP support.

Multiple lawmakers and aides confirmed the expected Thursday session to finalize negotiations over the bill (HR 2346), which is mainly to fund the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, will not occur until at least early next week. Democratic leaders were trying to convince as many as 18 of the 51 Democrats who voted against the House version in May to change their votes, but many said they would not do so.

Republicans are promising to vote against the conference report en mass if it includes $5 billion worth of funding related to the International Monetary Fund. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, D-Calif., said Thursday it would.

"I believe there are people in the Republican Party who support [the IMF funding]; they just don't want to support it on this bill," Pelosi said Thursday, "So we have to do that with all Democratic votes."

She also pushed back against two key pillars of the House Republican leadership's argument against the IMF funding, which is meant to accommodate an increased U.S. credit extension to the organization.

Responding to statements of Minority Leader John A. Boehner of Ohio that the IMF money is unrelated to the war spending measure, Pelosi said the IMF help was a "national security initiative" because it helps to alleviate sources of instability, such as poverty.

Pelosi also accused House Minority Whip Eric Cantor, R-Va., of fear mongering by putting out a statement warning that IMF assistance could somehow fund terrorism.

"I don't know why anyone would say that the money is going to the hands of terrorists," she said. "It simply is not based in fact and is a scare tactic, and most unfortunate."

House Democratic aides said the conference on the supplemental bill probably would be early next week.

"We're going to come up with a supplemental conference report in the next few days," said Senate Majority leader Harry Reid, D-Nev.

Whipping Votes

House leaders had planned to hold the conference session Thursday and had said the House floor vote could be as early as Friday. But their effort to convince members to switch their no votes was still underway Thursday afternoon.

Although several high ranking Democrats have pledged to switch their no votes, including Reps. Barney Frank, D-Mass., and George Miller, D-Calif., many others have said they would not.

"It's a conscience vote," said Rep. Alan Grayson, D-Fla., who said he was inclined to not change his stance on the bill

"I haven't cracked yet," said Rep. Jim McGovern, D-Mass., who along with other anti-war Democrats has pledged to be against any war funding that does not provide for the withdrawal of troops from southwest Asia.

Still, many Democrats said that the leadership was lobbying them relatively intensely.

More Details Emerge

Meanwhile, more details of the near finalized supplemental conference report negotiations came out Thursday.

Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman Daniel K. Inouye, D-Hawaii, said that the final bill will "in all likelihood" include money for 5 C-130 transport planes and 8 C-17 cargo planes, none of which was requested by the administration or in the Senate's bill. The House bill had sought 11 C-130s and the 8 C-17s.

Overall, the defense portion of the bill is expected to be about $4 billion less than the House version but still billions above the Senate version and the administration request, House Appropriations Defense subcommittee chairman John P. Murtha, D-Pa., said.

As for the fate of prisoners at Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, the conference report likely will include language barring the administration from transferring prisoners to U.S. soil for the remainder of the fiscal year, said House Appropriator James P. Moran, D-Va.

No money towards the president's effort to close the prisons will be in the conference report, Moran added. The president had requested $80 million but neither the House nor Senate versions included those funds.

John M. Donnelly and Katherine Hunter contributed to this story

Source: **CQ Today Online News**
Round-the-clock coverage of news from Capitol Hill.
© 2009 Congressional Quarterly Inc. All Rights Reserved.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 10:21 AM
To: H
Subject: Miliband stays in reshuffle. Sid

Mortgage rates drop to record lows. $200,000 for $1,029/mo Fixed. LendingTree®

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 11:30 AM
To: H
Subject: couple things on reshuffle

Alan Johnson is named Home Secretary. He was considered the only possible alternative to Gordon, a bland, competent, Blairite, consensus choice. Johnson taking Home Secretary in effect puts an end to the coup attempt. Alistair Darling is kept as Chancellor. Ed Balls, Gordon's close adviser, was considered a bridge too far given Gordon's weakness now. But with Purnell's resignation Gordon named Balls' wife, Yvette Cooper to Purnell's job at Work and Pensions Ministry. More later... Sid

Mortgage rates drop to record lows. $200,000 for $1,029/mo Fixed. LendingTree®

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 2:32 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: [redacted] Retiring Today B6

FYI

From: Kelly Craighead [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 12:55 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: [redacted] Retiring Today

Ladies,

One of HRC's most committed and passionate supporters, [redacted], is retiring. Although she will be at SEIU until the end of the month, her party is today. I know it would mean the world to her to get a message from HRC.

[redacted] can be reached at [redacted]

A phone call would be great. If you prefer snail mail, let me know and I will get her home address.

You should also know that [redacted] is very interested in serving on a task force or other position where she can help advance women's issues and otherwise help HRC.

Thanks,
Kelly

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Kelly Craighead
Managing Director
Democracy Alliance
1800 Massachusetts Ave. NW
Suite 5000M
Washington, DC 20036
[redacted]

This e-mail and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error please immediately notify me and permanently delete the original copy and any copy of any e-mail, and any printout thereof.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 8:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Just tried you again

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 11:00 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Burns, William J; Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Mon Jun 01 18:28:54 2009
Subject: FW: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

From: Ruffini, Julio
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 6:18 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Stout, Jennifer P; Nolan, Sarah E; McIntyre, Amber J; [redacted] Cooper, John M; 'Terrell, Louisa'; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura; Bisdee, Sharon C; Hardy, Sharon L; McGovern, Bridget (Helen-Mary); Knight, Stephen B
Subject: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 07, 2009 12:50 AM
To: H
Subject: Rice

Condi rice checked in during party. She can talk tomorrow between 830 and 1045 [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 9:33 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

FYI

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:54 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Just saw Newsweek piece and went over site with Nora --- Nora can show to you. It's a leap for Newsweek to say that the State Dept DipNote Twittering = Secretary Clinton Twittering. I did a scan (and will do a longer one) but there appears to be no first person Twittering in Secretary's Clinton's name.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:46 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

I'll send you the newsweek piece

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:43 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Hi Cheryl,
I do not believe there is Twittering in the Secretary's name. The Twittering is from DipNote, the State Department's Blog http://twitter.com/dipnote

It will occasionally quote the Secretary but the Twittering should not be done in her name.

Alec

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:38 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

But we should not be twittering in the Secretary's name since she is not the person actually twittering.

There is a reference in Newsweek to her twittering and says: Hillary Clinton Style: Dry info and offers 4,788 followers

Can you advise who is twittering in her name and how we can address?

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 12:03 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Author of Renegade is Richard Wolfe (wrote for Newsweek)

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:21 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Who wrote this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jun 02 10:42:25 2009
Subject: FW: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:41 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Of all his transition choices, none was easier to make, or more complex to execute, than Hillary Clinton as secretary of state. Obama had long wanted his former rival on his team, no matter what his friends and aides said about her aggressive campaign... His staff opposed the idea for the most part, arguing that Clinton would never be truly loyal. But Obama was willing to leave the primaries behind, including his own strong feelings at the time. "I don't hold grudges," he told his aides. "I don't worry about the past. I'm concerned about what happens now. If she can help me and Bill Clinton isn't too much of a liability, we should seriously look at this." ...

Obama was under no illusion about the legacy of the long primary season. During one transition meeting, Obama said he wanted to offer Clinton the diplomatic job. "I'm really interested in pursuing this, but I know she has some hard feelings coming out of this campaign." Emanuel and John Podesta, the former Clinton official who ran the transition, assured Obama that she was over those hard feelings now. Obama smiled and said, "Believe me. She's not over it yet."

His decision to offer her the job of secretary of state came surprisingly early. Well before the end of the primaries, when his staff and friends still felt hostile to her, Obama decided that Clinton possessed the qualities to carry his diplomacy to the rest of the world. "We actually thought during the primary, when we were pretty sure we were going to win, that she could end up being a very effective secretary of state," he told me later. "I felt that she was disciplined, that she was

precise, that she was smart as a whip, and that she would present a really strong image to the world...I had that mapped out."

Recruiting and managing a team of rivals would not be easy, and Clinton came with her own set of issues. Chief among them was her campaign debt, which she wanted eliminated before she took the job of secretary of state. Would the president-elect go out and help her to do so? "I'm not begging her to take this job," Obama told his senior aides. "If she wants it, I could help. But I'm not willing to go out in these difficult economic times to do a flashy fundraiser in California." As it happened, plenty of people in the Senate were begging Obama to offer Clinton the job. Obama's aides believed that many Senate Democrats thought Clinton had extended her presidential campaign far beyond the point where she had lost the election. Her negative advertising wasted Democratic money, threatened to undermine the party's nominee, and suggested that she was disloyal to the party. They were unwilling to offer the junior New York senator a position ahead of her lowly rank, and she stood little chance of becoming majority leader. "There was a lot of encouragement from inside the Senate to get her into this job," said one senior Obama aide. "They wanted her out of there." ...

As for controlling the uncontrollable Bill Clinton, Obama's aides drew up a series of checks on his fundraising for both Clinton Global Initiative and his work on HIV/AIDS across the world. But they really counted on Hillary to be the ultimate safeguard - against both her husband and her own ambition. "It's in her interests to keep him in line," warned one senior Obama aide. Others in Obama's inner circle said the president-elect believed Clinton needed to demonstrate that she was a team player and to shape her own career and legacy. "There are plenty who don't trust her and think she still harbors something," said another senior adviser. "It's still potentially problematic down the road. Barack's thinking on this is that it's not in her interests to mess with us. She can't win that fight internally and she's smart enough that she won't want that fight publicly."

Several weeks into the administration, even Clinton's internal critics believed the relationship was a success. "They have both worked really hard at it," said one senior White House official. "There's a natural affinity and respect that ironically grew out of being opponents. You get to know someone really well after all that."

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 4:22 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Please convey to the Secretary

----- Original Message -----
From: Shapiro, Andrew J
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Wed Jun 03 16:02:18 2009
Subject: Please convey to the Secretary

my happy surprise and deep appreciation for her statement on my behalf that was read by Rep. Lowey at my hearing today. I was incredibly moved by it and it helped make a remarkable day even more memorable.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 6:50 PM
To: H

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 8:49 PM
To: H
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Alma Powell

Yes, I will arrange.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Wed Jun 03 20:39:18 2009
Subject: Fw: Alma Powell

Can I greet her while she's here?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 02 20:38:47 2009
Subject: Fw: Alma Powell

Fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tue Jun 02 20:02:30 2009
Subject: Alma Powell

Cheryl

Mrs. Powell will be in the Department on the 18th of June to speak to the Annual Meeting of the Overseas Schools Advisory Committee

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN PART
B7(A)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NOTE FOR DEPUTY SECRETARY LEW

SUBJECT: Afghanistan: Inappropriate Behavior by Department Contractor

FROM: INL – David T. Johnson

Over the course of the last few weeks, employees of DynCorp International, the INL contractor in Afghanistan providing police trainers, aviation support, and security, have been discovered in a series of compromising situations.

B7(A)

Based on this continuing pattern of allegations concerning inappropriate conduct in Afghanistan, I called in DynCorp International's corporate management April 16, to make crystal clear that:

B7(A)

The message was conveyed clearly and bluntly, and given the pattern of behavior, we will be watching closely for remedial actions. The DynCorp team was contrite, admitted that their internal controls were clearly inadequate if these things had occurred, and committed to take effective action, beginning with a visit by senior management to Kabul next week.

Approved by: INL: David T. Johnson

Drafted: INL/AP: Karen Hall, x68760/D Brown, x68724, 4/16/09
Cleared: INL: WJMcGlynn

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 9:55 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Betsy and Tom

Just FYI that Betsy and Tom are coming to State today for a tour of the Diplomatic Reception Rooms. (Betsy may have her boss in tow, too). Marcee Craighill is going to give them a private tour at 2:00 PM, and afterward I will show them whatever I can on the 7th floor.

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Neera Tanden [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 4:43 PM
To: H
Subject: last email on this subject

Sorry to flood your email, but I couldn't resist sending this to you from Ben Smith. [redacted] B6

A year later, Hillary wins

A year ago today, the final set of primaries made official the foregone conclusion that Barack Obama had won the primary, and Hillary Clinton lost it.

There's far too much going on today to dwell on an anniversary, but it does seem worth noting one particular piece of news in its light: On the central health care policy debate of the Democratic Primary -- which was, to be fair, conducted within a fairly narrow frame -- Obama appears to have conceded today to a Senate plan likely to more closely resemble Clinton's.

In a dense, careful letter to Ted Kennedy and Max Baucus, Obama offered his principles for a health care plan and conceded on a central point: He is now open to an individual mandate, which he campaigned against, and which is now being talked about by Senators of both parties with the buzzword "shared responsibility."

Obama still backs a "hardship waiver" for people unable to afford the mandate -- which is consistent with the core of his objection to the mandate. But what he cast as a principled difference of approach -- was the problem merely that people couldn't afford insurance? or something more? -- seems to have been conceded.

Here's the relevant paragraph:

> I understand the Committees are moving towards a principle of shared responsibility -- making every American responsible for having health insurance coverage, and asking that employers share in the cost. I share the goal of ending lapses and gaps in coverage that make us less healthy and drive up everyone's costs, and I am open to your ideas on shared responsibility. But I believe if we are going to make people responsible for owning health insurance, we must make health care affordable. If we do end up with a system where people are responsible for their own insurance, we need to provide a hardship waiver to exempt Americans who cannot afford it. In addition, while I believe that employers have a responsibility to support health insurance for their employees, small businesses face a number of special challenges in affording health benefits and should be exempted.

By Ben Smith 07:51 PM

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 4:52 PM
To: H; Doug Band
Cc: Justin Cooper
Subject: FW: Many Haitians See Bill Clinton Appointment as Setting Up Protectorate

For HRC/WJC

From: Curtis, Meghann A
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 4:00 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: Many Haitians See Bill Clinton Appointment as Setting Up Protectorate

FYI – I think Port-au-Prince Le Matin is a summary of Haitian radio programming.

Many Haitians See Bill Clinton Appointment as Setting Up Protectorate
Port-au-Prince Le Matin
June 5, 2009

UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon's recent appointment of former US President Clinton to serve as special envoy to Haiti has been perceived by many groups, including lawmakers, political analysts, political leaders, and media commentators, as a step toward putting Haiti in a protectorate or trusteeship status. They questioned in particular the extent of Clinton's powers and the term of his mandate, wondering what role will be attributed to Haiti's elected representatives. Several pointed out that Heidi Annabi, Ban's special representative in Haiti, and President Rene Preval would be subservient to Clinton, their senior in rank and described by some media as Haiti's new chief. As expected, Haitian Government officials and most foreign diplomats hailed this nomination and expressed their optimism about it.

Several political leaders objected to Clinton's being named special envoy to Haiti, saying that it showed the international community wanted to bring Haiti under its control.

o Former Senate President Belizaire, president of the National Council of the Haitian Political Parties, pointed out that Haiti has had a foreign force in the country, the UN Stabilization Mission in Haiti (MINUSTAH), since 2004 and now has an envoy such as President Clinton who is in a "higher position than any other UN personnel." These both indicate the country is being considered a "genuine protectorate," according to Belizaire (Signal FM, 27 May).

o Socialist Identity and Liberty Collective spokesman Cherubin referred to Clinton's mission as an umpteenth "civil commission," alluding to the administrative steps France took to restore order and peace when Haiti was under French rule, intended to speed up the process of "taking charge" of Haiti. Cherubin added that in the current international jargon this is called "colonialism of a third type, or colonialism of necessity" (www.alterpresse.org, 22 May).

o According to Christian Movement for a New Haiti leader Mesadieux, Ban's decision will further help put Haiti under foreign supervision. He asserted that the international community wants to reinforce its control over Haiti's administration (Radio Vision 2000, 21 May).

o Dr. Eugene of the Haitian Social Christian Party, speaking on the "Pickup Talk Show" live from the United States, said that "Clinton's responsibility is to control events in Haiti and he will control them in a firm manner" (Radio Caraibes FM, 23 May). Eugene was "offended" by this appointment and called it "a shame for all Haitians" and "a slap in the face of the entire nation" (Radio Galaxie, 29 May).

Lawmakers and political analysts, who questioned how far Clinton's powers would extend and described him as a colonial administrator, also concluded that Haiti was under trusteeship or protectorate status.

o Senate President Bastien, who said the UN usually sends special emissaries only to "places where there are crises and conflicts," wondered why former President Clinton had been named special envoy to Haiti. He particularly questioned the extent of Clinton's mandate, asking "where do his powers end?" and concluded that the ongoing rumors of Haiti being put under trusteeship will be confirmed if clarifications are not offered (Radio Vision 2000, 26 May).

o Professor Lalanne, a former ambassador under the Duvalier regime, described Clinton as "the new administrator of the colony called Haiti." Lalanne said this nomination shows that the international community is not happy with Annabi's work. He added that he had "no other interpretation [of the appointment other than it is]... a reinforcement of the occupation" (Radio Kiskeya, 25 May).

o Reacting to the appointment, political analyst Gracien Jean referred to Article 76 of the UN fundamental charter and said that although Haiti is not a classic protectorate with an administrator, the very fact that it cannot administer itself and has foreign forces in charge of ensuring its security, is an indication that the country is "under trusteeship or protectorate status because in principle there is partial restriction of its sovereignty" (Radio Vision 2000, 26 May).

Media commentators highlighted the powers conferred to President Clinton as minimizing the role of Haitian or UN officials.

o The oldest and most famous Haitian newspaper, Le Nouvelliste, questioned in an editorial what mandate former President Clinton would have in his new role. The paper noted that even though Clinton was presented as "an international lawyer for Haiti," the country's moderate national ists consider him the new "governor general," recalling the title given by France to colonial Haiti's highest authority. The paper further asked what role Haiti's elected representatives would have if President Clinton has responsibility for "a complete political program." Moreover, the editorial questioned how long the program would last (20 May).

o In an article entitled "Haiti Under High Surveillance," journalist Nancy Roc, host of Radio Metropole's famous weekly talk show "Metropolis," reported: "This nomination establishes the eviction of the Latin Americans and represents the response of the Obama Administration to the powerlessness and irresponsibility of the Haitian rulers.... Through this nomination, Haiti has a new chief.... Annabi and Preval are relegated to a position of secondary importance and will have to execute to the letter any instructions from [Clinton] their senior in rank (Le Matin, 25 May).

Predictably, government officials and foreign diplomats hailed Clinton's mission and emphasized its beneficial socioeconomic influence.

o Le Nouvelliste reported on 19 May that "President Preval received this nomination favorably," saying that it is "a vital push" for assuring Haiti's needs remain "a priority for the international community." Responding to a journalist who asked him about Haiti being put under protectorate status, Preval replied that he knew Haiti, as a UN member, was like many countries with problems who have accepted UN help (Radio Vision 2000, 26 May).

o Prime Minister Pierre-Louis saw in the naming of President Clinton a positive sign all the more so since he is "a long time friend of Haiti." She said it was in the interest of the country to take advantage of all international opportunities (Radio Caraibes FM, 21 May).

o MINUSTAH spoke of its limits to help social and economic problems, and stressed the appropriateness and the importance of President Clinton's mission after the hurricanes that hit Haiti last year (Le Nouvelliste, 20 May).

o The Chilean Chancery said in a communique issued on 26 May that it received this nomination with "total approbation" and expected it to help put Haiti's economic and social development and reconstruction on the international agenda (www.radiokiskeya.com, 26 May).

On another note, however, OAS representatiave in Haiti, Ricardo Seitenfus reacted defensively to rumors about President Clinton's appointment, telling Signal FM that "Haiti will never fall under the protectorate of another country" even if it is a "disguised protectorate." He further said that the "OAS will constantly watch to make sure that does not happen" (26 May).

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 11:02 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Sydney Blumenthal

Fyi

From: Crowley, Philip J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Jun 05 19:38:20 2009
Subject: Sydney Blumenthal

FYI, we have heard from an AP reporter that Sydney outed himself about coming to the Department, mentioning it without realizing he was talking to someone who actually covers our building.

PJ

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 3:52 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Savage holding pattern and next steps
Attachments: Savage case - related legal background.docx

On Michael Savage:

Joan wanted everyone to clearly understand before any further discussion occurred with UK that Savage's exclusion could also have occurred under our legal regime so to the extent we want to suggest to UK that his exclusion on free speech grounds is inappropriate (or any thing of that like) that we should understand we may appear slightly hypocritical given our legal regime and actions.

B5

B5

Background on Related Law

B5

B5

From: Donoghue, Joan E
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 9:38 AM

To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Crowley, Philip J; Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Olson, Peter M; Conklin, Maegan L; Malin, Mary Catherine
Subject: Savage holding pattern and next steps

This is what L staff, in coordination with EUR, have said to Savage's lawyer:

- The demarche was delivered Friday in London, to FCO and Home Office officials. The Embassy passed your letter to them, drawing their attention in particular to Mr. Savage's statement that he had never advocated violence and that his statements had never instigated violence. The British officials said that, given Mr. Savage's legal action in the UK, Treasury solicitors would contact his legal representatives directly to provide details of the comments that had given rise to the decision to exclude him.

[redacted] B5

Joan

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2009 10:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Euro election UK predictable shock

Labour finishes third behind the far right UK Independence Party. Labour percent just below 16. Sid

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761566 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Office of the Spokesman

For Immediate Release **June 2, 2009**
2009/

QUESTION TAKEN AT JUNE 1, 2009 DAILY PRESS BRIEFING

CURRENT RESULTS OF TEXTING CAMPAIGN FOR HUMANITARIAN AID TO PAKISTAN

Q. How much money has been raised through the texting campaign for humanitarian aid for Pakistan?

A. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reported that as of June 1, 2009, a total of $135,008 in private donations from U.S. sources for humanitarian aid to Pakistan had been received. Of that total, $31,390 was received via 5,722 text messages.

The remainder included $84,702 received via 439 online donations; and $18,916 by mail and from major donors.

# # #

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761566 Date: 06/30/2015

Drafted:	PRM/MCE:	GWills 3-1071	
Approved:	PRM/FO:	MPollack	ok
Cleared:	PRM/ECA:	AWendt	ok
	PRM/ECA:	ENagy	ok
	IO/RHS:	D Moore	ok
	USAID/PAPD:	TRigler	ok
	SCA/PAPD:	KFaillace	ok
	D(L):	CLacrosse	ok
	D(L):	JButte-Dahl	ok
	D(S):	LCue	ok if all others clear
	G:	KFriedrich	ok
	S/P:	SChaudhary	ok
	S/P:	MPatel	ok
	P:	AReinemeyer	ok
	UNHCR:	TIrwin	info
	PA:		

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:39 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Alma Powell

Fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tue Jun 02 20:02:30 2009
Subject: Alma Powell

Cheryl

Mrs. Powell will be in the Department on the 18th of June to speak to the Annual Meeting of the Overseas Schools Advisory Committee

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 5:03 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Mtg w/ HRC tomorrow

Heads up

----- Original Message -----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jun 07 18:53:21 2009
Subject: Mtg w/ HRC tomorrow

Cheryl,
I need to see her alone. I won't be talking about the speech.
AMS

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2009 9:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Korea

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Davies, Glyn T
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Jun 02 16:01:30 2009
Subject: RE: Korea

Spoke to Beth today to close loop after our chat yesterday and after checking overnight with Kathy Stephens.

[redacted] B5

-----Original Message-----
From: Davies, Glyn T
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 3:28 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: 'bnolan[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Korea

Beth: I just landed at Dulles after a trip to Singapore and Tokyo. I'll call you as soon as I get to the office. Rgds, Glyn

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Davies, Glyn T
Cc: 'bnolan[redacted] B6
Sent: Mon Jun 01 04:55:12 2009
Subject: Fw: Korea

Glyn

Not sure if you recall beth nolan who was wh counsel under pres clinton.

She has a matter regarding the pres of ROK that she could use your guidance on.

I am connecting you two by email. She also can be reached at [redacted] B6

Thks

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Beth Nolan [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun May 31 17:42:03 2009
Subject: Korea

Cheryl,

I am hoping you can put me in touch tomorrow with Glen Davies or whomever you think I should talk with re Korea.

Thanks.

Beth

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B7(A)

Embassy of the United States of America
Kabul, Afghanistan

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

June 4th, 2009

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

TO: Ambassador Karl W. Eikenberry

THROUGH: Deputy Ambassador Francis J. Ricciardone

FROM: Narcotics Affairs Section – Acting Director Lee R. Brown

SUBJECT: Washington Post Inquiry / Investigation of DynCorp

Summary. Washington Post reporter Ellen Nakashema contacted INL/NAS Police Program Manager Marissa Martin on June 3 to inquire about the State Department's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) investigation of DynCorp International in Afghanistan. Ms. Nakashema wrote the April 2009 Washington Post article on the drug overdose death of an American DynCorp. Ms. Nakashema said she has collected more negative reports on DynCorp and she expressed particular interested in an episode involving DynCorp contractors and a "dancing boy" in Konduz. She plans to publish an article within the next week or ten days.

Background

B7(A)

- On April 18, the Washington Post's Ellen Nakashema published a factually accurate article (Tab 1) about the DynCorp employee's death. The article did not address the "dancing boy" episode or other possible misconduct by DynCorp employees. The article

cited a public statement (Tab 2) provided by A/S Johnson acknowledging problems in DynCorp management and employee conduct, noting that the three DynCorp Afghan Police Program managers had been dismissed, and pointing out that investigations were underway.

B7(A)

Current Status

Since the publication of the April 18 article, Ms. Nakashema says that she has received many calls and emails alleging DynCorp misconduct, including the "dancing boy" incident. In her call to Marissa Martin on June 3, Ms. Nakashema asked for confirmation of the incident, the status of the Department's OIG investigation, and if "it was true the Department called in the OIG because of the 'Dancing Boy' incident, instead of the death of Mr. Schafer." Marissa assured her that, as A/S Johnson stated for the record in April, investigations are underway regarding all of our concerns with DynCorp. Ms. Nakashema has also been in touch with INL Washington, which tells us that it expects another article to appear soon.

Drafted: Marissa Martin (x8346)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:26 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Kurt Campbell

FYI

From: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 11:15 AM
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Kurt Campbell

Has an SFRC hearing date for next Wednesday morning.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 2:08 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Dick Gephardt [mailto: B6
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 7:47 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Cheryl - Thank you so much for considering this invitation. I am sure the sponsors would be absolutely thrilled to have Secretary Clinton appear.

In passing let me say what an extraordinary job you are all doing. As an American citizen it gives me great confidence and pride to see Secretary Clinton representing our country with such skill and grace. All Best, Dick

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: O'Sullivan, Nigel B6
Cc: Dick Gephardt; Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jun 03 13:55:34 2009
Subject: RE: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Thank you so much.

I am adding Lona – and we will make sure she reviews to address.

Best.

cdm

From: Bradley, Fiona [mailto: On Behalf Of O'Sullivan, Nigel B6
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 11:32 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: dickgephard B6
Subject: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Dear Cheryl

Following a few discussions with Dick Gephardt - I have pleasure in laying out fairly detailed information about a conference that is being held in Demark on 3-4 December 2009.

We have made the note reasonably in-depth so hopefully will provide you with sufficient background. The organisers of the event which are ATP - the largest Danish Pension Fund and the Climate Consortium Denmark are being sponsored and backed by the Danish government and they were very hopeful that Hillary would be able to be the key note speaker at the conference for reasons that they have identified in the attached briefing document.

We were hoping to establish whether this event would be of interest for Hillary to appear and if she was available we would then arrange for the formal invite to be sent out by the Danish Government.

If we can provide any further clarification, please do not hesitate to contact us.

With very Best wishes

Nigel

Nigel O'Sullivan
Goldman Sachs International
Peterborough Court | 133 Fleet Street
London EC4A 2BB
[redacted] B6
[redacted] <mailto:[redacted]

Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority © Copyright 2007 The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. All rights reserved.
This message may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please advise the sender immediately and delete this message.

See http://www.gs.com/disclaimer/email for further information on confidentiality and the risks inherent in electronic communication. See http://www.gs.com/disclaimer/email-salesandtrading.html for important risk disclosure, conflicts of interest and other terms and conditions relating to the e-mail and your reliance on information contained in it.

Goldman Sachs International ("GSI") is authorised and regulated by The Financial Services Authority and appears in the FSA register under number 142888. GSI is subject to the FSA rules and guidance, details of which can be found on the FSA's website at http://www.fsa.gov.uk <http://www.fsa.gov.uk/> . GSI is registered as a Private Unlimited Company in England and Wales (Company Number 2263951). [VAT registration number GB 447 2649 28]. Registered Office as above.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 8:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Follow-On to Earlier Email

Below is current draft of the press release we would issue once DOJ issues their release:

REVISED DRAFT

B5

06/05/09-0715

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:28 AM
To: H
Subject: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Revised mini schedule below. Two items added during office time, denoted with asterisks:

11:40 am (t) **ARRIVE** State Department

12:00 pm **BILATERAL w/PORTUGUESE FOREIGN MINISTER LUIS AMADO**
12:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

12:30 pm **PROTOCOL OF EXCHANGE OF INSTRUMENTS OF RATIFICATION**
12:45 pm **CEREMONY w/PORTUGUESE F.M. LUIS AMADO** Treaty Room

12:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:05 pm Secretary's Office

1:10 pm * **MTG w/ JACK LEW AND JAKE SULLIVAN** *
1:20 pm Secretary's Office

1:20 pm * **PRE-BRIEF FOR TURKISH BILATERAL** *
1:25 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **BILATERAL w/TURKISH F.M. AHMET DAVUTOGLU**
2:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

2:30 pm **PRESS AVAIL w/TURKISH F.M. DAVUTOGLU**
2:40 pm Treaty Room

2:45 pm **BILATERAL w/KOREAN F.M. YU MYUNG-HWAN**
3:15 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room following.

3:15 pm **MEETING w/TODD STERN**
4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm **MEETING w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR ASST.**
4:15 pm **SEC'Y OF STATE FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS** Secretary's Office

4:30 pm (t) **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

4:40 pm (t) **ARRIVE** Private Residence

4:40 pm **PERSONAL TIME**
5:30 pm Private Residence

5:30 pm **DEPART** Private Residence *En route Rodham Home

6:00 pm **90TH BIRTHDAY BBQ FOR MRS. RODHAM**
10:00 pm (t) Location: Home of Tony & Megan Rodham *Call Time: 6:00pm-10:00pm

10:00 pm (t) **DEPART** Rodham Home *En route Private Residence

10:30 pm (t) **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 1:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Mandelson

So in the end Peter did not get Foreign Secretary. But Gordon has now created a new job and title for him: First Secretary of State. In effect, he is deputy prime minister, probably the first peer in that role, not an elected member of Commons. Gordon held a defiant late afternoon press conference: "I will not waver. I will not walk away. I will get on with the job." There will be more fallout, but I think this is done. Sid

Mortgage rates drop to record lows. $200,000 for $1,029/mo Fixed. LendingTree®

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 5:27 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: eDepartment Notice for 6/5/2009

Importance: High

See below

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 12:54 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: eDepartment Notice for 6/5/2009
Importance: High

I received this message in The Secretary's Suggestion Box this morning.

From: Pate, Michael J
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 11:39 AM
To: Secretary's Suggestion Box
Subject: FW: eDepartment Notice for 6/5/2009
Importance: High

Please pass this message to Secretary Clinton.

Thank you so much for your support for the GLT community. This is the first time in my 24.5 year career that I have seen this type of support. I appreciate all the hard work you have done in the short time as Secretary of State.

You have given so much hope to so many. I am so proud of you and your work.

May God continue to bless you.

Mr. Michael Pate, MN, FNP-BC
Chief of Medical Clearances
Office of Medical Services, M/MED
ISO 9001 Registered
SA-15, 1800 North Kent Street
Rosslyn, VA 22203
☎Phone: (703) 875-5411 or 5413
🖷FAX: (703) 875-5414

From: eDepartment Notices
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:41 AM
To: Domestic State Employees
Subject: eDepartment Notice for 6/5/2009
Importance: High

[redacted]

[redacted]

- Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton Statement in Recognition of Gay and Lesbian PRIDE Month 2009

Published by the Bureau of Administration's
Global Publishing Solutions
(202-736-7470)
Message #1887

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:42 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:41 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Of all his transition choices, none was easier to make, or more complex to execute, than Hillary Clinton as secretary of state. Obama had long wanted his former rival on his team, no matter what his friends and aides said about her aggressive campaign... His staff opposed the idea for the most part, arguing that Clinton would never be truly loyal. But Obama was willing to leave the primaries behind, including his own strong feelings at the time. "I don't hold grudges," he told his aides. "I don't worry about the past. I'm concerned about what happens now. If she can help me and Bill Clinton isn't too much of a liability, we should seriously look at this." ...

Obama was under no illusion about the legacy of the long primary season. During one transition meeting, Obama said he wanted to offer Clinton the diplomatic job. "I'm really interested in pursuing this, but I know she has some hard feelings coming out of this campaign." Emanuel and John Podesta, the former Clinton official who ran the transition, assured Obama that she was over those hard feelings now. Obama smiled and said, "Believe me. She's not over it yet."

His decision to offer her the job of secretary of state came surprisingly early. Well before the end of the primaries, when his staff and friends still felt hostile to her, Obama decided that Clinton possessed the qualities to carry his diplomacy to the rest of the world. "We actually thought during the primary, when we were pretty sure we were going to win, that she could end up being a very effective secretary of state," he told me later. "I felt that she was disciplined, that she was precise, that she was smart as a whip, and that she would present a really strong image to the world...I had that mapped out."

Recruiting and managing a team of rivals would not be easy, and Clinton came with her own set of issues. Chief among them was her campaign debt, which she wanted eliminated before she took the job of secretary of state. Would the president-elect go out and help her to do so? "I'm not begging her to take this job," Obama told his senior aides. "If she wants it, I could help. But I'm not willing to go out in these difficult economic times to do a flashy fundraiser in California." As it happened, plenty of people in the Senate were begging Obama to offer Clinton the job. Obama's aides believed that many Senate Democrats thought Clinton had extended her presidential campaign far beyond the point where she had lost the election. Her negative advertising wasted Democratic money, threatened to undermine the party's nominee, and suggested that she was disloyal to the party. They were unwilling to offer the junior New York senator a position ahead of her lowly rank, and she stood little chance of becoming majority leader. "There was a lot of encouragement from inside the Senate to get her into this job," said one senior Obama aide. "They wanted her out of there." ...

As for controlling the uncontrollable Bill Clinton, Obama's aides drew up a series of checks on his fundraising for both Clinton Global Initiative and his work on HIV/AIDS across the world. But they really counted on

Hillary to be the ultimate safeguard - against both her husband and her own ambition. "It's in her interests to keep him in line," warned one senior Obama aide. Others in Obama's inner circle said the president-elect believed Clinton needed to demonstrate that she was a team player and to shape her own career and legacy. "There are plenty who don't trust her and think she still harbors something," said another senior adviser. "It's still potentially problematic down the road. Barack's thinking on this is that it's not in her interests to mess with us. She can't win that fight internally and she's smart enough that she won't want that fight publicly."

Several weeks into the administration, even Clinton's internal critics believed the relationship was a success. "They have both worked really hard at it," said one senior White House official. "There's a natural affinity and respect that ironically grew out of being opponents. You get to know someone really well after all that."

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2009 3:37 PM
To: H
Subject: Calls

Holbrooke called for you. He's in abu dhabi.
Jim steinberg also just called for you.

RELEASE IN FULL

Kathleen M. O'Toole

Kathleen M. O'Toole currently serves as Chief Inspector of the Garda Síochána Inspectorate, an oversight body responsible for bringing reform, best practice and accountability to the 15,000 member Irish national police service. O'Toole was recruited by the Irish Government for this role as the result of her service on the Independent Commission on Policing in Northern Ireland (The Patten Commission).

The Patten Commission was established is 1998 as part of the Good Friday Agreement in Northern Ireland. The eight-member group created a new framework for policing and security as an integral step in the Peace Process.

O'Toole remains actively involved in Northern Ireland. She was recently tapped by the Security Minister there to serve on a four-person commission established to develop prison reforms. In addition, she maintains close links to the North through her cross-community volunteer and philanthropic work.

As the result of her intimate knowledge and extensive network of contacts in Northern Ireland, O'Toole has frequently been tapped to brief and advise U.S. Government officials on issues there.

O'Toole is a practicing attorney with diverse career experience in government and the private sector. She began her career in 1979 as a patrol officer in the Boston Police Department and rose through the ranks of local and state law enforcement in Massachusetts. Prior to her appointment as Chief Inspector of the Garda Síochána, she served as Boston Police Commissioner, overseeing three thousand sworn and civilian personnel. She also served as Massachusetts Secretary of Public Safety, overseeing twenty agencies and more than 10,000 employees.

O'Toole has worked on other high-profile projects. For example, she was a consultant to the United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division on police profiling cases. She also chaired the Boston Fire Department Review Commission, a group established to address serious allegations of mismanagement and bias.

Kathleen O'Toole founded O'Toole Associates LLC, an international consulting firm with offices in Dublin, Ireland and Boston, Massachusetts. The firm provides a variety of services to government and industry clients in Ireland, Northern Ireland and the United States.

O'Toole earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Boston College, a juris doctor from New England School of Law and was admitted to the bar as a practicing attorney in 1982. She is now enrolled in the PhD program at the Business School of Trinity College, Dublin. She is married to Dan O'Toole and has one daughter, Meghan.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 1:44 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Richard Wolffe

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 12:05 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Richard Wolffe

Richard Wolffe

Wolffe covered the entire length of Barack Obama's presidential campaign for Newsweek magazine, traveling with the candidate and his inner circle from his announcement through election day, 21 months later.

As a political analyst on *MSNBC*, he appears frequently on Countdown with Keith Olbermann and Hardball. On NBC, he has featured as a political commentator on Meet The Press and TODAY. He features prominently in the forthcoming HBO documentary on the Obama campaign, and played a leading role in the HBO documentary of the 2000 Bush campaign, *Journeys With George*. He previously appeared on CNN and Fox News, as well as international media including British, Canadian and Australian television.

He now writes regularly for The Daily Beast.

As Newsweek's senior White House correspondent, his cover stories included What He Believes (on Obama's faith) Black & White (about Obama and racial politics), Bush In The Bubble (after Hurricane Katrina) and Weight of the World (how Bush handled the Lebanon war). Wolffe joined Newsweek in November 2002 as diplomatic correspondent, covering foreign policy and international affairs. In the 2004 presidential election, he covered the Howard Dean campaign before switching to John Kerry.

Before Newsweek, Wolffe was a senior journalist at the Financial Times, serving as its deputy bureau chief and U.S. diplomatic correspondent in Washington. He reported on U.S. foreign policy, covered the Microsoft antitrust trial, as well as regulatory and business issues at Treasury, the Federal Trade Commission and Securities and Exchange Commission.

In April 2009, he joined Public Strategies, a business advisory firm, as Senior Strategist.

Wolffe is the co-author of The Victim's Fortune, (HarperCollins, 2002), about the deals that led to billions of dollars in compensation to the Nazis' victims in the late 1990s. He also co-authored two Spanish cookbooks, *Tapas: A Taste of Spain in America* (Clarkson Potter in the US and Planeta in Spain, 2005) and *Made in Spain: Spanish Dishes for the American Kitchen* (Clarkson Potter, 2008). He has also written for food magazines such as *Food Arts* and *Food & Wine*. He authored an upcoming book on Obama's campaign called Renegade: The Making of a President.

Born in in Birmingham, England, Wolffe is a 1992 graduate of Oxford University, first-class honors, in English and French literature.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:53 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

I do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:26 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:49:35 2009
Subject:

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 8:17 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule Friday 6/5/09

11:40 am (t) **ARRIVE** State Department

12:00 pm **BILATERAL w/PORTUGUESE FOREIGN MINISTER LUIS AMADO**
12:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

12:30 pm **PROTOCOL OF EXCHANGE OF INSTRUMENTS OF RATIFICATION**
12:45 pm **CEREMONY w/PORTUGUESE F.M. LUIS AMADO** Treaty Room

12:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:30 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **BILATERAL w/TURKISH F.M. AHMET DAVUTOGLU**
2:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

2:30 pm **PRESS AVAIL w/TURKISH F.M. DAVUTOGLU**
2:40 pm Treaty Room

2:45 pm **BILATERAL w/KOREAN F.M. YU MYUNG-HWAN**
3:15 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room following.

3:15 pm **MEETING w/TODD STERN**
4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm **MEETING w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR ASST.**
4:15 pm **SEC'Y OF STATE FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS** Secretary's Office

4:30 pm (t) **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

4:40 pm (t) **ARRIVE** Private Residence

4:40 pm **PERSONAL TIME**
5:30 pm Private Residence

5:30 pm **DEPART** Private Residence *En route Rodham Home

6:00 pm **90TH BIRTHDAY BBQ FOR MRS. RODHAM**
10:00 pm (t) Location: Home of Tony & Megan Rodham *Call Time: 6:00pm-10:00pm

10:00 pm (t) **DEPART** Rodham Home *En route Private Residence

10:30 pm (t) **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2009 12:49 AM
To: H
Subject: more on what happened...

Purnell called Miliband to tell him he would resign, trying to prompt David into joining him, thereby bringing down the government. Miliband refused, tried to talk Purnell out of quitting. Mandelson was at No. 10 discussing strategy with Gordon when Purnell called at 10 pm Thursday night to tell him he resigned. Mandelson worked the phones long into the night to get the Blairites to stay put and back Gordon. He succeeded in holding the fort. Then Gordon named him First Secretary of State. Sid

What can an email address say about you? Find out here.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 7:37 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Mary Beth Sheridan and WP

Fyi

From: Crowley, Philip J
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J; Shannon, Thomas A; Bronke-Fulton, Heide M; Takeyh, Ray S
Sent: Fri Jun 05 18:53:44 2009
Subject: Mary Beth Sheridan and WP

I talked with Mary Beth Sheridan. This is actually a pretty good story. The thrust of the piece is that there is a great deal of concern about growing Iranian influence in Latin America – talk of investments, projects and so forth – but so far very little has actually appeared. In other words, the Iranians are talking a good game but not delivering. It is within this context that Mary Beth picked up on the comment by the Secretary of this large embassy in Manangua that It turns out is not under construction, at least not yet.

On the record, I said that the Secretary picked this up from one of her counterparts from the region during a bilateral. If it turns out that is not happening, that's good news. The Iranians see themselves as the big winners in the second Gulf War. They are trying to extend their influence, particularly in their own region, but elsewhere where they see possible openings. But while they are trying to buy friends and influence people around the world, the results are clearly mixed.

The story will probably run Sunday.

PJ

RELEASE IN PART
B5

B5

Background on Related Law

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 4:18 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Tiananmen statement

See below.

cdm

From: Stewart, Karen B (DRL)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:46 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Tiananmen statement

Given your meeting in March with NGOs working on human rights in China, I thought you might be interested in this very positive reaction from Human Rights Watch on the Secretary's Tiananmen statement.
Karen

From: Sophie Richardson [mailto [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 4:44 AM
To: Osullivan, Susan X (DRL); Thornton, Susan A
Subject: Tiananmen statement

Susan, Susan,

Many thanks for the Tiananmen statement, which hit all they key points, and went a long way towards resolving any lingering ambiguity from the Secretary's February comments. We believe it remains crucial to make such statements every year-or until such time as the Chinese government allows for real resolution. We know these statements cause a certain amoint of diplomatic rancor, but we hope that the comfort and courage they give people also remain part of your calculations.

May I trouble one of you to forward this message to Steve and Dan in Beijing, and to Carlos in DC? And please do feel free to share it with anyone else involved in the statement.

Cheers,

Sophie

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 07, 2009 6:05 PM
To: H
Subject: Schedule

This should have been faxed to house. Here is final schedule:

8:15 am DEPART Private Residence

8:25 am PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING

8:30 am DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING

8:45 am DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING
Participants: Jim Steinberg, Jack Lew, Pat Kennedy, P.J. Crowley, Dan Smith, Cheryl Mills, Joan Donoghue, Anne-Marie Slaughter, Ian Kelly, Rich Verma and Joe Macmanus

9:15 am MONDAY MEETING w/ASSISTANT SECRETARIES

10:00 am OFFICE TIME
11:00 am Secretary's Office

11:00 am MEETING w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR A/S WESTERN HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS

11:40 am DEPART State Department
En route Sewall-Belmont House and Museum

11:50 am ARRIVE Sewall-Belmont House and Museum

11:55 am 2009 ALICE AWARD PRESENTATION

Note: 100 people attending the reception, 250 attending the luncheon. Reception called from 11:00am-12:00pm, Luncheon from 12:00pm-1:30pm.

- Upon arrival, Page Harrington escorts HRC to the tented Garden Terrace, as guests are sitting for the luncheon. HRC sits at the head table.
- At 12:00pm, Peggy Cifrino, Sewall-Belmont House and Museum event chair, delivers welcoming remarks and introduces Audrey Sheppard, Chair, Board of Directors, Sewall-Belmont House and Museum.

- Audrey Sheppard delivers brief remarks and announces HRC as the receipent of the 2009 Annual Alice Award.

- HRC proceeds to stage to accept award.

- HRC gives brief remarks and departs, luncheon program continues.

12:35 pm DEPART Sewall-Belmont House

12:45 pm ARRIVE State Department

12:45 pm OFFICE TIME
1:40 pm Secretary's Office

1:40 pm PRIVATE MEETING with BAMBI

2:00 pm PHOTO w/VISITING MIDDLE EAST DEMOCRACY ACTIVISTS

2:15 pm MEETING w/MEMBERS OF U.S. COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL RELIGIOUS FREEDOM
Deputy Secretary's Conference Room
CAMERA SPRAY (at the top of the meeting)

Members of U.S. Commission and Staff
Donald Argue, Commissioner
Felice Gaer, Chair
Dwight Bashir, Associate Director
Elizabeth Cassidy, Associate Director
Michael Cromartie, Vice Chair
Talal Youssef Eid, Commissioner
Judith Golub, Director of Government Relations
Richard Land, Jr., Commissioner
Leonard Leo, Commissioner
Elizabeth Prodromou, Vice Chair
Nina Shea, Commissioner
James Standish, Executive Director

3:00 pm BILATERAL w/INDONESIAN FOREIGN MINISTER NOER HASSAN WIRAJUDA
Secretary's Conference Room
OFFICIAL PHOTO (in East Hall preceding bilateral)

3:30 pm JOINT PRESS AVAILABILITY w/INDONESIAN FOREIGN MINISTER NOER HASSAN WIRAJUDA

3:45 pm OFFICE TIME
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm DEPART State Department

6:10 pm ARRIVE Private Residence

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 2:39 PM
To: H

Update from pir:
Pls tell HRC he changed his lede graf to read:

"SAN PEDRO SULA, Honduras — Thirty-four members of the Organization of American States gathered here Tuesday to argue over whether to readmit Cuba. By evening, an isolated United States was still struggling to fend off a vote to lift the ban on Havana."

Much better, word "failed" doesn't appear

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 2:47 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: OAS Foreign Ministers vote to revoke Cuba Expulsion

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Wed Jun 03 14:46:11 2009
Subject: OAS Foreign Ministers vote to revoke Cuba Expulsion

(SBU) Embassy Tegucigalpa confirms media reports that OAS Foreign Ministers have voted to revoke the 1962 expulsion of Cuba.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:23 AM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: OAS--again

Because of the time difference, your clips were prepared at midnight Eastern Time so many are still early versions. But what we're seeing online right now look MUCH better this morning than even 5 hours ago - it just took a bit for your statement, our conf call, our pushback, and our travelers to catch up. Many including the Times take a very different tone. And all note Cuba's not in. Mostly, stories are small and seem buried.

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I
To: 'Hdr22@clintonemail.com' <Hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:29:22 2009
Subject: Re: OAS--again

I'm usually the pessimist, but I'm not sure this is as dire as it seems in the moment from 10,000 miles away.

First, the world/media is fixated on the President's speech, the imagery from Riyadh dominates, there's no Honduras footage of interest, which is key. Speech tomorrow will blanket coverage and extinguish the Cuba stuff, so we just need to weather the night.

Second, Tom & Dan did an on the record conf call not long ago and we think they did very well. Dan was especially strong, and he's also speaking to people 1:1

Third, your statement was strong and the reporting will catch up with it, it's only been out 90 minutes.

Fourth, we are suffering from two significant tactical problems: 1) you are here and removed 2) our press corps was out of position today and in flight, so the people we worked on all week and the ones likely to skew our way were replaced by reporters not connected to us.

The two issues above will be rectified tomorrow in your two interviews - especially Greta who is malleable. We can use that to make a strong case on the principle, and the simple fact Cuba wasn't in the OAS yesterday, and won't be tomorrow. Everyday that passes reinforces that point. The time difference to East Coast will help us in moving whatever is said in these interviews tomorrow.

Short term, we started the week showing we can engage with Cuba (mail, migration), and ending it showing we are serious about our redlines

Long term, we stood up for human rights and that can only be a plus.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'preines(Sullivan, Jacob J B6
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:11:59 2009
Subject: OAS--again

Well, Philippe looks right again. CNN is reporting this as being done against my wishes. Any way to salvage?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:37 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Okay good to know, we have a couple informal call requests that we could get through during that time.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:35 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Also I will not leave the office before 5:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jun 05 10:28:17 2009
Subject: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Revised mini schedule below. Two items added during office time, denoted with asterisks:

11:40 am (t) ARRIVE State Department

12:00 pm BILATERAL w/PORTUGUESE FOREIGN MINISTER LUIS AMADO

12:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

12:30 pm PROTOCOL OF EXCHANGE OF INSTRUMENTS OF RATIFICATION

12:45 pm CEREMONY w/PORTUGUESE F.M. LUIS AMADO Treaty Room

12:45 pm OFFICE TIME

1:05 pm Secretary's Office

1:10 pm * MTG w/ JACK LEW AND JAKE SULLIVAN *

1:20 pm Secretary's Office

1:20 pm * PRE-BRIEF FOR TURKISH BILATERAL *

1:25 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm BILATERAL w/TURKISH F.M. AHMET DAVUTOGLU

2:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

2:30 pm PRESS AVAIL w/TURKISH F.M. DAVUTOGLU

2:40 pm Treaty Room

2:45 pm BILATERAL w/KOREAN F.M. YU MYUNG-HWAN

3:15 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room following.

3:15 pm MEETING w/TODD STERN

4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm MEETING w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR ASST.

4:15 pm SEC'Y OF STATE FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS Secretary's Office

4:30 pm (t) DEPART State Department *En route Private Residence

4:40 pm (t) ARRIVE Private Residence

4:40 pm PERSONAL TIME

5:30 pm Private Residence

5:30 pm DEPART Private Residence *En route Rodham Home

6:00 pm 90TH BIRTHDAY BBQ FOR MRS. RODHAM

10:00 pm (t) Location: Home of Tony & Megan Rodham *Call Time: 6:00pm-10:00pm

10:00 pm (t) DEPART Rodham Home *En route Private Residence

10:30 pm (t) ARRIVE Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 2:29 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: did you see this??

From: Caroline Adler [redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 01 12:30:43 2009
Subject: did you see this??

The 31-Year-Old in Charge of Dismantling G.M. By DAVID E. SANGER

WASHINGTON — It is not every 31-year-old who, in a first government job, finds himself dismantling General Motors and rewriting the rules of American capitalism.

But that, in short, is the job description for Brian Deese, a not-quite graduate of Yale Law School who had never set foot in an automotive assembly plant until he took on his nearly unseen role in remaking the American automotive industry.

Nor, for that matter, had he given much thought to what ailed an industry that had been in decline ever since he was born. A bit laconic and looking every bit the just-out-of-graduate-school student adjusting to life in the West Wing — "he's got this beard that appears and disappears," says Steven Rattner, one of the leaders of President Obama's automotive task force — Mr. Deese was thrown into the auto industry's maelstrom as soon the election-night parties ended.

"There was a time between Nov. 4 and mid-February when I was the only full-time member of the auto task force," Mr. Deese, a special assistant to the president for economic policy, acknowledged recently as he hurried between his desk at the White House and the Treasury building next door. "It was a little scary."

But now, according to those who joined him in the middle of his crash course about the automakers' downward spiral, he has emerged as one of the most influential voices in what may become President Obama's biggest experiment yet in federal economic intervention.

While far more prominent members of the administration are making the big decisions about Detroit, it is Mr. Deese who is often narrowing their options.

A month ago, when the administration was divided over whether to support Fiat's bid to take over much of Chrysler, it was Mr. Deese who spoke out strongly against simply letting the company go into liquidation, according to several people who were present for the debate.

"Brian grasps both the economics and the politics about as quickly as I've seen anyone do this," said Lawrence H. Summers, the head of the National Economic Council who is not known for being patient whenever he believes an analysis is sub-par — or disagrees with his own. "And there he was in the Roosevelt Room, speaking up vigorously to make the point that the costs we were going to incur giving Fiat a chance were no greater than some of the hidden costs of liquidation."

Mr. Deese was not the only one favoring the Fiat deal, but his lengthy memorandum on how liquidation would increase Medicaid costs, unemployment insurance and municipal bankruptcies ended the debate. The administration supported

the deal, and it seems likely to become a reality on Monday, if a federal judge handling the high-speed bankruptcy proceeding approves the sale of Chrysler's best assets to the Italian carmaker.

Mr. Deese's role is unusual for someone who is neither a formally trained economist nor a business school graduate, and who never spent much time flipping through the endless studies about the future of the American and Japanese auto industries.

He lives a dual life these days. He starts the day at a desk wedged just outside of Mr. Summers's office, where he can hear what young members of the economic team have come to know as "the Summers bellow." From there, he can make it quickly to the press office to help devise explanations for why taxpayers are spending more than $50 billion on what polls show is a very unpopular bailout of the auto industry.

Several times a day he speed-walks to Treasury, taking a shortcut through the tunnel under the colonnade, near the kitchens. The other day he talked about how sharply perceptions of the industry's future changed after Mr. Obama's election.

"At the first meeting with Rick Wagoner," he said, referring to G.M.'s recently deposed chief executive, "they were in a very different place. He said publicly that bankruptcy was not a viable option. It's been a long process getting everyone to look at the options differently."

In fact, from before Inauguration Day, few in Mr. Obama's circle saw any other choice. Every time Mr. Deese ran the numbers on G.M. and Chrysler, he came back with the now-obvious conclusion that neither was a viable business, and that their plans to revive themselves did not address the erosion of their revenues. But it took the support of Mr. Rattner and Ron Bloom, senior advisers to the task force charged with restructuring the automobile industry, to help turn Mr. Deese's positions into policy.

"The president's instruction to us was that we had to come up with a solution that would work on a commercial basis, that didn't involve indefinite federal financing," Mr. Deese said. "But we didn't want liquidation, which would have even worse effects. So the question was how do you design a very substantial restructuring, and do it fast."

Mr. Deese's route to the auto table at the White House was anything but a straight line. He is the son of a political science professor at Boston College (his father) and an engineer who works in renewable energy (his mother). He grew up in the Boston suburb of Belmont and attended Middlebury College in Vermont. He went to Washington to work on aid issues and was quickly hired by Nancy Birdsall, a widely respected authority on the effectiveness of international aid and the founder of the Center for Global Development.

But he wanted to learn domestic issues as well, and soon ended up working as an assistant for Gene Sperling, who 17 years ago in the Clinton White House played a similar role as economic policy prodigy. Eventually, Mr. Deese headed to Yale for his law degree. But his e-mail box was constantly filled with messages from friends in Washington who were signing up to work for the Obama or Hillary Rodham Clinton campaigns. Mr. Deese chose Senator Clinton's.

"He was pretty quickly functioning as the top economic policy staffer through her campaign," Mr. Sperling said. "He could blend the policy needs and the political needs pretty seamlessly." On the day that the Clinton campaign ended, Mr. Deese left her concession speech and received a message on his BlackBerry from a friend in the Obama campaign urging him to sign on immediately to Mr. Obama's team.

He resumed his policy work there, and found himself stuck in Chicago — unable to fly to Washington with his dog — as the economic crisis deepened. Finally, one night, he decided to get into his car with his dog and just started driving back to Washington. Tired, he pulled over to catch some sleep in the car.

"I slept in the parking lot of the G. M. plant in Lordstown, Ohio," he recalled. The giant plant, opened during G.M.'s heyday in the mid-1960s, is where the Pontiac G5 is produced. Under the plan Mr. Deese worked on when he arrived in Washington, Pontiac will disappear.

"I guess that was prophetic," he said, shaking his head.

Correction: An earlier version of this article misidentified the Center for Global Development as the Center for International Development.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 12:07 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: 'Renegade' CliffsNotes

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; cheryl.mills
Subject: 'Renegade' CliffsNotes

B6

POLITICO

'Renegade' CliffsNotes

By: Jonathan Martin
June 2, 2009 12:22 AM EST

The flood of books devoted to the 2008 campaign and President Barack Obama begins in earnest this week with the release of "Renegade, The Making of a President," by former Newsweek reporter Richard Wolffe.

Wolffe's account of the Obama campaign isn't to be officially released until Tuesday, but POLITICO obtained a copy earlier this week.

Herewith are some of the book's most fascinating nuggets:

No Shrum Moment:

Before the election had been called, but when it looked promising for Obama the then-candidate called his top adviser, David Axelrod.

"What's going on?" Obama asked.

"Look, I'm not going to say congratulations yet, but boy, it looks awfully good," Axelrod replied.

Axelrod, Wolffe notes, wanted to avoid a repeat of 2004, when John Kerry's top adviser, Bob Shrum, told the Massachusetts senator prematurely on election night that he wanted to be the first to say, "Mr. President."

Pritzker Veers Off-Message (A little):

The impressive fundraising Obama demonstrated in 2007 was largely a result of the usual high-dollar contributors – not the small-dollar donors the campaign liked to hold up to the press.

"It wasn't the Internet," admitted Penny Pritzker, Obama's national finance chair.

Talking To Himself:

The revamped stump speech Obama gave to Iowa Democrats at their Jefferson-Jackson Dinner in November of 2007 was borne out of a speech Obama scribe Jon Favreau had written for the candidate earlier that month in South Carolina.

Favreau trimmed the original version and sent it to Obama who had to memorize it for what became a pivotal moment leading up to the Iowa caucuses.

Aides were concerned that Obama was not prepared to give the speech, but he largely got it right on his first run-through a day before the dinner.

The Politico 44 Story Widget Requires Adobe Flash Player.

"None of Obama's aides knew that the candidate had been rehearsing in his Des Moines hotel room all week," Wolffe writes. [Press secretary Robert] Gibbs had walked by his room a couple of times, heard a loud television behind the door, and wondered what was going on. Obama had turned the volume up to practice the speech to himself, out of sight and earshot of even his closest staff."

Michelle As Strategist:

The morning after Obama lost the early-March Ohio and Texas primaries, campaign manager David Plouffe suffered through an uncomfortable car ride with the candidate and his wife, Michelle Obama.

The future first lady, writes Wolffe, "threatened not to return to the campaign trail until they had come up with a new strategy."

Says Plouffe: "I think she was pissed at both of us."

A Rev. Wright Sit-down:

After Obama gave his race speech in Philadelphia primary but before his former pastor, the Rev. Jeremiah Wright, would make his now-infamous appearance at the National Press Club, the candidate went to Chicago to meet with Wright.

Obama was trying to dissuade Wright from a "comeback tour" and told his former pastor to expect a "media circus" if he reemerged.

Wright, Wolffe writes, wanted to tell his side of the story and felt like he was "the aggrieved party."

Tough Words For Bill Clinton:

His wife is now Obama's secretary of state, but Obama had some tough words for the

actions of former President Bill Clinton during the campaign.

"We had to figure out how to deal with a former president who was just lying, engaging in bald-faced lies," Obama explained to Wolffe.

When the then-candidate was asked if Clinton got in his head, he replied: "Yes, but I got into his."

Later, when considering who to name as his running mate, Obama told aides that if Hillary Clinton would help politically she ought to be considered.

"But I'm concerned about Bill Clinton being a loose cannon," Obama said, according to Wolffe.

Joe Biden Wants His Damn Calls Returned

When Sen. John McCain wouldn't return the phone calls of Sen. Joe Biden, the never-subtle Delawarean sought out his longtime colleague.

In New York City with Obama and McCain where the two were both appearing at a public service event on the anniversary of 9/11, Biden was rebuffed by McCain's aides backstage when he asked to see the GOP nominee.

So, Wolffe writes, Biden went to McCain's green room door and knocked.

"'John McCain,' he shouted, according to Wolffe. "'It's Joe Biden. The next time I phone you, take the damn call.'"

Rendell Drinks The Obama Kool-Aid — Literally

After Obama locked up the nomination, Pennsylvania Gov. and ardent Clinton supporter Ed Rendell got a note from an Obama supporter attached to a can of Kool-Aid telling him to drink up.

So the next day at a Philadephla fundraiser for the Pennsylvania Democratic Party, the ever-demonstrative governor poured it into a glass of water and proclaimed: "Now I feel that Senator Obama is the most wonderful person ever to have lived in the United States of America. The smartest, most sensitive most decent and honorable man. Now I understand what you guys have been feeling for the last six months."

"The Old Man":

Plouffe told Wolffe that they never considered going after the 72-year-old McCain on his age, but the author notes that some in Obama's high command enjoyed references to the GOP nominee's age and one staffer had a nickname for the Republican: "the old man."

© 2009 Capitol News Company, LLC

FD HIDDEN DIV

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ
Attachments: 060209 Taken Question - Current Total of Pak IDPs via Texting Campaign JB-D RB.docx

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Office of the Spokesman

For Immediate Release **June 2, 2009**

2009/

Question Taken at June 1, 2009 Daily Press Briefing

Current results of Texting Campaign for Humanitarian Aid to Pakistan

Q. How much money has been raised through the texting campaign for humanitarian aid for Pakistan?

A. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reported that as of June 1, 2009, a total of $135,008 in private donations from U.S. sources for humanitarian aid to Pakistan had been received. Of that total, $31,390 was received via 5,722 text messages.

The remainder included $84,702 received via 439 online donations; and $18,916 by mail and from major donors.

# # #

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:05 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

FYI. Some interesting results on on-line contributions this far regarding Pakistani IDPs.

PJ

From: Wills, Regina M [mailto:WillsRM@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:07 AM
To: PA PO Group
Cc: Pierce, Thomas JN
Subject: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

I just received the final clearance.

<<060209 Taken Question - Current Total of Pak IDPs via Texting Campaign JB-D RB.docx>>

Gina Wills
Public Affairs Specialist
Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM)
Department of State
Suite L-505, SA-1
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20522-0105
Phone: 202 663-1071
Fax: 202 663-1002
e-mail: willsrm@state.gov

PRM's website: www.state.gov/g/prm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:24 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Dyncorps Post Story -- Second Shoe To Drop
Attachments: Dyncorps Sec Note.doc; Kabul Memo Washington Post 5 June.docx

FYI

From: Johnson, David T
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 11:37 AM
To: Campbell, Piper A; Wood, Robert A (PACE); Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Crowley, Philip J; Hammer, Michael A; Byrnes, Robert S; Pittman, Susan R (INL); Sullivan, John C (INL/PC); McGlynn, William J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Kennedy, Patrick F; Matano, Albert K; Jones, Paul W; Russell, Daniel A; Polt, Michael C
Subject: Dyncorps Post Story -- Second Shoe To Drop

Folks,

You may recall an April 17 Post story on problems with Dyncorps in Afghanistan which focused on a suspected drug overdose death, breakdown in internal controls within the company, and actions the USG and the firm were taking. The other issue that surfaced at the time was a colossal error in judgment by one of the contractors' employees in hiring an adolescent boy dancer for some sort of event that, at least to most folks, looked very inappropriate. The Post is now looking at the dancing boy, which was not included in the April 17, article, and we expect will soon publish a story on it.

The Post inquiry at this point focuses only on confirming whether or not there's an OIG investigation. We are, of course, referring the Post to the OIG on such a question. However, the premise for the question raises the issue again in some eye-popping rhetoric which could feature in the follow-up story, so I wanted to make sure everyone had a heads-up.

We have already relieved the folks involved with both incidents and I am meeting with the firm's CEO today to hear his report on his trip to Afghanistan and Iraq to address these, as well as other, issues on which we have demanded corrective actions.

I'm attaching the original note to Deputy Secretary Lew at the time of the original inquiry which lays out both issues, a copy of the memo the INL section at Embassy Kabul provided to Post Management on the most recent Post inquiry, as well as the Post inquiry itself for context.

David.

Incoming from Post:

"According to my reporting, the week of April 13th, the DynCorp regional commander from Konduz, Flint Chambers, allowed his men to hire a 15-year-old boy dancer to do tribal dances at a DynCorp party on the training site.

Some 15 or so DynCorp employees in attendance pulled out a single chair and had the boy do mock lap dances. This was captured on video. The video shows DynCorp employees putting dollar bills in the boy's waistband, just as they would a stripper's garter. The revelry lasted about 45 minutes.

No sex took place.

The video was leaked to Kabul INL program manager, Marissa Martin. INL Washington ordered INL Kabul to replace the entire DynCorp chain of commaand.

The video was leaked by Mike Gonzalez to another DynCorp employee who emailed the video to Martin. Flint Chambers retaliated against Mike by spitting in his face, pushing him to the ground in front of the DynCorp security building in Kabul.

Chambers was fired, along with Dean Deines, Stan Knee and David Kelly.

Can you confirm that the IG is looking into this? (in addition to the Kurt Schafer incident)

Thank you,

Ellen Nakashima
The Washington Post"

From: Nash, Ardenia R (INL/FO)
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 5:56 PM
To: Johnson, David T
Subject: FINAL version - Note to Lew - Dyncorp

<<Dyncorps Sec Note.doc>>

<<Kabul Memo Washington Post 5 June.docx>>

Embassy of the United States of America
Kabul, Afghanistan

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

June 4th, 2009

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

TO: Ambassador Karl W. Eikenberry

THROUGH: Deputy Ambassador Francis J. Ricciardone

FROM: Narcotics Affairs Section – Acting Director Lee R. Brown

SUBJECT: Washington Post Inquiry / Investigation of DynCorp

Summary. Washington Post reporter Ellen Nakashema contacted INL/NAS Police Program Manager Marissa Martin on June 3 to inquire about the State Department's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) investigation of DynCorp International in Afghanistan. Ms. Nakashema wrote the April 2009 Washington Post article on the drug overdose death of an American DynCorp. Ms. Nakashema said she has collected more negative reports on DynCorp and she expressed particular interested in an episode involving DynCorp contractors and a "dancing boy" in Konduz. She plans to publish an article within the next week or ten days.

Background

B7(A)

- On April 18, the Washington Post's Ellen Nakashema published a factually accurate article (Tab 1) about the DynCorp employee's death. The article did not address the "dancing boy" episode or other possible misconduct by DynCorp employees. The article

cited a public statement (Tab 2) provided by A/S Johnson acknowledging problems in DynCorp management and employee conduct, noting that the three DynCorp Afghan Police Program managers had been dismissed, and pointing out that investigations were underway.

B7(A)

Current Status

Since the publication of the April 18 article, Ms. Nakashema says that she has received many calls and emails alleging DynCorp misconduct, including the "dancing boy" incident. In her call to Marissa Martin on June 3, Ms. Nakashema asked for confirmation of the incident, the status of the Department's OIG investigation, and if "it was true the Department called in the OIG because of the 'Dancing Boy' incident, instead of the death of Mr. Schafer." Marissa assured her that, as A/S Johnson stated for the record in April, investigations are underway regarding all of our concerns with DynCorp. Ms. Nakashema has also been in touch with INL Washington, which tells us that it expects another article to appear soon.

Drafted: Marissa Martin (x8346)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2009 3:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke asking to talk to you.

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 5:21 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: 1 page trip report from china, korea, and rome
Attachments: China.Korea.Rome Trip Report6.7.09.docx

See AMS trip summary b/l

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Lew, Jacob J; Burns, William J; Steinberg, James B; Ross, Alec J; [redacted] Chollet, Derek H B6
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 07 07:24:24 2009
Subject: 1 page trip report from china, korea, and rome

There are lots of longer cables out of this trip, but these are my dominant impressions.

From: Anne-Marie Slaughter [redacted] B6
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Sun Jun 07 07:15:04 2009
Subject: 1 page trip report

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 06/06/2034

Trip Report: Shanghai, Beijing, Seoul, Rome
Anne-Marie Slaughter

Shanghai: I was driven around the expo site and saw how far advanced the Chinese pavilion is, also the Canadian and Brazilian pavilions that are facing and adjacent to us, and many spectacular European pavilions. In addition to the overwhelming size and grandeur of the expo site as a whole – it will be like the New York World's Fair on steroids – what struck me is that we must also hold up our end with the many visitors who will be coming from the Americas. The video of the history of world expositions that plays in the Shanghai exposition building and will help open the expo itself is a visual of rising American power – repeated expos in the 19th and 20th centuries in New York, Chicago, St. Louis, San Francisco and other American cities. Expos are the soft power Olympics and hence a key part of smart power; we should be willing to ask Congress for money and consider it extremely well spent in terms of building American power in the world – and cheap at the price.

I also had several meals and meetings with members of what I am coming to think of as "the American diaspora" – talented and entrepreneurial Chinese-Americans and Chinese raised/educated in America who have come back to China to live on the economic frontier – and who are spreading American values with them. They gave a very optimistic picture of the Chinese economy.

Beijing: I had dinner with "new media figures" [redacted] 1.4(D) B1

In my official meetings on the S&ED we made real progress, getting the Chinese to agree to a closed meeting just with the four principals and getting them to agree to consider a model of a joint statement that we will now provide; I made very clear that a joint statement was critical to getting results. Later in the day we had a breakthrough with the Deputy Minister of Commerce, who agreed to working level meetings and concrete "bottom-up" joint projects on development assistance. His staff agreed to meet w/ the AID person at our embassy for the first time – as the embassy said, clearly the Chinese have decided to move forward w/ us on multiple fronts.

The most striking thing to emerge from many conversations with officials and academics in Shanghai and Beijing was how often the G-2 was raised – always in the context of "we don't believe in the G-2, but ..." One official said "we can just take away the 'G' and leave the '2.' I was at pains to emphasize that the U.S. has no interest in a G-2,

Seoul: a conversation with faculty and the dean of the school of international studies at Ewa University revealed a perception that "China does not object to a nuclear-armed DPRK because that would prevent reunification permanently, as Japan and China itself would never agree to a nuclear reunited Korea." Talks with my Japanese and Korean yielded agreement 1.4(B)
The Japanese raised and the Koreans welcomed the prospect of China-U.S.-Korea talks to match the new 1.4(D)
China-U.S.-Japan talks. Both the Koreans and the Japanese raised the idea of an "Asian G-8" – U.S., China, Japan, Russia, B1
Korea, Australia, India, and one other .." I raised the idea of convening the Asian members of the G-20 plus us, which would include all those countries plus Indonesia.

Rome: I met with my G-8 counterparts over dinner and then w/ G-8 policy planners plus representatives from South Africa, Mexico, Brazil, and Egypt. The G-8 discussion yielded strong agreement that *responsibility* for outcomes should be a key determinant of who gets to sit at the table, although the Germans and Italians want to expand the core "convening" group to at least the G-8 plus the Heiligendamm 5. Canada, France, Britain and Japan very much liked my tentatively floated idea that each G-8 member be able to decide as host which other countries to invite as full members, depending on the problem chosen as the focus of the agenda. Strikingly, did not even bother to send a Rome- B1
based representative to the talks the next day, underlining my point that some of these countries really are not ready 1.4(D)
for regular responsibility for global issues. The representative, a truly impressive director general in their DFA, said was not pushing for a G-13, as it felt uncomfortable being anointed when so many African countries were not represented. In the substantive discussion of Af-Pak and central Asia, the ambassador to Rome emphasized that the Rio Group was taking a political position on Af-Pak as a sign of increasing hemispheric engagement with the outside world.

Anne-Marie Slaughter

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D)

Trip Report: Shanghai, Beijing, Seoul, Rome
Anne-Marie Slaughter

Shanghai: I was driven around the expo site and saw how far advanced the Chinese pavilion is, also the Canadian and Brazilian pavilions that are facing and adjacent to us, and many spectacular European pavilions. In addition to the overwhelming size and grandeur of the expo site as a whole – it will be like the New York World's Fair on steroids – what struck me is that we must also hold up our end with the many visitors who will be coming from the Americas. The video of the history of world expositions that plays in the Shanghai exposition building and will help open the expo itself is a visual of rising American power – repeated expos in the 19th and 20th centuries in New York, Chicago, St. Louis, San Francisco and other American cities. Expos are the soft power Olympics and hence a key part of smart power; we should be willing to ask Congress for money and consider it extremely well spent in terms of building American power in the world – and cheap at the price.

I also had several meals and meetings with members of what I am coming to think of as "the American diaspora" – talented and entrepreneurial Chinese-Americans and Chinese raised/educated in America who have come back to China to live on the economic frontier – and who are spreading American values with them. They gave a very optimistic picture of the Chinese economy.

Beijing: I had dinner with "new media figures" 1.4(D) B1

In my official meetings on the S&ED we made real progress, getting the Chinese to agree to a closed meeting just with the four principals and getting them to agree to consider a model of a joint statement that we will now provide; I made very clear that a joint statement was critical to getting results. Later in the day we had a breakthrough with the Deputy Minister of Commerce, who agreed to working level meetings and concrete "bottom-up" joint projects on development assistance. His staff agreed to meet w/ the AID person at our embassy for the first time – as the embassy said, clearly the Chinese have decided to move forward w/ us on multiple fronts.

The most striking thing to emerge from many conversations with officials and academics in Shanghai and Beijing was how often the G-2 was raised – always in the context of "we don't believe in the G-2, but …" One official said "we can just take away the 'G' and leave the '2.' I was at pains to emphasize that the U.S. has no interest in a G-2,

Seoul: a conversation with faculty and the dean of the school of international studies at Ewa University revealed a perception that "China does not object to a nuclear-armed DPRK because that would prevent reunification permanently, as Japan and China itself would never agree to a nuclear reunited Korea." Talks with my Japanese and Korean yielded agreement 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

The Japanese raised and the Koreans welcomed the prospect of China-U.S.-Korea talks to match the new China-U.S.-Japan talks. Both the Koreans and the Japanese raised the idea of an "Asian G-8" – U.S., China, Japan, Russia, Korea, Australia, India, and one other .." I raised the idea of convening the Asian members of the G-20 plus us, which would include all those countries plus Indonesia. 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 06/06/2034

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Rome: I met with my G-8 counterparts over dinner and then w/ G-8 policy planners plus representatives from South Africa, Mexico, Brazil, and Egypt. The G-8 discussion yielded strong agreement that *responsibility* for outcomes should be a key determinant of who gets to sit at the table, although the Germans and Italians want to expand the core "convening" group to at least the G-8 plus the Heiligendamm 5. Canada, France, Britain and Japan very much liked my tentatively floated idea that each G-8 member be able to decide as host which other countries to invite as full members, depending on the problem chosen as the focus of the agenda. Strikingly, [redacted] did not even bother to send a Rome-based representative to the talks the next day, underlining my point that some of these countries really are not ready for regular responsibility for global issues. The [redacted] representative, a truly impressive director general in their DFA, said [redacted] was not pushing for a G-13, as it felt uncomfortable being anointed when so many African countries were not represented. In the substantive discussion of Af-Pak and central Asia, the [redacted] ambassador to Rome emphasized that the Rio Group was taking a political position on Af-Pak as a sign of increasing hemispheric engagement with the outside world.

1.4(D)
B1

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:46 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

fyi

From: Ross, Alec J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:43 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: RE: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

Hi Cheryl,
I do not believe there is Twittering in the Secretary's name. The Twittering is from DipNote, the State Department's Blog http://twitter.com/dipnote

It will occasionally quote the Secretary but the Twittering should not be done in her name.

Alec

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:38 AM
To: Ross, Alec J; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; McHale, Judith A; Crowley, Philip J
Subject: Not Sure who is Twittering at DOS

But we should not be twittering in the Secretary's name since she is not the person actually twittering.

There is a reference in Newsweek to her twittering and says: Hillary Clinton Style: Dry info and offers 4,788 followers

Can you advise who is twittering in her name and how we can address?

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 07, 2009 5:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Lebanese elections

Jeff reports that the exit polls in Lebanon are encouraging. It looks as though March 14 are winning.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 9:53 PM
To: H; Russo, Robert V
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

I believe rob prepared one today for you to sign. He will confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:48:26 2009
Subject: Adam Parkhomenko

Can you check and see if I sent a letter to him this week? Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 5:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: COS call 6/10/09

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Jun 10 16:21:39 2009
Subject: COS call 6/10/09

Called out HRC as especially helpful in making calls to the Hill on passing the supplemental approps bill.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 8:54 AM
To: cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: FYI: Jones' enemies within... Sid

Your request is being processed...
http://www.huffingtonpost.com/steve-clemons/can-national-security-adv_b_214672.html

Steve Clemons

Director of the American Strategy Program at the New America Foundation
Posted: June 12, 2009 07:12 AM

Can National Security Adviser James Jones Survive a Second Round of Attacks and "Longer Knives"?

I am here in London where I'm participating in an interesting forum sponsored by the Princeton Project on National Security and the Royal United Services Institute (RUSI) Transatlantic Program, I've received not just one email -- but three -- from prominent insider journalists and policy hands that Jim Jones' tenure as National Security Adviser is highly fragile.
One of these emails reports starkly:

> Knives getting longer

That's all my contact said. But other emails have intimated to me a serious tone-deafness by Jones about his role and responsibilities, his relationship with the President, and his relationship with younger, dedicated, hardworking and late-working staff. Jones recently said that National Security Council staff members that stayed longer than 7:30 pm must be disorganized in their work.
I speak to various NSC officials -- often at 10:00 or 11:00 pm at night. They are hardworking, racing as fast as they can to manage the many, many, many major initiatives that Barack Obama has decided to simultaneously pursue.
James Jones is considered by his admirers to be a genius when thinking about management structures and decision-making processes. On the other hand, his critics see him as a plodding, slow-moving, out of touch retired general who was better prepared to think about the last era rather than the one we are moving into. His critics think that he's just too unable to animate nimble, high flex policy decision making products for a White House on a manic dash to get a lot of top tier issues dealt with.
Friends at the National Security Council respect a great deal the way in which NSC Deputy Tom Donilon is managing his brief. Many see him picking up the load that Jones seems unable or unwilling to carry. Donilon is deeply engaged in the broad Middle East and Iran portfolio, the non-proliferation/WMD/arms control portfolio, the China economic and security portfolio, and he has -- according to reports -- supported and helped cultivate relationship building between State, DoD, the NSC, and other parts of the national security bureaucracy.
Some tell me that James Jones decided to try and remove himself from the "whack-a-mole" crisis reaction style of decision-making that could rob the Obama administration of the chance to define a new course in national

security affairs. Tom Donilon, according to reports, wields far more the hand of power when it comes to day to day management and responding to crises that require presidential attention and response.

Jones, in contrast, has been obsessed with the structure of decisions -- who is involved in those decisions, what the structure of decision-making should be, and what legal modifications to this process need to be made. He looks at that as the big nut that needs to be cracked -- and that would improve, according to Jim Jones, the President's effectiveness and chances of success at a macro level.

Jones' self-determined task is not high profile, mostly structural, and has not won him many admirers for leadership -- but what he is doing is necessary. If he departs his role, this challenge of dealing with the growing complexity of national security threats and the vital need to recalibrate the policy making and decision-making process will require the attention of someone serious.

So, whether Jones stays or goes -- his portfolio will remain vital.

But what is clear is that Jones has enemies and that they are trying to undermine his place in the Obama orbit. Their motives may not be earnest concern about the tempo or pace of Jones' management style -- but they very well could be his unwillingness to allow the liberal interventionists inside the Obama administration to have more than their fair share of power in the Obama decision-making process.

Jones has structured an all views on the table approach to decision making -- quite evident when it comes to Middle East policy -- and the hawkish/neocon-friendly/Likudist-hugging part of the Obama administration's foreign policy operation may be engaged in a coup attempt against Jones.

I don't know if he'll survive this latest effort to oust him -- but folks need to know that those "longer knives", on the whole, do not have pure motives.

-- Steve Clemons directs the foreign policy programs at the New America Foundation and publishes the popular political blog, The Washington Note

---

Shop Dell's full line of Laptops now starting at $349!

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 11:32 AM
To: H
Subject: Hillary: Can you open attachment? FYI, memo. Sid
Attachments: HRC memo Iran torture 061409.docx

Choose the home loan that saves you the most $$$. Agents available at ditech.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 4:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Big Speech Draft

You will be getting a fax shortly of a very rough draft of the big speech. There are still many holes, and placeholders, in it. Hopefully it's enough to spur some of your own thinking.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 9:02 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: #s

No, it's only an internal assessment from someone in the Iran Watch Office. Kay added me to their updates so I'm seeing their internal chit chat.

I have to admit I don't understand statistics enough to gauge it's accuracy, but they are trying to prove the accusation of egregious fraud. The only other #s are actual vote tallies coming from the Iranian Interior Ministry, showing Mousavi winning by far - but the #s are unconfirmed.

Since it's after 4am in Tehran, most of the reporting now is focusing on tumult

Nothing is coming from State publicly aside from what you said this afternoon.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Sat Jun 13 20:56:58 2009
Subject: Re: #s

Is that going public? Is it posted on our website?

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Jun 13 19:29:20 2009
Subject: #s

Most interesting observation of the day, from State:

"A statistical analysis of the data issued by the ministry of interior suggests a perfect linear relationship between votes received by Ahmadinejad and Mousavi with an r-squared value of 0.9995, a near impossibility in nature.This suggests that the ballots were never counted or were abandoned after it was clear Ahmadinejad was going to lose. Statistically, it is impossible to maintain such a perfect linear relationship consistently through all stages of vote counting. We would expect variation as the votes were coming in, due to differences expected between the cites and the country side and hetereogeneity amoung ethnic groups.This to me is the most concrete evidence I have seen"

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 6:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: DPRK Reportedly Sentences U.S. Journalists

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Mon Jun 08 00:49:39 2009
Subject: DPRK Reportedly Sentences U.S. Journalists

(SBU) DPRK's state news agency has reported the country's top court has convicted two U.S. journalists and sentenced them to 12 years in labor prison. The two were convicted of an unspecified "grave crime" against the nation and of illegally crossing into the DPRK, according to media. The Operations Center is working to gather additional information.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 8:00 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Questions

Thanks, Hillary. I'm attaching the CV of [redacted] and have also sent it to Jake. I'd have loved to attend the India lunch, but I've got a long-standing working lunch with the "Managing Global Insecurity" project at Brookings that Carlos Pascual set up and has been leading. Tom Pickering, Tim Wirth, Chet Crocker, Esther Brimmer, and various other such will be there, and I can't pull out (my office had passed this word to yours). I'm so pleased you're going to India. It was your trip to the region, with Chelsea, in 95 that established the momentum for Bill's. I'll always remember you talk (with slide show) at State after you returned. I'll send you tomorrow my book "Engaging India" now that you're re-engaging them. Hard work ahead of on non-pro, as you know. Hope to see you before long. I'm taking [redacted] to Italy and Turkey for two weeks next Saturday. Warmest regards, Strobe B6 B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 7:47 PM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Questions

Strobe--I would love the name of the young man. Just send to me and I will circulate. Will you be coming to our India lunch tomorrow? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 08:08:14 2009
Subject: RE: Questions

also, I've got great young guy (30ish) to suggest for the assistant position if you're interested in someone of huge promise and total dedication and immense intelligence..... again, don't know whether to do this one with you directly or with jake or Cheryl.....

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:06 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Questions

Are you free for a quick dinner or lunch in the next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:12:06 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.

[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w [redacted] and sent greetings to you. EB6

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 9:52 PM
To: H
Subject: Richard just called asking if you can talk.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 10:03 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Hold on Tauscher by Kyl

FYI – See article below

From: Mull, Stephen D
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 6:42 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Verma, Richard R; Rodriguez, Miguel E
Subject: FW: Hold on Tauscher by Kyl

FYI. Ellen in fact had a meeting scheduled with Kyl tomorrow to brief him in depth about these issues, but he canceled it just before making this announcement and has shown no interest in rescheduling.

From: Simon Limage [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 5:30 PM
To: Mull, Stephen D; Rodriguez, Miguel E
Subject: Hold

CQ TODAY PRINT EDITION – FOREIGN POLICY
June 9, 2009 – Updated 3:27 p.m.

Tauscher Wins Plaudits From Panel, But Still Faces Hold

By Caitlin Webber, CQ Staff

California Democratic Rep. Ellen O. Tauscher enjoyed an enthusiastic reception from the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on Tuesday, but a senior Republican said she'll be blocked from quick floor consideration.

Senate Minority Whip Jon Kyl, R-Ariz., said he plans to place a hold on the Tauscher nomination as soon as it is reported out of the committee, as leverage to get information and assurances from the Obama administration on issues including — but not limited to — nuclear reduction talks with Russia and the deployment of ballistic missile defense.

"All I'm going to ask for is for the administration to abide by commitments that it has made, which one would think it could easily do," said Kyl. He declined to reveal the specifics of the assurances he is seeking.

As the White House's top arms control adviser, Tauscher would be charged with pushing for ratification of the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) and a follow-on to the expiring Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START) with Russia.

Tauscher, chairwoman of the House Armed Services Strategic Forces Subcommittee, would have to contend with considerable push-back from Senate Republicans to implement either treaty.

Many treaty opponents argue the test-ban could undermine the U.S. capacity to deter other nations from using nuclear weapons.

She said Tuesday that numerous advances have been made in test-ban verification procedures and ensuring the safety of the U.S. stockpile since the Senate rejected ratification of the CTBT nearly 10 years ago.

"Stopping nuclear proliferation by protecting our stockpile, maintaining the credibility of our deterrent and preventing terrorists from getting a hold of a weapon of mass destruction has become my life's work," she told the panel Tuesday.

She also said she would back President Obama's diplomatic efforts to persuade the eight other non-signatories — China, North Korea, India, Pakistan, Indonesia, Iran, Israel and Egypt — to ratify the treaty. Indonesia's foreign minister said Monday it would ratify the CTBT, which bans all nuclear explosions for any purpose, immediately after the United States does.

Tauscher would need to tackle critics among Senate Republicans who contend the Obama administration is rushing into an agreement on nuclear arms reduction with Russia.

At an Armed Services Strategic Forces Subcommittee hearing last week, Sens. David Vitter, R-La., and Jeff Sessions, R-Ala., said it was imprudent for the Obama administration to agree to reduced nuclear warhead levels with Russia before the release of the Defense Department's Nuclear Posture Review, which sets the course of the nation's nuclear stockpile, in early 2010. Administration negotiators aim to have a renewed agreement with Russia before the current treaty expires on Dec. 5.

Tauscher told the Senate Foreign Relations panel Tuesday that she would work to allay those concerns. "We can multi-task. . . . I'm confident we can assure everyone . . . that what is going on in the review is informing the negotiations."

Chairman John Kerry, D-Mass., said he would move Tauscher's nomination quickly through the committee and would urge the full Senate to follow quickly thereafter.

Josh Rogin contributed to this story.

First posted June 9, 2009 2:28 p.m.

Source: **CQ Today Print Edition**
Round-the-clock coverage of news from Capitol Hill.
© 2009 Congressional Quarterly Inc. All Rights Reserved.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 7:57 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; H
Subject: FW: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

!?

From: Gorog, Andrea
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 6:21 AM
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-L; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-PM
Cc: SES-O_Shift-I
Subject: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

U.S. President Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

Kyrgyzstan will not reverse its decision to close a U.S. military air base which is used to supply American troops for Afghanistan occupation, Kyrgyz Foreign Minister Kadyrbek Sarbayev said on Thursday. U.S. President Barack Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

But Bakiyev's office said in a statement it had received a letter from Obama praising bilateral relations. "Moreover, Barack Obama expressed hope to further strengthen various forms of cooperation between the United States and Kyrgyzstan," the statement said without mentioning the air base issue. "The decision to annul all agreements on the Manas military air base has been taken and there is no turning back on this," he told the 24.kg news agency.

Andrea Görög
Watch Officer
Department of State Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 6:57 PM
To: H
Subject: Melanne's event was wonderful. Get Shaun Woodward's memo? Miliband interview below. Sid

http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2009/jun/12/david-miliband-gordon-brown-labour-leadership/print
The Guardian

David Miliband: Labour is facing the fight of its life

Foreign secretary thought about quitting the government in last week's turmoil

David Miliband expressed his frustration at a lack of vision from the Labour leadership. Photograph: Martin Godwin

Voters still don't know what Labour and Gordon Brown stand for, David Miliband admits today, as he reveals how close he came to quitting the government during last week's turmoil at Westminster.

Speaking to the Guardian at the end of 10 extraordinary days, the foreign secretary says the party's high command was given an "electric shock" by the disastrous European election results, and he urges fellow ministers to get out and prepare for "the fight of our life".

But Miliband also concedes that he considered following his close friend and ally James Purnell, who resigned from the government last week and called for the prime minister to stand down.

Miliband said: "I'd made my decision on Thursday. Sometimes you can make your decisions with great planning and calculation and sometimes you have to make them rather more quickly. James made his decision in good faith, I made my decision in good faith … we all have to live with our decisions."

The Labour party has a settled view of who should be leader, he said. "This divides people who are close and people feel passionately one way and people feel passionately the other way."

Describing the task now facing Labour, he says: "It could not be more urgent that there is a maximum of 11 months until the next general election, that we got 15% of the vote nationally [last week], that we came second in Wales and that one in 20 people voted for us. If that does not electric shock us out of our ministerial chairs to think: 'By God, we have got the fight of our life on our hands because whatever the Tories are they certainly don't deserve a landslide' – then nothing will."

Miliband has not given a domestic policy interview for nearly nine months since he felt dogged by accusations that he was manoeuvring for the premiership. He refuses to acknowledge that his decision to back Brown last week saved the prime minister, saying "it is impossible to tell".

But the foreign secretary feels his loyalty has given him the freedom to speak his mind without his motives being questioned by anyone in Downing Street.

Miliband betrays frustration at the absence of a clear Labour vision, saying: "We need the message to go out that we have got the humility to learn about what has gone wrong.

"It is not a masochism strategy. It is about saying what we believe in. It is about clarity, priority, adding up to purpose. I know what we stand for, I know what our instincts are, but the voters don't. Actually, I know what Gordon Brown stands for.

"We have a responsibility to make sure that, come the election, [voters] know what Labour stands for. We were not being listened to, people felt that they had been forgotten, we neither inspired nor reassured."

He calls for cabinet colleagues to show stronger leadership in the battle to restore Labour's fortunes. "We need to make sure the talents and energies of not just the whole of the cabinet, but the whole of the labour movement

are give n free rein because one in 20 people voted for us. The truth is that we are not automatons. We all have to get out there."

Asked whether he felt voters had stopped listening to Brown, Miliband said: "He himself has said he has to build on his strengths and work on his weaknesses. That is a good maxim for all of us. He is someone who made the right decisions on the biggest issue of the day. We have got a chance to fight with honour and dignity for what we believe in. The stakes are very high because we know that parties can slump into very dangerous positions."

Providing what he describes as a route map from the disaster, he said: "Labour first needs a period of competent, effective, stable government; secondly, we need to reset our political compass so ministers are no longer locked in their departments, but link their policies to their values; and thirdly, the government has to be at the cutting edge of policy."

On the issue of political reform, he demands a speeding-up of the pace of political reform, saying it is not a piece of middle-class frippery. For the first time, he reveals that he now backs the Alternative Vote for the Commons, saying MPs' legitimacy will be strengthened by being able to say 50% in my constituency voted for me.

He says the change cannot be made before the general election. He also backs a fresh push on Lords reform, saying "we have got an agreed blueprint".

He rounded on Tory spending plans, but said Labour would clarify its own intentions before the election. "They will be credible and clear. We will come to that in an open and honest way."

But he defends delay in setting out these figures, arguing "when you are in the middle of a Tornado it is a bad time take a forecast".

In an oblique reference to the Damian McBride briefings, he also calls on the media to abandon unattributable briefings, saying all politicians' spokesmen should be named, or not quoted by media outlets. "The gotcha culture of politics is not in anyone's interests," he said.

Shop Dell's full line of Laptops now starting at $349!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 9:03 PM
To: Doug Band; H
Subject: Fw: A Note from [redacted] B6

Fyi

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted]
To: Minyon Moore3 [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted]
Sent: Fri Jun 12 18:11:08 2009
Subject: Re: A Note from [redacted]

Wow!!! Love this news!!

-----Original Message-----
From: Minyon Moore [redacted] B6
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Maggie Williams
Sent: Fri Jun 12 15:21:19 2009
Subject: FW: A Note from [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 2:59 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: A Note from [redacted] B6

[redacted] B6

[redacted]

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 1:56 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: [redacted] B6

Why? B/c it reminds you of someone we now and love - aka you? :)

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jun 13 13:41:18 2009
Subject: Re: [redacted]

I respect her reasoning altho I regret her sense of responsibility.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jun 13 13:36:18 2009
Subject: Fw: [redacted]

Fyi

From: Margaret V. W. Carpente[redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills <[redacted]
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Sat Jun 13 12:18:43 2009
Subject: [redacted] B6

Cheryl,

I finally connected with [redacted] by phone again this morning. I reiterated our interest in talking with her about the B6

[redacted]

B6

Margaret

Margaret Carpenter

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

June 14, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Latest articles on Iranian election fraud and CIA/torture

1. I've copied below texts of three articles you might not have seen. The first, by Juan Cole, leading expert from University of Michigan on Iraq/Iran, lays out evidence so far on the stolen election. Second, I've included the key graphs from Bill Keller's piece today in the Times on same. And, third, Jane Mayer's article in the new issue of The New Yorker, out tomorrow. (She sent me a digital copy.) The piece includes an interview with Panetta, who himself discloses the internal administration debate—he was initially for a commission but was overruled by Rahm and Axelrod.
2. On the Iranian election, the international press will obviously pursue the story of the rigging of the vote, which will damage the legitimacy of regime. It's clear from reports that even the Iranian man-on-the-street is affected by global public opinion and receives international news through a wide variety of media. Ahmadinejad post-election continues to ratchet up paranoia to consolidate support. After his statement and post-Bibi today, there may be a remark to be made to address and defuse paranoia. If anything, whatever the facts about the integrity of democracy in Iran, there is more reason than ever for all sides to dispense with the politics of paranoia and discover that there are overriding shared interests for peace, etc. But when to say anything depends upon how long to let internal bitterness over the aftermath in Iran to simmer and the pressure from press for a statement from you.
3. Jane Mayer's piece details the many moving and uncontrolled parts of the torture debate, which has become chronic and will flare up again and again. The "distraction" will not go away. I would avoid ever being drawn into commenting on any aspect.
4. One additional thought: The Iranian election points to the truism that things can potentially go awry. Building up "the brand" of the president as the solvent for entangled and often intractable diplomatic crises creates the basis for an opposite reaction. Raised expectations can foster even greater disillusionment. After Lebanon's election, the US press built up the magic of the brand as the new dynamic factor causing change. So there's a fine line here to be walked. I would attribute positive changes or movements to those people making them themselves, to the extent US commendation does not injure their causes, and underline that a new global community will serve those and their nation's interests who participate as active and responsible actors. But to reemphasize:

the danger of attributing any and all change in the world to presidential charisma is that the bad will get assigned as well as the good.

http://www.truthout.org/061409Z

Stealing the Iranian Election

Saturday 13 June 2009

by: Juan Cole | Visit article original @ **Informed Comment**

Top Pieces of Evidence that the Iranian Presidential Election Was Stolen

1. It is claimed that Ahmadinejad won the city of Tabriz with 57%. His main opponent, Mir Hossein Mousavi, is an Azeri from Azerbaijan province, of which Tabriz is the capital. Mousavi, according to such polls as exist in Iran and widespread anecdotal evidence, did better in cities and is popular in Azerbaijan. Certainly, his rallies there were very well attended. So for an Azeri urban center to go so heavily for Ahmadinejad just makes no sense. In past elections, Azeris voted disproportionately for even minor presidential candidates who hailed from that province.

2. Ahmadinejad is claimed to have taken Tehran by over 50%. Again, he is not popular in the cities, even, as he claims, in the poor neighborhoods, in part because his policies have produced high inflation and high unemployment. That he should have won Tehran is so unlikely as to raise real questions about these numbers. [Ahmadinejad is widely thought only to have won Tehran in 2005 because the pro-reform groups were discouraged and stayed home rather than voting.)

3. It is claimed that cleric Mehdi Karoubi, the other reformist candidate, received 320,000 votes, and that he did poorly in Iran's western provinces, even losing in Luristan. He is a Lur and is popular in the west, including in Kurdistan. Karoubi received 17 percent of the vote in the first round of presidential elections in 2005. While it is possible that his support has substantially declined since then, it is hard to believe that he would get less than one percent of the vote. Moreover, he should have at least done well in the west, which he did not.

4. Mohsen Rezaie, who polled very badly and seems not to have been at all popular, is alleged to have received 670,000 votes, twice as much as Karoubi.

5. Ahmadinejad's numbers were fairly standard across Iran's provinces. In past elections there have been substantial ethnic and provincial variations.

6. The Electoral Commission is supposed to wait three days before certifying the results of the election, at which point they are to inform Khamenei of the results, and he signs off on the

process. The three-day delay is intended to allow charges of irregularities to be adjudicated. In this case, Khamenei immediately approved the alleged results.

I am aware of the difficulties of catching history on the run. Some explanation may emerge for Ahmadinejad's upset that does not involve fraud. For instance, it is possible that he has gotten the credit for spreading around a lot of oil money in the form of favors to his constituencies, but somehow managed to escape the blame for the resultant high inflation.

But just as a first reaction, this post-election situation looks to me like a crime scene. And here is how I would reconstruct the crime.

As the real numbers started coming into the Interior Ministry late on Friday, it became clear that Mousavi was winning. Mousavi's spokesman abroad, filmmaker Mohsen Makhbalbaf, alleges > that the ministry even contacted Mousavi's camp and said it would begin preparing the population for this victory. The ministry must have informed Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei, who has had a feud with Mousavi for over 30 years, who found this outcome unsupportable. And, apparently, he and other top leaders had been so confident of an Ahmadinejad win that they had made no contingency plans for what to do if he looked as though he would lose.

They therefore sent blanket instructions to the Electoral Commission to falsify the vote counts.

This clumsy cover-up then produced the incredible result of an Ahmadinejad landlside in Tabriz and Isfahan and Tehran.

The reason for which Rezaie and Karoubi had to be assigned such implausibly low totals was to make sure Ahmadinejad got over 51% of the vote and thus avoid a run-off between him and Mousavi next Friday, which would have given the Mousavi camp a chance to attempt to rally the public and forestall further tampering with the election.

This scenario accounts for all known anomalies and is consistent with what we know of the major players.

More in my column, just out, in Salon.com: More in my column, just out, in Salon.com: "Ahmadinejad reelected under cloud of fraud," where I argue that the outcome of the presidential elections does not and should not affect Obama's policies toward that country - they are the right policies and should be followed through on regardless.

The public demonstrations against the result don't appear to be that big. In the past decade, reformers have always backed down in Iran when challenged by hardliners, in part because no one wants to relive the horrible Great Terror of the 1980s after the revolution, when faction-fighting produced blood in the streets. Mousavi is still from that generation.

My own guess is that you have to get a leadership born after the revolution, who does not remember it and its sanguinary aftermath, before you get people willing to push back hard against the rightwingers.

So, there are protests against an allegedly stolen election. The Basij paramilitary thugs and the Iranian Revolutionary Guards will break some heads. Unless there has been a sea change in Iran, the theocrats may well get away with this soft coup for the moment. But the regime's legitimacy will take a critical hit, and its ultimate demise may have been hastened, over the next decade or two.

What I've said is full of speculation and informed guesses. I'd be glad to be proved wrong on several of these points. Maybe I will be.

PS: Here's the data:

So here is what Interior Minister Sadeq Mahsouli said Saturday about the outcome of the Iranian presidential elections:

"Of 39,165,191 votes counted (85 percent), Mahmoud Ahmadinejad won the election with 24,527,516 (62.63 percent)."

He announced that Mir-Hossein Mousavi came in second with 13,216,411 votes (33.75 percent).

Mohsen Rezaei got 678,240 votes (1.73 percent)

Mehdi Karroubi with 333,635 votes (0.85 percent).

He put the void ballots at 409,389 (1.04 percent).

http://www.nytimes.com/2009/06/14/world/middleeast/14memo.html?_r=1&hp
Memo From Tehran

Reverberations as Door Slams on Hope of Change

By Bill Keller

On the street, the speculation focused more on how the election was manipulated, as many voters insisted it must have been for Mr. Ahmadinejad to score such a preposterous margin of victory. One version (from somebody's brother who supposedly knew someone inside) had it that vote counters simply were ordered to doctor the numbers: "Make that 1,000 for Ahmadinejad a 3,000."

Others pointed out that the ballots seemed designed to lead opposition voters astray. Voters were obliged to choose a candidate and fill in a code. Though Mr. Moussavi was candidate No. 4, the code No. 44 signified Mr. Ahmadinejad.

One employee of the Interior Ministry, which carried out the vote count, said the government had been preparing its fraud for weeks, purging anyone of doubtful loyalty and importing pliable staff members from around the country.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

"They didn't rig the vote," claimed the man, who showed his ministry identification card but pleaded not to be named. "They didn't even look at the vote. They just wrote the name and put the number in front of it."

the political scene

the secret h istory

Can Leon Panetta move the C.I.A. forward without confronting its past?

BY JANE MAYER

The Central Intelligence Agency typically fights distant enemies, but on May 21st its leaders were preoccupied with a local opponent. A few miles from the agency's headquarters, which are in Langley, Virginia, former Vice-President Dick Cheney delivered an extraordinary attack on the Obama Administration's emerging national-security policies. Cheney, speaking at the American Enterprise Institute, accused the new Administration of making "the American people less safe" by banning brutal C.I.A. interrogations of terrorism suspects that had been sanctioned by the Bush Administration. Ruling out such interrogations "is unwise in the extreme," Cheney charged. "It is recklessness cloaked in righteousness."

Leon Panetta, the C.I.A.'s new director—and the man who bears much of the responsibility for keeping the country safe—learned the details of Cheney's speech when he arrived in his office, on the seventh floor of the agency's headquarters. An hour earlier, he had been standing at the side of President Barack Obama, who was giving a speech at the National Archives, in which he argued that America could "fight terrorism while abiding by the rule of law." In January, the Obama Administration banned the "enhanced" techniques that the Bush Administration had approved for the agency, including waterboarding and depriving prisoners of sleep for up to eleven days. Panetta, pouring a cup of coffee, responded to Cheney's speech with surprising candor. "I think he smells some blood in the water on the nationalsecurity

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

issue," he told me. "It's almost, a little bit, gallows politics. When you read behind it, it's almost as if he's wishing that this country would be attacked again, in order to make his point. I think that's dangerous politics."

Panetta was also absorbing criticism from the left. The day before, a group of progressive human-rights advocates had been given an off-the-record briefing with Obama, where they discussed his plans for handling terrorism suspects; some of the advocates were enraged at what they saw as a tacit continuation of the Bush approach. According to a participant, Obama warned the group that such comparisons were "not helpful." Nevertheless, Kenneth Roth, the executive director of Human Rights Watch, who also attended the briefing, went on to denounce the Administration for considering "preventive detention"—incarcerating certain terror suspects indefinitely, without trial. Obama's position, Roth said, "mimics the Bush Administration's abusive approach."

Since January, the C.I.A. has become the focus of almost daily struggle, as Obama attempts to restore the rule of law in America's fight against terrorism without sacrificing safety or losing the support of conservative Democratic and independent voters. So far, he has insisted on trying to recalibrate the agency's policies without investigating past mistakes or holding anyone responsible for them. Caught in the middle is Panetta, who is seventy years old and has virtually no experience in the intelligence field. Indeed, his credentials for running the world's foremost spy agency are so unlikely that when John Podesta, the head of Obama's transition team, asked him to take the job he responded, "Are you sure?" Podesta assured Panetta that his outsider status was actually an advantage: "He said, 'You don't carry the scars of the past eight years. Besides, the President wants somebody who will talk straight to him on these issues.' "

Although Panetta served briefly in the military, half a century ago, his reputation has been built almost entirely on his

mastery of domestic policy. For sixteen years, he was a Democratic congressman from his home town, Monterey, California. In 1989, he became the chairman of the House Budget Committee, making him a natural choice as President Bill Clinton's first budget director. In 1994, he became Clinton's chief of staff. Panetta, the son of Italian immigrants, grew up washing dishes in his parents' restaurant. He is disarmingly forthright, with an easy laugh; he is also a stern disciplinarian and a workaholic. Colleagues say that Panetta, who attends Mass regularly, can be principled to the point of rigidity. It was partly Panetta's rectitude that got him the C.I.A. job. During the Bush years, he decried the country's loss of moral authority; in a blunt essay for *Washington Monthly* last year, he declared that Americans had been transformed "from champions of human dignity and individual rights into a nation of armchair torturers." He concluded, "We either believe in the dignity of the individual, the rule of law, and the prohibition of cruel and unusual punishment, or we don't. There is no middle ground."

Panetta's impassioned essay unexpectedly became an asset during the Obama transition, after John Brennan—the initial candidate for C.I.A. director—was pressured to withdraw. Critics accused Brennan, who had been a top agency official during the Bush years, of complicity with the torture program. (A friend of Brennan's from his C.I.A. days complained to me, "After a few Cheeto-eating people in the basement working in their underwear who write blogs voiced objections to Brennan, the Obama Administration pulled his name at the first sign of smoke, and then ruled out a whole class of people: anyone who had been at the agency during the past ten years couldn't pass the blogger test.")

Panetta had one other strong qualification: he was close to Rahm Emanuel, the new chief of staff. During the Clinton Administration, Emanuel, serving as the White House political director, was suspected by former First Lady

Hillary Clinton and others of leaking
steve brodner
Panetta has no C.I.A. experience, but, an ex-officer says, it's not "a bad thing to have a powerful guy with access to the President."
52 THE NEW YORKER, JUNE 22, 2009

information, and was very nearly fired. Emanuel entered what he calls his "wilderness period." When Panetta became chief of staff, however, he reinstated Emanuel as a top aide. "I thought he had a lot of street smarts and good political sense," Panetta told me.

In 1994, Panetta discovered, to his dismay, that the President had quietly turned to Dick Morris, a political consultant with a dubious ethical reputation. Harold Ickes, a former White House aide, recalls Panetta walking the halls late one night and saying that he needed a shower after attending a meeting with Morris. Later, a tabloid newspaper reported that Morris had been meeting with a prostitute in a nearby Washington hotel. In 1997, Panetta left the White House, by mutual agreement; he and his wife, Sylvia, founded the nonpartisan Panetta Institute for Public Policy, in Northern California.

In January, 1998, it was revealed that Clinton had conducted an extramarital affair with Monica Lewinsky—Panetta's former intern. An associate described Panetta then as "very disappointed in Bill Clinton, because of Monica Lewinsky. He saw him as a man with no personal discipline."

Eleven years later, Barack Obama called Panetta for advice on who might make a good chief of staff. Panetta recommended Emanuel, telling him that "Rahm knows the Hill, he certainly knows the White House, and he's got the tough side" necessary for the job. In January, Emanuel recommended Panetta for the C.I.A. post. Emanuel said of Panetta, "Leon has great judgment, a great compass. He's a great manager, and he's trusted by both parties." (Panetta was a Republican until 1971.) Some former C.I.A. officers, such as Tyler Drumheller, who retired in 2005 as the head of clandestine operations in Europe, welcome the choice. "It's not such a bad thing to have a powerful guy with access

to the President," he told me. Panetta, he predicted, "will restore the integrity of the intelligence process. After what we've been through on Iraq and torture allegations, that's a big deal."
Michael Waldman, who was President Clinton's chief speechwriter, and who now runs the Brennan Center for Justice, at the New York University School of Law, describes Panetta as "one of the more honorable, decent, and principled people in government," but considers it "amazing that he was such an outspoken critic" of the agency. Given Panetta's reputation for integrity, and the C.I.A.'s central role in the interrogations scandal, Waldman wondered, "can he ride the tiger without being eaten?" He added, "An agency like that can turn on a director. That's the challenge: he's got to both lead it and reform it."

The record of outsiders taking over the C.I.A. is mixed. John McCone, a California shipping magnate who ran the agency in the Kennedy and Johnson years, is often cited as being among the most successful directors; having been trained as a mechanical engineer, he was skilled at assessing threats posed by both conventional and nuclear weapons. But other outsiders have been met with intense hostility. James Schlesinger was named C.I.A. director by President Richard Nixon after heading the Atomic Energy Commission. Given instructions to "get rid of the clowns," Schlesinger dismissed or forced into retirement more than five hundred analysts and a thousand clandestine officers. He faced death threats, and his tenure lasted six months. In 1995, President Clinton appointed John Deutch, who had previously served at the Pentagon. Deutch tried to improve the oversight of clandestine operatives after evidence surfaced that an agent in Guatemala had covered up two murders. Deutch was reviled by many operatives, and he left the agency after eighteen months. Eventually, he was accused of mishandling classified documents and stripped of his security clearance. "You pick on the C.I.A. at your

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

own peril," Michael Waldman says. Nevertheless, many critics believe that the agency must reckon with the legacy of the Bush era. In the past few years, irrefutable evidence has emerged that after 9/11 the agency lost its moral bearings. A confidential Red Cross report has come into public view, along with formerly classified government documents, leaving no doubt that the agency subjected scores of terror suspects to prolonged physical and psychological cruelty. Officers shackled prisoners for weeks in contorted positions; chained them to the ceiling wearing only diapers; exploited their phobias; propelled them head first into walls. At least three prisoners died.

Torture is a felony, and is sometimes treated as a capital crime. The Convention Against Torture, which America ratified in 1994, requires a government to prosecute all acts of torture; failure to do so is considered a breach of international law. The issue of torture assumed symbolic im-

"For God's sake, have some populist rage."

• •

portance during the 2008 campaign, and when Obama took office many of his liberal supporters expected him to hold the perpetrators of abuse accountable. Democratic leaders in Congress pushed particularly hard for action. Senator Carl Levin, the chairman of the Armed Services Committee, had investigated the military's role in detention and interrogation abuse but was kept by his committee's limited jurisdiction from investigating the C.I.A.; he urged the new Attorney General, Eric Holder, to open an inquiry, saying, "There needs to be an accounting of torture in this country." Senator Patrick Leahy, the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, argued for the creation of an independent "truth commission," which could grant immunity to witnesses—thus helping to insulate the Obama Administration from charges that it was exploiting the torture issue for partisan gain.

The C.I.A.'s role in providing misleading intelligence about the presence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

has also provoked calls for reform. Senator Dianne Feinstein, the new chairman of the Intelligence Committee, told me, "There's no vote that I regret more than the vote to authorize war with Iraq"; her vote was based on intelligence that she describes as "flat wrong." Feinstein went on, "I am absolutely determined to reform the process of gathering and analyzing intelligence."

As soon as Obama took office, he overturned most aspects of the Bush Administration's interrogation policy. He issued an executive order banning inhumane treatment of prisoners by any government officials, and one closing the C.I.A.'s network of secret "black site" prisons, which stretched from Poland to Thailand. He also vowed to close the military prison in Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, where fourteen former C.I.A. prisoners are being held.

But Obama's message has been uncharacteristically muddled on the question of accountability. He has said that Attorney General Holder should be the one to decide whether to take criminal action; he has also said that he would support further congressional investigation, as long as it was done in a bipartisan fashion. At the same time, he has signalled that he has no appetite for "looking backwards," and in late April, during a private White House meeting with congressional leaders, he rejected the idea of an outside truth commission.

In the meantime, Republicans have seized the political initiative, expressing grave concern about the plans to close Guantánamo and transfer the prisoners to U.S. facilities.

Tim Weiner, the author of "Legacy of Ashes," a recent history of the C.I.A., says that Panetta is facing a series of "unappetizing choices." Weiner believes that the country is in a period similar to the Watergate era, when a series of disturbing state secrets—such as the existence of the Phoenix Program, a C.I.A.-supported initiative, in which the South Vietnamese were alleged to have tortured civilians—spilled out. Speaking of Panetta, he said, "It can't be comfortable for a man who said, 'This is un-American,' to be put in the position of saying,

'Well, we hold no one accountable.' "

Panetta, whose conversation with me at C.I.A. headquarters was his first lengthy interview on the topic of abusive interrogations, said that when he took over the agency he "wanted to be damn sure" that there was nobody on the payroll who should be prosecuted for torture or related crimes. He asked John Helgerson, then the C.I.A.'s inspector general, to conduct a review. In theory, the inspector general is politically independent, and therefore able to render unbiased judgments. In 2004, Helgerson had written a classified report on the C.I.A.'s secret detention-and-interrogation program, in which he questioned both the legality and the effectiveness of the agency's brutally coercive techniques. Panetta cited Helgerson's "credibility" as a reason to trust his assessment. According to Panetta, Helgerson, who is not a lawyer, assured him that no officer still at the agency had engaged in actions that went beyond the legal boundaries as they were understood during the Bush years. Helgerson, who retired from the agency in May, says he told Panetta only that he was not aware of any cases that merited prosecution, though "continuing work was being done." Panetta told me, "I'm going to give people the benefit of the doubt. . . . If they do the job that they're paid to do, I can't ask for a hell of a lot more." His words echo those of President Obama, who on April 16th promised immunity from prosecution to any C.I.A. officer who relied on the advice of legal counsel during the Bush years. Jeffrey H. Smith, a former general counsel to the C.I.A., points out that this is a low standard, given that "what the Justice Department approved was outrageous." For example, for more than a century the U.S. had prosecuted waterboarding as a serious crime, and a ten-year prison sentence was issued as recently as 1983. Indeed, the memos authorizing interrogators to torment prisoners clashed so glaringly with international and U.S. law that some of them were later withdrawn by lawyers in Bush's own Justice Department. Smith, who has advised Obama informally

on how to handle the C.I.A.'s legacy of abuse, thinks that prosecutions are not politically viable at this point, and would in any case be unfair to officers who thought they were adhering to the law. And many Republicans, from Newt Gingrich to John McCain, have argued that pressing charges against government officials would threaten morale and inhibit risk-taking at a time when the agency faces wars on two fronts and a continuing threat from Al Qaeda. The Harvard law professor Laurence Tribe disagrees. "It's hard not to do *something* to those who performed the act," he says. "It's not beyond the pale to imagine that even people armed with legal opinions might be held legally responsible for violating the criminal law in the area of torture."

Panetta told me, "Frankly, I didn't support these methods that were used, or the legal justification for why they did it. . . . I also believed if I were to take this job it was about dealing with the threats that are out there, and trying to really bring the C.I.A. into a new chapter." He said that once he felt confident that there was no criminal liability inside the agency he "didn't want to spend a lot of time dealing with the past and what mistakes were made."

It turns out, however, that Panetta initially supported the creation of a truth commission. "I'm not big on commissions," Panetta told me. "On the other hand, I could see that it might make some sense, frankly, to appoint a high-level

commission, with somebody like Sandra Day O'Connor, Lee Hamilton—people like that." The appeal was that Obama could delegate to others the legal problems stemming from Bush Administration actions, allowing him to focus on his ambitious political agenda. "In the discussion phase"—early in the spring, before Obama decided the issue—"I was for it," Panetta said. "Because every time a question came up, you could basically say, 'The commission, hopefully, is looking at this.' " But by late April Obama had vetoed the idea, fearing that it would look vindictive and, possibly, inflame his predecessor. "It was the President who basically said, 'If I do

this, it will look like I'm trying to go after Cheney and Bush,' " Panetta said. "He just didn't think it made sense. And then everybody kind of backed away from it."

Ken Gude, an associate director at the Center for American Progress, who specializes in national-security issues, and who has close ties to the White House, believes that Obama's instinct, like Panetta's, was to set up a truth commission of some sort. "I think the political staff walked it back," he says. "They said it would be a distraction." Obama's political advisers dread any issue that could trigger a culture war and diminish his support among independent voters. They also see little advantage in picking a fight with the C.I.A. But the decision to discourage an accountability process, Gude says, has backfired. The Administration has lost control of the story, as revelations about C.I.A. misdeeds have continued to emerge through lawsuits and the press. "It's now become the distraction they wanted to avoid," Gude says. "The White House briefings have been dominated by questions about releasing documents and photos." It's understandable, he says, that Obama wouldn't want to spend his energy on Bush's mistakes. But, he warns, "they can't leave the impression that they're trying to cover it up."

Panetta may not have scars from the past eight years, but he is surrounded by people who do. Some of his closest advisers have connections to the torture program. Panetta brought only one person with him to the agency: Jeremy Bash, the well-regarded former chief counsel to the House Intelligence Committee, who now serves as his chief of staff. Phil Trounstine, a California-based political consultant and analyst who has known Panetta for years, says of him, "Here's a guy who has been very critical of the Bush world view, who has to enforce a new set of guidelines and policies by leading the same agency and the same people as in the past."

Several of Panetta's top deputies worked closely with George Tenet, the agency's director from 1997 until 2004. Under Tenet, the C.I.A. took the lead role in

fighting terrorism, and its officers became the jailers, and sometimes the tormentors, of many U.S.-held detainees. Tenet, who is now a managing director of the investment bank Allen & Company, has all but disappeared from public sight in Washington. He recently cancelled an appearance scheduled at the Panetta Institute this month. ("George has not wanted to do stuff in front of a camera," Panetta noted.) But in his 2007 memoir, "At the Center of the Storm," Tenet defended the use of "enhanced" interrogation techniques on terror suspects, claiming that the information they elicited had prevented other attacks and saved American lives. (He also assured President Bush that the case for going to war in Iraq was "a slam dunk.") But a former senior agency official who worked with many of Tenet's top team members says, "These people carried out this policy . . . but they'll muddy the waters by explaining why what they did was O.K. They will say that 'Bush was bad' but they weren't. A lot of it is just to protect their own positions. It's amazing to me that all these Tenet people survived!"

Behind Panetta's desk—next to a framed, tattered American flag that was rescued from the ruins of the World Trade Center—is a door leading to the office of Stephen Kappes, whom Panetta has kept on as the agency's second-incommand. Kappes, a former U.S. marine, is widely admired within the agency, in particular for his role in persuading the Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi to abandon his nuclear-weapons program, in 2003. "Kappes is the case officer's case officer," John Radsan, who was a lawyer at the C.I.A. during President Bush's first term, says. Intense, serious, and fluent in Russian and Farsi, Kappes has served as a station chief in Moscow, New Delhi, and Frankfurt, and has supervised many clandestine operations. In April, President Obama paid a visit to C.I.A. headquarters and singled out Kappes as the wise "graybeard" in the building. Senator Feinstein insisted to Obama Administration officials privately that Kappes continue as deputy director; it was a condition of her support for Panetta, whose lack of experience

in covert operations she questioned.
During the first term of the Bush Administration, Kappes was a top official in the Directorate of Operations. This group oversaw the agency's Counterterrorist Center, which, in turn, managed the secret detention-and-interrogation program. Few doubt that he was aware that the C.I.A. was engaging in brutality. One former officer recalls that Kappes voiced qualms, warning that the program amounted to "torture." According to the former officer, once Kappes was overruled he went along; Kappes was "the brains" of the directorate, the former officer says. (Kappes, through a spokesman, denied having had a direct role in the interrogation program, or having called its tactics torture.) Another former C.I.A. operative says, "It would be hard to say someone so involved could be robustly objective" in advising Panetta.
Panetta says that most of the individuals who managed the secret interrogation program have since left the agency. One of the holdovers is Jonathan Fredman, who was formerly the chief counsel to the division that ran the interrogation program; he is now on temporary assignment with the director of National Intelligence. According to notes from a 2002 meeting, which were disclosed at a recent Senate hearing, Fredman advised that torture "is basically subject to perception. If the detainee dies, you're doing it wrong." The notes, whose accuracy Fredman has disputed, describe him saying that videotapes of interrogations would look "ugly." Fredman's former boss is John A. Rizzo, the C.I.A.'s acting general counsel, who was the recipient of many of the Justice Department's torture memos. (Rizzo is scheduled to leave the agency once a replacement has been confirmed.) And the current head of the Counterterrorist Center—the officer, who is undercover, cannot be identified—ran the interrogation program for part of Bush's second term. Several current station chiefs and division chiefs were also deeply involved in brutal interrogations, as were pilots, logistical experts, medical personnel, and others.
Meanwhile, John Brennan—the man

who was considered too politically toxic for the top C.I.A. job—has become a senior official on the National Security Council. Brennan, who, as one former C.I.A. officer puts it, was once "joined to George Tenet at the hip"—he served as Tenet's chief of staff—now advises Obama on terrorism and other national-security issues. He has reportedly lobbied hard to maintain secrecy on past abuses. According to *Newsweek*, Brennan recently persuaded Panetta to join him in protesting Obama's plan to release four shocking Justice Department memos about the interrogation program. The documents, written by lawyers in the Office of Legal Counsel, showed that the C.I.A. had waterboarded one suspect at least a hundred and eighty-three times and subjected many others to harrowing mistreatment. Opponents have argued that exposing such details could spark an anti-American backlash. Panetta also argued forcefully in favor of indemnifying any C.I.A. officers whose actions, as described in the memos, might have opened them up to criminal charges.

Several well-respected former C.I.A. officials—including Fred Hitz, a former inspector general, and Paul Pillar, a former Middle East analyst—told me that they saw no harm in releasing the documents. Dennis C. Blair, the director of National Intelligence, who oversees the U.S. intelligence establishment, including the C.I.A., also supported the release of the documents, after his staff concluded that the disclosures would likely do no damage.

After intense consideration, and a late-night meeting in Rahm Emanuel's office, Obama rejected Panetta's arguments for secrecy, deciding that it was in the public interest to release the memos. But Obama also endorsed the notion of giving blanket amnesty to any C.I.A. officers performing authorized work.

Panetta's resistance to public disclosure seemed out of character to some longtime colleagues. "I was surprised by Leon's position on the O.L.C. memos," Phil Trounstine told me. "It's tough to maintain your principles when you're head of the C.I.A., because you need to be seen as

someone that the people inside the agency want to follow." Panetta had become an advocate for secrecy so quickly, a White House official joked, that "it's like 'Invasion of the Body Snatchers.' "

Panetta's advisers may have had a personal stake in opposing transparency. Another former C.I.A. official, who knows Brennan well, noted that, if the Bush torture program were to be further investigated, "potentially, both Brennan and Kappes could have a lot to lose." Brennan's supporters have argued that he had no operational control over the interrogation program, and point out that his tenure as Tenet's chief of staff ended in March, 2001, before the Al Qaeda attacks. But he was subsequently named deputy executive director, and served in that position until March, 2003—the period when the most brutal detainee treatment occurred. In addition, Brennan often briefed President Bush about daily developments in the war on terror. Brennan has described himself as an internal critic of waterboarding—a position that friends, such as Emile Nakhleh, a former senior officer, confirm. Yet, in an interview with me two years ago, Brennan defended the use of "enhanced" interrogation techniques and extraordinary renditions, in which the C.I.A. abducted terror suspects around the globe and transported them to other countries to be jailed and interrogated; many of those countries had execrable human-rights records. He also questioned some people's definition of "torture." "I think it's torture when I have to ride in the car with my kids and they have loud rap music on," he said. Asked if "enhanced" interrogation techniques were necessary to keep America safe, he replied, "Would the U.S. be handicapped if the C.I.A. was not, in fact, able to carry out these types of detention and debriefing activities? I would say yes."

Anthony Lake, who was the national-security adviser under Clinton, said of Brennan, "I've known John a long time, and he's a really good guy. I would argue, you can't throw out the whole agency." Lake, in fact, recommended Brennan to the Obama campaign when

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

it was looking for intelligence advisers—after consulting with their mutual friend George Tenet. America's intelligence community is an incestuous one, making it difficult for a President to break with old ways of thinking.

Indeed, a well-informed analyst with close ties to the White House says that the C.I.A. has been lobbying hard to get Obama to support some form of preventive detention for terror suspects. An agency spokesman denies this. But the analyst

says, "They definitely want the flexibility to hold people in some form of detention. They have been saying, 'We need deep authorities.' They've been presenting the President with nightmare scenarios."

Panetta, for his part, has been persuaded that renditions are a tool worth keeping. The rendition program began, in a more carefully monitored form, during the Clinton Administration, but in the Bush years it was transformed into what John Radsan, the former C.I.A. lawyer, called "an abomination." As many as seven detainees were misidentified and abducted by mistake; many other suspects have alleged that they were hideously tortured by foreign governments. Panetta told me, "The worst part of rendition was rendition to a black site. That will not be the case anymore. If we render someone, it will be to a country with jurisdiction over that individual." During the Bush years, however, some of the most horrific allegations of abuse were made by detainees rendered not to black sites but to Egypt, Syria, and Morocco. The Obama Administration, Panetta says, will take precautions to insure that rendered suspects are treated humanely, as the law requires. "I've talked to the State Department, and our people have to make very sure that people won't be mistreated," Panetta said. "Some places, obviously, it's more difficult to do. But we're going to have to press to make sure it doesn't happen, because it would fly in the face of everything the President has said we stand for." The Bush Administration professed to be taking similar precautions.

The C.I.A. has apparently done nothing to penalize the officer who oversaw one

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

of the most notorious renditions—that of a German car salesman named Khaled el-Masri. He was abducted while on a holiday in Macedonia, and flown by the agency to Afghanistan, where he was detained in a dungeon for five months without charges, before being released. From the start, the rendition team suspected that his case was one of mistaken identity. But the C.I.A. officer in charge at Langley—the agency asked that the officer's name be withheld—insisted that Masri be further interrogated. "She just looked in her crystal ball and it said that he was bad," a colleague recalls. Masri says that he was chained in a freezing cell with no bed, and given water so putrid that he could smell it across the room. He was threatened and stripped, and could hear other detainees crying all around him. After several weeks, the C.I.A. officer in charge learned that Masri's German passport was not a forgery, as was originally suspected, and that he was not the terror suspect the agency thought he was. (The names were similar.) Even so, the officer in charge refused to release him. Eventually, Masri went on a hunger strike, losing sixty pounds. Skeptics in the agency went directly over the officer's head to Tenet, who realized that his agency had been brutalizing an innocent man. Masri was released after a hundred and forty-nine days. But the officer in charge was not disciplined; in fact, a former colleague says, "she's been promoted—twice." Masri, meanwhile, has been unable to sue the U.S. government for either an apology or damages, because the courts consider the very existence of rendition a state secret—a position that the Obama Justice Department has so far supported.

No criminal charges have ever been brought against any C.I.A. officer involved in the torture program, despite the fact that at least three prisoners interrogated by agency personnel died as the result of mistreatment. In the first case, an unnamed detainee under C.I.A. supervision in Afghanistan froze to death after having been chained, naked, to a concrete floor overnight. The body was buried in an unmarked grave. In the second case, an Iraqi prisoner named Manadel al-Jamadi

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

died on November 4, 2003, while being
Bere avement
Behind his house, my father's dogs
sleep in kennels, beautiful,
he built just for them.
They do not bark.
Do they know he is dead?
They wag their tails
& head. They beg
& are fed.
Their grief is colossal
& forgetful.
Each day they wake
seeking his voice,
their names.
By dusk they seem
to unremember everything—
to them even hunger
is a game. For that, I envy.
For that, I cannot bear to watch them
pacing their cage. I try to remember
they love best confined space
to feel safe. Each day
a saint comes by to feed the pair
& I draw closer
the shades.
I've begun to think of them
as my father's other sons,
as kin. Brothers-in-paw.

interrogated by the C.I.A. at Abu Ghraib
prison, outside Baghdad. A forensic examiner
found that he had essentially been
crucified; he died from asphyxiation after
having been hung by his arms, in a hood,
and suffering broken ribs. Military pathologists
classified the case a homicide.
A third prisoner died after an interrogation
in which a C.I.A. officer participated,
though the officer evidently did not cause
the death. (Several other detainees have
disappeared and remain unaccounted for,
according to Human Rights Watch.)
During his tenure at the C.I.A., John
Helgerson, the former inspector general,
forwarded the crucifixion case, along with
an estimated half-dozen other incidents, to
the Justice Department, for possible prosecution.
But the case files have languished.
An official familiar with the cases told me
that the agency has deflected inquiries by
the Senate Intelligence Committee seeking

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762308 Date: 06/30/2015

information about any internal disciplinary action. (Helgerson told me, "Some individuals have been disciplined. And others no longer work at the agency.") Panetta acknowledges that there are some people still at the C.I.A. who may be tainted by the torture program. Nevertheless, he says, "I really respect the people who say we shouldn't have gotten involved in the interrogation business but we had to do our jobs. I don't think I should penalize people who were doing their duty. If you have a President who exercises bad judgment, the C.I.A. pays the price."

On June 1st, former Vice-President Cheney asserted in a speech that the C.I.A., rather than the White House, first proposed hurting prisoners during interrogations. "It was their initiative," he said. "They had a couple of cases where they thought enhanced interrogation techniques would provide information." Panetta has a different view. "There is no question in my mind," he said. "The interrogation thing, to some extent, was cast upon us, because the military walked away from it, and the F.B.I. walked away from it, and so everybody came down on the C.I.A.," he said. This is technically true, although the F.B.I. "walked away" from interrogations of terrorism suspects after its director, Bob Mueller, heard complaints from an agent, Ali Soufan, that the C.I.A.'s interrogation methods amounted to "borderline torture." John Helgerson told me that he holds the C.I.A., the Pentagon, and the White House equally responsible: "They went arm in arm into it." Without a thorough public investigation, it's difficult to assess the truth behind such contradictory accusations. "Everyone says, 'It's over, it's known,' " Nathaniel Raymond, who works with the advocacy group Physicians for Human Rights, told me. "But what *is* known? We still don't know how many detainees were in the black sites, or who they were. We don't fully know the White House's role, or the C.I.A.'s role. We need a full accounting, especially as it relates to health professionals." The recently released Justice Department memos, he noted, contain numerous

references to C.I.A. medical personnel participating in coercive interrogation sessions. "They were the designers, the legitimizers, and the implementers," Raymond said. "This is arguably the single greatest medical-ethics scandal in American history. We need answers."

Some conservatives are also calling for greater openness. Will Taft, the general counsel to the State Department in the Bush Administration, told me, "There are some twenty or thirty people whom the

My eyes each day thaw.
One day the water cuts off.
Then back on.
They are outside dogs—
which is to say, healthy
& victorious, purposeful
& one giant muscle
like the heart. Dad taught
them not to bark, to point
out their prey. To stay.
Were they there that day?
They call me
like witnesses & will not say.
I ask for their care
& their carelessness—
wish of them forgiveness.
I must give them away.
I must find for them homes,
sleep restless in his.
All night I expect they pace
as I do, each dog like an eye
roaming with the dead
beneath an unlocked lid.

—*Kevin Young*

C.I.A. said it formerly held but no longer does. Those names have never been released. The government should identify all the people in the program and account for them."

The Senate Intelligence Committee recently embarked on its own, closed-door investigation of the torture program; Panetta told me that he has been assured that the committee members' work "would be about lessons learned, as opposed to going after people." So the C.I.A. is "coöperating with them, giving them whatever information they need to try to conduct their review." He says that the committee has

already identified some ten million relevant documents. "It's going to take a while," he said.

The Senate investigation will, among other things, probe the question of torture's efficacy. Dick Cheney has repeatedly claimed that "enhanced" interrogations yield results. Opponents say that torture is counterproductive. Panetta is more agnostic. He told me, "The bottom line would be this: Yes, important information was gathered from these detainees. It provided information that in fact was acted upon. Was this the only way to obtain this information? I think that will always be an open question." But he is certain that "we did pay a price for using those methods."

A number of recently released documents call into question the notion that the C.I.A. played a passive role in relation to torture policy. A 2008 report by the Senate Armed Services Committee indicates that the agency hired contract psychologists who went on to design and implement specific forms of abuse—such as locking a detainee, doubled up, in a tiny, airless cage—months before August, 2002, when the Justice Department granted legal authorization with its infamous "torture memo." More troublingly, footnotes in the Office of Legal Counsel memos suggest that some C.I.A. interrogators may have egregiously exceeded the legal boundaries set down by the Justice Department and the White House—which seemingly puts them outside the legal safety zone demarcated by Obama and Panetta. In 2002, the Bush Administration authorized interrogators to re-create the ostensibly safe waterboarding techniques used in military training. But, instead of limiting the sessions to a maximum of two twenty-second bouts of controlled drowning, as prescribed in military training, the C.I.A. interrogators forced one detainee to undergo at least a hundred and eighty-three sessions, and another at least eighty-three. And, instead of using a very small amount of water, as the Justice Department stipulated, the C.I.A. interrogators subjected the detainees to "large volumes" of water. The memos quote Inspector General Helgerson's finding, in his secret 2004 report on coercive

techniques, that the interrogators amplified the pain deliberately, in order to make the sensation of drowning "more poignant and convincing." Helgerson also found that the psychologists and interrogators who designed the agency's protocols—and who claimed that their judgments were based on knowledge of military standards—had "probably misrepresented" their "expertise." In addition, the C.I.A.'s Office of Medical Services found that there was "no reason to believe that applying the waterboard with the frequency and intensity with which it was used by the psychologist/interrogators . . . was either efficacious or medically safe."

In April, Panetta fired all the C.I.A.'s contract interrogators, including the former military psychologists who appear to have designed the most brutal interrogation techniques: James Mitchell and Bruce Jessen. The two men, who ran a consulting company, Mitchell, Jessen & Associates, had recommended that interrogators apply to detainees theories of "learned helplessness" that were based on experiments with abused dogs. The firm's principals reportedly billed the agency a thousand dollars a day for their services. "We saved some money in the deal, too!" Panetta said. (Remarkably, a month after Obama took office the C.I.A. had signed a fresh contract with the firm.)

According to ProPublica, the investigative reporting group, Mitchell and Jessen's firm, which in 2007 had a hundred and twenty people on its staff, recently closed its offices, in Spokane, Washington. One employee was Deuce Martinez, a former C.I.A. interrogator in the blacksite program; Joseph Matarazzo, a former president of the American Psychological Association, was on the company's board. (According to Kirk Hubbard, the former head of the C.I.A.'s research and analysis

"I've put everything in your name in case my creditors come after me."

• •

division, Matarazzo served on an agency professional-standards board during the time the interrogation program was set up, but was not consulted about the interrogations.)

Lawsuits against abusive contractors remain a possibility, and any one of them could expose a line of authorizations leading directly up the chain of command at the C.I.A., and into the Bush White House. George Brent Mickum IV, a lawyer representing Abu Zubaydah, a C.I.A. prisoner who was repeatedly waterboarded, said, "I'd like to sue Mitchell and Jessen in a minute." (Mitchell was an adviser on Zubaydah's interrogation.) After Zubaydah was waterboarded, his lawyers say, his mental state deteriorated, and he has since been prescribed the antipsychotic drug Haldol.

Few activists expect lawsuits against the C.I.A. or its contractors to succeed. But John Sifton, an attorney who specializes in human-rights law, and who is part of Zubaydah's legal team, notes that there are other ways for the detainees' grievances to become public. "The act of prosecuting the high-value detainees will be the accountability process," Sifton said. "It's impossible to try these detainees without allowing them to air all the information about their torture."

Other legal actions threaten to expose yet more secrets of the C.I.A.'s torture program. A prosecutor appointed by the Justice Department, John Durham, has convened a grand jury in Washington to weigh potential criminal charges against C.I.A. officers who were involved in the destruction of ninety-two videotapes documenting the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and other detainees. Mickum told me that he has met several times with Durham, and believes that the scope of his inquiry may have expanded to include a review of whether the C.I.A. began using brutal methods on Zubaydah before it received written authorization from the Justice Department. (This would provide an extra motive for destroying the videotapes.) Mickum said, "I got the sense he was very serious." (Durham declined to comment.)

The A.C.L.U., meanwhile, is suing to get access to classified descriptions of what was on the destroyed videotapes. Last week, Panetta filed an affidavit opposing the disclosure, which he said "could be expected

to result in exceptionally grave damage to the national security." Once again, he was protecting Bush-era interrogation secrets.
Pressure is also coming from abroad. In Italy, two dozen C.I.A. officers are on trial in absentia for participating in a 2003 rendition. Robert Seldon Lady, the agency's station chief in Milan at the time, can no longer travel to Italy without danger of arrest, nor can the other C.I.A. officers named in the case. Spain has opened a criminal investigation of six Bush Administration officials in connection with torture.
And in London a former rendition victim is suing the British authorities. After a British judge ruled that the plaintiff, Binyam Mohammed, should be given access to C.I.A. intelligence documents that the agency shared with British authorities, the Obama Administration surprised liberals by pressuring the British government to stop the disclosures.
Several other legal challenges to the agency's interrogation program are working their way through the U.S. court system. A judge in California recently rejected the Justice Department's claims of blanket state secrecy in a case brought by five rendition victims against Jeppesen Dataplan, a subsidiary of Boeing, which provided the flight plans for the C.I.A.'s renditions. In a press conference in April, Obama indicated that he had had second thoughts about the Justice Department's assertion of blanket state secrecy in the case, but on June 12th the Administration reasserted its original claim.
Earlier this month, Philip Mudd, Obama's nominee for a top Homeland Security post, withdrew from consideration after it became clear that his Senate confirmation would turn into a fight over his previous role in the C.I.A.'s interrogation program. Rahm Emanuel, speaking of the many challenges posed by the torture scandal, told me, "It's a day-to-day—I won't say struggle—but problem. There are a lot of cases in the queue that require response. Many of them. But I've seen the President in the Situation Room, and I know he wants to move forward."
Panetta is already forging ahead on one important reform: he plans to replace the

abusive interrogation program with a legally acceptable, non-coercive alternative. A task force led by the Harvard Law School professor Philip Heymann has been advising him on a proposal to create an élite U.S. government interrogation team, staffed by some of the best C.I.A., F.B.I., and military officers in the country, and drawing on the advice of social scientists, linguists, and other scholars. "What I'm pushing for is to establish a facility where we develop a team of interrogators trained in the latest techniques," Panetta said. "That's the one thing I'm worried about, frankly. There just aren't that many people who have the interrogation abilities we're going to need." Heymann describes the effort to create "the best non-coercive interrogation team in the world" as the equivalent of "a NASA-like, man-on-themoon effort" for human-intelligence gathering. He said that members of his task force have travelled to France, England, Japan, Australia, and Israel, in order to compile comparative information on what interrogators do. "We also went to the best people in the U.S.," he added.

Panetta has many ambitions for his tenure at the agency. He spoke to me of the need for the C.I.A. to increase its foreignlanguage skills, and to recruit officers of more diverse backgrounds, who can more easily infiltrate hostile parts of the world. But, as Panetta sees it, the C.I.A.'s effort to "disrupt, destroy, and dismantle" Al Qaeda remains its top priority. The agency continues to acquire intelligence suggesting that Al Qaeda is planning attacks on America, he told me. "We're conducting pretty robust operations in Pakistan, and I think we're doing a good job of trying to disrupt Al Qaeda. But, clearly, that is a threat." The greatest danger, he said, is that Al Qaeda will "find other safe havens to go to," in states such as Somalia and Yemen. "Our mission is to make sure they can't find a place to hide." Finding and bringing to justice Al Qaeda's leaders—in particular, Osama bin Laden and Ayman al-Zawahiri—"remains a focal point," Panetta said. "It's not easy, as you can imagine."

Last week, the *Times* reported on escalating

friction over jurisdiction between Panetta and Dennis Blair, the National Intelligence director. "I'm surprised at the number of challenges you have to confront in this job," Panetta confided. "You're a traffic cop, in many ways." When he was the White House chief of staff, Panetta said, he could delegate the big decisions to the President. "Here, though," he said, gazing out over the C.I.A.'s serene grounds, "the decisions come to me. And a lot of them involve life and death." Sometimes, he added, all he can do is "say a lot of Hail Marys." □

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 7:29 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: #s

Most interesting observation of the day, from State:

"A statistical analysis of the data issued by the ministry of interior suggests a perfect linear relationship between votes received by Ahmadinejad and Mousavi with an r-squared value of 0.9995, a near impossibility in nature.This suggests that the ballots were never counted or were abandoned after it was clear Ahmadinejad was going to lose. Statistically, it is impossible to maintain such a perfect linear relationship consistently through all stages of vote counting. We would expect variation as the votes were coming in, due to differences expected between the cites and the country side and hetereogeneity amoung ethnic groups.This to me is the most concrete evidence I have seen"

RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From:
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2009 8:33 PM
To: H2; Huma Abedin
Subject: came in 3rd

Ran an incredible race. Terrified the machine with his campaign. Whisper campaign against him was "he's too young" and under educated to trust. But he beat 2 seasoned opponents. You would be proud. He stayed positive and focused throughout out. The best man lost.
Thank you for standing with him. If you need it,
Love,
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 7:01 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Deed is done

----- Original Message -----
From: Fried, Daniel
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 11 05:49:55 2009
Subject: Deed is done

The Uighurs, free and happy, have landed in Bermuda. All well.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 7:47 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Mitchell

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu Jun 11 07:44:05 2009
Subject: Mitchell

I want to see him tuesday when he's back.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 12:02 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Bravo

See traffic from bottom up

-----Original Message-----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 9:26 AM
To: Davies, Glyn T; Abedin, Huma; Macmanus, Joseph E; Mills, Cheryl D; Adler, Caroline E (PACE); Reines, Philippe I
Subject: RE: Bravo

Adding Caroline Adler and others -- another brilliant win.

-----Original Message-----
From: Davies, Glyn T
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 9:18 AM
To: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E
Subject: FW: Bravo

Major impact in Manila and throughout the Philippines from the Secretary's recorded National Day greeting.

Below is from the legendary Kristie Kenney, our Ambo.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kenney, Kristie A (Manila)
To: Gibbons, Thomas B (Manila); Thompson, Rebecca B (Manila); Schinnerer, Karen P (Manila); Marciel, Scot A; Lakhdhir, Kamala S; Kleine, Michael F; Burgwinkle, Kevin J
Sent: Fri Jun 12 19:48:24 2009
Subject: Bravo

Folks: the secretary's video was a huge success. Thanks so much to our EAP team for getting it done and to the Manila PAS team for getting it out on a holiday. It was a terrific message.

Am just leaving the Palace independence day fete (looking stiff in my filipiana) where the President grabbed me to say "please thank Secretary Clinton for that wonderful message. No one has ever done that before and it really showed that the United States cares about us". Secretary Romulo stopped me and said "I heard secretary Clinton's message over the radio this morning and it was beautiful.".

Everyone from the gate guards to shopping mall vendors stopping me to say they saw/heard Secretary's message. People are literally thrilled and stunned that our Secretary of State would reach out in such a personal fashion.

Bravo to all of you who made that happen.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 6:46 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: NK terror list

Just heard from Rich Verma that Brownback has placed a hold on Kurt Campbell until he gets a vote on restoring NK to the terrorism sponsorship list. I've made sure Steinberg knows.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 6:53 PM
To: H; Oscar Flores

[redacted] wants to come at 8:30am Sunday to see you Ok? B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 1:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: [redacted] B6

Fyi

From: Margaret V. W. Carpenter [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills <[redacted]
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Sat Jun 13 12:18:43 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Cheryl,

I finally connected with [redacted] by phone again this morning. I reiterated our interest in talking with B6

[redacted]

Margaret

Margaret Carpenter

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 5:52 PM
To: H
Subject: 6:45pm conference call

You should call into ops when u get in car. They will patch you into Jake and cheryl. I told them 6:45pm.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 8:36 PM
To: Strobe Talbott; H
Subject: Re: Questions

Thanks Strobe -- just saw your email. I know [redacted] and Cheryl and I will follow up.

Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

-----Original Message-----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]

Date: Sun, 14 Jun 2009 19:59:52
To: H<HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: RE: Questions

Thanks, Hillary. I'm attaching the CV of [redacted] and have also sent it to Jake. I'd have loved to attend the India lunch, but I've got a long-standing working lunch with the "Managing Global Insecurity" project at Brookings that Carlos Pascual set up and has been leading. Tom Pickering, Tim Wirth, Chet Crocker, Esther Brimmer, and various other such will be there, and I can't pull out (my office had passed this word to yours). I'm so pleased you're going to India. It was your trip to the region, with Chelsea, in 95 that established the momentum for Bill's. I'll always remember you talk (with slide show) at State after you returned. I'll send you tomorrow my book "Engaging India" now that you're re-engaging them. Hard work ahead of on non-pro, as you know. Hope to see you before long. I'm taking [redacted] to Italy and Turkey for two weeks next Saturday. Warmest regards, Strobe B6 B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 7:47 PM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Questions

Strobe--I would love the name of the young man. Just send to me and I will circulate. Will you be coming to our India lunch tomorrow? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 08:08:14 2009
Subject: RE: Questions

also, I've got great young guy (30ish) to suggest for the assistant position if you're interested in someone of huge promise and total dedication and immense intelligence..... again, don't know whether to do this one with you directly or with jake or Cheryl.....

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:06 AM

To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Questions

Are you free for a quick dinner or lunch in the next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott <[redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:12:06 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.
[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w[redacted] and sent greetings to you. B6

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 11:06 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: UN/Georgia

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 2:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Gordon Brown called... Sid

Gordon Brown called me today to convey his very best to you, etc, etc, taking the phone from Shaun, in the office they now work in together, right after Shaun briefed me that he and Gordon will be meeting with Martin McGuiness together on Wednesday and may want your help with Adams. I said that he and Gordon should let me know before Wednesday whether your involvement is essential and what they request. That is fine with them and Shaun will get back to me. Sid

Refinance and lower payments online with Ditech. Visit www.ditech.com Today!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 6:51 AM
To: H
Subject: Mitchell call confirmed for 7:30am.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:07 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes, 2:15pm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu Jun 11 08:06:43 2009
Subject: Schedule

Will I see Maggie's Mom today?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:43 AM
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin; Lissa Muscatine
Subject: CFR latest draft
Attachments: CFR for HRC 7.14.09 730 am.dat; ATT00001.txt

This is getting there. [redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 9:01 PM
To: H
Subject: I just dropped bfg book off at your house. [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 2:04 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: You were

FYI – Spoke to INR Team (about 100 folks) today b/c they were concerned about their value and folks impression of their work and commitment b/c of the Myers matter.

cdm

From: Dinger, John R
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 1:51 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: You were

perfect this morning. I'm getting many favorable and appreciative comments. Thanks.

John

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 9:16 PM
To: H

Left final schedule in car.
Isabelle set for 7:30am
Russians have not confirmed 730am call. Call sheet won't come till the morning.
Marianne set for 8:30pm tomorrow night.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:01 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

My question exactly - sent to bill

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:00 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 07:56:55 2009
Subject: FW: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

!?

From: Gorog, Andrea
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 6:21 AM
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-L; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-PM
Cc: SES-O_Shift-I
Subject: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

U.S. President Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

Kyrgyzstan will not reverse its decision to close a U.S. military air base which is used to supply American troops for Afghanistan occupation, Kyrgyz Foreign Minister Kadyrbek Sarbayev said on Thursday. U.S. President Barack Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

But Bakiyev's office said in a statement it had received a letter from Obama praising bilateral relations. "Moreover, Barack Obama expressed hope to further strengthen various forms of cooperation between the United States and Kyrgyzstan," the statement said without mentioning the air base issue. "The decision to annul all agreements on the Manas military air base has been taken and there is no turning back on this," he told the 24.kg news agency.

Andrea Görög

Watch Officer

Department of State Operations Center

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Questions

Sure - how to follow up? I'm on cell [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Thu Jun 11 08:05:57 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Are you free for a quick dinner or lunch in the next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:12:06 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.
[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w [redacted] and sent greetings to you.

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:08 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Questions

also, I've got great young guy (30ish) to suggest for the assistant position if you're interested in someone of huge promise and total dedication and immense intelligence..... again, don't know whether to do this one with you directly or with jake or Cheryl.....

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:06 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Questions

Are you free for a quick dinner or lunch in the next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:12:06 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.
[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w [redacted] and sent greetings to you.

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:11 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Today's Reflection

Will do!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Russo, Robert V
Sent: Thu Jun 11 08:03:41 2009
Subject: Fw: Today's Reflection

Rob--pls type this out in BIG print for me. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted]
To: H; Moore Minyon [redacted]
Sent: Wed Jun 10 20:13:00 2009
Subject: Today's Reflection

B6

"Speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves, for the rights of all who are destitute. Speak up and judge fairly; defend the rights of the poor and needy." Proverbs 31:8-9

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART B5

6.23.09

CONCEPT PAPER:
REMARKS TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

This concept paper offers a framework for your "big speech." We propose five parts to the speech:


B5

PART ONE: INTRODUCTION


B5

PART TWO: THE ENDS

B5



Our foreign policy must have clear priorities:



PART THREE: THE WAYS

B5

PART FOUR: THE MEANS

B5

Several approaches will serve as hallmarks of our foreign policy:

B5

PART FIVE: CONCLUSION

[Still working on concluding thoughts/ideas for ending]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 8:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Anything to report?

No news
richard asked you talk to you tonite.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Fri Jun 12 19:26:25 2009
Subject: Anything to report?

I'm on the way home.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 8:31 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 6/8/09

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **MONDAY MEETING w/ASSISTANT SECRETARIES**
10:00 am Principals Conference Room 7516

10:00 am **OFFICE TIME**
11:00 am Secretary's Office

11:00 am **MTG w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR A/S WESTERN**
11:30 am **HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS** Secretary's Office

11:40 am **DEPART** State Department *En route Sewall-Belmont House and Museum

11:50 am **ARRIVE** Sewall-Belmont House and Museum

11:55 am **2009 ALICE AWARD PRESENTATION**
12:30 pm Sewall-Belmont House and Museum

12:35 pm **DEPART** Sewall-Belmont House *En route State Department

12:45 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

12:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:40 pm Secretary's Office

1:40 pm **PRIVATE MTG w/ BAMBI ARELLANO**
2:00 pm Secretary's Office *Personnel mtg.

2:00 pm **PHOTO w/VISITING MIDDLE EAST DEMOCRACY ACTIVISTS**
2:10 pm Treaty Room

2:15 pm **MEETING w/MEMBERS OF U.S. COMMISSION ON**
2:45 pm **INTERNATIONAL RELIGIOUS FREEDOM**
Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray at top.

3:00 pm **BILATERAL w/INDONESIAN F.M. NOER HASSAN**
3:30 pm **WIRAJUDA** Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

3:30 pm **JOINT PRESS AVAILABILITY w/INDONESIAN FOREIGN MINISTER**
3:40 pm **NOER HASSAN WIRAJUDA** Treaty Room

3:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30pm **MEETING WITH ANNE-MARIE SLAUGHTER**
4:50pm Secretary's Office

4:50pm **OFFICE TIME**
6:00pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 8:44 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: the secretary's speech

See below - this guy who has been doing so much of the work is great - we lose him in aug b/c [redacted] - want to make sure you meet him !gf he goes. B6

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Muscatine, Lissa; Rooney, Megan
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri Jun 12 18:44:27 2009
Subject: the secretary's speech

I've been hearing really incredible feedback on the secretary's speech. One thing that people keep saying is that this is the first time they can recall a secretary getting "technical" on the issue. Often times leaders just tell feel good stories. I think we really took a big step forward by laying out our vision for food security through the seven areas of focus and how we'll work through country-led processes like CAADP. People also really like how Megan made that great connection between Ejeta's life work and our plan going forward. Megan did a great job laying out the lab to farm to market to table. That's exactly what we're going for and what hasn't been articulated well in the past. Let's keep the dialogue at a high level and really challenge people on this issue in the future – not just regurgitate USAID success stories like we did during the Bush years!

Best,
Matt

Matthew Stremlau, Ph.D.
Policy Planning Staff
Office of the Secretary of State
U.S. Department of State
Phone: 202-736-7308
[redacted] B6
Email: StremlauMH@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 2:57 PM
To: H
Subject: Gordon survives...

The Parliamentary Labour Party meeting is just over. Gordon has survived. The event, held in private, was high drama and anticlimax at once. The chair asked for rebels to come forward and speak. Only one prominent person emerged, Charles Clarke, former Home Secretary and Blairite; the rest were a handful of about a half-dozen backbenchers. Their remarks about how Brown was bringing the party down were poorly received. The party, after all, was in no mood for breaking ranks and rewarding disloyalty. With this paltry showing, the opposition petered out, at least for now. Gordon then gave a speech, strong on analysis, discussing that his government was paying the price for getting the country through a difficult recession, suffering from the worst parliamentary crisis since Lloyd George and still had a program to offer. He also make the point that when the party had split int he past it was over ideology or policy and there were no stated differences presented by the opposition. So for now, Gordon has won. Sid

An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2009 10:06 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Thanks

FYI

From: Buchanan, James E
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 6:36 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Dinger, John R
Subject: Thanks

Cheryl,

Thanks for talking to INR's people today. Your message was elegantly delivered and profoundly appreciated. Little could have done more for morale.

Jim Buchanan

DAS for Analysis

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 6:53 AM
To: H
Subject: 730 call with lavrov confirmed

I'm sending call sheet as soon as it comes. Jake suggesting that u might want to check in with jim steinberg before the call too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:25 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; H
Subject: Re: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

Soon as I saw it I emailed Bob Blake.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; 'H' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thu Jun 11 07:56:55 2009
Subject: FW: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

!?

From: Gorog, Andrea
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 6:21 AM
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-L; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-PM
Cc: SES-O_Shift-I
Subject: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

U.S. President Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

Kyrgyzstan will not reverse its decision to close a U.S. military air base which is used to supply American troops for Afghanistan occupation, Kyrgyz Foreign Minister Kadyrbek Sarbayev said on Thursday. U.S. President Barack Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

But Bakiyev's office said in a statement it had received a letter from Obama praising bilateral relations. "Moreover, Barack Obama expressed hope to further strengthen various forms of cooperation between the United States and Kyrgyzstan," the statement said without mentioning the air base issue. "The decision to annul all agreements on the Manas military air base has been taken and there is no turning back on this," he told the 24.kg news agency.

Andrea Görög
Watch Officer
Department of State Operations Center
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 7:38 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Friday Policy Review on Africa

Interesting take on China below.

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 7:21 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: Friday Policy Review

No – I wasn't invited to this. I can't anyway – I need to go over to USAID for an event we're hosting w/ IFPRI. S/P recently drafted a policy paper on Africa and I worked contributed a lot to that paper. I see one big issue missing from those questions – the issue of China in Africa. China is transforming the continent in a way not seen since the days of colonialism. [redacted] B5

[redacted] We should also think about China in the context of food security. There are one million Chinese farmers currently working in Africa! That's remarkable if you think about it. China has also bought nearly 3 million hectares of land in Africa and could become one of the largest sources of commercial farming on the continent. They are also building many of the roads that will transform transportation and the movement of agriculture products.

[redacted] B5

--Matt

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 10:19 PM
To: Stremlau, Matthew H
Subject: FW: Friday Policy Review

Are you coming?

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 4:28 PM
To: SES_DepSecysMeeting
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Hembry, Marcella G; Chollet, Derek H; Carson, Johnnie; Carter, Phillip X; Smith, Pamela (AID/ES)
Subject: RE: Friday Policy Review

Attached please find the questions for tomorrow's policy review.

<< File: Questions for Africa Seminar.doc >>

From: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:22 PM
To: SES_DepSecysMeeting
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Hembry, Marcella G; Chollet, Derek H; Carson, Johnnie; Carter, Phillip X
Subject: Friday Policy Review

This Friday's policy review meeting will focus on sub-Saharan Africa. It will be held from 9:15-10:15 in the Deputy's Conference Room. S/P will circulate questions for discussion in advance of the meeting. Those bureaus that have relevant issues/concerns should send an appropriate representative to the meeting. Please do not send more than one representative without prior approval.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:35 AM
To: H
Subject: call fell off; more to discuss re: UK govt info

Shop Dell's full line of Laptops now starting at $349!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 10:59 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Cornwall port of entry

Tom and I started working to confirm this afternoon. I've talked to Miguel and once we know for sure we'll pass along.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Jun 13 22:52:45 2009
Subject: Cornwall port of entry

Will you check what the Canadians told us about the bridge being open and, if true, be sure Schumer and Gillibrand are told.

RELEASE
IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 6:19 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Thank you...again

Fyi

From: Manatos & Manatos [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 08 15:45:16 2009
Subject: Thank you...again

Secretary Clinton's remarks at her Friday press conference with the Turkish Foreign Minister continued this Administration's excellent efforts to restore the credibility of the U.S. with Greece and Cyprus – which will lead to important progress in the region.

As you know, the Bush Administration consistently hid and defended actions by Turkey that were injurious to Cyprus and Greece, inconsistent with American values and principals, and also not in Turkey's best interests.

We highlighted for the top leaders in our community that Secretary Clinton once again in front of the Turkish Foreign Minister, called for a "bi-zonal and bi-communal federation" for Cyprus as well as expressed the United States' strong support for "freedom of religion."

With Secretary Clinton and others doing these kinds of things, America is moving to correct the biggest road block to a Cyprus settlement – restoring American credibility with the Greek-Cypriots which was badly lost during the Bush Administration.

Keep up the great work!

Andy Manatos and ***Mike Manatos***

THE NATIONAL COORDINATED EFFORT OF HELLENES (CEH)

1100 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 393-7790 [fax] (202) 628-0225
E-mail: CoordinatedEffort@Manatos.com

Remarks With Turkish Foreign Minister Ahmet Davutoglu
Hillary Rodham Clinton
Secretary of State
Treaty Room
Washington, DC
June 5, 2009

SECRETARY CLINTON: Good afternoon. Minister Davutoglu and I just had a very productive, broad-ranging, comprehensive discussion. And it is a great privilege to welcome him here to the State Department within the very first weeks of his having been appointed foreign minister. Of course, I was very honored to have gone to Turkey very early in my term as Secretary of State, and President Obama had a wonderful visit to Turkey.

And all of that is to confirm the strength and importance of our partnership and alliance. We have a lot of work to do together. Turkey, the United States, and the entire global community certainly face a great number of challenges, but we also see opportunities. So our message coming out of the meeting today and our prior meetings is that we're going to deepen and strengthen our cooperation on an ongoing basis, because we believe that both Turkey and the United States have unique roles to play.

Now, we obviously already collaborate. Not only are we both members of NATO, but we are working with the G-20 to respond to the global economic crisis, we're exploring ways to enhance our trade and commerce between our two countries, we're working to develop new energy sources, including resources from the Caucasus and Central Asia. We're partners in the fight against global terrorism. We share the goal of a stable Pakistan and Afghanistan, and to deny al-Qaida safe haven that can threaten our countries and many others. We support Turkey in its fight against the terrorist activities of the PKK, which has been a very important cooperation.

And I underscored again today the United States' strong support for Turkey's bid to become a member of the European Union. Turkey has made significant progress toward membership. It's been in a process of reform that is generated by its own internal decisions but which has certainly responded to many of the concerns regarding the strength of the bid that Turkey had. And so we applaud what Turkey has already done and pledge our efforts to continue working with Turkey.

And so we ranged across a broad number of issues, and I want to just make a special note. As President Obama said yesterday in Cairo, the United States is committed to broad engagement with Muslims everywhere across the globe based on mutual interests and mutual respect. **We believe strongly in the freedom of religion and expression, in vibrant civil societies, and we know that those are values that Turkey shares**.

And I want to thank the minister and his government for the role that Turkey plays as a force for peace and stability. This is important, and it's already been demonstrated in the work that Turkey has done for a number of years and continues with respect to comprehensive peace in the Middle East. And we are strongly supportive of the Turkish efforts to normalize relations with Armenia, and we are also very strongly supportive of the efforts to resolve the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict.

We discussed Cyprus, which is an issue that the President also addressed when he was in Turkey in April. The two Cypriot leaders have an opportunity through their commitment to negotiations under the United Nations Good Offices Missions, and the United States is willing to help the parties. We want to work toward **a settlement that reunifies Cyprus into a bi-zonal and bi-communal federation**.

We discussed many, many concerns, and I'm just grateful for the commitment by the minister and by his government to play an active role in our dynamic world. Our relationship is not just about security; it is about seizing these opportunities, and I look forward to working with you.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 4:13 PM
To: H
Subject: Notes

David miliband called and asking to talk in next few hours. Says topic for call is Iran in run-up to meeting of EU Foreign Ministers. I'm getting points from jake/dennis/phil.
Also, I set up conf call for you, cheryl and jake for 6:45pm so u can do en route airport. Ok?
I came to dc earlier and am taking my mom to airport right now. Worked out seating with usss so you are set. Tomorrow [redacted] coming at 7:30 with [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2009 1:12 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.

[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w [redacted] and sent greetings to you.

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 9:58 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; H
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

Yes - you signed one to him this afternoon on your personal stationery. I was planning to put it in the mail tomorrow morning.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:52:52 2009
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

I believe rob prepared one today for you to sign. He will confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:48:26 2009
Subject: Adam Parkhomenko

Can you check and see if I sent a letter to him this week? Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 2:41 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Ambassador's Fund for Cultural Preservation

Per your request about ambassadors fund - pat responded yesterday.

Cdm

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri Jun 12 15:22:15 2009
Subject: FW: Ambassador's Fund for Cultural Preservation

Mission The U.S. Ambassador's Fund for Cultural Preservation, funded through the Diplomatic and Consular Program appropriation, was established in FY 2001. The Ambassador's Fund for Cultural Preservation provides direct small grant support to heritage preservation in developing countries, demonstrating U.S. respect for other cultures.

The Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs administers the program awarding grants managed by U.S. Embassies in eligible countries. Grants have ranged from $5,000 to $978,705. To date, the Ambassadors Fund for Cultural Preservation has supported more than 500 projects worldwide, totaling $11.5 million. Funded projects include technical support for the preservation of historic buildings and sites, museum collections and forms of traditional cultural expression.

Priorities In FY 2008, U.S. Ambassadors in 94 of the 132 eligible countries in the developing world responded to the call for proposals of projects supporting cultural preservation. A total of $4,731,431 was distributed in awards of grants in 72 countries and $228,000 was spent on administrative costs.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 4:43 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Status of Update Memo for S on Shanghai

Fyi

From: Balderston, Kris M
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Laszczych, Joanne
Sent: Mon Jun 15 16:40:01 2009
Subject: FW: Status of Update Memo for S on Shanghai

Cheryl

We are gathering info on the memo on the participation agreement which I will have up to you soon. It has taken a bit to get the right people to answer the specific questions you asked about previous agreements.

In regard to the plan memo, we will have a more detailed plan for you tomorrow. We have been waiting for the recommendations of the new structural group that was set up in NYC last Friday afternoon (Lanny, the Chamber of Commerce, the Committee of 100, and others). We are getting a de-brief on their plans and new structure tomorrow.

In our calls to GE's Jeff Immelt, the Chamber, and others we learned that it would not be viable to get 20 CEO's into Washington with such short notice. The Secretary called us in last Friday and said that she was game for doing some additional calls which everyone in the business community thinks is a more efficient way to get this done. We are holding a meeting on Friday with the business community (up to 75 Washington reps) and would follow it up with individual assignments. We could still build an event with CEOs around late July Strategic Dialogue.

We will get you a more detailed plan with all of the potential events and assignments.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 3:10 PM
To: Balderston, Kris M; Bagley, Elizabeth F
Cc: Laszczych, Joanne
Subject: Status of Update Memo for S on Shanghai

Kris/Elizabeth:

Not sure if I missed your email or hard copy but was looking for a plan memo on Friday for this that I wanted to share with S.

Can you advise on status?
cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 10:43 PM
To: H
Subject: Never mind last email - just saw I was looking at Jim's calendar

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 7:18 AM
To: H

Call sheet on its way.
Steinberg has cleared

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 6:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Russia vetoes U.N. Observer Mission

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Mon Jun 15 18:32:48 2009
Subject: Russia vetoes U.N. Observer Mission

(SBU) Media report Russia vetoed the Western-backed resolution to extend the U.N. observer mission in Georgia.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:33 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Search

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:14:40 2009
Subject: Search

Pls pull for me the speeches I and/or Bill gave about health care to any doctor group in the 90s.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 11:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: The House vote on passage of the supplemental was 226-202

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Jun 16 21:29:17 2009
Subject: FW: The House vote on passage of the supplemental was 226-202

For the Secretary, if you think this would be of interest to her. See the list of House Dems voting no and R's voting yes below:

Democrats voting no:
Baldwin
Conyers
Capuano
Doggett
Edwards
Farr
Ellison
Filner
Grayson
Honda
Grijalva
Kaptur
Kucinich,
Lofgren,
Lee (CA)
Massa
McGovern
Payne
Michaud
Pingree
Polis
Shea-porter
Serrano
Sherman
Waters
Welch
Watson
Woolsey

Republicans voting yes
Cao
Kirk
King

McHugh
Miller

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 9:53 AM
To: H
Subject: fyi

FYI, Gary Sick's latest analysis on Iran below (posted on his blog). Sick has independent sources and is very well informed.

June 17, 2009
Is this another Iranian revolution?

To someone who has watched and studied the Iranian revolution of 1979 with fascination, developments in Iran today have an eerie reminiscence. Then there were massive protests that filled the streets, often marching in dignified but ominous silence; there was bloodshed as nervous security men with guns faced determined but unarmed crowds; there were sullen mourning parades;20there were catchy chants and ritual calls of "Down with the shah!"; at night the rooftops rang with shouts of *allahu akbar*.

You need only change "shah" to "dictator," and you have a description of what is happening in Iran today.

But there is one very big difference. Thirty years ago, Iran had a charismatic cleric named Ayatollah Khomeini who had a refined sense of strategy and a willingness to risk everything for the cause he represented. On the other side was Mohammed Reza Shah, who had been on the throne for some 37 years and who commanded one of the most powerful military and security regimes in the world. On the surface it appeared to be an uneven battle – guns against turbans – but the ruler with the guns wavered and the turbans grew in size and confidence until the old order collapsed.

Today the nominal leader of the opposition forces is a reformed radical, Mir Hossein Mousavi, who is notably lacking in personal charisma. On the other side is the constitutional Leader, Ayatollah Khamene`i, who is widely perceived as a cautious political animal with limited qualifications for his religious post and who compensates for his own lack of charisma by manipulation of the political system and the institutions most loyal to him – particularly the politically minded clergy and the powerful security forces, including the Revolutionary Guards.

Neither of these men seems to be fully in control of their own forces, let alone the situation. The fraudulent election defeat of Mousavi was a triggering event, but the energy behind these unprecedented demonstrations is due more to the sense of outrage and betrayal at the gross manipulation of numbers by the regime than it is about any undying devotion to Mousavi. Yesterday Mousavi ordered that people stay home in face of a conflicting pro-regime demonstration. They marched anyway (and the daughter of former president Rafsanjani joined them and urged them on). Mousavi then "ordered" everyone to march the following day (which they were probably going to do with or without his orders).

Khamene`i announced the "divine assessment" of the election outcome – even before it would probably have been physically possible to count the more than 40 million ballots. Then, in the face of mass protests, he ordered an investigation of the results by the Guardian Council– the same organization that was responsible for managing the election in the first place. No one believed him in either case, and no one expected anything of importance from the Guardian Council. Khamene`i has ordered peace and reconciliation. No one paid any attention.

I deliberately did not mention president-elect Ahmadinejad. After celebrating his "victory," he went off to a largely symbolic meeting in Moscow. He seems to be out of the decision loop and more of a passive player than a major actor in these events.

So who is calling the shots? Mousavi seems to be running along after the crowd, not leading it. But that is probably all that is required to keep the protest in motion.

On the other side, the very little evidence we have suggests that the important decisions are being made by the ultra-conservative leadership of the Revolutionary Guards, whose political role has ballooned over the past decade, perhaps in cooperation with their extremist counterparts in the clergy. They are utterly ruthless and ideologically fueled.

New York Times columnist Nick Kristoff reminded us several days ago (see blog item on June 15 below) ***at the end of the day, as I saw at Tiananmen 20 years ago, when Might and Right do battle, it's often prudent to bet on Might, at least in the short run.***

The regime seems to have miscalculated badly. They seemed to believe that a sudden coup – the announcement of dramatic election results followed by a show of force – would intimidate and silence the opposition and consolidate their control. That is perhaps more understandable if the decisions were being made by military leaders who tend to see the battle in Manichaean terms, rather than politicians such as Khamene`i who are accustomed to seeing shades of gray. In any event, it b ackfired and they now have a much larger crisis on their hands than if they had simply arranged for Ahmadinejad to win by a slim margin (which was at least believable).

All parties are now in uncharted territory. A significant portion of the Iranian population seems to have concluded that their social contract with the rulers – accepting Islamic rule in return for a respectful regard for the opinion of the governed, an Islamic Republic – is no longer valid. They do not trust their rulers.

The rulers, whose support has been declining for years in the face of their own inept management of the country, are increasingly replacing popular support with repression. This election seems to have called that tactic into question. For the military, the obvious answer is more repression. So it would appear to be a moment to bet on Might.

But nobody is fully in command of events. Decisions taken in the next weeks will be fateful and could determine the future path of the Iranian revolution.

There is another lesson as Iran's leaders contemplate a Tiananmen moment. When the Tiananmen crackdown occurred almost exactly ten years ago, one of the leaders of China at the time was Zhao Ziyang, General Secretary of the Communist Party. He was later fired and placed under house arrest. His smuggled memoirs have just appeared, revealing the depth of disagreements within the lea dership about how to proceed.

Is it possible that ten years from now we will have a volume describing the intense debates that one can only presume are underway today in the highest councils of the Islamic Republic of Iran?

Dell Days of Deals! June 15-24 - A New Deal Everyday!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 4:31 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Clinton - same sex

See below

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Jun 19 03:27:16 2009
Subject: Fw: Clinton - same sex

I'm sure S has seen this, but in case she hasn't, it shd be good medicine. It could not b more positive.

From: Labott, Elise [redacted] B6
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Thu Jun 18 22:27:46 2009
Subject: Clinton - same sex

Clinton blazes trail on same sex benefits <http://ac360.blogs.cnn.com/2009/06/18/clinton-blazes-trail-on-same-sex-benefits/>

Posted: 07:18 PM ET

Share <javascript:cnnShowOverlay('cnnShare42735');> | Permalink <http://ac360.blogs.cnn.com/2009/06/18/clinton-blazes-trail-on-same-sex-benefits/> | 2 Comments <http://ac360.blogs.cnn.com/2009/06/18/clinton-blazes-trail-on-same-sex-benefits/#comments> | Add a comment <http://ac360.blogs.cnn.com/2009/06/18/clinton-blazes-trail-on-same-sex-benefits/#addcomment>

Elise Labott
CNN State Department Producer

Yesterday's groundbreaking move by President Obama to provide some benefits to same-sex partners of federal employees came to fruition in large part due to Secretary of Clinton, who first put the issue on the table.

It is unclear whether the Obama administration came to office planning to offer government-wide benefits to domestic partners of civil service employees, but Clinton, a longtime advocate of gay rights, was on it day one. Since President Obama named her as his pick for Secretary of State in November, Clinton's transition staff and the State Department had been working with members of the American Foreign Service Association and the group GLIFAA (Gays & Lesbians in Foreign Affairs Agencies) on what could be done to extend benefits to domestic partners of diplomats serving abroad.

At her very first senior staff meeting Clinton instructed the State Department to review whether she had the authority to extend benefits to same-sex domestic partners. About a week later a gay employee asked Clinton during a town hall with employees to eliminate discrimination against same sex partners. The Secretary of State drew loud applause when she said the issue was "of real concern" to her, and that she was already working on it.

Several weeks ago, a memo to employees from Clinton instituting the changes was leaked to the press. Clinton's aides at the time said the memo was a draft, and that Clinton couldn't change policies without an "interagency review" of the issue to make sure State Department actions wouldn't negatively affect other agencies. But the memo seemed to light a fire under the administration, which quickly caught up to Clinton's lead.

Still, the health benefits announced Wednesday for same sex partners of non-State Department civil employees is a drop in the bucket compared to the long list of other benefits the State Department extended to the gay partners of US diplomats serving abroad. In addition to health benefits and access to medical and emergency evacuation, domestic partners will also get diplomatic passports, training at the Foreign Service Institute and housing allowances. Same sex partners will get the same preference for US embassy jobs that spouses currently enjoy, and the State Department will now work with foreign governments to provide same-sex domestic partners, to the extent possible, with diplomatic visas, work permits and other privileges, including diplomatic immunity. The State Department will even pay for them to fly home if a relative is gravely ill. Few of these benefits are currently offered to domestic partners.

Although the Foreign Service has difference rules and regulations which allow the State Department to do more in the first place, Clinton extended the full range of legally available benefits and allowances to same sex partners of members of the Foreign Service. In a statement issued Thursday acknowledging the support partners provide to overseas posts, Clinton said, "domestic partners of federal employees have for too long been treated unequally."

Clinton knows the move is also good business. Today's US diplomats serving around the world are increasingly having to shed their pinstripe suits and get dirty in the field, which requires a whole different skill set. These days the State Department is competing for the same Arabic and Farsi speakers and technology gurus as top notch multinational companies, where domestic partner benefits and allowances are increasingly the norm. Clinton herself noted if the State Department wants to remain a "word class employer" which attracts and retains high caliber personnel, the change is "the smart thing to do."

Elise Labott
CNN State Department Producer
(202) 515-2881 office
[redacted]
(202) 822-9331 fax
[redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2009 2:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Jim

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Jun 20 13:54:18 2009
Subject: Jim

Has talked with Ben. So he is now vested. PJ

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 8:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Best analysis so far of Iranian vote. Sid

Yesterday, June 21, Chatham House in UK published a detailed study of the Iranian vote, concluding from close analysis that the election results were a fraud. This is the most definitive objective analysis so far. Sid

http://www.chathamhouse.org.uk/files/14234_iranelection0609.pdf

This paper is published by Chatham House and
the Institute of Iranian Studies, University of St
Andrews
Preliminary Analysis of the Voting
Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential
Election
Editor:
Professor Ali Ansari, Director, Institute of Iranian Studies,
University of St Andrews; Associate Fellow, Middle East and
North Africa Programme, Chatham House; author, 'Iran,
Islam and Democracy'
Research and Analysis:
Daniel Berman and Thomas Rintoul, Institute of Iranian
Studies, University of St Andrews
21 June 2009
Chatham House is independent and owes no allegiance to government or to any political body. It does not hold opinions of its own; the views expressed in this text are the responsibility of the authors. This document is issued on the understanding that if any extract is used, the authors and Chatham House should be credited, preferably with the date of the publication.

Executive Summary
Working from the province by province breakdowns of the 2009 and 2005 results, released by the Iranian Ministry of Interior, and from the 2006 census as published by the official Statistical Centre of Iran, the following observations about the official data and the debates surrounding it can be made.
· In two conservative provinces, Mazandaran and Yazd, a turnout of more than 100% was recorded.
· At a provincial level, there is no correlation between the increased turnout and the swing to Ahmadinejad. This challenges the notion that Ahmadinejad's victory was due to the massive participation of a previously silent conservative majority.
· In a third of all provinces, the official results would require that Ahmadinejad took not only all former conservative voters, all former

centrist voters, and all new voters, but also up to 44% of former Reformist voters, despite a decade of conflict between these two groups.

· In 2005, as in 2001 and 1997, conservative candidates, and Ahmadinejad in particular, were markedly unpopular in rural areas. That the countryside always votes conservative is a myth. The claim that this year Ahmadinejad swept the board in more rural provinces flies in the face of these trends.

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 3

1. Irregularities in Voter Turnout

Two provinces show a turnout of over 100% and four more show a turnout of over 90%. Regional variations in participation have disappeared. There is no correlation between the increase in participation, and the swing to Ahm adinejad.

Firstly, across the board there is a massive increase in turnout, with several provinces increasing their participation rate by nearly 75%. This increase results in substantially less variation in turnout between provinces, with the standard deviation amongst provincial turnouts falling by just over 23% since 2005. The 2005 results show a substantial turnout gap, with seven provinces recording turnout below 60%, and ten above 70%. In 2009, only two were below 70% and 24 were above 80%. In fact, 21 out of 30 provinces had turnouts within 5% of 83%. The data seems to suggest that regional variations in participation have suddenly disappeared.

This makes the evident lack of any sort of correlation between the provinces that saw an increase in turnout and those that saw a swing to Ahmadinejad (Fig.1) all the more unusual. There is no significant correlation between the increase in participation for a given province and the swing to Ahmadinejad (Fig.1). This lack of correlation makes the argument that an increase in participation by a previously silent conservative majority won the election for Ahmadinejad somewhat problematic.

Furthermore, there are concerns about the numbers themselves. Two provinces, Mazandaran and Yazd, have results which indicate that more votes were cast on 12 June than there were eligible voters and that four more provinces had turnouts in the mid-nineties.

In a country where allegations of 'tombstone voting' – the practice20of using the identity documents of the deceased to cast additional ballots – are both longstanding and widespread, this result is troubling but perhaps not unexpected.

This problem did not start with Ahmadinejad; according to official statistics gathered by the International institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance in Stockholm, there were 12.9% more registered voters at the time of Mohammed Khatami's 2001 victory than there were citizens of voting age.

In conclusion, a number of aspects of the reported turnout figures are problematic. The massive increases from 2005, the collapse of regional variations, and the absence of any clear correlation between increases in turnout and increased support for any candidate on their own make the results problematic.

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 4

Source: Ministry of Interior Publications 2005 and 2009 (See appendix)

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 5

2. Where did Ahmadinejad's New Votes Come From?

According to the official Ministry of Interior voting data (see Appendix), Mahmud Ahmadinejad has increased the conservative vote by 113% compared to the 2005 election. There is little correlation in provincial level results between the increase in turnout and the swing to the President, challenging the notion that a previously silent conservative majority has come out to support him. Interestingly, in 10 out of 30 provinces, mainly former Mehdi Karrubi strongholds, the official data suggests that Ahmadinejad received not only the votes of all former non-voters and former Rafsanjani voters, but also took up to 44% of the vote from those who had previously voted Reformist.

According to the official data1, Mahmud Ahmadinejad has received approximately 13m more votes in this election than the combined conservative vote in the 2005 Presidential election2.

Assuming that Ahmadinejad retained all 11.5m Conservative votes from 2005, these additional 13m votes could have come from three sources, in descending order of likelihood:

· The approximately 10.6m citizens who did not vote in 2005, but chose to vote in this election
· The 6.2m citizens who voted for the centrist Rafsanjani in 2005
· The 10.4m citizens who voted for Reformist candidates in 2005

In order to examine in detail where Ahmadinejad's increased support came from, the table below (Fig.3) shows the composition of the 2009 vote by province, dividing it into those who voted Conservative, Rafsanjani, and Reformist in 2005, and those who did not vote at all in 2005. It assumes that 2005 voters will vote again.

The table demonstrates that in the 10 of Iran's 30 provinces highlighted red, in order for the official statistics to be correct Ahmadinejad would have needed to win over all new voters, all former Rafsanjani voters, and also up to 44% of former reformist voters.

1 Serious complaints have been raised about both the 2005 elections and the 2009 elections. Government data is not perfectly reliable.

2 In 2005 there were three Conservative candidates in the first round. Ahmadinejad can reasonably be expected to have received in 2009, all votes cast for Conservatives in 2005. Here, Ahmadinejad's 2009 performance is compared to the combined performance of all three Conservatives in 2005.

It is notable that many of these are provinces where the Reformist cleric Mehdi Karrubi polled highly in 2005, and the government's figures would appear to suggest that his former supporters have not voted tactically for the likely Reformist challenger Mir Hussein Musavi, as many had expected, but rather that they have defected to the hard-line conservative incumbent Ahmadinejad. This interpretation is to some extent supported by the relationship between the percentage of former Karrubi voters in a province, and its swing to Ahmadinejad (Fig.2).

To many Reformists, this situation is extremely unlikely. Mehdi Karrubi is a well-known reformist, of polar opposite views to Ahmadinejad on issues of political and cultural freedoms, economic management, and foreign policy. They allege fraud, and it is likely that the provinces where Karrubi's vote has collapsed will provide the bulk of the 600+ complaints which the defeated c andidates are lodging against the conduct of the election.

However, Karrubi, like Ahmadinejad, is seen as a 'man of the people', and Ahmadinejad is as much a re-incarnation of the Islamic Republic's early hard left as he is a leader of its current hard-right. Ahmadinejad's supporters thus claim that rural voters voted for Ahmadinejad in 2009 for precisely the same reasons that they voted for Karrubi in 2005.

The data offers no arbitration in this dispute, although Roukema's application of statistical fraud detection techniques to the 'by district' data has turned up some anomalies with respect to the figures for Karrubi's vote,3 which may suggest that they were created by a computer. These can be followed up should the fully disaggregated 'by polling station' data be released during the ongoing dispute.

3 B Roukena, 'Benford's Law Anomalies in the 2009 Iranian Presidential Election' (as yet unpublished article, 2009).

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 7

Source: Ministry of Interior Publications 2005 and 2009 (See appendix)

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 8

Potential sources of new votes for Ahmadinejad (Fig.3)

Province
Ahmadinejad
Voters '09
Ahmadinejad
Voters '05
Other
Conservative
Voters '05
Non-Voters
'05
Rafsanjani
Voters '05
Reformist **Voters '05**
Assumed Take
of Former
Reformist
Voters
East
Azerbaijan
1,131,111 198,417 150,235 684,745 268,954 690,784 -24.79%
West
Azerbaijan
623,946 75,319 156,724 520,896 151,525 409,798 -68.45%
Ardebil 325,911 34,090 114,038 161,510 95,490 232,505 -34.07%
Isfahan 1,799,255 801,635 271,861 854,867 260,858 423,098 -92.17%
Ilam 199,654 32,383 47,865 20,165 40,580 168,179 34.88%
Bushehr 299,357 82,376 55,169 81,202 97,412 171,637 -9.79%
Tehran 3,819,495 1,500,829 860,548 2,424,653 1,274,276 1,345,533 -166.54%
Chaharmahal
& Bakhtiari
359,578 90,960 87,195 126,366 59,521 128,451 -3.48%
Southern
Khorasan

285,984 101,638 54,759 95,657 57,244 71,939 -32.41%
Razavi
Khorasan
2,214,801 377,732 956,641 638,925 527,707 656,736 -43.58%
Northern
Khorasan
341,104 22,954 116,991 115,487 70,407 135,090 11.30%
Khuzestan 1,303,129 232,874 206,798 543,802 319,921 707,428 -0.04%
Zanjan 444,480 93,309 94,234 132,237 110,698 150,062 9.33%
Semnan 295,177 98,024 65,249 90,128 69,773 56,344 -49.69%
Sistan &
Baluchistan
450,269 47,743 93,559 117,432 155,147 563,454 6.46%
Fars 1,758,026 242,535 334,925 738,209 403,074 786,195 5.00%
Qazvin 498,061 118,414 102,048 188,868 108,928 168,013 -12.02%
Qom 422,457 256,110 36,686 125,178 104,004 67,557 -147.31%
Kordestan 315,689 22,353 59,174 251,014 54,004 211,918 -33.44%
Kerman 1,160,446 129,284 333,275 337,502 480,271 215,357 -55.67%
Kermanshah 573,568 70,117 137,472 251,113 137,010 374,100 -5.92%
Kohgilouye &
Boyerahmad
253,962 34,396 72,565 53,615 56,154 148,985 24.99%
Golestan 515,211 56,776 129,856 156,256 155,498 358,715 4.69%
Gilan 998,573 149,026 221,632 465,190 215,478 420,258 -12.55%
Lorestan 677,829 69,710 101,394 162,848 121,130 500,859 44.47%
Mazandaran 1,289,257 159,291 581,654 581,269 311,949 270,104 -127.69%
Markezi 572,988 161,669 89,086 200,024 143,118 184,172 -11.35%
Hormozegan 482,990 81,054 103,487 136,459 75,601 340,740 25.35%
Hamedan 765,723 195,030 96,988 218,400 175,997 322,938 24.56%
Yazd 337,178 175,206 76,209 150,781 77,924 123,828 -115.44%
Totals 24,515,209 5,711,254 5,808,317 10,624,798 6,179,653 10,404,777
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 9

3. Do Rural Voters Support Ahmadinejad?

Many commentators have suggested, before and after the Presidential Election, that Ahmadinejad is very popular in rural areas and that this explains his high levels of support. However, the data from the 2005 Presidential election does not support this contention. Instead, it confirms that what support Ahmadinejad did gain in 2005 was mainly from urban and suburban areas. The graphs below show the levels of support for Ahmadinejad against the rural population of the province in question (Fig.4) and Conservatives as a group (Fig.5).

Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 10

Source: Ministry of Interior Publications 2005 and 2009 and Iranian Census of 2006 (see appendix)

This data supports the con tention of academic experts on rural Iranian politics4 that rural voters have not been the dedicated Ahmadinejad supporters occasionally described in western media. This is supported by the fact that much of Iran's rural population is comprised of ethnic minorities: Lors, Baluch, Kurdish, and Arab amongst others. These ethnic minorities have a history both of voting Reformist and of voting for members of their own ethnic group. For

example, they were an important segment of Khatami's vote in 1997 and 2001 and voted largely for Karrubi and Mostafa Moin in 2005.
The 2009 data suggests a sudden shift in political support with precisely these rural provinces, which had not previously supported Ahmadinejad or any other conservative (Fig.5) showing substantial swings to Ahmadinejad (Fig.6). At the same time, the official data suggests that the vote for Mehdi Karrubi, who was extremely popular in these rural, ethnic minority areas in 2005, has collapsed entirely even in his home province of Lorestan, where his vote has gone from 440,247 (55.5%) in 2005 to just 44,036 (4.6%) in 2009. This is paralleled by an overall swing of 50.9% to Ahmadinejad, with official results suggesting that he has captured the support of 47.5% of those who cast their ballots for
4 Cf. Hooglund 'Iran's Rural Vote and Election Fraud' Agence Global, 17 June 2009. http://www.agenceglobal.com/Article.asp?Id=2034

Reformist candidates in 2005. This, more than any other result, is highly implausible, and has been the subject of much debate in Iran.
This increase in support for Ahmadinejad amongst rural and ethnic minoritiy voters is out of step with previous trends, extremely large in scale, and central to the question of why (or indeed whether) he won in June 2009.
Source: Ministry of Interior Publications 2005 and 2009 and Iranian Census of 2006 (see appendix)

Appendix

By Province Results for the 2009 Iranian Presidential Election
Source: Iranian Ministry of Interior 2009
Available at:
http://www.moi.ir/Portal/Home/ShowPage.aspx?Object=News&ID=e3dffc8f-9d5a-4a54-bbcd-74ce90361c62&LayoutID=b05ef124-0db1-4d33-b0b6-90f50139044b&CategoryID=832a711b-95fe-4505-8aa3-38f5e17309c9

Province	Ahmadinejad	Rezai	Karrubi	Musavi	Invalid	Total Votes Cast	Total Valid Votes
1 East Azerbaijan	1,131,111	16,920	7,246	837,858	17205	2,010,340	1,993,135
2 West Azerbaijan	623,946	12,199	21,609	656,508	20094	1,334,356	1,314,262
3 Ardebil	325,911	6,578	2,319	302,825	4372	642,005	637,633
4 Isfahan	1,799,255	51,788	14,579	746,697	25162	2,637,481	2,612,319
5 Ilam	199,654	5,221	7,471	96,826	3495	312,667	309,172
6 Bushehr	299,357	7,608	3,563	177,268	6193	493,989	487,796
7 Tehran	3,819,495	147,487	67,334	3,371,523	115701	7,521,540	7,405,839
8 Chaharmahal & Bakhtia ri	359,578	22,689	4,127	106,099	2953	495,446	492,493
9 Southern							

Khorasan 285,984 3,962 928 90,363 1920 383,157 381,237
10
Razavi
Khorasan 2,214,801 44,809 13,561 884,570 24240 3,181,981 3,157,741
11
Northern
Khorasan 341,104 4,129 2,478 113,218 3072 464,001 460,929
12 Khuzestan 1,303,129 139,124 15,934 552,636 28022 2,038,845 2,010,823
13 Zanjan 444,480 7,276 2,223 126,561 5,181 585,721 580,540
14 Semnan 295,177 4,440 2,147 77,754 3790 383,308 379,518
15
Sistan &
Baluchistan 450,269 6,616 12,504 507,946 5585 982,920 977,335
16 Fars 1,758,026 23,871 16,277 706,764 18359 2,523,297 2,504,938
17 Qazvin 498,061 7,978 2,690 177,542 6084 692,355 686,271
18 Qom 422,457 16,297 2,314 148,467 9505 599,040 589,535
19 Kordestan 315,689 7,140 13,862 261,772 12293 610,756 598,463
20 Kerman 1,160,446 12,016 4,977 318,250 10125 1,505,814 1,495,689
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 13
21 Kermanshah 573,568 11,258 10,798 374,188 13610 983,422 969,812
22
Kohgilouye
&
Boyerahmad 253962 8542 4274 98937 2311 368,026 365,715
23 Golestan 515,211 5,987 10,097 325,806 14266 871,367 857,101
24 Gilan 998,573 12,022 7,183 453,806 11674 1,483,258 1,471,584
25 Lorestan 677,829 14,920 44,036 219,156 8329 964,270 955,941
26 Mazandaran 1,289,257 19,587 10,050 585,373 15571 1,919,838 1,904,267
27 Markezi 572,988 10,057 4,675 190,349 7889 785,958 778,069
28 Hormozegan 482,990 7,237 5,126 241,988 5683 743,024 737,341
29 Hamedan 765,723 13,117 12,032 218,481 9816 1,019,169 1,009,353
30 Yazd 337,178 8,406 2,565 255,799 5908 609,856 603,948
Totals 24,515,209 659,281 328,979 13,225,330 418,408 39,147,207 38,728,799
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 14
By Province Electoral Results for 2005
Source: Ministry of Interior 2005
Available at: http://psephos.adam-carr.net/countries/i/iran/iran20052.txt
Province Ahmadinejad Karrubi Larijani Mehralizadeh Moin Qalibaf Rafsajani Totals
Azarbaijan-e
Sharqi
198,417 121,969 28,075 378,604 190,211 122,160 268,954 1,308,390
Azarbaijan-e
Gharbi 75,319 99,766 15,435 163,091 146,941 141,289 151,525 793,366
Ardabil 34,090 53,906 7,766 111,465 67,134 106,272 95,490 476,123
Esfahan 801,635 196,512 73,452 30,325 196,261 198,409 260,858 1,757,452
Ilam 32,383 108,627 6,783 3,026 56,526 41,082 40,580 289,007
Bushehr 82,376 98,148 8,207 4,942 68,547 46,962 97,412 406,594
Tehran 1,500,829 415,187 246,167 281,748 648,598 614,381 1,274,276 4,981,186
Chahar Mahal
va Bakhtiari 90,960 75,044 23,127 5,051 48,356 64,068 59,521 366,127

Khorasan-e
Janubi
101,638 27,705 5,716 4,958 39,276 49,043 57,244 285,580
Khorasan-e
Razavi 377,732 297,967 78,976 33,488 325,281 877,665 527,707 2,518,816
Khorasan-e
Shomali
22,954 89,551 16,900 8,209 37,330 100,091 70,407 345,442
Khuzestan 232,874 538,735 58,564 20,164 148,529 148,234 319,921 1,467,021
Zanjan 93,309 62,845 22,869 18,568 68,649 71,365 110,698 448,303
Semnan 98,024 25,899 28,190 3,873 26,572 37,059 69,773 289,390
Sistan va
Baluchestan
47,743 77,017 24,954 7,312 479,125 68,605 155,147 859,903
Fars 242,535 546,633 61,383 22,440 217,122 273,542 403,074 1,766,729
Qazvin 118,414 81,569 24,649 18,078 68,366 77,399 108,928 497,403
Qom 256,110 25,282 10,894 14,451 27,824 25,792 104,004 464,357
Kurdestan 22,353 111,249 10,261 7,785 92,884 48,913 54,004 347,449
Kerman 129,284 152,764 221,219 9,697 52,896 112,056 480,271 1,158,187
Kermanshah 70,117 254,780 22,033 12,516 106,804 115,439 137,010 718,699
Kohgiluyeh va
Buyer Ahmad 34,396 96,459 20,306 1,572 50,954 52,259 56,154 312,100
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 15
Golestan 56,776 193,570 42,334 8,283 156,862 87,522 155,498 700,845
Gilan 149,026 203,941 50,070 33,996 182,321 171,562 215,478 1,006,394
Lorestan 69,710 440,247 31,169 6,865 53,747 70,225 121,130 793,093
Mazandaran 159,291 103,229 464,891 18,467 148,408 116,763 311,949 1,322,998
Markazi 161,669 104,522 17,258 14,058 65,592 71,828 143,118 578,045
Hormozegan 81,054 177,413 78,161 9,679 153,648 25,326 75,601 600,882
Hamadan 195,030 218,018 24,002 20,496 84,424 72,986 175,997 790,953
Yazd 175,206 58,132 9,317 5,186 60,510 66,892 77,924 453,167
Totals 5,711,254 5,056,686 1,733,128 1,278,393 4,069,698 4,075,189 6,179,653 28,104,001
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 16
Urban and Rural Populations by Province
Source: Iranian Census of 2006, Statistical Centre of Iran.
Availa ble at:
http://www.sci.org.ir/content/userfiles/_sci_en/sci_en/sel/year85/f2/CS_02_6.H
TM
Urban Rural Unsettled
Province
Absolute % Absolute % Absolute %
Total
East
Azerbaijan
2,402,539 66.67% 1,200,820 33.32% 97 0.00% 3,603,456
West
Azerbaijan
1,724,954 60.03% 1,148,505 39.97% 0 0.00% 2,873,459
Ardebil
715,597 58.27% 512,195 41.70% 363 0.03% 1,228,155

Isfahan
3,798,728 83.32% 758,890 16.65% 1,638 0.04% 4,559,256
Ilam
331,231 60.69% 210,703 38.61% 3,853 0.71% 545,787
Bushehr
577,465 65.16% 303,409 34.23% 5,393 0.61% 886,267
Tehran
12,260,431 91.34% 1,161,889 8.66% 46 0.00% 13,422,366
Chaharmahal
& Bakhtiari
442,298 51.56% 414,624 48.33% 988 0.12% 857,910
Southern
Khorasan
326,695 51.33% 308,305 48.44% 1,420 0.22% 636,420
Razavi
Khorasan
3,811,900 68.15% 1,779,980 31.82% 1,199 0.02% 5,593,079
Northern
Khorasan
392,458 48.36% 414,365 51.06% 4,749 0.59% 811,572
Khuzestan
2,873,564 67.22% 1,383,946 32.37% 17,469 0.41% 4,274,979
Zanjan
559,340 57.99% 405,261 42.01% 0 0.00% 964,601
Semnan
440,559 74.70% 149,183 25.30% 0 0.00% 589,742
Sistan &
Baluchistan
1,193,198 49.60% 1,206,547 50.15% 5,997 0.25% 2,405,742
Fars
2,652,947 61.17% 1,650,614 38.06% 33,317 0.77% 4,336,878
Qazvin
777,975 68.05% 365,203 31.95% 22 0.00% 1,143,200
Qom
983,094 93.92% 63,639 6.08% 4 0.00% 1,046,737
Kordestan
855,819 59.43% 584,337 40.57% 0 0.00% 1,440,156
Kerman
1,552,519 58.53% 1,089,748 41.09% 10,146 0.38% 2,652,413
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figur es in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 17
Kermanshah
1,255,319 66.79% 618,718 32.92% 5,348 0.28% 1,879,385
Kohgiluyeh &
Boyerahmad
302,192 47.64% 329,849 52.00% 2,258 0.36% 634,299
Golestan
795,126 49.17% 819,584 50.68% 2,377 0.15% 1,617,087
Gilan
1,295,751 53.88% 1,109,104 46.12% 6 0.00% 2,404,861
Lorestan
1,020,150 59.43% 691,448 40.28% 4,929 0.29% 1,716,527

Mazandaran
1,554,143 53.18% 1,368,233 46.82% 56 0.00% 2,922,432
Markezi
932,073 68.98% 419,184 31.02% 0 0.00% 1,351,257
Hormozegan
661,325 47.11% 740,605 52.76% 1,744 0.12% 1,403,674
Hamedan
980,771 57.58% 721,225 42.34% 1,271 0.07% 1,703,267
Yazd
789,803 79.71% 200,988 20.29% 27 0.00% 990,818
Totals
48,259,964 68% 22,131,101 31% 104,717 0.15% 70,495,782
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 18
Official Turnout Figures for I. R. Iran Elections (Fig. 7)
Year Type Turnout
1980 Majlis 52%
1980 Presidential 70%
1981 Presidential 68%
1981 Presidential 79%
1982 Assembly of Experts 78%
1984 Majlis 65%
1985 Presidential 60%
1988 Majlis 59%
1989 Presidential 60%
1990 Assembly of Experts 38%
1992 Majlis 59%
1993 Presidential 52%
1996 Majlis 71%
1997 Presidential 76%
1998 Assembly of Experts 45%
1999 Municipal 60%
2000 Majlis 69%
2001 Presidential 68%
2003 Municipal 48%
2004 Majlis 60%
2005 Presidential 63%
2005 Presidential 60%
2006 Municipal Not available
2006 Ass embly of Experts Not available
2008 Majlis 54%
2009 Presidential 84%
Preliminary Analysis of Voting Figures in Iran's 2009 Presidential Election
www.chathamhouse.org.uk 19
Changes in Voter Turnout by Province (Fig.8)
Province Turnout
2009
Turnout
2005
Participation
Swing
East Azerbaijan 82% 51% 30%

West Azerbaijan 71% 44% 27%
Ardebil 80% 54% 26%
Isfahan 88% 59% 30%
Ilam 87% 80% 7%
Bushehr 85% 72% 13%
Tehran 86% 64% 22%
Chaharmahal & Bakhtiari 88% 65% 23%
Combined Khorasans 86% 71% 15%
Khuzestan 73% 55% 17%
Zanjan 93% 65% 27%
Semnan 88% 73% 14%
Sistan & Baluchistan 75% 74% 1%
Fars 89% 61% 27%
Qazvin 92% 69% 23%
Qom 91% 77% 14%
Kordestan 65% 37% 27%
Kerman 87% 78% 9%
Kermanshah 80% 62% 18%
Golestan 82% 55% 27%
Gilan 94% 65% 29%
Lorestan 86% 58% 27%
Mazandaran 100% 67% 33%
Markezi 89% 65% 24%
Hormozegan 81% 62% 19%
Hamedan 81% 78% 3%
Yazd 100% 76% 24%

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 2:27 PM
To: H
Cc: H2
Subject: RE: Followup

All State nominees were voice voted out of Committee without debate this afternoon.

They included:

E. Tauscher
A. Shapiro
K. Campbell
E. Goosby
B. Jenkins
E. Schwartz

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:42 AM
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

I'd like to ask Reid to reappoint [redacted] for the [redacted] Would you check to see whether that would be well received? B6

Any luck w an office in the Senate?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 1:22 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: SWAT

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wednesday; June 17, 2009 12:07 PM
To: Reines, Philippe I; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Adler, Caroline E (Special Use Only)
Subject: Re: SWAT

Very cool!

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Adler, Caroline E (Special Use Only)
Sent: Wed Jun 17 09:23:22 2009
Subject: SWAT

Anjolina is in for $5 and will be saying that on CNN tomorrow

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 7:27 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Stanford Law Connection - A GLIFA Thank you email

See below

B6

From:
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 12:00 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Stanford Law Connection

Hi Cheryl. You may not remember me, but we were at Stanford together. I saw a statement from you on CNN.com regarding Secretary Clinton's fractured elbow, so I went to our global address list, and voila—there you are! You were a year or two ahead of me (I was class of 1991), but I distinctly remember being in Crim Pro with you when the inimitable Barbara Babcock talked about your "friend" Wynton Marsalis being stopped by the police!

Congratulations on your current position. You are really doing Stanford proud—I was watching the Clinton impeachment hearings with friends when you were testifying and shouted, "Oh my God—I went to law school with her!"

I can't tell you how thrilled we in the field are to have an administration we can be proud of again (I came in during Bill Clinton's presidency, and it has been a rough eight years). As you may be learning, Foreign Service Officers are overwhelmingly liberal democrats. At my post of 20 American officers, there are only two avowed republicans (although there may be a few closet cases). We all watched the election returns together, and we cheered, cried and hugged when Mr. Obama was announced the winner. Obviously, we are also thrilled to have Hillary as our new Secretary of State.

I tried to write Secretary Clinton a message when she first announced benefits for same-sex partners expressing my extreme gratitude. I have been with my partner for the last 14 years, and he has basically given up his career to follow me around the world. It has been challenging but we've managed to survive. Below is my original message, which I doubt Secretary Clinton ever actually received:

From:

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 11:42 PM

To: Secretary

Subject: Partner Benefits - A personal thank you from the field

I'm not sure whether this message will ever find its way to Secretary Clinton, but I would like to convey my deep gratitude for her courage in extending benefits to same-sex partners of Foreign Service Officers. I would also like to share how her decision has literally changed the course of my career.

I had decided long ago to retire in 2014 after twenty years of service. I love my job as a Management Officer, but my partner and I had grown tired of struggling with a bureaucracy that essentially denied his existence. I had planned to

follow his career as an academic, where I could be officially recognized as his partner and receive benefits through his employer.

The announcement that the State Department will now extend benefits to same-sex partners has made us re-evaluate our future plans. After several long discussions over the past few days, we have decided that I will stay in the Foreign Service indefinitely and my partner will follow me, finding teaching jobs wherever I am posted. This is not only about the benefits themselves, which are of course most welcome. It is also the fact that my partner, who has been officially invisible all of these years, is now recognized and respected as an equal member of the Foreign Service family.

I have much more to contribute to the Service, and I look forward to a long and successful career with my partner at my side. Once again, my heartfelt thanks to Secretary Clinton for making our dream a reality.

Best regards,

B6

If you ever have the chance, I would very much appreciate it if you could thank Secretary Clinton on my behalf. As I said in my letter, the extension of benefits to my partner has made me decide to stay in the Foreign Service. I don't mean to be immodest, but I'm a good officer—and the Foreign Service will get the benefit of my talents for many years to come thanks to Secretary Clinton!

Again, congratulations on your illustrious career.

Regards,

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2009 5:14 PM
To: H
Subject: Checking in

Hope u r ok. Need anything?

[redacted] B6

Holbrooke said he talked to david rohde if u want a dowload.
All else is quiet, hope you are getting rest and pls call or email if u need me to do anything. See you tomorrow.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:29 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Lins e Silva Family on CBS

FYI

From: Jacobs, Janice L
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:24 PM
To: Kennedy, Patrick F; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Lins e Silva Family on CBS

Fyi. The latest on the Sean Goldman case.

The Lins e Silva family will appear on CBS tomorrow morning with a tape showing an interview with Sean Goldman where he says he wants to live in Brazil and that he considers Lins e Silva his father. David Goldman and his attorneys are extremely upset about Sean being exploited this way. The federal court that just decided that David should have custody whenever he is in Brazil believes the Lins e Silva family and maternal grandparents are doing all they can to alienate Sean from his father.

We have been asked to provide CBS with a written statement about all that is being done to reunite Sean with his father. This is In the works now.

Here is what we know about the tape:

Family Releases New Psych Transcript

Brazilian press have reported the release of a transcript of a new psychological interview of Sean Goldman, quoting Sean as stating that he considers Lins e Silva his father and that he wants to stay in Brazil. Press reports indicate that this interview was taped, and took place in front of witnesses from the Rio state level children's rights organizations. At least one report then referred to the controversy of the psychological exam, and quotes a psychologist as saying that the mother's family may be increasing the boy's suffering by making him talk once more, thereby reliving the child's traumas. Almost all reporting points out that the psychologist conducting the interview was hired by the maternal family

---

From: Furuta-Toy, Julie
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 4:58 PM
To: Hickey, Mary E; Bond, Michele T; Jacobs, Janice L; Lawrence, Suzanne I
Subject: FW: Lins e Silva Family on CBS

!!!!!

Julie

From: Simpson, Mordica M
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 4:48 PM
To: Cardiel, Daisy; Pacheco, Martha A; Furuta-Toy, Julie; Damour, Marie C
Subject: Lins e Silva Family on CBS

Colleagues,

Bernie Aronson called Milt this afternoon to let him know that the Lins e Silva family will be in the U.S. tomorrow to make an appearance on CBS. The family will be bringing a video of Sean. I don't have any more details, but wanted to pass on the heads up.

Mordica

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 7:14 AM
To: H
Subject: Dennis ross returned your call

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 8:20 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: RE: Followup

Roger on all counts.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:41 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

Here are some odds and ends--

I'd like you to meet w me in person or over the phone before the Monday and Thurs mtgs w Asst Secs to set an agenda of what I want raised

I just heard of something called the "Hillary Center" in Morocco. Can you run that to ground?

I talked w Walter Isaacson about his Palestinian project. Toni Verstandig runs it; pls call her to ask for the materials which she told me she had prepared during the transition.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 9:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Notes

I'm droppoing off briefing book for tomorrow [redacted] There's a note from dennis ross. B6
And latest draft of india speech.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 9:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 14 21:18:57 2009
Subject: Re: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

Anything elser I need to know?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 14 21:01:27 2009
Subject: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 10:49 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Can you talk?

calling you now

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 10:47 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; [redacted]
Subject: Can you talk?

I have a time sensitive matter to discuss re Guantanamo detainees and Bermuda.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 8:44 AM
To: H
Subject: Let me know when you want to connect - NO NEED - try to take advantage of this time xo!!!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 7:30 PM
To: H
Subject: Sorry to hear re your fall

Oops. When I wanted you to trip the light fantastic. I didn't mean that literally. Be careful. Do the therapy. Get well. Do you still want us to come. Monday.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 11:28 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Request from Cheryl

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 11:14 AM
To: Jones, Stuart; Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

Thanks Stu! Copying Huma and Cheryl.

From: Jones, Stuart
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 11:03 AM
To: Toiv, Nora F; Gordon, Philip H
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

Nora,

Just to close the loop, I did meet w/ Jeffrey Romoff and his team on Wed afternoon. They gave a very impressive into on what the University Medical Center of Pittsburgh (UPMC) is doing in Southern Italy. They are already getting a lot of political support from our Emb in Rome and are grateful for it.

I gave them some practical suggestions on other levers in the USG that they could pull (TDA, OPIC, Commerce Advocacy Center).

They want the Secretary will make some positive reference to Berlusconi in Italy this summer of their interest in working the GOI to build a lab/production facility for developing vaccines for H1N1 and other infectious diseases. They also note the coincidence of the G20 mtng in Pittsburgh later this summer. I made no commitment. But I do believe this is a genuinely positive program and our Emb agrees.

I did pass their materials to David Thorne and urged him to be in touch. He said he would engage, once/if he is confirmed.

Stu

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 1:48 PM

To: Jones, Stuart; Gordon, Philip H
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

I believe the UPMC's goal is to have some help with the Italians in achieving funding for a project in PA. But all the background I know is below in my first email.

From: Jones, Stuart
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 1:37 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F; Gordon, Philip H
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

Thanks Nora. I'm now in touch w/ Leslie and we'll work something out for this week, at Mr. Romoff's convenience.

Do you have any insight into his objectives for the mtng?

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 12:40 PM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Jones, Stuart
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

That sounds great. Thanks to you both. Stu- I'll connect you with Leslie McCombs, who is handling this.

From: Gordon, Philip H
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 10:46 AM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Cc: Jones, Stuart
Subject: RE: Request from Cheryl

Nora: Given my travel schedule (and Stu's greater expertise on this), I have asked acting PDAS Stu Jones to handle this. Thanks.

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 5:52 PM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Subject: Request from Cheryl

HI Phil. How are you?

The Secretary is hoping you can schedule a meeting with the President of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. He is working on a project with the Italians and is seeking some guidance. I included some background below. Please let me know if you are available for a meeting and I'll connect you with them. Thanks!

- **UPMC's Relationship with Italy:**

UPMC has a long and successful track record in Italy. More than a decade ago, UPMC entered into a public-private partnership in Italy, and in collaboration with the region of Sicily and two existing hospitals, established a major transplant and specialty care hospital. The construction of the

facility, ("ISMETT") was funded by the government and UPMC , which oversaw the construction and continues to manage it. It has been a great success - bringing transplantation and specialized services to Sicily, reducing costs to the nation of "exporting" patients who need transplants, and as an economic driver for the region. Based on the success of ISMETT, UPMC is now working with the Italian government and an alliance of educational institutions to construct RiMED, a Biomedical Research and Biotechnology Center (BRBC) outside of Palermo, which will focus on sparking a biotechnology/regenerative medicine sector in the region. (Please see attached RiMED document.) The government has appropriated 330 million Euros for this initiative. Further, UPMC is a consultant to the Italian Government and is in negotiations with the regional governments in Milan and Florence. Our international offices are in Rome.

- **UPMC's Relationship with Prime Minister Berlusconi:**

(a.) UPMC has had a long term and stable relationship in Italy over the past ten years, including two governments led by Prime Minister Berlusconi. He is knowledgeable about UPMC, as is Gianni Letta, Italian Council of Ministry Under Secretary.
(b.) The RiMED initiative was conceived during his previous term of office and in 2005, the letter of intent for RiMED was personally signed by Prime Minister Berlusconi and Mr. Jeffrey A. Romoff, President of UPMC.
(c.) It was under the Berlusconi administration that the decision was made to fund RiMED and 330 million Euros were appropriated for this purpose. Mr. Romoff participated in a press conference at Palazzo Chigi with the Prime Minister.
(d.) Recently, because of the devastating earthquake and the economic downturn, the resources to fund RiMED have become constrained, but it is felt this is simply an issue of an unexpected contingency, rather than any lack of interest and support.
(e.) Mr. Romoff has met with Prime Minister Berlusconi several times and is fluent in Italian.
(f.) Many of the significant political figures in Sicily are very closely associated with the Prime Minister and his party and the importance of UPMC to the Region and potentially to Italy is reinforced.

- **UPMC's Proposed 21CB:**

We are pursuing funding for a flexible, multi-product biologics facility in Southwestern PA, to develop and manufacture vaccines and therapeutic drugs as a defense against our nation's gravest threat: the Pandemic spread of diseases and diseases used by bioterrorists as weapons of mass destruction. Thanks to the tremendous help from our partners, Governor Rendell and Senator Arlen Specter, we have garnered wide spread support from many across the executive Branch and Congress including Vice President Biden and HHS Secretary Kathleen Sebelius. We will have the opportunity to brief DHS Secretary Janet Napolitano on June 15th. The economic impact to the region would be unparalleled, resulting in approximately 1000 direct jobs and up to 6000 indirect jobs, all the while reducing production costs to the U. S. Government by 80 %. (Please see attached 21st Century Biodefense document.)

- **UPMC's Proposal for the Italian Government:**

Prime Minister Berlusconi is very much tied to the American agenda and would likely be interested in developing and funding a companion vaccine manufacturing facility (600 million Euros,) under UPMC management, which would serve not only to enhance the strategic defense relationship between Italy and the United States, but also demonstrate that the Prime Minister is responsive to the increasing public concern regarding H1N1. It can be an economic driver to both countries, as well. Italy has close ties in the Mediterranean basin and northern Africa, in particular, and producing vaccines for these countries is desirable in keeping with the new U.S. Global Health Care Initiative. Thus, for both substantive and strategic/political reasons, the 21CB vaccine facility should be exceedingly attractive to Italy.

As importantly, the economic gains for UPMC and the western region of Pennsylvania (Pittsburgh) are very significant. As the largest employer in western Pa. , the Region depends on UPMC's economic vitality. Excess revenue (profits) gained from exporting the intellectual and managerial capital cultivated and developed in Pittsburgh to Italy and abroad, serve to create jobs and growth at home. Given the unique expertise of UPMC and the international focus on health care reform, this is potentially a multi-billion dollar export industry bring both funds and prestige to the United States.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Colin Powell [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 10:24 PM
To: H2
Subject: Aw shucks

Hillary, Is it true that Holbrooke tripped you? Just kidding. Get better fast, we need you running around. Good being with you the other evening. cp

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2009 11:40 AM
To: H
Subject: Hillary... few things. Sid

Dear Hillary,

Hope you are resting comfortably. Please take this as an excuse to get much needed rest. Lots of people have expressed best wishes for you to me--Sean Wilentz, Joe Conason, Gene Lyons, etc--so if they haven't contacted you because you're behind a wall you should know that many, many people are thinking about you now.

I spoke this morning to Gary Sick, who, you recall, was senior person on NSC during the Iran hostage crisis, and is now at Columbia. He has one of the best intelligence networks on Iran, 1,500 people, experts with extensive contacts, and many Iranians, deeply embedded in the unfolding events, Gary tells me that Mousavi has evolved from a mild-mannered reformer to directly challenging the regime. Nobody, he says, knows where this will go, certainly not the Iranians. Khamenei has exposed himself and lost his aura of mystification, plunging into the arena as a political player. Mousavi has issued a new revolutionary manifesto, just translated; I enclose below Sick's report and analysis on it. This poses, Sick says, a new challenge to Khamenei. Does he let it stand? Does he answer it? Sick also says US diplomacy in fact can't accomplish anything with the regime until the crisis completely works its way out, which could take years. He points out that the first mass demonstrations against the Shah occurred a full year before his overthrow. He adds that the one thing the regime has learned fro m the Shah is not to be equivocal toward demonstrations.

Two ideas, who knows, but here they are: 1. Though the regime uses Western protests to consolidate control, some of them contribute to its deep crisis of legitimacy. Sick says Obama's statement, for example, was good. He also cites a statement from Ban Ki Moon. If there would be a UN action, shouldn't it focus ont he human rights/violence aspect? A statement and/or resolution urging the regime to stop illegitimate violence of paramilitary militias? US shouldn't initiate. 2. Establish linkage in negotiations with Russians on Start between their objections to missile defense outposts in Poland and Czech Republic (especially if we plan to give them up) with Russian arms sales to Iran.

Get well. See Sick analysis below.

Sid

Mousavi's New Revolutionary Manifesto
by Gary Sick
June 21, 2009 | 10:51am

Alfred, Sipa / AP Photo The Iranian protest movement reached a tipping point today, writes Gary Sick, the key White House offical during the 1979 hostage crisis, and what has emerged is nothing short of a platform for a true Islamic democracy.

Plus, read more insight on Iran's election from other Daily Beast writers.

Today, Mir Hossein Mousavi, the presidential candidate who has come to represent the democratic aspirations of the Iranian people, issued a formal statement.

Although he denounces the "lies and fraud" of the leadership, particularly in the recent election, he views the fraudulent election as only as the symptom of something far more serious. He describes a revolution gone wrong, a revolution that was originally based on attention to the voice of the peo ple but has resulted in "forcing an unwanted government on the nation."

It is apparent from this statement that Mousavi's movement—and Mousavi himself—have evolved enormously in the past week.

This moment is "a turning point," he says, and he defines the movement that is forming around him as having a "historical mission" to accomplish nothing less than "renewing the life of the nation" according to its own ideals.

He acknowledges, interestingly, that his own voice at the beginning was less "eloquent" than he would have wished and that the people were ahead of him in turning the movement green. But now he accepts the "burden of duty put on our shoulders by the destiny of generations and ages."

He denounces both extremes of the political spectrum: on one hand those who believe that "Islamic government is the same as Tyranny of the Rightful;" and on the other, those who "consider religion and Islam to be blockers for realization of republicanism," i.e. those who believe that democracy is incompatible with Islam.

Mousavi says his call for annulment of the election and a revote, supervised by an impartial national body, "is a given right." The objective is nothing less than "to achieve a new type of political life in the country."

That is truly a revolutionary statement. He says he will stand by the side of all those seeking "new solutions" in a n on-violent way. He accepts the principles and the institutions of the Islamic Republic, including the Revolutionary Guard and the *basij*, but denounces "deviations and deceptions." He demands reform "that returns us to the pure principles of the Islamic Revolution."

He calls for freedom of expression in all its forms, and says that if the government permits people to express their views freely, "there won't be a need for the presence of military and regulatory forces in the streets."

It is apparent from this statement that Mousavi's movement—and Mousavi himself—have evolved enormously in the past week. The candidate started as a mild-mannered reformer. After the searing events of the past several days, he has dared to preach a counter sermon to Khamene'i's lecture on Islamic government. Although he never mentions the Leader by name, there is no overlooking the direct contradiction of his arguments. This open opposition to the Leader by a political figure is unprecedented.

Mousavi has in fact issued a manifesto for a new vision of the Islamic Republic. The repression and disdain of the government has brought the opposition to a place they probably never dreamed of going. And no one knows where any of the parties are likely to go next.

But for outside observers, it is like standing on the edge of a glacier and feeling the ice begin to crack under your feet.

Gary Sick served on the National Security Council staff under Presidents Ford, Carter and Reagan. He was the principal White House aide for Iran during the Iranian Revolution and the hostage crisis and is the author of two books on U.S.-Iranian relations. Mr. Sick is a captain (ret.) in the U.S. Navy, with service in the Persian Gulf, North Africa and the Mediterranean. He was the deputy director for International Affairs at the Ford Foundation from 1982 to 1987, where he was responsible for programs relating to U.S. foreign policy. Mr. Sick has a Ph.D. in political science from Columbia University, where he is Senior Research Scholar, adjunct professor of international affairs and former director of the Middle East Institute (2000-2003). He is the executive director of Gulf/2000, an international research project on political, economic and security developments in the Persian Gulf, being conducted at Columbia University with support from a number of major foundations. He is co-editor of four books on the Persian Gulf published by the Gulf/2000 project and Palgrave (formerly St Martin's) Press in New York. Mr. Sick is a member (emeritus) of the board of Human Rights Watch in New York and founding chairman of its Middle East and North Africa advisory committee.

URL: http://www.thedailybeast.com/blogs-and-stories/2009-06-21/mousavis-new-revolutionary-m anifesto/p/

An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Dennis ross asking to talk

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:48 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Followup

Yep ill look it up

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:42:33 2009
Subject: Followup

I heard that the [redacted] won a contest on You Tube. I'd like to see him. His name is [redacted] Can you show me? B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 4:54 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Followup

I have this queued and ready on my desktop whenever you would like to see it. It's a video that is approximately 4 minutes in length.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:43 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Followup

B6

I heard that the [redacted] won a contest on You Tube. I'd like to see him. His name is [redacted] Can you show me?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: postmaster@state.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 7:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Undeliverable: Followup
Attachments: details.txt; Followup (1.19 KB)

Delivery has failed to these recipients or distribution lists:

mhcaleja@state.gov
The recipient's e-mail address was not found in the recipient's e-mail system. Microsoft Exchange will not try to redeliver this message for you. Please check the e-mail address and try resending this message, or provide the following diagnostic text to your system administrator.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: MSWASHDCIB01.washdc.state.sbu

mhcaleja@state.gov
#< #5.1.1> #SMTP#

Original message headers:

```
Received: from vance3.state.gov ([10.4.16.249]) by
 MSWASHDCIB01.washdc.state.sbu with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2499);         Wed, 17
 Jun 2009 07:42:02 -0400
Received: from mail.clintonemail.com (ool-18bbeabb.static.optonline.net [24.187.234.187])
        by vance3.state.gov  with ESMTP id n5HBbJhD004449
        for <mhcaleja@state.gov>; Wed, 17 Jun 2009 07:37:19 -0400
Received: from CLNTINET08.clinton.local ([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993]) by
 CLNTINET08.clinton.local ([fe80::d0bb:23d3:f75e:4993%10]) with mapi; Wed, 17
 Jun 2009 07:42:01 -0400
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: "'mhcaleja@state.gov'" <mhcaleja@state.gov>
CC:
Date: Wed, 17 Jun 2009 07:42:00 -0400
Subject: Followup
Thread-Topic: Followup
Thread-Index: AcnvQKCJw2xX7mUYQMepXsRGjy7JBw==
Message-ID: <786762D781A7FF4FAC9060892B4044880345BA6E8D@CLNTINET08.clinton.local>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
acceptlanguage: en-US
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
MIME-Version: 1.0
Return-Path: HDR22@clintonemail.com
```

B6

X-OriginalArrivalTime: 17 Jun 2009 11:42:02.0601 (UTC) FILETIME=[A1AA5590:01C9EF40]

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 9:11 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Some updates

Fyi

From: Schwartz, Jonathan B
To: Donoghue, Joan E; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Thessin, James H; Conklin, Maegan L; Spector, Phillip M
Sent: Thu Jun 18 18:49:43 2009
Subject: RE: Some updates

B5

From: Donoghue, Joan E
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 2:20 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Thessin, James H; Schwartz, Jonathan B; Conklin, Maegan L; Spector, Phillip M; Donoghue, Joan E
Subject: Some updates

Cheryl, Jake and Sheba,

I am leaving the office shortly and will be out until Monday. I write to give you an update on a few items:

B5

Joan

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 9:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: NYT

Fyi

From: Reines, Philippe I
To: 'Capriciamarshall [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D B6
Sent: Thu Jun 18 21:32:41 2009
Subject: NYT

Not great
Not horrible

Can't get a sense of where in the paper this will be

Trying to figure out why my comment's not in, I will fix

From: Philippe Reines [redacted]
To: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Thu Jun 18 21:29:37 2009
Subject: NYT

pick for Protocol Post Corrects Failure to File Taxes in 2 Years

By ALISON LEIGH COWAN
The New York Times
June 19, 2009

President Obama's choice as chief of protocol for the State Department, a position that carries the status of an ambassadorship, did not file tax returns for 2005 and 2006, errors she corrected last November.

The nominee, Capricia Penavic Marshall, has placed blame for the problem on the Postal Service and on miscommunication between her husband and their accountant.

Ms. Marshall, who was a social secretary in the Clinton White House, notified the Obama administration about the late filings before she was nominated on May 14. She has since provided written answers to questions about the matter from Senator Richard G. Lugar of Indiana, the top Republican on the Foreign Relations Committee, which will hold a hearing on the appointment next Wednesday. The post requires Senate confirmation.

Tax issues have bedeviled several high-level Obama appointees and cost the administration at least two of its picks.

Ms. Marshall, a graduate of Case Western Reserve University School of Law, may fare better because, after ultimately filing the 2005 and 2006 federal and local paperwork, she was entitled to $37,259 in refunds, according to information she provided to Mr. Lugar.

The nominee and her husband, Dr. Robert Marshall, a Washington cardiologist, did not return calls seeking comment, nor did a White House spokesman.

Besides the president's support, Ms. Marshall appears to enjoy the strong endorsement of Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and former President Bill Clinton. Ms. Marshall worked on their campaigns and was most recently executive director of Mrs. Clinton's political action committee, Hillpac.

In her written answers and in accounts she gave to government officials, Ms. Marshall has said the errors were inadvertent. She has said that her husband failed to recognize that the couple's accountant had included the tax returns for 2005 in a binder he provided with copies of the returns, and that the actual paperwork was never mailed.

The couple learned something was awry, Ms. Marshall has said, when the Internal Revenue Service notified them last fall that their 2006 return had never arrived. She wrote that an agent "advised us that there were a large number of tax returns misplaced by the D.C. post office for the 2006 tax year."

That call led the couple to the discovery that the authorities had no record of their returns for 2005 and 2006. No late fees or penalties were assessed when they later submitted the returns.

The protocol chief customarily helps plan events for visiting leaders and helps oversee protocol matters for the president and vice president *abroad.*

Mark Landler contributed reporting, and Alain Delaquérière contributed research.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 4:28 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Good news!

Yeah!

From: Adler, Caroline E (Special Use Only)
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 4:11 PM
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Subject: Good news!

Hi guys – Just wanted to share the exciting news that Andrew Shapiro was confirmed today as Assistant Secretary for Political-Military Affairs!

Also confirmed today: Bonnie D. Jenkins for Coordinator for Threat Reduction Programs (with the Rank of Ambassador), Eric Goosby for Ambassador at Large and Global AIDS Coordinator, and Eric P. Schwartz for Assistant Secretary for Population, Refugees and Migration.

Congrats!

Caroline

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 4:44 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: Big Speech concept paper
Attachments: 2009-06-23 Speech concept paper.doc

Huma:

Please print for HRC.

Thanks.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 5:13 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: See below - then see next email

Longtime Clinton confidant Blumenthal to become Clinton advisor

Tue, 06/23/2009 - 1:00pm

Longtime journalist and former Clinton White House advisor **Sidney Blumenthal** will become a special advisor without portfolio to Secretary of State **Hillary Clinton**, *The Cable* has learned. Blumenthal refused to comment. But sources say he is filling out the paperwork and disentangling himself from other obligations as he moves to become a special advisor to the secretary whose presidential campaign he helped advise. The position would not require Senate confirmation.

Clinton, who is recovering from surgery to repair a broken elbow, has been building her team at the State Department, while establishing her credentials as a team player supporting and amplifying her former presidential rival's foreign-policy vision. Sources close to her says she will prove indispensable to Obama, a point several Obama aides also **made** to *Politico*'s Ben Smith in a piece noting the relatively background role she has played in these early months. The planned addition of Blumenthal, a longtime confidant of the Clinton family and a fierce defender of Bill Clinton's presidential legacy, could indicate she plans to raise her profile. (Blumenthal is probably Clinton's best speechwriter, a friend notes.)

In the foreign-policy arena, Blumenthal advised former President Clinton on U.S.-British relations, and first introduced him to future British Prime Minister Tony Blair. He has also been active in the **Third Way-Progressive Governance process**. A former *Washington Post* reporter, Blumenthal has contributed commentary and editorial advice to *Vanity Fair*, Tina Brown's new online magazine *The Daily Beast*, the *New Yorker*, and *Salon*. Blumenthal has also been a fellow at New York University's Center on Law and Security.

Laura Rozen | Permalink |  **| Comments? Login or register**

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 6:55 PM
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: H
Subject: Fw: Follow-up information regarding legislation mentioned in Senator Voinovich's call to the Secretary (S. 321 and S. 840)
Attachments: APP_IntlBill_1pager.docx; Summary of Passport Card Legislation (S. 321) for State 06.23.09.doc

Rich - This is legislation voinovich talked to her about.

From: Shaw, Tara (HSGAC) [redacted] B6
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Johnston, Todd (Voinovich) [redacted]
Sent: Tue Jun 23 18:40:28 2009
Subject: Follow-up information regarding legislation mentioned in Senator Voinovich's call to the Secretary (S. 321 and S. 840)

Wilma,

Attached is background information on the legislation Senator Voinovich referenced in his call with Secretary Clinton today.

As Senator Voinovich mentioned to the Secretary, both bills are personal priorities for him.

I am the point of contact for the Passport Card Travel Enhancement Act of 2009 (S. 321), and we would like to work with you in an effort to address State's concerns with the legislation. Please let me know if you have questions or need additional information regarding this bill.

Todd Johnston is the point of contact for the International Clean Energy Development Act of 2009 (S.840). He can be reached at 202-224-3353.

Thanks,
Tara

Tara Shaw
Counsel
Senator George V. Voinovich
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management
202-224-1331

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 12:22 AM
To: H
Subject: Kurtzer

Just wanted to be sure you saw the Kurtzer op-ed, which ran Sunday in the Post. Kudos to Jonathan Prince for getting this written and placed.

The Settlements Facts

By Daniel Kurtzer
Sunday, June 14, 2009

Faulty analysis of the Israeli settlement issue is being passed off as fact. Charles Krauthammer's June 5 column, "The Settlements Myth," is one example.

Here are the facts: In 2003, the Israeli government accepted, with some reservations, the "road map" for peace, which imposed two requirements on Israel regarding settlements: "GOI [Government of Israel] immediately dismantles settlement outposts erected since March 2001. Consistent with the Mitchell Report, GOI freezes all settlement activity (including natural growth of settlements)."

Today, Israel maintains that three events -- namely, draft understandings discussed in 2003 between Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and U.S. deputy national security adviser Stephen Hadley; President George W. Bush's April 14, 2004, letter to Sharon; and an April 14 letter from Sharon adviser Dov Weissglas to national security adviser Condoleezza Rice -- constitute a formal understanding in which the United States accepted continuing Israeli building within the "construction line" of settlements. The problem is that there was no such understanding.

The first event the Israelis cite is the 2003 discussions on a four-part draft that included the notion that construction within settlements might be permitted if confined to the already built-up areas of the settlements. The idea was to draw a line around the outer perimeter of built-up areas in settlements and to allow building only inside that line. This draft was never codified, and no effort was made then to define the line around the built-up areas of settlements. Nonetheless, Israel began to act largely in accordance with its own reading of these provisions, probably believing that U.S. silence conferred assent.

Second, President Bush's 2004 letter conveyed U.S. support of an agreed outcome of negotiations in which Israel would retain "existing major Israeli population centers" in the West Bank "on the basis of mutually agreed changes . . . ." One of the key provisions of this letter was that U.S. support for Israel's retaining some settlements was predicated on there being an "agreed outcome" of negotiations. Despite Israel's contention that this letter allowed it to continue building in the large settlement blocs of Ariel, Maale Adumim and Gush Etzion, the letter did not convey any U.S. support for or understanding of Israeli settlement activities in these or other areas in the run-up to a peace agreement.

In his 2004 letter to Rice, Weissglas addressed the issue of the "construction line," saying that "within the agreed principles of settlement activities, an effort will be made in the next few days to have a better definition of the construction line of settlements in Judea & Samaria." However, there never were any "agreed principles of settlement activities." Moreover, the effort to define the "construction line" was never consummated: Israel and the United States discussed briefly but did not reach agreement on the definition of the construction line of

settlements. Weissglas's letter also promised "continuous action" to remove all the unauthorized outposts, but Israel removed almost none of them.

Throughout this period, the Bush administration did not regularly protest Israel's continuing settlement activity. But this is very different from arguing that the United States agreed with it. In recent days, former senior Bush administration officials have told journalists on background that no understandings existed with Israel regarding continued settlement activity.

Commentators also focus on the Obama administration's reiteration that a freeze must include the "natural growth" of settlements. Krauthammer says that this "means strangling to death the thriving towns close to the 1949 armistice line . . . It means no increase in population. Which means no babies." This is nonsense. No one suggests that Israelis stop having babies. Rather, the blessing of a new baby does not translate into a right to build more apartments or houses in settlements. The two issues have nothing to do with each other. Israelis, like Americans, move all the time when life circumstances -- children, jobs, housing availability -- change.

The pattern of population growth in the territories actually undercuts the natural-growth argument. Since 1993, when Israel signed the Oslo Accords, Israel's West Bank settler population has grown from 116,300 to 289,600. The numbers in East Jerusalem increased from 152,800 to more than 186,000. This goes far beyond the natural increase of families already living in the settlements. Inserting the provision of "natural growth" in official documents started with the 2001 Mitchell Report and the 2003 "road map," reflecting recognition that the concept was being abused as a justification for expanding settlements. The Obama administration is pursuing policies that every administration since 1967 has articulated -- that settlements jeopardize the possibility of achieving peace and thus settlement activity should stop. This does not diminish the Palestinians' responsibilities, especially their commitment to stop violence and terrorism and uproot terrorist infrastructure. President Obama emphasized this in his Cairo speech. But Palestinian failures in no way justify Israeli failure to implement their road map commitments with respect to settlements and outposts. It is time for Israel to freeze all settlement activity and dismantle the unauthorized outposts.

The writer, U.S. ambassador to Israel from 2001 to 2005, is a visiting professor of Middle East policy studies at Princeton University's Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs.

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D)

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:07 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Late night call with Michele Pierre Louis

FYI below

[redacted] 1.4(D) B1

cdm

From: Sanderson, Janet A
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 6:58 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Late night call with Michele Pierre Louis

Cheryl:

I talked to the Prime Minister again late last night. [redacted] 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

Off to catch the plane.

Janet

Ambassador Janet A. Sanderson

U.S. Embassy

Port au Prince, Haiti

509-2220-0200, ext. 8100

sandersonja@state.gov

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 06/16/2024

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 8:01 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Followup

Will do

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 08:00:33 2009
Subject: Re: Followup

Either she or Huma does.

----- Original Message -----
From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Followup

I do not know her but I will call her. Does Lauren have her info?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:41:28 2009
Subject: Followup

Pls contact Judith Barnett who has lots of ideas about partnering w business about the Middle East. Do you know her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 5:16 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: More Gay Donors Drop Out of DNC Fundraiser, Protesting Justice Department Brief

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 3:17 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: More Gay Donors Drop Out of DNC Fundraiser, Protesting Justice Department Brief

More Gay Donors Drop Out of DNC Fundraiser, Protesting Justice Department Brief

June 18, 2009 2:30 PM

"This is not appropriate time" for the Democratic party to be hitting up gays and lesbians for money, says Richard Socarides, a former Special Assistant to President Clinton, who's not attending next week's lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) fundraiser for the Democratic National Committee.

Socarides tells ABC News that many members of the LGBT community are troubled **by a brief filed by President Obama's Justice Department** last week that argued – too vociferously, many believe – against same sex marriage.

"The brief was very troubling to a lot of people and rightfully so," Socarides says. "Supporters of the Democratic party and others who feel that gay and lesbian equality is an important issue for this administration to address are rightly concerned about this brief. Serious issues still need clarification."

Socarides says that even though President Obama yesterday **signed a presidential memorandum extending some benefits to same-sex partners of federal employees**, he was also aware of what the President did not do. "The president did not last night address what direction he would give the Justice Department when these issues come up in the future, he did not address the continued discharges of gay people from the military."

Socarides is just the latest in a list of **prominent gay and lesbian Democrats withdrawing their support** from the DNC event. Others include David Mixner, another former adviser to President Clinton; Andy Towle; and Alan Van Capelle, executive director of the Empire State Pride Agenda and Foundation.

And you can, **as John Aravosis notes**, add Vermont state senate president pro tem Peter Shumlin to the list of prominent gay and lesbian Democrats who will not be attending.

"One thing I have learned dealing with marriage equality in Vermont is that we all have a responsibility to stand up for the civil rights of all Americans," **Shumlin said yesterday, according to the Times-Argus.** "This memo from the Justice Department is more Bush than Bush. It takes the only minority group left in America that national politicians can publicly discriminate against and still see their numbers go up in the polls and it reinforces the horrible stereotypes about our friends and neighbors."

And add gay Democratic donor Bruce Bastian, who **told the Washington Blade** he "will continue to support certain congressmen, congresswomen and senators whom I believe will continue to fight for our rights, but I

don't think blanket donations to the Democratic Party right now are justified, at least not in my book." He said he found the filing "very offensive."

"The administration has said they have to support the federal government's stance," he said. "But in the brief, they go way beyond where they need to go to just defend DOMA. They basically go to terminology and language that you would expect from the Bush administration, not the Obama administration."

Others include **National Gay and Lesbian Task Force executive director Rea Carey** who decried "the malicious and outrageous arguments and language used in the Department of Justice's marriage brief are only serving to inflame and malign the humanity of same-sex couples and our families," and **the Human Rights Campaign's national field director Marty Rouse**, who told the Blade that he "like many people, personally offended by the words used in the [Justice Department] brief to defend DOMA. And I just can't see right now attending a fundraiser for the DNC at this time."

-jpt

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jeffrey Farrow
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2009 8:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Palau/Guantanamo Detainees

B6

The New York Times Just FYI. Palau UN Amb. Beck says you were friends in law school & thought you recognized him when he came in w/Pres. Toribiong. Hope you're not in pain and mend soon. Jeff

June 20, 2009

The Myth of the $12 Million Uighur

By STUART BECK

CONGRATULATIONS Palau. Our little country, a group of islands 500 miles east of the Philippines, has become, if only briefly, a household word. President Obama, much admired in Palau, asked our new president, Johnson Toribiong, to do the United States a favor: Please accept, as refugees, a group of innocent Chinese Muslims. They are not anti-American terrorists, but victims of human rights violations, who landed at Guantánamo Bay for seven years. Innocent, stateless, harmless.

President Toribiong, a lawyer trained at the University of Washington and a highly regarded litigator, told President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton that he needed to assure his people that the Uighurs were indeed harmless, and could be integrated into Palau's small, diverse and friendly culture. Assuming that this due diligence brought satisfactory results, Palau would be pleased to give the refugees temporary residence. Last weekend, he dispatched a number of officials to Guantánamo to interview the Uighurs and review their records.

One would have thought that this positive gesture of friendship from a staunch American ally would have been applauded, at least in the United States. Instead, for reasons that are beyond me, unattributed leaks and unsubstantiated rumors have twisted Palau's act of decency into another grab for dollars by a cunning third-world country. In breaking the story that Palau was amenable to President Obama's request, the Associated Press reported that two anonymous State Department officials had linked Palau's acceptance of the Uighurs to a $200 million payoff.

Almost immediately, much of the news media took the bait, did the math and asserted that Palau was getting nearly $12 million dollars per Uighur. Within a day or two, The Wall Street Journal was pontificating against a shakedown.
Before the story gets too far out of hand, let's consider a few facts. It is true that the United States and Palau have an economic relationship. Palau has been receiving American aid since it was wrested from the Japanese in 1944. (Over the past 15 years, this has averaged about $56 million a year.) This aid has come with strings; as the United States has always insisted that the Palauan government be ready to promptly turn over land for bases should the security of the United States or Palau require it.

In 1994, the two countries agreed to a 50-year option that allows the United States to use Palau for military purposes. That agreement's economic terms expire in October 2009, and a new economic package for the remaining 35 years is in the works — and has been for some time. But there has never been a mention of $200 million. And no one has even hinted at linking the deal to Palau's acceptance of the Uighurs. The United States simply offered to pay relocation costs for the Uighurs of less than $90,000 per person to cover transportation, food, housing and medical help until the men can get oriented and get jobs.

Palau is a small and peaceful community. Its constitution bans weapons of all kinds. Capital crimes are virtually unknown. Social life revolves around the family. Children wander from house to house. Could anyone believe that the leaders of Palau would risk the safety and serenity of their modern Eden in exchange for money? Is it plausible that these close-knit people would countenance the presence of terrorists in their midst? I can assure you the answer is no.

Last week, the Palauans lowered their flags to half-staff in memory of Sgt. Jasper Obakrairur, a Palauan who joined the United States Marines and died on June 1 helping to root out the Taliban in Afghanistan. He was the fourth Palauan serviceman to die in recent years, following his fallen comrades in American uniform Cpl. JayGee Meluat, Cpl. Meresebang Ngiraked and Specialist Philton Ueki. The people of Palau are very proud of them, and of our country's special relationship with the United States. Can't Americans be proud of the relationship, too?

Stuart Beck is Palau's permanent representative to the United Nations.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 10:02 PM
To: H
Subject: Ops has reached out to dennis ross. Haven't heard back from him.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: PIR <preines[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Who is Peter Keting?

I saw the piece yesterday and had stuck in yours today. But they should have identified it as NY Magazine, Not NY Times Magazine

I think he's an online guy, will check

Good piece though. Accompanied by a great photo of you and the President outside the mosque

------Original Message------
From: Evergreen
To: PIR
Subject: Who is Peter Keting?
Sent: Jun 16, 2009 7:38 AM

Did you see the article by him attributed to the NYTimes Magazine. Did it run in the paper version?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

6.23.09

CONCEPT PAPER:
REMARKS TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

This concept paper offers a framework for your "big speech." We propose five parts to the speech:


B5

PART ONE: INTRODUCTION


B5

PART TWO: THE ENDS

B5



Our foreign policy must have clear priorities:



PART THREE: THE WAYS

B5

PART FOUR: THE MEANS

B5

Several approaches will serve as hallmarks of our foreign policy:

B5

PART FIVE: CONCLUSION

[Still working on concluding thoughts/ideas for ending]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 8:00 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Followup

I do not know her but I will call her. Does Lauren have her info?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:41:28 2009
Subject: Followup

Pls contact Judith Barnett who has lots of ideas about partnering w business about the Middle East. Do you know her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 5:27 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Reuters: U.S. State Department speaks to Twitter over Iran

FYI

From: Dowd, Katie W
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 4:47 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Ross, Alec J; Reines, Philippe I
Subject: Reuters: U.S. State Department speaks to Twitter over Iran

I think this is very impressive and several people have reached out to me to express the incredible leadership from State on this. FYI

http://in.reuters.com/article/governmentFilingsNews/idINWBT01137420090616

U.S. State Department speaks to Twitter over Iran

Tue Jun 16, 2009 11:00pm IST

Email | Print | Share | Single Page

[-] Text [+]



1 of 1 Full Size

RELATED NEWS

Twitter delays down time to aid Iranian protesters

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - The U.S. State Department contacted the social networking service Twitter over the weekend to urge it to delay a planned upgrade that could have cut daytime service to Iranians, a U.S. official said on Tuesday.

"We highlighted to them that this was an important form of communication," said the official of the conversation the department had with Twitter at the time of the disputed Iranian election. He declined further details.

(Reporting by Sue Pleming, Editing by Sandra Maler)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 7:25 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: ECA - UPDATE

FYI below – we are working on Acting.

From: McHale, Judith A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Jun 18 22:57:28 2009
Subject: ECA

Cheryl:

I imagine everything is quite crazy at the moment. I did not want to bother you today but would greatly appreciate it if you would please pass along my good wishes to HRC for a fast and good recovery. Hope she will be back on track soon. I am of course happy to help out in any way I can .

Also wanted to give you an update on ECA. Following up on our conversation over the weekend and as per your direction I have had a number of good conversations with the ECA team including Mara. Happy to report we are all on the same page with the career folks fully endorsing the plan. Details as follows:

- Grantee has been sanctioned
- IG is conducting investigation of grantee
- No further grants will be awarded to grantee pending outcome of IG investigation; probable outcome will be delisting of grantee
- Working with Pat Kennedy to develop plan for spot checks of all grantees and random student checks using existing Department resources

The IG investigation started today and as you predicted more information came out in the first meeting which led Miller to request a full investigation of the Youth Services Program which I agreed to.

PJ did the CNN interview this a.m. No doubt it will be a tough story but according to Miller it was an honest discussion. Have been keeping Casey's office in the loop and I plan to visit him personally. In the meantime I would like to move as soon as possible to put someone into the A/S position on an acting basis. Do you have anyone in mind or would you like me to make some suggestions?

JM

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 10:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: New York Times Journalist David Rohde Escapes from Taliban (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri Jun 19 22:04:07 2009
Subject: New York Times Journalist David Rohde Escapes from Taliban (SBU)

(SBU) Embassy Kabul reports Mr. Rohde is at the Toschi Scout Base in North Waziristan, Pakistan. Post adds the Pakistani military will deploy three helicopters to retrieve Mr. Rohde, and his eventual destination will be Dubai via Islamabad.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2009 9:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Bomb Blast Near Khomeini's Shrine in Iran (U)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat Jun 20 09:39:56 2009
Subject: Bomb Blast Near Khomeini's Shrine in Iran (U)

(U) Iranian media report a suicide bomber was killed and two others wounded near the mausoleum in southern Tehran.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 6:39 PM
To: donald rosemarie.howe B6
Cc: H
Subject: Doors

Hrc has selected option B from the drawings. What are next steps?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 9:41 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Koh

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Steinberg, James B; Lew, Jacob J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jun 22 19:24:59 2009
Subject: Koh

Senator Reid filed cloture on Harold Koh's nomination this evening, setting up a Wednesday morning vote. We need full attendance from Dem senators (not counting Kennedy and Byrd) and three Republicans to get to 60.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 10:03 AM
To: Crowley, Philip J; Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: GLIFFA Gay Pride Event - Vandalism (proofed and revised)
Attachments: Gay pride flyer with photos (2).pub

See below in case this b/cs an issue.

From: Robinson, John M
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 10:00 AM
To: Finley, Allen C
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Kennedy, Patrick F
Subject: GLIFFA Gay Pride Event - Vandalism (proofed and revised)

Attached is the flyer for today's event to be held at noon in the Dean Acheson Auditorium.

Our staff observed to our dismay that during the night or early this morning, someone removed and discarded all of the flyers for this event which we had placed in elevator wells throughout the building.

We consider this Anti - Gay vandalism, and though not entirely unexpected, it certainly cannot be tolerated.

My staff is in the process of reprinting and distributing the announcements, which are critical to ensure the broadest possible publicity for attendance. Moreover it is very important that GLIFFA understand the Department of State supports them as fully as we do all other Affinity Groups. Moreover, we will protect their rights to assembly, free speech, and freedom from harassment.

We ask that you immediate help in monitoring the elevator wells and other spaces for the next two hours to deter and discourage vandals. Please have your personnel approach anyone in the act of unauthorized poster removal, and take any other measures you deem appropriate to deal with this situation.

Thank you for the advice you have already given and thanks in advance for the assistance and support you will render to address this problem.

John

John M. Robinson
Director - Office of Civil Rights/ Chief Diversity Officer
U.S. State Department
2201 C Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20520
202-647-9294 voice
202-647-4969 fax
johnmr1@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

S.840 - International Clean Energy Development Act of 2009

Bill Highlights

The International Clean Energy Development Act of 2009, directs the U.S. Asia-Pacific Partnership (APP) Program Office of the U.S. Department of State to establish a Development and Commercialization Committee on Clean and Efficient Energy Technologies. The committee shall review all existing APP action plans that focus on technology advancement, including cleaner fossil fuel and power generation, determine the projects that should be expedited and then leverage existing financial mechanisms to fund them. The bill authorizes funding of $200 million annually from 2010 to 2015. The new committee enables the APP to strengthen its focus on development and commercialization of clean energy technologies – as well as:

- Engages specific countries with the largest markets, manufacturing bases, and distribution chains in order to leverage their assets to achieve lower technology development and commercialization costs and influence global standards.
- Receives a minimum of 100% match in funding. Existing APP Program Office policy requires all projects to have a minimum 1 for 1 match for funding from partners – equaling a program total of $2 billion in 5 years, contingent on U.S. funding at $200 million per year.
- Strengthens U.S. International Climate Diplomacy efforts by strengthening existing channels of engagement with critical countries (China and India) in areas where they can effectively contribute to the global effort of reducing GHG emissions.

APP Background

Initiated in July of 2005, The Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate (APP) brings together seven major Asia-Pacific countries – Australia, Canada, China, India, Japan, Korea, and the United States – that collectively account for more than half of the world's economy, population, and energy use. Within this framework, the Partners are cooperating in voluntary and collaborative efforts to address increased energy needs and the associated issues of air pollution, energy security, and climate change. An innovative public-private sector effort, the Asia-Pacific Partnership was established to achieve these objectives in ways that promote economic development, reduce poverty, and accelerate the development and deployment of cleaner, more efficient technologies. The work of the Asia-Pacific Partnership comprises a wide range of activities. The initial portfolio of Partnership projects is weighted toward activities such best practice identification, and technology research and demonstration, with project examples including: standardized energy efficient lighting; transforming waste to fuel in cement kilns; improving carbon capture technology for coal-fired power plants; developing coal mining health and safety strategies; sharing best practices in power generation; promoting solar power deployment; and increasing usage of cleaner steel technologies.

RELEASE IN FULL

THE PASSPORT CARD TRAVEL ENHANCEMENT ACT (S. 321)

As part of efforts to implement the Western Hemisphere Travel Initiative (WHTI), the Department of State (State) developed the U.S. passport card as a cheaper, more portable alternative to the U.S. passport book in 2008 as required by P.L. 109-295. Over 1 million passport cards have been issued to date.

Applicants for a passport card complete the exact same application as applicants for a passport book (http://travel.state.gov/passport/ppt_card/ppt_card_3926.html), and those applications are adjudicated by State using "the exact same standards" (http://travel.state.gov/passport/ppt_card/ppt_card_3921.html).

Despite these similarities, State only allows U.S. nationals to use the passport card to enter U.S. land and sea ports-of-entry from Canada, Mexico, the Caribbean and Bermuda. State does not allow the passport card to be used for any air travel despite the fact that, prior to 2007, rarely was any documentation required for U.S. citizens to travel by air between the U.S. and Canada, Mexico, Bermuda and the Caribbean.

Therefore, the Passport Card Travel Enhancement amendment would require the Departments of Homeland Security (DHS) and State to accept the passport card as a WHTI-compliant document for air travel between the U.S. and Canada, Mexico, the Caribbean and Bermuda. In today's economic climate, such steps are necessary to provide more, less expensive ways for U.S. citizens to comply with WHTI.

While the passport card is not a globally interoperable document that conforms to International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) standards, Senator Voinovich questions why the card needs to be a globally interoperable document in order for U.S. nationals to use it to fly within the Western Hemisphere to Canada, Mexico, Bermuda and the Caribbean since U.S. nationals generally didn't need any documentation to fly to those destinations prior to 2007 and even today, Canada, Mexico and Bermuda rarely require any documentation from U.S. citizens, much less ICAO compliant documentation. Further, he questions why the passport card needs to meet ICAO standards since DHS already accepts NEXUS cards, which do not meet ICAO standards, for air, land and sea travel between the U.S. and Canada (http://www.cbp.gov/xp/cgov/travel/trusted_traveler/nexus_prog/nexus.xml).

Additionally, Senator Voinovich does not believe allowing the passport card to be used for Western Hemisphere air travel would cause any more confusion than is already associated with WHTI and the multiple travel documents that have been deemed WHTI compliant for some or all entries, or than will result from the status quo, which allows the passport card to be used for some (land and sea) but not all (air) Western Hemisphere air travel;

Lastly, Senator Voinovich does not believe allowing passport cards to be used for limited air travel would increase the cost of providing emergency citizen services abroad because prior to 2007, rarely was any documentation needed to travel to Mexico, Canada, Bermuda and the Caribbean, and the cost of emergency citizen services abroad at that time was not an issue, and furthermore, State does not track the cost of such services by country, so at present, there is no way to track increased costs.

In its comments to State's Proposed Rule regarding the passport card, the Canadian Government suggested allowing the passport card to be used for air travel between Canada and the U.S. Additionally, at the 2008 U.S.-Canada Inter-Parliamentary Group meeting, a resolution was passed declaring that passport cards should be valid for air travel between Canada and the United States.

S. 321 is cosponsored by Senators Klobuchar, Collins, Tester, Murkowski and Bingaman and is supported by the Air Transport Association, the U.S. Travel Association and the National Business Travel Association.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 10:03 PM
To: H
Subject: Hillary: If you're up, give me a call. Sid

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 11:34 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: CLEARANCE REQUESTED - Presidential Delegation to Mongolia

fyi

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 10:27 AM
To: Samuelson, Heather F; Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Peña, Laura; Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
Subject: RE: CLEARANCE REQUESTED - Presidential Delegation to Mongolia

Works.

From: Samuelson, Heather F
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 8:47 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Peña, Laura; Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
Subject: FW: CLEARANCE REQUESTED - Presidential Delegation to Mongolia

As an fyi, the following will be attending the Presidential Delegation to Mongolia:

1) Amb. Mark C. Minton, Ambassador to Mongolia, will lead the delegation.

2) Mr. Nicholas M. Hill, Deputy Chief of Mission, U.S. Embassy of Mongolia

3) Mr. Stanley Roth, Former Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian & Pacific Affairs and current Vice President, International Government Relations, Boeing International

All members were on the approved list from last week.

Let me know if there are any concerns.

Many thanks.

From: Price, Penny L
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 6:58 PM
To: Wills, Laura B; Hegarty, Paul R; Stewart-Coates, Shirley; Davies, Glyn T; Bordley, Donna; Samuelson, Heather F; Morrisey, Evan L
Cc: Rathburn, Thomas G; Price, Penny L
Subject: CLEARANCE REQUESTED - Presidential Delegation to Mongolia

<< File: Delegation Cable Mongolia - Template.doc >>

Thank you,

Penny

Penny L. Price

Office of the Chief of Protocol

202.647.4005 (office)

202.647.1560 (fax)

pricepl@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 3:52 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Search

I am working on gathering these.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:15 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Search

Pls pull for me the speeches I and/or Bill gave about health care to any doctor group in the 90s.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 1:17 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Dan Feldman update

FYI

From: Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 12:27 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura
Subject: Dan Feldman update

Cheryl,

Dan has been hired to be Holbrooke's deputy. He's being vetted, has received interim clearances, and expects to start around July 7.

Margaret

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2009 10:01 AM
To: H
Subject: here's juan cole you may not have seen. Sid

Thursday, June 18, 2009

Day of Mourning, Protests, Called by Mousavi on Thursday

Mir-Hosain Mousavi, who maintains he won last Friday's presidential election despite official assertions that he lost 2 to 1 to Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, is calling for another rally Thursday, this time in part to honor the persons killed by hardliners or security forces in the course of previous demonstrations.

Mourning the martyr is as central to Iranian Shiite religious culture as it was to strains of medieval Catholicism in Europe, and Mousavi's camp is tapping into a powerful set of images and myths here. The archetypal Shiite martyr is Imam Husayn, the grandson of the Prophet Muhammad, who championed oppressed Muslims in Iraq and was cut down by the then Umayyad Muslim Empire. Recognition that a Muslim state might commit the ultimate in sacrilege by beheading a person who had been dangled on the Prophet's knee has imbued modern political Shiism with a distrust of the state. When Husayn's head was brought to the Umayyad caliph Yazid and deposited before his throne, older companions of the Prophet are said to have wept and remarked, "I saw the Prophet's lips on those cheeks." Shiites ritually march, flagellate, and chant in honor of the martyred Imam or divinely-appointed leader.

Today's protesters are wearing green, which symbolizes Mousavi's descent from the Prophet Muhammad. (Mousavi's family name refers to the Seventh Imam (descendant of the Prophet with claims to divine knowledge), Musa Kazim, whose tomb is in Kazimiya, north Baghdad. Sayyid families, those claiming descent from the Prophet, often take one of the Imams' names as a family name to honor them, though of course they are also claiming descent from the previous Imams right back to the Prophet.) The repertoires of protest the reformists are using echo those of the 1978-79 Islamic Revolution-- they are chanting "God is Great," mourning pious fallen martyrs, etc.-- another sign that this movement is not just alienated secularized elites.

But now Mousavi's his supporters are also sporting black ribbons to indicate that they are in mourning for the fallen. Typically, the dead will be commemorated again at one month and at 40 days. In 1978 such demonstrations for those killed in previous demonstrations grew in size all through the year, till they reached an alleged million in the streets of Tehran. Since the reformists are already claiming Monday's rally was a million, you wonder where things will go from here.

The regime's attempt to paint the protesters as nothing more than US intelligence agents underlines how wise President Obama has been not to insert himself forcefully into the situation in Iran. The reformers and the hard liners are not stable groupings. The core of each is competing for the allegiance of the general Iranian public. If the reformers can convince most Iranians of the justice of their cause, they will swing behind the opposition. If the hard liners can convince the public that the reformers are nothing more than cat's paws of a grasping, imperialist West-- i.e. that they are Ahmad Chalabis trying to bring Iran foreign occupation so as to get power themselves-- then the reformists will be crushed. Iranians value national independence above all, having suffered with a CIA-installed goverment for decades in the mid-twentieth century.

The prescriptions of John McCain and Faux Cable news for muscular US diplomacy at this point are tone deaf

to Iranian realities and would backfire big time, harming both the reform cause and US interests. Anyway, after the basket case to which the US Republican Party reduced Iraq, no one in the global South is likely to want them meddling in their internal affairs.

Reports are streaming in of the arrest of over a hundred opposition figures and of hard line militia men following protesters home and breaking into their homes to terrorize them. See e.g., Basij paramilitary forces terrorize residential complex. The Basij militiamen are said to be afraid to come out in numbers during the opposition demonstrations, but sneak around at night to trail protesters and harass or arrest them.

Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei had met Tuesday morning with the representatives of all four presidential candidates, urging them to make up but continuing to insist that Ahmadinejad was the winner by 24 million to 14 million votes. He portrayed the massive post-election demonstrations and charges of ballot fraud as a minor tiff.

Gary Sick wonders if Khamenei really is the supreme leader any more, and hints that the hard line tack of stealing the election was directed by the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps, the country's religious national guard.

Reports are coming in from Iran that allege that the regime is tracking down and destroying satellite dishes, using helicopters for aerial surveillance of neighborhoods and Basij, the right wing militia (sort of like Mussolini's Black Shirts) to do the breaking and entering. Kindly neighbors who have tried to warn suspected satellite dish owners that the militiamen were coming have sometimes reportedly themselves been arrested.

Dell Days of Deals! June 15-24 - A New Deal Everyday!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 7:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Shanghai

Hope you are doing well after your surgery today. So sorry you have to deal with this. A couple items:

- Tom Donohue reports that he called Inouye today and he listened, asked Q's but did not commit

- I don't want to get overconfident but we had a very good week on the Expo. Pepsi at $5M, Microsoft at $1M, Corning at $500K (privately).

- Great mtg today at GE with about 20 corporations. 15 said they would look at it seriously. I think this has turned around. Spoke to Ginsberg, Sosnik (NBA), Mantz and they are engaged.
Get well.

Kris

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 08:00:33 2009
Subject: Re: Followup

Either she or Huma does.

----- Original Message -----
From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Followup

I do not know her but I will call her. Does Lauren have her info?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:41:28 2009
Subject: Followup

Pls contact Judith Barnett who has lots of ideas about partnering w business about the Middle East. Do you know her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2009 1:31 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (New York Times) Times Reporter Held by Taliban Escapes

Story is out. Richard called again to talk to you about it. Statement is being drafted for your review.

From: Diaz, Rafael
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-Pakistan; SSRAP_StaffAssistants
Cc: SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Sat Jun 20 12:44:23 2009
Subject: (New York Times) Times Reporter Held by Taliban Escapes

June 21, 2009

Times Reporter Held by Taliban Escapes

By THE NEW YORK TIMES

A New York Times reporter who was kidnapped by the Taliban has escaped and made his way to freedom after more than seven months of captivity in the mountains of Afghanistan and Pakistan.

David Rohde, along with a local reporter, Tahir Ludin and their driver, Asadullah Mangal, were abducted outside of Kabul on Nov. 10 while Mr. Rohde was researching a book.

Mr. Rohde was part of the Times reporting team that won a Pulitzer Prize in May for coverage of Afghanistan and Pakistan last year.

Mr. Rohde told his wife, Kristen Mulvihill, that Mr. Ludin joined him late Friday night in climbing over the wall of a compound where they were being held in the North Waziristan region of Pakistan. They found a Pakistani army scout, who led them to a nearby army base, and on Saturday they were flown to the American Bagram military base in Afghanistan.

"They just walked over the wall of the compound," said Ms. Muvihill.

Mr. Mangal did not escape with the two other men.

The initial report was that Mr. Rohde was in good health, while Mr. Ludin injured his foot in the escape.

Until now, the kidnapping has been kept quiet by The Times and other media organizations out of concern for the men's safety.

"From the early days of this ordeal, the prevailing view among David's family, experts in kidnapping cases, officials of several governments and others we consulted was that going public could increase the danger to David and the other hostages. The kidnappers initially said as much," said Bill Keller, the executive editor of The Times. "We decided to respect that advice, as we have in other kidnapping cases, and a number of other news organizations that learned of David's plight have done the same. We are enormously grateful for their support."

Since the men were abducted, there has been sporadic communication from them and from the kidnappers.
Ms. Mulvihill expressed relief at the end of the ordeal and gratitude to the many people — official and unofficial — who offered information, advice and support.

"The family is so grateful to everyone who has helped — the New York Times, the U.S. government, all the others. Now we just hope to have a chance to reunite with him in peace," she said.

"We've been married nine months," Ms. Mulvihill added. "And seven of those, David has been in captivity."
Both Mr. Keller and Mr. Rohde's family declined to discuss details of the efforts to free the captives, except to say that no ransom money was paid and no Taliban or other prisoners were released.

"Kidnapping, tragically, is a flourishing industry in much of the world," Mr. Keller said. "As other victims have told us, discussing your strategy just offers guidance for future kidnappers."

Mr. Rohde, 41, had traveled to Kabul in early November to work on a book about the history of American involvement in Afghanistan when he was invited to interview a Taliban commander. Before setting out, Mr. Rohde instructed The Times's bureau in Kabul on whom to notify if he did not return. He also indicated that he believed the interview was important and that he would be all right.

Mr. Rohde joined The Times staff in 1996 after winning a Pulitzer Prize in international reporting for documenting the massacre of Bosnian Muslims in Srebrenica.

Rafael Diaz
Operations Specialist
State Department Operations Center
S/ES-O
(202)647-1512

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762481 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

Gays and Lesbians in Foreign Affairs Agencies (GLIFAA) and the Office of Civil Rights (S/OCR)

invite all to participate in the

2009 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Pride Month Celebration



Tuesday, June 23rd, 2009

12- 1pm, Dean Acheson, HST



OPENING REMARKS:

Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

GUEST SPEAKER:

Eleanor D. "Eldie" Acheson, Esq.

Vice-President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, AMTRAK

Advocate for Gay and Lesbian Rights

Granddaughter of Dean Acheson

PERFORMANCE:

Lesbian and Gay Chorus of Washington, DC

(Selections beginning at 11:45 am)

For more information or to request accommodations, contact Somer Bessire-Briers at BessireSE@State.gov

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762481 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 12:54 PM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Subject: RE: Schedule

Great, will do.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 12:50 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

Be sure I do a video conf w Chris hill in next few weeks.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 7:12 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: Re: Follow-up information regarding legislation mentioned in Senator Voinovich's call to the Secretary (S. 321 and S. 840)

Got it. We are evaluating how best to respond.

From: Abedin, Huma
To: Verma, Richard R
Cc: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tue Jun 23 18:54:38 2009
Subject: Fw: Follow-up information regarding legislation mentioned in Senator Voinovich's call to the Secretary (S. 321 and S. 840)

Rich - This is legislation voinovich talked to her about.

From: Shaw, Tara (HSGAC) [redacted] B6
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Johnston, Todd (Voinovich) [redacted]
Sent: Tue Jun 23 18:40:28 2009
Subject: Follow-up information regarding legislation mentioned in Senator Voinovich's call to the Secretary (S. 321 and S. 840)

Wilma,

Attached is background information on the legislation Senator Voinovich referenced in his call with Secretary Clinton today.

As Senator Voinovich mentioned to the Secretary, both bills are personal priorities for him.

I am the point of contact for the Passport Card Travel Enhancement Act of 2009 (S. 321), and we would like to work with you in an effort to address State's concerns with the legislation. Please let me know if you have questions or need additional information regarding this bill.

Todd Johnston is the point of contact for the International Clean Energy Development Act of 2009 (S.840). He can be reached at 202-224-3353.

Thanks,
Tara

Tara Shaw
Counsel
Senator George V. Voinovich
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management
202-224-1331

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 8:35 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Schumer

Fyi
Nita lowey also just called again. Said she's going into an event and will be out of pocket for a few hours.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns, William J
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 20:30:07 2009
Subject: Re: Schumer

Talked to Sen Schumer. Told him I'm glad to raise in Moscow, and will let him know how it goes. I'm familiar with Bank of NY case from my time as ambassador, and talked to BoNY President last week. Have a good weekend. Bill

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: Burns, William J; Gordon, Philip H; Sullivan, Jacob J; 'rverma [redacted] B6
Sent: Thu Jul 02 20:03:50 2009
Subject: Schumer

Chuck schumer called me cause he's been playing phone tag with hrc. He wanted to talk to her about the problem the bank of ny is having in russia. He didn't realize she wasn't going. When I told him she wasn't, he said he wanted to talk to Bill and ask you to raise this issue with russian officials. Bill, are you able to call him and I will tell hrc you are calling back? Cell is [redacted] or ops can reach him.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 8:57 AM
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Subject: Aliyev

Call with president aliyev has been confirmed for 10am. Ops will call you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 8:04 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Zelaya tentatively on for 1 (but we understand it might fall thru) but we are currently planning on it.
Uribe is asking to talk to you. He's available the rest of the evening and we can also do tomorrow morning but he didn't confirm availability for tomorrow. I've asked tom and jake for points for u.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:00:18 2009
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Did he ask to speak to me? I didn't reach out to him but I'm willing to talk once I get points. Is Zelaya confirmed for tomorrow? I didn't see him on schedule so did it just happen?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 19:57:33 2009
Subject: Uribe tonite

Colombian president alvaro uribe just called through ops. Said he's available anytime tonite to talk. Am trying to track down points for you from tom/jake but since u r seeing zelaya tomorrow, I assume u want to do this tonite?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 6:37 PM
To: H
Subject: Palau offended by US positions

Below is the statement of very impressive Palau reps at the end of a session of required meetings on the next phase of free association aid. FYI only, the Palauans were insulted by the nature and depth of the response to their proposal as well as the content and the overall approach. They are even privately questioning the relationship. (This hasn't affected their intent to take the Uighurs.) I will send a few further thoughts.

At the conclusion of yesterday's meeting, the Palau delegation caucused to discuss its reaction to the response of the United States delegation to the proposals that it made in June in Washington D.C., and expanded upon in subsequent communications.

While the Palau delegation has agreed to respond to certain additional questions raised by the United States delegation on specific elements of the Palau proposal, and further has agreed to participate in certain working groups on specific issues, the Palau delegation was deeply disappointed with the response of the United States delegation. The United States delegation did not seriously respond, as required by the Compact, to the operational requirements of the Government of Palau or to Palau's comprehensive and considered plans to achieve economic advancement and self-sufficiency.

In light of the foregoing, the Palau delegation has determined that it must now return to Palau to discuss with President Toribiong the next steps that Palau needs to take regarding the Compact. Thank you.

Jeff

Jeffrey L. Farrow
[redacted]
202-343-2905 o

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 8:56 PM
To: H
Subject: Aliyev call

Ops just faxed a call sheet for Aliyev to house. Steinberg will be traveling to Baku on July 10, and Jim/Jake/phil think the call from you will help to pave the way for his trip. There is an info memo on latest developments, a cable summary, and a bio. You ok with proceeding with setting up call? We can do over next few days if u r ok.

RELEASE IN PART B5, B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 8:49 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

See b/l

From: Balderston, Kris M
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] B6
Sent: Sat Jul 11 08:42:06 2009
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

We spoke to PIR yesterday and we are going to talk to the WP on monday. PIR has the details.

[redacted] B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Reines, Philippe I; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted]; Balderston, Kris M B6
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:11:01 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

See b/l - article next week need philipe (see the ps)

From: Villarreal, Jose [redacted]
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 05:40:58 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Fyi - Lots of catch up to do, but Secretary's team is being viewed as bringing order to process...xo jose

Ps this guy is widely read among Chinese and expats...

From: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
To: Shanghai Expo Working Group ; Villarreal, Jose

Sent: Fri Jul 10 23:36:03 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog
Just fyi

From: taipeitom [redacted] B6
To: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
Sent: Sat Jul 11 11:32:05 2009
Subject: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

A US Expo 2010 pavilion, after all.

http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350

Filed under:Expo 2010, Expo 2010 - US Pavilion, US Politics — posted by Adam on July 11, 2009 @ 7:00 am

Coming at the end of a tumultuous week in China marked by earthquakes, riots, and continued economic uncertainty, the news that the US had finally confirmed that its participation in Expo 2010 (a/k/a, next year's world fair) didn't seem particularly significant. And, with much of Shanghai's foreign correspondent corps preoccupied with more pressing news elsewhere in China, it didn't receive much coverage. Fair enough, I think. However, insofar as the US pavilion – or lack thereof – had become an increasingly thorny diplomatic issue between China and the United States, the signing ceremony was an important signal that some kind of resolution was at hand.

I've been following the mostly sad saga of the US pavilion for several months now, both on Shanghai Scrap, and elsewhere. And, until two weeks ago, there was absolutely no reason to believe that a participation agreement would ever be signed. Shanghai Expo, Inc., a non-profit authorized by the US State Department to fund-raise, design, build, and operate a US pavilion, had failed to raise sufficient funds to break ground. At yesterday's signing ceremony, Beatrice Camp, the US Consul General in Shanghai blamed the underwhelming fundraising on the global economic crisis – a point that was picked up by the Chinese media. No doubt, the economic crisis played a role, but as Camp and others close to the US effort surely know, the other important factor was the inexperienced and increasingly erratic trio running the non-profit Shanghai Expo, Inc. As recently as last month, one of its members – Frank Lavin, a former US ambassador to Singapore and Undersecretary of Commerce – issued a press release falsely claiming that the US Congress had "adopted" a resolution in support of the US pavilion. This incident, and others like it, succeeded in alienating potential donors (including major US corporations with operations in China), vast swaths of the US expatriate business community in Shanghai, and – most crucially – members of the Shanghai government. For those of us following the events, the question was no longer "How badly will the US damage its relationship with China if it doesn't participate?" but instead became "What's worse for US-China relations? Turning down Shanghai's Expo invite or continuing the current, incompetent effort?"

The July 1 appointment of Jose Villarreal as Commissioner General to the US pavilion effort seems to have changed the equation. A lawyer with ties to the Clintons, Secretary of State Clinton empowered him to oversee the US effort. He didn't waste any time, either, arriving in Shanghai on Monday, and managing to pull of the signing on Friday. One person familiar with Villarreal's role described it as the arrival of an "adult" to a chaotic situation that badly needed one. Indeed, if there's one telling detail to the handful of official and press accounts of yesterday's signing, it's the total absence of the Shanghai Expo, Inc. members from the official comments and photos (US Consulate coverage here; Shanghai government account here), none of whom live or work in Shanghai, anyway. If that was a conscious decision, it was a wise one.

A final point. As Villarreal conceded, the US effort must still raise roughly half of its $61 million budget. I suspect that – with Villarreal in charge of the effort – reluctant wallets will become less so. Regardless, though,

this raises a still unresolved question: namely, what are the actual fundraising rules for the US pavilion effort? Back in 2007, the State Department indicated that it would not sign a participation agreement with Expo 2010, Inc until all funds were raised for a pavilion. Apparently, that ill-conceived policy has changed. I say *apparently* because, up until now, the State Department has refused to release the "action plan" that governs fundraising and other rules related to the US pavilion in Shanghai. As a result of this official intransigence, a Freedom of Information Act request has been filed to obtain this document(s?). Why the secrecy? It's anybody's guess, and according to the State Department's FOIA office, we can keep guessing until Thanksgiving – it's around then that they'll get around to releasing the documents.

[**UPDATE:** Just received notice that groundbreaking for the US pavilion will be on July 17 at 10:30 AM. Secretary of Commerce Gary Locke will be present.]

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 10:44 AM
To: H

Nita lowey called for you.
Also sending thru a nakasone call sheet. It suggests that you call after the IAEA Board formally acclaims Amano's election. The meeting to do that is going on now in Vienna, so we will let you know after the election is formally acclaimed so call can be scheduled if ok with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 6:34 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Jim travel this week

From: Crocker, Bathsheba N
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jul 06 18:23:41 2009
Subject: Jim travel this week

Cheryl, Jake, Huma,

Jim is traveling to Europe this Thursday – Sunday, as follows:

Thursday/Friday: Dubrovnik for the 2009 Croatia Summit

Friday evening/Saturday morning: Baku, Azerbaijan on N-K

Saturday afternoon: Yerevan, Armenia on N-K

Saturday evening/Sunday: Lisbon for the Community of Democracies meeting

He'll leave early Thursday morning and return to DC on Sunday evening.

Amy Scanlon from our office will staff Jim on the trip.

Best, Sheba

Sheba Crocker
Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretary Steinberg
(202) 647-8931

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 7:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Uribe tonite

Colombian president alvaro uribe just called through ops. Said he's available anytime tonite to talk. Am trying to track down points for you from tom/jake but since u r seeing zelaya tomorrow, I assume u want to do this tonite?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 8:20 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/10/09

7:30 am **PHONE CALL w/SWISS FEDERAL COUNCILLOR MICHELINE**
7:45 am **CALMY-REY** Secretary's Residence

8:15 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route State Department

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **PRIVATE BREAKFAST**
9:30 am James Madison Room, 8th floor

9:30 am **OFFICE TIME**
10:00 am Secretary's Office

10:00 am **TOWN HALL MEETING**
11:00 am Dean Acheson Auditorium, First Floor *Approx. 700 employees attending.

11:30 am **PHOTO OPS**
11:35 am Secretary's Outer Office

12:00 pm **SWEARING-IN CEREMONY FOR DANIEL BENJAMIN,**
12:20 pm **COORDINATOR FOR COUNTERTERRORISM** Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor
*Approx. 170 guests attending.

12:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:15 pm Secretary's Office

1:15 pm **MEETING w/TIM SOLSO, CEO OF CUMMINS AND CO-CHAIR US-**
1:30 pm **BRAZIL CEO FORUM** Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **BILATERAL w/EUROPEAN UNION COMMISSIONER BENITA**
2:00 pm **FERRERO-WALDNER** Secy's Conf. Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

2:00 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/UN AMBASSADOR SUSAN RICE**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm **MEETING w/DENNIS ROSS**
3:00 pm Secretary's Office

3:20 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Reagan National Airport

3:35 pm **ARRIVE** Reagan National Airport

4:00 pm **DEPART** Reagan National Airport via US Air Shuttle #2187
En route New York, New York-LaGuardia Airport

5:13 pm **ARRIVE** New York, New York

5:30 pm **DEPART** LaGuardia Airport
En route Private Residence

6:30 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762757 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

Declaración a la prensa
Óscar Arias Sánchez
Presidente de la República de Costa Rica
10 de julio de 2009

Estimables miembros de la prensa nacional e internacional, amigas y amigos:

Antes que nada, quiero agradecerles su presencia aquí durante las últimas horas, y la fidelidad con que han seguido nuestros esfuerzos por alcanzar una solución pacífica al conflicto hondureño. Una prensa que aguarda paciente los productos del diálogo, en lugar de los productos del odio, del enfrentamiento o de la guerra, es en sí misma un símbolo democrático. A través de ustedes, el mundo ha hecho una vigilia por la paz en Honduras.

Como es de su conocimiento, el martes 7 de julio los dos sectores involucrados en este conflicto solicitaron mi mediación para dialogar sobre sus diferencias. Acepté sin dudarlo, porque estoy convencido de que ningún costarricense debe negarse jamás a permitir que prevalezca el diálogo sobre la intransigencia, el perdón sobre el rencor o el acuerdo sobre la fuerza. El mandato de nuestra historia es darle la mano a quien quiera transitar las sendas de la democracia, porque sabemos que eso no disminuye sino que dignifica a nuestro pueblo. Por eso, desde el día de ayer abrí las puertas de mi propio hogar para recibir a los representantes hondureños. Ambos sectores expusieron dos versiones muy distintas de los hechos ocurridos en su país, antes y después del 28 de junio pasado. Así se empiezan a sanar las heridas de un conflicto: hablando de frente con la verdad.

Ayer les dije que esto tomaría tiempo. Pero insistiré hasta el cansancio que en la larga epopeya de la humanidad, el paso decisivo es siempre el primero. Los hermanos hondureños han dado aquí ese paso, se han visto a los ojos y han hablado con franqueza. Eso es, por su cuenta, un logro capital para su país. Ambos sectores han afirmado su convicción de que es con el verbo, y no con la pólvora, con que se escribirán los trazos de su reconciliación. En ningún momento hemos escuchado insultos ni amenazas en las conversaciones sostenidas. En ningún momento los sectores se han dejado de escuchar. Ambos han acordado continuar con las conversaciones a la mayor brevedad posible, y no descansar hasta alcanzar un acuerdo que resuelva esta crisis.

Costa Rica continuará mediando mientras se lo sigan pidiendo los sectores involucradas. Si algo en nuestra historia o en nuestra cultura puede servir para traer tranquilidad a nuestros hermanos, no dudaremos en prestar nuestro servicio. Porque sabemos que en ausencia de diálogo, una visión debe necesariamente aniquilar a la otra. Sólo en el diálogo, dos versiones distintas de una misma realidad pueden convivir. Para quienes no creen en lo que estamos haciendo aquí, para quienes fustigan nuestras intenciones, les digo que no seré yo, ni los delegados que tan arduamente han trabajado, quienes desmentiremos sus acusaciones. Serán los acuerdos que los sectores alcancen. Será la paz que regresará a Honduras. Será la estabilidad que sólo surgirá de las voluntades conjuntas de ambos sectores. Estas puertas son a prueba de balas retóricas, y así como hace veintidós años no nos desalentaron los oscuros presagios de quienes veían el diálogo como un obstáculo, y no como un fin, esta vez tampoco nos van a desalentar. No mandaré a callar a nadie. Nunca ha sido mi estilo. Yo lucho por principios y no contra personas. Por eso seguiré defendiendo esos principios, que son los de la paz, el entendimiento y la

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762757 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762757 Date: 06/30/2015

voluntad para alcanzar acuerdos. Y la mejor forma de defenderlos es estar aquí, donde me llamen.

En los próximos días anunciaremos la fecha de nuestro siguiente encuentro. Sepan, desde ahora, que será de nuevo un encuentro respetuoso y franco, con el fin último de robustecer la democracia en Honduras y permitir la gobernabilidad. Una vez más pido que nos dejen a los centroamericanos resolver los problemas de los centroamericanos, tal y como lo hicimos veintidós años atrás. Porque hemos madurado más y lo podemos demostrar.

Al pueblo de Honduras, le pido que conserve sus anhelos, que continúe con sus oraciones, que no quite la mirada del horizonte donde despuntará el sol de un nuevo día. No destruyan, con violencia, lo que hemos construido aquí con esperanza. Porque en la democracia, más que en ningún otro sistema, siempre hay una segunda oportunidad. Siempre hay razón para esperar con ilusión. Sus líderes han demostrado que están dispuestos a escribir de nuevo la historia. Y aquí en Costa Rica tenemos papel y lápiz de sobra. Serán bienvenidos cualquier día, a cualquier hora.

Muchas gracias.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05762757 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 7:48 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Jul 10 21:29:39 2009
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

From: Jeff Sachs [redacted] B6
To: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri Jul 10 20:52:06 2009
Subject: Congratulations!

Dear Nora,

Please pass along the following message to Cheryl.

Thanks,

Jeff Sachs

Dear Cheryl,

Congratulations on the launch of the $20b G8 initiative. This will stand as a historic success of President Obama and Secretary Clinton. Please do convey my personal congratulations and gratitude to Secretary Clinton if you have a moment to do so. I know what a key role you personally played in bringing this to fruition.

I would like to suggest one overarching strategy for success.

Please do push hard on the donors (USAID included!) to pool the donor resources into one, at most two, multilateral funding streams. Certainly the World Bank should be the main spigot, and IFAD possibly a second. By pooling the resources, we'll cut down BY YEARS on the delays, donor negotiations, further talk, report writing, expert trips, etc., etc., which are now the biggest single threat to success of the initiative.

I look forward to a few minutes by phone or in person to offer some tips coming from long years of experience in this sphere. This can be a real historic path-breaker, if it's not swallowed in the agencies!

Warm regards and kudos!

Jeff Sachs

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 9:10 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: OAS Update

Will call you now

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 08:54:16 2009
Subject: Re: OAS Update

Can you talk? What time is best?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:41:32 2009
Subject: Fw: OAS Update

I just spoke to tom (see b/l)..

He thinks for the moment a call by you is not indicated - first, Zeleya was unpersuadable by 2am by even his closet allies and second, tom said folks appear to stepping away from him.

He intends to fly mid-day so there will be plenty of time for more discussion over next several hours.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:00:22 2009
Subject: OAS Update

Update:

-- Resolution suspending Honduras passed with a roll call vote, requested by the Caribbeans, 33-0. Honduras did not vote.

-- Strong push by key countries, including Mexico and Costa Rica, to try to convince Zelaya not to return today.

-- Zelaya seems intent on returning. If no other presidents travel with him, Insulza feels under an obligation to accompany.

-- I am touching bases now to figure out what latest state of play is; I think we could have a busy Sunday.

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Your CFR speech

1. This draft, sent by separate email, is intended to give you options and ideas. Use as you wish. Several ideas are at the core of this version: in particular, the concept of the US as a modern global nation and how that serves our capacity for leadership.
2. For most policy speeches a generic tone and workmanlike prose are acceptable. But for this one, it is not. This speech can't afford to be lackluster. It will then be held up in invidious comparison to Obama's glittering best efforts. Your speech must have, amid the policies, a distinctive and authoritative voice.
3. Your early draft makes no distinctions between allies or partners; indeed, it barely mentions allies. The early draft also features a strong malaise theme. Even if rebutted after being raised, the focus is on obstacles and hindrances, not on achievements, opportunities and what can be achieved. There's no accounting of progress so far. The effect is downbeat in tone. At the same time, the draft contains passages of vague and gauzy liberal universalism, while in one line assailing it, an unconvincing juggling act. The notion that all nations and peoples want the same things as Americans will not fly and is open to derision. It reflects blithe liberal cultural imperialism, among other things. (See Graham Greene. And, on the contrary, some nations—and peoples—seek nuclear weapons, like Iran; some don't want to curb global warming, like China; some don't care about human rights, like most Arab nations; some have contempt for democracy, including de facto allies like Egypt, not to mention Myanmar; and some are indifferent to poverty, any number of African kleptocracies.) Also there is some confusion of definitions, for example, asserting dialogue with adversaries is "strategic engagement," when that is a concept usually applied to allies and partners.
4. The speech must be crafted with a sense of real time and cannot be delivered out of sync with it. Slogans can become shopworn, especially those that lack analytical, historical and descriptive power. What served you once, at your confirmation, may not serve again in the same way. The most cogent foreign policy columnists from Clive Crook of the Financial Times to David Rothkopf of Foreign Policy have already written columns in the last week on the limits of "soft power" (i.e., "smart power") and others I understand are preparing to make similar criticisms. I would use sparingly or not at all. You do not

need to have a complex foreign policy agenda reduced to two words that the administration's adversaries will undoubtedly use against you.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 1:08 PM
To: H; Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Joe DiMento

His cell is

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Sat Jul 11 12:45:09 2009
Subject: Joe DiMento

Do either of you have his email or cell info?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 11:42 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: IAEA Amano acclamation completed (SBU)

Will reach out and see if he can do it at noon.

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri Jul 03 11:21:55 2009
Subject: IAEA Amano acclamation completed (SBU)

(SBU) According to UNVIE, new IAEA Director General Amano's acclamation is completed.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 7:04 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2
Attachments: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2.doc

Attached is the latest version of the speech, incorporating comments from Sandy and Strobe, as well as edits from Cheryl and Anne-Marie and Lissa.

While it has improved, it has also grown in length. It is now just a bit shorter than your Senate confirmation testimony. There are places to cut, but I want you to see it in full so you can make your own assessments.

John Podesta will send a few additional thoughts later today or tomorrow – the rest of his comments are captured in this revised text.

Dennis is going to sharpen and hone the sections on the Middle East and Iran, and I will ask Holbrooke to work on the Af-Pak piece.

I am available any time to discuss.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 2:29 PM
To: H
Subject: Message from kurt campbell and kurt tong

They said:"Our side has been dialing on Friday evening and Saturday morning our time (Saturday morning and Saturday evening over there), with no answer. Our side will keep trying, starting again this evening (Sunday morning over there).

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 7:40 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes I will let them know now.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Mon Jul 06 07:23:29 2009
Subject: Schedule

I'm seeing Santa at 8:30 so won't take off until closer to 9:30. Is that ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 3:22 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

Sorry yes exactly. B6
We have shy tomorrow at 10am too.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 10:13:47 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 09:59:42 2009
Subject:

Hope u had a good weekend

I'm sorry I missed you, I'm going to catch shuttle later.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 6:56 AM
To: mark [redacted] B6
Cc: H
Subject: Re: Secretary Sebelius

Happy to call. Will check in with hrc.

From: Mark Hyman, MD [redacted]
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Hillary Clinton <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thu Jul 09 00:34:39 2009
Subject: Secretary Sebelius

Dear Huma,

It was great to see you today however briefly.....

Tim Collins just called me after his meeting with Secretary Sebelius tonight and she was interested in what we are trying to do. Tim suggested it would be helpful if you or the Secretary called to introduce me to her. Then I could set up a meeting tomorrow while I am still here. I am leaving at 630 pm.. I would really appreciate it — I think it could help move things along!

Be well,

Mark

PS I am on my cell [redacted]

--

Mark Hyman, MD
Vice Chair, Institute for Functional Medicine
Founder and Medical Director
The UltraWellness Center
45 Walker Street
Lenox, MA 01240

[redacted]

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2009 9:10 AM
To: H; MillsCD@state.gov; cheryl.mills
Subject: fyi... Sid
Attachments: hrc memo rothkopf piece 070909.docx

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 2009

For: Hillary, Cheryl
From: Sid
Re: The drift

FYI: This is the first of what will likely soon be other analyses in the same vein as I am led to understand.

http://rothkopf.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2009/07/08/the_problem_when_the_president_is_the_policy

Foreign Policy

The problem when the president is the policy...

By David J. Rothkopf

Wed, 07/08/2009 - 11:25am

You could tell it wasn't going well. The conversations with Medvedev and Putin were tense, the body language awkward. The speech at the New Economic School laid an egg. The press seemed bored with the visit of America's rock star president. And as for real results, well, there weren't any.

Looking for explanations in an article Clifford Levy and Ellen Barry in today's *New York Times* called "In Russia, Obama's Star Power Does Not Translate," a range of possible answers were rolled out: Russians are jaded, Russians don't go for U.S. political posturing, Obama's speeches don't translate well, and, according to one person who ought to understand politics, a Russian circus designer, "Russians are the smartest people in the

world." (A fact they have carefully hidden behind a veil of hundreds of years of economic and political catastrophe.)

Somehow, the formula that has been working for Barack Obama since early in 2007 suddenly seems to have gone cold. It is not enough simply to be him or to roll out Michelle and the girls (all of whom joined him in Moscow...unlike say, his top two State Department officials). What's more, it's not just Russia. Oh sure, the press still swoon in most corners of the world and crowds and political leaders still get all fluttery when first exposed to America's charming, thoughtful, intelligent, young president. But we are now starting to see what happens when being Barack Obama is not enough. We are now starting to see the shortcomings of the new administration's approach wherein the president has actually been the policy.

He has said we had changed and offered himself as evidence. He has been what we have offered to friends in terms of visits, access and calls. He has been the headline grabber, the spokesperson, the new voice of America. He has enabled the administration to take inherited policies and wrap them in Obama-paper with Obama-glitter all over it and all of a sudden, the old was repackaged into appearing new. Where there have been differences, as with the concept of engagement, the change has been sold as a difference between him and his predecessor, with his speeches describing what was new and the possibility of interacting with him being the potential pay-off.

This played big during his early trips overseas, the novelty value was high and the eagerness to move on from the painful prior era was great. At the G20 meeting, at the Summit of the Americas, in Cairo, the concept of "president as policy" seemed to be working. But now we see where it is not. Not in Russia. Not with the Iranians who are happy to accept engagement and anything else that will be given to them but no strings, please Not with Hugo Chavez, who hammed it up with Obama in Trinidad but led his misfit chorus in reflexively hammering the United States after the coup in Honduras. Certainly not in North Korea.

It seems that having the president as policy works best with the people who are pre-disposed to like us and to some extent with the young and the disenfranchised. But with the hard cases, with our enemies, it falls painfully and dangerously flat.

In these instances, the new president is discovering that something much more than personal diplomacy and smile from the genuinely appealing Obama clan is needed. In these instances, we are going to need to go back to the drawing board and do the grunt work of foreign policy, the tough negotiations, the nuanced position changes, the threats, the cajoling. It's a very different game from American politics and, in fact, is often completely unconnected to it. What works here, very often does not play at all overseas.

There is a problem with this new reality. It requires a coordinated, multi-tiered, high-functioning foreign policy establishment. It needs the State Department to be in a central role. It needs the NSC to work both as a policy development and policy implementation mechanism and the National Security Advisor to be seen and respected...like the Secretary of State...as key advisors. It requires that the foreign policy of the United States is not centered too heavily either the president or the executive office of the president -- although the president will always remain the ultimate key. It is perfectly appropriate for the president to be part of the product, part of the rewards offered, and the mastermind...but he needs to move beyond campaign mode to something new. It is much akin to the entrepreneur of a successful company recognizing that for the company to grow further, to become a mature organization, he is going to need a mature structure that depends less on him and more on delegation of power to effective lieutenants and their teams.

We're not there yet. The secretary of state and the State Department have been visibly marginalized. She has become a kind of behind the scenes player. She's not on the Sunday morning shows. The president or the vice president handles the big media assignments (often not sounding exactly like they were on the same page). The National Security Advisor, for all his personal strengths, is viewed as a bit of a lost cause five months into the presidency. One of his predecessors in the job said to me when asked whether he viewed General Jones as failing in the job, "to me, on that issue, where there is smoke, there is fire." Tom Donilon, Jones's deputy, is seen to be managing the NSC process. Denis McDonough and Mark Lippert, Obama confidantes, are seen to be working closely with him and his inner office team (Rahm Emanuel, the VP, David Axelrod, Greg Craig and others) to play the leading role in shaping policy.

Clearly neither Hillary Clinton nor Jim Jones is a weak person. But all power in the U.S. national security apparatus flows from the president. And there is no denying that, despite the fact that they show up at work

every day and go through the motions, that their roles don't measure up to many of their predecessors and the structure that is emerging suggests problems to come.

It's time to move out of campaign mode and into governing mode. It's time recognize that it really does take a big team of empowered leaders to make the complex foreign policy of the U.S. work and evolve in the right directions. It's time to recognize that it does not reflect badly on the president if we all agree he cannot transform the world single handedly, that however different he may be from his predecessors, that alone is not enough.

ALEXEY DRUZHININ/AFP/Getty Images

David Rothkopf | Permalink | | Comments? Login or register

(filed under:

- Obama Administration | Russia | U.S. Foreign Policy

)

Stay cool with this summer's hottest movies. Moviefone brings you trailers, celebrities, movie showtimes and tickets!

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 2009

For: Hillary, Cheryl
From: Sid
Re: The drift

FYI: This is the first of what will likely soon be other analyses in the same vein as I am led to understand.

http://rothkopf.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2009/07/08/the_problem_when_the_president_is_the_policy

Foreign Policy

The problem when the president is the policy...

By David J. Rothkopf

Wed, 07/08/2009 - 11:25am



You could tell it wasn't going well. The conversations with Medvedev and Putin were tense, the body language awkward. The speech at the New Economic School laid an egg. The press seemed bored with the visit of America's rock star president. And as for real results, well, there weren't any.

Looking for explanations in an article Clifford Levy and Ellen Barry in today's *New York Times* called "In Russia, Obama's Star Power Does Not Translate," a range of possible answers were rolled out: Russians are jaded, Russians don't go for U.S. political posturing, Obama's speeches don't translate well, and, according to one person who ought to understand politics, a Russian circus designer, "Russians are the smartest people in the world." (A fact they have carefully hidden behind a veil of hundreds of years of economic and political catastrophe.)

Somehow, the formula that has been working for Barack Obama since early in 2007 suddenly seems to have gone cold. It is not enough simply to be him or to roll out Michelle and the girls (all of whom joined him in Moscow...unlike say, his top two State Department officials). What's more, it's not just Russia. Oh sure, the press still swoon in most corners of the world and crowds and political leaders still get all fluttery when first exposed to America's charming, thoughtful, intelligent, young president. But we are now starting to see what happens when being Barack Obama is not enough. We are now starting to see the shortcomings of the new administration's approach wherein the president has actually been the policy.

He has said we had changed and offered himself as evidence. He has been what we have offered to friends in terms of visits, access and calls. He has been the headline grabber, the spokesperson, the new voice of America. He has enabled the administration to take inherited policies and wrap them in Obama-paper with Obama-glitter all over it and all of a sudden, the old was repackaged into appearing new. Where there have been differences, as with the concept of engagement, the

change has been sold as a difference between him and his predecessor, with his speeches describing what was new and the possibility of interacting with him being the potential pay-off.

This played big during his early trips overseas, the novelty value was high and the eagerness to move on from the painful prior era was great. At the G20 meeting, at the Summit of the Americas, in Cairo, the concept of "president as policy" seemed to be working. But now we see where it is not. Not in Russia. Not with the Iranians who are happy to accept engagement and anything else that will be given to them but no strings, please Not with Hugo Chavez, who hammed it up with Obama in Trinidad but led his misfit chorus in reflexively hammering the United States after the coup in Honduras. Certainly not in North Korea.

It seems that having the president as policy works best with the people who are pre-disposed to like us and to some extent with the young and the disenfranchised. But with the hard cases, with our enemies, it falls painfully and dangerously flat.

In these instances, the new president is discovering that something much more than personal diplomacy and smile from the genuinely appealing Obama clan is needed. In these instances, we are going to need to go back to the drawing board and do the grunt work of foreign policy, the tough negotiations, the nuanced position changes, the threats, the cajoling. It's a very different game from American politics and, in fact, is often completely unconnected to it. What works here, very often does not play at all overseas.

There is a problem with this new reality. It requires a coordinated, multi-tiered, high-functioning foreign policy establishment. It needs the State Department to be in a central role. It needs the NSC to work both as a policy development and policy implementation mechanism and the National Security Advisor to be seen and respected...like the Secretary of State...as key advisors. It requires that the foreign policy of the United States is not centered too heavily either the president or the executive office of the president -- although the president will always remain the ultimate key. It is perfectly appropriate for the president to be part of the product, part of the rewards offered, and the mastermind...but he needs to move beyond campaign mode to something new. It is much akin to the entrepreneur of a successful company recognizing that for the company to grow further, to become a mature organization, he is going to need a mature structure that depends less on him and more on delegation of power to effective lieutenants and their teams.

We're not there yet. The secretary of state and the State Department have been visibly marginalized. She has become a kind of behind the scenes player. She's not on the Sunday morning shows. The president or the vice president handles the big media assignments (often not sounding exactly like they were on the same page). The National Security Advisor, for all his personal strengths, is viewed as a bit of a lost cause five months into the presidency. One of his predecessors in the job said to me when asked whether he viewed General Jones as failing in the job, "to me, on that issue, where there is smoke, there is fire." Tom Donilon, Jones's deputy, is seen to be managing the NSC process. Denis McDonough and Mark Lippert, Obama confidantes, are seen to be working closely with him and his inner office team (Rahm Emanuel, the VP, David Axelrod, Greg Craig and others) to play the leading role in shaping policy.

Clearly neither Hillary Clinton nor Jim Jones is a weak person. But all power in the U.S. national security apparatus flows from the president. And there is no denying that, despite the fact that they show up at work every day and go through the motions, that their roles don't measure up to many of their predecessors and the structure that is emerging suggests problems to come.

It's time to move out of campaign mode and into governing mode. It's time recognize that it really does take a big team of empowered leaders to make the complex foreign policy of the U.S. work and evolve in the right directions. It's time to recognize that it does not reflect badly on the president if we all agree he cannot transform the world single handedly, that however different he may be from his predecessors, that alone is not enough.

ALEXEY DRUZHININ/AFP/Getty Images

David Rothkopf | Permalink | | Comments? Login or register
(filed under:

- Obama Administration | Russia | U.S. Foreign Policy

)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 12:49 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: De factos ready to deal

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 11:32:30 2009
Subject: De factos ready to deal

We have heard that the de facto government will issue a statement today indicating that it is ready to negotiate a resolution to the Honduran crisis.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 1:03 PM
To: H; Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Re: Joe DiMento

I have his email [redacted] B6

May have his cell too- ill check my files

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Sat Jul 11 12:45:09 2009
Subject: Joe DiMento

Do either of you have his email or cell info?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 8:33 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: BBC: Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

Fyi B6

From: Richard Socarides
To: Cheryl Mills Melanne Verveer
Sent: Mon Jul 06 06:56:27 2009
Subject: BBC: Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

Investigating reports of the murder and torture of gay men in Iraq, Ashley Byrne found that some gays found Saddam Hussein's dictatorship preferable to the threat of violence they face today. *Some readers will find parts of his report disturbing.*

There has been so much news of death and destruction from Iraq that the position of sexual minorities is rarely touched on in the mainstream media.

But stories of torture and murder of gay Iraqis, particularly men, have been emerging in the gay press for several years.

Investigating these stories for a BBC Radio 5 Live documentary, Gay Life After Saddam, I've heard a range of views about the deteriorating conditions for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) people.

Some say the violence has intensified in the past few months. Others say killings run into the hundreds and have been going on since 2003.

What is clear, and confirmed by separate evidence from various human rights groups, is that some gay men have been subjected to appalling violent abuse.

One young Iraqi, Amil (not his real name) recalled the death of a friend: "They found out he was gay and they killed him and they chopped him like a lamb, it was awful."

Another man (who wished to remain anonymous) revealed to Scott Long from the New York-based Human Rights Watch, how his partner was kidnapped and killed.

"It was late one night when four armed men came to take my boyfriend from his parents' house.

> " **They found out he was gay and they killed him and they chopped him like a lamb** "
> Amil, a gay Iraqi

"They were masked and dressed in black.

"We found his body the next day dumped in the garbage, his throat cut out, his genitals cut off."

Of all the shocking testimony we heard, a form of torture involving glue has to be the most awful revelation to emerge from our investigations.

Human Rights Watch researcher Rasha Moumneh told us: "We've heard stories of gay-specific torture where men have glue in their anuses and they force-feed them laxatives."

Gay men inside Iraq have been able to seek sanctuary in safe houses, thanks to the UK-based Iraqi Lesbian Gay Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) group, which manages them from London.

The documentary team were granted exclusive access to one of the homes on the outskirts of Baghdad, where we found a 31-year-old transsexual man called Qasim (not his real name).

Qasim said: "I'm scared all the time. I often think people are going to come in the night and take me because I am particularly known as gay."

All the LGBT Iraqis interviewed for Gay Life After Saddam maintained that life was easier for them when Saddam Hussein was in power, from 1979 to 2003.

Some spoke fondly of an underground gay culture that flourished before the war in Baghdad.

But it was unclear exactly what Saddam's view on homosexuality was, and there has been some evidence to suggest that the former dictator was acting to clamp down on sexual minorities in the latter years of his reign.

So who is to blame for the violence against LGBT people in Iraq?

Some blame militia, while other accuse religious leaders of stoking up hatred of homosexuals, though some clerics have also recently condemned the attacks on gays.

The Iraqi government and police also deny that there have been any state-sanctioned killings or torture of homosexuals in Iraq.

What is clear however is that gay people in Iraq have not been crushed.

He may be holed up in a safe house but Qasim is hopeful: "I find the Iraqi prime minister quite good.

"I hope he can talk with religious leaders, change the constitution and punish and imprison the murderers."

Meanwhile the refugee who runs the safe houses from London, Ali Hilli, lives in constant fear of his life after being sent two fatwas, or Islamic religious rulings.

But he has insisted he will not give up trying to help gay people in Iraq.

"If I think too much that someone is going to get me and kill me then I know I'd stop what I'm doing.

"I have to continue because I really believe in the work that I am doing."

Gay Life After Saddam was broadcast on Radio 5 Live, Sunday 5 July.

Story from BBC NEWS:
http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/2/hi/middle_east/8133639.stm

Published: 2009/07/06 08:00:43 GMT

© BBC MMIX

--

Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP

501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 5:05 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: B6

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 15:48:34 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 15:21:39 2009
Subject: Re:

Sorry yes exactly. [redacted]
We have shy tomorrow at 10am too.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 10:13:47 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 09:59:42 2009
Subject:

Hope u had a good weekend [redacted]
[redacted]
I'm sorry I missed you, I'm going to catch shuttle later. [redacted]
[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B5

ST suggestions

I. INTRODUCTION

B5

[redacted]

Comment [ST1]: separate bullet, since it goes beyond Iran/N. Korea

B5

[redacted]

Comment [ST2]: I think this trio works better—and it avoids yet another "challenges."

Comment [ST3]: The earlier part of the para refers to Americans as well; this point relates to those abroad.

Comment [ST4]: Suggest deleting. "Unique" makes the point. Don't push the exceptionalist/ "indispensable nation" line too hard....

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

B5

Comment [ST5]: Point here being that those dumb-power things weren't good or effective in the 20th century either.

Comment [ST6]: I'd suggest this line because it sets up "smart power" below.

Comment [ST7]: They haven't JUST become that....

Comment [ST8]: simpler, easier for listener to absorb.

Comment [ST9]: Whenever possible, assert/remind what are the common themes, the core, guiding principels

Comment [ST10]: Point here is that a number of his predecessors were willing to do all of those thing—and indeed DID all of those things. It's the "new level" point that sets him apart.

B5

[redacted]

Comment [ST11]: Again, it's not yet established as "new" framework — it's really an updating of the pre-Bush43 framework.

Comment [ST12]: Seems to me you've got to mention this here, otherwise it's conspicuous by its absence

Comment [ST13]: COMMENT: I'm not sure these are always opposites; sometimes the arrogance of power has been rooted in naïveté of a particularly pernicious sort.

Comment [ST14]: I'm suggesting avoiding echo of "the ends justifies the means."

B5

[redacted]

Comment [ST15]: "recovery" is a solution, not a problem or crisis.

Comment [ST16]: Again, the word "challenge"—justifiably!—is in danger of overuse.

Comment [ST17]: Here I'm suggesting making clearer the point that just because climate change is a "long-term threat" doesn't mean urgent action can be put off.

Comment [ST18]: I really don't think this cliché—a throwback to Gerry Ford!—adds anything.

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [ST19]: A direct quote from HRC at the Wednesday lunch—and a very good line if put in the right context, which is what's happening here.

Comment [ST20]: cut cuz defensive-sounding

Formatted: Strikethrough


Comment [ST21]: Unfortunately, the "actors" don't—yet—demand it. They need to be persuaded.

Comment [ST22]: everyone's! not just "ours"

Comment [ST23]: point: "support" by itself suggests only the case where such governments exist—adding "encourage" suggests promoting where they don't yet exist, or aren't yet established.

Comment [ST24]: not sure they're "at the essence"

B5



Comment [ST25]: This is an important change: alas, America has emphatically and all too often NOT been all those good things. We were too often acting on the priniple that the enemy of our enemy was our friend, even if that friend was dictatorial--"our son of a bitch." So this needs to be put in terms of a promise and of more principled application of a principle that was honored in the breach in the past.

Comment [ST26]: I suspect there will be a question about whether to be this blunt, but I'd argue for it. Bush43 made this concept his own. put his own brand on it.

Comment [ST27]: point being, al Qaeda is, in fact, an NGO!


Comment [ST28]: The media doesn't wield the pen, the censors do.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [ST29]: This is one of the very few awkward and not very clear phrases in the speech. All those "c" words sound like "peter piper picked a peck..." —and I'm not sure what it means.


Formatted: Highlight

B5



Comment [ST30]: I would plea for avoiding "multi-polar." It's a Euro-cliché now gone universal, but it's not really valid analytically, nor is it smart to use it, precisely because it does echo the rhetoric of those who want to see America be "just another country." Sorry this costs the speech the "multi-polar"/ "multi-partner" line, but I really think it's important to remove multipolar from the vocabulary of the administration. Happy to elaborate.

B5



Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [ST31]: I find this gratuitous, gilding the lily, etc. Also, the Santayana quote as become one of the hoariest clichés around. Whereas, what follows is a key point—and will get more attention without the what I'm suggesting be cut.


Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [ST32]: I'm not sure what "resources" means, so suggest cutting


Comment [ST33]: "harnessing"? That doesn't sound good! Or wouldn't to them.

Comment [ST34]: again, I'm looking to trim back the use of "challenge"

B5



B5




Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Highlight


Comment [ST35]: this is mutilat jaron that should be avoided

Formatted: Strikethrough

B5



B5





Formatted: Highlight

Comment [ST36]: I think this passage needs more work.

Formatted: Highlight


B5






B5

Comment [ST37]: I'd like to discuss how this passage—which I totally support—will be read in India.



B5

B5




Comment [ST38]: Urge you cut! I assume it's a dig at Kagan's book and its title. But it misses the irony in the title and Kagan's point. That's another lunch. Just take it out.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [ST39]: "Sovereignty" is a more complicated point.



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 7:10 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Read through - nice complement to jose's induction to the process

---

From: Villarreal, Jose [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 05:40:58 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Fyi - Lots of catch up to do, but Secretary's team is being viewed as bringing order to process...xo jose

Ps this guy is widely read among Chinese and expats...

From: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
To: Shanghai Expo Working Group ; Villarreal, Jose
Sent: Fri Jul 10 23:36:03 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog
Just fyi

From: taipeitom [redacted]
To: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
Sent: Sat Jul 11 11:32:05 2009
Subject: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

A US Expo 2010 pavilion, after all.

http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350

Filed under:Expo 2010, Expo 2010 - US Pavilion, US Politics — posted by Adam on July 11, 2009 @ 7:00 am

Coming at the end of a tumultuous week in China marked by earthquakes, riots, and continued economic uncertainty, the news that the US had finally confirmed that its participation in Expo 2010 (a/k/a, next year's world fair) didn't seem particularly significant. And, with much of Shanghai's foreign correspondent corps preoccupied with more pressing news elsewhere in China, it didn't receive much coverage. Fair enough, I think. However, insofar as the US pavilion – or lack thereof – had become an increasingly thorny diplomatic issue between China and the United States, the signing ceremony was an important signal that some kind of resolution was at hand.

I've been following the mostly sad saga of the US pavilion for several months now, both on Shanghai Scrap, and elsewhere. And, until two weeks ago, there was absolutely no reason to believe that a participation agreement would ever be signed. Shanghai Expo, Inc., a non-profit authorized by the US State Department to fund-raise, design, build, and operate a US pavilion, had failed to raise sufficient funds to break ground. At yesterday's signing ceremony, Beatrice Camp, the US Consul General in Shanghai blamed the underwhelming fundraising on the global economic crisis – a point that was picked up by the Chinese media. No doubt, the

economic crisis played a role, but as Camp and others close to the US effort surely know, the other important factor was the inexperienced and increasingly erratic trio running the non-profit Shanghai Expo, Inc. As recently as last month, one of its members – Frank Lavin, a former US ambassador to Singapore and Undersecretary of Commerce – issued a press release falsely claiming that the US Congress had "adopted" a resolution in support of the US pavilion. This incident, and others like it, succeeded in alienating potential donors (including major US corporations with operations in China), vast swaths of the US expatriate business community in Shanghai, and – most crucially – members of the Shanghai government. For those of us following the events, the question was no longer "How badly will the US damage its relationship with China if it doesn't participate?" but instead became "What's worse for US-China relations? Turning down Shanghai's Expo invite or continuing the current, incompetent effort?"

The July 1 appointment of Jose Villarreal as Commissioner General to the US pavilion effort seems to have changed the equation. A lawyer with ties to the Clintons, Secretary of State Clinton empowered him to oversee the US effort. He didn't waste any time, either, arriving in Shanghai on Monday, and managing to pull of the signing on Friday. One person familiar with Villarreal's role described it as the arrival of an "adult" to a chaotic situation that badly needed one. Indeed, if there's one telling detail to the handful of official and press accounts of yesterday's signing, it's the total absence of the Shanghai Expo, Inc. members from the official comments and photos (US Consulate coverage here; Shanghai government account here), none of whom live or work in Shanghai, anyway. If that was a conscious decision, it was a wise one.

A final point. As Villarreal conceded, the US effort must still raise roughly half of its $61 million budget. I suspect that – with Villarreal in charge of the effort – reluctant wallets will become less so. Regardless, though, this raises a still unresolved question: namely, what are the actual fundraising rules for the US pavilion effort? Back in 2007, the State Department indicated that it would not sign a participation agreement with Expo 2010, Inc until all funds were raised for a pavilion. Apparently, that ill-conceived policy has changed. I say *apparently* because, up until now, the State Department has refused to release the "action plan" that governs fundraising and other rules related to the US pavilion in Shanghai. As a result of this official intransigence, a Freedom of Information Act request has been filed to obtain this document(s?). Why the secrecy? It's anybody's guess, and according to the State Department's FOIA office, we can keep guessing until Thanksgiving – it's around then that they'll get around to releasing the documents.

[**UPDATE:** Just received notice that groundbreaking for the US pavilion will be on July 17 at 10:30 AM. Secretary of Commerce Gary Locke will be present.]

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 11:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: North Korea Missiles were fired into Sea of Japan (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri Jul 03 21:52:01 2009
Subject: North Korea Missiles were fired into Sea of Japan (SBU)

(SBU) Further to the 2048 EDT Watch Alert, media report the two missiles were fired from a base near Wonsan into the Sea of Japan, and appear to be Scud missiles with an estimated range of 500 km (310 miles).

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 7:42 AM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: OAS Update

I just spoke to tom (see b/l)..

He thinks for the moment a call by you is not indicated - first, Zeleya was unpersuadable by 2am by even his closet allies and second, tom said folks appear to stepping away from him.

He intends to fly mid-day so there will be plenty of time for more discussion over next several hours.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:00:22 2009
Subject: OAS Update

Update:

-- Resolution suspending Honduras passed with a roll call vote, requested by the Caribbeans, 33-0. Honduras did not vote.

-- Strong push by key countries, including Mexico and Costa Rica, to try to convince Zelaya not to return today.

-- Zelaya seems intent on returning. If no other presidents travel with him, Insulza feels under an obligation to accompany.

-- I am touching bases now to figure out what latest state of play is; I think we could have a busy Sunday.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 11:08 PM
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Subject: Reminder

Pdb coming on secure fax at 10am.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2009 8:51 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Stephen Roach

I have an email into him asking what time of day works best.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2009 8:48 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Stephen Roach

Also, did you set up a time for him to see me when he's in DC?

RELEASE IN FULL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Your CFR speech

1. The draft, sent by separate email, is intended to give you options and ideas. Use as you wish. Several ideas are at the core of this version: in particular, the concept of the US as a modern global nation and how that serves our capacity for leadership.
2. For most policy speeches a generic tone and workmanlike prose are acceptable. But for this one, it is not. This speech can't afford to be lackluster. It will then be held up in invidious comparison to Obama's glittering best efforts. Your speech must have, amid the policies, a distinctive and authoritative voice.
3. Your early draft makes no distinctions between allies or partners; indeed, it barely mentions allies. The early draft also features a strong malaise theme. Even if rebutted after being raised, the focus is on obstacles and hindrances, not on achievements, opportunities and what can be achieved. There's no accounting of progress so far. The effect is downbeat in tone. At the same time, the draft contains passages of vague and gauzy liberal universalism, while in one line assailing it, an unconvincing juggling act. The notion that all nations and peoples want the same things as Americans will not fly and is open to derision. It reflects blithe liberal cultural imperialism, among other things. (See Graham Greene. And, on the contrary, some nations—and peoples—seek nuclear weapons, like Iran; some don't want to curb global warming, like China; some don't care about human rights, like most Arab nations; some have contempt for democracy, including de facto allies like Egypt, not to mention Myanmar; and some are indifferent to poverty, any number of African kleptocracies.) Also there is some confusion of definitions, for example, asserting dialogue with adversaries is "strategic engagement," when that is a concept usually applied to allies and partners.
4. The speech must be crafted with a sense of real time and cannot be delivered out of sync with it. Slogans can become shopworn, especially those that lack analytical, historical and descriptive power. What served you once, at your confirmation, may not serve again in the same way. The most cogent foreign policy columnists from Clive Crook of the Financial Times to David Rothkopf of Foreign Policy have already written columns in the last week on the limits of "soft power" (i.e., "smart power") and others I understand are preparing to make similar criticisms. I would use sparingly or not at all. You do not

need to have a complex foreign policy agenda reduced to two words that the administration's adversaries will undoubtedly use against you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 3, 2009 11:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Re:

As soon as we get word, we can.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri Jul 03 11:17:01 2009
Subject: Re:

Can you set up Nakasone call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 03 10:43:37 2009
Subject:

Nita lowey called for you.
Also sending thru a nakasone call sheet. It suggests that you call after the IAEA Board formally acclaims Amano's election. The meeting to do that is going on now in Vienna, so we will let you know after the election is formally acclaimed so call can be scheduled if ok with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 6:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Honduras Supreme Court Rejects OAS

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri Jul 03 17:30:29 2009
Subject: Honduras Supreme Court Rejects OAS

(SBU) Media report the Honduras Supreme Court rejected an OAS ultimatum to reinstate President Zelaya.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 10:17 AM
To: H
Subject: Just spoke to wjc

Taking off now.

Talked to jake and derek - draft will be to you in am b/c they are doing so changes today. We'll get to you by email and hard copy by 9am

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 8:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

These are notes from tom. Let me know if u are ok with ops connecting call.

From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:05:34 2009
Subject: RE: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

My guess is that he is calling about Honduras. He, like many other leaders with an interest in Central America, is worried that Honduras is slipping towards confrontation and violence. He probably does not think Insulza is up to the task. S should be aware that Arias is prepared to offer his services. I spoke with the Costa Rican FonMin, who said the de facto government has reached out to Arias, and that the Costa Ricans will be looking for a way to make the offer to Zelaya. Uribe knows Zelaya and has some influence. Uribe might want to talk with Arias and offer to help move Zelaya in the right direction. (Although Uribe and Zelaya come from different ends of the political spectrum, they are both ranchers and love horses, and this has created some comradeship.)

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 7:59 PM
To: Shannon, Thomas A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

Does she need specific points? Should I have her call u before she calls him?

From: Walker, Peggy J
To: SES-O_S-Calls
Sent: Mon Jul 06 19:41:28 2009
Subject: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

Colombian President Uribe has requested to speak with Secretary Clinton. His staff said he is available this evening, but they did not provide specific availabilities for tomorrow.

Please advise.

Regards, Peggy

Peggy Walker
Senior Watch Officer
State Department Operations Center (S/ES-O)
(202) 647-1512 walkerpj@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 7:35 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule Wednesday 7/7/09

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jul 07 17:20:57 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule Wednesday 7/7/09

8:00 am **BREAKFAST FOR CONGRESSIONAL LEADERS REGARDING**
9:15 am **INDIA STRATEGY** James Monroe Room, 8th Floor

10:00 am **PHONE CALL w/HENRY KISSINGER**
10:15 am Secretary's Office

10:45 am **PHOTO OP w/AMBASSADORIAL SEMINAR THREE**
11:05 am Treaty Room

11:15 am **PRIVATE MEETING w/DAVE STONE**
11:30 am Secretary's Office

12:00 pm **POLICY LUNCHEON**
2:00 pm James Monroe Room, 8th Floor

2:15 pm **MEETING ON US/CHINA STRATEGIC DIALOGUE**
3:15 pm Secretary's Office *Kurt Campbell, P.J. Crowley, Cheryl Mills, Anne-Marie Slaughter, Huma Abedin, Jake Sullivan and Derek Chollet

3:15 pm **PRIVATE MTG w/ MARK HYMAN**
3:45 pm Secretary's Office

Tbd **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

Tbd **ARRIVE** Private Residence

7:00 pm **PHONE CALL w/JAPANESE FOREIGN MINISTER NAKASONE**
Secretary's Residence
###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 10:02 AM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: H
Subject: Spoke with Claire

She was flattered that her presence is missed in the building on long trips. Committed to make the building work for S while she is traveling.

I asked if there are any long trips she's passionate about we would work it out – she said only two – if HRC ever goes to Antarctica and Africa. I said we would work those out (her cousin is Amb to Angola so that is her real interest).

She was pleased she was impactful.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 7:24 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: nominees update

From: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 6:08 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Rodriguez, Miguel E
Subject: nominees update

The SFRC reported out all of the following nominees yesterday. We will try to push as many of these through the Senate today, if at all possible.

NOMINATIONS:

1. Christopher William Dell to be Ambassador to the Republic of Kosovo

2. Nancy J. Powell to be Director General of the Foreign Service

3. Capricia Penavic Marshall to be Chief of Protocol, and to have the rank of Ambassador during her tenure of service

4. Maria Otero to be Under Secretary of State for Democracy and Global Affairs

5. Philip L. Verveer for the rank of Ambassador during his tenure of service as Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Communications and Information Policy in the Bureau of Economic, Energy, and Business Affairs and U.S. Coordinator for International Communications and Information Policy

6. The Honorable Laurie Susan Fulton to be Ambassador to Denmark

7. Louis B. Susman to be Ambassador to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

8. Charles H. Rivkin to be Ambassador to France, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador to Monaco

9. Mark Henry Gitenstein to be Ambassador to Romania

10. The Honorable Timothy J. Roemer to be Ambassador to India

11. Richard J. Schmierer to be Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman

12. Gordon Gray to be Ambassador to the Republic of Tunisia

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: sbwhoeop
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2009 8:59 AM
To: H; MillsCD@state.gov; cheryl.mills
Subject: Memo on speech attached and in text. For you and Cheryl only; no circulation. Sid
Attachments: hrc memo cfr speech 070809.docx

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 2009

For: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: Your CFR speech

The draft, sent by separate email, is intended to give you options and ideas. Use as you wish. Several ideas are at the core of this version: in particular, the concept of the US as a modern global nation and how that serves our capacity for leadership.

For most policy speeches a generic tone and workmanlike prose are acceptable. But for this one, it is not. This speech can't afford to be lackluster. It will then be held up in invidious comparison to Obama's glittering best efforts. Your speech must have, amid the policies, a distinctive and authoritative voice.

Your early draft makes no distinctions between allies or partners; indeed, it barely mentions allies. The early draft also features a strong malaise theme. Even if rebutted after being raised, the focus is on obstacles and hindrances, not on achievements, opportunities and what can be achieved. There's no accounting of progress so far. The effect is downbeat in tone. At the same time, the draft contains passages of vague and gauzy liberal universalism, while in one line assailing it, an unconvincing juggling act. The notion that all nations and peoples want the same things as Americans will not fly and is open to derision. It reflects blithe liberal cultural imperialism, among other things. (See Graham Greene. And, on the contrary, some nations—and peoples—seek nuclear weapons, like Iran; some don't want to curb global warming, like China; some don't care about human rights, like most Arab nations; some have contempt for democracy, including de facto allies like Egypt, not to mention Myanmar; and some are indifferent to poverty, any number of African kleptocracies.) Also there is some confusion of definitions, for example, asserting dialogue with adversaries is "strategic engagement," when that is a concept usually applied to allies and partners.

The speech must be crafted with a sense of real time and cannot be delivered out of sync with it. Slogans can become shopworn, especially those that lack analytical, historical and descriptive power. What served you once, at your confirmation, may not serve again in the same way. The most cogent foreign policy columnists from Clive Crook of the Financial Times to David Rothkopf of Foreign Policy have already written columns in the last week on the limits of "soft power" (i.e., "smart power") and others I understand are preparing to make similar criticisms. I would use sparingly or not at all. You do not need

to have a complex foreign policy agenda reduced to two words that the administration's adversaries will undoubtedly use against you.

Stay cool with this summer's hottest movies. Moviefone brings you trailers, celebrities, movie showtimes and tickets!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 7:00 AM
To: Justin Cooper
Cc: H
Subject: FW: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting
Attachments: ST comments on HRC July 09 speech to CFR draft July 9.doc

Justin:

Strobe's edits for WJC to see.

cdm B6

From: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 6:52 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; John Podesta; Berger, Samuel R.
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting

Here are my suggestions — in Track Changes — on what I think is a very strong speech.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 6:13 PM
To: John Podesta; Berger, Samuel R.; Strobe Talbott
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting

John/Sandy/Strobe:

In addition to the QDDR which Nora sent to you earlier today, this is the other agenda item HRC would like to discuss tomorrow morning. She will be working from this version though we are continuing our editing.

See you early and many thanks for your help.

Best.

cdm

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 5:49 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: JJS speech master

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: newmyer [redacted] on behalf of Jackie Newmyer [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 3:58 PM
To: H
Subject: update: workshop key findings

Dear Secretary Clinton,

I hope that your elbow is healing quickly. I mentioned that we were doing some work on maritime developments in the region from the Persian Gulf to the East China Sea and Sea of Japan. Below are key findings from the report, but the main takeaway is that the political impact of Chinese military modernization may be greater than a narrow technical analysis of China's capabilities would suggest, and for a range of reasons, technical and political, prospects for regional cooperation are limited, but pathways to conflict are numerous and increasing.

All best, Jackie

Key findings from the workshop include:

- Chinese naval modernization has proceeded at rates higher than forecast 10 years ago and has created the capability to complicate operation of US carrier battle groups within 1,000 kilometers of the Chinese coastline.

- The Indian Ocean may in the coming decades be the operating area of SSBNs from countries with limited experience in sustained SSBN patrols, including India, China, and possibly Pakistan.

- Chinese maritime relations with countries along the littoral area have continued to develop, with a new friendly relationship emerging with Sri Lanka, to complement the existing relations with Myanmar and Pakistan. This may lead to a new form of "blue-brown water" naval operations, in which Chinese naval forces operate far from Chinese bases, but close to the coasts of client states.

The workshop tended to the conclusion that the trends in the region were not such as to make maritime cooperation easy. These trends include:

- Japanese concern that Chinese dominance in the waters within 1,000 miles of Chinese territory has immediate consequences for Japan's maritime autonomy.

- Indian suspicion of Chinese naval developments in Hainan Island, Chinese development of port infrastructure in Pakistan and Myanmar, and the possibility of Chinese ballistic missile submarines operating close to India.

- Indian interest in SSBNs, plus Chinese SSBN ports in Hainan and even, possibly, Pakistani SSBNs, all of which creates the possibility of multiple SSBN patrols in the Indian Ocean, along with the potential for naval nuclear accidents at sea.

- Asymmetries in levels of operational competence, and technology, in submarines and maritime aviation, which, for example, make functional multi-national naval cooperation harder for states that are afraid of revealing technologies or weaknesses.

- The major role of land-based maritime warfare strike complexes in

this region, which means that war at sea always carries with it the risk of escalation to strikes on ground targets in homeland areas.

The report also offers two scenarios under which the United States may consider engaging militarily in the region. The first scenario, which concerns a clash between Japan and China in waters claimed by both, compels us to consider:

- The circumstances under which the Chinese may seek to demonstrate that their new maritime capabilities must be taken seriously and respected, and

- What the United States would lose if it did not support the Japanese, and then faced a world in which Japan became either a subordinate power to China or a nuclear armed state, capable of pursuing its national interest independent of the United States.

The second scenario concerns an American naval blockade of an Iran that has tested a nuclear weapon, potentially giving rise to an interaction between the United States and China far from the operating bases of each but close to territory of a client of China, a "blue-brown water" clash. In this scenario, China is using its navy to show presence, and to force the United States to ask itself whether it wants to go to war with China over a political conflict that is less than black and white. Thinking about the options that would be left to the United States under these circumstances underscores how Chinese naval forces may have more political impact by 2025 than a narrow assessment of their military capabilities would suggest.

On Fri, May 22, 2009 at 7:45 AM, <newmyer [redacted] wrote: B6
> Secretary Clinton, The Australian is the newspaper in which I read
> your remarks on Asia-Pacific. Thank you again, Jackie
>
> Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
>
> Date: Fri, 22 May 2009 07:40:19
> To: 'newmyer [redacted]
> Subject: Re: Australian! and update
>
>
> P.S. What's the reference to "Australian" mean?? H
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: newmyer [redacted]
> To: H
> Sent: Thu May 21 10:22:48 2009
> Subject: Australian! and update
>
> Dear Secretary Clinton,
>
> I was heartened to read your forceful remarks about the US commitment to the Asia-Pacific region this morning. On Monday, our office held a workshop for the NIC that highlighted the downsides associated with an American withdrawal from the region. I will be providing you with our report from that event. Also, in early June, we are holding two workshops with US policy makers (one for Democrats and one for Republicans) to illuminate how contingencies in Pakistan might affect US-China relations. I will give you a read out on those events as well.
>

> Anne-Marie was in touch last evening to tell me that she had looked into the possibility of working with LTSG and found that unfortunately her office would not be able to do so. Happily, Michele Flournoy's office is reaching out and has asked me to participate in a wargame next week for the QDR, which I hope will help build the foundation for a contract between her office and LTSG.
>
> I am extremely grateful to you for helping me find opportunities to
> serve our government. As ever, I will keep you posted. Thank you
> again, and yrs, Jackie
>
>
> --
> Jacqueline Newmyer
> President, Long Term Strategy Group
> 12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138

B6

> www.ltstrategy.com
>
>

--
Jacqueline Newmyer
President, Long Term Strategy Group
12 Eliot St., Cambridge, MA 02138

www.ltstrategy.com

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 11:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: North Korea fires third missile (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Fri Jul 03 22:57:58 2009
Subject: North Korea fires third missile (SBU)

(SBU) Media report North Korea fired a third short-range missile off its east coast into the Sea of Japan.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 11:09 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Security Situation

Fyi - calling you

From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 10:20:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Security Situation

Fyi

B6

From: Llorens, Hugo
To: Shannon, Thomas A; [redacted]; Kelly, Craig A; Robinson, David M; Morales Jr, Hector E
Cc: Webster, Christopher W; Amselem, W Lewis; Benning, Douglass R; [redacted]
Sent: Sun Jul 05 10:12:05 2009
Subject: Security Situation

ALL: Police/Military have blocked vehicle traffic to the Airport. Not allowing groups of pedestrians to pass. Apparently they are prepared to prevent Zelaya supporters to gather at the airport. Z supporters from outside Tegucigalpa slept at University Pedagogica in the outskirts of the city last night where they will begin their march today. Zelaya and his supporters have been encouraging Zelaya supporters from the interior to come into the capital and support Zelaya's arrival. Many of these are rural poor and indigenous from his popular strongholds in the Departments of Copan Intibuca, and Lempira. We also know that many bus loads of Z supporters are coming in from his home province of Olancho.

Zelaya is not popular in Tegucigalpa, so the potential for confrontation is high.

Hugo Llorens
Ambassador
U.S. Embassy Tegucigalpa, Honduras
504 236-9320 ext. 4268

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 11:09 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Ghana, but not forgotten

From: Nora Toiv
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Mon Jul 06 10:56:46 2009
Subject: Ghana, but not forgotten

B6

Ghana, but not forgotten

By: Josh Gerstein
July 4, 2009 07:38 PM EST

It might seem like a moment just too good for the White House to pass up – America's first black president, on his first trip to sub-Saharan Africa, looking out over a sea of jubilant faces, delivering a message of friendship and hope.

Yet President Barack Obama, who would command a monumental audience nearly anywhere he spoke on the continent where he traces his ancestry, is not scheduled to deliver a speech to the general public when he visits Ghana next week.

The White House said it preferred a smaller event at Ghana's parliament to herald the nation's democratic traditions. But some suspect the reason has its roots in an event that holds a storied place in White House lore – President Bill Clinton's 1998 speech to a massive crowd in the sweltering heat of Accra, Ghana, where Obama will visit as well.

For Clinton, the first stop on a 12-day, six-country African journey was a chance to bask in the adulation far from Washington, then consumed with the Monica Lewinsky scandal. Clinton now regularly pegs the size of the crowd that day at 1 million, though years ago he described it simply as more than 500,000.

Whatever the number, the overheated, overcrowded, overwhelming event left some in Clinton's party worried that he'd been shot, and a doctor concerned that he could contract HIV from frantic interaction with the crowd. And it took a threatening turn at the end, as a red-faced, shouting Clinton implored the crowd, "Get back! Back off!" as it threatened to crush a woman near the front of the stage.

"The crowd was so large that it began surging towards the stage. Suddenly, a woman in the front of the crowd began to get trampled," recalled Sandy Berger, Clinton's national security adviser at the time. "Clinton jumped up and put his arm down over the side and grabbed her. The Secret Service thought he'd been shot and freaked out."

"He saved her life," Berger said. "It was a kind of tumultuous scene."

Clinton's White House physician Dr. Connie Mariano said she started out that day worried about the heat, but wound up concerned about HIV.

"It was steamy, and hot, and miserable," Mariano recalled. "[Ghana's president General Jerry] Rawlings put a ceremonial robe over [Clinton's] dark business suit and I thought, 'Oh my God, he's going to pass out,'" she said.

Mariano said the feverish crowd and the scuffle over people being crushed at the front left the president nicked up.

"He got scratches. His hands were cut because people's nails were scratching him because they wanted to hold him," she said. "Realize how many HIV-positive people there were there. ... It was an extremely frightening experience."

As the crowd surged forward, police wielding rubber truncheons slammed them down on the hands of people holding onto the barricades. The front-line people would jump back, only to be pushed forward, grab the barricades, and have their hands whacked again. Reporters offering bottled water to parched Ghanaians nearly triggered a stampede.

"I just remember the mass of flesh. There was like a gazillion people, more than I had ever seen in my life," said Ann Scales, who covered the event for The Boston Globe.

Clinton's speechwriter that day, Ted Widmer, recalled a feeling of sensory overload.

"It was surreal in many ways — just one sensation after the next," he said. "Sweat was pouring out of every pore in my body. ... I was seeing these people do a lion dance with deafening drums. ... I've been at plenty of unmemorable political speeches. This one was carnival-esque and fun."

During the presidential primary campaign last year, Clinton often invoked the memory of his Accra speech as an example of when he was at his rhetorical peak. "Back when I was in politics, I was a reasonably good speaker," Clinton told college students in Austin, Texas last February. "I once spoke to a million people in Ghana."

Estimates of how many people were actually on hand that day vary widely. In Clinton's book, "My Life," he says "more than half a million people." White House officials speaking to reporters that day said security aides to Rawlings had also estimated the crowd at more than 500,000. However, The Associated Press reported that Ghanaian officials put it at more than 1 million. The New York Times used the half-million estimate, but also reported that Independence Square, where Clinton spoke, "has a capacity of more than 200,000."

Whatever the true number, Obama isn't trying to top it. He'll address Ghana's parliament, meet the country's president, and tour a slave fort on Ghana's coast on the final stop of week-long trip that also takes him to Russia to meet the country's leaders and Italy for a G-8 summit and to see Pope Benedict XVI.

A senior White House official, speaking on background, said staging Obama's major speech at the parliament "primarily reflects and emphasizes the importance of different

institutions in the political life of Ghana," the official said.

In a briefing for reporters this week, the National Security Council's director for African Affairs, Michelle Gavin, indicated Obama's main interaction with ordinary people during his less-than-24-hour visit will come at the Accra airport during "a departure ceremony that will allow more Ghanaians an opportunity to participate in the visit."

In addition to the challenges inherent in controlling a friendly crowd, another possible factor weighing against a major outdoor speech by Obama is the terrorist threat posed by al Qaeda. The bombings of the U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania came in August 1998, about five months after Clinton spoke to the throngs in Ghana. Since September 11, 2001, there have also been reports of al Qaeda activity in the West African countries Liberia and Sierra Leone.

"It's another world," Mariano said. "We were pre-9/11 with Clinton. After 9/11, everything changed."

Even without a formal public event, thousands of Ghanaians are sure to flock into the streets in the hopes of getting a glimpse of Obama. There should be no shortage of pictures of excited Africans cheering the U.S. president's visit.

When President George W. Bush went to Ghana in February 2008, he avoided venues that might draw a large crowd. There was no grand speech. Bush met with Peace Corps volunteers at the U.S. ambassador's residence, talked to development groups at a foreign trade center, took in a one-inning tee-ball game, and attended a State Dinner at Osu Castle, which was then the seat of government.

One highlight of Bush's visit was the performance of the U.S. national anthem by American Idol winner Jordin Sparks, who works against malaria.

Of course, in a sense Obama may already have broken whatever record Clinton set in Accra 11 years ago. When Obama was sworn in in January, Washington, D.C. officials estimated the crowd at 1.8 million. Other estimates ranged from 800,000 to 3 million. Of course, no one was in danger of heatstroke. Frostbite was more like it.

In 2008, during the presidential campaign, Obama spoke in Germany to a crowd Berlin police estimated at more than 200,000.

The purported million-strong turnout for Clinton's speech is all the more impressive given Ghana's size. The country's population back in 1998 was estimated at 18.5 million, while about 2 million people lived in the capital.

Berger said Ghanaians still remember the event fondly. "I was in Ghana two weeks ago and people still talk about it," he said. "Everybody I talked to said they were there."

Scales said keeping Obama out of a mass-crowd situation is "probably a very smart decision."

"President Clinton was considered by some the first black president," she said. "Just imagine what kind of crowd America's real first black president could draw."

© 2009 Capitol News Company, LLC



RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 5:09 PM
To: H
Subject: speech
Attachments: 2009-07-06_cfr_draft[1].doc

I faxed a new, slightly revised version of the speech to your house. It is also attached.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2009 8:45 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Subject: RE: Schedule

Sounds good, will follow up.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2009 8:44 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

I need time on Thursday or Friday to see Holbrooke.

B6

Mark Hyman is in town Wed and Thurs and I'd like to see him.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: sbwhoeop[redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2009 11:45 PM
To: H
Subject: fyi. will get you my draft & comments tomorrow. Sid

http://www.quinnipiac.edu/x1284.xml?ReleaseID=1347&What=&strArea=;&strTime=0

Print this page Close window

Release Detail

July 7, 2009 - Obama's Approval Drops In Ohio - Critical Swing State, Quinnipiac University Poll Finds; 2010 Senate Race Tips Slightly To Democrats

Word format

President Barack Obama gets a lackluster 49 - 44 percent approval rating in Ohio, considered by many to be the most important swing state in a presidential election, according to a Quinnipiac University poll released today. This is President Obama's lowest approval rating in any national or statewide Quinnipiac University poll since he was inaugurated and is down from 62 - 31 percent in a May 6 survey.

By a small 48 - 46 percen t margin, voters disapprove of the way Obama is handling the economy, the independent Quinnipiac (KWIN-uh-pe-ack) University poll finds. This is down from a 57 - 36 percent approval May 6. A total of 66 percent of Ohio voters are "somewhat dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied" with the way things are going in the state, while 33 percent are "very satisfied" or "somewhat satisfied," numbers that haven't changed since Obama was elected.

In the race for Ohio's U.S. Senate seat being vacated by retiring George Voinovich in 2010, Lee Fisher and Jennifer Brunner remain neck-and-neck for the Democratic nomination and both would defeat any of the GOP candidates if the election were today.

"The economy in Ohio is as bad as anywhere in America. These numbers indicate that for the first time voters have decided that President Barack Obama bears some responsibility for their problems," said Peter A. Brown, assistant director of the Quinnipiac University Polling Institute.

"Until now voters have given President Obama high ratings on the economy, blaming former President George W. Bush for their problems. They might be taking out their frustration on President Obama, possibly deciding that the change he promised has not come as quickly as they expected."

"Ohio historically has been the prototypical swing state. One of the reasons Barack Obama won the p residency by such a wide margin is that he carried Ohio with 52 percent of the vote and captured the lion's share of independent votes," Brown added.

"Now, by a 48 - 46 percent margin, Ohio independent voters give the President a failing grade on the economy. These numbers indicate that he may be losing, at least for now, some of those who voted for him in November and should be an indication to the White House that his honeymoon with the voters may be ending."

In the Senate race, Lt. Gov. Lee Fisher holds a 24 - 21 percent lead over Secretary of State Jennifer Brunner in the race for the Democratic nomination, with 51 percent undecided.

Former U.S. Rep. Rob Portman holds a 33 - 10 percent lead over car dealer Tom Ganley on the Republican side, with 55 percent undecided.

In general election trial heats, Brunner would defeat Ganley 35 - 31 percent and get 35 percent to Portman's 34 percent. Fisher leads Ganley 36 - 30 percent and Portman 37 - 33 percent.

From June 26 - July 1, Quinnipiac University surveyed 1,259 Ohio voters, with a margin of error of +/- 2.8 percentage points. The survey includes 483 Democrats with a margin of error of +/- 4.5 percentage points and 445 Republicans with a margin of error of +/-4.7 percentage points.

The Quinnipiac University Poll, directed by Douglas Schwartz, Ph.D., conducts public opinion surveys in Florida, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Ohio and the nation as a public service and for research. For more data and RSS feed - http://www.quinnipiac.edu/polling.xml, or (203) 582-5201.

2. (If registered Republican) As you may know, Ohio Senator George Voinovich will not be running for reelection in 2010. Thinking about the 2010 Republican primary for United States Senator, if the 2010 Republican primary for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Rob Portman and Tom Ganley, for whom would you vote?

	REGISTERED REPS..		
	Tot	Men	Wom
Portman	33%	39%	25%
Ganley	10	11	9
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	3	-
DK/NA	55	46	65

TREND: (If registered Republican) As you may know, Ohio Senator George Voinovich will not be running for reelection in 2010. Thinking about the 2010 Republican primary for United States Senator, if the 2010 Republican primary for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Rob Portman and

Tom Ganley, for whom would you vote? (*Someone Else & Wouldn't Vote volunteered options not in option list)

	REGISTERED REPUBLICANS.....			
	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009*
Portman	33	29	31	33
Ganley	10	8	na	na
Taylor	na	8	14	11
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	1	1	-
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	1	1	-
DK/NA	55	54	52	56

3. (If registered Democrat) Thinking about the 2010 Democratic primary for United States Senator, if the 2010 Democratic primary for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Lee Fish er and Jennifer Brunner, for whom would you vote?

	REGISTERED DEMS..		
	Tot	Men	Wom
Fisher	24%	31%	20%
Brunner	21	26	18
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	2	-	2

WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	3	1	4
DK/NA	51	42	56

TREND: (If registered Democrat) Thinking about the 2010 Democratic primary for United States Senator, if the 2010 Democratic primary for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Lee Fisher and Jennifer Brunner, for whom would you vote? (*Someone Else & Wouldn't Vote volunteered options not in option list / na=not asked)

	REGISTERED DEMOCRATS.....			
	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009*
Fisher 18	24	20	18	
Brunner	21	16	14	16
Yates	na	4	6	na
Ryan	na	na	12	14
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	2	1	1	-
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	3	1	2	-
DK/NA	51	59	46	53

6. If the 2010 election for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Lee Fisher the Democrat and Rob Portman the Republican, for whom would you vote?

WtBrnAgn

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl
Fisher	37%	5%	76%	28%	33%	40%	28%
Portman	33	72	4	31	41	27	44
SMONE ELSE(VOL) 1	2	-	-	3	2	1	
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	-	1	3	2	1	1
DK/NA	26	22	19	35	21	31	27

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Fisher	35%	43%	30%	40%	24%	39%
Portman	32	28	25	26	53	40
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	3	1	5	-	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	1	1	4	-	2	3
DK/NA	29	28	36	34	19	17

TREND: If the 2010 election for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Lee Fisher the Democrat and Rob Portman the Republican, for whom would you vote?

Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009

Fisher	37	42	41	42
Portman	33	31	33	27
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	2	1	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	-	2	1
DK/NA	26	26	24	29

7. If the 2010 election for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Lee Fisher the Democrat and Tom Ganley the Republican, for whom would you vote?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Fisher	36%	5%	73%	26%	32%	39%	26%
Ganley	30	65	4	29	38	24	43
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	-	-	2	2	1	-
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	1	1	1	2	1	2
DK/NA	31	28	22	42=2			
0	26	36	29				

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt

Fisher	36%	41%	31%	36%	21%	37%
Ganley	26	31	23	33	34	35
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	2	-	2	-	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	1	1	4	1	2	3
DK/NA	35	26	40	29	41	24

8. If the 2010 election for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Jennifer Brunner the Democrat and Tom Ganley the Republican, for whom would you vote?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Brunner	35%	6%	71%	23%	31%	38%	27%
Ganley	31	67	4=2				
0 30 38 25 41							
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	-	-	2	2	1	-
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	2	2	1	2	3	1	1
DK/NA	31	25	23	44	26	35	31

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt

Brunner	35%	37%	40%	31%	24%	37%
Ganley	32	30	23	35	34	35
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	-	5	-	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	-	3	2	-	3	3
DK/NA	32	30	31	34	38	24

9. If the 2010 election for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Jennifer Brunner the Democrat and Rob Portman the Republican, for whom would you vote?

				20			WtBrnAgn
	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl
Brunner	35%	5%	71%	26%	31%	39%	25%
Portman	34	72	5	32	42	27	46
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	-	-	2	2	-	-
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	1	-	-	1	2	1	-
DK/NA	29	23	23	39	24	33	28

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt

Brunner	31%	39%	41%	32%	24%	38%
Portman	36	28	22	29	54	39
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	2	-	4	-	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	-	1	2	-	1	3
DK/NA	31	32	31	38	21	20

TREND: If the 2010 electi on for United States Senator were being held today and the candidates were Jennifer Brunner the Democrat and Rob Portman the Republican, for whom would you vote?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009
Brunner	35	40	39	38
Portman	34	32	34	28
SMONE ELSE(VOL)	1	-	1	1
WLDN'T VOTE(VOL)	1	-	2	1
DK/NA	29	27	25	31

14. Is your opinion of - Lee Fisher favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl

Favorable	27%	9%	46%	24%	27%	27%	22%
Unfavorable	16	31	4	14	21	11	20
Hvn't hrd enough	56	59	50	60	50	61	57
REFUSED	1	1	1	2	2	1	1

TREND: Is your opinion of - Jennifer Brunner favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about her?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009	Dec 10 2008
Favorable	27	31	31	34	26
Unfavorable	16	12	14	10	24
Hvn't hrd enough	56	55	55	55	49
REFUSED	1	120	1	1	1

16. Is your opinion of - Rob Portman favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

						WtBrnAgn
Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl

Favorable	29%	14%	49%	24%	30%	28%	26%
Unfavorable	17	32	6	15	23	11	20
Hvn't hrd enough	53	53	45	59	45	60	53
REFUSED	1	1	1	2	2	1	1

TREND: Is your opinion of - Lee Fisher favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009	Dec 10 2008
Favorable	29	37	32	33	23
Unfavorable	17	13	13	10	10
Hvn't hrd enough	53	48	54	55	66
REFUSED	1	1	1	2	1

15. Is your opinion of - Jennifer Brunner favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about her?

=2

0

WtBrnAgn

Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl

Favorable	21%	35%	8%	23%	27%	16%	24%
Unfavorable	6	1	9	6	5	6	3
Hvn't hrd enough	72	63	82	70	67	77	71
REFUSED	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

TREND: Is your opinion of - Rob Portman favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009	Dec 10 2008
0A					
Favorable	21	22	25	21	12
Unfavorable	6	9	7	6	7
Hvn't hrd enough	72	68	67	72	80
REFUSED	1	1	1	1	1

17. Is your opinion of - Tom Ganley favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

							WtBrnAgn
	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl

Favorable	12%	19%	6%	11%	14%	10%	14%
Unfavorable	4	2	7	3	5	3	3
Hvn't hrd enough	83	78	86	84	79	86	82
REFUSED	1	1	1	2	2	1	1

TREND: Is your opinion of - Tom Ganley favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009
Favorable	12	6
Unfavorable	4	4
Hvn't hrd enough	83	89
REFUSED	1	1

19. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George Voinovich is handling his job as United States Senator?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Approve	52%	60%	53%	46%	49%	55%	53%

Disapprove	29	28	23	35	39	21	30
DK/NA	19	13	24	18	13	24	17

			Cntrl	Nrth			
E	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt			
Approve	45%	60%	45%	48%	44%	56%	
Disapprove	29	25	31	36	37	28	
DK/NA	26	15	24	16	19	15	

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of the way George Voinovich is handling his job as United States Senator? (*Also 55% on 2/4/2009)

						APPROVE.....	
						High	Low
	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009	Dec 10 2008	May 6 2009*	Dec 13 2006
Approve	52	55	52	55	51	55	41
Disapprove	29	30	32	29	31	30	33
DK/NA	19	15					

16	16	17	15	26

20. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Sherrod Brown is handling his job as United States Senator?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Approve	41%	29%	58%	37%	36%	46%	35%
Disapprove	29	43	12	32	39	19	34
DK/NA	30	28	30	31	24	35	32

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Approve	37%	51%	36%	48%	25%	37%
Disapprove	29	27	22	20	36	32
DK/NA	33	22	41	33	39	30

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of=2 0the way Sherrod Brown is handling his job as United States Senator?

					APPROVE.....	
					High	Low
Jul 7	May 6	Mar 18	Feb 4	Dec 10	May 6	Jul 7

	2009	2009	2009	2009	2008	2009	2009
Approve	41	52	48	51	48	52	41
Disapprove	29	24	25	22	26	24	29
DK/NA	30	24	27	27	26	24	30

22. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Lee Fisher is handling his job as Ohio's lieutenant governor?

0 WtBrnAgn =2

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl
Approve	39%	26%	58%	32%	38%	40%	35%
Disapprove	24	36	10	23	30	18	30
DK/NA	38	38	32	45	32	42	34

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Approve	35%	42%	31%	59%	30%	42%

Disapprove	23	21	27	14	26	30
DK/NA	42	36	42	27	44	28

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Lee Fisher is handling his job as Ohio's lieutenant governor?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009
Approve	39	49
Disapprove	24	17
DK/NA	38	33

< br>

23. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Jennifer Brunner is handling her job as Ohio's Secretary of State?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Approve	38%	22%	60%	32%	37%	39%	34%
Disapprove	25	42	7	27	32	18	30
DK/NA	37	36	33	41	30	43	36

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Approve	49%	19%	85%	38%	39%	57%	33%
Disapprove	44	75	11	48	53	36	59
DK/NA	8	6	3	14	8	7	8

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Approve	50%	52%	55%	46%	36%	47%
Disapprove	43	39	40	44	60	47
DK/NA	6	10	6	10	4	6

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as president?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 6 2009
Approve	49	62	57	67
Disapprove	44	31	33	16
DK/NA	8	7	11	17

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Approve	36%	44%	44%	40%	28%	33%
Disapprove	29	21	13	21	31	31
DK/NA	36	35	43	39	41	35

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Jennifer Brunner is handling her job as Ohio's Secretary of State?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 4 2009	Dec 10 2008	Aug 14 2008	Jun 4 2008
Approve	38	45	41	44	39	38	38
Disapprove	25	20	19	16	28	12	13
DK/NA	37	34	39	41	33	50	48

24. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as president?

25. In general, how satisfied are you with the way things are going in Ohio today? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

	Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	WtBrnAgn Evnglcl
Very satisfied	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%
Smwht satisfied	31	22	46	27	28	35	26
Smwht dissatisfied	36	40	31	36	34	38	36
Very dissatisfied	30	36	19	36	34	25	35
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	-	1

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Very satisfied	3%	3%	-	2%	3%	1%
Smwht satisfied	29	33	32	49	25	28
Smwht dissatisfied	39	35	39	27	39	36
Very dissatisfied	29	29	28	22	33	34
DK/NA	-	1	1 =2			

0 - - 1

TREND: In general, how satisfied are you with the way things are going in Ohio today? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

						VERY+SMWHT....	
						High	Low
	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 17 2009	Feb 5 2009	Dec 11 2008	Mar 21 2007	Mar 17 2009
Very satisfied	2	4	2	2	3	5	2
Smwht satisfied	31	39	30	31	30	49	30
Smwht dissatisfied	36	32	39	37	35	28	39
Very dissatisfied	30	24	28	28	31	16	28
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1		

1 1

28. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

						WtBrnAgn
Tot	Rep	Dem	Ind	Men	Wom	Evnglcl

Approve	46%	19%	81%	33%	37%	53%	31%
Disapprove	48	79	13	56	58	40	64
DK/NA	6	2	5	11	4	8	5

	Cntrl	NrthE	NrthW	SthE	SthW	WstCnt
Approve	43%	49%	51%	47%	37%	45%
Disapprove	52	43	47	43	59	51
DK/NA	5	8	2	10	4	5

TREND: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

	Jul 7 2009	May 6 2009	Mar 18 2009	Feb 6 2009
Approve	46	57	53	58
Disapprove	48	36	36	21
DK/NA	6	7	11	21

Quinnipiac University • 275 Mount Carmel Ave. • Hamden, CT 06518-1908 • 203-582-8200

Stay cool with this summer's hottest movies. Moviefone brings you trailers, celebrities, movie showtimes and tickets!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 8:05 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/9/09

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL BUREAU SECRETARIES**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:00 am **HOLD FOR HUMA**
11:00 am Secretary's Office

11:00 am **OFFICE TIME**
12:20 pm Secretary's Office

12:20 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route River Entrance, Pentagon

12:30 pm **ARRIVE** The Pentagon

12:30 pm **ONE-ON-ONE LUNCH w/DEFENSE SECRETARY ROBERT GATES**
1:30 pm Secretary Gates' Private Office, Pentagon

1:35 pm **DEPART** The Pentagon *En route State Department

1:45 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

2:00 pm **MEETING w/SE RICHARD HOLBROOKE**
3:00 pm Secretary's Office

3:00 pm **PRIVATE MEETING w/MAUREEN WHITE**
3:30 pm Secretary's Office

3:30 pm **OFF-THE-RECORD MEETING w/FRED HIATT AND JACKSON DIEHL,**
4:00 pm ***WASHINGTON POST*** Secretary's Office

4:00 pm **SCHEDULING MEETING w/LONA AND HUMA**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **RECEPTION FOR NEW MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE OF**
7:30 pm **REPRESENTATIVES** Thomas Jefferson Room, 8th Floor *Approx. 55 ppl expected.

7:30 pm (t) **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

7:40 pm (t) **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 11:50 PM
To: H
Subject: Call tom shannon first thing friday morning. He has an update for you on costa rica.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 7:00 AM
To: Justin Cooper
Cc: H
Subject: FW: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting
Attachments: ST comments on HRC July 09 speech to CFR draft July 9.doc

Justin:

Strobe's edits for WJC to see.

cdm B6

From: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2009 6:52 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; John Podesta; Berger, Samuel R.
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting

Here are my suggestions — in Track Changes — on what I think is a very strong speech.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 6:13 PM
To: John Podesta; Berger, Samuel R.; Strobe Talbott
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech for Tomorrow's Meeting

John/Sandy/Strobe:

In addition to the QDDR which Nora sent to you earlier today, this is the other agenda item HRC would like to discuss tomorrow morning. She will be working from this version though we are continuing our editing.

See you early and many thanks for your help.

Best.

cdm

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 5:49 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: JJS speech master

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 8:41 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Crowley, Philip J; Abedin, Huma; Lew, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Steinberg, James B
Subject: FW: Kudos and thanks to the Secretary

FYI – nice.

cdm

From: Blocker, Merrie D
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2009 10:36 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Kudos and thanks to the Secretary

Thirty years I am a FSO and for the first time I feel really really proud to be part of a Secretary's team. Examples

1, Bringing back Farah Pandith. When she left with the change of administration I thought what a waste and figured there was no chance a Democrat would bring back someone form a Republic administration. But you did!

2, The respect for the input of career officers by the Secretary and the U/Ses is just amazing. It will really help improve our foreign relations.

3. The Secretary's understanding of the little things and their importance, such as holiday statements.

Well, there are more but we are under staffed and have to get back to work.

Many thanks to you all for being there.

Merrie Blocker, Program Coordinator
Office of Public Diplomacy, Bureau of South and Central Asia Affairs
Room 4440 , U.S. Department of State, 2201 C St. NW, Washington DC
202-647-5337; BlockerMD2@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5

I. INTRODUCTION

B5

[redacted]

B5




B5




B5



B5



B5



B5




B5



B5


B5




B5




B5




B5



B5




B5



V. THE CONCLUSION

B5



B5

Thank you.

RELEASE IN PART B5, B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 7:30 AM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

We can leave then for now - we can work around as nee be and they have a few connections to companies we don't.

Asked philippe for a plan - he may want to sole source it to get the coverage but if not, yes.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:17:35 2009
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:09:55 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Read through - nice complement to jose's induction to the process

---

B6

From: Villarreal, Jose
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 05:40:58 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Fyi - Lots of catch up to do, but Secretary's team is being viewed as bringing order to process...xo jose

Ps this guy is widely read among Chinese and expats...

From: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
To: Shanghai Expo Working Group ; Villarreal, Jose
Sent: Fri Jul 10 23:36:03 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Just fyi

From: taipeitom [redacted] B6
To: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
Sent: Sat Jul 11 11:32:05 2009
Subject: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

A US Expo 2010 pavilion, after all. <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350>

http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350

Filed under:Expo 2010 <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=33> , Expo 2010 - US Pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , US Politics <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=28> — posted by Adam on July 11, 2009 @ 7:00 am

Coming at the end of a tumultuous week in China marked by earthquakes, riots, and continued economic uncertainty, the news that the US had finally confirmed that its participation in Expo 2010 <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> (a/k/a, next year's world fair) didn't seem particularly significant. And, with much of Shanghai's foreign correspondent corps preoccupied with more pressing news elsewhere in China, it didn't receive much coverage. Fair enough, I think. However, insofar as the US pavilion – or lack thereof – had become an increasingly thorny diplomatic issue between China and the United States, the signing ceremony was an important signal that some kind of resolution was at hand.

I've been following the mostly sad saga of the US pavilion for several months now, both on Shanghai Scrap <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , and elsewhere <http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/200904u/shanghai-expo-2010> . And, until two weeks ago, there was absolutely no reason to believe that a participation agreement would ever be signed. Shanghai Expo, Inc. <http://usapavilion2010.com/> , a non-profit authorized by the US State Department to fund-raise, design, build, and operate a US pavilion, had failed to raise sufficient funds to break ground. At yesterday's signing ceremony, Beatrice Camp, the US Consul General in Shanghai blamed the underwhelming fundraising on the global economic crisis <http://shanghai.usembassy-china.org.cn/071009.html> – a point that was picked up by the Chinese media <http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2009-07/10/content_11685418.htm> . No doubt, the economic crisis played a role, but as Camp and others close to the US effort surely know, the other important factor was the inexperienced and increasingly erratic trio running the non-profit Shanghai Expo, Inc. As recently as last month, one of its members – Frank Lavin, a former US ambassador to Singapore and Undersecretary of Commerce – issued a press release falsely claiming that the US Congress had "adopted" a resolution in support of the US pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3106> . This incident, and others like it, succeeded in alienating potential donors (including major US corporations with operations in China), vast swaths of the US expatriate business community in Shanghai, and – most crucially – members of the Shanghai government. For those of us following the events, the question was no longer "How badly will the US damage its relationship with China if it doesn't participate?" but instead became "What's worse for US-China relations? Turning down Shanghai's Expo invite or continuing the current, incompetent effort?"

The July 1 appointment of Jose Villarreal as Commissioner General to the US pavilion effort seems to have changed the equation. A lawyer with ties to the Clintons, Secretary of State Clinton empowered him to oversee the US effort. He didn't waste any time, either, arriving in Shanghai on Monday, and managing to pull of the signing on Friday. One person

familiar with Villarreal's role described it as the arrival of an "adult" to a chaotic situation that badly needed one. Indeed, if there's one telling detail to the handful of official and press accounts of yesterday's signing, it's the total absence of the Shanghai Expo, Inc. members from the official comments and photos (US Consulate coverage here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> ; Shanghai government account here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm>), none of whom live or work in Shanghai, anyway. If that was a conscious decision, it was a wise one.

A final point. As Villarreal conceded, the US effort must still raise roughly half of its $61 million budget. I suspect that – with Villarreal in charge of the effort – reluctant wallets will become less so. Regardless, though, this raises a still unresolved question: namely, what are the actual fundraising rules for the US pavilion effort? Back in 2007, the State Department indicated that it would not sign a participation agreement with Expo 2010, Inc until all funds were raised for a pavilion. Apparently, that ill-conceived policy has changed. I say apparently because, up until now, the State Department has refused to release the "action plan" that governs fundraising and other rules related to the US pavilion in Shanghai. As a result of this official intransigence, a Freedom of Information Act request has been filed to obtain this document(s?) <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3103> . Why the secrecy? It's anybody's guess, and according to the State Department's FOIA office, we can keep guessing until Thanksgiving – it's around then that they'll get around to releasing the documents.

[UPDATE: Just received notice that groundbreaking for the US pavilion will be on July 17 at 10:30 AM. Secretary of Commerce Gary Locke will be present.]

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 2:11 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: blog response to Elliott's WSJ article

Fyi below - did not come up at the briefing.

From: Rudman, Mara
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 25 13:38:19 2009
Subject: FW: blog response to Elliott's WSJ article

Though the top part obviously has stuff that is not relevant, once she gets to substance of the piece, it has a more detailed tit for tat that offers more research than our quick points did this morning...

http://peacenowconversation.org/?p=219

The Settlers' Lawyer (or, Elliott Abrams Is At It Again)

June 25, 2009, posted by Lara Friedman

On May 23, 2005, the Washington Post ran a an incisive op-ed by former State Department negotiator and Middle East advisor Aaron Miller, entitled "***Israel's Lawyer***," in which Aaron argued "For far too long, many American officials involved in Arab-Israeli peacemaking, myself included, have acted as Israel's attorney..." I was reminded of that article when I read today's ***piece*** by Elliott Abrams in the Wall Street Journal, which should, I believe, have been entitled "The West Bank Settlers' Lawyer."

Before anyone accuses me of casting aspersions on Mr. Abrams' honor or motivations, let me be clear: I have no doubt he has taken the case pro bono. For him, advocating for the settlers is clearly a labor of love. And the settlers are fortunate to have Elliott volunteering for the job. He brings a unique combination of expertise and experience, combined, it would seem, with a shameless willingness to cherry-pick the facts and, when the facts don't support his argument, to fall back on the "I was there and I know what happened."

An interesting approach from a man who does not have a spotless record when it comes to truth-telling and foreign policy (for anyone who has forgotten the ***history***: "Elliott Abrams — Pleaded guilty October 7, 1991, to two misdemeanor charges of withholding information from Congress about secret government efforts to support the Nicaraguan contra rebels during a ban on such aid. U.S. District Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., sentenced Abrams November 15, 1991, to two years probation and 100 hours community service. Abrams was pardoned December 24, 1992.")

But that was a long time ago. Let's forgot the ugly and embarrassing Iran-Contra history and focus on the "facts" in the current case, as Elliott sees them:

In today's WSJ article, Elliott offers an almost completely unverifiable history of what he says transpired between June 2003 and August 2005 (the date of Israel's "disengagement" from Gaza). Predictably, he focuses on the ***letter*** President Bush gave to Prime Minister Ariel Sharon on April 14, 2004. In that letter, Elliott notes (correctly), Bush wrote: "In light of new realities on the ground, including already existing major Israeli populations centers, it is unrealistic to expect that the outcome of final status negotiations will be a full and complete return to the armistice lines of 1949."

What Elliott neglects to mention is that in the letter Bush also re-stated his commitment to the ***Roadmap*** ("the United States remains committed to my vision and to its implementation as described in the roadmap"), which in stage I states that "Consistent with the Mitchell Report, GOI freezes all settlement activity (including natural growth of settlements)."

Elliott then goes on to assert that "On settlements we also agreed on principles that would permit some continuing growth." His evidence of such an agreement? Exhibit A: A ***statement*** by Prime Minister Sharon, not President Bush: "Mr. Sharon stated these clearly in a major policy speech in December 2003: 'Israel will meet all its obligations with regard to construction in the settlements. There will be no construction beyond the existing construction line, no expropriation of land for construction, no special economic incentives and no construction of new settlements.'"

Is this extremely experienced lawyer and foreign policy professional seriously arguing that a statement by Sharon should be understood as an accurate articulation of US policy, even in the absence of any corroborating statement by the US President? Even when that lengthy policy speech went into a range of issue where - at least officially - there was public disagreement with the US? (for example, in this same speech Sharon makes clear Israel views the Roadmap commitments as sequential - the Palestinians do everything they have to do, and only then does Israel act.) Apparently so.

But it gets better. For further evidence, Elliott asserts that, really, the US agreed with everything Sharon was saying. His evidence? Exhibit B: A ***letter*** from Ariel Sharon's Chief of Staff, Dov Weissglas, Secretary of State Rice.

It is like saying "your honor, I swear my client did not sideswipe that car in the parking lot. I was there and I saw the whole thing. And as proof I give you my statement and a statement from my client and his wife, written to the owner of the damaged car, making clear that they were not the ones who hit his car. I rest my case."

So there are not quotes from President Bush or Secretary Rice to support Elliott's narrative - only statements by Israeli officials.

But Elliot has more proof to bring before the court: media reports. Exhibit #C: Elliott notes that "Stories in the press also made it clear that there were indeed 'agreed principles.' On Aug. 21, 2004 the New York Times reported that 'the Bush administration . . . now supports construction of new apartments in areas already built up in some settlements, as long as the expansion does not extend outward.'"

Interesting. So if the NYT reported it, it must be true, right? Or rather, what must be true is that they were reporting what someone told them, at the time. And according to the first paragraph of the ***article*** Elliott cites, the source is: "American and Israeli officials." Who could these officials be? Could they be Abrams himself (who at this point can no longer deny that he is personally invested in such a policy), and maybe Dov Weissglas? There is no way to know, but regardless, this is clearly not definitive proof of anything, other than the fact that there were Israeli and US officials spinning the story this way.

The rest of Elliott's article is simply hearsay and innuendo, speculation, and conjecture - not a single "fact" that can be examined, let alone refuted. Elliott rests his case on statements by two Israeli officials who never hid their desire to do everything possible to keep building West Bank settlements, and press reports based on un-named US and Israeli officials.

With this kind of evidence, it is hard to see why the jury is still debating this case at all. Clearly the settlers' have a really excellent lawyer.

And since some of us prefer to rely on facts, rather than clever argumentation and bombastic statements, here are some actual statements by President Bush about settlements, from the same period Elliott is addressing:

President Bush ***9/21/04***: "...Israel should impose a settlement freeze, dismantle unauthorized outposts, end the daily humiliation of the Palestinian people and avoid any actions that prejudice final negotiations..."

President Bush, ***11/27/07***: "...Israel must demonstrate its support for the creation of a prosperous and successful Palestinian state by removing unauthorized outposts, ending settlement expansion, and finding other ways for the Palestinian Authority to exercise its responsibilities without compromising Israel's security."

President Bush, ***1/9/2008***: "...The second track is to help both parties deal with road map issues. Settlements is a road map issue..."

President Bush, ***1/10/2008***: "...Each side has got obligations under the road map. Settlements are clearly stated in the road map obligations for Israel. We have made our concerns about expansion of settlements known, and we expect both parties to honor their obligations under the road map."

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya in the United States (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Jun 30 10:21:36 2009
Subject: Honduran President Zelaya in the United States (SBU)

USUN New York reports Honduran President Zelaya arrived in New Jersey on a private Venezuelan aircraft and will address the United Nations at 1100 EDT.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 5:38 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Moot request

This should moot request to hrc:

IRAN

President Ahmadinejad canceled his trip to an African Union summit in Lydia. No reason was given for his decision. (Reuters)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 8:43 PM
To: H
Subject: Kristy can only do 730am. Ok?

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 10:57 AM
To: H
Subject: Reminder to call todd stern thru ops when u want to talk. He is free.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 7:24 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Kyrgyz parliament approves U.S. base deal

From: Brown, Hector R
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-PM
Cc: SES-O; SES-O_Shift-I
Sent: Thu Jun 25 03:42:07 2009
Subject: Kyrgyz parliament approves U.S. base deal

BISHKEK (Reuters) – Kyrgyzstan's parliament approved a deal with the United States to keep open the last remaining U.S. air base in Central Asia, a Reuters reporter said.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 6:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Jan piercy

Just rtnd your call, she will be available on her cell/at home tonight and thru the weekend.

[redacted] h
c

###

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 5:04 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Bolivia

Not yet. I'll check in with Tom but he expected to hear from Maria this evening.

In other news, one of the major loyalist paramilitaries announced its full disarmament today -- we're getting out a statement soon.

(We also will have points and background for a Woodward call Monday.)

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Jun 27 16:56:01 2009
Subject: Bolivia

Any news from Shannon or Maria?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Tom shannon wants to talk to u as soon as possible.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 9:49 PM
To: H
Subject: Tried to call you back - call me back when you get this

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 10:15 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Sorry to hear re your fall

Am so glad to hear frm you//// knew this was painful combined with logistics of being a woman--know. How streddful this must be----the other night the. Senate. Women had dinner anyway---all sent good words. And encouragement. To a woman theyb all said. Oh my imagine just getting dressed and the. Hair thing. Get your therapy. Get better. The senate is slogging along----- health care is starting to sag. --- some days it feels like we are doing the public option off backof envelope. Call when you can. X.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski)
Sent: Tue Jun 30 17:58:56 2009
Subject: Re: Sorry to hear re your fall

Barb--Thanks, my dear friend, for your good wishes. I am on the mend, Let's try again for dinner soon. Happy 4th!! All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) B6
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 18 19:29:34 2009
Subject: Sorry to hear re your fall

Oops. When I wanted you to trip the light fantastic. I didn't mean that literally. Be careful. Do the therapy. Get well. Do you still want us to come. Monday.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 8:31 PM
To: H; Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re:

B6

I will prepare for you to sign on Monday.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jul 01 20:26:16 2009
Subject: Fw:

Pls send a letter of congrats and good wishes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Marty Torrey
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 15 22:15:52 2009
Subject:

'Madam Secretary Alice',
is retiring

Also, heard from Capricia again, as she departs your committee. We've expanded the dates for an event and she said she'll pass along another point of contact. We'll help if given the opportunity. All the best.
Marty

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 10:13 AM
To: H

Esther brimmer and jake report that the IAEA board has just elected Amano by a vote of 23-11-1. The presumed abstention is Argentina. The abstention brought the required vote down to 23 from 24.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 11:51 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Plane delay

2pm is now no longer an option. Only option is 5pm wheels up.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:21:52 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Oh, dear. I guess I'd rather leave at 2 but does that present problems I don't know about?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 10:43:55 2009
Subject: Plane delay

The g3 is delayed till 5pm wheels up
There is a lear available at 2pm with 6 seats.
Do u want to just leave at 5?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 3:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)
Attachments: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4).docx

Draft of cable going to preval in advance of our visit.

[redacted] I don't know what would take so long to begin. B5

Cdm

From: Curtis, Meghann A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Thu Jul 02 14:59:03 2009
Subject: FW: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

Were you looking at this draft? (attached and pasted below). This incorporates your changes.

His Excellency Rene Préval
President of the Republic of Haiti
Port-au-Prince

[redacted]

B5

Cheryl Mills

From: Curtis, Meghann A
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 1:28 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

Nora,

Finalized letter is attached.

Cheryl,

Barring any objections from you we will get this out today.


Meghann

RELEASE IN PART
B5

His Excellency Rene Préval
President of the Republic of Haiti
Port-au-Prince

B5

B5



RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 5:19 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: WJC

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Thu Jul 02 17:09:10 2009
Subject: WJC

Bill Clinton to visit Haiti Monday as UN special envoy
07/02/2009 16:58

UNITED NATIONS, July 2, 2009 (AFP) - Former US president Bill Clinton will travel to Haiti Monday for his first visit as UN special envoy to the Caribbean nation, the world body announced Thursday.

Clinton, who was appointed to the post by UN chief Ban Ki-moon last month, is to confer with government officials "about how to best support the efforts to prepare for hurricanes, generate new jobs and enhance the delivery of basic social services," UN spokeswoman Michele Montas told reporters.

The former US president "will also focus on how to ensure that the United Nations, civil society and the donor community align their activities with the government's recovery plan as well as with each other," she added.

It will be Clinton's his second trip to Haiti this year.

In March, he and Ban toured Haiti together and urged the international community to continue to aid the impoverished Caribbean country.

Clinton, who visited Haiti while president in 1995, was the first US leader to travel to the country while in office since Franklin Roosevelt in 1934.

The former US president has sought to focus world attention on Haiti which was devastated by hurricanes last year and is the poorest country in the western hemisphere.

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 6:42 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman admitted to L.A. hospital

---

From: Brown, Hector R
To: NEWS-Mahogany
Sent: Wed Jul 01 18:39:37 2009
Subject: U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman admitted to L.A. hospital

LOS ANGELES (Reuters) - Congressman Henry Waxman, the powerful chairman the U.S. House of Representatives Energy and Commerce Committee, has been hospitalized in Los Angeles for an undisclosed ailment, a spokeswoman said. The 69-year-old veteran Democrat from Southern California was not feeling well on Tuesday and was admitted to Cedars-Sinai Medical Center for "routine testing," the spokeswoman, Karen Lightfoot said. "He's feeling much better now," Lightfoot said. She declined to disclose the nature of his ailment or how long he is expected to remain in the hospital. Waxman, a member of Congress since 1975, was a leading co-sponsor of landmark climate change legislation passed by the House on Friday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 12:44 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

Fyi

From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Crowley, Philip J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Robinson, David M; Morales Jr, Hector E; Webster, Christopher W
Sent: Sun Jun 28 10:05:44 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

I am in the office and working with our Embassy in Tegucigalpa. Apparently, the action this morning was a coordinated effort by the Supreme Court, the Honduran Congress, and the armed forces to prevent President Zelaya from holding a non-binding poll on a possible constituent assembly. The Supreme Court determined the poll illegal and ordered the military to collect all balloting materials. In the process of doing this, the military also seized Zelaya and supposedly sent him by aircraft to Costa Rica.

Our Ambassador has his Emergency Action Committee convened at the Embassy. They are reaching out to all their contacts to determine the current state of play. The Embassy will issue a warden message soon to U.S. citizens in Honduras regarding events. I have called our Embassy in Costa Rica and asked it to confirm Zelaya's presence in San Jose. I will be calling the Costa Rican Foreign Minister soon. Tomorrow, Costa Rica takes over as President Pro Temp of the Central American Integration System.

Hector Morales has been in touch with OAS SecGen Insulza. The OAS Permanent Council passed a resolution on Friday offering its good offices to Honduras to resolve the political impasse, and Insulza was going to lead a team to Honduras on Monday. We are checking to determine the state of play of the delegation, but OAS involvement will be key to a successful resolution of this event.

I will also be calling the new SouthCom Commander to ensure a coordinated U.S. approach. We have big military equities in Honduras through Joint Task Force Bravo at Soto Cano airbase.

We are working up press points now. Although this morning's events were part of a larger political and institutional struggle in Honduras. The seizure and expulsion of the President was an intolerable act by the armed forces and we are going to have to say this loud and clear.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:23 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Shannon, Thomas A; 'pcrowley [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

Anything specific we need to do?

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Jun 28 08:55:34 2009
Subject: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

According to conversations between A/S Shannon and the Honduran Ambassador, shots were fired outside of President Zelaya's residence in Tegucigalpa, and members of the armed forces have reportedly seized the President. U.S. Ambassador Llorens has received the same report in conversations with Zelaya's personal secretary. Embassy Tegucigalpa is convening their EAC.

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 12:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Schedule

For tonite, I tentatively told usss an 8pm departure from house. Is that ok?
For tomorrow, the mitchell meeting is confirmed for 5pm. So I will confirm doctor for after the mitchell mtg around 615pm. Ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:04 AM
To: H
Subject: Big thinkers lunch for wed

This would be list. What do u think? Can we start inviting people?

[redacted] B5

[redacted] not a usual suspect for us, but very smart)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 10:53 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: DPRK launches fourth missile

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 10:45:12 2009
Subject: DPRK launches fourth missile

(U) ROK media, citing officials in Seoul, are reporting a fourth missile launch. No further details are available at this time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 11:55 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Yes confirmed.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:52:45 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Ok that's when I will go. Is that certain?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:51:06 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

2pm is now no longer an option. Only option is 5pm wheels up.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:21:52 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Oh, dear. I guess I'd rather leave at 2 but does that present problems I don't know about?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 10:43:55 2009
Subject: Plane delay

The g3 is delayed till 5pm wheels up
There is a lear available at 2pm with 6 seats.
Do u want to just leave at 5?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 1:52 PM
To: H

Call with chris hill moved to 230 instead. He's still with Maliki

RELEASE IN PART B5

2010-2011 QDDR Terms of Reference

B5


B5

B5

B5

B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Personal

I will. Philippe is keeping Solomon informed -- he passed along a readout of Jim's conversation yesterday.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:22:47 2009
Subject: Re: Personal

Would you pls give Lanny a report and do you know who is keeping Solomon informed?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:19:57 2009
Subject: Re: Personal

Yes. We had Jim raise this with the Greek ambassador yesterday, who told him that they were aware of the situation and would come back with a report when Jim got to Corfu.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:11:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Personal

Do you know anything about this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Lanny J. [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 20:32:06 2009
Subject: Personal

Dear Hillary,
John Solomon, Exec Editor of Washington Times and a close personal friend (and always fair to us as AP reporter and later Wash Post reporter), has called about a Wash Times reporter who is being held by Iranians. He says Greeks have good relations and he believes they are trying to help. He believes you are meeting with Greek Foreign Minister tomorrow and hopes you can raise the issue with him - he may already know something about it. Any information he knows would help family.
Hope you can do this -
And hope your elbow is better -
Best wishes,

Lanny

==========

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==========

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E- MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/
==========

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Adler, Caroline E <AdlerCE@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 3:30 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: [redacted] B6

Secretary Clinton -

Wow - thank you so much for taking the time to send me [redacted] It continues to be an honor to work for you. B6

Caroline

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Adler, Caroline E
Sent: Sat Jun 27 14:13:34 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Caroline--I called your cell and left a message but thought I'd send an email too, not only to wish you a very happy day but also to thank you for the wonderful job you're doing. I hope you're enjoying some well-deserved time to yourself. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 7:16 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Bolivia

Maria will see Morales tomorrow. We'll get you a readout shortly after the mtg concludes.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Jun 27 16:56:01 2009
Subject: Bolivia

Any news from Shannon or Maria?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 12:42 PM
To: H
Subject: Potus statement is out

THE WHITE HOUSE
Office of the Press Secretary
For Immediate Release June 28, 2009

Statement from President on the situation in Honduras

"I am deeply concerned by reports coming out of Honduras regarding the detention and expulsion of President Mel Zelaya. As the Organization of American States did on Friday, I call on all political and social actors in Honduras to respect democratic norms, the rule of law and the tenets of the Inter-American Democratic Charter. Any existing tensions and disputes must be resolved peacefully through dialogue free from any outside interference."

###

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Big thinkers lunch for wed

I actually think that's better, we had entertained it but didn't know what u would be up for. Will proceed.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:06:26 2009
Subject: Re: Big thinkers lunch for wed

That is a huge group. There is no way to make them all feel important and listened to. Should we split group in half and have two lunches?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:03:36 2009
Subject: Big thinkers lunch for wed

This would be list. What do u think? Can we start inviting people?

B5

[redacted] (not a usual suspect for us, but very smart)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:33 AM
To: rosemarie.howe H B6
Cc: HAbedin
Subject: Re: Bausman & Company - Fine Bench Made Furniture B6

Printing and sending to u hrc

----- Original Message -----
From: Rosemarie Howe
To: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Huma Abedin < Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:24:43 2009
Subject: FW: Bausman & Company - Fine Bench Made Furniture

This isn't the right style...but does it look like the right function?

http://www.bausman.net/AllItems/BySeries/1100/1140.html

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 8:04 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Schumer

Fyi on schumer call.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: Burns, William J; Gordon, Philip H; Sullivan, Jacob J; 'rverma [redacted] B6
Sent: Thu Jul 02 20:03:50 2009
Subject: Schumer

Chuck schumer called me cause he's been playing phone tag with hrc. He wanted to talk to her about the problem the bank of ny is having in russia. He didn't realize she wasn't going. When I told him she wasn't, he said he wanted to talk to Bill and ask you to raise this issue with russian officials. Bill, are you able to call him and I will tell hrc you are calling back? Cell is [redacted] or ops can reach him.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 4:41 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: note to S

Fyi - a clas version will come in hard copy in your pouch tonight

From: Goldberg, Philip S
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Jun 25 16:31:19 2009
Subject: note to S

Cheryl, Here is a cleaned up version of what I will send to S. I removed some language and changed some. But the thrust is the same.

Note to the Secretary

From Phil Goldberg

June 25, 2009

Madam Secretary,

First, thanks for your confidence in asking me to take on the North Korea sanctions mission. I know the importance of the issue to you and the President.

B5

B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:19 AM
To: williamsbarrett
Subject: Fw: Ye of little FAITH: Hello from INTERPOL

B6

Fyi

From: NOBLE Ronald
To: 'Cheryl Mills'
Cc: Cheryl Mills1 ; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jun 28 09:03:34 2009
Subject: Ye of little FAITH: Hello from INTERPOL

Cheryl,

Can you believe that I doubted you ????????? I am so, so embarrassed! It is June 28th and I am now just reading your message of March 22d in response to my message of March 22d!!!

For three months I have been thinking that I must have done something unknowingly and stupidly to have offended you. I have been struggling to remember what I might have done except to praise you too much! ☺

I just feel so, so good, but also ashamed for having doubted you! I might be pathetic, but at least I am honest and transparent!

(By the way, please extend SoS my best wishes for a speedy recovery.)

Warmest regards,

Ron

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:
Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2009 11:56 PM
To: NOBLE Ronald
Cc: Cheryl Mills1; millscd@state.gov
Subject: Re: Hello from INTERPOL

Hey dear - so great to hear from you.

She travels on wed - let me see if we can do tues and if not then in april.

Cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: NOBLE Ronald
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sun Mar 22 18:50:59 2009
Subject: Hello from INTERPOL

Cheryl,

Wow, it has been 10 or so years since the "trial" before the U.S. Congress where I last saw you. Of course, I have followed your career ever since through John who as you know still calls you one of "his" stars.

I am sorry to bother you on a weekend, but our mutual friend was kind enough to give me your email address and to suggest that I send you a copy of the letter concerning INTERPOL that I have drafted for the SOS . Although I have finalized the draft, I can't put it on INTERPOL letterhead until tomorrow.

The purpose of the letter is to seek a meeting with the SOS at a time and place of her choosing. I will be in Washington this Tuesday and Wednesday which I know would be too soon to schedule a meeting. But, I can return on April 8th or thereafter if you believe that a meeting would be possible.

In closing, you know me; so if my request has not been expressed in just the right way, please forgive me.

Let me know what you would like me to do next after reading my letter for the SOS which follows.

Best regards,

Ron

"Madame Secretary,

Under the Obama Presidency and your leadership at the U.S. State Department, the U.S. Government has shifted its strategic focus from a predominantly military-led approach to one using "smart power" based on diplomacy, defense and development. The direction you've chosen and the commitments you have made - to international organizations that advance collective security, to embracing and implementing global solutions to security - are a vast improvement over prior policy and constitute the best approach to safeguarding the United States and countries around the world against terrorism.

During my eight years as INTERPOL's Secretary General and based on having visited 123 countries to learn firsthand what their security concerns were and how to best address them, my INTERPOL team and I have identified a number of serious global security gaps which put Americans and citizens all over the world at risk to acts of terrorism and other serious crimes but which could be easily closed. Surprisingly, and until now, no government has made INTERPOL and the role of international law enforcement in protecting its citizens from acts of terrorism a real priority. Consequently, significant gaps in global security remain which require the immediate attention of Heads of State or Ministers of State, Justice and Interior and the private sector.

These dangerous but resolvable problems include

1. The 850 million international arrivals that pass through the world's airports each year without being checked against the only global database of over 10 million stolen and lost passports developed and managed by INTERPOL.

2. The culture which discourages countries from issuing international alerts when terrorists escape from prison.

3. The gap in policing where terrorists are arrested without their fingerprints and DNA profiles being compared against global databases.

4. The failure of far too many countries to notify INTERPOL when their citizens' passports have been reported lost or stolen, which significantly undermines any screening of passports used to enter the U.S. or other countries.

5. The lack of global anti-crime and anti-corruption centers, which leaves us under-protected against the scourge of human trafficking, slavery, and other serious transnational crimes.

6. The absence of robust facilities world-wide where police can work, train and exchange information under the umbrella of INTERPOL.

7. The threat to the rule of law that requires well-trained police at the national and international levels especially in post-conflict areas like Afghanistan.

INTERPOL has launched its Global Security Initiative in order to enhance support for developing effective and growing programs in each of these seven areas. Our capacity to address these threats can grow not only with greater resources but also with a larger personal commitment to the kinds of policies that reflect the direction that you and President Obama have chosen in the name of national security.

INTERPOL is a global international police organization with 187 member countries. Our Constitution prevents us from getting involved in matters of a political, religious, military or racial nature. We have one goal and one goal only: to help law enforcement worldwide keep their citizens and visitors to their country safe from terrorism and other forms of serious international crime.

Our successes over the last several years have been nothing short of extraordinary. More terrorists and dangerous international criminals have been identified, located and arrested than ever before. The security of more borders has been enhanced. More police information has been exchanged globally. More international pedophiles have been caught. More transnational crime groups have been exposed.

Against the backdrop of this success, it remains the case that neither Heads of State nor Ministers of Foreign Affairs, Justice and Interior have made the kind of investment in INTERPOL worldwide that can help to keep their citizens safe at home and abroad. They should and you can be a leader among them.

It is my strong belief that in your capacity as U.S. Secretary of State, you can show the world that by making INTERPOL an integral part of the U.S.'s new "smart power" strategy, all of the world's citizens will be safer than they otherwise would be. I would welcome any opportunity to travel to Washington or anywhere in the world to meet with you to offer recommendations for solving the problems outlined above and to discuss the range of resources required to meet these challenges.

Sincerely,

Ronald K. Noble
Secretary General
INTERPOL"

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

****

This message, and any attachment contained, are confidential and subject of legal privilege. It may be used solely for the designated police/justice purpose and by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. The information is not to be disseminated to another agency or third party without the author's consent, and must not be retained longer than is necessary for the fulfilment of the purpose for which the information is to be used. All practicable steps shall be taken by the recipients to ensure that information is protected against unauthorised access or processing. INTERPOL reserves the right to enquire about the use of the information provided.

If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error. In such a case, you should not print it, copy it, make any use of it or disclose it, but please notify us immediately and delete the message from any computer

****

****

This message, and any attachment contained, are confidential and subject of legal privilege. It may be used solely for the designated police/justice purpose and by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. The information is not to be disseminated to another agency or third party without the author's consent, and must not be retained longer than is necessary for the fulfilment of the purpose for which the information is to be used. All practicable steps shall be taken \m\jby the recipients to ensure that information is protected \m\jagainst unauthorised access or processing. INTERPOL \m\jreserves the right to enquire about the use of the information provided.

If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error. In such a case, you should not \m\jprint it, copy it, make any use of it or disclose it, but please notify us immediately and delete the message from any computer

****

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 8:48 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: DPRK launches two short-range missiles (U)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 05:35:08 2009
Subject: DPRK launches two short-range missiles (U)

(U) Yonhap news agency reports North Korea test-fired two short-range missiles. The Operations Center will provide additional updates as they become available.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:27 AM
To: H
Subject: This am

Pdb on the way
Also, there's a secpres from rch that needs ur signature.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 9:52 AM
To: H

Madam sec'y
I understand I missed a call from you while I was at my mechanic this morning. I'll be in the office by 1015 am.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 11:55 AM
To: H

- pls call jake when u can thru ops. He has a few things to report
- Holbrooke called me this morning with a point that hasn't been presented to u. He doesn't think u absolutely have to do camps if u go to pak. He thinks a short visit with officials, just a few hours doing bilateral things is sufficient and talking about idps while u r in country will more than make the point. Something else to consider as u make ur decision.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 1:28 PM
To: H
Subject: 2pm call

Call with chris hill and jake is scheduled for 2pm. Ops will connect to house.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 4:33 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:46:10 2009
Subject: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

(SBU) Embassy Amman reports a royal decree was issued naming HRH Prince Hussein Ben Abdullah as Crown Prince as of today.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 6:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

Isn't a surprise since 5 years ago he removed his brother as official crown prince.
Basically he's not honoring his fathers dying wish but since constitution says it should be son (with brother as option) he has clear authority to do it. I personally think this shows confidence in his position as he's not worried about an outcry from his fathers loyalists .

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:41:23 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What does this mean?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:32:31 2009
Subject: Fw: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:46:10 2009
Subject: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

(SBU) Embassy Amman reports a royal decree was issued naming HRH Prince Hussein Ben Abdullah as Crown Prince as of today.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 6:16 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

Well he's very young, only 15.
Rumor is hes smart, quiet, bookish. Like his father.
What is interesting is that the reason abdullah gave from removing his brother 5 years ago is that he thought the weight of being crown prince restricted his life, education and travel. I suspect the reason he's done this is so his son can be properly groomed from now to inherit the thrown. Life will change dramatically for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 18:05:59 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What's Hussein like?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 18:00:51 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

Isn't a surprise since 5 years ago he removed his brother as official crown prince.
Basically he's not honoring his fathers dying wish but since constitution says it should be son (with brother as option) he has clear authority to do it. I personally think this shows confidence in his position as he's not worried about an outcry from his fathers loyalists .

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:41:23 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What does this mean?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:32:31 2009
Subject: Fw: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:46:10 2009

Subject: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

(SBU) Embassy Amman reports a royal decree was issued naming HRH Prince Hussein Ben Abdullah as Crown Prince as of today.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 6:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Bill Clinton raising bucks for Maloney

Fyi

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Nora Toiv
Date: Thu, 2 Jul 2009 13:53:55 -0400
To: Cheryl Mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Bill Clinton raising bucks for Maloney

Bill Clinton raising bucks for Maloney

Former President Clinton will be keeping his commitment to host a fundraiser for Rep. Carolyn Maloney, who has irritated other Democratic leaders by announcing she's challenging Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.) in a primary.

The July 20 fundraiser with Clinton in Manhattan had been in the works for months, well before Maloney announced she would be running against Gillibrand. The former president has not endorsed in the race for his wife's former Senate seat and is not planning to issue an endorsement, according to his spokesman.

Clinton held a fundraiser for Gillibrand in March soon after she was appointed to the Senate by Gov. David Paterson (D-N.Y).

The fundraiser is for Maloney's House fund but in practical terms, the fundraiser has the same effect as raising money for a Senate campaign. Maloney is allowed to transfer unlimited sums from her House campaign account to any future Senate account, according to FEC law.

Clinton spokesman Matt McKenna said the fundraiser is part of a series of events where the former president is helping out elected officials who supported his wife's 2008 presidential campaign.

"We agreed to do this event some time ago, as a way of saying thank you for the congresswoman's hard work on behalf of then-Sen. Clinton in the presidential campaign," said McKenna.

But Clinton is steering clear from helping another Hillary-supporting House Democrat who is also challenging a sitting senator in a primary. POLITICO reported last month that Clinton won't be holding any fundraisers for Rep. Joe Sestak (D-Pa.), a Clinton pal who is running against Sen. Arlen Specter (D-Pa.).

Specter has been endorsed by President Obama, Vice President Biden and Gov. Ed Rendell (D-Pa.), who was also one of Hillary's most dedicated supporters in 2008.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Personal

Yes. We had Jim raise this with the Greek ambassador yesterday, who told him that they were aware of the situation and would come back with a report when Jim got to Corfu.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:11:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Personal

Do you know anything about this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Lanny J. [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 20:32:06 2009
Subject: Personal

Dear Hillary,
John Solomon, Exec Editor of Washington Times and a close personal friend (and always fair to us as AP reporter and later Wash Post reporter), has called about a Wash Times reporter who is being held by Iranians. He says Greeks have good relations and he believes they are trying to help. He believes you are meeting with Greek Foreign Minister tomorrow and hopes you can raise the issue with him - he may already know something about it. Any information he knows would help family.
Hope you can do this -
And hope your elbow is better -
Best wishes,
Lanny

==========

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==========

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E- MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US

IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/

==========

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 7:53 PM
To: H
Subject: Good news

Rich reports that Kurt, Ellen Tauscher and Dan Rooney were confirmed tonight!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: John Podesta
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2009 8:22 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Does this mean your career as a major league pitcher is over?

We'll meet you in Arizona. Sounds like you are doing OK.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:35 PM
To: John Podesta
Subject: Re: Does this mean your career as a major league pitcher is over?

Probably for this season, but I'll be ready next spring! Until then, I'll keep my day job.

----- Original Message -----
From: John Podesta
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 21 15:07:06 2009
Subject: Does this mean your career as a major league pitcher is over?

Tried you a couple days ago, but email bounced back Neera says this is the right one. How are you doing? Hope it's not too excruciating, but suspect that it is. Hope you're better soon. We need you in the real majors.
John and Mary
PS No matter what anybody says, we refuse to believe that Holbrooke tripped you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:25 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: DPRK fires third short-range missile

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:20:25 2009
Subject: DPRK fires third short-range missile

(U) Media report the DPRK fired a third short-range missile from Sinsang-ri, near the eastern port of Wonsan. The first two launches were reported to be KN-01 surface-ship missiles. There is no information regarding the type of the third missile. All three reportedly flew roughly 60 miles before detonating.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 10:44 AM
To: H
Subject: Plane delay

The g3 is delayed till 5pm wheels up
There is a lear available at 2pm with 6 seats.
Do u want to just leave at 5?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Jun 28 08:55:34 2009
Subject: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

According to conversations between A/S Shannon and the Honduran Ambassador, shots were fired outside of President Zelaya's residence in Tegucigalpa, and members of the armed forces have reportedly seized the President. U.S. Ambassador Llorens has received the same report in conversations with Zelaya's personal secretary. Embassy Tegucigalpa is convening their EAC.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Wendy Sherman [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 10:26 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Recovery

Great you can begin PT- one of the best things in life for broken parts and aching bodies! Agree merger is a positive with good possibilities. Nice to see you named best cabinet member in Washingtonian since job is so tough and world generally conspires to make it tougher. Finally getting your whole team on board should help. Will save any issue discussion or thoughts for other than email. Keep taking care and, hopefully, the only fireworks this weekend will be in American communities.

Wendy R. Sherman
Albright Stonebridge Group*
1101 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
[redacted] B6

* On June 25, 2009, Stonebridge International and The Albright Group announced their merger, creating the Albright Stonebridge Group. For the time being, all contact information remains the same. Once we complete the transition, we will inform you of our new contact details. We appreciate your patience and look forward to continuing our work with you.
sent from Blackberry

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Wendy Sherman
Sent: Tue Jun 30 17:32:14 2009
Subject: Re: Recovery

Wendy--

Thanks for your good wishes. I am finally on the mend and starting physical therapy. One word of advice--watch where you step!

I was very excited by the announced merger w Sandy's firm which sounds like a win-win for all.

I miss seeing you and hope we can remedy that soon. Happy 4th!

----- Original Message -----
From: Wendy Sherman [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Thu Jun 18 17:56:31 2009
Subject: Recovery

Hope you came through the surgery well and will somehow find five minutes for recovery! Feel better soon. Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman
Principal
The Albright Group LLC
Albright Capital Management
[redacted]

B6

sent from Blackberry

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 6:19 PM
To: H

Schumer returned your call.
Would like to download to you on latest with kirsten/carolyn before u talk to him.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 6:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: NAACP's Bond at the W.H.

Fyi

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Nora Toiv
Date: Thu, 2 Jul 2009 16:13:55 -0400
To: Cheryl Mills[redacted]
Subject: NAACP's Bond at the W.H.

NAACP's Bond at the W.H.

By CAROL E. LEE

Tensions between the White House and the NAACP over President Obama's upcoming address at the group's 100th ...

...anniversary celebration were not totally resolved after leaders of the influential civil rights organization met with two senior White House aides Thursday morning.

There's some scheduling adjustments that have to be made, Julian Bond told POLITICO as he left the West Wing. But he's coming.

Bond and Hilary Shelton, the NAACP's vice president for advocacy, said they met with White House senior adviser Valerie Jarrett and her chief of staff, Michael Strautmanis.

Bond said the NAACP and the White House have agreed on a date July 16 but have yet to settle on a time when Obama will address the group's conference. At issue is the venue.

Ideally Obama's speech would be at a location that makes it easy for everyone to see him, Bond said. So far the White House has rejected some suggestions including Yankee Stadium citing security reasons, and there is a dispute over exactly what time he will speak.

It was a healthy, helpful conversation, Shelton said of the meeting.

Shelton and Bond said they did not see Obama while they were in the West Wing for roughly 45 minutes.

Attorney General Eric Holder is scheduled to address the NAACP's conference on July 13, they said, and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton is also trying to schedule in appearance. The conference is being held from July 11 to 16.

Shelton noted that Obama has not missed an annual NAACP meeting since he was elected to the Senate in

2004.

And on his way into the meeting, Bond suggested that Obama has the NAACP to thank for his election to the presidency.

If it weren't for the work of the NAACP over the past century, he told Urban Radio's April Ryan, "a black man would not be president of the United States today."

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 6:52 PM
To: H
Subject: Nita lowey called for u


RELEASE IN PART B5

2010-2011 QDDR Terms of Reference

B5

B5

B5



B5

B5

B5

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 11:55 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Mauritanian President Resigns Peacefully as per Dakar Accords

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat Jun 27 11:39:09 2009
Subject: Mauritanian President Resigns Peacefully as per Dakar Accords

(SBU) Embassy Nouakchott reports President Abdallahi's expected resignation paves the way for July 18 elections organized by an interim government. The security situation remains stable.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 9:54 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf
Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg
202-647-8690
AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 5:29 PM
To: H
Subject: Thank you note to Mexican FM

Madam Secretary - I just confirmed that you sent a thank you note to Mexican FM Patricia Espinosa Cantellano

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Adler, Caroline E <AdlerCE@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 2:14 PM
To: H
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply:

B6

I will be out of the office June 29 - July 5. For urgent matters, please contact reinesp@state.gov.

Thanks,
Caroline

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 7:51 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Honduras interim govt sending mission to Washington

From: Himmelsteib, Brian B
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-WHA
Cc: SES-O_Shift-III
Sent: Tue Jun 30 19:33:24 2009
Subject: Honduras interim govt sending mission to Washington

TEGUCIGALPA, June 30 (Reuters) - An interim government set up after a military coup in Honduras said it will send a delegation of politicians, business leaders and lawyers to Washington on Wednesday for talks on the crisis.

Roberto Micheletti, sworn in as caretaker president after leftist President Manuel Zelaya was toppled by the army on Sunday, announced the mission after Zelaya traveled to New York and Washington to address the United Nations and Organization of American States.

Brian Himmelsteib

Watch Officer

S/ES-O

U.S. Department of State

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 10:08 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

Update from tom

From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Crowley, Philip J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Robinson, David M; Morales Jr, Hector E; Webster, Christopher W
Sent: Sun Jun 28 10:05:44 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

I am in the office and working with our Embassy in Tegucigalpa. Apparently, the action this morning was a coordinated effort by the Supreme Court, the Honduran Congress, and the armed forces to prevent President Zelaya from holding a non-binding poll on a possible constituent assembly. The Supreme Court determined the poll illegal and ordered the military to collect all balloting materials. In the process of doing this, the military also seized Zelaya and supposedly sent him by aircraft to Costa Rica.

Our Ambassador has his Emergency Action Committee convened at the Embassy. They are reaching out to all their contacts to determine the current state of play. The Embassy will issue a warden message soon to U.S. citizens in Honduras regarding events. I have called our Embassy in Costa Rica and asked it to confirm Zelaya's presence in San Jose. I will be calling the Costa Rican Foreign Minister soon. Tomorrow, Costa Rica takes over as President Pro Temp of the Central American Integration System.

Hector Morales has been in touch with OAS SecGen Insulza. The OAS Permanent Council passed a resolution on Friday offering its good offices to Honduras to resolve the political impasse, and Insulza was going to lead a team to Honduras on Monday. We are checking to determine the state of play of the delegation, but OAS involvement will be key to a successful resolution of this event.

I will also be calling the new SouthCom Commander to ensure a coordinated U.S. approach. We have big military equities in Honduras through Joint Task Force Bravo at Soto Cano airbase.

We are working up press points now. Although this morning's events were part of a larger political and institutional struggle in Honduras. The seizure and expulsion of the President was an intolerable act by the armed forces and we are going to have to say this loud and clear.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:23 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Shannon, Thomas A; 'pcrowley [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

Anything specific we need to do?

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Jun 28 08:55:34 2009
Subject: Honduran President Zelaya Reportedly Seized by Armed Forces

According to conversations between A/S Shannon and the Honduran Ambassador, shots were fired outside of President Zelaya's residence in Tegucigalpa, and members of the armed forces have reportedly seized the

President. U.S. Ambassador Llorens has received the same report in conversations with Zelaya's personal secretary. Embassy Tegucigalpa is convening their EAC.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 5:34 PM
To: H
Subject: Spanish fm

Spanish FM Moratinos has requested to speak with you today. Tom can give u verbal download but below are basic points. Let me know if u want us to set up call
Points:
- We condemn the effort to remove Zelaya from office.

- We are working within the OAS and with our regional partners to resolve this situation quickly and in a manner that restores democratic order.

- We appreciate the role Spain played in winning quick EU condemnation of the coup attempt.

- Our work is not done. Rebuilding trust among competing political institutions and reducing polarization within Honduras will take a lot of work. We hope Spain will work with us and the OAS to ensure a restoration of democratic order that will allow Honduras to carry through with it electoral timetable (presidential vote scheduled for November)

Note from tom:
Aside from the statements issued by the President and the Secretary, we have been working in the OAS Permanent Council fashioning a consensus resolution mapping out a path forward, reaching out to regional partners (I have spoken twice with Salvadoran President Funes, and our Ambassadors have been working with other Central American leaders), and working within Honduras to limit the damage to Honduras institutions.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 2:29 PM
To: Rosemarie Howe; H
Subject: RE: Today

I will take you down there, we have to make an appointment Let me know your availability

-----Original Message-----
From: Rosemarie Howe [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 1:50 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Today

So sorry to have missed you today. Huma mentioned the art dept. Let me know your thoghts and schedule. Do you have any time on Wednesday ?

Rosemarie

On Jun 29, 2009, at 8:19 AM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

> I'd love to see you!
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Rosemarie Howe <[redacted]
> To: H
> Cc: Huma Abedin <abedinh@state.gov>
> Sent: Mon Jun 29 07:04:46 2009
> Subject: Today
>
> I'll be in to see cheryl at 10:00am today. Don't know your schedule
> but just so you know r
>
>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: cheryl.mills
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 7:56 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: How's the vacation?

Great - will call you b/f noon
------Original Message------
From: Hrc New
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Jul 2, 2009 6:52 AM
Subject: How's the vacation?

I hope you're ensconced at the beach starting to unwind. If you have time, I'd like to catch up. I'll be at home in DC until 1 and then at home in NY after 4. Happy relaxing!

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 7:22 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Palau offended by US positions

You'll be happy to know that Jack and I have been working this weekend on behalf of our good friends in Palau. They will be getting new numbers in the next round of negotiations. I will stay on top of it, though no matter what I don't think they will be 100 percent satisfied.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jul 12 18:55:38 2009
Subject: Fw: Palau offended by US positions

Jake---Pls review, do some recon outreach and advise what, if anything, we should do. Thx. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 10 18:36:45 2009
Subject: Palau offended by US positions

Below is the statement of very impressive Palau reps at the end of a session of required meetings on the next phase of free association aid. FYI only, the Palauans were insulted by the nature and depth of the response to their proposal as well as the content and the overall approach. They are even privately questioning the relationship. (This hasn't affected their intent to take the Uighurs.) I will send a few further thoughts.


At the conclusion of yesterday's meeting, the Palau delegation caucused to discuss its reaction to the response of the United States delegation to the proposals that it made in June in Washington D.C., and expanded upon in subsequent communications.

While the Palau delegation has agreed to respond to certain additional questions raised by the United States delegation on specific elements of the Palau proposal, and further has agreed to participate in certain working groups on specific issues, the Palau delegation was deeply disappointed with the response of the United States delegation. The United States delegation did not seriously respond, as required by the Compact, to the operational requirements of the Government of Palau or to Palau's comprehensive and considered plans to achieve economic advancement and self-sufficiency.

In light of the foregoing, the Palau delegation has determined that it must now return to Palau to discuss with President Toribiong the next steps that Palau needs to take regarding the Compact. Thank you.

Jeff

Jeffrey L. Farrow



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:02 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

Good. Just incorporating some line edits from Cheryl and want to make sure I got all of yours in. Have been getting feedback from Podesta et. al. Will have new draft to you shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Speech

How's it coming?


RELEASE IN PART
B5

7.14


8:15pm

I. INTRODUCTION


B5



B5



B5




B5


B5




B5




B5



B5



B5



B5



B5



B5



B5



B5




B5




B5

B5




B5



B5

B5



B5



B5



B5



B5

B5



B5

B5



B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:57 PM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: CFR 7 14 09 9 pm clean
Attachments: CFR 7 14 09 9 pm clean.doc

I hope I got all the gaps/typos. Will send to WJC now.

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(D),B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 11:44 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Update on US Support of GOH Plan

fyi

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 11:43 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Update on US Support of GOH Plan

Dear Prime Minister Pierre Louis

[redacted] 1.4(D) B1

Best,

cdm

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 07/14/2029

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 6:19 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: OpEd | A Dose of Realism in Honsuras By Edward Schumacher-Matos

---

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Shannon, Thomas A; Abedin, Huma; Llorens, Hugo; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:54:29 2009
Subject: RE: OpEd | A Dose of Realism in Honsuras By Edward Schumacher-Matos

Very interesting.

From: Shannon, Thomas A
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 2:46 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Llorens, Hugo; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: OpEd | A Dose of Realism in Honsuras By Edward Schumacher-Matos

This will appear in tomorrow's Post.

From: pburelli[redacted] B6
Sent: Sat Jul 11 08:05:33 2009
Subject: OpEd | A Dose of Realism in Honsuras By Edward Schumacher-Matos

Washington Post | A Dose of Realism in Honduras

By Edward Schumacher-Matos
Sunday, July 12, 2009

Sometimes you have to give political leaders credit.

President Barack Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton are on the verge of achieving their own coup in Honduras and advancing American interests with a deftness not seen from Washington in many years.

The president's reference to Honduras during his trip to Moscow reflects how the small Central American country is but a pawn as the administration pushes the reset button globally and in the hemisphere.

Justice may not be totally served in Honduras, but the country is likely to end up better off anyway.

"America cannot and should not seek to impose any system of government on any other country," Obama said in Russia, "nor would we presume to choose which party or individual should run a country. . . . Even as we meet here today, America supports now the restoration of the democratically elected president of Honduras, even though he has strongly opposed American policies. We do so not because we agree with him. We do so because we respect the universal principle that people should choose their own leaders."

The immediate lesson was to teach Russia to stay out of Georgia and Ukraine. The message, however, also resonated throughout Latin America, undercutting the polarization efforts of Venezuelan President Hugo Chávez.

That the administration has joined with the Organization of American States in condemning the ouster of Honduran President Manuel Zelaya has left Chávez puffing a lot of hot air with no one to fight against.

When three Chávez allies -- the presidents of Argentina, Ecuador and Paraguay -- sought unsuccessfully to escort Zelaya back to Honduras on Venezuelan planes, they looked irresponsible for setting off violence that resulted in one death. Clinton, meanwhile, working quietly with Brazil, Mexico, Colombia, Chile and other moderate countries, has brought the two opposing Honduran camps together in a mediation with one of the grand old men of Latin America, Costa Rican Oscar Arias, a Nobel Peace laureate.

There is now genuine movement toward a peaceful resolution of the crisis.

The United States, long seen as a bully in the region, is suddenly being seen as respectful and wise. The flummoxed Chávez could only come up with a patently hilarious formula in which the "Yankee empire" was still the villain in Honduras but Obama may not be responsible because he is "more like a prisoner of the empire."

More fundamentally, Obama and Clinton, perhaps because neither is particularly experienced in Latin America, have approached the region with fresh eyes and wonder what all the fuss is about. The Moscow speech and their actions in Honduras underline that the Cold War really is over.

Chávez is a nuisance, but Washington has no enemies in Latin America. Our major interests are immigration, crime and trade, not ideologies, as much as the extremists on the right and the left there and here might want to make it so.

So, are we sacrificing Honduras? No. Zelaya is the main culprit in this crisis, but what counts is the rule of law. His hand has been slapped very hard. Allowing him to serve out the last six months of his term while not holding the referendum that would have opened the way to succession has a better chance of bringing peace and stability to the country than the current standoff.

The bigger question will be: What have the rest of us learned? We have all been pushing Latin Americans to uphold the rule of law, but beyond simply insisting that Zelaya was elected, few in the OAS, the European Union or other critics have been willing to give much credence to Hondurans for trying to do just that.

The Honduran Supreme Court, as it is empowered to do under the constitution, ordered the army to arrest Zelaya after he began to carry out a referendum for a constitutional convention that the court, Congress and his own attorney general said was illegal. Yet, many Latin American and European governments still call it a "military coup" or, as the Associated Press called it several days afterward, a "military power grab." Clinton and Obama dropped calling it a coup.

There are gray areas having to do with presidential powers and the fact that the Honduran constitution prohibits extradition of citizens. The army exiled Zelaya in consultation with civilian leaders to avoid precisely the sort of violence seen when Zelaya tried to return. He forced the country and its institutions against the wall, and for that he should take his medicine.

Edward Schumacher-Matos is syndicated by the Washington Post Writers Group. His e-mail address is edward.schumachermatos@yahoo.com.

Post a Comment

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 12:04 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Speech

Strobe

Just wanted to say many thanks for all your help on the Secretary speech. Your guidance was invaluable and it will only earn you more work.

Xo
cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Berger, Samuel R.
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 3:03 PM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Speech/Middle East

HRC: Back this morning from Asia.
Congratulations on the speech. I think it came out great. And the reaction I have heard today has been very positive.
Let raise an idea about how you could present the arrangement on settlements that you are seeking with Israel as I understand it, i.e. a moratorium coupled with allowances to complete a number of housing units. There are two ways:

1. To describe it as an agreement, explaining why the US has accepted an Israeli completion of a handful of units whose construction already has begun. This raises some concerns. It would represent U.S. legitimization of some (however small) Israeli activity in the West Bank and it would trigger Arab and Palestinian complaints that we had compromised, sowing doubts about our effectiveness.

2. The alternative would be for the administration to say:

a. We have come a very long way, as Israel has made unprecedented commitments to the U.S. in terms of a settlements freeze. This is a very welcome development.

b. We did not achieve all that we had hoped as Israel intends to complete a number of housing units. We have differences with the Israeli government on this matter which we have expressed to them. .

c. That said, we are convinced that substantial progress has been made that warrants an immediate resumption of peace talks as well as Arab steps toward Israel that will help promote a two-state solution.

This latter option retains our credibility, does not compromise our oft-stated position and allows us to move forward.
Of course, I am around if you want to discuss.
Good luck in India. They are very excited about your visit.
Sandy

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:37 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: couple edits - DEREK CHOLLET's EDITS
Attachments: 2009-07-10_JJS_speech_2[1].doc

Derek's comments – again in redline in text so Oscar should print so you can see them.

cdm

From: Derek Chollet [redacted] B6
To: Jake Sullivan [redacted]; Sullivan, Jacob J; Cheryl Mills [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:38:44 2009
Subject: couple edits

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:04 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

I emailed it to you a few minutes ago. Do you want me to fax it as well?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:03 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Pls let me know when you send--I'm having fax trouble.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 12:03 AM
To: Berger, Samuel R.
Subject: Thanks

Many thanks for all you help and attention to her speech today. So valuable and so appreciative.

Best.

cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 9:21 AM
To: H
Subject: Speech

Where are you on it - will you have a revised version today to give direction of your desires?

At moment we have add'l comments in from a derek, sandy, george (on mep), dennis r, ams and we are holding off doing a new draft until we get a sense from you about your edits.

Cdm

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:33 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2 - AMS edits
Attachments: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2amscom.docx

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

Per your request – here are AMS' comments in text and general below.

Oscar should print for you so you can see the red-line as it will not show up on your bb.

cdm

From: Anne-Marie Slaughter [redacted]
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; [redacted] Muscatine, Lissa
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 10:43:32 2009
Subject: RE: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2

[redacted] B5

AM

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 8:39 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Thank you!!!

Always glad to pitch in. It came out great and your delivery was fantastic! Onward and upward!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Thu Jul 16 07:46:40 2009
Subject: Thank you!!!

Once again, lemonade out of lemons, silk purses out of sow ears and any other analogy I can't recall! Thank you, my friend for everything. See you on the plane!

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:45 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: CFR draft

Will do.

On Jul 14, 2009, at 5:35 AM, H wrote:

> Thanks so much. Pls send it to me at same time so I can review as
> well.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
> To: H
> Sent: Tue Jul 14 05:33:07 2009
> Subject: CFR draft
>
> The draft still needs a lot of work [redacted] B5

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:09 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Schedule

Will do.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:05 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Schedule

Pls add as option: April 25--EMILY'S LIST 25th anniversary.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:32 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; H
Subject: finally
Attachments: CFR 7.14.09 815 pm.doc

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:10 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:16 AM
To: H; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: FW: 8:30 draft
Attachments: CFR 7[1].14.09 1150 pm.doc

Jim K. sent in some edits in the middle of the night -- strictly designed to trim/improve flow. Think it's worth you flipping through to see if any strike you as worth making.

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Jul 15 03:24:14 2009
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

OK. Went through it. It's not too much shorter, but I think it's tighter here and there. Made slight change at end to try to tie in with how it started to bring full circle.

An area where you could save time and space (but I didn't try to change it) would be to shorten the parts where you talk about Israel and Palestine and about Iraq and Afghanistan - it seems to me that those are tactical discussions of an existing issue, and somewhat removed from the more abstract and strategic policy outline she's otherwise aiming at here.

By the way, the phrase "global network of diverse partnerships" seems to be absent now. I kind of liked it on several levels, but I presume it met some objection along the way...

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 8:02 PM, Jim Kennedy <[redacted]> wrote:
so far have gotten through page nine and wanted to send what i have. will do more in next few hours tonight.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 6:44 PM, Jim Kennedy <[redacted]> wrote:
I will do so. I'm good at shrinking without cutting. Thnx.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 5:39 PM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

Hi Jim,

We need you to give this one more pass-through – if you can find places to cut and clarify, that would be great. Can you send something tonight your time?

From: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: 8:30 draft

RELEASE IN PART
B5

7.14


11:51 ~~8:15~~pm

I. INTRODUCTION


[redacted] B5

B5


B5



B5



B5



B5

B5



B5



B5




B5



B5




B5




B5




B5



B5




B5



B5




B5



B5



B5




B5



B5



B5




B5






B5


B5




B5



B5



B5



RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:04 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: H
Subject: Fw: Speech

See b/l

B6

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Jul 16 06:24:31 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Thanks, Cheryl. I thought it ended up in a good place and was impressed by the process. The reaction is better than just good. S.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 12:04 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Speech

Strobe

Just wanted to say many thanks for all your help on the Secretary speech. Your guidance was invaluable and it will only earn you more work.

Xo
cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 9:11 AM
To: H
Subject: Kurt campbell wants to talk when u can.

Had a good call yesterday with our team, reaching out to treasury on change and should know more today.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:47 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Speech - PJ Crowley, Sandy, Summary

Below are PJ's comments/edits in this email (i.e., no attachment).

All of Sandy's were incorporated in the draft you have except his point that: "Finally, there needs to be a key para that sets forth the lens through which through which you want the speech to be seen."

We actually are still awaiting George's comments on ME para.

cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Crowley, Philip J
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Chollet, Derek H; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Muscatine, Lissa; Mills, Cheryl;
Sent: Sun Jul 12 09:44:29 2009
Subject: Speech

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 7:17 PM
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Speech

I literally just got off the phone with Jim Steinberg. He will have specific comments tomorrow, but his overall comment was that t [redacted] B5

[redacted]

(Apologies for any lack of clarity in how I relay these points -- I'm writing on blackberry and our conversation was brief.)

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Sun Jul 12 18:45:27 2009
Subject: Speech

I'm reviewing all of the edits you forwarded and will incorporate many. Jim Kennedy, as always, was fabulous. I should have my revision ready tomorrow. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 8:25 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/16/09

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL BUREAU SECRETARIES**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:15am **PRE-BRIEF ON AFTERNOON PRESS INTERVIEWS**
10:30am Secretary's Office

10:40 am **MEET AND GREET w/CANADIAN FM LAWRENCE CANNON**
10:45 am **AND MEXICAN FS PATRICIA ESPINOSA** *Official photo at top.

10:45 am **NORTH AMERICAN TRILATERAL MINISTERIAL**
12:00 pm Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor *Camera Spray preceding.

12:10 pm **NORTH AMERICAN TRILATERAL WORKING LUNCH**
1:00 pm James Monroe Room, 8th Floor

1:00 pm **TRILATERAL PRESS PRE-BRIEF MEETING**
1:15 pm Secretary's Office

1:15 pm **TRILATERAL PRESS AVAILABILITY w/CANADIAN FM**
1:45 pm **CANNON AND MEXICAN FS PATRICIA ESPINOSA**
Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor

2:15 pm **PRIVATE MEETING (Danny Abraham)**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30pm **PHOTO w/US AMB TO VENEZUELA PATRICK DUDDY**
2:35pm Secretary's Office

2:45 pm **TAPED TELEVISION INTERVIEW VIA SATELLITE w/RAJDEEP**
2:55 pm **SARDESAI, CNN IB** Press Studio, 2nd Floor

3:00 pm **INTERVIEW w/ANWAR IQBAL, DAWN TELEVISION (T)**
3:10 pm Press Studio, 2nd Floor

3:15pm **OTR PULL ASIDE w/LAURA ROZEN**
3:20pm Location: TBD, Staff: Philippe

3:30 pm **BILATERAL w/IRAQI FOREIGN MINISTER HOSHYAR ZEBARI**
4:00 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:15 pm **PHONE CALL w/FS DAVID MILIBAND**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:50 pm **DEPART** State Department
En route Andrews AFB

5:20 pm **ARRIVE** Andrews AFB

5:30 pm **DEPART** Andrews Air Force Base via Air Force Aircraft Tail #80002
En route Prague, Czech Republic ###

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:33 AM
To: H
Subject: CFR draft

The draft still needs a lot of work. [redacted] B5

[redacted]

it

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 4:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Your faithful attendees at Speech

See below

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 3:22 PM
To: Reines, Philippe I; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Chollet, Derek H; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE:

Kennedy
McHale
Tauscher
Verma
Brimmer
Gordon
Crowley
Shapiro
Slaughter
Holbrooke
Mitchell
Stern
Goldberg
Ross
Gottemoeller
Bosworth – maybe

From: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:49 PM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: RE:

Can I see the list please

From: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:48 PM
To: Reines, Philippe I; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Chollet, Derek H
Subject:

We have 16 RSVPs to the speech tomorrow from State.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to the Secretary of State
202-647-9071 (direct)


RELEASE IN PART
B5

7.14.09

9 pm


SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON
REMARKS TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS
WASHINGTON, DC
JULY 15, 2009

INTRODUCTION




B5

B5




B5




B5




B5




B5



B5






B5


B5



B5




B5



B5



B5



B5





B5


B5



B5




B5



B5



B5



B5




B5




B5



B5





B5

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

O:\MDM\MDM09560.xml **[Discussion Draft]** S.L.C.

RELEASE IN FULL

111TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. _____

To strengthen the capacity, transparency, and accountability of United States foreign assistance programs to effectively adapt and respond to new challenges of the 21st century, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. KERRY introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

To strengthen the capacity, transparency, and accountability of United States foreign assistance programs to effectively adapt and respond to new challenges of the 21st century, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Foreign Assistance Revitalization and Accountability Act of 2009".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—Except as otherwise provided, the term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development.

(2) AGENCY.—Except as otherwise provided, the term "Agency" means the United States Agency for International Development.

(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(4) SECRETARY.—Except as otherwise provided, the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of State.

SEC. 3. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Poverty and hunger, lack of opportunity, gender inequality, and environmental degradation are recognized as significant contributors to socio-economic and political instability, as well as to the exacerbation of disease pandemics and other global health threats.

(2) The 2006 National Security Strategy of the United States notes, "America's national interests and moral values drive us in the same direction: to

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

assist the world's poor citizens and least developed nations and help integrate them into the global economy.".

(3) The bipartisan Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (9/11 Commission Report) recommends, "A comprehensive United States strategy to counter terrorism should include economic policies that encourage development, more open societies, and opportunities for people to improve the lives of their families and enhance prospects for their children.".

(4) The alleviation of poverty and hunger is in the national interest of the United States. It improves United States security by mitigating the underlying causes of violence and extremism, addresses threats like climate change and pandemic disease, expands economic opportunities for producers and consumers in the United States, demonstrates United States leadership to the world, and represents the values, humanitarianism, and generosity of the American people.

(5) Elevating the standing of the United States in the world represents a critical and essential element for any strategy to improve national and global security by mitigating the root causes of conflict and

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

multinational terrorism, strengthening diplomatic and economic relationships, preventing global climate change, curbing weapons proliferation, and fostering peace and cooperation between all nations.

(6) Currently the global development policies and programs of the United States Government are scattered across 12 different Federal departments, 25 different Federal agencies, and nearly 60 Federal Government offices. The current law governing foreign assistance is outdated, cumbersome, and lacks relevance for modern challenges, articulating at least 140 broad priorities for United States development efforts, with at least 400 specific directives on how to implement those broad priorities. Moreover, it allows the budget process to drive priorities, rather than setting clear priorities that drive resource decisions.

(7) The international and domestic challenges of the 21st century—including transnational threats such as economic instability, terrorism, climate change, and disease—cannot be met with a foreign assistance apparatus that was created to confront the challenges of the 20th century. The cornerstone for a new foreign assistance architecture begins with reform of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 that

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

ensures a rationalized organizational structure for a strengthened development agency, a concise set of development priorities, rebuilt human resource capacity, strengthened monitoring and evaluation, reinvigorated policy and intellectual expertise, with sufficient resources and commensurate accountability to achieve key foreign assistance goals.

(8) President Barack Obama has expressed a commitment to cut extreme poverty and hunger around the world in half, and to increase the level of United States foreign assistance to meet that goal.

SEC. 4. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It is the policy of the United States, given the importance of global prosperity and security to the national interests of the United States, to promote global development and the reduction of poverty and hunger. In support of this policy, a reform and rebuilding process should be initiated that will redefine the United States foreign assistance architecture and strengthen the capacity of the United States Agency for International Development and related agencies to establish effective development policies and implement innovative and effective foreign assistance programs with maximum impact.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

SEC. 5. POLICY, STRATEGIC PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND RESEARCH.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON BUILDING THE POLICY CAPACITY OF USAID.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) there has been too little emphasis in recent years in developing the capacity of the Agency to formulate international development policy and to integrate important policy initiatives and innovative policy concepts into Agency programs and activities;

(2) the Agency should increase its emphasis on recruiting, hiring, training, and enhancing professional officers who will support the Agency's role in formulating development policy and enhancing innovative solutions to development challenges;

(3) there is a particular need to strengthen policy formulation and development in missions worldwide, in addition to strengthening the capacity of the Agency to address policy issues in headquarters in Washington, District of Columbia, which should be dealt with by deploying policy officers to missions worldwide; and

(4) a Bureau for Policy and Strategic Planning should ensure that policy concepts and priorities are appropriately integrated into all programs and activities undertaken by the Agency.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF USAID POSITIONS TO BUILD POLICY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING CAPACITY.—

(1) DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR OF THE UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT.—Section 624 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2384) is amended by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

"(d) DEPUTY ADMINISTRATORS.—There shall be in the United States Agency for International Development, among the statutory officers authorized by subsection (a), not more than 2 Deputy Administrators, who shall assist the Administrator in all matters.".

(2) ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR POLICY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR POLICY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.—There shall be in the United States Agency for International Development, among the statutory officers authorized by subsection (a), an Assistant Administrator for Policy and Strategic Planning, who shall assist the Administrator and Deputy Administrators in matters related to policy planning, strategic planning, program design, research, evaluation, budget allocation and management, and in other matters.".

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(3) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a) of such section is amended by striking "twelve" and inserting "fourteen".

(c) BUREAU FOR POLICY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.—Chapter 2 of part III of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2381 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 624 the following new section:

"SEC. 624A. BUREAU FOR POLICY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the United States Agency for International Development a Bureau for Policy and Strategic Planning (referred to in this section as the 'Bureau').

"(b) DUTIES.—The primary duties of the Bureau shall include the following:

"(1) Developing and formulating United States Government policy on development issues in support of United States policy objectives.

"(2) Ensuring long-term strategic planning and direction for overall development policy and programs, as well as across regions and sectors.

"(3) Designing and conducting significant research and evaluation on development and aid effectiveness.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(4) Establishing resource and workforce allocation criteria.

"(5) Guiding overall budget decisions and reviewing bureau-specific resource allocations, workforce allocations, and program decisions.

"(6) Integrating monitoring and evaluation into overall decisionmaking and strategic planning.".

(d) OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION.—Chapter 2 of part III of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2381 et seq.), as amended by subsection (c), is further amended by inserting after section 624A the following new section:

"SEC. 624B OFFICE FOR LEARNING, EVALUATION, AND ANALYSIS IN DEVELOPMENT.

"(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION.—It is the sense of Congress that—

"(1) achieving United States foreign policy objectives requires the consistent and systematic evaluation of the impact of United States foreign assistance programs and analysis on what programs work and why, when, and where they work;

"(2) the design of assistance programs and projects should include the collection of relevant data required to measure outcomes and impacts;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(3) the design of assistance programs and projects should reflect the knowledge gained from evaluation and analysis;

"(4) a culture and practice of high quality evaluation should be revitalized at agencies managing foreign assistance programs, which requires that the concepts of evaluation and analysis are used to inform policy and programmatic decisions, including the training of aid professionals in evaluation design and implementation;

"(5) the effective and efficient use of funds cannot be achieved without an understanding of how lessons learned are applicable in various environments, and under similar or different conditions; and

"(6) project evaluations should be used as sources of data when running broader analyses of development outcomes and impacts.

"(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Bureau for Policy and Strategic Planning an Office for Learning, Evaluation, and Analysis in Development (referred to in this section as the 'Office'), which shall be under the management of the Assistant Administrator for Policy and Strategic Planning.

"(c) DUTIES.—The duties of the Office shall be to—

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(1) develop, design, coordinate, guide, and conduct the complete range of activities relating to the monitoring of resources, the evaluation of projects, the evaluation of program impacts, and analysis that is necessary for the identification of findings, generalizations that can be derived from those findings, and their applicability to proposed project and program design;

"(2) serve as a resource to the United States Agency for International Development, other government entities, implementing partners, the academic community, the donor community, and host governments in the design of programs and projects;

"(3) serve as an authoritative voice in linking evaluation and research results to strategic planning and policy options;

"(4) design a strategy for strengthening evaluation and research for foreign assistance programs managed by the United States Agency International Development;

"(5) develop the scope and guidelines for evaluation and research that are multidisciplinary in nature;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(6) establish annual evaluation and research agendas and objectives that are responsive to policy and programmatic priorities;

"(7) guide the use of rigorous methodologies, choosing from among a wide variety of qualitative and quantitative methods common in the field of social scientific inquiry;

"(8) coordinate the evaluation processes of bureaus and missions of the United States Agency for International Development;

"(9) develop and implement a training plan on evaluation and research for aid personnel;

"(10) make recommendations to the Assistant Administrator for Policy and Strategic Planning on linking evaluation and research findings to policy and strategic planning options;

"(11) develop a clearinghouse capacity for the dissemination of knowledge and lessons learned to USAID professionals, implementing partners, the international aid community, and aid recipient governments, and as a repository of knowledge on lessons learned;

"(12) distribute evaluation and research reports internally and make this material available online to the public; and

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(13) partner with the academic community, implementing partners, and national and international institutions that have expertise in evaluation and analysis when such partnerships will provide needed expertise or will significantly improve the evaluation and analysis.

"(d) SUBORDINATE UNITS.—The Administrator may create such subordinate units as may be necessary for the performance of duties described in paragraphs (9) and (11) of subsection (c).

"(e) TECHNICAL EXPERTISE.—If the Assistant Administrator determines that the Office requires expertise that is of a technical nature and is outside the expertise of the Agency for International Development, such expertise may be accessed through existing contracting authorities.

"(f) MONITORING.—Evaluation and analysis activities of the Office shall be in addition to, but not duplicative of, existing monitoring activities as provided under existing law.

"(g) COORDINATION.—The Office should closely coordinate and consult with the [Independent Evaluation and Research Innovation Group for Foreign Assistance] to ensure consistency of approach toward evaluation, research, analysis, and related activities.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(h) ANNUAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and not later than December 31 of each year thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the work of the Office.

"(2) CONTENT.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include—

"(A) a copy of the annual evaluation and research agenda for the preceding year;

"(B) a description of the evaluation activities conducted in the preceding year;

"(C) a description of training activities conducted in the preceding year;

"(D) a forecast of evaluation and research planned for the following year; and

"(E) a description of the ways in which the results of evaluations have informed the design and operation of agency policies and programs during the year.

"(i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the United States Agency for International Development $5,000,000 for fiscal year 2010 and such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2011.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) ANALYSIS.—The term 'analysis' means the comparative study of evaluations conducted over a period of time, in varying locations, and under varying conditions that produces generalized findings and explanations of outcomes and assesses their applicability to proposed project and program design.

"(2) EVALUATION.—The term 'evaluation' means the full range of activities designed to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of inputs and processes on outputs, results, and outcomes of various projects, programs, and activities.

"(3) OUTCOME.—The term 'outcome' means any change occurring during the course of a project, program, or activity, including changes that cannot be attributed directly to the project, program, or activity.

"(4) OUTPUTS.—The term 'output' means the products, capital, goods, and services that result from a project, program, or activity.".

SEC. 6. INDEPENDENT EVALUATION AND RESEARCH INNOVATION GROUP FOR FOREIGN ASSISTANCE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(1) The American public and Congress must have confidence that—

(A) Federal funds allocated for foreign assistance programs are used efficiently and effectively; and

(B) funding allocations and programs are linked to clearly defined policy objectives.

(2) The December 2007 HELP Commission Report on Foreign Assistance Reform states, "[T]he systems our government uses to evaluate development and humanitarian assistance programs are either in disarray or do not exist. Current systems tend to focus more on outputs, such as counting how many books are delivered to a school, rather than on outcomes, such as measuring how many children can actually read. Indeed, out of 26,285 impact evaluations that USAID conducted between 1996 and 2005, only 30 measured the impact of projects.".

(3) The HELP Commission also recommends that the United States Government reestablish an independent Office of Monitoring and Evaluation responsible for foreign assistance operations and provide the office with sufficient funding to monitor and evaluate performance that should be accountable to Congress and to the executive branch.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the executive branch the Independent Evaluation and Research Innovation Group for Foreign Assistance (referred to in this section as the "Group").

(c) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Group shall be—

(1) to evaluate the impact of United States Government foreign assistance programs and their contribution to policy, strategies, projects, program goals, and priorities undertaken by the United States Government in support of foreign policy objectives; and

(2) to cultivate an integrated research and development program that will—

(A) incorporate best practices from evaluation studies and analyses; and

(B) foster and promote innovative programs to improve the effectiveness of United States foreign assistance.

(d) DUTIES AND AUTHORITIES.—

(1) EVALUATIONS OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOREIGN ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS AND INTERNATIONAL AND MULTILATERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS SUPPORTED BY UNITED STATES FUNDING.—

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(A) AUTHORIZATION.—The Group is authorized to conduct evaluations, on a program-by-program basis, of the effectiveness of—

(i) foreign assistance programs carried out by any United States Government agency; and

(ii) international and multilateral assistance programs receiving financial assistance from the United States.

(B) IMPACT ASSESSMENT.—Evaluations conducted under subparagraph (A) shall assess the impact of the programs described in clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A) and their contribution to policy, strategies, projects, program goals, and priorities of the United States Government;

(C) SELECTION CRITERIA.—The Director, in consultation with the Board, shall establish—

(i) criteria for selecting foreign assistance programs and international and multilateral assistance programs receiving financial assistance from the United States to be evaluated under subparagraph (A); and

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(ii) procedures for conducting such evaluations.

(D) COORDINATION.—The criteria and procedures established under subparagraph (C) shall include procedures to avoid duplication of the Group's activities, and to ensure effective coordination and cooperation, with the activities of the Comptroller General of the United States, relevant Inspectors General, and other relevant entities.

(E) METHODOLOGIES.—In conducting evaluations under subparagraph (A), the Group shall utilize rigorous and objective methodologies, choosing from among a wide variety of qualitative and quantitative methods common in the field of social scientific inquiry.

(F) INTERAGENCY ASSISTANCE.—In conducting evaluations under subparagraph (A), the Director is authorized to request information or assistance from the head of any Federal agency to the extent necessary to facilitate the evaluation of a program, including access to all records, reports, audits, reviews, documents, papers, recommendations, and other material available to the program being evaluated by the

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

Group. Upon receipt of a request under this subparagraph, the head of the Federal agency receiving the request, insofar as is practicable and not in contravention of any applicable law, shall furnish to the Director, or to an authorized designee, such information or assistance as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

(G) REPORTS.—Not later than 30 days before issuing a report under this subparagraph, the Director shall submit a draft of the report to the head of the Federal agency responsible for implementing the program being evaluated and provide the agency head an opportunity to comment on the report. The Group shall issue a report on each evaluation conducted under subparagraph (A) that contains—

(i) an assessment of the effectiveness of the program evaluated;

(ii) any recommendations to improve the program's effectiveness; and

(iii) any comments received from the head of the Federal agency or his or her designee.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(H) CONSULTATION.—The Director shall regularly consult with the appropriate congressional committees to discuss priorities for evaluations to be conducted under subparagraph (A).

(2) RESEARCH ON FOREIGN ASSISTANCE DESIGN, IMPLEMENTATION, EVALUATION, AND EFFECTIVENESS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Group shall conduct research and analysis on the design, implementation, evaluation, and effectiveness of foreign assistance programs in an effort to develop innovative approaches relating to foreign assistance, including—

(i) research and analysis on the effectiveness of international and multilateral assistance programs receiving financial assistance from the United States, including programs of the World Bank Group, United Nations entities, and regional multilateral development banks, as compared to United States foreign assistance programs;

(ii) research and analysis aimed at developing objective methodologies for evalu-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

ating the effectiveness of foreign assistance programs in achieving assistance objectives;

(iii) research and analysis aimed at identifying ways of improving coordination of foreign assistance programs carried out by Federal agencies, including ways of coordinating research and development conducted by such agencies;

(iv) research and analysis aimed at identifying approaches through which the United States Government can support the development of evaluation capacity in developing countries, and strategies to encourage the use of evaluation findings among different levels of decision makers and implementers; and

(v) research and analysis of trends relating to foreign assistance programs and the measures necessary to assure continued progress the relative effectiveness of international and multilateral assistance programs supported by United States funding, including programs of the World Bank Group, United Nations entities, and

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

regional multilateral development banks, as compared to United States foreign assistance programs.

(B) INTEGRATED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.—The Director, in consultation with the Board, shall establish and implement an integrated research and development program that will serve as a laboratory for innovative programs related to foreign assistance to fulfill the objectives described in subparagraph (A).

(C) PARTNERS.—In conducting research and analysis under subparagraph (A), the Group shall partner with the academic community, implementing partners, and national and international institutions that have expertise in evaluation, research, and analysis, as appropriate.

(D) REPORTS.—The Group shall issue reports on the results of research conducted pursuant to subparagraph (A) that include recommendations to Federal agencies responsible for implementing foreign assistance programs on how to improve the design, implementation, and evaluation of such programs.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(E) COLLABORATION.—The Group shall actively collaborate with Federal agencies responsible for implementing foreign assistance programs by—

(i) sharing the results of research conducted pursuant to subparagraph (A); and

(ii) providing recommendations and advice on how to improve the design, effectiveness, efficiency, and innovation of such programs.

(F) CONSULTATION.—The Director shall regularly consult with the appropriate congressional committees to discuss priorities for research to be conducted under subparagraph (A).

(3) INDEPENDENCE.—The Group shall preserve its independence to ensure organizational autonomy, protection from external influence, and avoidance of conflicts of interest.

(e) IERIG ADVISORY BOARD.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established an Independent Evaluation and Research Innovation Group for Foreign Assistance Advisory Board (referred to in this subsection as the "Board").

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Board shall be—

(A) to consult with the Director regarding the existing program of work of the Group, current evaluations that are ongoing or completed, and projected evaluations and activities to be undertaken by the Group; and

(B) to serve as a forum for coordination and discussion of related matters pertaining to the Group's operations and activities.

(3) DUTIES.—The Board shall—

(A) regularly consult with the Director regarding the activities of the Group, but may not prevent or prohibit the Director from initiating, carrying out, or completing any evaluation or analysis of any development, humanitarian, or foreign assistance program or activity; and

(B) ensure coordination with the Office of Management and Budget in the Executive Office of the President.

(4) MEMBERSHIP.—The Board shall consist of—

(A) the Director of the Group, or designee;

(B) the Secretary of State, or designee;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(C) the Secretary of the Treasury, or designee;

(D) the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, or designee;

(E) the Chief Executive Officer of the Millennium Challenge Corporation, or designee;

(F) the Secretary of Agriculture, or designee;

(G) the Secretary of Defense, or designee;

(H) the Secretary of Health and Human Services, or designee;

(I) 1 individual to be appointed by the Director;

(J) 1 officer in the Senior Foreign Service for the Agency for International Development or the Department of State with experience in the implementation of assistance programs;

(K) 4 individuals with relevant professional evaluation and international experience, who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, of which—

(i) 1 individual shall be appointed from among a list of 3 individuals sub-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

mitted by the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate;

(ii) 1 individual shall be appointed from among a list of 3 individuals submitted by the ranking member of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate;

(iii) 1 individual shall be appointed from among a list of 3 individuals submitted by the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(iv) 1 individual shall be appointed from among a list of 3 individuals submitted by the ranking member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(5) TERMS.—

(A) OFFICERS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—Each member of the Board described in subparagraphs (A) through (H) of paragraph (4) shall serve for a term that is concurrent with the term of service of the individual's position as an officer within the other Federal department or agency.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(B) OTHER MEMBERS.—Each member of the Board described in subparagraphs (I) through (K) of paragraph (4) shall be appointed for a 3-year term and may be reappointed for an additional 2-year term.

(C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy in the Board shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(6) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Board shall select from among its membership a Chairperson to serve a 6-month term. Selections under this paragraph shall alternate between officers of the Federal Government and individuals described in paragraph (4)(K).

(7) TECHNICAL ADVISORY SUBGROUP.—The Director shall have the authority to form a technical advisory subgroup to provide recommendations and advise the existing program of work of the Group. The subgroup shall consist of the 4 members of the Board described in paragraph (4)(K), and additional members as appropriate.

(8) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of the Board shall constitute a quorum.

(9) MEETINGS.—The Board shall meet at the call of the Chairperson but no less than annually.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(10) COMPENSATION.—

(A) OFFICERS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A member of the Board described in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), (G), or (H) of paragraph (4) may not receive additional pay, allowances, or benefits by reason of the member's service on the Board.

(ii) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Each such member of the Board shall receive travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with applicable provisions under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

(B) OTHER MEMBERS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), a member of the Board described in paragraph (4)(F)—

(I) shall be paid compensation out of funds made available for the purposes of this title at the daily equivalent of the highest rate payable under section 5332 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

travel time) during which the member is engaged in the actual performance of duties as a member of the Board; and

(II) while away from the member's home or regular place of business on necessary travel in the actual performance of duties as a member of the Board, shall be paid per diem, travel, and transportation expenses in the same manner as is provided under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

(ii) LIMITATION.—A member of the Board may not be paid compensation under clause (i)(II) for more than 90 days in any calendar year.

(f) DIRECTOR.—

(1) APPOINTMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Group shall be headed by a Director, who shall be appointed by the President from among the individuals recommended under subparagraph (B) to a 4-year term, subject to a 1-year renewal, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(B) SELECTION.—When a vacancy occurs in the office of Director, the chairmen and ranking minority members of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives shall each recommend up to 3 individuals to the President for appointment to the vacant office. In considering individuals for appointment to the office of Director, the chairmen and ranking minority members shall—

(i) take into account the integrity and demonstrated ability of the individuals in public administration, international development and foreign assistance programs, monitoring and evaluation analysis, and all aspects of program and project design;

(ii) disregard the political affiliation of the individuals.

(2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Director shall—

(A) be responsible for the management of the Group;

(B) exercise the powers of the Group;

(C) be responsible for initiating, carrying out, and completing any evaluation or analysis

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

of any development, humanitarian, or foreign assistance program or activity; and

(D) discharge the duties of the Group.

(3) RANK; COMPENSATION.—The Director shall—

(A) have the equivalent rank of Assistant Secretary; and

(B) be compensated at the rate provided for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.

(4) ADDITIONAL TERM; REMOVAL.—

(A) ADDITIONAL TERM.—The Director may be reappointed for not more than 1 additional 4-year term.

(B) REMOVAL.—The President may remove the Director from office after submitting written notification to the Senate and the House of Representatives that describes the underlying reasons for such removal.

(5) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"Director, Independent Evaluation and Research Innovation Group for Foreign Assistance.".

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(g) DEPUTY DIRECTORS.—The Director shall appoint—

(1) a Deputy Director for Evaluation, who shall be responsible for overseeing the evaluations conducted by the Group; and

(2) a Deputy Director for Research Innovation, who shall be responsible for overseeing an integrated research and development program that will foster and promote innovative programs to improve the effectiveness of United States foreign assistance.

(h) OFFICE SPACE, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES.—Each agency head shall provide the Director with—

(1) appropriate and adequate office space at central and field office locations of such agency;

(2) such equipment, office supplies, and communications facilities and services as may be necessary for the operation of such offices; and

(3) necessary maintenance services for such offices and the equipment and facilities located in such offices.

(i) PERSONNEL MATTERS.—

(1) HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director may establish a human resources man-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

agement system for the employees of the Group that provides for—

(A) work schedule flexibility;

(B) merit based hiring;

(C) fair treatment without regard to political affiliation;

(D) equal pay for equal work;

(E) protection of employees against reprisal for whistle blowing;

(F) a pay-for-performance evaluation system that links individual pay to performance;

(G) a streamlined process for removing underperforming employees; and

(H) a maximum tenure with the Group of 7 years.

(2) DETAIL OF PERSONNEL.—

(A) FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—Upon the request of the Director, the head of a Federal agency may detail any employee of such agency to the Group on a reimbursable basis. Any employee so detailed remains, for the purpose of preserving such employee's allowances, privileges, rights, seniority, and other benefits, an employee of the agency from which detailed.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(B) FROM OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS.—The Director may accept the services of personnel detailed to the Group from organizations outside the Federal Government, including bilateral agencies, multilateral institutions, international organizations, think-tanks, nongovernmental organizations, institutions of higher education, and the private sector.

(3) REEMPLOYMENT RIGHTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—An employee of an agency who is serving under a career or career conditional appointment (or the equivalent), and who, with the consent of the head of such agency, transfers to the Group, is entitled to be reemployed in such employee's former position or a position of like seniority, status, and pay in such agency, if such employee—

(i) is separated from the Group for any reason, other than misconduct, neglect of duty, or malfeasance; and

(ii) applies for reemployment not later than 90 days after the date of separation from the Group.

(B) SPECIFIC RIGHTS.—An employee described in subparagraph (A)—

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(i) is entitled to be reemployed within 30 days after applying for reemployment; and

(ii) once reemployed, is entitled to at least the rate of basic pay to which such employee would have been entitled had such employee never transferred to the Group.

(4) HIRING AUTHORITY.—Not more than 5 employees of the Group may be appointed, compensated, or removed without regard to the civil service laws and regulations.

(5) BASIC PAY.—The Director may fix the rate of basic pay of employees of the Group without regard to the provisions of chapter 51 of title 5, United States Code (relating to the classification of positions) or subchapter III of chapter 53 of such title (relating to General Schedule pay rates), except that no employee of the Office may receive a rate of basic pay that exceeds the rate for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of such title.

(6) PERSONNEL OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—

(A) ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES EMBASSIES.—Employees of the Group, including

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

individuals detailed to or contracted by the Group, may be assigned to a United States diplomatic mission or consular post or a United States Agency for International Development field mission for purposes of assignments related to activities or programs of the Group.

(B) PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES.—Each employee of the Group, including any individual detailed to or contracted by the Group, and the members of the family of such employee, while the employee is performing duties in any country or place outside the United States, shall be afforded the same benefits enjoyed by members of the Foreign Service, or the family of a member of the Foreign Service, as appropriate.

(C) RESPONSIBILITY OF CHIEF OF MISSION.—Employees of the Group, including individuals detailed to or contracted by the Group, and members of the families of such employees, shall be subject to section 207 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 3927) in the same manner as United States Government employees while the employee is performing duties in any country or place outside the United States if such employee or member of the fam-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

ily of such employee is not a national of or permanently resident in such country or place.

(j) PUBLIC DISCLOSURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not less frequently than quarterly, the Group shall make publicly available on the Internet Web site of the Group—

(A) the findings and conclusions of all the reports and studies completed by the Group since the most recent public disclosure;

(B) information regarding funds allocated or transferred by the Group under this section;

(C) the name of each United States Government agency with management responsibility for the activities that were evaluated; and

(D) a description of the program or project carried out by the agencies described in subparagraph (C).

(2) DISSEMINATION.—The information required to be disclosed under paragraph (1) shall be made available to the public—

(A) through publication in the Federal Register;

(B) on the Internet Web site of the Group; and

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(C) by any other methods that the Director determines to be appropriate

(k) REPORT ON PROJECTED EVALUATIONS.—Not later than November 1, 2010, and each fiscal year thereafter, the Director shall submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees that includes a projected list of evaluations for the current fiscal year.

(l) REPORT ON METHODOLOGIES AND BEST PRACTICES.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 2011, the Group shall submit a report to each Federal Agency responsible for implementing foreign assistance programs that details recommended methodologies and best practices for use in evaluating the effectiveness of United States Government foreign assistance programs.

(2) REGULAR UPDATES.—The Group shall regularly update the methodologies recommended in the report submitted under paragraph (1) to account for developments and trends in foreign assistance programs.

(3) BIANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the submission of the report under paragraph (1), and biannually thereafter, the Group shall submit a report to each Federal Agency re-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

sponsible for implementing foreign assistance programs that contains updates to its recommended methodologies and best practices for use in evaluating the effectiveness of United States Government foreign assistance programs.

(m) STRATEGIC PLAN.—

(1) SUBMISSION.—Every 2 years, the Director shall submit a strategic plan for the activities of the Group to the appropriate congressional committees.

(2) CONTENTS.—The strategic plan required under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) the long-term strategic goals of the Group;

(B) the identification of the activities and programs that support—

(i) the achievement of the Group's strategic goals; and

(ii) opportunities that hold the potential for yielding significant development or foreign assistance benefits; and

(C) the connection of the activities and programs of the Group to activities and missions of United States foreign assistance programs.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(n) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees that contains—

(1) a review of, and comments addressing, the performance and overall effectiveness of the Group's activities, programs and general operations;

(2) an assessment of how effectively the Group has implemented its stated objectives and adhered to and accomplished the purposes and duties described in subsections (c) and (d);

(3) recommendations relating to any additional actions the Comptroller General recommends to improve the Group's performance, activities and operations; and

(4) assess the impact of the Group on the workload of the International Affairs Division of the Government Accountability Office.

(o) ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITIES OF THE GROUP.—In addition to the authority otherwise provided under this section, the Group, in carrying out the provisions of this section, is authorized—

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(1) to select, appoint, and employ such officers and employees as may be necessary for carrying out the functions, powers, and duties of the Group;

(2) to obtain services authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, at daily rates not to exceed the equivalent rate prescribed for grade GS–18 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of such title;

(3) to the extent, and in such amounts as may be appropriated in advance—

(A) to make and perform such contracts, grants, and other agreements for audits, studies, evaluations, analyses, and other services with—

(i) public agencies;

(ii) any private entity or person in the United States or in a candidate country; and

(iii) governmental agencies of any such country that is undertaking research that supports the work of the Group, as appropriate; and

(B) to make such payments as may be necessary for carrying out the functions of the Group;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(4) to adopt, alter, and use a seal, which shall be judicially noticed;

(5) to determine and prescribe the manner in which its obligations shall be incurred and its expenses allowed and paid, including expenses for representation;

(6) to lease, purchase, or otherwise acquire, improve, and use such real property wherever situated, as may be necessary for carrying out the functions of the Group;

(7) to accept cash gifts or donations of services or of property, tangible or intangible, for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this section;

(8) to use the United States mails in the same manner and on the same conditions as executive agencies;

(9) to enter into personal services contracts with individuals, who shall not be considered Federal employees for any provision of law administered by the Office of Personnel Management;

(10) to hire or obtain passenger motor vehicles; and

(11) to have such other powers as may be necessary and incident to carrying out this section.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(p) OTHER AUTHORITIES.—Except to the extent inconsistent with the provisions of this section, the administrative authorities contained in the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2651a et seq.) and the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.) shall apply to the implementation of this section to the same extent and in the same manner as such authorities apply to the implementation of such Acts.

(q) APPLICABILITY OF THE GOVERNMENT CORPORATION CONTROL ACT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Group shall be subject to chapter 91 of subtitle VI of title 31, United States Code, except that the Group shall not be authorized to issue obligations or offer obligations to the public.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 9101(3) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(S) the Independent Evaluation and Research Innovation Group for Foreign Assistance.".

(r) INSPECTOR GENERAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Inspector General of the Agency for International Development—

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(A) shall serve as Inspector General for the Group; and

(B) in acting in such capacity, may conduct reviews, investigations, and inspections of all aspects of the operations and activities of the Group.

(2) REIMBURSEMENT.—The Group shall reimburse the Agency for International Development for all expenses incurred by the Inspector General in connection with the Inspector General's responsibilities under this subsection.

(s) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section the following amounts:

(1) $30,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.

(2) $35,000,000 for fiscal year 2012.

(3) $40,000,000 for fiscal year 2013.

(4) $45,000,000 for fiscal year 2014.

(5) $50,000,000 for fiscal year 2015.

(6) $55,000,000 for fiscal year 2016.

(t) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall remain in effect until September 30, 2016.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

SEC. 7. COMPREHENSIVE WORKFORCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES STRATEGY FOR THE UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT.

(a) COMPREHENSIVE WORKFORCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES STRATEGY FOR THE UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT.—The Administrator shall develop and implement a comprehensive workforce and human resources strategy for the Agency to support the objective of promoting development and reducing global poverty.

(b) SCOPE.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall be a strategy for modernizing the workforce of the United States Agency for International Development in support of foreign assistance and policy priorities, and shall—

(1) determine long-term Agency personnel priorities, including priorities over 5- and 10-year time periods;

(2) identify career professional development programs for all personnel, including training, language, and education, interagency and intergovernmental rotations, and assignment opportunities outside the United States Government;

(3) include an assessment of future development and foreign policy priorities and the implica-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

tions of such priorities for technical and policy expertise, including how to meet future unanticipated demands brought about by manmade and natural disasters;

(4) include an overseas facilities and security assessment examining the implications of such facilities and security for personnel increases;

(5) include the appropriateness of regional platforms to perform necessary Agency functions and to provide services to other donors and organizations;

(6) consider structural reform options to professionalize the human resource capacity of the Agency, including options to outsource the entirety of the human resource capacity of the Agency; and

(7) address the means to enable the Agency to access cutting-edge technical and managerial expertise.

(c) FACTORS TO CONSIDER.—In developing the strategy required under subsection (a), the Administrator shall, among other things—

(1) examine the objectives the Agency is mandated to fulfill, and assess whether its current workforce model effectively supports the goals of the Agency;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(2) review the Agency's workforce evolution and identify the additional program demands that have been placed on the workforce in the past 10 years;

(3) examine different personnel and workforce management models from other United States Government agencies, international organizations, and the private sector and determine the comparative advantages the models might offer and whether they would allow the Agency to better structure its workforce to carry out its responsibilities and meet the challenges of a changing environment;

(4) examine different bureaucratic and legislative constraints facing the Agency in implementing a comprehensive workforce planning and management system and how these constraints can be addressed, including—

(A) which limitations, if any, currently exist that prevent the Agency from hiring the right people for the right positions in a timely manner, including mid-level hires and reentry of mid-level professionals into the Agency; and

(B) how this compares with other organizations, such as the Department of State and the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC), and how the Agency compares to the Depart-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

ment of State and the MCC in its ability to attract and retain high caliber professionals;

(5) examine the advantages and disadvantages of the Agency's use of contractors in the last 10 years to carry out its core mission and management responsibilities;

(6) assess the scope and effectiveness of training, including [available/availability?] of language training, for Agency personnel and the extent to which available training[s?] support carrying out Agency objectives; and

(7) present a cost analysis for using a contracting model versus a direct hire model and determine the cost savings and consequences that could result from the elimination of institutional contractors and the hiring of the same professionals as personal services contractors.

(d) WORKFORCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES TASK FORCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall establish a workforce and human resources task force that will participate in the development of the workforce and human resources strategy required under subsection (b) and will consult with, and provide information and advice to, senior management of the

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

Agency on matters and issues related to workforce planning, human resource recruitment and training, and other personnel issues as the Agency develops and implements the workforce and human resources strategy.

(2) COMPOSITION.—The task force shall be composed of 9 members as follows:

(A) Four senior career professionals of the Agency from different personnel backgrounds, at least 2 of whom shall be from Foreign Service, appointed by the Administrator.

(B) One senior official from the Department of State appointed by the Secretary.

(C) One senior official from the Office of Personnel Management appointed by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management.

(D) Three professionals outside the United States Government noted for their knowledge and experience in personnel and human resource issues, appointed by the Administrator in consultation with the Senate.

(3) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENTS.—All members of the task force shall be designated not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(4) TERMINATION.—The task force shall terminate 2 years after the enactment of this Act.

(e) REPORTS.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the strategy required under subsection (a).

(2) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the submission of the initial strategy under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains—

(A) a review of, and comments addressing, the strategy submitted under paragraph (1); and

(B) recommendations relating to any additional actions the Comptroller General recommends to improve the strategy and its implementation.

(3) SUBSEQUENT REPORTS.—Not later than 2 years after the submission of the initial strategy under paragraph (1), and every 2 years thereafter until 2021, the Administrator shall transmit to the

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

appropriate congressional committees an updated strategy—

(A) assessing progress made during the preceding 2 years toward implementing the strategy required under this section and meeting the specific goals, benchmarks, and time frames specified in the strategy required under subsection (a);

(B) identifying legal or other impediments to achieving those objectives and recommendations for addressing those impediments; and

(C) describing modifications to the strategy based upon the Agency's experience during the previous 2 years and any revisions to the policy, program, financial or other assumptions that were the basis for the current strategy.

(f) OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE.—To assist in the development, formulation, and implementation of the workforce and human resources strategy, the Administrator shall contract with an independent organization—

(1) to help the Agency assess current human resource capacity;

(2) to review how its human resource capacity matches up against Agency mandates and policy priorities;

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(3) to compare the Agency's current human resource system and practices with best practices of other organizations, public and private;

(4) to provide a set of recommendations to facilitate structural reform to the Agency's human resources bureau; and

(5) to assist with other issues related to supporting the development of the workforce and human resources strategy.

(g) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Amounts made available to carry out section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2427) shall be made available to carry out subsection (f).

SEC. 8. PERSONNEL AND HUMAN RESOURCES.

(a) CAREER PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT.—Chapter 2 of part III of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2381 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 630 the following new section:

"SEC. 630A. INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION ROTATIONS.

"(a) ROTATIONS.—

"(1) CAREER GUIDELINES.—The Administrator shall establish career guidelines for Foreign Service officers and civil service officers that incorporate interagency, intergovernmental, or international or-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

ganization rotational assignments. The guidelines established under this paragraph shall include—

"(A) selection;

"(B) professional education and training;

"(C) types of relevant interagency, intergovernmental, and international organization assignments; and

"(D) such other matters as the Administrator considers appropriate.

"(2) PROMOTIONS TO SENIOR RANKS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall establish additional guidelines that consider participation by relevant officers in at least 1 interagency, intergovernmental, or international organizational rotational assignment of at least 6 months as a factor for promotion into the ranks of the Senior Foreign Service or Senior Executive Service.

"(3) PROMOTION POLICY OBJECTIVES FOR ASSIGNMENTS TO INTERAGENCY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS.—

"(A) QUALIFICATIONS.—The Administrator shall ensure that promotion precepts and promotion panels do not penalize officers who

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

have been assigned to interagency, intergovernmental or international organizations.

"(B) REPORT.—The Administrator shall provide an annual report to the appropriate congressional committees that—

"(i) specifies the aggregate number of officers and the promotion rates of officers who are serving in, or have served in, interagency, intergovernmental, or international organization rotational assignments; and

"(ii) details efforts to meet the objectives described in paragraph (1).

"(b) DETAIL OF PERSONNEL.—Upon the request of the Administrator, the head of a Federal department or agency may detail any employee of such department or agency to the United States Agency for International Development on a reimbursable basis. Any employee so detailed shall remain, for the purpose of preserving such employee's allowances, privileges, rights, seniority, and other benefits, an employee of the department or agency from which detailed, and such service with the Agency shall be considered as service to the home agency for purposes of professional advancement.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

"(c) EXTERNAL TRAINING AND EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES.—It is the sense of Congress that—

"(1) the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development should augment and expand external training and education opportunities for Foreign Service and civil service personnel and expand opportunities for work assignments to entities outside the United States Government;

"(2) a strong development agency should have a knowledgeable and capable workforce that is familiar with and has access to cutting edge development practices, methodologies, ideas, work experience, and programs; and

"(3) the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development should ensure that personnel of the Agency have opportunities during their careers to obtain a range of knowledge-building work experiences and advanced education and training in academic and other relevant institutions in the United States and abroad to increase the capacity of the Agency to fulfill its mission.".

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development shall

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on efforts to facilitate and promote external training and education opportunities for Foreign Service and civil service personnel, including—

(1) a description of the internal process of securing such opportunities and the number of officers who have undertaken such external trainings in the past year; and

(2) a description of actions the Administrator has taken or plans to take to further expand and facilitate external training and education opportunities.

SEC. 9. STRENGTHENING DEVELOPMENT COORDINATION IN THE FIELD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 631(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2391) is amended to read as follows:

"(d) COORDINATION OF DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES.—Under the overall direction of the chief of the United States diplomatic mission, the chief of each special mission carrying out the purposes of part I in a country shall be responsible for the coordination of all development and humanitarian efforts of the United States Government in such country. Such activities shall include all development and humanitarian activities from funds

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

made available to carry out the provisions of this or any other Act.".

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MODERNIZING USAID MISSIONS FOR THE 21ST CENTURY.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the role of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and foreign assistance continues to evolve to meet emerging challenges, new priorities, changing circumstances, and augmented roles and responsibilities;

(2) the environment in which our foreign assistance and development agencies operate is dramatically different than the Cold War environment in which they were created;

(3) despite the new and changing of USAID circumstances, the United States Government has not significantly updated the basic USAID mission structure since it was first established in 1961; and

(4) to reflect evolving threats, opportunities and challenges in the 21st century, USAID should undertake a comprehensive examination of the mission structure, with special attention to staffing, authorities, the balance between Washington, District of Columbia, and the field, and management best practices.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on modernizing USAID missions for the 21st century, including—

(1) whether missions are staffed and well suited for current and emerging roles and responsibilities;

(2) whether the management and organizational structure provide the required flexibility while providing effective oversight of programs;

(3) whether the level of centralized versus decentralized decisionmaking is appropriate for the current and emerging context in which the mission is working;

(4) whether there is sufficient flexibility in terms of personnel to address fluctuations in funding for programs, and if not, what type of flexibility would be helpful;

(5) whether up-to-date technical expertise and lessons from prior projects are being systematically incorporated into new program design;

(6) whether missions of USAID are appropriately focused on bilateral and multilateral donor

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

coordination and whether this is a priority for USAID personnel;

(7) what the appropriate relationship and balance are between USAID missions and the broader United States mission in a country;

(8) how effectively USAID is able to coordinate with the Department of Defense, especially as the Department of Defense implements an increasing number of development and humanitarian programs;

(9) whether the existing structure of the United States foreign assistance system allows for proper coordination between different Federal departments and agencies implementing foreign assistance and development programs to avoid duplication of effort; and

(10) what obstacles exist to more effective coordination, including what structural or organizational improvements would assist with more effective coordination.

SEC. 10. TRANSPARENCY OF UNITED STATES FOREIGN ASSISTANCE.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON TRANSPARENCY OF ASSISTANCE.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) United States citizens and recipients of United States foreign assistance should, to the max-

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

imum extent practicable, have full access to information on United States foreign assistance; and

(2) to the extent possible, United States Government agencies, departments, and institutions should undertake preparatory consultations with relevant outside stakeholders in a transparent and full manner in the course of formulating policies and strategies related to foreign assistance and development.

(b) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall direct all Federal departments and agencies to make publicly available on their Web sites comprehensive, timely, comparable, and accessible information on United States foreign assistance. The information shall be presented on a detailed program-by-program basis and country-by-country basis.

(2) CONTENT.—To ensure transparency, accountability, and effectiveness of United States foreign assistance, the information on United States foreign assistance published and made available under paragraph (1) shall include annual budget presentations and justifications of any programs or projects that provide foreign assistance by any Federal department or agency. In the event that detailed

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

information is classified, an unclassified summary shall be posted and the classified details shall be submitted separately to the appropriate congressional committees.

(3) TIMELY AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—The President shall direct the head of each Federal department and agency providing United States foreign assistance to ensure that the information required under this subsection is made available on no less than an annual basis at the time the President's annual budget is released. Data that is of a provisional nature shall be updated when actual figures are available.

(c) SENSE OF MULTILATERAL EFFORTS.—It is the sense of Congress that, in order to best assess the use and impact of United States foreign assistance in relation to funding provided by other donor nations and recipient countries, the President should fully engage with and participate in the International Aid Transparency Initiative, established on September 4, 2008, at the Accra High Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness.

SEC. 11. OPERATING EXPENSES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(1) The separate account created by Congress in 1976 to authorize and appropriate funds for all operating expenses of the United States Agency for International Development has been an important tool to ensure transparency of administrative costs and accountability of funds.

(2) Funding for the operating expenses of the Agency has not kept pace with the growth of the Agency's program funding and the expanded geographic and sectoral demands for economic assistance abroad.

(3) As a result, this has caused the Agency in certain cases to fund selected administrative costs out of program funds in order to properly administer, oversee, and implement its programs and activities, thus detracting from the goals of increased transparency and accountability that establishment of the separate operating expenses account was intended to foster.

(4) A 2003 Government Accountability Office report on the operating expenses of the Agency noted that "USAID's operating expense account does not fully reflect the agency's cost of doing business primarily because the agency pays for some administrative activities done by contractors and other

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

nondirect-hire staff with program funds" and that "Congress has increasingly encouraged the Agency to use program funds to support certain administrative costs".

(5) The December 2007 HELP Commission Report on Foreign Assistance Reform states, "Over time, the effectiveness of a separate OE budget has eroded. During the past 30 years, Congress and the Executive branch have allowed program funds to be used to pay for the costs of activities once funded from the OE account while cutting the OE budget."

(6) The HELP Commission Report also recommends "[a]bolish[ing] the OE account and replac[ing] it with a more accurate accounting process," arguing that "the USAID OE account no longer serves a useful purpose" and stating, "While it might have been constructive in bringing clarity to the cost of doing business in the 1970s, another system should be developed that calculates true administrative and management expenses, including those now funded with program or project funds. This new system needs to allow administrative expenses to be properly managed and monitored and needs to ensure that Congress receives clear, timely and transparent information regarding these expenditures."

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

(7) While Congress concurs with the HELP Commission's recommendation that a major reassessment of the scope and the continued utility of the operating expenses account structure is in order, Congress also believes that the urgency of the issues confronting Agency management in terms of hiring technical expertise and providing the Agency with the capacity to oversee and administer critical foreign assistance programs and functions, justifies providing the Agency with broader discretion on ways to support direct-hire staffing requirements.

(b) GUIDELINES FOR PROGRAM FUNDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2) and except as otherwise authorized by law, program funds may be used for—

(A) travel expenses of all employees who are members of the Foreign Service or civil service;

(B) salaries and related expenses of employees other than Foreign Service or civil service employees who are United States citizens; and

(C) research and policy analysis in support of programs, including analysis for development

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

assistance policy planning and evaluations of programs.

(2) NOTIFICATION.—The Administrator shall—

(A) submit a written report to the appropriate congressional committees detailing the Agency's plan for managing and accounting for the funds used in accordance with the authority provided by paragraph (1) not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) consult with the appropriate congressional committees about the use and management of such funds not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) SEMIANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than once every 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act until 2013, the Administrator shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report detailing the purpose and amount of funds obligated under the authority provided pursuant to subsection (b) broken down by bureau and activity.

(d) REPORT ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OPERATING EXPENSE REFORM.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall, in coordination with the workforce and human resources

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

task force established pursuant to section 7(d), submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report including—

(1) recommendations and detailed justifications for streamlining and improving the efficiency of how the Agency uses operating expenses, including recommendations for alternative models and approaches;

(2) recommendations and detailed justifications for increasing the transparency of Agency operating expenses;

(3) an assessment of how the operating expenses account has affected Agency performance in support of program goals and objectives; and

(4) an assessment of how the operating expenses account has affected human resources and personnel of the Agency, including a discussion of the proliferation of new hiring authorities and increased reliance on contractors to handle the core business of the Agency.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05763223 Date: 06/30/2015

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Campbell, Kurt M <CampbellKM@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 9:43 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: N. Korea

Secretary Clinton -

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Campbell, Kurt M
Sent: Sat Jul 11 16:36:04 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

Kurt--I just rec'd the email below from Carter reiterating one of the points he made to me yesterday. If you want to discuss, pls call me thru ops. HRC

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:02:19 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

From: Jimmy [redacted] B6
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:06:23 2009
Subject: N. Korea

Hillary: As I explained to you on the phone, I don't think it is appropriate to tell them that I will come only if they agree in advance to release the women. Your response was, in effect, "They have already agreed." Is this correct? If not, I will go, by commercial airline if necessary, representing The Carter Center, and try to induce them to approve the release. JC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 11:39 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (SBU) Failed IED near Amb. Hill convoy in Tallil

Fyi

From: Banks, Dana
To: Abedin, Huma; S_SpecialAssistants; D(L); D(S); P; NEA-FO-DL; DS Command Center; SES-O
Sent: Sun Jul 12 11:19:21 2009
Subject: (SBU) Failed IED near Amb. Hill convoy in Tallil

Ambassador Hill's Chief of Staff Chris Klein reports at 1715 Iraq time (1015 EDT), a failed IED exploded 50 meters in front of Amb. Hill's convoy in Tallil. No reported injuries. Amb. Hill was en route to a meeting with provincial council chairs in Dhiqar Province at the time.

Dana L. Banks
Senior Watch Officer
State Department Operations Center (S/ES-O)
202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 9:36 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Thank you!

Thank you for your kind words -- it's an honor to work for you. In the words of some wise person I heard recently, now we have to deliver.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 8:31 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Thank you!

Jake--i told you yesterday, but it bears repeating--you're doing a wonderful job. Not just on the speech, but all the work to establish and implement the priorities it represents. I'm very grateful---Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:43 AM
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin; Lissa Muscatine
Subject: CFR latest draft
Attachments: CFR for HRC 7.14.09 730 am.dat; ATT00001.txt

This is getting there. [redacted] B5

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:57 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: CFR latest draft

My pleasure to help. [redacted] Will fiddle with that in the meantime.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 9:46 AM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:
It is getting better. I've gone thru it once and will be ready to discuss later. Thank you sooo much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin <AbedinH@state.gov>; Lissa Muscatine <muscatinel@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jul 14 07:43:19 2009
Subject: CFR latest draft

This is getting there. [redacted]

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:20 PM
To: H
Subject: fax issues

You should have received two faxes stacked together accidentally. The one you want says "9 pm" on the top left. I am sending the remaining pages (about 5-6) now. The one that says 8:15 pm is the wrong version so ignore it.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 6:42 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Shanghai Expo

In the good news department. . .

-----Original Message-----
From: Bagley, Elizabeth F
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 6:19 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Balderston, Kris M
Subject: Shanghai Expo

Great news from Chevron! Just spoke to the senior VP for govt affairs, who confirmed that CEO Dave O'Reilly"s letter to S meant that Chevron has signed on to be a global partner at 5 mil so we're moving closer to our goal, thanks to her! Maybe that could entice the press to do a story now on her leadership.i

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 12:02 AM
To: Jim Kennedy
Subject: many thanks for all you help! xo cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 10:26 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Nice Address Today!

Fyi

From: Sanders, Trooper [redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Jul 15 20:12:44 2009
Subject: Nice Address Today!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Strobe Talbott <
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:26 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: THANK YOU!

Thanks, Hillary. the speech turned out very, very well. Devin represented the family and sent me a rave review a while back. I missed the plug for the book, but I thank you for that. More important, I'm glad it was of some use as you prepare for a very important trip. I'll send you later an op-ed I'm working on about the climate/nonpro agenda with India, pegged to your trip. Safe travels, and thanks again for letting me kibitz on your good works. S.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:24 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: THANK YOU!

Strobe--I really appreciate your help on the speech, along w all of your advice. I just finished reading "Engaging India" which is a marvelous read and sober reminder of how hard this work is. You may have heard me plug it yesterday at CFR! So, thanks my friend, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2009 10:45 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: from today's Financial Times....

fyi

From: Strobe Talbott [mailto: [redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2009 2:59 PM
To: Undisclosed recipients
Subject: from today's Financial Times....

Financial Times FT.com

FT .com
FINANCIAL TIMES

COMMENT

Clinton can deliver a tough message to India

By Strobe Talbott
Published: July 16 2009 20:06

When Hillary Clinton arrives in India on Friday, the US secretary of state will no doubt strike the upbeat tone that befits relations between the world's two largest democracies. But she is expected also to engage her hosts candidly on two issues that have been contentious in the past and may be in future: climate change and nuclear non-proliferation.

In both areas, President Barack Obama's positions are radically different from his predecessor's. Unlike George W. Bush, Mr Obama understands the need for a rules-based international system that will regulate and reduce levels of greenhouse gas emissions and nuclear weaponry. In particular, Mr Bush, like the Republican-controlled US Senate of the late 1990s, opposed the Kyoto protocol on climate change and the ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT). He also had little use for the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT), even though it was largely an American initiative going back to the dawn of the cold war.

Those Bush policies suited many Indians. In their view, a global regime to restrict carbon emissions could hinder India's growth, while the CTBT and the NPT blocked

their right to develop the nuclear-weapons capability their government demonstrated when it conducted a series of tests in 1998. Under Mr Bush, the US and India negotiated a pact on co-operation in civil nuclear power that will, when the details of its implementation are worked out, grant India an exemption from the terms of the NPT.

Mr Obama, however, is committed to ratifying the CTBT, strengthening the NPT, and pursuing other treaties to prevent the spread of dangerous material and technology. He also intends for the US to be part of the international effort to replace the Kyoto protocol with a treaty-based climate-control regime including India, China and other emerging powers.

As a result, on both proliferation and climate change, many Indians regard Mr Bush with nostalgia and Mr Obama with muted apprehension. Mrs Clinton, however, is seen as a staunch friend of India. Her trip there as first lady in 1995 helped break the ice in US-Indian relations after 50 years of estrangement, paving the way for President Bill Clinton's visit in 2000. She is therefore in an ideal position to deliver a message in New Delhi that is both reassuring and cautionary.

The US administration knows it cannot coax or bully India into formally joining the NPT, nor will it renege on the civil nuclear deal it inherited from Mr Bush. At the same time, Washington policymakers hope that India's Congress party-led government, now that it has been returned to power with an increased mandate, will join the US in tightening the verification authorities of the International Atomic Energy Agency, accelerating negotiations to stop the production of fissile material (the stuff at the core of nuclear warheads) and bringing the CTBT into force.

These steps would make India's region safer, since Pakistan might follow suit in a positive direction, just as it did in a negative one when it conducted a nuclear test shortly after India's in 1998. A similar appeal to self-interest might prevail with respect to climate change. Since much of India's population lives in rural and coastal areas, it is acutely vulnerable to the devastation of agricultural lands and rising sea levels that come with global warming.


Key figures in India are beginning to accept the idea of a global compact on climate change. However, they are focused on the 12-to-1 disparity between the average American's carbon footprint and the average Indian's. Therefore they want progress towards parity in the final agreement. If the US achieves the 80 per cent cut in emissions by 2050 that Mr Obama supports, the gap between the US and Indian footprints per capita would shrink dramatically.

Indians (like many Americans) need to be persuaded to see the urgency of prompt action. There are few voices more persuasive than that of the Indian scientist R. K. Pachauri, the head of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change who shared the 2007 Nobel Peace Prize with Al Gore. He believes that the world has about six years

to impose drastic and effective reductions on greenhouse gases. That will only happen if Mr Pachauri's and Mrs Clinton's governments can make common cause.

The writer, president of the Brookings Institution, conducted a strategic dialogue with India on behalf of President Clinton from 1998 to 2001

Strobe Talbott
President, The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 2:39 PM
To: H
Subject: Kurt has update on north korea situation. Pls call when u can.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:39 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2 - DENNIS ROSS COMMENTS FOR IRAN
Attachments: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2.docx

Dennis comments below and but primarily in text in track changes.

cdm

From: Ross, Dennis B
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Jul 11 13:02:26 2009
Subject: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2

Jake,

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:43 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Fw: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2 - JIM KENNEDY'S EDITS
Attachments: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2a.doc

Jim's comments on the same draft – also in track changes in text.

cdm

From: Jim Kennedy
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jul 12 03:55:08 2009
Subject: Re: Fw: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2

hi jake - here's a tracked changes version as well as a clean one...

On Sat, Jul 11, 2009 at 9:42 AM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

I think you'll find this draft much better. But it can still use you!

From: Jim Kennedy [mailto:
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 12:46 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Fw: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2

I will try to give it a "polish" (as they say out here in hollywood). I haven't looked at this latest draft yet, but i did think the earlier version lacked a kind of "narrative" flow (and hadn't had time to fully engage over the 4th) . don't know if it will help but wil try.

On Fri, Jul 10, 2009 at 8:28 PM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

Hi Jim. Here is where things stand. It's now in hrc's hands. Would love it if you could go thru and sleeken/sharpen it. Needs a lot of it.

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'Berger, Samuel R.'
Sent: Fri Jul 10 19:06:42 2009
Subject: 2009-07-10 JJS speech 2

Here is the latest and greatest.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 7:37 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: (Reuters) Micheletti speaks out about Zelaya

From: Oliva, Amber M
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-WHA; NEWS-R
Sent: Sun Jul 12 19:22:35 2009
Subject: (Reuters) Micheletti speaks out about Zelaya

TEGUCIGALPA (Reuters) Honduran interim President Micheletti told Reuters in an interview July 12 that ousted President Zelaya cannot return to power under any circumstance, but that Zelaya might be granted amnesty if he were to return quietly to Honduras to face justice. President Micheletti has promised elections on or before November 29.

Amber M. Oliva
Operations Specialist
State Ops Center, S/ES-O
Ph: (202) 647-1512

In accordance with the policies and procedures outlined in Executive Order 12958, this e-mail is **UNCLASSIFIED.**

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 6:52 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Joe DiMento

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jul 14 05:49:34 2009
Subject: Joe DiMento

Pls call Joe and ask him for Judy Rupert's email and phone info. When you have it, pls call or email her to tell her how to contact me.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:24 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Subject: RE: Speech

Yes

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:23 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin
Subject: Speech

Is there a telepromter I can use tomorrow?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:06 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

Faxing to you now.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:04 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

I can't print email so pls fax. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:03:43 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

I emailed it to you a few minutes ago. Do you want me to fax it as well?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:03 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Pls let me know when you send--I'm having fax trouble.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>

To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 1:04 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Joe DiMento

done

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:50 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Joe DiMento

Pls call Joe and ask him for Judy Rupert's email and phone info. When you have it, pls call or email her to tell her how to contact me.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:42 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

You will have a tight draft in 30 minutes -- at 8:15-ish. [redacted] B5

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:03 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 19:02:04 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Good. Just incorporating some line edits from Cheryl and want to make sure I got all of yours in. Have been getting feedback from Podesta et. al. Will have new draft to you shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Speech

How's it coming?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:22 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: fax issues

Do you want me just to drive it over to you? I could be there in 10 minutes.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:22 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: fax issues

My fax is broken! So Huma is coming to print for me. I'm trying to conference you and Jake to discuss. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:19:59 2009
Subject: fax issues

You should have received two faxes stacked together accidentally. The one you want says "9 pm" on the top left. I am sending the remaining pages (about 5-6) now. The one that says 8:15 pm is the wrong version so ignore it.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 1:44 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Art

Will be off campus at meeting
But you should definately come, I think they have found some good things.

----- Original Message -----
From: Rosemarie Howe <[redacted]
To: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jul 15 13:34:00 2009
Subject: Art

I'm on my way back to dc and am going to meet with Lisa at the art bank to preview things this afternoon (wednesday) at 4:00. Huma can you join us?
Tomorrow we'll meet to look at them together in the reception room as planned. 2:00pm Thursday, right?

Rosemarie

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 10:45 AM
To: H
Subject: Holbrooke asking to speak as soon as u r available.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Speech

Sending now - their will be a series of emails

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 12 11:08:05 2009
Subject: Re: Speech

I am nearly done w my editing (along w Bill's). Pls send me all the commerts you just listed so I can review.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 12 09:20:35 2009
Subject: Speech

Where are you on it - will you have a revised version today to give direction of your desires?

At moment we have add'l comments in from a derek, sandy, george (on mep), dennis r, ams and we are holding off doing a new draft until we get a sense from you about your edits.

Cdm

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 9:02 AM
To: H; BStrider
Subject: RE: Tony Campolo... Fw: Your help is needed

Hi Burns! I'll forward you the most up-to-date information.

LJ

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:53 AM
To: 'BStrider
Cc: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Tony Campolo... Fw: Your help is needed

B6

Burns--thank you for forwarding this request. I always want Tony Campolo (and Peggy) to be able to find me. I'm copying my assistant, Lauren Jiloty, so she can give you her info for contact purposes. All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 15:30:37 2009
Subject: Tony Campolo... Fw: Your help is needed

Hey Bosslady... Obviously I will always connect dots when asked/needed... But see below... I assume providing Huma's contact info is the appropriate course of action?

I hope you are well...

------Original Message------
From: James Warren
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Your help is needed
Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:20 PM

Burns,

James Warren here.

I need your help. I'm trying to update my contact list . and I came to Secretary of State Clinton..

Who would give me the contact names to get to Mrs. Clinton, for Tony?

In the past, I had

Sen. Hillary Clinton's Office	202-224-4451 (main)
Hma Abedine	202-224-2330
Phil	202-224-2873
Lauren Joloty	202-224-5723

Now with the change of location and jobs, I'm not sure who I should have, for Tony if he has me try to get a message to her office.. I know this is a rare thing, but once in a while he would do that.

I'm just looking for a friendly name to talk to, to give me some (one of
two) names of people who if we called and said Tony Campolo . it would mean something and get the message to the right person. to get to her. (Rare ocassion, remember that.)

Thanks,

James

James Warren
Executive Assistant to Dr. Anthony Campolo EAPE, Office Administrator Eastern University, Fowler Hall, 1300 Eagle Road, St. Davids, PA 19087 [redacted] <mailto:j [redacted] on B6
the web at:
<http://www.tonycampolo.org/> www.tonycampolo.org and <http://www.eape.org/> www.eape.org

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Garten, David (Lautenberg) <David_Garten@lautenberg.senate.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 4:23 PM
To: H2
Subject: Small World

Secretary,

I wanted to let you know that last night I met old friends of yours and your husband's – [redacted] B6

[redacted]

Best,

David

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2009 8:40 PM
To: H

Oscar will be late tonite, won't get to dc till midnight fyi.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:17 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Hillary Consulted Republicans, Neocons, And Liberals For Big Foreign Policy Speech

FYI

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 1:31 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Hillary Consulted Republicans, Neocons, And Liberals For Big Foreign Policy Speech

The Plum Line

Greg Sargent's blog

Hillary Consulted Republicans, Neocons, And Liberals For Big Foreign Policy Speech

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton has consulted with a surprisingly diverse ideological bunch in the course of creating the major foreign policy speech she plans to deliver tomorrow, including Republicans like Henry Kissinger, Brent Scowcroft and George Schultz, and onetime neocons like Francis Fukuyama, a source familiar with the speech-writing process tells me.

Hillary is set to deliver her high-profile speech at the Council on Foreign Relations, and is set to articulate her own policy agenda, one focused on strengthening America's "smart power," according to *The Politico*. It could help settle whether she has privately favored a more hawkish stance towards hostile foreign powers, as she reportedly has.

The array of people she has consulted for this speech is striking in its diversity, boasting a notable array of Republicans, foreign policy "realists" and hawkish voices. A partial list:

Henry Kissinger, Nixon's secretary of state; **George Schultz**, Reagan's secretary of state; **Zbigniew Brzezinski**, Jimmy Carter's hawkish "realist" foreign policy adviser; **Paul Farmer**, a doctor and international humanitarian; **Joseph Nye**, a major proponent of "soft power," the idea that persuasion and attraction can be more effective than coercion; **Francis Fukuyama**, who helped drive the rise of neoconservatism; **Brent Scowcroft**; the "realist" national security adviser under George H.W. Bush; **Strobe Talbott**, Clinton's deputy secretary of state; **John Podesta**, the head of the liberal Center for American Progress; and **Richard Lugar**, the Republican Senator who has praised Obama's response to the Iran crisis.

Hillary has also consulted with administration figures like President Obama himself, Defense Secretary Robert Gates, and national security adviser James Jones.

Obviously there's someone here for everyone, and someone here for everyone to dislike, too. The array of people she consulted strongly suggests that she views the speech as an extremely important moment for both the Obama administration — and for her own tenure.

Nora Toiv
Office of the Secretary
202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:50 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

Will do

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:24 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Can you also fax one to Bill at home in NY? Do you have #? [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:44 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:30:29 2009
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

Check w Holbrooke-- [redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:15:59 2009
Subject: Fw: FW: 8:30 draft

Jim K. sent in some edits in the middle of the night -- strictly designed to trim/improve flow. Think it's worth you flipping through to see if any strike you as worth making.

From: Jim Kennedy <[redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Jul 15 03:24:14 2009
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

OK. Went through it. It's not too much shorter, but I think it's tighter here and there. Made slight change at end to try to tie in with how it started to bring full circle.

An area where you could save time and space (but I didn't try to change it) would be to shorten the parts where you talk about Israel and Palestine and about Iraq and Afghanistan - it seems to me that those are tactical discussions of an existing issue, and somewhat removed from the more abstract and strategic policy outline she's otherwise aiming at here.

By the way, the phrase "global network of diverse partnerships" seems to be absent now. I kind of liked it on several levels, but I presume it met some objection along the way...

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 8:02 PM, Jim Kennedy [redacted] wrote:

so far have gotten through page nine and wanted to send what i have. will do more in next few hours tonight.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 6:44 PM, Jim Kennedy < [redacted] > wrote: B6

I will do so. I'm good at shrinking without cutting. Thnx.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 5:39 PM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

Hi Jim,

We need you to give this one more pass-through – if you can find places to cut and clarify, that would be great. Can you send something tonight your time?

From: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: 8:30 draft

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 5:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Senator Kyl

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Tauscher, Ellen O; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Jul 15 16:28:00 2009
Subject: Senator Kyl

Is holding all of our nominees. Finds our last letter to him to be unresponsive. I'm working on this now.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 7:09 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted]'; 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Re: Speech

Yes--but I don't want to lose the Sri Lankan call for today since it's taken awhile to set up and is very important. So that's the key.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted]>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thu May 21 06:58:45 2009
Subject: Re: Speech

Yes -- Stephens is in DC all day, we can work with Ops on the call. I don't think we need to push the Angolans more than 15 minutes so should be fine. Should I move forward and adjust?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]' <cheryl.mills [redacted]>; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu May 21 06:30:57 2009
Subject: Speech

Can we try to change the Sri Lanka call and reschedule Stephens and postpone until later the Angolans so I can go to speech?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Chollet, Derek H <CholletDH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 10:51 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Thank you!!

Thanks so much. Safe travels in India and Thailand. I'll be getting the China S+ED ready!

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:48 AM
To: Chollet, Derek H
Subject: Thank you!!

Derek---Thank you for all of your help and leadership on the speech and the policies it embodies. It is a delight working w you. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 7:25 AM
To: H
Cc: H2
Subject: RE: Congratulations!

Not sure - we have seeking to do so with Jack who is working on it

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 6:43 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: H2
Subject: Re: Congratulations!

Can we implement his suggestion about pooling donors?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:47:53 2009
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

Fyi

From: Toiv, Nora F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Jul 10 21:29:39 2009
Subject: Fw: Congratulations!

From: Jeff Sachs [redacted] B6
To: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri Jul 10 20:52:06 2009
Subject: Congratulations!

Dear Nora,

Please pass along the following message to Cheryl.

Thanks,

Jeff Sachs

Dear Cheryl,

Congratulations on the launch of the $20b G8 initiative. This will stand as a historic success of President Obama and Secretary Clinton. Please do convey my personal congratulations and gratitude to Secretary Clinton if you have a moment to do so. I know what a key role you personally played in bringing this to fruition.

I would like to suggest one overarching strategy for success.

Please do push hard on the donors (USAID included!) to pool the donor resources into one, at most two, multilateral funding streams. Certainly the World Bank should be the main spigot, and IFAD possibly a second. By pooling the resources, we'll cut down BY YEARS on the delays, donor negotiations, further talk, report writing, expert trips, etc., etc., which are now the biggest single threat to success of the initiative.

I look forward to a few minutes by phone or in person to offer some tips coming from long years of experience in this sphere. This can be a real historic path-breaker, if it's not swallowed in the agencies!

Warm regards and kudos!

Jeff Sachs

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 7:08 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: [redacted] B6

Thx--be sure I see him then.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Fri May 22 06:40:33 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Today, Friday 5/22, is [redacted] birthday. He will be in the office until noon today. There will be breakfast goodies in his honor.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 12:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

What do we know about him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 23 12:02:59 2009
Subject: Fw: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sat May 23 11:25:56 2009
Subject: S.M. Krishna named Indian Minister of External Affairs

(SBU) S.M. Krishna has been officially named Indian Minister of External Affairs, succeeding Pranab Mukherjee, Embassy New Delhi reports. Mukherjee, who had been dual-hatted as Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Finance, will keep the finance portfolio.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 9:28 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

Thx. You should get a copy of Ali's data base for our use.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sat May 23 20:57:09 2009
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

These are the numbers ali has in her database:

B6

I tested them both and they are both correct. The voice mail at the home number is non-decript/an older gentleman's voice, but I crossed-checked it on the internet and it is listed under ursula's name.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat May 23 19:20:26 2009
Subject: Ursula Burns

Do we have a # for her in Rochester?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:33 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Fyi

Good since it happens to be true! One thing I noticed is that both you and Bill were included in lunch seating. Can we be sure they know Bill is not coming.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 09:30:34 2009
Subject: Fyi

Father Gutierrez, another honorary degree recipient and founder of Liberation Theology, is also not marching in procession and may be backstage when you get there.
People at yale think you have to deal with north korea issues so they are fine if need to leave lunch early.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:13 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

We can do tonight but not after 11. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 20:51:12 2009
Subject: Calls

Calling you at 9pm with FM yang.
Australian fm smith asking to talk to you tonite about north korea. U want to do after china? Or wait till tomorow?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 8:41 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: [redacted] B6

Pls add to call list.

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin
To: H
Sent: Tue May 26 19:02:09 2009
Subject: Fw: [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Band
To: Huma Abedin; 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Tue May 26 11:20:32 2009
Subject: Fw: [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: [redacted] B6
To: Doug Band
Sent: Tue May 26 09:10:03 2009
Subject: [redacted] B6

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 10:22 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Re:

Note the importance they place on including Insulza.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivar [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 09:39:16 2009
Subject:

See Sections 1 and 3 at the bottom. 1 is the replace, 3 is the lift.

[redacted] B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,B5,1.4(D)

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 12:53 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'millscd@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sri Lanka update

Thx. Pls keep me updated.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sat May 16 12:38:55 2009
Subject: Fw: Sri Lanka update

[redacted] B5

From: Rana, Gautam A
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Scanlon, Amy B; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat May 16 12:31:22 2009
Subject: Sri Lanka update

Sir,

[redacted] 1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/15/2029

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Gautam

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 9:59 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Picking up work

Tomorrow when you distribute the materials, pls keep a list of where stuff went. I'm particularly concerned that Cheryl get all the papers I marked for her. Everything not marked should either be filed or passed back to the line. If you have any questions, pls let me know. B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 10:10 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: message back from our friend
Attachments: image001.jpg

Lona--pls tell Jeff Feltman, that Sheika Mouza could not come to DC in June or July but can come as stated below in Sept. Ask if he thinks we should try to meet her in Europe earlier or wait until then. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 16:39:14 2009
Subject: message back from our friend

"Thank you for your immediate follow up. Her Highness informs me she is unable to meet during the suggested dates in June 2009 due to prior commitments. However, Her Highness is available to meet immediately after Ramadan/Eid week of September 27, 2009. I hope these dates are suitable for Mrs. Clinton. "

Cherie Booth QC

The information contained in this email and all attachments are private and confidential and may be privileged. The information is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient individual(s) or organisation(s). If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the content or attachments to this communication may not be disclosed, copied, used or distributed without our express permission and we would be grateful if you would then advise the sender immediately of the error in delivery by responding to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Virus Warning: Although this email and any attachments are believed to be free from software viruses which could damage your computer, it may contain such viruses. While the sender has taken every precaution to minimise this risk, the Blair Partnership cannot and will not accept liability for any loss or damage as a result of software viruses which you sustain in any way from their receipt or opening. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the attachment.

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 8:09 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I just faxed latest barnard draft for you to review.

Thx. Anything else going on?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 17 08:08:01 2009
Subject: I just faxed latest barnard draft for you to review.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 8:08 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A request

Of course! Come meet me and go w me. I am copying Lona so she can call you to set it up.

Should we try to arrange a meeting w a smaller group to discuss our way forward w AID?

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Jan Piercy [redacted]
To: H2; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Sent: Tue May 19 20:05:04 2009
Subject: A request

I try not to pester you by email, but I really would like to attend when TED presents at State on 6/3. You're opening the event and Jacqueline Novogratz, Acumen founder is speaking. This will be an enormously high value opportunity to hear top development idea highly distilled, condensed and refined.

Jan

Jan Piercy

Executive Vice President

ShoreBank Corporation

[redacted] mobile

1200 G Street NW Suite 401

Washington, D.C. 20005

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 7:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Checking in

Anything I need to know?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 8:10 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I just faxed latest barnard draft for you to review.

Also, pls call when you can, I have a few questions.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 17 08:08:01 2009
Subject: I just faxed latest barnard draft for you to review.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2009 8:11 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Sri Lanke

It sounds as tho the war may be over. I want to move on a few fronts that I'd like to discuss. Pls let me know when I can call you--and what #. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:23 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Let's try on drives.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:16:54 2009
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:07 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

I already talked w Megan about changes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 09:05:09 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

They r starting now
I have speeches too, just checking to see if u have edits for barnard.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 09:02:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

I will get there in about 10 minutes so they could start the prelims. I have both speeches.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok its a mob scene here
All your people.
They will start the program as soon as you get to your table.
All on with speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 08:22:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Let's try on drives.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:16:54 2009
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 8:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I have Gates speech but not EU.

Also I think I need to go back earlier Tuesday. Maybe the 9 or 10 shuttle if possible.

Can you call me when possible?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 23 08:02:15 2009
Subject:

Oscar should have printed gates shangrila conf speech.
Also, he should have printed the draft US-EU joint statement on Georgia for your approval. We would like to release it jointly with the EU, under the your name. Goal is to issue Monday in advance of planned demonstrations.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 10:56 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Trying to reach you

I tried you back. Don't know why I didn't heard the phone--I've been on it all am. Can you talk now??

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 23 09:16:06 2009
Subject: Trying to reach you

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 9:25 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'shapiroa@state.gov'; 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott
Attachments: image001.jpg

Importance: High

Pls read Brian's message and all the email traffic below. What can we do about this? Let me know if you have ideas. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Greenspun [redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Sun May 24 17:21:40 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Hi hillary,
Please call me about this if you have a moment. The message is self-explanatory, apparently there is no american voice in place to speak out or lead on this issue so --there is no voice. I believe you know Bruce Ramer who is my brother-in-law, former president of american jewish committee and well-respected entertainment lawyer in la. And, he is right. Look forward to seeing you next week in washington. Best, brian

---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Bruce M. Ramer [redacted] B6
To: Brian Greenspun
Sent: Sun May 24 14:08:16 2009
Subject: FW: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Brian, First, thanks for a nice family morning and for breakfast. Always fun, always interesting and always fulfilling.

Below is a sample of the kind of emails I sent to various people (studio heads; Dan Glickman; etc), which have started to generate concern, interest and action. AJC is also active on other fronts, as well.

Just below the email you will find the news item which first called this abomination to my attention.

I hope you can enlist Hilary's support (and please give her my personal best). We need, for many reasons, to have the US protest and condemn this outrageous boycott and to oppose the anti-Semitism inherent in it; cf Durban. The organizers of the festival must be convinced to reverse themselves. This, because of the inherent wrongness of it and that if it succeeds, it will encourage and motivate those who fomented the boycott to other, probably even worse, action. For example, there is in effect or pending (I am not certain which) a UK-Israeli treaty on film production; we should cut off at the pass any attempts to derail it.

Our Embassy in London does not yet have our Ambassador. The charge d'affaires is Robert Lebaron who I hope will receive appropriate instructions from DOS. If he acts quickly, it is likely that other nations will follow suit; again, compare Durban.

This is truly appalling and serious and I earnestly solicit your assistance with the Secretary, and through you my earnest solicitation of her assistance.

With appreciation and love, Bruce

PS Naturally, you are free to share this email.

Dear Michael, This is disgusting and outrageous.

If you have any films in the festival or can lean on anyone else who does, please get them withdrawn. We would not boycott the films of any other nation, even those we consider outlaws. Neither should they. And they should learn that boycotts beget boycotts.

Thanks for whatever help you can be.

Warmest regards. Bruce

May 20, 2009

Edinburgh film festival bows to pressure from Ken Loach over Israeli boycott

Mike Wade

A row threatened to engulf the Edinburgh International Film Festival yesterday after it bowed to pressure from the director Ken Loach and returned a £300 grant it had received from the Israeli Embassy.

Sir Jeremy Isaacs, the former chief executive of Channel Four, accused the festival's organisers of making "an appalling decision" and called on them to rescind it. Describing Loach's intervention as an act of censorship, he said: "They must not allow someone who has no real position, no rock to stand on, to interfere with their programming."

The grant was intended to enable Tali Shalom Ezer, a graduate of Tel Aviv University, to travel to Scotland for a screening of her film, Surrogate.

After days of protest against the award from pro-Palestinian organisations, Loach, an outspoken opponent of Israel's policies in Lebanon and Gaza, urged filmgoers on Monday to boycott Edinburgh.

"The massacres and state terrorism in Gaza make this money unacceptable," he said. "With regret, I must urge all who might consider visiting the festival to show their support for the Palestinian nation and stay away."

The intervention brought an immediate capitulation from the organisers. In a statement the festival said it accepted that Loach spoke "on behalf of the film community, therefore we will be returning the funding issued by the Israeli Embassy".

Sir Jeremy said that he was disgusted both by Loach's actions and by the capitulation of the festival organisers. "Ken Loach has always been critical of censorship of his own work, albeit it was many years in the past. The idea that he should lend himself to the denial of a film-maker's right to show her work is absolutely appalling," he said. He was "equally horrified" that festival organisers should accept that Loach was speaking on behalf of all British film-makers.

Sir Jeremy worked closely with Loach in the 1980s when, as chief executive of Channel Four, he commissioned a number of controversial documentaries from him. One, A Question of Leadership, was made in 1981 but never broadcast, leading to accusations of political censorship from Loach. The irony of the director's present position was all the more obvious, given the spirit of the Edinburgh festival, Sir Jeremy said.

"It must be good for cinemagoers at an international film festival to see films by Jews, Arabs, Israelis and Palestinians, to the benefit of all," he said.

"I have admired the Edinburgh International Film Festival for many years and would like to think that this appalling decision will be rescinded."

Loach's acclaimed new film Looking for Eric has made him the toast of the Cannes Film Festival. It is, uncharacteristically, a comedy, although its lead character is an authentic Loach creation — a Mancunian postman who goes off in search of his idol, the footballer Eric Cantona.

Ezer's film makes no reference to war or politics. It is a romance set in a sex-therapy clinic. It won the audience award at an international women's film festival in Israel recently.

Lord Janner of Braunstone, a Labour peer and former chairman of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, said that he was disappointed by the festival's decision. "By banning the Israeli Embassy from supporting a film-maker the festival is helping to exclude Israelis from British cultural life, something that is clearly unfair."

Last night a spokesman for the EIFF said that although it had returned £300 to the Israeli Embassy, the festival itself would fund Ms Shalom-Ezer's travel to Edinburgh out of its own budget

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 7:28 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Of course. Who will be there?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 07:05:18 2009
Subject:

I'm going to skip Yale today.
Assuming that's ok with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 8:54 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Pls call ops now so they can connect u to South Korean FM Yu

I'm in bad cell area.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 08:44:17 2009
Subject: Pls call ops now so they can connect u to South Korean FM Yu

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

What's his first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 21:30:41 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok call in 20 min with australian fm smith

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 21:12:42 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

We can do tonight but not after 11. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 25 20:51:12 2009
Subject: Calls

Calling you at 9pm with FM yang.
Australian fm smith asking to talk to you tonite about north korea. U want to do after china? Or wait till tomorow?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:53 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: FW: confidential

Dear Cherie--

I could make time to meet in DC during the weeks of June 8th and 15th. Would that work? I would rearrange my schedule to fit her time.

Otherwise, I will be in Trieste, Italy and Corfu, Greece for meetings the last week in June.

Let me know if this works. Thx and all the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: H; hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sun May 24 06:34:35 2009
Subject: FW: FW: confidential

Dear Hilary it seems if you suggest a day she will come to you. DO you want to let me know and I will go back to them

From: [redacted] B6
Sent: 24 May 2009 11:31
To: Cherie Blair
Subject: Re: FW: confidential

Dear Cherie,

Thank you for your message.

Would you be able to ask Hilary to suggest two dates either in Washington and or Europe in the near future? With the suggested dates, I can then confirm a meeting.

Should you need to contact me urgently you can reach me on my mobile at [redacted] B6

Regards,

[redacted] B6

2009/5/23 Cherie Blair [redacted] B6

Here is my reply from hilaary how do you suggestu I move it forward

Sent from my HTC Touch Pro

-----Original Message-----

Cherie-

So sorry I've been slow in responding but I am very interested in talking w [redacted]. I would be happy to host her if she were to come to DC or meet her in Europe when I'm next there. How do you think I should proceed? All the best, Hillary

This message has been scanned by MailController - www.MailController.altohiway.com <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/>

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 3:05 PM
To: 'lmuscatine [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: david herbert donald

Thx for telling me; [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun May 31 10:36:53 2009
Subject: david herbert donald

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:06 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Follow up

Which names did you put in? Maybe they didn't save. But I need a lot. Pls make a list and I will check and add if necessary.
I'd like to set up call to Sonia Gandhi in next few days.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 2:52 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: my departure

Ok. I'm on way to airport. Any readout from WH mtg? Any other news?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Mon May 18 14:40:20 2009
Subject: FW: my departure

FYI

From: Cicetti, Pamela S
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: my departure

Cheryl, thanks so much. [redacted] B6

[redacted]

My official day to leave will be Friday the 22nd which I will take as a leave day so that my last day in the office will be Thursday. Thanks again for your support and your friendship and good luck with the enormous responsibility you have assumed here at State. Love, Pam

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 1:05 PM
To: Cicetti, Pamela S
Subject: RE: my departure

Pam

First – congratulations – you know [redacted] is there, right? B6

Second – stay here as long as you like in lead up to June 1.

Third – I am happy for you – I loved working at a University (and miss it even more now), I am sure you will.

xo

cdm

From: Cicetti, Pamela S
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:34 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: my departure

Dear Cheryl, first of all, thanks for your support throughout this transition process. I have accepted a position in the

[redacted] B6

[redacted] Again, thanks for everything. Pam

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:56 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Schedule

S. Korea FM Yu wants to see me in DC June 5 to prepare for Pres Lee's trip to DC on June 16. Can I do that in the afternoon?

I need some dates asap to offer for a mtg in DC or Europe w Quatari Sheika Musa.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 9:19 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

You can send it to me or if that's not easy for you, tomorrow is ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue May 26 20:54:08 2009
Subject: Speech

I heard from jon.
Wjc reviewed your edits
Said they were all good
Has some of his own (from original copy) that he said he "doesn't feel very strongly about" but they make it less wordy and easier to give. I can send that version to you if u want.
He mentioned you may want the section on "purpose of power" at the end.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 6:42 AM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

How will we set any expectations? Did anyone background the press yet?

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri May 29 21:47:49 2009
Subject: Re: Brazil and Mexico

If we set the expectation now that the outcome is likely to not go our way, ANY alternative - even one kicking the can down the road as Jake outlines below - would be seen as a significant victory.

And as an added bonus, it would be an opportunity to highlight your personal dedication to and prioritization of human rights/democracy, which we've been criticized for since China.

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted] B6
To: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri May 29 20:59:26 2009
Subject: Brazil and Mexico

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 9:29 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan[redacted]
Subject: Re: Overarching message

B6

Can you send me the language we prefer in a resolution?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan[redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 09:24:21 2009
Subject: Overarching message

As the conversation with these two ministers unfold, you might make the point that we have come a LONG way on Cuba so far -- and it would be really unfortunate to jam or embarrass President Obama after all the steps he's taken, especially since what we are seeking is an entirely reasonable result.

Agreeing to lift the 1962 sanction is a BIG step.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 7:13 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Are you still here

Or already in NY? Pls call me when you can. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:04 AM
To: 'brian [redacted] B6
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'shapiroa@state.gov'; 'preines[redacted]
Subject: Re: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Brian--

Thanks for the headsup on this. We are working to decide the most effective way forward, and I'll keep you informed.

We have some good ideas as to what our govt can do, but we also want to see pressure from local people brought on the British and Scottish govts.

Can you and Bruce reach out to the community in London and Edinburgh to urge them to raise this w PM Brown and other govt officials? We'd like to see top down and bottom up pressure. Let me know what you think.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Greenspun [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun May 24 17:50:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Brian Greenspun
To: 'hr15@att.blackberry.net' <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Sent: Sun May 24 14:21:40 2009
Subject: Fw: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Hi hillary,
Please call me about this if you have a moment. The message is self-explanatory, apparently there is no american voice in place to speak out or lead on this issue so --there is no voice. I believe you know Bruce Ramer who is my brother-in-law, former president of american jewish committee and well-respected entertainment lawyer in la. And, he is right. Look forward to seeing you next week in washington. Best, brian

---
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Bruce M. Rame[redacted] B6

To: Brian Greenspun
Sent: Sun May 24 14:08:16 2009
Subject: FW: Edinburgh Film Festival israeli Boycott

Brian, First, thanks for a nice family morning and for breakfast. Always fun, always interesting and always fulfilling.

Below is a sample of the kind of emails I sent to various people (studio heads; Dan Glickman; etc), which have started to generate concern, interest and action. AJC is also active on other fronts, as well.

Just below the email you will find the news item which first called this abomination to my attention.

I hope you can enlist Hilary's support (and please give her my personal best). We need, for many reasons, to have the US protest and condemn this outrageous boycott and to oppose the anti-Semitism inherent in it; cf Durban. The organizers of the festival must be convinced to reverse themselves. This, because of the inherent wrongness of it and that if it succeeds, it will encourage and motivate those who fomented the boycott to other, probably even worse, action. For example, there is in effect or pending (I am not certain which) a UK-Israeli treaty on film production; we should cut off at the pass any attempts to derail it.

Our Embassy in London does not yet have our Ambassador. The charge d'affaires is Robert Lebaron who I hope will receive appropriate instructions from DOS. If he acts quickly, it is likely that other nations will follow suit; again, compare Durban.

This is truly appalling and serious and I earnestly solicit your assistance with the Secretary, and through you my earnest solicitation of her assistance.

With appreciation and love, Bruce

PS Naturally, you are free to share this email.

Dear Michael, This is disgusting and outrageous.

If you have any films in the festival or can lean on anyone else who does, please get them withdrawn. We would not boycott the films of any other nation, even those we consider outlaws. Neither should they. And they should learn that boycotts beget boycotts.

Thanks for whatever help you can be.

Warmest regards. Bruce

May 20, 2009

Edinburgh film festival bows to pressure from Ken Loach over Israeli boycott

Mike Wade

A row threatened to engulf the Edinburgh International Film Festival yesterday after it bowed to pressure from the director Ken Loach and returned a £300 grant it had received from the Israeli Embassy.

Sir Jeremy Isaacs, the former chief executive of Channel Four, accused the festival's organisers of making "an appalling decision" and called on them to rescind it. Describing Loach's intervention as an act of censorship, he said: "They must not allow someone who has no real position, no rock to stand on, to interfere with their programming."

The grant was intended to enable Tali Shalom Ezer, a graduate of Tel Aviv University, to travel to Scotland for a screening of her film, Surrogate.

After days of protest against the award from pro-Palestinian organisations, Loach, an outspoken opponent of Israel's policies in Lebanon and Gaza, urged filmgoers on Monday to boycott Edinburgh.

"The massacres and state terrorism in Gaza make this money unacceptable," he said. "With regret, I must urge all who might consider visiting the festival to show their support for the Palestinian nation and stay away."

The intervention brought an immediate capitulation from the organisers. In a statement the festival said it accepted that Loach spoke "on behalf of the film community, therefore we will be returning the funding issued by the Israeli Embassy".

Sir Jeremy said that he was disgusted both by Loach's actions and by the capitulation of the festival organisers. "Ken Loach has always been critical of censorship of his own work, albeit it was many years in the past. The idea that he should lend himself to the denial of a film-maker's right to show her work is absolutely appalling," he said. He was "equally horrified" that festival organisers should accept that Loach was speaking on behalf of all British film-makers.

Sir Jeremy worked closely with Loach in the 1980s when, as chief executive of Channel Four, he commissioned a number of controversial documentaries from him. One, A Question of Leadership, was made in 1981 but never broadcast, leading to accusations of political censorship from Loach. The irony of the director's present position was all the more obvious, given the spirit of the Edinburgh festival, Sir Jeremy said.

"It must be good for cinemagoers at an international film festival to see films by Jews, Arabs, Israelis and Palestinians, to the benefit of all," he said.

"I have admired the Edinburgh International Film Festival for many years and would like to think that this appalling decision will be rescinded."

Loach's acclaimed new film Looking for Eric has made him the toast of the Cannes Film Festival. It is, uncharacteristically, a comedy, although its lead character is an authentic Loach creation — a Mancunian postman who goes off in search of his idol, the footballer Eric Cantona.

Ezer's film makes no reference to war or politics. It is a romance set in a sex-therapy clinic. It won the audience award at an international women's film festival in Israel recently.

Lord Janner of Braunstone, a Labour peer and former chairman of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, said that he was disappointed by the festival's decision. "By banning the Israeli Embassy from supporting a film-maker the festival is helping to exclude Israelis from British cultural life, something that is clearly unfair."

Last night a spokesman for the EIFF said that although it had returned £300 to the Israeli Embassy, the festival itself would fund Ms Shalom-Ezer's travel to Edinburgh out of its own budget

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),1.4(D)


From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2009 1:14 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Blair

Pls print.

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/22/2030

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 13:20:55 2009
Subject: Blair

This is readout from blair call with mitchell. Blair has asked to talk to u between 8 and 9am.
Readout: 1.4(B)
S/E Mitchell spoke to Quartet Representative Blair on May 30. 1.4(D)



--S/E Mitchell and Blair agreed to meet on the margins of the upcoming AHLC.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 6:41 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: All 3 calls confirmed

Will I get info/names for Philipines and Indonesia?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat May 16 00:27:39 2009
Subject: All 3 calls confirmed

All speak english. Ops will connect.
In order are:
- 9am: Philippine Foreign Secretary Romulo.
- 9:15am: Indonesian FM Wirajuda.
- 9:30am: Thai FM Kasit

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 7:12 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Testimony

I received the book from Rich, but there is no sample Q+A. Will I get that next week?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:46 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Sotomyer

Can you get #s for me so I can call and congratulate her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:55 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Not surprised.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 20 07:54:16 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Epyptian embassy is telling nea off the record that he is calling about canceling trip.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 20 07:43:51 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok put Gheit thru.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 20 07:23:31 2009
Subject: Calls

Holbrooke called for you
Also aboul gheit says he needs to talk at 8:30am, that its very important. May be related to trip.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 8:14 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: [redacted] B6

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jan Piercy [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 20:47:27 2009
Subject: FW: [redacted]

Hillary,

The email below from Sally Osberg, President of the Skoll Foundation reminds me that right after the Skoll Forum in Oxford, I wrote Cheryl indicating your interest in meeting with Jeff Skoll. I sent another email on this and a couple of other meetings I think important (Jacqueline Novogratz, Acumen) but have never heard anything from her. I really do think it would be valuable for you to meet Jeff Skoll, and to talk with Jacqueline about Pakistan, in particular, but Acumen more generally. Perhaps I should go through the public-private partnerships office?

Re [redacted] if AID still isn't done, if he'd come in, you might consider whether he should be asked to undertake AID – he'd need a very good managerial #2 so he didn't get bogged down, but he was your first archetype of the kind of "moral authority" and leadership you wanted, and people would certainly perceive him to have been "worth the wait". B6

Good luck with all you're juggling. This has to be a particularly demanding week, in a string of them.

[redacted]

Jan

From: Sally Osberg [mailto [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 8:32 PM
To: Jan Piercy

Subject: B6

Hi Jan,

I also write to share that I spoke with this morning—he'd called early last week, but we finally connected today. A real honor to be one of the folks is seeking out for counsel—and one I don't take lightly! As you undoubtedly know, he's been offered a new role in the Obama administration, reporting directly to Hillary, would oversee Of course, I encouraged him to do it (as have most of his students, and others). In our conversation, he referenced your talking to one another at the Skoll Forum, your describing the opportunity posed by Hillary's leadership—which would open space for an alliance of visionary leaders to advance a new development paradigm for engaging with the world.

As I thought about what I said to -drawing upon my admiration for what another great social entrepreneur, John Gardner, contributed in his role as Lyndon Johnson's Secretary of HEW, which gave him a major hand in designing the Great Society and ushering in that great wave of civil rights legislation—I also wondered about you: specifically, your World Bank leadership role, and how you were able to leverage your entrepreneurial instincts and clear commitment to seeing investments translate into on-the-ground change while navigating a very large bureaucracy.

expects to be in DC by Thursday, and my gut tells me he is on his way to accepting this extraordinary challenge, with the goal of reshaping aid policy so that it serves those the U.S. most needs as allies—those billions of women, men and children the world over whose hearts and minds can be won if they can eat, care for and support their families, find real reason to hope. My hope, to be perfectly clear!, is that you will be a friend to as he navigates a system he has challenged and finds ways to drive meaningful, sustainable change. I don't really know, but my sense is that your hand is already at work here. I also shared with him that you were working on the possibility of Hillary's speaking at next year's Skoll Forum; he immediately said that Hillary, he and you should go together. How amazing would that be???!!!

Finally, connected with over Elizabeth Bagley's upcoming visit to the Bay Area; and I will meet with her when she's out here—if you have any guidance, I'd welcome it: I'm sure you know her and have a sense of what some possible connections might be.

Warmest,

Sally

==========

Sally Osberg, President and CEO, Skoll Foundation

250 University Avenue - Suite 200, Palo Alto, CA 94301

B6

==========

The Skoll Foundation (www.skollfoundation.org <blocked::http://www.skollfoundation.org/>) advances systemic change to benefit communities around the world by investing in, connecting and celebrating social entrepreneurs. Please visit Social Edge, the Skoll Foundation's online community for social entrepreneurs, at www.socialedge.org <blocked::http://www.socialedge.org/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:45 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: sonia sotomayer

Do you know her?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue May 26 08:32:58 2009
Subject: sonia sotomayer

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 10:10 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Today

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 08:44:47 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 08:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Today

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 06:57:50 2009
Subject: Today

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:30 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: FW: Frame

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri May 29 05:43:14 2009
Subject: Fw: FW: Frame

Fyi

Jim's thoughts on doc [redacted] B5

Cdm

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: [redacted] B6
Sent: Fri May 29 02:35:47 2009
Subject: Re: FW: Frame

I think this is very good. I really like the emphasis on security, which is part of her brand, and very relevant (I always have in the back of my mind the possibility that great tragedy will strike again, so we need to prepared to deal with that reality, should it come about some day).

I like combining that with the notion of prosperity and humanity, which together covers so much ground - from economic development to human rights.

A couple miscl thoughts --

- in terms of promoting prosperity/economic development, might there be a reason to technological advancement (giving people access to education and technological tools that can help them in terms of both education and access to social networks and other avenues for advancement). You do reference education in the development arena, and in prosperity, so that's good).

- there isn't much here mentioning democratization, freedom, etc. I know that is probably dicey given the multitude of governments we want to partner with that aren't ready to talk that talk, but still think it needs to be incorporated in some aspect of a mission statement.

- really like strong reference to women as a key to economic and social stabilization. That ties into her "brand" and into a reality. I know when the First Ladies of Africa came out here to LA, one of the desires was to try to draw them into an alliance that might transcend their own national differences and create cross-border force for positive change. A friend

of mine is one of the executives with the Hunger Project, whose CEO is a woman who focuses, too, on the way targeting women can help alleviate hunger in ways that just dealing with men or generally cannot.

- really like that 'variable geometry' reference. This is kind of like 3 dimensional chess - strange and complicated alliances need to be made to grapple with a multitude of cross-cutting challenges. This is calculus, not arithmetic.

- would like to be sure religions are involved here, vis a vis the various partnerships. It can be either a powerful force of positive change, or it can get in the way of progress, depending on how it's used or abused, but to the extent allowed Constitutionally, the power of religion should be encouraged in the direction of alliances and partnerships of progress.

- as I think about Kennan's "containment" doctrine a little further - in some ways it can be reapplied to extremism, meaning that our goal should be to contain not Communism this time, but extremist ideology whereever it exists, and just as Kennan supported, development is one of the ways to build those walls of containment around a dangerous ideology. We want to contain extremist, nihilistic, violent ideologies and movements whereever they might take root, and a good way to do that is to focus on development, on women, on technology, on education.

The power of diplomacy rests on two foundations. On the one hand, there is the reality and potentiality of military force. Without having the capacity to defend, and to project force, diplomacy is inherently weak and ineffectual.

On the other hand, development is the other foundation upon which successful diplomacy can be built. It's the carrot to the military's stick. And the State Department has an integral role to play in both the diplomatic and developmental parts of that tripod (while also being understanding of, and when necessary supportive of, implementing the military part).

The connective tissue of security, prosperity and humanity is, as you suggest, "purpose," or more prosaically - "morality." Morals. It is immoral for people to live in fear, to be unprotected, to be subject to harm and death. It is immoral for people's human rights to be violated, to not have access to education and heatlh. And it is immoral to be denied the opportunity to grow, to create a better future for children, etc. To let, as Roy has said, every child to live up to his or her God-given potential.

one last point - on shared humanity, perhaps protecting the natural resources of the Earth - environmentalism - should be referenced along with health and human rights. It's part of the underpinning of our international morality and the kind of partnership we need to solve global warming, etc.

On Thu, May 21, 2009 at 11:33 AM, Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov> wrote:

Can you both take a look at this – if this implementing agenda works, we will use language of purpose to surround it.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 10:20 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Re:

Pls review the memcons and follow up w Tom to see if we can work this thru [redacted] Both had good suggestions. Let me know if this gives us any new tools. B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sat May 30 09:39:16 2009
Subject:

See Sections 1 and 3 at the bottom. 1 is the replace, 3 is the lift.

[redacted] B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 11:01 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: confidential

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: H
Sent: Wed May 13 06:25:36 2009
Subject: confidential

Hilary,

You may know but for the last four years I have been working with the Qatari's and in particular with Sheikha Moser on disability issues in Qatar and I have built up a good relationship with them

Sheikha Moser has approached me privately saying they are keen to get their relationship with the USA onto a more positive footing and she was hoping for a "women to women" one to one private meeting with you. She is happy to come to Washington if you could make some time available.

Is this something you would be prepared o do. Sheikha Moser is someone who has real influence in Qatar and she has made a lot of difference already with her education city and with the Shaffalah Centre for people with Disabilities in which I am involved as the Co Chair of its Annual Disability conference. I am sure the conversation would not be confined to these issues but would be about the US/Qatar relationship generally.

Cherie Blair



This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:59 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

Who did he call and when? I talked to him 15 minutes ago.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 22:58:17 2009
Subject: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 8:21 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Letter to Secretary Clinton

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jill Iscol [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sat May 16 17:34:01 2009
Subject: FW: Letter to Secretary Clinton

Please advise to whom I should forward Jacqueline Novogratz's request . I know you know her, but honestly, she is so far ahead of the curve and brilliant. I believe she could be enormously helpful to your work.

[redacted] B6

Love you,
Jill

From: Jacqueline Novogratz [mailto[redacted] B6
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Margo Alexander; Margo Alexander; Jill Iscol
Subject: Letter to Secretary Clinton

Dear Margo and Jill,

I'm writing to see if you might help me secure a meeting with Secretary Clinton to talk about my ideas for a $500 million Global Innovation Fund that invests in "what works" in international development and would complement the work President Obama is doing with his domestically-focused Social Innovation Fund.

The world needs a new vision for development. This is the moment for experimentation and a new commitment to innovation and change. The U.S. spent $23.5 billion on foreign assistance in 2006. If we experimented with just $500 million to invest capital and technical assistance in social enterprises focused on results, accountability and scale, we

could build real blueprints for change. At Acumen Fund we see so many impactful and promising innovations; the State Department would be a powerful partner in scaling those successes.

A global social innovation fund would be particularly impactful in Pakistan. The U.S. may commit $11.5 billion over five years to Pakistan, and it is critical that we look closely at how we might spend that money. In Acumen Fund's seven years working in Pakistan we have found that models for change exist, and we have built a powerful community of entrepreneurs and supporters within Pakistan. As you know we have invested in organizations like Saiban, which has provided low-cost housing to more than 60,000. There is FMIA, a joint venture that has sold more than 30,000 health insurance policies. And Kashf, a microfinance institution serving more than 300,000 poor women by making small loans to help themselves change their own lives. The challenge is to grow these solutions from reaching hundreds of thousands to the millions most in need.

I believe this kind of investment in Pakistan is the "smart power" that Secretary Clinton referred to in her speech at the Global Philanthropy Forum. She has an incredible vision and Acumen Fund would love to find ways to support her.

Anything you could do would be truly appreciated. And do let me know anything I can do on this end as I feel a deep sense of urgency and want to do all I can to contribute.

Thanks so much,

Jacqueline

Jacqueline Novogratz | CEO | Acumen Fund
76 Ninth Avenue, Suite 315 (@15th St.); New York, NY 10011
[redacted] B6
jnovogratz@acumenfund.org | www.acumenfund.org <http://www.acumenfund.org/> | www.acumenfundblog.org

My new book: The Blue Sweater <http://www.facebook.com/pages/The-Blue-Sweater/41692100926>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 6:31 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' B6
Subject: Speech

Can we try to change the Sri Lanka call and reschedule Stephens and postpone until later the Angolans so I can go to speech?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 10:10 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Some other thoughts

Who wrote it?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 21 20:58:08 2009
Subject: Some other thoughts

AMS did not like any of this both for the substance and because she did not write it so we did not share it with you today. Then I decided to share it with you anyway for any value it has for you.

Frame:

The world we live in today is inextricably interconnected. Together we succeed. Apart we fail. In the Obama Administration, we will use smart power to advance to our shared security, shared prosperity and shared humanity.

In advancing our shared security, we seek to:

- Reduce threat of violent extremism
- Reduce the spread of weapons of mass destruction

In advancing our shared prosperity, we seek to:

- Use development to create and sustain strong stable economies by:

o Focusing on women as essential for driving economic growth;

o Expanding access to food and the means to grow or buy it; and,

o Expanding access to quality education in developing countries;

- Expand access to stable, affordable and increasingly clean energy sources

In advancing our shared humanity, we seek to:

- Expand human rights and the conditions that promote respect for human rights

- Support the development of health systems that support healthier societies and confront the spread of pandemic disease (e.g., AIDs)

How we achieve these goals:

We will advance these goals through shared responsibility and the application of smart power. America has the responsibility to lead, but can only lead in partnership with others. Smart power means elevating and integrating diplomacy and development.

DIPLOMACY:

Focus on priority partnerships:

- Revitalized partnerships with historic allies EU, Japan, Korea, Australia;
- Calibrated partnerships with China and Russia;
- Cultivated partnerships: Brazil, India, Turkey, Indonesia, and South Africa;
- Targeted public/private and multilateral partnerships based upon the principles of variable geometry (i.e., focused on the problem at hand).

Build strong partnerships by:

- listening and mutual respect – which has begun already and will continue
- being accountable for the challenges we create and demanding that our partners are similarly accountable;
- focusing on government to people, and people to people connections using new technology to create buy in from bottom up so shared responsibility is experienced on the ground and sustainable;
- eliminating the obstacles to cooperation that stand in the way of us achieve our goals (e.g., resolving Cyprus so Turkey can enter the EU; engaging Iran to create greater accountability among EU partners if engagement fails)
- creating work plans to drive cooperation on our shared agenda: tasks, timetables and benchmarks for each country

DEVELOPMENT:

Establish and implement against our critical priorities:

- Women as economic drivers and social stabilizers;
- Education as the gateway to economic opportunity and human rights;

- Food and agriculture as the cornerstone of sustainable local economies
- Global health as the foundation for the future

Reform our government institutions

- Improve coordination in planning and budgeting across our diplomacy and development
- Make Ambassadors country-level CEOs responsible for government-wide efforts in each country.

o Grow the quality, talents and capacities of our development institutions;

o Introduce effective metrics and evaluation for accountability

Improve delivery and outcomes

- Assess and promote what works and stop spending resources on what doesn't.
- Establish transparent benchmarks for measuring success

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 10:17 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Confirmed tonite

Yeah!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 21 22:12:44 2009
Subject: Confirmed tonite

PJ Crowley
Daniel Benjamin
Judith McHale
Robert Blake

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Some other thoughts

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 21 20:58:08 2009
Subject: Some other thoughts

AMS did not like any of this both for the substance and because she did not write it so we did not share it with you today. Then I decided to share it with you anyway for any value it has for you.

Frame:

The world we live in today is inextricably interconnected. Together we succeed. Apart we fail. In the Obama Administration, we will use smart power to advance to our shared security, shared prosperity and shared humanity.

In advancing our shared security, we seek to:

- Reduce threat of violent extremism
- Reduce the spread of weapons of mass destruction

In advancing our shared prosperity, we seek to:

- Use development to create and sustain strong stable economies by:

o Focusing on women as essential for driving economic growth;

o Expanding access to food and the means to grow or buy it; and,

o Expanding access to quality education in developing countries;

- Expand access to stable, affordable and increasingly clean energy sources

In advancing our shared humanity, we seek to:

- Expand human rights and the conditions that promote respect for human rights

- Support the development of health systems that support healthier societies and confront the spread of pandemic disease (e.g., AIDs)

How we achieve these goals:

We will advance these goals through shared responsibility and the application of smart power. America has the responsibility to lead, but can only lead in partnership with others. Smart power means elevating and integrating diplomacy and development.

DIPLOMACY:

Focus on priority partnerships:

- Revitalized partnerships with historic allies EU, Japan, Korea, Australia;
- Calibrated partnerships with China and Russia;
- Cultivated partnerships: Brazil, India, Turkey, Indonesia, and South Africa;
- Targeted public/private and multilateral partnerships based upon the principles of variable geometry (i.e., focused on the problem at hand).

Build strong partnerships by:

- listening and mutual respect – which has begun already and will continue
- being accountable for the challenges we create and demanding that our partners are similarly accountable;
- focusing on government to people, and people to people connections using new technology to create buy in from bottom up so shared responsibility is experienced on the ground and sustainable;
- eliminating the obstacles to cooperation that stand in the way of us achieve our goals (e.g., resolving Cyprus so Turkey can enter the EU; engaging Iran to create greater accountability among EU partners if engagement fails)
- creating work plans to drive cooperation on our shared agenda: tasks, timetables and benchmarks for each country

DEVELOPMENT:

Establish and implement against our critical priorities:

- Women as economic drivers and social stabilizers;
- Education as the gateway to economic opportunity and human rights;

- Food and agriculture as the cornerstone of sustainable local economies
- Global health as the foundation for the future

Reform our government institutions

- Improve coordination in planning and budgeting across our diplomacy and development
- Make Ambassadors country-level CEOs responsible for government-wide efforts in each country.

o Grow the quality, talents and capacities of our development institutions;

o Introduce effective metrics and evaluation for accountability

Improve delivery and outcomes

- Assess and promote what works and stop spending resources on what doesn't.
- Establish transparent benchmarks for measuring success

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:34 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

Add to call list. I called and left message at home # but I should try again.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sat May 23 20:57:09 2009
Subject: Re: Ursula Burns

These are the numbers ali has in her database:

[redacted] B6

I tested them both and they are both correct. The voice mail at the home number is non-decript/an older gentleman's voice, but I crossed-checked it on the internet and it is listed under ursula's name.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma; Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat May 23 19:20:26 2009
Subject: Ursula Burns

Do we have a # for her in Rochester?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:45 AM
To: [redacted] H2; 'abedinh@state.gov' B6
Subject: Re: to Huma, from Adrian Talbott re: [redacted]

Adrian--

Thank you for your kind offer which I gladly accept. [redacted]

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Adrian Talbott [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Tue May 26 08:18:28 2009
Subject: to Huma, from Adrian Talbott re: [redacted]

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 11:59 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Clips

I actually like the two column version better than the single page one I rec'd today.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 12:11 AM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Clips

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 31 00:00:14 2009
Subject: Re: Clips

Back to two columns it is

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Sent: Sat May 30 23:59:12 2009
Subject: Clips

I actually like the two column version better than the single page one I rec'd today.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 10:05 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Follow up

Lee--

I'm very pleased this new challenge is working out so well for you and your family. And, until you're in Warsaw, I would welcome any thoughts you may have about what I should--or shouldn't!--be saying or doing. Pls send anything you might have for me directly to Lauren Jiloty, whom I have copied on this email. All the best.

----- Original Message -----
From: Lee Feinstein [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue May 26 13:45:49 2009
Subject: Follow up

Madam Secretary,

It was terrific to see you the other day for the photo shoot with the ambassador designees. Elaine and I are excited -- and grateful to you -- for the opportunity.

As we discussed, I am in the building, working out of the transition office as the confirmation process inches forward. Elaine and I are busy gearing up but, as we discussed, I am happy to pitch in on specific projects or anything else if the need arises. In the meantime, best wishes to you and your family.

Best,
Lee

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,B5,1.4(D),B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 7:48 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL - a quick addition

Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sat May 16 07:45:06 2009
Subject: Fw: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL - a quick addition

See below [redacted] B6

[redacted] B5

I did not copy jim b/c I do not want to out johna for going outside the llines of authority chain.

Cdm

Cdm

From: Ohtagaki, Johna O
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri May 15 21:07:57 2009
Subject: FW: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL - a quick addition

Cheryl- I've been sitting in on these conference calls. [redacted]

[redacted]

Best, Johna

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/15/2029

From: Tong, Kurt W
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 7:21 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Cc: Park, Pamela P; Tesone, Mark; Davies, Glyn T; Arvizu, Alex A; Kim, Sung Y; Kim, Yuri J; Richardson, Eric N; Ohtagaki, Johna O; 'Bader, Jeffrey A.'; 'Russel, Daniel R.'; Cormack, Maureen E
Subject: DPRK AMCIT DETENTIONS CONFERENCE CALL

CA/OCS and EAP/K held a one-hour conference call today with the families of the detained journalists. During the call, we briefed the families on the Swedish Ambassador's consular visit with Ms. Ling and Ms. Lee on May 15; [redacted] recent progress on efforts to arrange the release of the two women; an update on the proposed letter from the Secretary; [redacted] 1.4(D) B1 1.4(B) 1.4(D) B1

Media: Although the families have thus far shown restraint from approaching the media to publicize their story, they are increasingly anxious in response to the DPRK's announcement of a June 4 trial date. They now feel that a media appeal is necessary and would be helpful to their case, citing the public attention given the Saberi case. They are considering doing interviews on Larry King Live and/or The O'Reilly Factor. [redacted] 1.4(D) B1

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2009 8:19 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Let me clarify my prior email

There are Qs+As thru out the briefing book but not yet a document of the most likely/contentious ones. That's what I'm looking to get next week. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:03 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

I will get there in about 10 minutes so they could start the prelims. I have both speeches.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok its a mob scene here
All your people.
They will start the program as soon as you get to your table.
All on with speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 08:22:48 2009
Subject: Re: Calls

Let's try on drives.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 08:16:54 2009
Subject: Calls

We have two calls for today:
-canadian fm wanting to discuss sri lanka
- qatar fm on burma.
You want to try and do on drives today? Or when we get back to office?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 11:15 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

That's odd since I talked to him around 10:40.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 23:12:31 2009
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

Ok great
His guy Conor called me at 11 saying he had just heard from Gore.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 18 22:59:23 2009
Subject: Re: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

Who did he call and when? I talked to him 15 minutes ago.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon May 18 22:58:17 2009
Subject: Gore just called saying he hasn't heard from you. he's expecting to hear from you tonite. I'm sorry.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'preines@ [redacted] B6
Subject: Barnard

Anyway to get attn about what I said about religion being used to subjugate women?
Just wondering?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 7:44 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls

Ok put Gheit thru.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 20 07:23:31 2009
Subject: Calls

Holbrooke called for you
Also aboul gheit says he needs to talk at 8:30am, that its very important. May be related to trip.

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D)

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 7:31 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: DPRK: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #47

That's good.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 21 20:49:01 2009
Subject: FW: DPRK: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #47

See Richardson comment.

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/20/2030

From: Tong, Kurt W
Sent: Thu May 21 18:53:16 2009
Subject: DPRK: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #47

DPRK/CHINA BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #47

-- May 21, 2009 --

CONSULAR ACTIVITY

Nothing to report. (CA/OCS)

DIPLOMATIC ACTIVITY

Nothing to report. (EAP/K)

MEDIA ACTIVITY

(SBU) Governor Richardson Interviewed on MSNBC's Rachel Maddow Show: Richardson discussed his previous humanitarian missions to the DPRK to retrieve detained Amcits as well as the current case. He praised the Administration's handling of the situation so far. In particular, he said that the Secretary had been doing a good job of emphasizing quiet diplomacy as the way to resolve the matter. Maddow made two inaccurate statements in the introduction to the segment: 1) that the DPRK has only allowed one consular visit so far; 2) that indictment was particularly concerning because none of the previous cases had ever gone to court. Richardson corrected the latter inaccuracy. (EAP/K)

Contact: Johna Ohtagaki, EAP/K Linda McFadyen, OCS

202-647-6727 202-647-5470

From: Tong, Kurt W
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 4:18 PM
To: Tong, Kurt W; Arvizu, Alex A; Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Kennedy, Patrick F; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Campbell, Piper A
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Park, Pamela P; Friedrich, Mary K; Russell, Daniel A; Tesone, Mark; Alford, Edward M (Ned); Smith, Daniel B; Jacobs, Janice L; Kirby, Michael D; Bond, Michele T; Kelly, Ian C (PACE); Wood, Robert A (PACE); S_SpecialAssistants; Davies, Glyn T; Kim, Sung Y; Kim, Yuri J; Richardson, Eric N; Ohtagaki, Johna O; 'Bader, Jeffrey A.'; 'Russel, Daniel R.'
Subject: DPRK: AMCIT BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #46

DPRK/CHINA BORDER DETENTIONS UPDATE #46

-- May 19-20, 2009 --

CONSULAR ACTIVITY

Nothing to report.

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

DIPLOMATIC ACTIVITY

MEDIA ACTIVITY

Nothing to report.

Contact: Johna Ohtagaki, EAP/K 202-647-6727

Linda McFadyen, OCS 202-647-5470

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 4:47 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: confidential

Cherie-

So sorry I've been slow in responding but I am very interested in talking w the Sheika. I would be happy to host her if she were to come to DC or meet her in Europe when I'm next there. How do you think I should proceed? All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherie Blair [redacted] B6
To: hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net <hr15@mycingular.blackberry.net>
Cc: H
Sent: Wed May 13 06:25:36 2009
Subject: confidential

Hilary,

You may know but for the last four years I have been working with the Qatari's and in particular with Sheikha Moser on disability issues in Qatar and I have built up a good relationship with them

Sheikha Moser has approached me privately saying they are keen to get their relationship with the USA onto a more positive footing and she was hoping for a "women to women" one to one private meeting with you. She is happy to come to Washington if you could make some time available.

Is this something you would be prepared o do. Sheikha Moser is someone who has real influence in Qatar and she has made a lot of difference already with her education city and with the Shaffalah Centre for people with Disabilities in which I am involved as the Co Chair of its Annual Disability conference. I am sure the conversation would not be confined to these issues but would be about the US/Qatar relationship generally.

Cherie Blair

[redacted] B6

This message has been scanned by MailController <http://www.mailcontroller.altohiway.com/> .

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2009 7:20 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Ursula Burns

Do we have a # for her in Rochester?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 1:28 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Chinese

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Mon May 25 13:10:31 2009
Subject: Chinese

We are going to confirm chinese fm yang call at 9pm unless that's a problem.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 9:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Kristy

Can I see her for both either tomorrow night or Wed am?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 10:10 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Today

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 08:44:47 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 08:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Today

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 06:57:50 2009
Subject: Today

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 7:45 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Checking in

Could Carl come tomorrow morning at 8?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu May 28 19:42:45 2009
Subject: RE: Checking in

Isabelle 7:30

B6

Still working through trip planning, Saudi still off and Honduras still on though the discussions are still dismal.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2009 7:40 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Checking in

Anything I need to know?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2009 7:52 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Any OAS News?

Are we going to Honduras?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:55 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Thx. Also, I tried Doug twice but it went right to voice mail.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:59 2009
Subject: Re:

I do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:26 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:49:35 2009
Subject:

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2009 4:55 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Savage holding pattern and next steps

Joan makes good points. Let's hold on doing anything until we all talk.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Jun 06 15:52:12 2009
Subject: FW: Savage holding pattern and next steps

On Michael Savage:

[redacted] B5

[redacted] B5

B5

B5

[redacted]

From: Donoghue, Joan E
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 9:38 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Crowley, Philip J; Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Olson, Peter M; Conklin, Maegan L; Malin, Mary Catherine
Subject: Savage holding pattern and next steps

This is what L staff, in coordination with EUR, have said to Savage's lawyer:

The demarche was delivered Friday in London, to FCO and Home Office officials. The Embassy passed your letter to them, drawing their attention in particular to Mr. Savage's statement that he had never advocated violence and that his statements had never instigated violence. The British officials said that, given Mr. Savage's legal action in the UK, Treasury solicitors would contact his legal representatives directly to provide details of the comments that had given rise to the decision to exclude him.

B5

Joan

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 7:26 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Anything to report?

I'm on the way home.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 7:27 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Yes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Oscar Flores
Sent: Fri Jun 12 18:53:05 2009
Subject:

[redacted] wants to come at 8:30am Sunday to see you B6

Ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:56 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Are youy on a falucca? Here's my # [redacted] if they want to call. B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:59 2009
Subject: Re:

I do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:52:26 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:49:35 2009
Subject:

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 9:19 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

B6

Anything elser I need to know?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 14 21:01:27 2009
Subject: I just dropped bfg book off at your house

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 8:01 AM
To: 'balderstonkm@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Followup

Either she or Huma does.

----- Original Message -----
From: Balderston, Kris M <BalderstonKM@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Followup

I do not know her but I will call her. Does Lauren have her info?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:41:28 2009
Subject: Followup

Pls contact Judith Barnett who has lots of ideas about partnering w business about the Middle East. Do you know her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 7:42 AM
To: 'mhcaleja@state.gov'
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

Judith--in btw our mtgs, I'll email you questions or suggestions mostly so I don't forget them!

Have you met w Doug Hattaway? I think he's very good.

A former Amb has a media project that I need to discuss w you.

I loved seeing all of your "boys"!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 11:50 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: this is going today to 5.4 million Americans...

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 08 11:48:31 2009
Subject: this is going today to 5.4 million Americans...

B6

(signed by General John Watkins)

National Security Threats Posed by Climate Change and Our Dependence on Foreign Oil

Dear ,

I've spent my entire life working to keep America safe. That is why I feel compelled to add my voice to the growing number of senior U.S. generals, admirals, and veterans calling for immediate actions to address the alarming national security threats posed by climate change and our dependence on foreign oil.

We import over half our oil from countries that are hostile to us. Last year, we paid over $255 BILLION to Middle Eastern countries that are the primary funders for terrorists groups like Al Qaeda and to Russia and Venezuela.

The U.S. consumes more energy than any other country in the world, so increased U.S. fuel efficiency standards and reliance on renewable energy will directly affect not only our own economic prosperity, but world energy prices as well. That matters because when the cost of a barrel of crude oil increases $10, the Ayatollah Khamenei in Iran gets $15 billion more, Putin in Russia gets an extra $36 billion, and Hugo Chavez in Venezuela gets an additional $10 billion to prop up his anti-American regime.

Furthermore, since the military is the largest energy consumer in the U.S., that same $10/barrel increase means DoD has to spend $1.3 billion more on fuel, which is equal to the entire procurement budget for the Marine Corps.

But our reliance on foreign oil does more than fund our enemies. The droughts, famines, and rising sea levels caused by climate change pose perhaps the greatest destabilizing influence the world will face over the next 50 years.

Forty percent of the world's population gets its drinking water from mountain glaciers that could disappear within decades. Without forceful and decisive action, conflict will likely erupt among climate refugees competing for dwindling resources and political destabilization will create ungoverned spaces where terrorists can flourish. These effects were seen in Afghanistan in the 1990s and are currently being experienced in Somalia and Darfur, were genocide erupted following the drying of Lake Chad, leaving 300,000 dead.

We must act now. A huge coalition of faith and military leaders have joined together to support efforts in Congress to pass effective climate change legislation this year. We need you to add your voice to ours by taking 1 minute to encourage your Member of Congress to support this effort. Please click here to email or call your Representative.

Burns Strider

Founding Partner

The Eleison Group

www.eleisongroup.com

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:15 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Search

Pls pull for me the speeches I and/or Bill gave about health care to any doctor group in the 90s.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:42 AM
To: 'jake.sullivan
Subject: Re: Kurtzer

I saw it and think it's right on point. I will call and thank Dan.

Where are we on No Ireland?

I think we should also be prepared to enlist someone to respond to John Bolton's attacks.

Also, Holbrooke wants me to call Sashkavilli. Has that been vetted in Building?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jake Sullivan B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 00:22:29 2009
Subject: Kurtzer

Just wanted to be sure you saw the Kurtzer op-ed, which ran Sunday in the Post. Kudos to Jonathan Prince for getting this written and placed.

The Settlements Facts

By Daniel Kurtzer
Sunday, June 14, 2009

Faulty analysis of the Israeli settlement issue is being passed off as fact. Charles Krauthammer's June 5 column, "The Settlements Myth <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/06/04/AR2009060403811.html> ," is one example.

Here are the facts: In 2003, the Israeli government accepted, with some reservations, the "road map" for peace, which imposed two requirements on Israel regarding settlements: "GOI [Government of Israel] immediately dismantles settlement outposts erected since March 2001. Consistent with the Mitchell Report, GOI freezes all settlement activity (including natural growth of settlements)."

Today, Israel maintains that three events -- namely, draft understandings discussed in 2003 between Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and U.S. deputy national security adviser Stephen Hadley; President George W. Bush's April 14, 2004, letter to Sharon; and an April 14 letter from Sharon adviser Dov Weissglas to national security adviser Condoleezza Rice -- constitute a formal understanding in which the United States accepted continuing Israeli building within the "construction line" of settlements. The problem is that there was no such understanding.

The first event the Israelis cite is the 2003 discussions on a four-part draft that included the notion that construction within settlements might be permitted if confined to the already built-up areas of the settlements. The idea was to draw a line around the outer perimeter of built-up areas in settlements and to allow building only inside that line. This draft was never codified, and no effort was made then to define the line around the built-up areas of settlements.

Nonetheless, Israel began to act largely in accordance with its own reading of these provisions, probably believing that U.S. silence conferred assent.

Second, President Bush's 2004 letter conveyed U.S. support of an agreed outcome of negotiations in which Israel would retain "existing major Israeli population centers" in the West Bank "on the basis of mutually agreed changes . . . ." One of the key provisions of this letter was that U.S. support for Israel's retaining some settlements was predicated on there being an "agreed outcome" of negotiations. Despite Israel's contention that this letter allowed it to continue building in the large settlement blocs of Ariel, Maale Adumim and Gush Etzion, the letter did not convey any U.S. support for or understanding of Israeli settlement activities in these or other areas in the run-up to a peace agreement.

In his 2004 letter to Rice, Weissglas addressed the issue of the "construction line," saying that "within the agreed principles of settlement activities, an effort will be made in the next few days to have a better definition of the construction line of settlements in Judea & Samaria." However, there never were any "agreed principles of settlement activities." Moreover, the effort to define the "construction line" was never consummated: Israel and the United States discussed briefly but did not reach agreement on the definition of the construction line of settlements. Weissglas's letter also promised "continuous action" to remove all the unauthorized outposts, but Israel removed almost none of them.

Throughout this period, the Bush administration did not regularly protest Israel's continuing settlement activity. But this is very different from arguing that the United States agreed with it. In recent days, former senior Bush administration officials have told journalists on background that no understandings existed with Israel regarding continued settlement activity.

Commentators also focus on the Obama administration's reiteration that a freeze must include the "natural growth" of settlements. Krauthammer says that this "means strangling to death the thriving towns close to the 1949 armistice line . . . It means no increase in population. Which means no babies." This is nonsense. No one suggests that Israelis stop having babies. Rather, the blessing of a new baby does not translate into a right to build more apartments or houses in settlements. The two issues have nothing to do with each other. Israelis, like Americans, move all the time when life circumstances -- children, jobs, housing availability -- change.

The pattern of population growth in the territories actually undercuts the natural-growth argument. Since 1993, when Israel signed the Oslo Accords, Israel's West Bank settler population has grown from 116,300 to 289,600. The numbers in East Jerusalem increased from 152,800 to more than 186,000. This goes far beyond the natural increase of families already living in the settlements. Inserting the provision of "natural growth" in official documents started with the 2001 Mitchell Report and the 2003 "road map," reflecting recognition that the concept was being abused as a justification for expanding settlements. The Obama administration is pursuing policies that every administration since 1967 has articulated -- that settlements jeopardize the possibility of achieving peace and thus settlement activity should stop. This does not diminish the Palestinians' responsibilities, especially their commitment to stop violence and terrorism and uproot terrorist infrastructure. President Obama emphasized this in his Cairo speech. But Palestinian failures in no way justify Israeli failure to implement their road map commitments with respect to settlements and outposts. It is time for Israel to freeze all settlement activity and dismantle the unauthorized outposts.

The writer, U.S. ambassador to Israel from 2001 to 2005, is a visiting professor of Middle East policy studies at Princeton University's Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 2:52 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: OAS

Can we be sure that press knows we supported lifting suspension w conditions which is what we got.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 4:03 PM
To: 'preines[redacted]; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: OAS

CNN just said that the suspension was revoked and no comment from Washington yet. So we need to tell the story w/out flourish.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 10:47 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Can you talk?

I have a time sensitive matter to discuss re Guantanamo detainees and Bermuda.

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 9:58 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Betsy and Tom

Thank you--and pls be sure o see them.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Jun 05 09:54:46 2009
Subject: Betsy and Tom

Just FYI that Betsy and Tom are coming to State today for a tour of the Diplomatic Reception Rooms. (Betsy may have her boss in tow, too). Marcee Craighill is going to give them a private tour at 2:00 PM, and afterward I will show them whatever I can on the 7th floor.

Lauren Jiloty

Special Assistant to

Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 10:35 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Also I will not leave the office before 5:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jun 05 10:28:17 2009
Subject: Revised Mini Schedule 6/5/09

Revised mini schedule below. Two items added during office time, denoted with asterisks:

11:40 am (t) ARRIVE State Department

12:00 pm BILATERAL w/PORTUGUESE FOREIGN MINISTER LUIS AMADO

12:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

12:30 pm PROTOCOL OF EXCHANGE OF INSTRUMENTS OF RATIFICATION

12:45 pm CEREMONY w/PORTUGUESE F.M. LUIS AMADO Treaty Room

12:45 pm OFFICE TIME

1:05 pm Secretary's Office

1:10 pm * MTG w/ JACK LEW AND JAKE SULLIVAN *

1:20 pm Secretary's Office

1:20 pm * PRE-BRIEF FOR TURKISH BILATERAL *

1:25 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm BILATERAL w/TURKISH F.M. AHMET DAVUTOGLU

2:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

2:30 pm PRESS AVAIL w/TURKISH F.M. DAVUTOGLU

2:40 pm Treaty Room

2:45 pm BILATERAL w/KOREAN F.M. YU MYUNG-HWAN

3:15 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room following.

3:15 pm MEETING w/TODD STERN

4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm MEETING w/ARTURO VALENZUELA, NOMINEE FOR ASST.

4:15 pm SEC'Y OF STATE FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE AFFAIRS Secretary's Office

4:30 pm (t) DEPART State Department *En route Private Residence

4:40 pm (t) ARRIVE Private Residence

4:40 pm PERSONAL TIME

5:30 pm Private Residence

5:30 pm DEPART Private Residence *En route Rodham Home

6:00 pm 90TH BIRTHDAY BBQ FOR MRS. RODHAM

10:00 pm (t) Location: Home of Tony & Megan Rodham *Call Time: 6:00pm-10:00pm

10:00 pm (t) **DEPART Rodham Home *En route Private Residence**

10:30 pm (t) **ARRIVE Private Residence**

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 6:08 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Let's discuss at our next mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 04 14:07:55 2009
Subject: FW: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Dick Gephardt [mailto: B6
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 7:47 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Cheryl - Thank you so much for considering this invitation. I am sure the sponsors would be absolutely thrilled to have Secretary Clinton appear.

In passing let me say what an extraordinary job you are all doing. As an American citizen it gives me great confidence and pride to see Secretary Clinton representing our country with such skill and grace. All Best, Dick

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: O'Sullivan, Nigel B6
Cc: Dick Gephardt; Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jun 03 13:55:34 2009
Subject: RE: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Thank you so much.

I am adding Lona – and we will make sure she reviews to address.

Best.

cdm

From: Bradley, Fiona [mailto: On Behalf Of O'Sullivan, Nigel B6
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 11:32 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: dickgephardt B6
Subject: Invitation to Copenhagen Key to Climate Investing conference

Dear Cheryl

Following a few discussions with Dick Gephardt - I have pleasure in laying out fairly detailed information about a conference that is being held in Demark on 3-4 December 2009.

We have made the note reasonably in-depth so hopefully will provide you with sufficient background. The organisers of the event which are ATP - the largest Danish Pension Fund and the Climate Consortium Denmark are being sponsored and backed by the Danish government and they were very hopeful that Hillary would be able to be the key note speaker at the conference for reasons that they have identified in the attached briefing document.

We were hoping to establish whether this event would be of interest for Hillary to appear and if she was available we would then arrange for the formal invite to be sent out by the Danish Government.

If we can provide any further clarification, please do not hesitate to contact us.

With very Best wishes

Nigel

Nigel O'Sullivan
Goldman Sachs International
Peterborough Court | 133 Fleet Street
London EC4A 2BB

B6

Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority © Copyright 2007 The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. All rights reserved.
This message may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please advise the sender immediately and delete this message.

See http://www.gs.com/disclaimer/email for further information on confidentiality and the risks inherent in electronic communication. See http://www.gs.com/disclaimer/email-salesandtrading.html for important risk disclosure, conflicts of interest and other terms and conditions relating to the e-mail and your reliance on information contained in it.

Goldman Sachs International ("GSI") is authorised and regulated by The Financial Services Authority and appears in the FSA register under number 142888. GSI is subject to the FSA rules and guidance, details of which can be found on the FSA's website at http://www.fsa.gov.uk <http://www.fsa.gov.uk/> . GSI is registered as a Private Unlimited Company in England and Wales (Company Number 2263951). [VAT registration number GB 447 2649 28]. Registered Office as above.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 7:38 PM
To: 'rsloan [redacted] B6
Cc: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tom Buffenbarger

Rick--

I would love to participate on behalf of my "brother"! I'm copying my assistant, Lauren, and asking her to coordinate this for us. Hope you're holding up during these challenging times. All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Sloan Rick [redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Mon Jun 08 18:59:11 2009
Subject: Tom Buffenbarger

Secretary Clinton,

Tom will be sworn in for his fourth four-year term as IAM International President on July 1st. A brief email or note would make his day.

You've made us all so proud to have fought for you. Many thanks from your Invisibles!

Notice: This message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged and/or confidential information. Use or dissemination by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 10:00 PM
To: 'Russorv@state.gov'; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Russo, Robert V <RussoRV@state.gov>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>; H
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:57:49 2009
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

Yes - you signed one to him this afternoon on your personal stationery. I was planning to put it in the mail tomorrow morning.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:52:52 2009
Subject: Re: Adam Parkhomenko

I believe rob prepared one today for you to sign. He will confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Russo, Robert V
Sent: Wed Jun 10 21:48:26 2009
Subject: Adam Parkhomenko

Can you check and see if I sent a letter to him this week? Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:00 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 07:56:55 2009
Subject: FW: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

!?

From: Gorog, Andrea
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 6:21 AM
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-L; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-PM
Cc: SES-O_Shift-I
Subject: Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

Kyrgyzstan rejects Obama "cooperation" offer

U.S. President Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

Kyrgyzstan will not reverse its decision to close a U.S. military air base which is used to supply American troops for Afghanistan occupation, Kyrgyz Foreign Minister Kadyrbek Sarbayev said on Thursday. U.S. President Barack Obama has sent a personal appeal to Kyrgyzstan proposing ways to discuss "expanding cooperation".

But Bakiyev's office said in a statement it had received a letter from Obama praising bilateral relations. "Moreover, Barack Obama expressed hope to further strengthen various forms of cooperation between the United States and Kyrgyzstan," the statement said without mentioning the air base issue. "The decision to annul all agreements on the Manas military air base has been taken and there is no turning back on this," he told the 24.kg news agency.

Andrea Görög

Watch Officer

Department of State Operations Center

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 9:33 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A Note from

That is sooo nice. They're both such good folks.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Doug Band; H
Sent: Fri Jun 12 21:02:42 2009
Subject: Fw: A Note from

Fyi

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall <
To: Minyon Moore Mills, Cheryl D; WilliamsBarrett B6
<WilliamsBarrett
Sent: Fri Jun 12 18:11:08 2009
Subject: Re: A Note from

Wow!!! Love this news!!

-----Original Message-----
From: Minyon Moore
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Maggie Williams
Sent: Fri Jun 12 15:21:19 2009
Subject: FW: A Note from

From:
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 2:59 PM
To:
Subject: A Note from

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 12:50 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

Be sure I do a video conf w Chris hill in next few weeks.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:12 PM
To: 'preines('sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: OAS--again

Well, Philippe looks right again. CNN is reporting this as being done against my wishes. Any way to salvage?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 6:41 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mtg w/ HRC tomorrow

So set up the time.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 08 05:02:42 2009
Subject: Fw: Mtg w/ HRC tomorrow

Heads up

----- Original Message -----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jun 07 18:53:21 2009
Subject: Mtg w/ HRC tomorrow

Cheryl,
I need to see her alone. I won't be talking about the speech.
AMS

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 1:09 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Can we leave closer to 8:30? Tomorrow changes ok altho I did tell WH I couldn't do at 5!!

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 12:50:48 2009
Subject: Schedule

For tonite, I tentatively told usss an 8pm departure from house. Is that ok?
For tomorrow, the mitchell meeting is confirmed for 5pm. So I will confirm doctor for after the mitchell mtg around 615pm. Ok?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 7:44 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Mitchell

I want to see him tuesday when he's back.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:06 AM
To: 'stalbott [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Questions

Are you free for a quick dinner or lunch in the next week?

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:12:06 2009
Subject: Re: Questions

Just arrived in Montreal. Will get back to you. What age/level of experience do you have in mind re assistant. Happy to work with Cheryl or Jake on that one.
[redacted] & dogs much enjoyed encounter yesterday. You were great on tv.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Strobe Talbott
Sent: Tue Jun 09 11:33:19 2009
Subject: Questions

Strobe--

I've seen [redacted] twice running and walking in front of my house, once w [redacted] and sent greetings to you.

As you may know, I'm trying to work out a time for lunch or dinner in the next week to discuss a list of issues w you. Hope we can work it out.

Also, I am looking for another smart, politically savvy assistant, preferably one w foreign policy experience expertise. Any ideas?

Hope to see you soon. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 4:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw:

----- Original Message -----
From:
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 16:39:55 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To:
Sent: Thu Jun 11 16:37:59 2009
Subject: Re:

I'm working to get you tickets

----- Original Message -----
From:
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 12:26:33 2009
Subject:

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 1:41 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

I respect her reasoning altho I regret her sense of responsibility.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jun 13 13:36:18 2009
Subject: Fw:

Fyi

From: Margaret V. W. Carpenter
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills <
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Sat Jun 13 12:18:43 2009
Subject:

Cheryl,

I finally connected with by phone again this morning. I reiterated our interest in talking with her about the

Margaret

Margaret Carpenter

[redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

Here are some odds and ends--

I'd like you to meet w me in person or over the phone before the Monday and Thurs mtgs w Asst Secs to set an agenda of what I want raised

I just heard of something called the "Hillary Center" in Morocco. Can you run that to ground?

I talked w Walter Isaacson about his Palestinian project. Toni Verstandig runs it; pls call her to ask for the materials which she told me she had prepared during the transition.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 11:23 AM
To: 'SteinbergJB@state.gov'
Subject: DPRK enforcement

Jim--Who have you designated to run the Resolution enforcement team out of State?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 6:12 PM
To: 'ntanden [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: last email on this subject

Thanks for the running commentary. I hope the stars are aligned despite the Baucus-Kennedy tensions. So pls keep the info flowing.

I will not be in DC June 20 for the BIG 10 year milestone, but congrats to you and [redacted] All the best, H B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Neera Tanden [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Jun 05 16:43:08 2009
Subject: last email on this subject

Sorry to flood your email, but I couldn't resist sending this to you from Ben Smith. [redacted] B6

[redacted]

A year later, Hillary wins

A year ago today, the final set of primaries made official the foregone conclusion that Barack Obama had won the primary, and Hillary Clinton lost it.

There's far too much going on today to dwell on an anniversary, but it does seem worth noting one particular piece of news in its light: On the central health care policy debate of the Democratic Primary -- which was, to be fair, conducted within a fairly narrow frame -- Obama appears to have conceded today to a Senate plan likely to more closely resemble Clinton's.

In a dense, careful letter <http://www.politico.com/static/PPM118_090603_healthcare_ltr.html> to Ted Kennedy and Max Baucus, Obama offered his principles <http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0609/23298.html> for a health care plan and conceded on a central point: He is now open to an individual mandate, which he campaigned against, and which is now being talked about by Senators of both parties with the buzzword "shared responsibility."

Obama still backs a "hardship waiver" for people unable to afford the mandate -- which is consistent with the core of his objection to the mandate. But what he cast as a principled difference of approach -- was the problem merely that people couldn't afford insurance? or something more? -- seems to have been conceded.

Here's the relevant paragraph:

I understand the Committees are moving towards a principle of shared responsibility -- making every American responsible for having health insurance coverage, and asking that employers share in the cost. I share the goal of ending lapses and gaps in coverage that make us less healthy and drive up everyone's costs, and I am open to your ideas on shared responsibility. But I believe if we are going to make people responsible for owning health insurance, we must make health care affordable. If we do end up with a system where people are responsible for their own insurance, we

need to provide a hardship waiver to exempt Americans who cannot afford it. In addition, while I believe that employers have a responsibility to support health insurance for their employees, small businesses face a number of special challenges in affording health benefits and should be exempted.

By Ben Smith 07:51 PM

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 9:09 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: A Note from [redacted] B6

Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Doug Band; H
Sent: Fri Jun 12 21:02:42 2009
Subject: Fw: A Note from [redacted] B6

Fyi

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted] B6
To: Minyon Moore3 [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D; WilliamsBarrett [redacted]
<WilliamsBarrett [redacted]
Sent: Fri Jun 12 18:11:08 2009
Subject: Re: A Note from [redacted]

Wow!!! Love this news!!

-----Original Message-----
From: Minyon Moore [redacted] B6
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Maggie Williams
Sent: Fri Jun 12 15:21:19 2009
Subject: FW: A Note from [redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 2:59 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: A Note from [redacted]

[redacted]

B6



RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2009 4:56 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Bolivia

Any news from Shannon or Maria?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 5:53 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 6:45pm conference call

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 14 17:52:02 2009
Subject: 6:45pm conference call

You should call into ops when u get in car. They will patch you into Jake and cheryl. I told them 6:45pm.

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Search

Also, pls add Dan Kurtzer to call list.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:33:22 2009
Subject: Re: Search

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:14:40 2009
Subject: Search

Pls pull for me the speeches I and/or Bill gave about health care to any doctor group in the 90s.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:23 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Personal

Would you pls give Lanny a report and do you know who is keeping Solomon informed?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:19:57 2009
Subject: Re: Personal

Yes. We had Jim raise this with the Greek ambassador yesterday, who told him that they were aware of the situation and would come back with a report when Jim got to Corfu.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Thu Jun 25 22:11:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Personal

Do you know anything about this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Lanny J. [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 20:32:06 2009
Subject: Personal

Dear Hillary,
John Solomon, Exec Editor of Washington Times and a close personal friend (and always fair to us as AP reporter and later Wash Post reporter), has called about a Wash Times reporter who is being held by Iranians. He says Greeks have good relations and he believes they are trying to help. He believes you are meeting with Greek Foreign Minister tomorrow and hopes you can raise the issue with him - he may already know something about it. Any information he knows would help family.
Hope you can do this -
And hope your elbow is better -
Best wishes,
Lanny

==========

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==========

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E- MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/

==========

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2009 11:21 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Who wrote this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jun 02 10:42:25 2009
Subject: FW: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:41 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Huff Post Renegade Excerpt

Of all his transition choices, none was easier to make, or more complex to execute, than Hillary Clinton as secretary of state. Obama had long wanted his former rival on his team, no matter what his friends and aides said about her aggressive campaign... His staff opposed the idea for the most part, arguing that Clinton would never be truly loyal. But Obama was willing to leave the primaries behind, including his own strong feelings at the time. "I don't hold grudges," he told his aides. "I don't worry about the past. I'm concerned about what happens now. If she can help me and Bill Clinton isn't too much of a liability, we should seriously look at this." ...

Obama was under no illusion about the legacy of the long primary season. During one transition meeting, Obama said he wanted to offer Clinton the diplomatic job. "I'm really interested in pursuing this, but I know she has some hard feelings coming out of this campaign." Emanuel and John Podesta, the former Clinton official who ran the transition, assured Obama that she was over those hard feelings now. Obama smiled and said, "Believe me. She's not over it yet."

His decision to offer her the job of secretary of state came surprisingly early. Well before the end of the primaries, when his staff and friends still felt hostile to her, Obama decided that Clinton possessed the qualities to carry his diplomacy to the rest of the world. "We actually thought during the primary, when we were pretty sure we were going to win, that she could end up being a very effective secretary of state," he told me later. "I felt that she was disciplined, that she was precise, that she was smart as a whip, and that she would present a really strong image to the world...I had that mapped out."

Recruiting and managing a team of rivals would not be easy, and Clinton came with her own set of issues. Chief among them was her campaign debt, which she wanted eliminated before she took the job of secretary of state. Would the president-elect go out and help her to do so? "I'm not begging her to take this job," Obama told his senior aides. "If she wants it, I could help. But I'm not willing to go out in these difficult economic times to do a flashy fundraiser in California." As it happened, plenty of people in the Senate were begging Obama to offer Clinton the job. Obama's aides

believed that many Senate Democrats thought Clinton had extended her presidential campaign far beyond the point where she had lost the election. Her negative advertising wasted Democratic money, threatened to undermine the party's nominee, and suggested that she was disloyal to the party. They were unwilling to offer the junior New York senator a position ahead of her lowly rank, and she stood little chance of becoming majority leader. "There was a lot of encouragement from inside the Senate to get her into this job," said one senior Obama aide. "They wanted her out of there." ...

As for controlling the uncontrollable Bill Clinton, Obama's aides drew up a series of checks on his fundraising for both Clinton Global Initiative and his work on HIV/AIDS across the world. But they really counted on Hillary to be the ultimate safeguard - against both her husband and her own ambition. "It's in her interests to keep him in line," warned one senior Obama aide. Others in Obama's inner circle said the president-elect believed Clinton needed to demonstrate that she was a team player and to shape her own career and legacy. "There are plenty who don't trust her and think she still harbors something," said another senior adviser. "It's still potentially problematic down the road. Barack's thinking on this is that it's not in her interests to mess with us. She can't win that fight internally and she's smart enough that she won't want that fight publicly."

Several weeks into the administration, even Clinton's internal critics believed the relationship was a success. "They have both worked really hard at it," said one senior White House official. "There's a natural affinity and respect that ironically grew out of being opponents. You get to know someone really well after all that."

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:22 PM
To: 'preines[redacted]'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Addendum

The Jill Dougherty report was much better than the lead in so maybe there is a chance.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 8:39 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: Alma Powell

Can I greet her while she's here?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 02 20:38:47 2009
Subject: Fw: Alma Powell

Fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tue Jun 02 20:02:30 2009
Subject: Alma Powell

Cheryl

Mrs. Powell will be in the Department on the 18th of June to speak to the Annual Meeting of the Overseas Schools Advisory Committee

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 12:49 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: For hrc

That's fine to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H; Oscar Flores
Sent: Sun Jun 28 12:44:29 2009
Subject: For hrc

Oscar, pls make sure hrc sees this soon. Potus just put out a statement. Is she ok putting out the following forward leaning statement?
Jake, cheryl, pir have all signed off.

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2009 1:52 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

Can we push more donations? I'd like to put more #s on board both thru cell and on-line.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jun 02 13:40:52 2009
Subject: FW: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Office of the Spokesman

For Immediate Release June 2, 2009

2009/

Question Taken at June 1, 2009 Daily Press Briefing

Current results of Texting Campaign for Humanitarian Aid to Pakistan

Q. How much money has been raised through the texting campaign for humanitarian aid for Pakistan?

A. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reported that as of June 1, 2009, a total of $135,008 in private donations from U.S. sources for humanitarian aid to Pakistan had been received. Of that total, $31,390 was received via 5,722 text messages.

The remainder included $84,702 received via 439 online donations; and $18,916 by mail and from major donors.

# # #

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:05 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

FYI. Some interesting results on on-line contributions this far regarding Pakistani IDPs.

PJ

From: Wills, Regina M [mailto:WillsRM@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:07 AM
To: PA PO Group

Cc: Pierce, Thomas JN
Subject: FINAL Pakistan Texting Campaign TQ

I just received the final clearance.

<<060209 Taken Question - Current Total of Pak IDPs via Texting Campaign JB-D RB.docx>>

Gina Wills
Public Affairs Specialist
Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM) Department of State Suite L-505, SA-1
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20522-0105
Phone: 202 663-1071
Fax: 202 663-1002
e-mail: willsrm@state.gov

PRM's website: www.state.gov/g/prm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 10:53 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Cornwall port of entry

Will you check what the Canadians told us about the bridge being open and, if true, be sure Schumer and Gillibrand are told.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 10:13 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ops has reached out to dennis ross. Haven't heard back from him.

Why did they call you?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 14 22:01:59 2009
Subject: Ops has reached out to dennis ross. Haven't heard back from him.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

[redacted]

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2009 6:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 03 18:49:35 2009
Subject:

If u r still up, wjc landed in brazil for refuel. He should be on the ground for an hour or so. Call dougs cell.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2009 9:52 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jim steinberg

Thx. Ops is trying to reach him. I spoke w Condi.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 07 09:38:57 2009
Subject: Jim steinberg

Asking to talk to you secure
Hoping to do it soon.
You can call ops from your white phone in office or grey phone on 3rd and they can go secure.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 5:53 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Cabinet mtg

I heard on the radio that there is a Cabinet mtg this am. Is there? Can I go? If not, who are we sending?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'balderstonkm@state.gov'
Subject: Followup

Pls contact Judith Barnett who has lots of ideas about partnering w business about the Middle East. Do you know her?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:43 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Dennis ross asking to talk

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 16 07:42:17 2009
Subject: Dennis ross asking to talk

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 12:59 PM
To: 'wburns66 B6
Subject: Central Asia

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2009 4:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jim call

I'm trying to call him thru ops but haven't reacherd him yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jun 06 15:48:31 2009
Subject: Jim call

He can talk to you tomorrow, just wants to talk to before u do anything public.
He has a call with susan rice at 530, after that but I told him u may be out of pocket for the evening.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2009 12:41 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'feltmanjd@state.gov'
Subject: Settlements

Condi Rice called to tell me I was on strong ground saying what I did about there being no agreement btw the Bush Admin and Israel. I will give you details tomorrow.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 9:49 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: HSF Follow up letter

Good. What is latest re Sid Blumenthal?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 22 21:45:56 2009
Subject: Fw: HSF Follow up letter

Fyi

From: Eizenstat, Stuart B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D;
Cc: Kennedy, J Christian; Jones-Johnson, Carolyn D; ; Becker, John P (EUR); Scarlis, Basil;
Sent: Mon Jun 22 17:52:18 2009
Subject: FW: HSF Follow up letter

Dear Cheryl and Susan,

I am pleased to inform you that following two long conference calls with the Holocaust Survivors' Foundation and Sam Dubbin, their lawyer, and with the timely intervention of Congressman Robert Wexler, the Foundation has sent the letter below by FAX to Secretary Clinton, withdrawing their objection and supporting my efforts. B5

It was clear from the call that they are to all the major Jewish organizations, from the American Jewish Committee and ADL to the Jewish Claims Conference. But they are a voice we should hear, They are sincere people, who are

Thanks and best wishes,

Stu

From: Sam Dubbin B6
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:03 PM
To: Eizenstat, Stuart
Cc:

Subject: HSF Follow up letter

David's secretary told me the letter was faxed to Secretary Clinton around 4:30.

I will send you the PDF from David's office when they send it to me.

All the best,

Sam

From: Sam Dubbin
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 4:39 PM
To: 'Eizenstat, Stuart'
Cc: [redacted] B6
Subject: Stu, below is text of the letter that David Schaecter will be faxing to Secretary Clinton any minute if it hasn't gone already.

I believe the conversations were very important and productive, and more importantly, so do the survivors with whom you spoke.

We look forward to working together this week and thereafter.

Sam

Dear Secretary Clinton:

With reference to our letter dated June 19, 2009, on behalf of the Holocaust Survivors Foundation USA, Inc. (HSF), I would like to state that based on conversations that took place in the intervening time period between Ambassador Eizenstat and the leadership of the HSF, and the commitments he made to our leaders, we are no longer asking that he be replaced as the head of the U.S. delegation to the Prague Conference taking place on June 26-30.

On behalf of all of the survivors for whom we have been fighting over the last decade, including tens of thousands in desperate need, we wish Ambassador Eizenstat the best of success as the head of the United States delegation at the Conference, as well as in the follow-up activities that we understand will occur on matters affecting Holocaust survivors' rights and interests.

Sincerely,

David Schaecter

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 1:06 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Thank you

Have you reached Mark yet? I'd be happy to see him as he requested for a drop by.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mark Hyman [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Wed Jun 10 12:55:04 2009
Subject: Thank you

Dear Hillary.

You have an extraOrdinarily large heart. Your selflessness and belief in and tireless work for what is true touches me deeply.

Thank you for all you have done. I will keep you posted. Don't know if you have a few minutes for me to stop by after my meeting with nancy at 7pm today. Or tomorow for breakfast or a quick hello.

Also will be back in DC Friday to do some teaching to doctors.

Thank you again so much.

Be well, mark
Sent from my iPhone

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 9:48 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Adam Parkhomenko

Can you check and see if I sent a letter to him this week? Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 8:04 AM
To: 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Today's Reflection

Rob--pls type this out in BIG print for me. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider
To: H; Moore Minyon
Sent: Wed Jun 10 20:13:00 2009
Subject: Today's Reflection

B6

"Speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves, for the rights of all who are destitute. Speak up and judge fairly; defend the rights of the poor and needy." Proverbs 31:8-9

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 12:29 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

[redacted] got tickets to Crete and now can't switch. Can Paige help her? B6

----- Original Message -----
From [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 11 12:26:33 2009
Subject:

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 8:57 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re: #s

Is that going public? Is it posted on our website?

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Jun 13 19:29:20 2009
Subject: #s

Most interesting observation of the day, from [redacted] B5

"A statistical analysis of the data issued by the ministry of interior suggests a perfect linear relationship between votes received by Ahmadinejad and Mousavi with an r-squared value of 0.9995, a near impossibility in nature.This suggests that the ballots were never counted or were abandoned after it was clear Ahmadinejad was going to lose. Statistically, it is impossible to maintain such a perfect linear relationship consistently through all stages of vote counting. We would expect variation as the votes were coming in, due to differences expected between the cites and the country side and hetereogeneity amoung ethnic groups.This to me is the most concrete evidence I have seen"

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 7:39 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Who is Peter Keting?

Did you see the article by him attributed to the NYTimes Magazine. Did it run in the paper version?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:11 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Personal

Do you know anything about this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Lanny J. [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 20:32:06 2009
Subject: Personal

Dear Hillary,
John Solomon, Exec Editor of Washington Times and a close personal friend (and always fair to us as AP reporter and later Wash Post reporter), has called about a Wash Times reporter who is being held by Iranians. He says Greeks have good relations and he believes they are trying to help. He believes you are meeting with Greek Foreign Minister tomorrow and hopes you can raise the issue with him - he may already know something about it. Any information he knows would help family.
Hope you can do this -
And hope your elbow is better -
Best wishes,
Lanny

==========

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==========

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E- MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/
==========

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'jpodesta [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Does this mean your career as a major league pitcher is over?

Probably for this season, but I'll be ready next spring! Until then, I'll keep my day job.

----- Original Message -----
From: John Podesta [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 21 15:07:06 2009
Subject: Does this mean your career as a major league pitcher is over?

Tried you a couple days ago, but email bounced back Neera says this is the right one. How are you doing? [redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 5, 2009 7:48 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: OAS Update

I am calling Tom.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:41:32 2009
Subject: Fw: OAS Update

I just spoke to tom (see b/l)..

He thinks for the moment a call by you is not indicated - first, Zeleya was unpersuadable by 2am by even his closet allies and second, tom said folks appear to stepping away from him.

He intends to fly mid-day so there will be plenty of time for more discussion over next several hours.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:00:22 2009
Subject: OAS Update

Update:

-- Resolution suspending Honduras passed with a roll call vote, requested by the Caribbeans, 33-0. Honduras did not vote.

-- Strong push by key countries, including Mexico and Costa Rica, to try to convince Zelaya not to return today.

-- Zelaya seems intent on returning. If no other presidents travel with him, Insulza feels under an obligation to accompany.

-- I am touching bases now to figure out what latest state of play is; I think we could have a busy Sunday.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 5:14 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: speech

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 17:09:03 2009
Subject: speech

I faxed a new, slightly revised version of the speech to your house. It is also attached.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:35 AM
To: 'lmuscatine B6
Subject: Re: CFR draft

Thanks so much. Pls send it to me at same time so I can review as well.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine Lissa
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 05:33:07 2009
Subject: CFR draft

The draft still needs a lot of work. B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:46 AM
To: 'lmuscatine [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: CFR latest draft

It is getting better. I've gone thru it once and will be ready to discuss later. Thank you sooo much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine Lissa [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin <AbedinH@state.gov>; Lissa Muscatine <muscatinel@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jul 14 07:43:19 2009
Subject: CFR latest draft

This is getting there. [redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Speech

How's it coming?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:24 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

Can you also fax one to Bill at home in NY? Do you have #? [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:03 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

Pls let me know when you send--I'm having fax trouble.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 10:34 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2009 8:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kristy can only do 730am. Ok?

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jul 01 20:42:53 2009
Subject: Kristy can only do 730am. Ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 8:43 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: BBC: Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

So sad and terrible. We should ask Chris Hill to raise this w govt. If we ever get Posner confirmed we should emphazise LGBT human rights.

Are you in Haiti already?

As to the QDDR, I think we should task the Building and AID to contribute. I have some ideas about that which I will talk to Jack and Jake about.

Let me know how it goes w the trip.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 08:32:49 2009
Subject: Fw: BBC: Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

Fyi

From: Richard Socarides [redacted] B6
To: Cheryl Mills [redacted] Melanne Verveer [redacted]
Sent: Mon Jul 06 06:56:27 2009
Subject: BBC: Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

BBC NEWS <http://newsvote.bbc.co.uk/nol/shared/img/printer_friendly/news_logo.gif>
Saddam's rule 'better' for gay Iraqis

Investigating reports of the murder and torture of gay men in Iraq, Ashley Byrne found that some gays found Saddam Hussein's dictatorship preferable to the threat of violence they face today. Some readers will find parts of his report disturbing.

There has been so much news of death and destruction from Iraq that the position of sexual minorities is rarely touched on in the mainstream media.

But stories of torture and murder of gay Iraqis, particularly men, have been emerging in the gay press for several years.

Investigating these stories for a BBC Radio 5 Live documentary, Gay Life After Saddam, I've heard a range of views about the deteriorating conditions for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) people.

Some say the violence has intensified in the past few months. Others say killings run into the hundreds and have been going on since 2003.

What is clear, and confirmed by separate evidence from various human rights groups, is that some gay men have been subjected to appalling violent abuse.

One young Iraqi, Amil (not his real name) recalled the death of a friend: "They found out he was gay and they killed him and they chopped him like a lamb, it was awful."

Another man (who wished to remain anonymous) revealed to Scott Long from the New York-based Human Rights Watch, how his partner was kidnapped and killed.

"It was late one night when four armed men came to take my boyfriend from his parents' house.

" They found out he was gay and they killed him and they chopped him like a lamb "
Amil, a gay Iraqi

"They were masked and dressed in black.

"We found his body the next day dumped in the garbage, his throat cut out, his genitals cut off."

Of all the shocking testimony we heard, a form of torture involving glue has to be the most awful revelation to emerge from our investigations.

Human Rights Watch researcher Rasha Moumneh told us: "We've heard stories of gay-specific torture where men have glue in their anuses and they force-feed them laxatives."

Gay men inside Iraq have been able to seek sanctuary in safe houses, thanks to the UK-based Iraqi Lesbian Gay Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) group, which manages them from London.

The documentary team were granted exclusive access to one of the homes on the outskirts of Baghdad, where we found a 31-year-old transsexual man called Qasim (not his real name).

Qasim said: "I'm scared all the time. I often think people are going to come in the night and take me because I am particularly known as gay."

All the LGBT Iraqis interviewed for Gay Life After Saddam maintained that life was easier for them when Saddam Hussein was in power, from 1979 to 2003.

Some spoke fondly of an underground gay culture that flourished before the war in Baghdad.

But it was unclear exactly what Saddam's view on homosexuality was, and there has been some evidence to suggest that the former dictator was acting to clamp down on sexual minorities in the latter years of his reign.

So who is to blame for the violence against LGBT people in Iraq?

Some blame militia, while other accuse religious leaders of stoking up hatred of homosexuals, though some clerics have also recently condemned the attacks on gays.

The Iraqi government and police also deny that there have been any state-sanctioned killings or torture of homosexuals in Iraq.

What is clear however is that gay people in Iraq have not been crushed.

He may be holed up in a safe house but Qasim is hopeful: "I find the Iraqi prime minister quite good.

"I hope he can talk with religious leaders, change the constitution and punish and imprison the murderers."

Meanwhile the refugee who runs the safe houses from London, Ali Hilli, lives in constant fear of his life after being sent two fatwas, or Islamic religious rulings.

But he has insisted he will not give up trying to help gay people in Iraq.

"If I think too much that someone is going to get me and kill me then I know I'd stop what I'm doing.

"I have to continue because I really believe in the work that I am doing."

Gay Life After Saddam was broadcast on Radio 5 Live, Sunday 5 July.

Story from BBC NEWS:
http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/2/hi/middle_east/8133639.stm

Published: 2009/07/06 08:00:43 GMT

© BBC MMIX

--
Richard Socarides
Brady Klein Weissman LLP
501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:04 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: CFR 7 14 09 9 pm clean

Can you fax to me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:57:15 2009
Subject: CFR 7 14 09 9 pm clean

I hope I got all the gaps/typos. Will send to WJC now.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 8:08 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Let's do Uribe tonight.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:03:55 2009
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Zelaya tentatively on for 1 (but we understand it might fall thru) but we are currently planning on it.
Uribe is asking to talk to you. He's available the rest of the evening and we can also do tomorrow morning but he didn't confirm availability for tomorrow. I've asked tom and jake for points for u.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:00:18 2009
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Did he ask to speak to me? I didn't reach out to him but I'm willing to talk once I get points. Is Zelaya confirmed for tomorrow? I didn't see him on schedule so did it just happen?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 19:57:33 2009
Subject: Uribe tonite

Colombian president alvaro uribe just called through ops. Said he's available anytime tonite to talk. Am trying to track down points for you from tom/jake but since u r seeing zelaya tomorrow, I assume u want to do this tonite?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:05 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Schedule

Pls add as option: April 25--EMILY'S LIST 25th anniversary.

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 4, 2009 10:47 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: OAS Update

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 04 19:02:18 2009
Subject: Fw: OAS Update

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 04 18:06:33 2009
Subject: OAS Update

B6

Cheryl:

The OASGA still has not started. The Argentine President has arrived, but Zelaya has not.

I am working this event from my home. We have Hector Morales, Craig Kelly, and Dan Restrepo at OAS Headquarters.

As I noted earlier, we will almost certainly have a resolution that suspends Honduras. There has been some talk about using the resolution to urge countries to impose economic sanctions. Honduras (Zelaya government) finally said no to that.

We are also working to convince Zelaya that now is not the time to return to Honduras. We are trying to get Insulza to talk with the Argentine president about this. Hector spoke with the Dominican president earlier in the day, who is also weighing in on the side of sanity.

That is all for the moment. This will probably go very late.

Tom

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 5, 2009 1:31 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: QDDR

[redacted] may still be out of country. Other names: [redacted]

If we can't get anyone of stature, then I think it should be [redacted]--w Derek, Jake, Jim, you and me as drivers w lots of outside help. B6

Also, when will I receive next draft of speech?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Jul 05 12:53:55 2009
Subject: QDDR

Here's what likely makes the most sense.

I will feel [redacted] out re whehter he would like to take this on and if so, what time he could give to it.

We set up a town hall with building for weds or thurs at which you announce the effort. This gives philippe and pj the b/g of week to lay press ground work so we get the coverage we want.

If this makes sense to you, I am going to reach out to [redacted]

Cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 8:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

Ok to connect.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:19:51 2009
Subject: Fw: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

These are notes from tom. Let me know if u are ok with ops connecting call.

From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 20:05:34 2009
Subject: RE: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

My guess is that he is calling about Honduras. He, like many other leaders with an interest in Central America, is worried that Honduras is slipping towards confrontation and violence. He probably does not think Insulza is up to the task. S should be aware that Arias is prepared to offer his services. I spoke with the Costa Rican FonMin, who said the de facto government has reached out to Arias, and that the Costa Ricans will be looking for a way to make the offer to Zelaya. Uribe knows Zelaya and has some influence. Uribe might want to talk with Arias and offer to help move Zelaya in the right direction. (Although Uribe and Zelaya come from different ends of the political spectrum, they are both ranchers and love horses, and this has created some comradeship.)

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 7:59 PM
To: Shannon, Thomas A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

Does she need specific points? Should I have her call u before she calls him?

From: Walker, Peggy J
To: SES-O_S-Calls
Sent: Mon Jul 06 19:41:28 2009
Subject: Colombian President Uribe would like to speak with the Secretary this evening

Colombian President Uribe has requested to speak with Secretary Clinton. His staff said he is available this evening, but they did not provide specific availabilities for tomorrow.

Please advise.

Regards, Peggy

Peggy Walker

Senior Watch Officer

State Department Operations Center (S/ES-O)

(202) 647-1512 walkerpj@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2009 8:48 AM
To: 'ValmoroJ@state.gov'
Subject: Stephen Roach

Also, did you set up a time for him to see me when he's in DC?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 3:13 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: N. Korea

What are emails for Kurt Campbell and Tom Donilon?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:02:19 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

From: Jimmy [redacted] B6
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:06:23 2009
Subject: N. Korea

Hillary: As I explained to you on the phone, I don't think it is appropriate to tell them that I will come only if they agree in advance to release the women. Your response was, in effect, "They have already agreed." Is this correct? If not, I will go, by commercial airline if necessary, representing The Carter Center, and try to induce them to approve the release. JC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 6:45 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Speech

I'm reviewing all of the edits you forwarded and will incorporate many. Jim Kennedy, as always, was fabulous. I should have my revision ready tomorrow. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 11:00 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:58:58 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

B6

Zelaya's people. He is addressing the GA tomorrow. I am working it through with Tom and Dan and will send you final text in am.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; 'cheryl.mills
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:56:29 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

B6

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:40:15 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:35:16 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

What does Jim say? Tom Shannon? Dan Restrepo?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H

Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors (B5

Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:00 AM
To: 'lmuscatine B6
Subject: Re: CFR latest draft

I think we have to mention B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Lissa Muscatine B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 09:57:19 2009
Subject: Re: CFR latest draft

My pleasure to help. . Will fiddle with that in the meantime. B5

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 9:46 AM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

It is getting better. I've gone thru it once and will be ready to discuss later. Thank you sooo much.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine Lissa <
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin <AbedinH@state.gov>; Lissa Muscatine <muscatinel@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Jul 14 07:43:19 2009
Subject: CFR latest draft

This is getting there. B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 7:59 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras interim govt sending mission to Washington

I will probably have to see them and Zelaya tomorrow. We should coordinate w Tom.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 30 19:50:47 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras interim govt sending mission to Washington

---

From: Himmelsteib, Brian B
To: NEWS-Mahogany; NEWS-WHA
Cc: SES-O_Shift-III
Sent: Tue Jun 30 19:33:24 2009
Subject: Honduras interim govt sending mission to Washington

TEGUCIGALPA, June 30 (Reuters) - An interim government set up after a military coup in Honduras said it will send a delegation of politicians, business leaders and lawyers to Washington on Wednesday for talks on the crisis.

Roberto Micheletti, sworn in as caretaker president after leftist President Manuel Zelaya was toppled by the army on Sunday, announced the mission after Zelaya traveled to New York and Washington to address the United Nations and Organization of American States.

Brian Himmelsteib

Watch Officer

S/ES-O

U.S. Department of State

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2009 8:26 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'Russorv@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

Pls send a letter of congrats and good wishes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Marty Torrey
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 15 22:15:52 2009
Subject:

'Madam Secretary Alice',
is retiring as

B6

Marty

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 3:28 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

[redacted] Does GOH see the food coming in and know we are delivering? B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:24:16 2009
Subject: Fw: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

Draft of cable going to preval in advance of our visit.

[redacted] I don't know what would take so long to begin. B5

Cdm

From: Curtis, Meghann A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Thu Jul 02 14:59:03 2009
Subject: FW: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

Were you looking at this draft? (attached and pasted below). This incorporates your changes.

His Excellency Rene Préval

President of the Republic of Haiti

Port-au-Prince

Page Denied

B5

Cheryl Mills

From: Curtis, Meghann A
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 1:28 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: 2009-07-01 Draft letter to GOh re 57m pledge (4)

Nora,

Finalized letter is attached.

Cheryl,

Barring any objections from you we will get this out today.

Meghann

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 4:41 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What does this mean?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:32:31 2009
Subject: Fw: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:46:10 2009
Subject: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

(SBU) Embassy Amman reports a royal decree was issued naming HRH Prince Hussein Ben Abdullah as Crown Prince as of today.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 8:00 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Uribe tonite

Did he ask to speak to me? I didn't reach out to him but I'm willing to talk once I get points. Is Zelaya confirmed for tomorrow? I didn't see him on schedule so did it just happen?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 19:57:33 2009
Subject: Uribe tonite

Colombian president alvaro uribe just called through ops. Said he's available anytime tonite to talk. Am trying to track down points for you from tom/jake but since u r seeing zelaya tomorrow, I assume u want to do this tonite?

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 9:16 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 08:49:09 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

See b/l

From: Balderston, Kris M
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] B6
Sent: Sat Jul 11 08:42:06 2009
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

We spoke to PIR yesterday and we are going to talk to the WP on monday. PIR has the details.

[redacted] B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Reines, Philippe I; 'AmbEFBagley [redacted] Balderston, Kris M
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:11:01 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

See b/l - article next week need philipe (see the ps)

From: Villarreal, Jose [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 05:40:58 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Fyi - Lots of catch up to do, but Secretary's team is being viewed as bringing order to process...xo jose

Ps this guy is widely read among Chinese and expats...

From: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
To: Shanghai Expo Working Group ; Villarreal, Jose
Sent: Fri Jul 10 23:36:03 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Just fyi

From: [redacted] B6
To: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
Sent: Sat Jul 11 11:32:05 2009
Subject: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

A US Expo 2010 pavilion, after all. <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350>

http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350

Filed under:Expo 2010 <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=33> , Expo 2010 - US Pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , US Politics <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=28> — posted by Adam on July 11, 2009 @ 7:00 am

Coming at the end of a tumultuous week in China marked by earthquakes, riots, and continued economic uncertainty, the news that the US had finally confirmed that its participation in Expo 2010 <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> (a/k/a, next year's world fair) didn't seem particularly significant. And, with much of Shanghai's foreign correspondent corps preoccupied with more pressing news elsewhere in China, it didn't receive much coverage. Fair enough, I think. However, insofar as the US pavilion – or lack thereof – had become an increasingly thorny diplomatic issue between China and the United States, the signing ceremony was an important signal that some kind of resolution was at hand.

I've been following the mostly sad saga of the US pavilion for several months now, both on Shanghai Scrap <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , and elsewhere <http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/200904u/shanghai-expo-2010> . And, until two weeks ago, there was absolutely no reason to believe that a participation agreement would ever be signed. Shanghai Expo, Inc. <http://usapavilion2010.com/> , a non-profit authorized by the US State Department to fund-raise, design, build, and operate a US pavilion, had failed to raise sufficient funds to break ground. At yesterday's signing ceremony, Beatrice Camp, the US Consul General in Shanghai blamed the underwhelming fundraising on the global economic crisis <http://shanghai.usembassy-china.org.cn/071009.html> – a point that was picked up by the Chinese media <http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2009-07/10/content_11685418.htm> . No doubt, the economic crisis played a role, but as Camp and others close to the US effort surely know, the other important factor was the inexperienced and increasingly erratic trio running the non-profit Shanghai Expo, Inc. As recently as last month, one of its members – Frank Lavin, a former US ambassador to Singapore and Undersecretary of Commerce – issued a press release falsely claiming that the US Congress had "adopted" a resolution in support of the US pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3106> . This incident, and others like it, succeeded in alienating potential donors (including major US corporations with operations in China), vast swaths of the US expatriate business community in Shanghai, and – most crucially – members of the Shanghai government. For those of us following the events, the question was no longer "How badly will the US damage its relationship with China if it doesn't participate?" but instead became "What's worse for US-China relations? Turning down Shanghai's Expo invite or continuing the current, incompetent effort?"

The July 1 appointment of Jose Villarreal as Commissioner General to the US pavilion effort seems to have changed the equation. A lawyer with ties to the Clintons, Secretary of State Clinton empowered him to oversee the US effort. He didn't waste any time, either, arriving in Shanghai on Monday, and managing to pull of the signing on Friday. One person familiar with Villarreal's role described it as the arrival of an "adult" to a chaotic situation that badly needed one. Indeed, if there's one telling detail to the handful of official and press accounts of yesterday's signing, it's the total absence of the Shanghai Expo, Inc. members from the official comments and photos (US Consulate coverage here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> ; Shanghai government account here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm>), none of whom live or work in Shanghai, anyway. If that was a conscious decision, it was a wise one.

A final point. As Villarreal conceded, the US effort must still raise roughly half of its $61 million budget. I suspect that – with Villarreal in charge of the effort – reluctant wallets will become less so. Regardless, though, this raises a still unresolved question: namely, what are the actual fundraising rules for the US pavilion effort? Back in 2007, the State Department indicated that it would not sign a participation agreement with Expo 2010, Inc until all funds were raised for a pavilion. Apparently, that ill-conceived policy has changed. I say apparently because, up until now, the State Department has refused to release the "action plan" that governs fundraising and other rules related to the US pavilion in Shanghai. As a result of this official intransigence, a Freedom of Information Act request has been filed to obtain this document(s?) <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3103> . Why the secrecy? It's anybody's guess, and according to the State Department's FOIA office, we can keep guessing until Thanksgiving – it's around then that they'll get around to releasing the documents.

[UPDATE: Just received notice that groundbreaking for the US pavilion will be on July 17 at 10:30 AM. Secretary of Commerce Gary Locke will be present.]

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:23 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Speech

Is there a telepromter I can use tomorrow?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:30 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

Check w Holbrooke- [redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:15:59 2009
Subject: Fw: FW: 8:30 draft

Jim K. sent in some edits in the middle of the night -- strictly designed to trim/improve flow. Think it's worth you flipping through to see if any strike you as worth making.

From: Jim Kennedy [redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Jul 15 03:24:14 2009
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

OK. Went through it. It's not too much shorter, but I think it's tighter here and there. Made slight change at end to try to tie in with how it started to bring full circle.

An area where you could save time and space (but I didn't try to change it) would be to shorten the parts where you talk about Israel and Palestine and about Iraq and Afghanistan - it seems to me that those are tactical discussions of an existing issue, and somewhat removed from the more abstract and strategic policy outline she's otherwise aiming at here.

By the way, the phrase "global network of diverse partnerships" seems to be absent now. I kind of liked it on several levels, but I presume it met some objection along the way...

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 8:02 PM, Jim Kennedy <[redacted]> wrote: B6

so far have gotten through page nine and wanted to send what i have. will do more in next few hours tonight.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 6:44 PM, Jim Kennedy [redacted] wrote:

I will do so. I'm good at shrinking without cutting. Thnx.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 5:39 PM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

Hi Jim,

We need you to give this one more pass-through – if you can find places to cut and clarify, that would be great. Can you send something tonight your time?

From: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: 8:30 draft

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 6:14 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Ok to set up call. What's his first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 17:33:41 2009
Subject: Spanish fm

Spanish FM Moratinos has requested to speak with you today. Tom can give u verbal download but below are basic points. Let me know if u want us to set up call
Points:
- We condemn the effort to remove Zelaya from office.

- We are working within the OAS and with our regional partners to resolve this situation quickly and in a manner that restores democratic order.

- We appreciate the role Spain played in winning quick EU condemnation of the coup attempt.

- Our work is not done. Rebuilding trust among competing political institutions and reducing polarization within Honduras will take a lot of work. We hope Spain will work with us and the OAS to ensure a restoration of democratic order that will allow Honduras to carry through with it electoral timetable (presidential vote scheduled for November)

Note from tom:
Aside from the statements issued by the President and the Secretary, we have been working in the OAS Permanent Council fashioning a consensus resolution mapping out a path forward, reaching out to regional partners (I have spoken twice with Salvadoran President Funes, and our Ambassadors have been working with other Central American leaders), and working within Honduras to limit the damage to Honduras institutions.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 10:56 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:40:15 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:35:16 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

What does Jim say? Tom Shannon? Dan Restrepo?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 5:32 PM
To: 'wsherman [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Recovery

Wendy--

Thanks for your good wishes. I am finally on the mend and starting physical therapy. One word of advice--watch where you step!

I was very excited by the announced merger w Sandy's firm which sounds like a win-win for all.

I miss seeing you and hope we can remedy that soon. Happy 4th!

----- Original Message -----
From: Wendy Sherman [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Thu Jun 18 17:56:31 2009
Subject: Recovery

Hope you came through the surgery well and will somehow find five minutes for recovery! Feel better soon. Wendy

Wendy R. Sherman
Principal
The Albright Group LLC
Albright Capital Management

[redacted]

sent from Blackberry

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 9:06 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Big thinkers lunch for wed

That is a huge group. There is no way to make them all feel important and listened to. Should we split group in half and have two lunches?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:03:36 2009
Subject: Big thinkers lunch for wed

This would be list. What do u think? Can we start inviting people?

B5

[redacted]

[redacted] (not a usual suspect for us, but very smart)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 11:53 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Ok that's when I will go. Is that certain?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:51:06 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

2pm is now no longer an option. Only option is 5pm wheels up.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 11:21:52 2009
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Oh, dear. I guess I'd rather leave at 2 but does that present problems I don't know about?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 10:43:55 2009
Subject: Plane delay

The g3 is delayed till 5pm wheels up
There is a lear available at 2pm with 6 seats.
Do u want to just leave at 5?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 9:23 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Aliyev call

I can do it before noon tomorrow or on Sunday.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 20:56:07 2009
Subject: Aliyev call

Ops just faxed a call sheet for Aliyev to house. Steinberg will be traveling to Baku on July 10, and Jim/Jake/phil think the call from you will help to pave the way for his trip. There is an info memo on latest developments, a cable summary, and a bio. You ok with proceeding with setting up call? We can do over next few days if u r ok.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 5, 2009 8:54 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: OAS Update

Can you talk? What time is best?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:41:32 2009
Subject: Fw: OAS.Update

I just spoke to tom (see b/l)..

He thinks for the moment a call by you is not indicated - first, Zeleya was unpersuadable by 2am by even his closet allies and second, tom said folks appear to stepping away from him.

He intends to fly mid-day so there will be plenty of time for more discussion over next several hours.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 07:00:22 2009
Subject: OAS Update

Update:

-- Resolution suspending Honduras passed with a roll call vote, requested by the Caribbeans, 33-0. Honduras did not vote.

-- Strong push by key countries, including Mexico and Costa Rica, to try to convince Zelaya not to return today.

-- Zelaya seems intent on returning. If no other presidents travel with him, Insulza feels under an obligation to accompany.

-- I am touching bases now to figure out what latest state of play is; I think we could have a busy Sunday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2009 8:44 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

I need time on Thursday or Friday to see Holbrooke.

[redacted] B6

Mark Hyman is in town Wed and Thurs and I'd like to see him.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 11:08 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

I am nearly done w my editing (along w Bill's). Pls send me all the commerts you just listed so I can review.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 12 09:20:35 2009
Subject: Speech

Where are you on it - will you have a revised version today to give direction of your desires?

At moment we have add'l comments in from a derek, sandy, george (on mep), dennis r, ams and we are holding off doing a new draft until we get a sense from you about your edits.

Cdm

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2009 7:27 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman admitted to L.A. hospital

Do you know where my pt instruction sheets are?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Jul 01 18:41:33 2009
Subject: Fw: U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman admitted to L.A. hospital

---

From: Brown, Hector R
To: NEWS-Mahogany
Sent: Wed Jul 01 18:39:37 2009
Subject: U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman admitted to L.A. hospital

LOS ANGELES (Reuters) - Congressman Henry Waxman, the powerful chairman the U.S. House of Representatives Energy and Commerce Committee, has been hospitalized in Los Angeles for an undisclosed ailment, a spokeswoman said. The 69-year-old veteran Democrat from Southern California was not feeling well on Tuesday and was admitted to Cedars-Sinai Medical Center for "routine testing," the spokeswoman, Karen Lightfoot said. "He's feeling much better now," Lightfoot said. She declined to disclose the nature of his ailment or how long he is expected to remain in the hospital. Waxman, a member of Congress since 1975, was a leading co-sponsor of landmark climate change legislation passed by the House on Friday.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 6:06 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What's Hussein like?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 18:00:51 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

Isn't a surprise since 5 years ago he removed his brother as official crown prince.
Basically he's not honoring his fathers dying wish but since constitution says it should be son (with brother as option) he has clear authority to do it. I personally think this shows confidence in his position as he's not worried about an outcry from his fathers loyalists .

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:41:23 2009
Subject: Re: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

What does this mean?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 16:32:31 2009
Subject: Fw: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Thu Jul 02 15:46:10 2009
Subject: King Abdullah names Prince Hussein Crown Prince

(SBU) Embassy Amman reports a royal decree was issued naming HRH Prince Hussein Ben Abdullah as Crown Prince as of today.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:22 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: fax issues

My fax is broken! So Huma is coming to print for me. I'm trying to conference you and Jake to discuss. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:19:59 2009
Subject: fax issues

You should have received two faxes stacked together accidentally. The one you want says "9 pm" on the top left. I am sending the remaining pages (about 5-6) now. The one that says 8:15 pm is the wrong version so ignore it.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 3, 2009 11:08 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok if can be done before 1.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 03 10:43:37 2009
Subject:

Nita lowey called for you.
Also sending thru a nakasone call sheet. It suggests that you call after the IAEA Board formally acclaims Amano's election. The meeting to do that is going on now in Vienna, so we will let you know after the election is formally acclaimed so call can be scheduled if ok with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 3, 2009 11:17 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can you set up Nakasone call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 03 10:43:37 2009
Subject:

Nita lowey called for you.
Also sending thru a nakasone call sheet. It suggests that you call after the IAEA Board formally acclaims Amano's election. The meeting to do that is going on now in Vienna, so we will let you know after the election is formally acclaimed so call can be scheduled if ok with you.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 8:05 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

See youi here.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 19:49:55 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Will do.
I'm on my way, was going to come to house to help you.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 28 19:43:40 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Pls be sure Tom Shannon sees the memcon of call. Am I meeting you at the airport?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 18:46:04 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Its miguel angel moratinos
They r checking if he's available now

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 28 18:14:21 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Ok to set up call. What's his first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 17:33:41 2009
Subject: Spanish fm

Spanish FM Moratinos has requested to speak with you today. Tom can give u verbal download but below are basic points. Let me know if u want us to set up call

Points:
- We condemn the effort to remove Zelaya from office.

- We are working within the OAS and with our regional partners to resolve this situation quickly and in a manner that restores democratic order.

- We appreciate the role Spain played in winning quick EU condemnation of the coup attempt.

- Our work is not done. Rebuilding trust among competing political institutions and reducing polarization within Honduras will take a lot of work. We hope Spain will work with us and the OAS to ensure a restoration of democratic order that will allow Honduras to carry through with it electoral timetable (presidential vote scheduled for November)

Note from tom:
Aside from the statements issued by the President and the Secretary, we have been working in the OAS Permanent Council fashioning a consensus resolution mapping out a path forward, reaching out to regional partners (I have spoken twice with Salvadoran President Funes, and our Ambassadors have been working with other Central American leaders), and working within Honduras to limit the damage to Honduras institutions.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 12:45 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Joe DiMento

Do either of you have his email or cell info?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:24 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: fax issues

I think Huma is on the way. Thx. Let's go ahead abd talk now, as soon as ops gets it ready

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:22:03 2009
Subject: RE: fax issues

Do you want me just to drive it over to you? I could be there in 10 minutes.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:22 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: fax issues

My fax is broken! So Huma is coming to print for me. I'm trying to conference you and Jake to discuss. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:19:59 2009
Subject: fax issues

You should have received two faxes stacked together accidentally. The one you want says "9 pm" on the top left. I am sending the remaining pages (about 5-6) now. The one that says 8:15 pm is the wrong version so ignore it.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 7:29 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls scheduled

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 29 19:02:53 2009
Subject: RE: Calls scheduled

Yes - have a lot to discuss - had tried you at home and spoke to your mom around 6:45pm.

I will call you after 8:30pm

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 6:27 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Calls scheduled

Thx. Can we talk tonight?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 29 17:30:55 2009
Subject: Calls scheduled

Jeremy had followed up; Leon is calling Eikenberry first in am and then has a scheduled call with Karzi.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 8:43 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

I'm willing to see him whenever.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 04:03:02 2009
Subject: Fw: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 23:47:57 2009
Subject: Re: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

They are now saying that Zelaya doesn't want to travel tomorrow because wants to participate (remotely) in the burial ceremony on Monday for those who died in violence at the airport today. He said he will return to Washington on Tuesday in time to meet in the late afternoon. They are asking if it would be possible to have the meeting sometime on Tuesday late afternoon instead.

Courtney

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Beale, Courtney A Kramer; Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 23:14:40 2009
Subject: Re: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

She previously had indicated noon or later for a meeting

----- Original Message -----
From: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
To: Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 22:54:40 2009
Subject: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

Huma and Lona,

Sorry for the late email, but Central America Office Director Christopher Webster just called me to inquire about a possible meeting between Zelaya and the Secretary tomorrow. He said they are trying to convince Zelaya to return from San Salvador to the U.S. and that A/S Shannon indicated the Secretary had said that she would be willing to meet with him tomorrow. They were wondering if they could give Zelaya a window of time for a meeting (see email below from DCM in Sal Salvador). Please advise.

Thanks,
Courtney
-----Original Message-----
From: Webster, Christopher W
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:47 PM
To: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

-----Original Message-----
From: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:36 PM
To: Webster, Christopher W
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

The plan is for Hugo Martinez to pull Zelaya aside for me to be able to urge that he get to washdc for mtg with S tomorrow. Please tell me if there is a time window for that mtg. Tom Shannon sais earlier that it was in the afternoon.

----- Original Message -----
From: Webster, Christopher W
To: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sun Jul 05 20:21:05 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

If you can find out whether Zelaya will be returning to Washington, that would be very helpful.

Chris

-----Original Message-----
From: Webster, Christopher W
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:20 PM
To: Blau, Robert I
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:19 PM
To: Webster, Christopher W; Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; [redacted] WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; [redacted] Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); [redacted]; Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; [redacted]; Benning, Douglass R; [redacted] Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela

B6

Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua);
[redacted];
'jesse.s.curtis [redacted] 'donkachris [redacted]; ' [redacted] Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Zelaya just landed in El SAlvador.

----- Original Message -----
From: Webster, Christopher W
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; [redacted]; WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, [redacted] Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); [redacted] Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; [redacted]; Benning, Douglass R; [redacted] Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela; Blau, Robert I; Laidlaw, Philip G; Llorens, Hugo; Benning, Douglass R
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); [redacted] Krzywda, David A (Managua); [redacted] 'jesse.s.curtis [redacted] <jesse.s.curtis [redacted]; 'donkachris [redacted] <donkachris [redacted]; Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); [redacted] Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sun Jul 05 20:08:19 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Jesse:

Did the Nicaraguans indicate where Zelaya is headed? To San Salvador or back to Washington?

I have copied Embassy San Salvador's Charge Bob Blau and Political Counselor Phil Laidlaw. I have also copied Ambassador Llorens and Tegucigalpa's Acting DCM Douglass Benning (Simon Henshaw is on leave).

Thanks,

Chris

-----Original Message-----
From: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua)
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:03 PM
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Webster, Christopher W; Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; [redacted] WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; [redacted] Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); [redacted] Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; [redacted] Benning, Douglass R; [redacted] Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela

Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); Krzywda, David A (Managua); 'jesse.s.curtis 'donkachris Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD) Blau, Robert I B6
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

At 1945, local NIC media aired footage from MGA airport of Zelaya getting back on plane. It appeared that FM Rodas and Ven FM Maduro were also in departing party.

NIC president Ortega put Z on plane at airport, but did not go with him.

Footage was recent, if not live. perhaps no more than 20 minutes old.
Jesse

----- Original Message -----
From: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua)
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Webster, Christopher W; Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; ; Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); ; Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; ' ; Benning, Douglass R; Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela B6
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); Krzywda, David A (Managua); 'jesse.s.curtis <jesse.s.curtis 'donkachris <donkachris Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); Blau, Robert I B6
Sent: Sun Jul 05 18:37:19 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #11 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 4

Looping other members of SITREP spam -- Managua understands that plane with ousted HOND President Zelaya left WDC at approx 1500 WDC time. Also understand that plane is expected in Managua at approx 1924 local. Understand that a separate plane with Argentine Pres Kirchner and Ecuadorian Pres. Correa also left WDC this afternoon en route to El Salvador.

NEW INFORMATION

About 45 minutes ago, Telesur had images of apparent pro-Zelaya supporters at the airport fence and trying to pull it down. Security forces inside the airport compound re-deployed to prevent a breach. It seems they did use white smoke or gas to deter protestor action. Telesur also reported that shots were fired into the assembled crowd and that one or two people were shot and killed (no names or confirmation). Images of ambulances in crowd were visible.

It seems now that the fence has been breached and a handful of pro-Zelaya supporters move in and out of airport area to pick up rocks and retreat (there is open, clear area inside fence, possible construction). Security forces have taken up positions on tarmac, presumably to prevent further incursion.

With respect to Micheleti assertion that NIC troops have mobilized near the border, our NIC contacts, both in GON and private citizens indicate that there has been NO/NO unusual NIC mil activity at border -- Las Manos or El Espino. However, as of 1900 Saturday, border contacts at El Espino report that HOND military reinforced its presence by about 70 personnel, who remain at El Espino. We were told this happened at Las Manos as well, but have not yet been able to confirm with our sources at that border location.

Will continue to monitor and observe.

Jesse

Jesse Curtis
Acting Counselor, Political Section
U.S. Embassy Managua, Nicaragua
tel: 011-505-2-252-7128/7495

Cell [redacted] B6
Email: CurtisJS@state.gov
http://nicaragua.usembassy.gov <http://www.state.gov/> This message is unclassified per E.O. 12958

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 7:18 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 07:09:55 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Read through - nice complement to jose's induction to the process

---

From: Villarreal, Jose [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 11 05:40:58 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Fyi - Lots of catch up to do, but Secretary's team is being viewed as bringing order to process...xo jose

Ps this guy is widely read among Chinese and expats...

From: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
To: Shanghai Expo Working Group ; Villarreal, Jose
Sent: Fri Jul 10 23:36:03 2009
Subject: Fw: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

Just fyi

From: [redacted] B6
To: Cooney, Thomas E (Shanghai)
Sent: Sat Jul 11 11:32:05 2009
Subject: From Adam Minter's Shanghai Scrap blog

A US Expo 2010 pavilion, after all. <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350>

http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3350

Filed under:Expo 2010 <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=33> , Expo 2010 - US Pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , US Politics <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=28> — posted by Adam on July 11, 2009 @ 7:00 am

Coming at the end of a tumultuous week in China marked by earthquakes, riots, and continued economic uncertainty, the news that the US had finally confirmed that its participation in Expo 2010 <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> (a/k/a, next year's world fair) didn't seem particularly significant. And, with much of Shanghai's foreign correspondent corps preoccupied with more pressing news elsewhere in China, it didn't receive much coverage. Fair enough, I think. However, insofar as the US pavilion – or lack thereof – had become an increasingly thorny diplomatic issue between China and the United States, the signing ceremony was an important signal that some kind of resolution was at hand.

I've been following the mostly sad saga of the US pavilion for several months now, both on Shanghai Scrap <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?cat=38> , and elsewhere <http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/200904u/shanghai-expo-2010> . And, until two weeks ago, there was absolutely no reason to believe that a participation agreement would ever be signed. Shanghai Expo, Inc. <http://usapavilion2010.com/> , a non-profit authorized by the US State Department to fund-raise, design, build, and operate a US pavilion, had failed to raise sufficient funds to break ground. At yesterday's signing ceremony, Beatrice Camp, the US Consul General in Shanghai blamed the underwhelming fundraising on the global economic crisis <http://shanghai.usembassy-china.org.cn/071009.html> – a point that was picked up by the Chinese media <http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2009-07/10/content_11685418.htm> . No doubt, the economic crisis played a role, but as Camp and others close to the US effort surely know, the other important factor was the inexperienced and increasingly erratic trio running the non-profit Shanghai Expo, Inc. As recently as last month, one of its members – Frank Lavin, a former US ambassador to Singapore and Undersecretary of Commerce – issued a press release falsely claiming that the US Congress had "adopted" a resolution in support of the US pavilion <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3106> . This incident, and others like it, succeeded in alienating potential donors (including major US corporations with operations in China), vast swaths of the US expatriate business community in Shanghai, and – most crucially – members of the Shanghai government. For those of us following the events, the question was no longer "How badly will the US damage its relationship with China if it doesn't participate?" but instead became "What's worse for US-China relations? Turning down Shanghai's Expo invite or continuing the current, incompetent effort?"

The July 1 appointment of Jose Villarreal as Commissioner General to the US pavilion effort seems to have changed the equation. A lawyer with ties to the Clintons, Secretary of State Clinton empowered him to oversee the US effort. He didn't waste any time, either, arriving in Shanghai on Monday, and managing to pull of the signing on Friday. One person familiar with Villarreal's role described it as the arrival of an "adult" to a chaotic situation that badly needed one. Indeed, if there's one telling detail to the handful of official and press accounts of yesterday's signing, it's the total absence of the Shanghai Expo, Inc. members from the official comments and photos (US Consulate coverage here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm> ; Shanghai government account here <http://en.expo2010.cn/a/20090710/000011.htm>), none of whom live or work in Shanghai, anyway. If that was a conscious decision, it was a wise one.

A final point. As Villarreal conceded, the US effort must still raise roughly half of its $61 million budget. I suspect that – with Villarreal in charge of the effort – reluctant wallets will become less so. Regardless, though, this raises a still unresolved question: namely, what are the actual fundraising rules for the US pavilion effort? Back in 2007, the State Department indicated that it would not sign a participation agreement with Expo 2010, Inc until all funds were raised for a pavilion. Apparently, that ill-conceived policy has changed. I say apparently because, up until now, the State Department has refused to release the "action plan" that governs fundraising and other rules related to the US pavilion in Shanghai. As a result of this official intransigence, a Freedom of Information Act request has been filed to obtain this

document(s?) <http://shanghaiscrap.com/?p=3103> . Why the secrecy? It's anybody's guess, and according to the State Department's FOIA office, we can keep guessing until Thanksgiving – it's around then that they'll get around to releasing the documents.

[UPDATE: Just received notice that groundbreaking for the US pavilion will be on July 17 at 10:30 AM. Secretary of Commerce Gary Locke will be present.]

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:40 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Back in DC

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Roach, Stephen [redacted] B6
To: H
Cc: ValmoroLJ@state.gov <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 08 10:45:51 2009
Subject: Re: Back in DC

Dear Secretary Clinton,

Your assistant, Lona Valmoro, and I have been exchanging emails on trying to find a time to meet in Washington on July 30. I am hopeful something can be worked out. In the meantime, I wanted to take you up on your offer below and provide you with a few thoughts on China prior to the upcoming SED on July 27-28. I send you this note from Beijing (midway through a four-day visit) via Blackberry -- so apologies for any typos.

1. Mounting signs of social instability have intensified China's growth imperatives. Beijing insiders are very concerned about the Xinjiang uprising; Hu Jintao's pre-G-8 exit validates those concerns. Coming on the heels of last year's problems in Tibet, social instability can no longer be dismissed as an isolated occurrence. In both cases, my sources claim growth shortfalls have exacerbated ethnic disturbances. China's recent fiscal stimulus -- especially infrastructure initiatives in the West -- attempts to address this. Growth has long been modern China's antidote to the risks of social instability.

2. A massive external demand shock dilutes the growth antidote that China needs to contain social instability. In fact, the global recession adds a new risk factor to China's social instability equation. The government has confirmed reports of over 20 million layoffs of migrant workers in export-intensive Guangdong Province -- a direct outgrowth of the collapse of Chinese exports over the past year (from growth of +25% in mid-2008 to a contraction of -25% in May 2009). Driven by a record capitulation of the American consumer -- real US consumption down by -1.8% over the past four quarters (a 58-year record plunge) -- China's biggest source of end-market export demand has been hit with an extraordinary shock. To the extent there is more to come on this count -- and that remains my best guess, although with a less dramatic US consumption adjustment than we saw over the past year -- external demand pressures will continue to undermine China's growth imperatives and feed heightened concerns over social instability.

3. China's stimulus package is a "quick fix" that can only exacerbate its already worrisome structural imbalances. The focus of the stimulus is on its two most unbalanced sectors -- fixed investment and exports, which collectively account for about 80% of Chinese GDP. Fully 72% of the RMB4 trillion fiscal initiative announced last November goes to infrastructure. And there are aggressive incentives directed at exporters (i.e., tax rebates, "buy China" procurement incentives, SME credit support, etc.). Moreover, there has been a huge push of State-directed bank lending -- the full-year 2009 lending target was hit in mid-April! This latter initiative underscores the risks of deteriorating loan quality and associated banking problems down the road. Meanwhile, despite public claims to the contrary, very little has been done to stimulate the one sector in China that needs it the most -- internal private consumption. Social safety net efforts are still puny -- the recent healthcare plan translates into US$30 per person for three years and the National Social Security Fund only has enough assets to provide about $90 of lifetime social security benefits per worker! This forces fear-driven -- or precautionary -- saving that, in turn, inhibits the consumer-led impetus a Chinese rebalancing urgently needs.

4. All this points to an asymmetrical global rebalancing -- a very worrisome prognosis for a post-crisis world. Despite all if our problems, the US is actually tempering its greatest macro imbalance -- excess consumption. At the same time, China is unfortunately upping the ante on its two most unbalanced sectors -- investment and exports. Sadly, this could set up a still unbalanced global economy for another serious accident in the not-so-distant future.

Sorry to have rambled so much. There is a good deal more to each of the points above -- but wanted to give you the highlights ahead of the SED. Good luck with that -- and with all else that seems to be going on around the world. Hope to see you on the 30th.

Best regards,

Stephen S. Roach
Chairman
Morgan Stanley Asia

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Roach, Stephen (FM)
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Jul 03 04:58:29 2009
Subject: Re: Back in DC

Dear Stephen,

Delighted to hear from and about you. I'm looking forward to seeing you when you're in DC and I'm copying my assistant, Lona Valmoro, so she can work w you to schedule a visit. Your timing is impeccable since I will be co-chairing w Tim Geithner the first Strategic and Economic Dialogue w the Chinese on July 27-28 in DC. So if you have any thoughts you want to share before our meeting, pls pass them on.

I hope all is well w you and yours. Happy 4th! All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Roach, Stephen

B6

To: H2
Cc: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 05:32:50 2009
Subject: Back in DC

Dear Secretary Clinton,

Although I regretfully missed seeing you on your swing through Beijing earlier this year, I will be back in Washington on July 30. Would love the opportunity to meet with you briefly and give you up update on my thoughts/ insights into China and the rest of the region. The story is not quite as neat as it appears on the surface. Despite all the hype, this region remains an export machine that should struggle in the aftermath of the crisis -- with important implications for many of the global economic issues you are facing. I have had extensive meetings throughout the region over the past few months and would welcome the opportunity to provide you with a first hand assessment.

One other note: I will be publishing a book in Sept/ Oct, "The Next Asia", which digs beneath the surface of many of the macro issues the region faces. It is generally constructive on the Asian outlook but lays out a number of critical

milestones that must be hit to validate the hopes and dreams of the "Asia Century." I have asked the publisher (Wiley) to send you an advance copy of the manuscript in the hope you or your staff might find it of interest.

Hope to hear back from you on the feasibility of meeting later this month.

Best regards,

Stephen S. Roach
Chairman
Morgan Stanley Asia

B6

NOTICE: If received in error, please destroy, and notify sender. Sender does not intend to waive confidentiality or privilege. Use of this email is prohibited when received in error. We may monitor and store emails to the extent permitted by applicable law.

NOTICE: If received in error, please destroy, and notify sender. Sender does not intend to waive confidentiality or privilege. Use of this email is prohibited when received in error. We may monitor and store emails to the extent permitted by applicable law.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:50 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Joe DiMento

Pls call Joe and ask him for Judy Rupert's email and phone info. When you have it, pls call or email her to tell her how to contact me.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:03 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 19:02:04 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Good. Just incorporating some line edits from Cheryl and want to make sure I got all of yours in. Have been getting feedback from Podesta et. al. Will have new draft to you shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:00 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Speech

How's it coming?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:04 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

I can't print email so pls fax. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 22:03:43 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

I emailed it to you a few minutes ago. Do you want me to fax it as well?

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 10:03 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Pls let me know when you send--I'm having fax trouble.

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:12:20 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sure. Will send as soon as I can.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:12 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa
Subject: Re: Speech

Should we wait until you send me the cleaned up version?

----- Original Message -----
From: Muscatine, Lissa <MuscatineL@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 21:10:27 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

Sorry about the gaps and typos. Will clean it up. And of course we can chat whenever you're ready.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 9:09 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

It is markedly better. But, as I'm reading thru it, I notice missing words and what appear to be gaps as a result of editing. Could you pls read carefully and could we talk in about 30 minutes?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:27 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

[redacted] B5

[redacted] Thx for ALL your help.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:15:59 2009
Subject: Fw: FW: 8:30 draft

Jim K. sent in some edits in the middle of the night -- strictly designed to trim/improve flow. Think it's worth you flipping through to see if any strike you as worth making.

From: Jim Kennedy <[redacted] B6
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Jul 15 03:24:14 2009
Subject: Re: FW: 8:30 draft

OK. Went through it. It's not too much shorter, but I think it's tighter here and there. Made slight change at end to try to tie in with how it started to bring full circle.

An area where you could save time and space (but I didn't try to change it) would be to shorten the parts where you talk about Israel and Palestine and about Iraq and Afghanistan - it seems to me that those are tactical discussions of an existing issue, and somewhat removed from the more abstract and strategic policy outline she's otherwise aiming at here.

By the way, the phrase "global network of diverse partnerships" seems to be absent now. I kind of liked it on several levels, but I presume it met some objection along the way...

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 8:02 PM, Jim Kennedy [redacted] wrote:

so far have gotten through page nine and wanted to send what i have. will do more in next few hours tonight.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 6:44 PM, Jim Kennedy [redacted] wrote: B6

I will do so. I'm good at shrinking without cutting. Thnx.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2009 at 5:39 PM, Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> wrote:

Hi Jim,

We need you to give this one more pass-through – if you can find places to cut and clarify, that would be great. Can you send something tonight your time?

From: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:33 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: 8:30 draft

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 7:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Pls be sure Tom Shannon sees the memcon of call. Am I meeting you at the airport?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 18:46:04 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Its miguel angel moratinos
They r checking if he's available now

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 28 18:14:21 2009
Subject: Re: Spanish fm

Ok to set up call. What's his first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 28 17:33:41 2009
Subject: Spanish fm

Spanish FM Moratinos has requested to speak with you today. Tom can give u verbal download but below are basic points. Let me know if u want us to set up call
Points:
- We condemn the effort to remove Zelaya from office.

- We are working within the OAS and with our regional partners to resolve this situation quickly and in a manner that restores democratic order.

- We appreciate the role Spain played in winning quick EU condemnation of the coup attempt.

- Our work is not done. Rebuilding trust among competing political institutions and reducing polarization within Honduras will take a lot of work. We hope Spain will work with us and the OAS to ensure a restoration of democratic order that will allow Honduras to carry through with it electoral timetable (presidential vote scheduled for November)

Note from tom:
Aside from the statements issued by the President and the Secretary, we have been working in the OAS Permanent Council fashioning a consensus resolution mapping out a path forward, reaching out to regional partners (I have spoken twice with Salvadoran President Funes, and our Ambassadors have been working with other Central American leaders), and working within Honduras to limit the damage to Honduras institutions.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 6:27 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Calls scheduled

Thx. Can we talk tonight?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 29 17:30:55 2009
Subject: Calls scheduled

Jeremy had followed up; Leon is calling Eikenberry first in am and then has a scheduled call with Karzi.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 6:29 PM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Today's Press conference

How's it playing?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 10:35 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

What does Jim say? Tom Shannon? Dan Restrepo?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2009 5:59 PM
To: 'bam@mikulski.senate.gov'
Subject: Re: Sorry to hear

Barb--Thanks, my dear friend, for your good wishes. B6
Let's try again for dinner soon. Happy 4th!! All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Mikulski, BAM (Mikulski) <BAM@Mikulski.senate.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jun 18 19:29:34 2009
Subject: Sorry to hear

Do you still want us to come. Monday.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 11:22 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Plane delay

Oh, dear. I guess I'd rather leave at 2 but does that present problems I don't know about?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 10:43:55 2009
Subject: Plane delay

The g3 is delayed till 5pm wheels up
There is a lear available at 2pm with 6 seats.
Do u want to just leave at 5?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 4, 2009 10:42 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: OAS Update

Use this to emphasize to Denis the importance of OAS position. Do you know if [redacted] would take it again? B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 04 19:02:18 2009
Subject: Fw: OAS Update

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Jul 04 18:06:33 2009
Subject: OAS Update

Cheryl:

The OASGA still has not started. The Argentine President has arrived, but Zelaya has not.

I am working this event from my home. [redacted] We have Hector Morales, Craig Kelly, and Dan Restrepo at OAS Headquarters. B6

As I noted earlier, we will almost certainly have a resolution that suspends Honduras. There has been some talk about using the resolution to urge countries to impose economic sanctions. Honduras (Zelaya government) finally said no to that.

We are also working to convince Zelaya that now is not the time to return to Honduras. We are trying to get Insulza to talk with the Argentine president about this. Hector spoke with the Dominican president earlier in the day, who is also weighing in on the side of sanity.

That is all for the moment. This will probably go very late.

Tom

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 7:23 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroJ@state.gov'
Subject: Schedule

I'm seeing Santa at 8:30 so won't take off until closer to 9:30. Is that ok?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2009 3:49 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 15:21:39 2009
Subject: Re:

Sorry yes exactly. [redacted] B6
We have shy tomorrow at 10am too.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Jul 06 10:13:47 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jul 06 09:59:42 2009
Subject:

Hope u had a good weekend [redacted]
[redacted]
I'm sorry I missed you, I'm going to catch shuttle later. [redacted]
[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 6:56 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Palau offended by US positions

Jake---Pls review, do some recon outreach and advise what, if anything, we should do. Thx. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Jul 10 18:36:45 2009
Subject: Palau offended by US positions

Below is the statement of very impressive Palau reps at the end of a session of required meetings on the next phase of free association aid. FYI only, the Palauans were insulted by the nature and depth of the response to their proposal as well as the content and the overall approach. They are even privately questioning the relationship. (This hasn't affected their intent to take the Uighurs.) I will send a few further thoughts.

At the conclusion of yesterday's meeting, the Palau delegation caucused to discuss its reaction to the response of the United States delegation to the proposals that it made in June in Washington D.C., and expanded upon in subsequent communications.

While the Palau delegation has agreed to respond to certain additional questions raised by the United States delegation on specific elements of the Palau proposal, and further has agreed to participate in certain working groups on specific issues, the Palau delegation was deeply disappointed with the response of the United States delegation. The United States delegation did not seriously respond, as required by the Compact, to the operational requirements of the Government of Palau or to Palau's comprehensive and considered plans to achieve economic advancement and self-sufficiency.

In light of the foregoing, the Palau delegation has determined that it must now return to Palau to discuss with President Toribiong the next steps that Palau needs to take regarding the Compact. Thank you.

Jeff

Jeffrey L. Farrow
[redacted] c B6
202-343-2905 o

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:08 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Speech

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 7:11 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Jones

I thought we were moving him to Thursday but schedule I just got says tomorrow. What's status?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 2-page memo on contracting/ [redacted] B5

Wow--that is worse than I know. We need a full court effort and should check out the author.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:09:23 2009
Subject: FW: 2-page memo on contracting [redacted] B5

FYI – I have Caitlin looking at the entire book: see b/l

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Monday, September 07, 2009 12:20 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: 2-page memo on contracting/ [redacted] B5

Jake and Cheryl,

Allison Stanger is a professor at Middlebury who has a book coming out on contracting – she has spent the last 3 years tracking down more data on the full scope of the contracting problem than anyone else and has done the most sophisticated thinking about what we need to do. She has a book coming out from Yale University Press this fall; the attached 2 pager summarizes her best thinking. She was supposed to brief Jack earlier this summer but it got canceled; I'll have her down to talk to QDDR team. But meantime, given that issue is current right now, I thought S might benefit from reading this.

AM

Smart-Sourcing Diplomacy and Development

While most Americans do not know it, the business of foreign policy is increasingly in private hands. In 2000, the State Department spent $1.3 billion on contracts and $102.5 million on grants. By 2008, grant spending had grown to $2.5 billion and contract spending had grown to $5.6 billion. In 2000, USAID spent $0 on grants[1] and $478.6 million on contracts. By 2008, those figures had climbed to $5.2 billion and $3.2 billion, respectively (source: USAspending.gov[2]).

Contracting is still perceived as something peripheral to policy itself, but when contracting and grants comprise 75 percent of State's total budget, as they did in 2008, that is clearly no longer the case.

The privatization trend predates the Bush Administration and is in part an appropriate response to globalization's possibilities and more generally the changing nature of American power. But during the last eight years, laissez-faire outsourcing to execute two ambitious wars carried the day, and oversight and management were often outsourced as well as implementation. Today, the State Department and especially USAID are dependent on contractors, and outsourcing, in turn, has remade the work environment for federal employees. Negative consequences are a private sector that has been granted power without responsibility, a diminished and overtaxed DSS, a demoralized USAID, and looming catastrophe in Iraq and Afghanistan, where reconstruction money first flowed into everyone's pockets except those of ordinary citizens.

What is to be done? Smart-sourcing, not in-sourcing

To align our practices with our interests, the centrality of outsourcing to the contemporary business of government must be properly acknowledged. America's allies today include a range of non-governmental actors, both for-profit and non-profit, who are recipients of taxpayer dollars. While policy was once something that government devised (the "what") and contractors executed (the "how"), that is no longer the case in the information age. Especially in the development realm, success or failure today turns on the how of US strategy, which effectively places a web of non-governmental personnel in key policy-making positions. Since both development and democracy demand the engagement and employment of local populations, turning the clock back and bringing whatever possible back in house would therefore be a cure worse than the disease. We don't need a new prescription for our glasses; we need a new eye chart.

A smart-sourcing approach defines the "how" of policy as a strategic issue and proceeds on two fronts simultaneously. First, smart-sourcing means building capacity for managing what OMB's July guidelines on contracting call the multi-sector workforce. State Department contracting, programming, and information technology functions must be redefined as collaborative ventures, so that State might more effectively orchestrate mission-oriented networks across the public-private divide. Second, smart-sourcing involves identifying tasks that should never have been outsourced and bringing those back in-house. It may be easiest to in-source according to political expediency, but that temptation must be avoided. Clear guidelines for the limits of outsourcing must be delineated so that strategic rather than ad hoc choices can be made. Both reforms have the virtue of directly advancing objectives outlined in OMB Director Peter Orszag's July memoranda.

More specifically, a State Department committed to smart-sourcing should:

- Support and promote OMB efforts to improve contracts and grants data quality, as well as the further development of USA spending.gov to include subcontracts and subgrants. Transparency and accountability are the critical values in a smart-sourcing orientation, and our anti-corruption efforts abroad will have enhanced credibility when we are perceived to be upholding the same values at home.

- Restructure USAID so that it has the capacity to manage its contracts as well as write checks. Capacity involves more than FTE counts. State needs to recruit, train, and retain a workforce of twenty-first century network managers who can ensure quality work at all stages of a given project.

- Rebuild DSS so that State can phase out reliance on armed contractors in war zones. Our current practices blur the line between the legitimate and illegitimate use of force, which is just what terrorists want.

- Craft contracts that employ the foreigners we are trying to help. Sustainable development follows from the bottom-up engagement of local populations, not top-down advice from US experts. A sense of local ownership is often best fostered by foreign nationals written into contracts and grants rather than being directly employed by the USG.

- Consider the lessons of the MCC and the expanded contributions of the NGO community in charting USAID's future. Both devolve decision-making to the local level and involve a less prominent USG footprint. If organizations like the Clinton Global Initiative and the Gates Foundation advance American interests more effectively than traditional direct government intervention, overseas direct investment may be a dated policy instrument.

- Follow the money and identify DOD reconstruction contracts that rightfully belonged in State's domain to bolster the already compelling argument for greater civilian resources. There can be no smart power without smart-sourcing.

Bottom line: The information revolution has rendered big government vs. small government debates beside the point. To paraphrase President Obama's inaugural address, we don't need a big State Department or a small State Department but a State Department that works. A smart-sourcing approach to reform will strengthen the operational link between policy and resources, enabling State to exploit all the networks at its disposal in ways that advance the interests of the United States. Nothing could be more strategic.

---

[1] USAID's problematic accounting practices are currently on full public display at USAspending.gov. No data on grants is provided for FY2000-2006.

[2] Data quality appears extremely variable, especially for USAID, but for general trends, it can suffice. I use 2008 numbers for the comparison, since 2009 aggregate numbers are still a moving target.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re [redacted] B6

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:12:31 2009
Subject: Re: [redacted]

Ill call the senate photographers office first thing in the morning to ask about getting another copy. There is also a small chance we have one in your photo files- ill check that too.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:08:10 2009
Subject: Re [redacted]

Oh, good. Can we get it by 9/14 which is the deadline?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:07:54 2009
Subject: Re: [redacted]

You do. You took it in the senate before you left. You signed it for him. Ill see if we can get another copy.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:01:14 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Do you know if I have any photo w him? If not I need a photo of me to send for a surprise 50th birthday book.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 4:10 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Thank You!

You are so welcome!

----- Original Message -----
From: [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 13 08:21:16 2009
Subject: Thank You!

Almost all of the family has gone!
Thank you so very much for a most amazing day. Your remarks were too kind and of course hysterical - I was relieved that you chose that story - there are so many others...

Thank you for this extraordinary opportunity - again. I will do you proud. I look forward to the challenges but moreso the fun we can have again!
From the bottom of my heart and much love - Capricia Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 12:31 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: update on general jones

Great--thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 12:14:13 2009
Subject: update on general jones

Meeting now confirmed for Thursday at 4:30pm in your office.

Lona Valmoro

Special Assistant to the Secretary of State

202-647-9071 (direct)

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2009 9:42 PM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Nujood Update

That's good news but at variance w what the press reported.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 03 20:15:33 2009
Subject: FW: Nujood Update

Glamour has provided an excellent update and the good news is that Nujood is in private school and attending regularly.

Melanne S. Verveer

Ambassador at Large for Global Women's Issues

U.S. Department of State

2201 C Street NW, Room 6805

Washington, DC 20520

Tel: (202) 647-7283

Fax: (202) 647-7288

VerveerMS@state.gov <mailto:VerveerMS@state.gov>

From: Susan Goodall [mailto B6
Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2009 5:55 PM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Cc: LEIVE, CINDI
Subject: FW: Nujood Update

Dear Melanne,

We've been in contact with Salam Alshehri, the project coordinator of the newly launched Entelak school program Glamour helped create for young girls through Sana'a's Girls World Communication Center. She reports that they are in contact with Nujood who is in fact in third grade at a private school. While Nujood isn't in the demographic for the Entelak Project since she's still young enough for public (free) education, she is the role model for the targeted girls.

And since Nujood is the spirit of the Project, Salam and her colleagues are thinking of ways to include her in the activities and trainings as much as possible.

We've also spoken to Delphine Minoui, the French reporter who first covered Nujood's case and has since co-written Nujood's autobiography. Delphine has taken on the funding of Nujood's private schooling and confirms that Nujood is in fact still attending school. CNN got it wrong apparently, [redacted] B6

[redacted]

Let me know if you'd like to brainstorm about other ways to help support Nujood.

All best,
Susan

Susan Goodall
Editorial Development Director
Glamour
4 Times Square
16th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Phone 212.286.5673
Fax 212.286.7701
[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 6, 2009 1:29 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Devin and I wandered into a corner of the health care debate on Saturday in the Post....

Strobe--Thanks to you and Devin for speaking out so personally and persuasively about the end of life decisions which will face us all. I hope the absurd "death panels" argument can be put to rest so people can have the peace of mind that planning provides. Hope to talk again soon. Best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
To: H2
Sent: Mon Aug 31 21:30:23 2009
Subject: Devin and I wandered into a corner of the health care debate on Saturday in the Post....

Counseling That Helps the Dying Live

By Devin Talbott and Strobe Talbott
Saturday, August 29, 2009

Many opponents of health-care reform have concentrated their fire on a provision in House legislation that would provide for government reimbursement to doctors who offer end-of-life counseling. How our society deals with those confronting death is understandably controversial. Unfortunately, the debate has degenerated into a cacophony of demagoguery and distortion, including invocations of Hitler and fear-mongering about "death panels." As a result of these attacks, a number of senators who are key to determining what bill will emerge from Congress have abandoned support for end-of-life counseling.

Our family's experience this year provided a vivid perspective on the value of that service and the misguidedness of the campaign against it. Brooke Shearer <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/05/19/AR2009051903475.html> -- wife, mother and grandmother -- had been fighting cancer for more than a year. She had undergone major surgery, numerous scans and biopsies, and two debilitating courses of chemotherapy. Her doctors were among the best in their fields. Their expertise did not, however, extend to the fine points of, and latest advances in, pain management.

Brooke was determined to maintain the highest possible quality of her own life and that of her family for whatever time we had together. With that goal in mind, she sought advice and treatment from palliative-care specialists at Washington Home and Community Hospices. Their ingenuity and skill in adjusting her medications significantly alleviated her discomfort in her final months. Working closely with her primary physicians, the hospice staff helped Brooke anticipate the likely course of her disease in a way that allowed her to make decisions and exercise a degree of control over both her own condition and our family's adjustment to it.

When her oncologist concluded that chemotherapy was not working, Brooke put herself fully into the hands of Washington Home as an outpatient. Regular house calls by hospice doctors, nurses and social workers enabled her to stay active on professional projects, spend time with friends and family, and end her life at home, which was a considerable comfort to her and to us.

These, not issues of cost, were the principal considerations for our family. However, controlling the cost of health care -- especially in the last year of life -- is an important part of the current national debate. Therefore it is worth noting that Brooke's treatment in the last stages of her illness was far less expensive than it had been in the previous year.

It has often been said that dying can be a full-time job. That was emphatically not the case with Brooke. Until her final days, she concentrated on living -- a choice that end-of-life counseling helped make possible. Hospice care more than meets the standard of the Hippocratic oath: It not only does no harm -- it does good; it is not about hastening death but enhancing life. If counseling about hospice services and palliative care is a beneficiary of health care reform, many Americans will be as well.

Devin Talbott, along with his brother Adrian, is co-founder of GenerationEngage <http://www.generationengage.org> . Strobe Talbott is president of the Brookings Institution <http://www.brookings.edu/> .

==========

Strobe Talbott

President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036

202.797.6200



B6

==========

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 5, 2009 2:40 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Terry Murray

Do either of you have numbers for her? She's the President of the Mass State Senate and was a big supporter of mine during the primaries.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 9:28 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call

Not today. And maybe on car ride tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Sep 07 09:17:15 2009
Subject: Call

Also, steinmeir asking to talk to u about afghanistan either today between 3:30 and 4. Or tomorrow afternoon.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 2:07 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Fax From Unknown Sender - (Fax Number Unavailable)
Attachments: 7138b5375f844ffc80fa61449bef1045.pdf

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Oscar Flores [redacted] B6
To: H2
Sent: Tue Sep 08 13:54:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Fax From Unknown Sender - (Fax Number Unavailable)

Info on local farm programs. Including websites.
Oscar

----- Original Message -----
From: Justin Cooper
To: Oscar Flores
Sent: Tue Sep 08 13:51:33 2009
Subject: Fw: Fax From Unknown Sender - (Fax Number Unavailable)

----- Original Message -----
From: emessaging@ipfax.venali.net <emessaging@ipfax.venali.net>
To: Justin Cooper
Sent: Tue Sep 08 13:51:37 2009
Subject: Fax From Unknown Sender - (Fax Number Unavailable)

From: Venali Internet Fax Services
Subject: Fax from Unknown Sender - (Fax Number Unavailable)

Thank you for using Venali's Internet Fax Service. You have received a 1 page fax.

If you have any questions or problems opening this document, please visit http://support.venali.com/ to reference informational guides and helpful troubleshooting procedures.

With your User ID and Password, you can also access your Internet Fax account information and settings through the Venali Account Management Center at http://www.venali.com/.

If you require additional assistance, please contact the Venali Support Team at support@venali.com.

Venali, Inc.

Innovative eMessaging Solutions
http://www.venali.com

THIS EMAIL ADDRESS IS NON-RETURNABLE AND WILL NOT ACCOMMODATE REPLIES

NOTE: If you believe that this is an unsolicited fax, please forward this message, including all attachments, to reportspam@venali.com.

{7138b537-5f84-4ffc-80fa-61449bef1045} (16468629149)

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 8:23 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Possible Rieser Call on Foreign Ops Bill

Am I supposed to do this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 05 07:37:14 2009
Subject: Fw: Possible Rieser Call on Foreign Ops Bill

Fyi

From: Polt, Michael C
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Verma, Richard R; Rademacher, Paul R; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Hyde, Dana; Kennedy, Patrick F; Greene, Richard L
Sent: Fri Sep 04 18:07:27 2009
Subject: Possible Rieser Call on Foreign Ops Bill

Cheryl:

We have just learned that Tim Rieser may call you soon to request that the Secretary call Senator Reid to urge him take up the FY10 State/Foreign Ops Appropriations Bill at the earliest opportunity in September – to avoid the negative impact of a continuing resolution on State operations and programs.

As you know, if Senator Reid was able/willing to schedule time on the Senate floor there still remains a significant obstacle. While the FY10 Bill had broad bipartisan support prior to markup, it now includes a controversial Lautenberg amendment that would reverse the Mexico City policy statutorily. With Lautenberg's amendment in the Bill, procedural hurdles are almost certain to lengthen and slow down floor action.

It is possible that an agreement could be reached to bring up the Bill and structure votes so that the Republicans could offer amendments including one to strike Lautenberg's language.

Should you agree to ask the Secretary to make such a call I would imagine she would want to get views from Jack Lew, Pat Kennedy, Rich Greene, and Rich Verma, first.

Here are some points to consider for such a possible call:

- Timely enactment of the FY 10 State/Foreign Ops appropriation is critical to national security.

- Operating under a Continuing Resolution for any extended period of time will negatively impact both State operations and foreign assistance programs.

- For example, the funds available to support State operations in Iraq are estimated to last only through the first quarter of FY 2010. In addition our urgent hiring plans will be stifled, pay equity authorities will lapse, and we will be without the necessary resources to implement our agenda on food security, climate change, and global health.

- I urge you to take up the FY 10 State/Foreign Ops bill as early as possible in September.

Background

Mexico City Policy: The inclusion during markup of the Lautenberg amendment in the Senate bill codifies a ban on future adoption of the Mexico City Policy. This controversial issue will slow down consideration of the Bill, as the Minority will use parliamentary maneuvers to block timely debate and final passage.

Mike

AMBASSADOR MICHAEL C. POLT

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of Legislative Affairs

Department of State

Phone: 202-647-4204

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 1:35 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Terry Murray

Can you get a cell for her? I left a message on her home phone. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 7:59 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw:

Pls print.
------Original Message------
From: Penn Rhodeen
To: Hillary Clinton
ReplyTo: Penn Rhodeen
Subject: Re:
Sent: Nov 21, 2008 10:31 AM

B6

Penn

--- On Fri, 11/21/08, hr15@att.blackberry.net <hr15@att.blackberry.net> wrote:

> From: hr15@att.blackberry.net <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
> Subject: Re:
> To: "Penn Rhodeen"
> Date: Friday, November 21, 2008, 8:24 AM Thanks, my friend.
> ------Original Message------
> From: Penn Rhodeen
> To: Hillary Clinton
> ReplyTo: Penn Rhodeen
> Sent: Nov 18, 2008 7:58 PM
> Subject:
>
> Dear Hillary,

B6



>
> Have fun.
>
> Penn

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 7:56 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Sad news
Attachments: image001.jpg; image001.jpg

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jan Piercy [redacted] B6
To: H2
Cc: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Aug 19 08:38:22 2009
Subject: Sad news

Hillary,

[redacted]

I've been following your Africa trip with great interest – great that you are there.

Jan

Jan Piercy

Executive Vice President

ShoreBank Corporation

202 822 9105

[redacted] mobile B6

1200 G Street NW Suite 401

Washington, D.C. 20005

From: Day Piercy [mailto:[redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2009 12:36 PM
To: Day Piercy
Subject: Chicago Tribune story

Congresswoman's son-in-law dies snorkeling

August 12, 2009 4:29 PM | UPDATED STORY

The son-in-law of U.S. Rep. Jan Schakowsky (D-Ill.) died Sunday in a snorkeling accident as he vacationed in Mexico with his family, her office and family said.

Michael McLaughlin, 38, was with Schakowsky and other family members near Tulum, Mexico, at the beginning of a week long vacation, Schakowsky's husband Robert Creamer said.

McLaughlin was married to Lauren, 35, who is the daughter of Schakowsky's husband and his previous wife Day Piercy, who lives in New York.

McLaughlin was a filmmaker and editor who lived with his wife in Queens, N.Y.

Creamer said he and other family members were in their hotel near Solinon Bay when another guest with binoculars told them there appeared to be a problem with McLaughlin, who was snorkeling in the bay about 7 miles north of Tulum.

Creamer was the first to reach McLaughlin in a kayak. He said he found his son-in-law face down in the water, and called out to him but got no response. Creamer said he got closer, turned his son-in-law over and realized he was in trouble. He administered mouth-to-mouth resuscitation and put a life vest on him, Creamer said.

"I yelled at him and poked at him and got no response, so I turned him over and it was clear he wasn't breathing," said Creamer.

McLaughlin's wife then showed up along with Schakowsky and others in more kayaks to help put McLaughlin on a kayak in the nearly 7-feet of water. Others also arrived in more kayaks to assist and pulled the craft carrying McLaughlin onto the beach where they continued to try to revive him unsuccessfully.

McLaughlin was pronounced dead at a local hospital a short time later, Creamer said.

In a phone interview from Mexico, Creamer said McLaughlin was an experienced snorkeler and diver who liked to snorkel alone. a coroner's report said he had aspirated in his mask and died of asphyxiation, he said.

McLaughlin was cremated, following his own request, Creamer said.

Creamer described himself as heartbroken and praised his son-in-law and the 7-year marriage to his daughter. The couple had planned to adopt their first child in September.

"Michael was one of the most loving and creative people I've ever met. ... and he and my daughter Lauren had one of the most loving marriages I have ever seen," Creamer said.

In 2005 Schakowsky's daughter-in-law Fiona died of cancer. She had been married to Schakowsky's son Ian.

Born Oct. 26, 1970, in Berkeley, Calif., McLaughlin was the oldest of three children and grew up in "the shadow of Mount Tamalpais, in Marin County," according to Creamer.

As a young man, McLaughlin was known for his love for the outdoors as his dinner table quips that entertained his family. As a young adult, he attended Sarah Lawrence College where he studied theater and moved with a friend to New York City, following college.

His family said McLaughlin never lost his zest for adventure, regularly white water rafting, skydiving, backpacking and studying Karate.

McLaughlin later moved to San Francisco, where he met his wife Lauren and married her in July 2002. During their marriage, McLaughlin helped his wife start her own business and she helped him pursue his film career as a filmmaker, his family said.

During McLaughlin's career he worked in live theater, puppetry and short fiction. His short film "Shadow Puppets of Doom" was shown in film festivals and won the "Best Screenplay" award in the Marin County Film Festival, his family said. At the time of his death he was filming a pilot reality television show.

McLaughlin is survived by his wife, Lauren Creamer McLaughlin, his mother, Rohana, his sister, Halima, and his brother, Marlin.

Tribune reporter Will Lee contributed.

--Carlos Sadovi <mailto:csadovi@tribune.com>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 10:11 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tonite

Also, can you recommend a casual place that's not noisy that I could have a quick dinner w DiFi tomorrow night near my house?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 10:01:28 2009
Subject: Re: Tonite

Changing to 10. Not a problem.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 12 09:35:51 2009
Subject: Re: Tonite

Anytime btw 9-10 is ok if it's a problem to change to 10.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 08:52:55 2009
Subject: Tonite

We tentatively told the plane 9pm wheels up. Do u want to leave earlier? Later?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 7:10 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

The Canadian Amb is hosting dinner for PM on 9/16 and invited me. They say they haven't heard back. Pls regret.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 6:01 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Security Contractors

This whole issue makes me sick. Why we [redacted] is an open sore. I also worry that, despite porotestations, State is too passive and accepting. The answer is [redacted]. I have some ideas about this to explore. B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 03:59:16 2009
Subject: Fw: Security Contractors

Fyi

From: Boswell, Eric J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Tue Sep 01 17:45:12 2009
Subject: Security Contractors

Cheryl:

Iraq Aviation Task Order:

[redacted] B5

ArmourGroup Kabul:

B5

[As mentioned to you over the phone, the OIG has 3 investigators headed out to Kabul now.]

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 10:12 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 02 06:36:30 2009
Subject:

Today [redacted] is [redacted] birthday B6
[redacted]
###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 12:28 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call list

Pls revise as follows--

Add

Mayor Tom Menino
Judith Hope

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 8:04 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

For Tuesday, when will I see Daalder and Hill?

I'm not sure I have the time to go back to NY for Cronkite funeral altho I would still like to.

I need more time w Holbrooke on Thursday so see if he can be moved to 12:45 or another time that works like 8am.

I want to meet w people Thursday night so pls don't schedule anything after Capricia's event.

On Friday, can Kessler be moved to next week?

Rahm told me there may be a small mtg w the POTUS on Sunday morning so I may need to take plane back either late Sat night or early Sun am. Also Rahm said he wanted to see me alone sometime before that Sunday mtg. Pls follow up on both mtgs.

If there is a Sunday am mtg w POTUS, I will also try to go to the Ling lunch.

Why are there no early staff mtgs listed for Thurs the 10th and Fri the 11th on the line?

I see the Iran dinner on the schedule but not a women's policy lunch or dinner. Can we do that Monday the 14th or Thursday the 17th? I want to do it before the Development speech.

Talk w Cheryl and others about doing the development speech in NY combined w "town hall" at a college or other venue on Monday the 21st (which would make Philippe happy).

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 8:33 AM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Swanee

What's her number so I can call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 04 14:55:10 2009
Subject: Swanee

[redacted] B6

We were judging the candidates for the Swanee Hunt Award which goes annually to a FSO and a FSN judged superior for integrating women's issues into their work. T hey are nominated by ambassadors at posts or AS's here.

I called her because we had a tie for the FSO's and I wanted to see if she'd provide a second monetary award so we wouldn't have to divide the $5k. She agreed and then told me [redacted] B6

State will fly in the awardees and the Secry usually gives out the award. Swanee is hoping that she'll be able to come and be there for the brief ceremony.

I thought you'd want to know.

Melanne S. Verveer

Ambassador at Large for Global Women's Issues

U.S. Department of State

2201 C Street NW, Room 6805

Washington, DC 20520

Tel: (202) 647-7283

Fax: (202) 647-7288

VerveerMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 8:26 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Calls

Pls add Jackie Newmyer and Mayor Nutter (215-686-2181) to call list

Can you get an office # for Terry Murray?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 11:46 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Question

Can you find out for me how many countries require a run off election for their Presidents or Prime Ministers. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 11:55 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can I pls have the service drive me in my van to the airport? Is that possible?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Sep 12 11:06:00 2009
Subject: Re:

Mitchell calling one more time now.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 3:57 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I have to call DiFi about dinner. Any ideas?t

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 14:36:41 2009
Subject: Re:

Yes no problem to take the van
Ill meet you at la guardia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Sep 12 11:54:39 2009
Subject: Re:

Can I pls have the service drive me in my van to the airport? Is that possible?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Sep 12 11:06:00 2009
Subject: Re:

Mitchell calling one more time now.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 7:44 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jones

I thoiught ity could fill the hole left by the cancelling of the PC. It would be much better for me to do anytime on Thursday.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Sep 01 19:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Jones

Sarah F asked if it could stay on tomorrow's schedule. Happy to revisit if you would like me to.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tue Sep 01 19:10:49 2009
Subject: Jones

I thought we were moving him to Thursday but schedule I just got says tomorrow. What's status?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 11:10 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

How can he not have time since the PC dropped? Also, now that I'm not leaving until Friday, I can do later on Thurs or Fri am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 02 11:04:32 2009
Subject: RE: Schedule

Will do on shuttle. Jones' office called me about an hour ago and said they are still looking for time on Thursday afternoon -- will follow up again shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2009 10:57 AM
To: Huma Abedin; Valmoro, Lona J
Subject: Schedule

I may need to stay until Friday to finish up some stuff. Can you book the shuttle for around 1-2pm?

Also, what's status w Jones mtg?

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 1:03 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Updated Version

Cheryl--are you ok w it now? Can you talk?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Cc: H
Sent: Sun Sep 13 12:31:51 2009
Subject: FW: Updated Version

For HRC's review – it's time sensitive and due today, so if you can print for her that would be ideal.

Thanks.

cdm

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 12:31 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Lew, Jacob J
Subject: Updated Version

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2009 8:48 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Let's stick w the 2.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 03 20:31:38 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Alonzo says they are very full with the holiday weekend. He cannot guarantee first in either the 3pm or 4pm.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu Sep 03 20:29:37 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Ok. What about a later shuttle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 03 20:22:02 2009
Subject: Schedule

As it turns out, Susan Rice needs to stay up in NY tonight and has asked if she can speak with you on a secure phone line tomorrow at 9:30am. Can I go ahead and set that up?
Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 5, 2009 10:44 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: This am

Yes. And Oscar is here now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 05 10:41:13 2009
Subject: This am

I have not been able to reach oscar this am. We are supposed to send the pdb at 11. Can u receive it?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 4:00 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Blackberry

Tomorrow pls add more State names--all under and asst secretaries and the special assts/exec crew. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 7:08 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Blackberry

Thank you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 08 17:10:25 2009
Subject: RE: Blackberry

I added a bunch of names today - about 40 or so. I have a few more to do, and will do those tomorrow when I can get your blackberry back. I'm also making a master list for you of everyone I've added/updated so you can see.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 07, 2009 4:00 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Blackberry

Tomorrow pls add more State names--all under and asst secretaries and the special assts/exec crew. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 9, 2009 6:14 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: BusinessWeek's "Best Places to Launch a Career"

Pls print up 10 copies of this for me to pass out at this morning's meetings.

----- Original Message -----
From: Minyon Moore
To: bstrider
Cc: H
Sent: Tue Sep 08 15:58:11 2009
Subject: FW: BusinessWeek's "Best Places to Launch a Career"

B6

BusinessWeek Ranks Department of State #5 Best Place to Launch a Career: The Department ranked at the top among federal agencies and #5 among all employers as one of the best places to begin a career. With an increase from #12 last year to #5 this year, BusinessWeek ranked the Department in the Top 20 for the fourth consecutive year. The ranking is based on a three-part methodology that includes combined survey data from careers services directors, undergraduate students, and employers. For further information, please visit

http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/toc/09_37/B4146career.htm?chan=magazine+channel_top+stories <http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/toc/09_37/B4146career.htm?chan=magazine+channel_top+stories>

Burns,

We missed our calling.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

DELOITTE & TOUCHE TOPS BUSINESSWEEK'S ANNUAL LIST OF

"BEST PLACES TO LAUNCH A CAREER"

New York, New York, September 3, 2009— The big four accounting firms once again dominate BusinessWeek's fourth annual ranking of the "Best Places to Launch a Career." Deloitte & Touche jumped to the No.1 spot, unseating Ernst & Young who ranked No.2. PricewaterhouseCoopers and KPMG took the third and fourth spot, respectively.

With rich benefits, extensive training programs, and a combined recruiting effort that makes more than 10,000 hires even in a tough year, the Big Four are hard to beat, and Deloitte is harder than most. With substantially higher pay—18% of Deloitte's entry-level hires this year will earn north of $65,000—plus the industry's biggest signing bonuses and most generous time-off policy, it's no wonder Deloitte is a favorite of students and career services directors. It doesn't hurt that Deloitte's entry-level hiring took the smallest hit this year, down just 1.1% in the first half compared with double-digit drops for No.2 Ernst & Young and No.4 KPMG.

To compile this ranking, BusinessWeek polled 60 college career services directors across the country; collected data from a survey of 60,000 U.S. undergrads by Universum USA, a Philadelphia research company; and required employers to submit statistics on everything from pay and benefits to training programs and retention. The number of ranked employers is down sharply this year, owing to our decision to raise the bar on eligibility. And the tougher competition—combined with a handful of new employers and shifts in sentiment among students and career services directors—resulted in a shakeup in the standings.

Some companies, including No.11 Accenture and No.19 Prudential moved up more than 35 spots, while No.34 Marriott and No.48 Lockheed Martin were down 28 and 40 spots, respectively. Even the top 10 underwent big changes this year. Marriott and Lockheed were out, as was Google, which declined to participate. But three new employers entered the winner's circle. The State Dept. and Teach For America, which places college grads in troubled school districts, are both in the midst of ramped-up recruiting campaigns, while J.P. Morgan, a unit of JPMorgan Chase, reaped a survivor's benefit: It's one of the few big investment banks left standing.

BusinessWeek's "Best Places to Launch a Career" ranking is in the September 14, 2009 issue, on newsstands September 4th. Expanded content is available on BusinessWeek.com, including the full methodology, profiles of each employer, an interactive table, slide shows, and a video roundtable with recruiters.

BusinessWeek's 50 Best Places to Launch a Career

2009

Rank

2008

Rank

Company

Industry

1

2

Deloitte & Touche

Accounting

2

1

Ernst & Young

Accounting

3

3

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Accounting

4

5

KPMG

Accounting

5

12

U.S. State Dept.

Nonprofit/Government

6

4

Goldman Sachs

Investment Banking

7

11

Teach for America

Nonprofit/Government

8

14

Target

Retail

9

10

J.P. Morgan

Investment Banking

10

9

IBM

Technology

11

47

Accenture

Consulting

12

24

General Mills

Consumer Products

13

15

Abbott Laboratories

Health

14

27

Walt Disney

Hospitality

15

26

Enterprise Rent-a-Car

Transportation

16

18

General Electric

Manufacturing

17

32

Philip Morris USA

Consumer Products

18

13

	Microsoft	Technology	
19			
	59	Prudential	Insurance
20			
	36	Intel	Technology
21			
	58	Aflac	Insurance
22			
	22	Verizon Communications	Utilities
23			
	37	CIA	Nonprofit/Government
24			

30

Raytheon

Manufacturing

25

45

Nestlé USA

Consumer Products

26

20

Norfolk Southern

Transportation

27

NR

Hyatt

Hospitality

28

39

Union Pacific

Transportation

29

34

Northwestern Mutual

Insurance

30

25

Boeing

Manufacturing

31

41

Liberty Mutual

Insurance

32

43

Travelers

Insurance

33

28

New York Life

Insurance

34

6

Marriott International

Hospitality

35

NR

Entergy

Utilities

36

56

Macy's

Retail

37

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 10:41 AM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Pls share w Esther and Steinberg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 10 10:22:51 2009
Subject: FW:

This is from [redacted] from Egypt [redacted] B6

FYI – what they are saying.

Melanne S. Verveer

Ambassador at Large for Global Women's Issues

U.S. Department of State

2201 C Street NW, Room 6805

Washington, DC 20520

Tel: (202) 647-7283

Fax: (202) 647-7288

VerveerMS@state.gov <mailto:VerveerMS@state.gov>

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 6:37 AM
To: Verveer, Melanne S
Subject:

Dear Melanne,

The US Ambassador to UNESCO is launching a fierce, ferocious, unfair campaign against the Egyptian candidate to UNESCO Minister Farouk Hosni.

If Washington does not want him – for whatever reasons – this is acceptable as their choice, but we think the US, with its principles and weight, should be a fair partner and an objective leader.

People here are surprised at the position of the US Ambassador. They say "We want to feel that change is not just a Motto but starts a new era in our relationship"

Hosni is an open-minded person who respects all religions. He is accused of being Anti-Jewish. That is not true. The allegation is based on a quote out of context. He is translating Hebrew literature, restored Synagogues and is establishing a Jewish Museum. He rescued the torah scrolls and has the symbols of the three religions appear together in Video Clips, Pictures and documentaries about Egypt.

For these, and similar reasons, the fundamental – Terrorists are against him and are the ones working hard to block his election.

Who wants to be with them in the same basket!!!!



B6

---

check out the rest of the Windows Live™. More than mail–Windows Live™ goes way beyond your inbox. More than messages <http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowslive/>

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 6:02 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Haiti

That's great. Will you be there?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 04:15:39 2009
Subject: Fw: Haiti

Fyi

From: Anderson, Brooke D (USUN)
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Sep 01 16:26:02 2009
Subject: Haiti

Hi Cheryl,

Just wanted to close the loop and let you know that President Clinton will address the Security Council next week (Wed, Sept 9) in his role as UN Special Envoy for Haiti. This has not been announced / is still close hold. Thanks for your support in moving this forward. We are excited about this session.

Brooke

****

Brooke D. Anderson

Chief of Staff

United States Mission to the United Nations

(212) 415-4261

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 5:55 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Breaking News on MD roll out

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Sep 11 17:45:19 2009
Subject: FW: Breaking News on MD roll out

FYI more – I've spoken to Jim who is addressing but we can catch up about this when we speak.

From: Tauscher, Ellen O
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 5:32 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Breaking News on MD roll out

B5

I'll let you know if I hear anything from D or others on MD roll out.

Best,

Ellen

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 4:45 PM
To: Tauscher, Ellen O
Subject: RE: Thanks

We have to stay dogged on this so let's stay linked on this until we are sure it is as it should be.

cdm

From: Tauscher, Ellen O
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 4:35 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Thanks

Thanks for checking this out an hopefully I haven't wasted your time but it is always constructive to have a sanity check at times like this. I am very appreciative of your standing with me and now more fully understand our challenges. [redacted] B5

Thank you.

Ellen O. Tauscher

Under Secretary of State

for Arms Control and International Security

202-647-1049

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 7:33 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Question

Yes

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 19:05:46 2009
Subject: Re: Question

DRL did not have any more definitive sourcing on this. Does the below provide what you need?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Sep 12 14:57:43 2009
Subject: Re: Question

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Sep 12 14:55:42 2009
Subject: Re: Question

Still working this through, but here is where we are thus far:

The majority of all countries that have direct presidential elections use a Two-Round system. The number appears to be at least 58.

France, most Latin American countries, all five post-Soviet Central Asian republics, and many countries in francophone Africa use TRS to elect their presidents. Elsewhere in Africa the system is used by Angola, Cape Verde, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, São Tomé and Principe, the Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe; in Europe, apart from France, it is used by Armenia, Azerbaijan, Austria, Belarus, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Finland, Georgia, Lithuania, Macedonia, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia and Ukraine; and it is found in Afghanistan, Haiti, Indonesia, Iran, Timor-Leste and Yemen.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Sep 12 11:45:32 2009
Subject: Question

Can you find out for me how many countries require a run off election for their Presidents or Prime Ministers. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 10:53 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Thx! I left him a message.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 10:14:56 2009
Subject: RE:

[redacted] B6
[redacted] If you hold on the line for about 30 seconds, then you will get [redacted] voice mail and you can leave him a message. [redacted] and you can leave a message.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2009 10:12 AM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Sep 02 06:36:30 2009
Subject:

Today [redacted] is [redacted] birthday
[redacted] c
###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2009 8:30 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Ok. What about a later shuttle?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 03 20:22:02 2009
Subject: Schedule

As it turns out, Susan Rice needs to stay up in NY tonight and has asked if she can speak with you on a secure phone line tomorrow at 9:30am. Can I go ahead and set that up?
Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 4:01 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: [redacted] B6

Do you know if I have any photo w him? If not I need a photo of me to send for a surprise 50th birthday book.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 8:15 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Who is Michael Turner?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 08 08:06:20 2009
Subject:

Fyi -
Philippe and michael turner will be at first stop Ill meet you with pam mills at second stop

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 12:30 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: ADDITIONAL MARION SINEK INFORMATION

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Oscar Flores
To: H2
Sent: Tue Sep 08 12:26:02 2009
Subject: Fw: ADDITIONAL MARION SINEK INFORMATION

B6

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From
To: Oscar Flores
Sent: Tue Sep 08 12:23:52 2009
Subject: ADDITIONAL MARION SINEK INFORMATION

EVENT STARTS AT 2:PM. FAMILY WILL GREET FOLKS UNTIL APPROXIMATELY 2:20/2:30PM. THERE WILL BE LIGHT REFRESHMENTS. FOLKS ARE COMING IN FROM THE NETHERLANDS AND FRANCE.
MARION'S CHILDREN ARE

THE OTHERS LISTED ON MY PREVIOUS E-MAIL ARE MARION'S GRANDCHILDREN ADDITIONAL INFO:
-BOTH THE PRESIDENT AND THE SECRETARY ATTENDED MARION'S RETIREMENT PARTY AS TOWN SUPERVISOR AT MARION'S HOUSE. IT WAS A COCKTAIL PARTY. AND I WERE ALSO THERE.
-MARION'S GRANDSON, INTERNED AT FORMER SEN. CLINTON'S NYC OFFICE -FORMER SEN. CLINTON SWORE MARION IN AS TOWN SUPERVIOR FOR MARION'S SECOND TERM.
-MARION AND SEN. CLINTON WALKED TOGETHER NUMEROUS YEARS FOR THE MEMORIAL DAY PARADE.
-BOTH MARION AND SEN. CLINTON WOULD BE TOGETHER AT CHAPPAQUA EVENTS WHETHER AT THE SENIOR CENTER, COMMUNITY DAY, FIRE HOUSE, ETC.
-MARION VOLUNTEERED FOR SEN. CLINTON AT HER NYC OFFICE. MARION WORKED ON ELECTION ISSUES.
OSCAR, AS YOU KNOW, MARION WAS ALWAYS PART OF OUR ANNUAL HOLIDAY BRUNCH AT KITTLE HOUSE. THE LAST YEAR MARION ATTENDED WAS WHEN YOU WERE OVERSEAS. I BELIEVE MARION SPOKE ON YOUR HOLIDAY VIDEO THAT WE MADE FOR YOU.
AS OF NOW, BARBARA GERRARD IS SPEAKING AS WELL AS CONGRESSWOMAN LOWEY AND SOME FAMILY MEMBERS.
I HOPE THIS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION HELPS.
BEST,
GERI

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 9, 2009 5:55 AM
To: 'kohhh@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Col. Romano

Harold--

I spoke w Sec. Gates and Gen. Jones about DOD invoking the SOFA for Col. Romano [redacted] B5

[redacted]

[redacted]

Thanks for your help on this---Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 7:58 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: out of channels but...

Pls print.
------Original Message------
From: Brooke Shearer
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: out of channels but...
Sent: Apr 13, 2009 9:29 AM

Dear Hillary,

Sorry for busting through "the system," but [redacted] B6

[redacted]

Brooke

--

Brooke Shearer

2812 Calvert St. NW

Washington DC 20008

[redacted] B6

tel: 202-462-2002

fax: 202-332-1214

cell: [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 9:36 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tonite

Anytime btw 9-10 is ok if it's a problem to change to 10.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 08:52:55 2009
Subject: Tonite

We tentatively told the plane 9pm wheels up. Do u want to leave earlier? Later?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 10:43 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I had connection but cdn't hear so they're trying again.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Oscar Flores
Cc: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 10:42:21 2009
Subject:

Mitchell trying to reach hrc on secure phone on 3rd floor.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 10:46 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I can't get it to work. They go secure and then there are noises and voice interfering w any ability to talk. Can you help?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Oscar Flores
Cc: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 10:42:21 2009
Subject:

Mitchell trying to reach hrc on secure phone on 3rd floor.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 10:57 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Schedule

I may need to stay until Friday to finish up some stuff. Can you book the shuttle for around 1-2pm?

Also, what's status w Jones mtg?

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 5:33 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Phenom: Max is #10, now ahead of Glenn Beck; #1 political and history book

He should be--I just finished the book and it's great. Congrats to all!

----- Original Message -----
From: [redacted] B6
Sent: Fri Sep 11 00:26:25 2009
Subject: Phenom: Max is #10, now ahead of Glenn Beck; #1 political and history book

* <file:///C:/Users/Owner/AppData/Local/Temp/moz-screenshot-2.png>
* <http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
/* non-sprited */ .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_body .ap_left { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_left_17._V248144977_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_body .ap_right { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_right_17._V248144979_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_header .ap_left { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_top_left._V265110087_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_header .ap_right { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_top_right._V265110087_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_header .ap_middle { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_top._V265110086_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_footer .ap_left { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_bottom_left._V265110084_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_footer .ap_right { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_bottom_right._V265110087_.png); } .ap_popover_unsprited .ap_footer .ap_middle { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/po_bottom._V265110084_.png); } /* Everything else -- sprited */ .ap_popover_sprited .ap_body .ap_left, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_body .ap_right { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/light/sprite-v._V219326283_.png); } .ap_popover_sprited .ap_header .ap_left, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_header .ap_right, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_header .ap_middle, .ap_popover _sprited .ap_footer .ap_left, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_footer .ap_right, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_footer .ap_middle, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_closebutton { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/light/sprite-h._V219326280_.png); } .ap_popover_sprited .ap_body .ap_right-arrow, .ap_popover_sprited .ap_body .ap_left-arrow { background-image: url(http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/javascripts/lib/popover/images/light/sprite-arrow-v._V219326286_.png); }
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/gno/images/orangeBlue/navPackedSprites_v12._V222883957_.png> <http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
amazon.com <http://www.amazon.com/ref=gno_logo>
Vote for magazines, enter to win $10,000 <http://www.amazon.com/Best-Covers-Year-Magazines-Subscriptions/b/ref=nav_swm_votemags?ie=UTF8&node=1297881011&pf_rd_p=488631311&pf_rd_s=nav-sitewide-msg&pf_rd_t=4201&pf_rd_i=navbar-4201&pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_r=1N1MJW0R4B2KNP21VDGH>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transpa rent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>

Amazon.com <http://www.amazon.com/gp/yourstore/home/ref=topnav_ys> | Today's Deals <http://www.amazon.com/gp/goldbox/ref=cs_top_nav_gb27> | Gifts & Wish Lists <http://www.amazon.com/gp/gift-

central/ref=cm_gift_button_gc_lp> | Gift Cards
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B00067L6TQ/ref=topnav_giftcert> Your Account
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/css/homepage.html/ref=topnav_ya> | Help
<http://www.amazon.com/Help/b/ref=topnav_help?ie=UTF8&node=508510>
Shop All Departments <http://www.amazon.com/gp/site-directory/ref=topnav_sad>
Search All Departments Books Kindle Books New & Used Textbooks Audiobooks Used Books
Collectible Books Bargain Books Children's Books Spanish Books Magazines eDocs Amazon Shorts Go

=2 0
Cart <http://www.amazon.com/gp/cart/view.html/ref=gno_cart>
Wish List <http://www.amazon.com/wishlist/ref=topnav_lists>

Books <http://www.amazon.com/books-used-books-
textbooks/b/ref=topnav_storetab_b?ie=UTF8&node=283155>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Advanced Search <http://www.am azon.com/Advanced-Search-Books/b/ref=sv_b_0?ie=UTF8&node=241582011>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Browse Subjects <http://www.amazon.com/Subjects-Books/b/ref=sv_b_1?ie=UTF8&node=1000>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
New Releases <http://www.amazon.com/gp/new-releases/books/ref=sv_b_2> =2 0
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Bestsellers <http://www.amazon.com/gp/bestsellers/books/ref=sv_b_3> <http://g-ecx.images-
amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
The New York Times® Bestsellers <http://www.amazon.com/Books/b/ref=sv_b_4?ie=UTF8&node=549028>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Libros en español <http://www.amazon.com/Books/b/ref=sv_b_5?ie=UTF8&node=301731> <http://g-
ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Bargain Books <http://www.amazon.com/Bargain-Books/b/ref=sv_b_6?ie=UTF8&node=45> <http://g-
ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
Textbooks <http://www.amazon.com/New-Used-Textbooks-Books/b/ref=3 Dsv_b_7?ie=UTF8&node=465600>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/common/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>

* Books

* Books <http://www.amazon.com/books-used-books-
textbooks/b/ref=sa_menu_bo0?ie=UTF8&node=283155>
* Kindle Books <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-
Books/b/ref=sa_menu_kbo0?ie=UTF8&node=1286228011>
* Textbooks <http://www.amazon.com/New-Used-Textbooks-
Books/b/ref=sa_menu_tb0?ie=UTF8&node=465600>
* Magazines
<http://www.amazon.com/magazines/b/ref=sa_menu_magazines0?ie=UTF8&node=599858>

* Movies, Music & Games

* Movies & TV <http://www.amazon.com/dvds-used-hd-action-comedy-
oscar/b/ref=sa_menu_mov1?ie=UTF8&node=130>
* Blu-ray <http://www.amazon.com/Blu-ray-movies-discs-store-
deals/b/ref=sa_menu_blu1?ie=UTF8&node=193640011>
* Video On Demand <http://www.amazon.com/Video-On-
Demand/b/ref=sa_menu_atv1?ie=UTF8&node=16261631>

* Music <http://www.amazon.com/music-rock-classical-pop-jazz/b/ref=sa_menu_mu1?ie=UTF8&node=5174>
* MP3 Downloads <http://www.amazon.com/MP3-Music-Download/b/ref=sa_menu_dmusic1?ie=UTF8&node=163856011>
* Musical Instruments <http://www.amazon.com/musical-instruments-accessories-sound-recording/b/ref=sa_menu_mi1?ie=UTF8&node=11091801>
* Video Games <http://www.amazon.com/computer-video-games-hardware-accessories/b/ref=sa_me nu_cvg1?ie=UTF8&node=468642>
* Game Downloads <http://www.amazon.com/Game-Downloads/b/ref=sa_menu_gdown1?ie=UTF8&node=979455011>

* Digital Downloads

* Kindle Store <http://www.amazon.com/kindle-store-ebooks-newspapers-blogs/b/ref=sa_menu_ks2?ie=UTF8&node=133141011>
  Books, newspapers & more
* Video On Demand <http://www.amazon.com/Video-On-Demand/b/ref=sa_menu_atv2?ie=UTF8&node=16261631>
* MP3 Downloads <http://www.amazon.com/MP3-Music-Download/b/ref=sa_menu_dmusic2?ie=UTF8&node=163856011>
* Amazon Shorts <http://www.amazon.com/amazon-shorts-digital-shorts/b/ref=sa_menu_sh2?ie=UTF8&node=13993911>
  Digital short-form literature
* Game Downloads <http://www.amazon.com/Game-Downloads/b/ref=sa_menu_gdown2?ie=UTF8&node=979455011>

* Kindle

* Kindle <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Amazons-Wireless-Reading-Generation/dp/B00154JDAI/ref=sa_menu_kdp23>
  Amazon's 6" wireless reading device
* Kindle DX <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B0015TCML0/ref=sa_menu_kdx3>
  Amazon's 9.7" wireless reading device
* Accessories <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Accessories/b/ref=sa_menu_kacces3?ie=UTF8&node=1268192011>
* Books <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Books/b/ref=sa_menu_kbo3?ie=UTF8&node=1286228011>
* Newspapers <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Newspapers/b/ref=sa_menu_knews3?ie=UTF8&node=1263068011>
* Magazines <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Magazines/b/ref=sa_menu_kmag3?ie=UTF8&node=1263069011>
* Blogs <http://www.amazon.com/Blogs-Kindle-Sports-Industry-Internet-Technology/b/ref=sa_menu_kblog3?ie=UTF8&node=401358011>
* Kindle Store <http://www.amazon.com/kindle-store-ebooks-newspapers-blogs/b/ref=sa_menu_kstore3?ie=UTF8&node=133141011>

* Computers & Office

* Computers & Accessories <http://www.amazon.com/computer-pc-hardware-accessories-add-ons/b/ref=sa_menu_pc4?ie=UTF8&node=541966>
* Computer Components <http://www.amazon.com/PC-Components-Computer-Add-Ons-Computers/b/ref=sa_menu_pccomp4?ie=UTF8&node=193870011>

* Software <http://www.amazon.com/software-business-education-finance-childrens/b/ref=sa_menu_sw4?ie=UTF8&node=229534>
* PC Games <http://www.amazon.com/PC-Games/b/ref=sa_menu_pcgm4?ie=UTF8&node=229575>
* Office Products & Supplies <http://www.amazon.com/office-products-supplies-electronics - furniture/b/ref=sa_menu_op4?ie=UTF8&node=1064954>

* Electronics

* TV & Video <http://www.amazon.com/Televisions-Video/b/ref=sa_menu_tv5?ie=UTF8&node=1266092011>
* Home Audio & Theater <http://www.amazon.com/Home-Audio-Electronics/b/ref=sa_menu_hat5?ie=UTF8&node=667846011>
* Camera & Photo <http://www.amazon.com/Camera-Photo-Film-Canon-Sony/b/ref=sa_menu_p5?ie=UTF8&node=502394>
* Cell Phones & Service <http://www.amazon.com/cell-phones-service-plans-accessories/b/ref=sa_menu_wi5?ie=UTF8&node=301185>
* Video Games <http://www.amazon.com/computer-video-games-hardware-accessories/b/ref=sa_menu_cvg5?ie=UTF8&node=468642>
* MP3 & Media Players <http://www.amazon.com/MP3-Players-Audio-Video/b/ref=sa_menu_mp35?ie=UTF8&node=172630>
* Car Electronics & GPS <http://www.amazon.com/Car-Electronics/b/ref=sa_menu_gps5?ie=UTF8&node=1077068>
* Home Appliances <http://www.amazon.com/home-appliances-fans-heaters-air-conditioners/b/ref=sa_menu_ha5?ie=UTF8&node=361395011>
* Musical Instruments <http://www.amazon.com/musical-instruments-accessories-sound-recording/b/ref=sa_menu_mi5?ie=UTF8&node=11091801>

* Home & Garden

* Kitchen & Dining <http://www.amazon.com/kitchen-dining-small-appliances-cookware/b/ref=sa_menu_ki6?ie=UTF8&node=284507>
* Furniture & Décor <http://www.amazon.com/furniture-d%C3%A9cor-rugs-lamps-living-room/b/ref=sa_menu_fd6?ie=UTF8&node=1057794>
* Bedding & Bath <http://www.amazon.com/bed-bath-bedding-sheets-towels/b/ref=sa_menu_bb6?ie=UTF8&node=1057792>
* Home Appliances <http://www.amazon.com/home-appliances-fans-heaters-air-conditioners/b/ref=sa_menu_ha6?ie=UTF8&node=361395011>
* Vacuums & Storage <http://www.amazon.com/vacuums-home-storage-organization/b/ref=sa_menu_vc6?ie=UTF8&node=510080>
* Home Improvement <http://www.amazon.com/Home-Improvement-garden-tools-lawn-hardware/b/ref=sa_menu_hi26?ie=UTF8&node=228013>
* Patio, Lawn & Garden <http://www.amazon.com/patio-garden-grills-outdoor-furniture/b/ref=sa_menu_lp6?ie=UTF8&node=286168>
* Pet Supplies <http://www.amazon.com/pet-supplies-dog-cat-food-bed-toy/b/ref=sa_menu_ps6?ie=UTF8&node=12923371>
* Sewing, Craft & Hobby <http://www.amazon.com/sewing-fabric-craft-scrapbook-supplies/b/ref=sa_menu_sch6?ie=UTF8&node=12890711>

* Grocery, Health & Beauty

* Grocery <http://www.amazon.com/grocer y-breakfast-foods-snacks-organic/b/ref=sa_menu_gro7?ie=UTF8&node=16310101>

* Natural & Organic <http://www.amazon.com/Natural-Organic-Grocery/b/ref=sa_menu_nto7?ie=UTF8&node=51537011>
* Gourmet Food <http://www.amazon.com/gourmet-food-gifts-chocolate-seafood/b/ref=sa_menu_gf7?ie=UTF8&node=3370831>
* Health & Personal Care <http://www.amazon.com/health-personal-care-nutrition-fitness/b/ref=sa_menu_hpc7?ie=UTF8&node=3760901>
* Beauty <http://www.amazon.com/beauty-makeup-fragrance-skin-care/b/ref=sa_menu_bty7?ie=UTF8&node=3760911>

* Toys, Kids & Baby

* Toys & Games <http://www.amazon.com/toys/b/ref=sa_menu_tg8?ie=UTF8&node=165793011>
* Baby <http://www.amazon.com/baby-car-seats-strollers-bedding/b/ref=sa_menu_ba8?ie=UTF8&node=165796011>
* Apparel (Kids & Baby) <http://www.amazon.com/Kids-Baby-Apparel/b/ref=sa_menu_kba8?ie=UTF8&node=1040662>
* Books <http://www.amazon.com/Childrens-Books/b/ref=sa_menu_kbb8?ie=UTF8&node=4>
* Movies <http://www.amazon.com/Kids-Family-DVD/b/ref=sa_menu_kbv8?ie=UTF8&node=163414>
* Music <http://www.amazon.com/Childrens-Music/b/ref=sa_menu_kbm8?ie=UTF8&node=173425>
* Video Games <http://www.amazon.com/Kids-Family/b/ref=sa_menu_cvg8?ie=UTF8&node=471306>
* Software <http://www.amazon.com/Childrens-Software/b/ref=sa_menu_sw8?ie=UTF8&node=229548>

* Apparel, Shoes & Jewelry

* Apparel & Accessories <http://www.amazon.com/apparel-accessories-men-women-kids/b/ref=sa_menu_apr9?ie=UTF8&node=1036592>
* Shoes <http://www.amazon.com/shoes-men-women-kids-baby/b/ref=sa_menu_shoe9?ie=UTF8&node=672123011>
* Jewelry <http://www.amazon.com/jewelry-watches-engagements-rings-diamonds/b/ref=sa_menu_jewelry9?ie=UTF8&node=3367581>
* Watches <http://www.amazon.com/Watches-Mens-Womens-Kids-Accessories/b/ref=sa_menu_watches9?ie=UTF8&node=377110011>

* Sports & Outdoors

* Exercise & Fitness <http://www.amazon.com/Exercise-Fitness-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_ef10?ie=UTF8&node=3407731>
* Outdoor Recreation <http://www.amazon.com/Outdoor-Recreation/b/ref=sa_menu_outrec10?ie=UTF8&node=706814011>
* Action Sports <http://www.amazon.com/Action-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_action10?ie=UTF8&node=706812011>
* Team Sports <http://www.amazon.com/Team-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_teamsp10?ie=UTF8&node=706809011>
* Cycling <http://www.amazon.com/Cycling-Wheel-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_cycle10?ie=UTF8&node=3403201>
* Golf <http://www.amazon.com/Golf-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_golf10?ie=UTF8&node=3410851>

* Fan Gear <http://www.amazon.com/Fan-Shop-Sports-Outdoors/b/ref=sa_menu_fan10?ie=UTF8&node=3386071>
* All Sports & Outdoors <http://www.amazon.com/sporting-goods-apparel-cycling-exercise/b/ref=sa_menu_sg10?ie=UTF8&node=3375251>

* Tools, Auto & Industrial

* Power & Hand Tools <http://www.amazon.com/Tools-Power-Cordless-Saws-Drills/b/ref=sa_menu_hi11?ie=UTF8&node=328182011>
* Home Improvement <http://www.amazon.com/Home-Improvement-garden-tools-lawn-hardware/b/ref=sa_menu_hi211?ie=UTF8&node=228013>
* Outdoor Power Equipment <http://www.amazon.com/Lawn-Garden-Tools-Hardware/b/ref=sa_menu_outequip11?ie=UTF8&node=551242>
* Automotive <http://www.amazon.com/automotive-auto-truck-replacements-parts/b/ref=sa_menu_cpc11?ie=UTF8&node=15684181>
* Motorcycle & ATV <http://www.amazon.com/Motorcycle-ATV-Automotive/b/ref=sa_menu_matv11?ie=UTF8&node=346333011>
* Industrial & Scientific <http://www.amazon.com/industrial-scientific-fastners-raw-materials/b/ref=sa_menu_biss11?ie=UTF8&node=16310091>

* All Lists & Registries

* _____

* » Wish List <http://www.amazon.com/gp/registry/wishlist/ref=gno_listpop_wi>
* » Shopping List <http://www.amazon.com/gp/rsl/shoppinglist/yourshoppinglist/ref=gno_listpop_sl>
* » Gift Organizer <http://www.amazon.com/gp/gift-central/organizer/ref=gno_listpop_gil>

* _____

* » Your Media Library <http://www.amazon.com/gp/library/ref=gno_listpop_yml>

* _____

* » Wedding Registry <http://www.amazon.com/gp/registry/wedding/ref=gno_listpop_wr>
* » Baby Registry <http://www.amazon.com/gp/registry/baby/ref=gno_listpop_br>

* _____

* » Amazon Remembers <http://www.amazon.com/gp/remembers/ref=gno_listpop_ar>

<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/gno/images/orangeBlue/navPackedSprites_v12._V222883957_.png>
Republican Gomorrah <http://www.amazon.com/Republican-Gomorrah/dp/B002L7POA6/ref=dp_kinw_strp_1> and over 300,000 other books are available for Amazon Kindle – Amazon's new wireless reading device. Learn more <http://www.amazon.com/Kindle-Amazons-Wireless-Reading-Generation/dp/B00154JDAI/ref=dp_kinw_strp_2>

Quantity: < option value="1" selected="selected">12345678910111213141516171819202122232425262728293O

Add to Shopping Cart

or

Buy now with 1-Click

Ship to:
Sidney Blu- Wash Joe Fitchett John & Christina Ritch John and Christin Marcia Fields Max B- 11201-4092 Max Blumen- Broo Max Blumen- Los Max Blumenthal Mrs. Claire Blume Norman Birnbaum Paul Blumenthal ----OR---- Add an address
Add gift-wrap/note
or

<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/subscriptions/rcxsubs/dp/dp-corner-tl._V47081987_.gif> <http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/misc/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif> <http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/subscriptions/rcxsubs/dp/dp-corner-tr._V47082021_.gif>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/misc/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif> Add to cart with free two day
Amazon Prime Free Trial required. Sign up when you check out. Learn More <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/1568583982/ref=s9_sims_bw_s0_p14_t1?pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_s=center-10&pf_rd_r=1EGZR6NNPYTKFGGW09F4&pf_rd_t=101&pf_rd_p=475956711&pf_rd_i=283155#>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/misc/transparent-pixel._V42752373_.gif>
<http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/subscriptions/rcxsubs/dp/dp-corner-bl._V47060667_.gif> <http://g-ecx.images-amazon.com/images/G/01/x-locale/subscriptions/rcxsubs/dp/dp-corner-br._V47060307_.gif>
Amazon Prime Free Trial
Free Two-Day Shipping is available to Amazon Prime members. To join, click "Add to Cart with FREE Two-Day Shipping" and confirm your Amazon Prime Free Trial sign-up during checkout.

Amazon Prime members enjoy:

* Unlimited Free Two-Day Shipping
* One-Day Shipping for just $3.99/item
* No Minimum Purchase for free or discounted shipping

Important: Your credit card will NOT be charged when you start your Free Trial or if you cancel during the trial period. If you're happy with Amazon Prime, do nothing. At the end of the Free Trial, your membership will automatically upgrade to a full year for $79.

=2 0 Choose Wish List or Gift Idea List
Add to Wish ListChoose Wish List or Gift Idea List To Add to Wish List, choose from options to the leftChoose Wish List or Gift Idea List

More Buying Choices
18 used & new <http://www.amazon.com/gp/offer-listing/1568583982/ref=dp_olp_1> from $15.11

Have one to sell? Sell yours here <http://catalog-retail.amazon.com/abis/syh/DisplayCondition/ref=dp_sdp_sell?%5Fencoding=UTF8&coliid=&qid=&asin=1568583982&sr=&colid=>

Share with Friends
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/pdp/taf/ref=cm_sw_l_dp?ie=UTF8&contentName=item&imageURL=&type=SH&itemInfo=1568583982&titleText=&placementID=dp&contentURI=%2Fgp%2Fproduct%2F1568583982&contentID=1568583982&suppressPurchaseReqLogin=&contentType=asin>

Republican Gomorrah: Inside the Movement that Shattered the Party
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/reader/1568583982/ref=sib_dp_pt#reader-link>

Share your own customer images <http://www.amazon.com/gp/customer-media/upload/1568583982/ref=cm_ciu_pdp_add?ie=UTF8&rnd=1252642300>

Search inside this book <http://www.amazon.com/gp/reader/1568583982/ref=sib_dp_ptu#reader-link>

Start reading Republican Gomorrah <http://www.amazon.com/Republican-Gomorrah/dp/B002L7POA6/ref=kinw_dp_ke> on your Kindle in under a minute.

Don't have a Kindle? Get yours here <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B00154JDAI/ref=kinw_dp_gy> .

Republican Gomorrah: Inside the Movement that Shattered the Party (Hardcover)

by Max Blumenthal <http://www.amazon.com/Max-Blumenthal/e/B0 02A550HQ/ref=ntt_athr_dp_pel_1>
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/1568583982/ref=s9_sims_bw_s0_p14_t1?pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_s=center-10&pf_rd_r=1EGZR6NNPYTKFGGW09F4&pf_rd_t=101&pf_rd_p=475956711&pf_rd_i=283155#>
Max Blumenthal (Author)
› Visit Amazon's Max Blumenthal Page <http://www.amazon.com/Max-Blumenthal/e/B002A550HQ/ref=ntt_athr_dp_pel_pop_1>
Find all the books, read about the author, and more.
See search results <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/search-handle-url/ref=ntt_athr_dp_sr_pop_1?%5Fencoding=UTF8&sort=relevancerank&search-type=ss&index=books&field-author=Max%20Blumenthal> for this author
Are you an author? Learn a bout Author Central
<http://authorcentral.amazon.com/gp/landing/ref=ntt_atc_dp_pel_1>
(Author)

* Hardcover: 416 pages
* Publisher: Nation Books (September 8, 2009)
* Language: English
* ISBN-10: 1568583982
* ISBN-13: 978-1568583983
* Product Dimensions: 9.3 x 6.1 x 1.5 inches

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 8:54 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Tonite

Later--how about 10? That way I can leave the house around 9.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 08:52:55 2009
Subject: Tonite

We tentatively told the plane 9pm wheels up. Do u want to leave earlier? Later?

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2009 4:05 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Per Our Discussion

4:30 is perfect. Pls call the house.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 12 14:48:48 2009
Subject: Fw: Per Our Discussion

Fyi below - ellen and I have been speaking today and I am addressing personally so don't worry and will advise if you need to step in.

Will call you around 430 or later - whatever works.

Cdm

From: Tauscher, Ellen O
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Sep 12 14:13:25 2009
Subject: Fw: Per Our Discussion

Cheryl,

B5

I'm available by cell or email.
Ellen

From: Rose, Frank A
To: Tauscher, Ellen O
Cc: Limage, Simon G; Desaultes, Eric E
Sent: Sat Sep 12 13:51:51 2009
Subject: Per Our Discussion

Sensitive But Unclassified

Ellen,

Here's what I have now. Will be in touch shortly with additional info. Call me if you have questions at 202-647-7693.

Thanks,

Frank

Wed: 15:00 Gates/Tauscher/Cartwright Briefing to Hill Leaders

Thurs: Trips to counties with Flornouy, Gordon, Sherwood-Randall, and O'Reilly

1500 White House Statement

1600 Pentagon Briefing with Gates/Tauscher/Cartwright

1700 Tauscher/Cartwright foreign press center briefing

Friday: Joint SecDef/SecState Op-ed

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 7:38 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

You never know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 07:26:23 2009
Subject: RE: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

Fine with me -- I'll give him a call - he won't have an opinion on who should be his deputy though.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2009 6:05 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

Ok. Also, what about inviting Yohannes (MCC nominee) to come to observe MCC mtg next week, meet people and be briefed. He may develop his own opinion about who he wants as his deputy. Let's discuss.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 05:55:24 2009
Subject: Fw: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

From: Smith, Daniel B
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Tue Sep 01 12:33:05 2009
Subject: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

Somalia

- Cheryl noted that she needed to hear further from the Secretary on the proposed changes to the OFAC letter with respect to humanitarian assistance to Somalia and the potential for diversion. She indicated she would let Eric Schwartz know where things stand.

Afghanistan

- No one has yet seen the McChrystal Report.

Iraq

- Jack stressed his continued concern over funding for the transfer of police training responsibilities from Defense to State, noting that it remains "highly ambiguous." (The estimated cost is an initial $500 million, with an additional $700 million a year in expenses.) Defense is insisting it does not have money to transfer to State for this purpose, and the idea of a Supplemental is problematic, not the least because the timing would not coincide with the transfer of responsibilities. Jack is concerned that we will look like we are holding up the transfer.

Chinese Tires

- Jim reported that there had been another meeting on Chinese tire imports yesterday where there was interagency agreement on the "first best option," but disagreement over other options. The Chinese are in town for negotiations and there will be another meeting tomorrow after the first round. [redacted] B5

Baghdad Management Issues

- Eric Boswell noted that the Department would extend [redacted]

- Eric also noted that the roof of the USAID building in Baghdad had collapsed.

Congressional Issues

- Rich said that he met with Senator DeMint yesterday to discuss the holds on Valenzuela and Shannon. Rich thinks DeMint is prepared to let Valenzuela go forward, but would like to speak to Jim Steinberg regarding Honduras. He will insist on a debate and roll call vote for Tom Shannon. DeMint apparently warned, however, that any "substantive change" in our current policy vis-à-vis Honduras would jeopardize both nominations.

- Codel Levin will be heading to Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq, but will not overlap with Jack Lew's stay in those places.

Legal Issues

- Harold said that one fallout from the renewed focus on Libya is that some former claimants are coming back seeking new relief.

Hurricane Jimena

- I noted that Hurricane Jimena is expected to make landfall in Baja early tomorrow morning as a powerful Category 4 or 5 Hurricane. Embassy Mexico City and Consulate General Tijuana have issued warden messages and Consular Affairs has put out a travel alert advising citizens to avoid the areas likely to be hit. Many hotels in the path of the storm have been largely evacuated, but there are still many Americans who could be affected. The Ops Center is in close touch with our missions in Mexico to monitor conditions.

Public Affairs

- PJ anticipates questions about Iran's alleged willingness to restart talks and whether the Secretary will meet with Zelaya.

Deputies Meeting Highlights

Sri Lanka

· The War Crimes Office said that the report to the Hill on alleged war crimes in Sri Lanka is due by September 21. They have prepared a 60-page draft which is now circulating for clearance. The report covers the period up to May, but Jack encouraged the Office to consider including later material if it would be helpful.

Pakistani Internally Displaced Persons

· Eric Schwartz said that the Op-ed in today's post by Morton Abramowitz criticizing the U.S. and Pakistan for allegedly forcing the return of IDPs into Swat and other areas is simply not true. Eric said he would call Mort to discuss this.

Export Controls

· Andrew Shapiro noted that the interagency process aimed at "reforming" export controls gets underway today. The goal is to have something to present to Deputies in six weeks.

Brazilian Oil Development

· David Goldwyn said that Brazil's proposed regulations for developing its vast offshore petroleum reserves were disappointing. Brazil seems to have opted for a nationalist model that will substantially delay bringing on line the most promising non-OPEC source of oil in years.

H1N1

· OES reported that the Administration will release its report on H1N1 in the Southern Hemisphere today. The analysis of the virus in several developed countries in the region suggests that it has not mutated and that the vaccine being developed should be effective. It is still not clear, however, whether one or two doses will be required.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 8:25 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Schedule

Just learned Holbrooke will be back Tues night and be in office all day Wed. I've decided not to go to Cronkite service so I can see him either Tues or Wed.

Ok on McCain mtg.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin; Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Sep 07 08:22:58 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

I made a few changes to the line block yesterday so happy to send to you after I follow up on these items -- you will have meetings this week on Iran, Iraq and Non Pro with the Iran team tomorrow.
- Hill is on Friday with the Iraq team -- you can see him privately either before or after.
- Will move Holbrooke to 8am on Thursday.
- Can I offer Collins, McCain, Graham and Lieberman Friday morning for a meeting?
- Will follow up on Daalder, Kessler, Rahm and women's lunch/dinner.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Mon Sep 07 08:04:00 2009
Subject: Schedule

For Tuesday, when will I see Daalder and Hill?

I'm not sure I have the time to go back to NY for Cronkite funeral altho I would still like to.

I need more time w Holbrooke on Thursday so see if he can be moved to 12:45 or another time that works like 8am.

I want to meet w people Thursday night so pls don't schedule anything after Capricia's event.

On Friday, can Kessler be moved to next week?

Rahm told me there may be a small mtg w the POTUS on Sunday morning so I may need to take plane back either late Sat night or early Sun am. Also Rahm said he wanted to see me alone sometime before that Sunday mtg. Pls follow up on both mtgs.

If there is a Sunday am mtg w POTUS, I will also try to go to the Ling lunch.

Why are there no early staff mtgs listed for Thurs the 10th and Fri the 11th on the line?

I see the Iran dinner on the schedule but not a women's policy lunch or dinner. Can we do that Monday the 14th or Thursday the 17th? I want to do it before the Development speech.

Talk w Cheryl and others about doing the development speech in NY combined w "town hall" at a college or other venue on Monday the 21st (which would make Philippe happy).

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 8:18 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Does it have to be secure?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 13 08:09:07 2009
Subject:

Mitchell asking to talk to you after your white house meeting fyi.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 12:31 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Fwd: Passing of Marion S. Sinek SOME BACKGROUND

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Oscar Flores <
To: H2
Sent: Tue Sep 08 12:29:37 2009
Subject: Fw: Fwd: Passing of Marion S. Sinek SOME BACKGROUND

B6

Some more info on Marion Sinek

----- Original Message -----
From:
To: Oscar Flores
Sent: Tue Sep 08 09:17:28 2009
Subject: Fwd: Passing of Marion S. Sinek SOME BACKGROUND

From: BSGERR
To:

CC:
Sent: 8/19/2009 9:23:47 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time
Subj: Fwd: Passing of Marion S. Sinek

Some of you may have already rec'd this - but just wanted to make sure -
Hank - please send to the committee -
barbara

From: memorial@sinek.us
Sent: 8/19/2009 8:50:05 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time
Subj: Passing of Marion S. Sinek

We are sad to be spreading the news of the passing of Marion Sinek, beloved mother, grandmother, and friend, and tireless public servant. She believed in the dignity of each human being, respect for all, social justice, and family.

The following text is the formal announcement of her passing:

Marion Sinek passed away peacefully on August 17, 2009 at age 78 after a long illness. She was born in Berlin, Germany, and came to the US just before the beginning of WWII. She graduated from Forest Hills High School and Syracuse University on a full scholarship. After graduate work at the London School of Economics, she worked for Radio Free Europe and the UN Association-USA in NY. Marion became very active in public affairs, serving in various roles for the League of Women voters, including President of the LWV of NY State. She later ran for office, serving for eight years as a Town Board member in New Castle before becoming its Supervisor from 2000 to 2004. She later worked with Sen. Hillary Clinton's office on election law issues, and remained active in Democratic politics and other organizations. She served on the Compensation Advisory Panel to the Westchester County Board of Legislators, and served on the boards of The Chappaqua Orchestra and the Holocaust and Human Rights Education Center. She lived in Chappaqua for almost 38 years. She was predeceased by her husband Herbert; she is survived by her children Ron and Nicole (Arnaboldi), their spouses Jennifer and Leo, and seven grandchildren. Burial will be private. A memorial will be held in September. In lieu of flowers, donations in her memory may be made to the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

We know you join us in mourning the passing of a wonderful human being.

Nicole Sinek Arnaboldi

Ron Sinek

Leo Arnaboldi
Jennifer Sinek

Peter Arnaboldi

Brenton Arnaboldi

Andrew Arnaboldi

Heather Sinek

Meg Sinek

Connor Sinek

Adrian Arnaboldi

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 7:05 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Hormats Hrg

Pls discuss w me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 10 05:17:22 2009
Subject: Fw: Hormats Hrg

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Sent: Wed Sep 09 19:31:07 2009
Subject: Hormats Hrg

Wanted to be sure to follow-up on my email from this morning with a brief recap of how things went at Bob's confirmation hearing.

[redacted]

B5
B6

[redacted]

B5
B6

Thanks.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 7:57 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 10 19:53:07 2009
Subject:

Karine will be there at 6:45 tomorrow.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2009 6:05 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

Ok. Also, what about inviting Yohannes (MCC nominee) to come to observe MCC mtg next week, meet people and be briefed. He may develop his own opinion about who he wants as his deputy. Let's discuss.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 02 05:55:24 2009
Subject: Fw: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

From: Smith, Daniel B
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Tue Sep 01 12:33:05 2009
Subject: 9/1 Senior Staff and Deputies Meetings

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

Somalia

- Cheryl noted that she needed to hear further from the Secretary on the proposed changes to the OFAC letter with respect to humanitarian assistance to Somalia and the potential for diversion. She indicated she would let Eric Schwartz know where things stand.

Afghanistan

- No one has yet seen the McChrystal Report.

Iraq

· Jack stressed his continued concern over funding for the transfer of police training responsibilities from Defense to State, noting that it remains "highly ambiguous." (The estimated cost is an initial $500 million, with an additional $700 million a year in expenses.) Defense is insisting it does not have money to transfer to State for this purpose, and the idea of a Supplemental is problematic, not the least because the timing would not coincide with the transfer of responsibilities. Jack is concerned that we will look like we are holding up the transfer.

Chinese Tires

· Jim reported that there had been another meeting on Chinese tire imports yesterday where there was interagency agreement on the "first best option," but disagreement over other options. The Chinese are in town for negotiations and there will be another meeting tomorrow after the first round. B5

Baghdad Management Issues

· Eric Boswell noted that the Department would extend B5

· Eric also noted that the roof of the USAID building in Baghdad had collapsed.

Congressional Issues

· Rich said that he met with Senator DeMint yesterday to discuss the holds on Valenzuela and Shannon. Rich thinks DeMint is prepared to let Valenzuela go forward, but would like to speak to Jim Steinberg regarding Honduras. He will insist on a debate and roll call vote for Tom Shannon. DeMint apparently warned, however, that any "substantive change" in our current policy vis-à-vis Honduras would jeopardize both nominations.

· Codel Levin will be heading to Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq, but will not overlap with Jack Lew's stay in those places.

Legal Issues

- Harold said that one fallout from the renewed focus on Libya is that some former claimants are coming back seeking new relief.

Hurricane Jimena

- I noted that Hurricane Jimena is expected to make landfall in Baja early tomorrow morning as a powerful Category 4 or 5 Hurricane. Embassy Mexico City and Consulate General Tijuana have issued warden messages and Consular Affairs has put out a travel alert advising citizens to avoid the areas likely to be hit. Many hotels in the path of the storm have been largely evacuated, but there are still many Americans who could be affected. The Ops Center is in close touch with our missions in Mexico to monitor conditions.

Public Affairs

- PJ anticipates questions about Iran's alleged willingness to restart talks and whether the Secretary will meet with Zelaya.

Deputies Meeting Highlights

Sri Lanka

- The War Crimes Office said that the report to the Hill on alleged war crimes in Sri Lanka is due by September 21. They have prepared a 60-page draft which is now circulating for clearance. The report covers the period up to May, but Jack encouraged the Office to consider including later material if it would be helpful.

Pakistani Internally Displaced Persons

- Eric Schwartz said that the Op-ed in today's post by Morton Abramowitz criticizing the U.S. and Pakistan for allegedly forcing the return of IDPs into Swat and other areas is simply not true. Eric said he would call Mort to discuss this.

Export Controls

- Andrew Shapiro noted that the interagency process aimed at "reforming" export controls gets underway today. The goal is to have something to present to Deputies in six weeks.

Brazilian Oil Development

- David Goldwyn said that Brazil's proposed regulations for developing its vast offshore petroleum reserves were disappointing. Brazil seems to have opted for a nationalist model that will substantially delay bringing on line the most promising non-OPEC source of oil in years.

H1N1

- OES reported that the Administration will release its report on H1N1 in the Southern Hemisphere today. The analysis of the virus in several developed countries in the region suggests that it has not mutated and that the vaccine being developed should be effective. It is still not clear, however, whether one or two doses will be required.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 5:38 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: urgent: G20 update

Ok. Pls send to me when ready. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; H
Sent: Fri Sep 11 17:00:39 2009
Subject: RE: urgent: G20 update

[redacted] I've asked AMS to work up some points for you – in case the opportunity arises. B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 3:46 PM
To: 'H'
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: urgent: G20 update

FYI

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 3:28 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Chollet, Derek H
Subject: urgent: G20 update

[redacted] B5

Anne-Marie Slaughter

Director of Policy Planning

U.S. Department of State

(202) 647-2972

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 7:21 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'sullivanjj@state.gov'; Huma Abedin B6
Subject: Afghanistan

Anne-Marie sent me a memo yesterday about her conversation w Sarah Chayes but when it was sent to Huma for printing It did not completely print but cut off after the first intro para. Can someone resend directly to me?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2009 4:08 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: [redacted] B6

Oh, good. Can we get it by 9/14 which is the deadline?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:07:54 2009
Subject: Re: [redacted]

You do. You took it in the senate before you left. You signed it for him. Ill see if we can get another copy.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Mon Sep 07 16:01:14 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Do you know if I have any photo w him? If not I need a photo of me to send for a surprise 50th birthday book.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 8:24 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Call list

Pls add:

Jackie Newmyer

And can you get an office # for Terry Murray?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 9, 2009 6:43 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Items for POTUS meeting

Ok. Jake--pls send me the usual memo so I can be prepared.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; H
Sent: Wed Sep 09 18:40:26 2009
Subject: Re: Items for POTUS meeting

This list works - the other items should be raised orally

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: 'H' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Sep 09 18:32:59 2009
Subject: Items for POTUS meeting

These are the items I propose to send to Denis.

B5

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 3:55 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: urgent: G20 update

What should we do about this?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Sep 11 15:45:54 2009
Subject: FW: urgent: G20 update

FYI

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 3:28 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Chollet, Derek H
Subject: urgent: G20 update

B5

Anne-Marie Slaughter

Director of Policy Planning

U.S. Department of State

(202) 647-2972

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2009 4:10 PM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Thx. We didn't get to it today. We should discuss further.

----- Original Message -----
From: Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Sep 13 08:32:53 2009
Subject:

Feel free to call if I can help with anything before the meeting today. Ops can reach me at my DC apartment.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 11:05 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Espinosa

Nothing is coming.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 11:01:16 2009
Subject: Espinosa

Clips should be coming thru now
Also, on the espinosa call, she asked to talk before the OAS meeting next week. talking points from WHA will be faxed right after clips.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 2:42 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:34:45 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 3:17 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:10:49 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:04:37 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:03:00 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:01:54 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>

To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2009 10:07 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: AAR

Do you know what Jeannine (Bloomberg) said on Washington Week last night?

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR[redacted]
To: Jake Sullivan [redacted]
Cc: H; CDM[redacted] Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 15 08:51:16 2009
Subject: AAR

Jake, when you can, I think I speak for the group when I say that we'd like and After Action Report on last night's date with Dr. Senkler - especially curious if your plan to transition from the initial expanded group to a more restricted bilateral meeting worked as planned.

And while I'm writing, here is about the most straightforward piece about HRC ever written in the NY Times - with this classic line: "...a Liberian man with a long wooden horn followed her around most of the visit, blowing out loud funny noises whenever she said something striking."

Clinton Ends Africa Tour, Vowing to Stay Involved By JEFFREY GETTLEMAN The New York Times
Published: August 15, 2009

SANTA MARIA, Cape Verde - After a grueling seven-nation, 11-day trip, Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton wrapped up her Africa tour on Friday by reaffirming her promise to renovate American relations with the continent.

"I leave Africa after this remarkable trip even more committed," Mrs. Clinton said before leaving Cape Verde.

"I have seen the joy and energy Africans have, evidenced not just by the boogieing, but by the hard work and perseverance," she said, referring to a recent comment from her husband, former President Bill Clinton, that she knew how to "boogie" with African dancers.

"And we've seen the worst humanity can do to itself," she added, presumably referring to Congo, where Mrs. Clinton met victims of a brutal civil war that just does not seem to end.

Mrs. Clinton seemed satisfied that she had accomplished her mission: strengthening American relations with crucial allies on the continent, like Nigeria and South Africa, and sending a message of "tough love," as she called it, to nations like Kenya and Congo.

Over all, she said, the United States wants to work more closely with African nations as a partner, not simply as a patron or dispenser of aid. In exchange, she said, African leaders must deliver democracy and good government.

Mrs. Clinton vowed to stay on top of Africa, even after she gets back to Washington, closely monitoring the issues she addressed on her tour. She also pointed to Cape Verde, a set of palm-studded islands best known for their beaches and enormous tourist hotels, as an example of what good leadership can do.

"This is possible," she said. "You create not just economic growth but a sense of human dignity and possibility."

Kenya. South Africa. Angola. Congo. Nigeria. Liberia. And finally Cape Verde. Mrs. Clinton saw with her own eyes some of Africa's most promising countries - and some of its most troubled ones. In Kenya, she pushed for the government to prosecute perpetrators of the postelection violence last year. In South Africa, the big issue was Zimbabwe and how the South African government should do more to help ease the crisis there.

In Angola, the theme was good governing practices and oil, a strategic concern of the United States given the many inroads China has made there and elsewhere in Africa in its hunger for natural resources. In Congo, Mrs. Clinton was passionate about the need to end the nation's rape epidemic.

"The secretary's visit to the heart of the world's deadliest war zone in eastern Congo was a potential game-changer for that conflict," said John Prendergast, a founder of the Washington-based Enough project. "Her commitment to addressing root causes such as the conflict minerals that power our electronics industry was a refreshing contrast to the usual disaster sympathy tourism of visiting dignitaries."

"Now," he added, "comes the hard part: making good on the promises and living up to the high expectations engendered by her visit."

In Nigeria, Mrs. Clinton pressed for electoral changes. In Liberia, she praised the country's president, Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, the only woman in Africa to be elected head of state.

The Liberian visit had a special warmth, almost like a meeting of long-lost cousins. Liberia was founded in the 19th century by freed American slaves, and the country's flag, the people's names and even the police uniforms with plastic-brimmed hats were all reminiscent of the American versions. Mrs. Clinton received possibly her most exuberant welcome there, and a Liberian man with a long wooden horn followed her around most of the visit, blowing out loud funny noises whenever she said something striking.

"I love that - the horn - I want to take that guy with me wherever I go," she said.

In Cape Verde, Mrs. Clinton seemed to have few bones to pick. For once, she said, she had a cheat sheet on a country that included a list of far more positives than negatives. The country has fewer than 500,000 people and has escaped the turmoil that has engulfed so much of Africa. The all-inclusive, poolside buffet resorts here feel like something found in Cancún or the Bahamas.

Mrs. Clinton called Cape Verde "a model of democracy and economic progress in Africa."

On Friday, just as she had at every stop, she started her speech by saying, "I bring you greetings from President Obama."

She called him a "son of Africa" and said that under the Obama administration, the United States was determined to help Africa reach its promise.

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 7:06 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Former South Korean President Kim Dae-Jung has died (SBU)

Will we send a funeral delegation?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 18 06:22:03 2009
Subject: Fw: Former South Korean President Kim Dae-Jung has died (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Aug 18 00:57:28 2009
Subject: Former South Korean President Kim Dae-Jung has died (SBU)

(SBU) The 2000 Nobel Peace Prize recipient suffered from heart failure, according to press. Embassy Seoul reports Kim had been ill and in the hospital.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 2:25 PM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

Melanne--I sent you the emails from Burns forwarding both Kay Warren's and Lynn Lusi's emails expressing their concerns about the partnership w Norway that I announced. I don't want to do anything that undermines Heal Africa. Will we be sending $ to them for training too? Let me know how we can answer their anxiety since I admire all they're doing. H

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 18 12:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

Burns, this is going to happen. We are committed to herwork and other docs like her. Lyn heard rumors about a competitive hospital, etc. We will work this out.
HRC did the event at her hospital, after all.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: [redacted] Verveer, Melanne S B6
Cc: [redacted]
Sent: Tue Aug 18 12:30:59 2009
Subject: Fw: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

Pls read and respond to me. This is very concerning.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 18 11:52:56 2009
Subject: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

I haven't told Kay I would be able to share this... once you read thru if you want me to do anything, any follow up just let me know....

From: Kay Warren [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:07 PM
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Would you read this?

Hi Burns,

I received the email below from Lyn Lusi, a highly trained and experienced program administrator with HEAL Africa (Congo). I tried to line her up to be a speaker at the Global Summit that Hillary attended, but we were unable to book her due to scheduling issues. She's not some random, run-of-the-mill aid worker; she's really, really special, having set up the fistula hospital and teaching hospital in Goma with her husband, Dr. Jo Lusi. Lyn sent me this email and requested I "do what I can" to encourage Hillary to empower the indigenous physicians and trained personnel in this hospital rather than bring in outside partners to start something new.

Blessings to you,

Kay

From: Lyn Lusi [mailto: Posted At: Sunday, August 16, 2009 3:21 AM Posted To: Kay Warren B6
Conversation: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa
Subject: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa

This is Lyn Lusi writing to you from HEAL Africa in Goma and your address comes from the business card you left me when you visited us. You may have heard that Secretary of State Mrs Clinton and Ambassador Ms Verveer came to HEAL Africa on Tuesday 11th August. The visit went very well, and the organisation was impeccable, so she could achieve her objectives. While in our Conference Centre, she announced a package of aid to Congo to fight Gender Based Violence.

First she congratulated HEAL Africa on the excellent work we are doing; then she announced a deal between the US and the Norwegian Government to build a state of the art fistula and teaching hospital in Goma.

She must have been aware of the shock and discouragement of all our staff. In effect she was saying:

"Your excellent work is not really excellent at all, and we will work with the Norwegians to replace you in North Kivu."

I seriously believe she was not properly briefed, because of some remarks she made as she was leaving.

This is now a request to you, and if possible, other members of the your organisation, if you would be so kind as to contact them. Nothing is set in stone, and politicians respond to pressure from their friends and constituencies. We believe that wise use of funds means building capacity among local organisations that have already proved that they can do the work, and sustain their efforts, rather than starting something new.

I am attaching below a letter like the one we have written to Mrs Clinton and a similar one to Ambassador Verveer. If you think it is appropriate, we are requesting you to write a similar letter to both of them. We are hoping that local Congolese organisations will not be excluded from these resources to build local capacity on the foundations of what is being done already.

With kind regards.

Lyn Lusi

Lyn Lusi

Program Manager HEAL Africa

[redacted] B6

www.healafrica.org

Avenue des Ronds Points 111,

Goma, North Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo

Te: +243 813674587

International Mail: BP 540 Gisenyi, Rwanda

SUGGESTED LETTER

Secretary of State Mrs Hillary Clinton

U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street NW
Washington, DC 20520

Goma, 11th August 2009

Dear Mrs Clinton,

I/ We ..... followed with interest reports of your visit to Goma on 11th August 2009, where you convened a meeting of Congolese actors in the fight against Gender Based Violence at HEAL Africa training center. The commitment of the people of the United States to working alongside Congolese associations for peace and human rights is heartening, after 16 years of endless war.

We congratulate you for this pioneering visit which gave a voice to Congolese community based organizations, who are often overpowered by international actors. We sincerely hope that the US government and USAID will take the next step in working alongside community based organizations in Eastern Congo.

After studying the announcement of the package of assistance that is being prepared to contribute to fighting Gender Based Violence in the east of Congo, we are mystified to hear that the United States and the Norwegian government have decided to build for North Kivu a centre of excellence for training doctors in fistula repair and care of mothers.

According to good development principles, outside assistance builds on what is already in place and functioning well, developing proven capacity and giving local actors the additional tools they need to do a better job.

As friends and supporters of HEAL Africa for many years, we know that this hospital has pioneered fistula repair in North Kivu and Maniema provinces since 2002, when no one else was interested in caring for women with fistula. They have four experienced surgeons trained in Addis Ababa fistula hospital, and have performed over 1600 fistula surgeries. HEAL Africa has a wide network of community based workers to identify and support patients coming and returning. HEAL Africa's surgical teams perform outreach surgery in North Kivu and Maniema provinces in local general hospitals, linked at the same time with in-service training of local staff, and referring only the most complicated cases to Goma. These services are recognized by reputable agencies since the United States through IRC, through UNICEF and through Engenderhealth, has invested in HEAL Africa staff, equipment and infrastructure over the past five year. The Spanish Embassy has supported HEAL Africa's prevention of fistula initiatives over the past four years, through their wide-reaching Safe Motherhood program, working through local associations of mothers-to-be for maternity insurance and access to safe deliveries.

HEAL Africa has a clearly defined place within the government medical system of North Kivu where they are recognized as the tertiary referral hospital of the province. Thus they are a recognized clinical training centre of the Medical Faculty of the University of Goma, offering the first two years of accredited clinical specialization in Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Family Medicine, Surgery and Orthopedics.

HEAL Africa is a Congolese not for profit association which has completely rebuilt itself after total destruction by the volcano, with assistance from many friends in USA and around the world. They have a state of the art surgical suite, but the buildings hastily erected in the aftermath of the volcano are inappropriate for the quality of care they wish to offer to patients: they deserve better.

We wish to request that the State Department give assistance to HEAL Africa to increase the quality of their services and training capacity through the newly announced package of financial aid. We would like to ask you to fund the construction of the three storey building which is the final stage of reconstruction of the hospital after the catastrophe of the volcano in January 2002. The second floor of this building will be entirely devoted to women's fistula services; and the whole hospital is devoted to teaching and preparing Congolese doctors to give excellent service in the most underserved areas of Congo.

Many visitors from the US Embassy in Kinshasa, from USAID in Washington and Nairobi, the Secretary General of the United Nations Mr Ban Ki Moon, Ms Rice from the Security Council, US senators and deputies, and a great number of aides from your government have visited HEAL Africa and have come away enchanted by a hospital of excellence run entirely by Congolese staff of the highest caliber. They are hoping that, through your visit, you will be able to advocate for the physical plant that will enable them to serve their patients even better than before, and train the next generation of doctors in an environment where it is possible to practice excellent medicine.

We remain, respectfully,

Yours sincerely,

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 3:17 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:04:59 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:04:37 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:03:00 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:01:54 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 10:32 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Let's get call set and then right before it we can do fax.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 10:09:03 2009
Subject: Re:

Also fyi, we heard eikenberry is going on sunday shows.
Holbrooke sent a memo as well that we can send over a secure fax if you'd like. Just need to know what time works for you to receive the fax.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Fri Aug 21 10:06:46 2009
Subject: Re:

Haven't gotten confirmation yet on call.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri Aug 21 07:41:04 2009
Subject: Re:

Can they do it at 10?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 07:29:12 2009
Subject:

Holbrooke and eikenberry want to talk about elections. They want to do secure. Can u give me a time that works for you to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2009 6:32 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Strobe-- Congratulations [redacted] Could B6
I call you tomorrow morning around 10? If so, what # should I call? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 19:05:36 2009
Subject: RE: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Thanks, Hillary. The notification was emphatically NOT a solicitation. Besides, you've already contributed such a vast amount-to her life, and to the celebration of it.

[redacted] B6

Happy to chat any time. I'm around all weekend. You might shoot me an email (which I'll get by BlackBerry even if I'm not at my workbench) and let me know when you want to call, or want me to call you, or whatever.
S.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 6:58 PM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Strobe--This is wonderful news and such a fitting memorial to Booke. . [redacted] Also. I would like B6
to talk w you about your ideas of what I can/should be doing. Could I call in the next few days? What times are best for you? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted] B6
Sent: Thu Aug 20 07:35:17 2009
Subject: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Friends/Family: I wanted you to see what the board of the Int'l Center for Research on Women has done. Below is what you'll find on the website http://www.awid.org/eng/Issues-and-Analysis/Library/Brooke-Shearer-Fellowship.

<http://www.awid.org/eng>

The International Center for Research of Women (ICRW). New Delhi, India.

Description:

The International Center for Research of Women (ICRW) has established a fellowship in memory of Brooke Shearer, a former ICRW board member and dedicated advocate for improving the health and economic status of women in the developing world. The Brooke Shearer fellowship in India is an opportunity for U.S. early career professionals with graduate degrees to contribute to research and programs that advance gender equality and empower women in Asia.

Shearer was a stalwart supporter and contributor to ICRW's mission and work. ICRW honors her commitment to women with a fellowship program based in our Asia Regional Office in New Delhi, India, a tribute to Shearer's fond memories of her time spent in India during her youth and her tenure on ICRW's board.

The 2009 fellow will work in collaboration with the Asia director of the social and economic development group on programs, research, advocacy, and business development related to the group's portfolio of work. The specific activities of the fellow will be determined based on the substantive and technical skills, interests, and experience of the candidate selected and the current opportunities in the group. Likely projects for engagement include:

* The PACE program-an ICRW collaboration with Gap Inc. on workplace and personal advancement of female garment workers in India, Cambodia, Bangladesh.

* The Counting Girls Project-a project examining son preference in India and China, the effectiveness of specific policy efforts in addressing sex selection and discrimination against girl children, and the potential conflict between the human rights of girls and reproductive rights for women.

* Delayed Marriage-project examining the social norms that would need to be changed to eliminate early marriage.

Primary Duties:

The fellow will engage in the following responsibilities and tasks:

* Develop technical content of projects including conceptualization, data analysis, background research, program and evaluation design, survey and instrument design;

* Work with national and international partners on technical assistance, partner engagement and support, and relationship management;

* Work on project management tasks including administration, budgets, team coordination and work plans;

* Write, synthesize and present findings in reports, briefs, papers and presentations;

* Assist with business development activities including grant writing and proposals;

* Prepare research and program findings for communication to various audiences (donors, policy-makers, program personnel, researchers) at various forums and opportunities (meetings, events, cultivation, deliverables).

Required Education, Skills, Knowledge and Abilities:

* Applicants should have a Master's degree (5 years or less) or Ph.D. (1 year or less) in the social sciences, public health, international development or a related field. Qualified candidates with relevant job experience on program or policy-relevant social science research are preferred. Due to the nature of the fellowship eligibility guidelines, only US citizens or permanent residents, residing in the US or in India, will be considered.

The following skills are required:

* Strong analytical and conceptual skills along with substantive background in gender and social science issues and research;

* Desire and commitment to link research with policy and programs;

* Strong interest in understanding on-the-ground development research and program needs and activities in Asia;

* Excellent written and verbal communication and presentation abilities;

* Ability to work collaboratively with professionals at different levels, including colleagues, partners and donor organizations;

* Strong qualitative and quantitative research skills; and

* Ability to participate in fundraising and networking activities.

Duration:

One fellow will be selected each year for a term ranging from six months to one year. The fellowship is a full-time commitment.

Terms:

The fellowship award consists of a monthly stipend, health insurance and round trip travel to India. The fellow is responsible for all local accommodations and living costs. The fellow will be provided with office space and support in ICRW's Asia Regional Office in New Delhi.

To Apply:

To apply, please submit a letter of interest and curriculum vitae to jobs@icrw.org <mailto:jobs@icrw.org> .

Applications will be reviewed on a rolling basis. Fellowship will start between September 1 and October 1, 2009.

Website: http://www.icrw.org/html/jobs/jobs.htm <http://www.icrw.org/html/jobs/jobs.htm>

Article License: Copyright - Article License Holder: ICRW

==========

Strobe Talbott

President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036

202.797.6200



B6

==========

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2009 2:48 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: ASSK Sentenced To Three Years, Yettaw To Seven Years (SBU)

Rwwwwqs7

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 11 02:37:57 2009
Subject: Fw: ASSK Sentenced To Three Years, Yettaw To Seven Years (SBU)

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Tue Aug 11 02:24:14 2009
Subject: ASSK Sentenced To Three Years, Yettaw To Seven Years (SBU)

(SBU) Media report the Burmese court found Aung San Suu Kyi and two of her assistants guilty of violating security law. The ruling junta commuted each sentence to 18 months and ordered ASSK returned to house arrest. Embassy Rangoon reports American Citizen John Yettaw received a sentence of seven years hard labor, which was not commuted.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2009 4:39 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: damn this is good...

We should figure out how to distribute this. Thx for a great trip!

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted]
To: H; [redacted]
Sent: Thu Aug 13 18:52:40 2009
Subject: damn this is good...

The first sentence sets the tone for a great piece... that first sentence has something for everyone: pride in America, empathy for do-gooders and a hard line for the hard-nosed patriots... "but Africa got one anyway."

http://www.google.com/hostednews/ap/article/ALeqM5j8RYJFZuXpCg_LY2peUQNwQxsSagD9A289D00

Preaching reform, Clinton wins African hearts

By DONNA BRYSON (AP) – 1 hour ago

JOHANNESBURG — In Liberia, Hillary Clinton brought out the crowds despite torrential rain. In Congo, she came away deeply shaken from a meeting with rape victims. Kenya's prime minister said Africa didn't need lectures from the West about democracy, but Africa got one anyway.

At home, the U.S. secretary of state's visit may have been overshadowed by the aftermath of her husband's mission to North Korea to bring home two imprisoned U.S. journalists. But on her seven-nation Africa tour, ending Friday with a stopover in the West African island republic of Cape Verde, she made one splash after another.

Coupled with Barack Obama's visit last month, the two trips to Africa were the earliest into an administration by any secretary of state or president, underlining Washington's pledges to pay more attention to the continent.

In the U.S., the headline-making moment of the trip was her testy response to a question about Bill Clinton. But in Africa, it quickly became a footnote. What people wanted to hear was support for democracy, clean government and ending its many civil wars.

Clinton's ambitious itinerary resembled those of China's foreign minister, who makes extensive annual tours of his country's allies on the continent. But where China tends to sidestep the issues of corruption democracy, Clinton confronted them head-on.

Kenyan Prime Minister Raila Odinga, speaking hours before Clinton arrived, said Africa did not need to be lectured about democracy. After they met, she did just that. "The absence of strong and effective democratic institutions has permitted

ongoing corruption, impunity, politically motivated violence and a lack of respect for a rule of law," Clinton said. "These conditions ... are continuing to hold Kenya back."

Odinga switched to a more conciliatory tone, saying African countries could learn from Clinton's example when she conceded defeat to Obama during the U.S. presidential primaries.

"That is a lesson Africa needs to learn seriously," he said. "In Africa, in many countries, elections are never won, they are only rigged. The losers never accept that they lost. If we do this, we will be able to develop democracy truly in the African continent."

In Angola, she told Foreign Minister Assuncao Afonso dos Anjos that his country needed to write a new constitution, prosecute human rights crimes and hold a proper presidential election.

"So, Mr. Minister, we have our work cut out for us," she said.

And in Nigeria, Africa's biggest oil producer, she said "the disconnect between Nigeria's wealth and its poverty is a failure of governance at the federal, state and local level."

To Africa's reformers, often an embattled minority, these were heartening words.

Emma Ezeazu, who campaigns for free and fair elections in his native Nigeria, said Clinton's visit showed that U.S. officials "are becoming more pro-active in their relationship with Nigeria, in particular on the subject of governance and democracy. They are paying more attention."

Tiseke Kasambala, a Johannesburg-based researcher for Human Rights Watch, commended her grasp of human rights abuses in Africa — not just the headline-makers, like Zimbabwe and Congo, but the lesser publicized offenders such as Angola.

In Goma, a Congo town in a region ravaged by gang rapes amid continuing fighting between army and rebels, Clinton announced $17 million in American aid to help the victims.

She toured a squalid camp of 18,000 refugees and heard one of them tell her, "We really want to return home, that's why we are asking America to help stop the fighting."

"That's why I'm here," Clinton replied. "I want you to be able to go home."

In Cape Town, South Africa, big, joyous crowds turned out for her at a housing project. In Liberia, founded in 1847 by freed American slaves, rain-drenched crowds waved U.S. and Liberian flags at Clinton's motorcade.

The crowds in Nigeria and Angola were fewer, but community and religious leaders seemed excited just to be with Clinton and be heard by her.

Nancy Kachingwe, a Malawian with the development group ActionAid, applauded Clinton's "very strong emphasis around women's rights."

Some of former President George W. Bush's Africa initiatives, particularly on AIDS, have been widely praised in Africa. But there were also sharp differences over world trade, global warming, and over the Bush administration's anti-terrorism strategy.

Washington wants to buy Africa's oil and gain access to its markets, and fears instability in places like Somalia could fuel anti-U.S. terrorism. Clinton won points by stressing that as the U.S. pursues its interests, it sees the virtue of "working with and listening to our friends and allies, and creating not a multipolar world, but a multi-partner world."

Kachingwe said what matters now is the follow-up.

"There will be a positive impact" from Clinton's trip, she said. "But somewhere along the way, we do need to see ... what's going to come to keep the momentum going."

Burns Strider

Founding Partner

The Eleison Group

www.eleisongroup.com

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 15, 2009 9:08 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Africa Bureau

I should visit to thank them Mon or Tues.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 12:21 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Tomorrow and Tuesday

May I pls get drafts for each day?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 1:01 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Tomorrow

I will have time at State tomorrow at either 2:15 or 5:45. Does either work?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 5:22 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: ANGOLA TO RECEIVE $500 MILLION INVESTMENT FROM RUSSIA

We need details from our Embassy about this deal. The Russians often overpromise. But, regardless, it just proves again why we needed to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; H
Sent: Wed Aug 19 16:50:37 2009
Subject: Re: ANGOLA TO RECEIVE $500 MILLION INVESTMENT FROM RUSSIA

I've checked in with Johnnie on this.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: 'H' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Wed Aug 19 16:34:57 2009
Subject: FW: ANGOLA TO RECEIVE $500 MILLION INVESTMENT FROM RUSSIA

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 3:09 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: ANGOLA TO RECEIVE $500 MILLION INVESTMENT FROM RUSSIA

ANGOLA TO RECEIVE $500 MILLION INVESTMENT FROM RUSSIA

(SBU) Russian state-owned mining company Alrosa will build homes, schools, and dams in addition to partnering with Angola's petroleum company Sonangol on exploration, according to press. The Angola desk notes the investment occurs as Angola attempts to diversify away from Chinese foreign investment. Embassy Luanda comments this is Russia's first entry into the oil and gas sector. (Ops/Angola desk telcon, Ops/Embassy Luanda telcon, Reuters)

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 2:31 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 2:34 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 10:45 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Did you see the photo in the NYPost of Bill and me from yesterday? It was after lunch but I didn't see anybody w a camera so obviously a long lens from afar.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 10:09:03 2009
Subject: Re:

Also fyi, we heard eikenberry is going on sunday shows.
Holbrooke sent a memo as well that we can send over a secure fax if you'd like. Just need to know what time works for you to receive the fax.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Fri Aug 21 10:06:46 2009
Subject: Re:

Haven't gotten confirmation yet on call.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri Aug 21 07:41:04 2009
Subject: Re:

Can they do it at 10?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 07:29:12 2009
Subject:

Holbrooke and eikenberry want to talk about elections. They want to do secure. Can u give me a time that works for you to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 10:52 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: MEMO: N. Ireland/CGI/Pres.Clinton/

I wouldn't be part of it.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Aug 10 10:51:19 2009
Subject: Re: MEMO: N. Ireland/CGI/Pres.Clinton/

Let's discuss - I can see how they might feel differently so we should have a frame for how we go at it if we chose this for your cgi event.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Doug Band [redacted]; Huma Abedin [redacted] B6
[redacted] B6
Cc: H2 <hr15@att.blackberry.net>
Sent: Mon Aug 10 10:46:50 2009
Subject: Fw: MEMO: N. Ireland/CGI/Pres.Clinton/

I think this is a good idea and see no conflict.

----- Original Message -----
From: [redacted] B6
To: H; MillsCD@state.gov <MillsCD@state.gov>; [redacted] B6
Sent: Sun Aug 09 09:46:49 2009
Subject: MEMO: N. Ireland/CGI/Pres.Clinton/

CONFIDENTIAL

August 9, 2009

To: Hillary
From: Sid
Re: N. Ireland forum at CGI

1. Shaun Woodward, UK Secretary of State for Northern Ireland, suggested a panel at CGI to be conducted by former President Clinton of British and Irish prime ministers, and perhaps leaders in NI, under the theme of "Implementing Peace."

2. Former President Clinton has conveyed to me his approval, contingent on approval of the State Department.

3. I have copied below (and attached) Woodward's letter to me.

4. Let me know to move this forward.

Dear Sid,

Following our conversations about the CGI, it would be good to try and explore further now with President Clinton and State the idea for an event at the CGI for Northern Ireland.

As you know, and very much thanks to the help again of HRC, we hope to be able to move forward with the final phase of the political process in the Autumn.

As the CGI coincides with the UN meeting and also the G20, we should explore the idea of whether we could bring together and participation of both the British and Irish Prime Ministers under the auspices of the CGI. The theme could be 'Implementing Peace'. The session would explore the steps for the peace and political process in NI and obviously a session could have wider application into say the Middle East peace and political process.

To take this forward it would be helpful to explore this with President Clinton and State, and following their expression of interest/approval, I would take this forward with the British and Irish Prime Ministers.

Let me know your thoughts.

Best

Shaun

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 5:07 PM
To: 'muscatinel@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: FYI.... FW: Secretary Clinton Must Focus on Cause of Congo Conflict

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 10 16:50:54 2009
Subject: FYI.... FW: Secretary Clinton Must Focus on Cause of Congo Conflict

No endorsement... just sharing what's in my inbox:

From: The Enough Project [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 4:43 PM
To:[redacted]
Subject: Secretary Clinton Must Focus on Cause of Congo Conflict

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton should focus on the fuel which drives the violence in Congo, the conflict minerals extracted from the eastern war zone and widely used by our consumer electronics industry. "Clinton's visit to Goma tomorrow provides the opportunity for the United States to deepen its overdue engagement in search of a solution for the world's deadliest war," Enough Project co-founder John Prendergast said today.

"Tantalum helps cool cell phones and laptops. Tungsten enables the vibration function in a cell phone. Tin is a solder for most circuit boards in nearly every electronic product. And gold is used to encase wiring. Until the trade in minerals becomes legal and transparent, there will be no peace in Congo," Prendergast said.

Clinton earlier highlighted the role of conflict minerals in what she called "the unspeakable violence against women and girls in eastern Congo."

"It is the worst example of man's inhumanity to women. And women are being used in conflicts. Now, what are the conflicts about? Well, yes, there are tribal and other reasons why the conflicts are going on, but get below the surface. It's because there are mines in eastern Congo that produce the minerals that go into our cell phones and our other electronics. There is a lot of money being made by a lot of people, but it sure isn't helping the people of the DRC," Clinton said in an interview in Nairobi.

The majority of sexual violence cases reported in North Kivu since January have been attributed to government soldiers according to the Congo Advocacy Coalition, a group of 88 humanitarian and human rights organizations, including Enough Project. Early last month the Congolese Government announced a policy of "zero tolerance" for abuses committed by army soldiers and said commanders who permit their troops to commit such crimes will be held responsible but to date, only a handful of officers have been arrested.

"The increase in rape in an area where already so many women and girls have been victims is deplorable," said Olivia Caeymaex of Enough. "Ending impunity for rape and other serious crimes needs to be at the heart of any strategy to combat sexual violence. Secretary Clinton should ensure US diplomatic and financial assets are focused on bringing to justice those who are most responsible."

WATCH <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=a4rUrIMdpIYduXZhfj83SGmGnHaKXNYs> Enough Policy adviser Colin Thomas-Jensen discussing the trip.

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=ENJrNg9QwzkgeiCqpeOW32mGnHaKXNYs>

Aug. 10, 2009

Secretary Clinton Must Focus on Cause of Congo Conflict

Woman in Congo <http://www2.americanprogress.org/images/WomenInBed.jpg>

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton should focus on the fuel which drives the violence in Congo, the conflict minerals extracted from the eastern war zone and widely used by our consumer electronics industry. "Clinton's visit to Goma tomorrow provides the opportunity for the United States to deepen its overdue engagement in search of a solution for the world's deadliest war," Enough Project co-founder John Prendergast said today.

"Tantalum helps cool cell phones and laptops. Tungsten enables the vibration function in a cell phone. Tin is a solder for most circuit boards in nearly every electronic product. And gold is used to encase wiring. Until the trade in minerals becomes legal and transparent, there will be no peace in Congo," Prendergast said.

Clinton earlier highlighted the role of conflict minerals in what she called "the unspeakable violence against women and girls in eastern Congo."

"It is the worst example of man's inhumanity to women. And women are being used in conflicts. Now, what are the conflicts about? Well, yes, there are tribal and other reasons why the conflicts are going on, but get below the surface. It's because there are mines in eastern Congo that produce the minerals that go into our cell phones and our other electronics. There is a lot of money being made by a lot of people, but it sure isn't helping the people of the DRC," Clinton said in an interview in Nairobi.

The majority of sexual violence cases reported in North Kivu since January have been attributed to government soldiers according to the Congo Advocacy Coalition, a group of 88 humanitarian and human rights organizations, including Enough Project. Early last month the Congolese Government announced a policy of "zero tolerance" for abuses committed by army soldiers and said commanders who permit their troops to commit such crimes will be held responsible but to date, only a handful of officers have been arrested.

"The increase in rape in an area where already so many women and girls have been victims is deplorable," said Olivia Caeymaex of Enough. "Ending impunity for rape and other serious crimes needs to be at the heart of any strategy to combat sexual violence. Secretary Clinton should ensure US diplomatic and financial assets are focused on bringing to justice those who are most responsible."

WATCH <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=a4rUrIMdpIYduXZhfj83SGmGnHaKXNYs> Enough Policy adviser Colin Thomas-Jensen discussing the trip.

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=qxK%2BCjdpAMw1Y7X8EDa9sGmGnHaKXNYs>

READ <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=%2BbQ9ckoA9ZIfYGXEr4yvXZ4MJWhAnPnX> Enough Co-founder John Prendergast discusses our link to the conflict in the Boston Globe

READ <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=0pzy%2FGkPFO%2BlF7HVKSk6LmmGnHaKXNYs> a statement from the Congo Advocacy Coalition

TAKE ACTION:

Secretary Clinton's office has set up a website that will direct texts and emails to her when she's in Africa

TEXT THE SECRETARY on CONGO at 90822
"HELP WOMEN IN CONGO, STOP THE CONFLICT MINERALS TRADE"
Secretary Clinton should champion the conflict minerals legislation to ensure that it's signed into law and and implemented properly. You can text the message or email here.
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=PRlabT1%2FbpA3qy3oHZF4vGmGnHaKXNYs>

TEXT THE SECRETARY on SUDAN at 90822
"DO NOT END SUDAN SANCTIONS WITHOUT REAL PROGRESS"
At a recent Senate hearing on Sudan, Special Envoy Gration asked for "more space on sanctions" claiming that they were hindering development in southern Sudan. Congress already took action in 2006 to create significant exceptions for the south and other marginalized areas of Sudan for development purposes.

We should not roll back the sanctions. We need assurances from Secretary Clinton that the Obama Administration will support keeping the sanctions in place until the Sudan government fulfills its obligations on the Comprehensive Peace Agreement and the peace process in Darfur. You can text the message or email here.
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=lOdKDupyOTBsqAOB08z5BmmGnHaKXNYs>

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=GkHi405i0sFD9Ghx3OljlWmGnHaKXNYs>

Women running from destruction <http://www2.americanprogress.org/images/CongoWomenRunning.jpg>

A woman carrying her child runs for safety from the burning compound of presidential candidate Jean-Pierre Bemba's bodyguards in Congo's capital, Kinshasa, in July 2006. Congo's largely successful elections in 2006 have not proven to be a panacea to Congo's ills; 45,000 people die each month, mostly from the crippling effects of widespread conflict in the Congo's eastern provinces.

<http://www.raisehopeforcongo.org/files/u6/enough_reports.jpg>

- Eastern Congo: An Action Plan to End the World's Deadliest War
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=HClOki1H9yS8g72QjbM%2BEmmGnHaKXNYs>

- Confronting Rape and Other Forms of Violence Against Women in Conflict Zones
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=EFYVfyhhkSO%2FtkuWJK%2BVjmmGnHaKXNYs>

- A Comprehensive Approach to Congo's Conflict Minerals
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=ZqBCKWgY3s4fYGXEr4yvXZ4MJWhAnPnX>

Check out Enough's blog Enough Said Blog Logo
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=0WKh4y7QgP8duXZhfj83SGmGnHaKXNYs>

- In New Report, Secretary Ban Calls Attention to Sexual Violence
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=YPiiTJTwUTM1Y7X8EDa9sGmGnHaKXNYs>

- In Their Own Words: Survivors, Perpetrators Talk about Rape in Congo

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=8KaVbjrXPdHFjLG5V24U8WmGnHaKXNYs>

- Congo's Courageous Men
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=uW%2FcC6EqhymlF7HVKSk6LmmGnHaKXNYs>

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=a4jqm0ZOXU83qy3oHZF4vGmGnHaKXNYs>

READ <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=3lxZUbp8ouBsqAOB08z5BmmGnHaKXNYs> or SUBSCRIBE <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=AEmOvuv8wlRD9Ghx3OljlWmGnHaKXNYs> .

Newsletter <http://www.enoughproject.org/files/images/nletter_block160x196.jpg> Follow <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=0rDQQ3V4MmBWF%2BPon%2Bxmjm1WNp9EkoOk> us on Twitter

SIGN UP
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=%2FRPqARdsT4C%2FtkuWJK%2BVjmmGnHaKXNYs> for the Enough newsletter.

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=SdWYcE8%2B%2F54geiCqpeOW32mGnHaKXNYs>

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=q8UZMp08FKQduXZhfj83SGmGnHaKXNYs>

WATCH
<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=CAAmhikjMG5WF%2BPon%2Bxmjm1WNp9EkoOk> a slide show detailing how conflict minerals go from the mines of Congo to your cell phone, iPod, and other electronics products.

Enough Project | 1225 Eye Street NW, Suite 307 | Washington, D.C. 20005 Enough is a project to end genocide and crimes against humanity. For more information, go to www.enoughproject.org <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=ECvrTAVRhm3FjLG5V24U8WmGnHaKXNYs> .

This e-mail is being sent to you because you have signed up with the Enough Project e-mail list. If you find this information helpful, please pass it on <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=CU3pxoxCv0KlF7HVKSk6LmmGnHaKXNYs> to a colleague/friend or have them sign up at www.enoughproject.org <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=poilH5lWVitWF%2BPon%2Bxmjm1WNp9EkoOk> . Send comments to enough@enoughproject.org.
We respect your right to privacy. View our privacy policy <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=F%2BeeMkytPPBsqAOB08z5BmmGnHaKXNYs> .
Contact: Information & Questions <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=kxdhL2ZF2T9D9Ghx3OljlWmGnHaKXNYs> | Webmaster <mailto:thidru@enoughproject.org> | Unsubscribe from this e-mail list <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=3l5xL3Vvdm%2B8g72QjbM%2BEmmGnHaKXNYs>
© 2008 Center for American Progress, All Rights Reserved.

Click here <http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/track.jsp?v=2&c=MXaxiQVMOb2%2FtkuWJK%2BVjmmGnHaKXNYs> and enter your e-mail address to stop receiving information from us.

<http://capweb.democracyinaction.org/dia/TrackImage?key=299324261>

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 12:30 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

Pls print three copies of this for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 18 11:52:56 2009
Subject: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

I haven't told Kay I would be able to share this... once you read thru if you want me to do anything, any follow up just let me know....

From: Kay Warren [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:07 PM
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Would you read this?

Hi Burns,

I received the email below from Lyn Lusi, a highly trained and experienced program administrator with HEAL Africa (Congo). I tried to line her up to be a speaker at the Global Summit that Hillary attended, but we were unable to book her due to scheduling issues. She's not some random, run-of-the-mill aid worker; she's really, really special, having set up the fistula hospital and teaching hospital in Goma with her husband, Dr. Jo Lusi. Lyn sent me this email and requested I "do what I can" to encourage Hillary to empower the indigenous physicians and trained personnel in this hospital rather than bring in outside partners to start something new.

Blessings to you,

Kay

From: Lyn Lusi [mailto:[redacted] Posted At: Sunday, August 16, 2009 3:21 AM Posted To: Kay Warren B6

Conversation: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa
Subject: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa

This is Lyn Lusi writing to you from HEAL Africa in Goma and your address comes from the business card you left me when you visited us. You may have heard that Secretary of State Mrs Clinton and Ambassador Ms Verveer came to HEAL Africa on Tuesday 11th August. The visit went very well, and the organisation was impeccable, so she could achieve her objectives. While in our Conference Centre, she announced a package of aid to Congo to fight Gender Based Violence.

First she congratulated HEAL Africa on the excellent work we are doing; then she announced a deal between the US and the Norwegian Government to build a state of the art fistula and teaching hospital in Goma.

She must have been aware of the shock and discouragement of all our staff. In effect she was saying:

"Your excellent work is not really excellent at all, and we will work with the Norwegians to replace you in North Kivu."

I seriously believe she was not properly briefed, because of some remarks she made as she was leaving.

This is now a request to you, and if possible, other members of the your organisation, if you would be so kind as to contact them. Nothing is set in stone, and politicians respond to pressure from their friends and constituencies. We believe that wise use of funds means building capacity among local organisations that have already proved that they can do the work, and sustain their efforts, rather than starting something new.

I am attaching below a letter like the one we have written to Mrs Clinton and a similar one to Ambassador Verveer. If you think it is appropriate, we are requesting you to write a similar letter to both of them. We are hoping that local Congolese organisations will not be excluded from these resources to build local capacity on the foundations of what is being done already.

With kind regards.

Lyn Lusi

Lyn Lusi

Program Manager HEAL Africa

B6

www.healafrica.org

Avenue des Ronds Points 111,

Goma, North Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo

Te: +243 813674587

International Mail: BP 540 Gisenyi, Rwanda

SUGGESTED LETTER

Secretary of State Mrs Hillary Clinton

U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street NW
Washington, DC 20520

Goma, 11th August 2009

Dear Mrs Clinton,

I/ We ..... followed with interest reports of your visit to Goma on 11th August 2009, where you convened a meeting of Congolese actors in the fight against Gender Based Violence at HEAL Africa training center. The commitment of the people of the United States to working alongside Congolese associations for peace and human rights is heartening, after 16 years of endless war.

We congratulate you for this pioneering visit which gave a voice to Congolese community based organizations, who are often overpowered by international actors. We sincerely hope that the US government and USAID will take the next step in working alongside community based organizations in Eastern Congo.

After studying the announcement of the package of assistance that is being prepared to contribute to fighting Gender Based Violence in the east of Congo, we are mystified to hear that the United States and the Norwegian government have decided to build for North Kivu a centre of excellence for training doctors in fistula repair and care of mothers.

According to good development principles, outside assistance builds on what is already in place and functioning well, developing proven capacity and giving local actors the additional tools they need to do a better job.

As friends and supporters of HEAL Africa for many years, we know that this hospital has pioneered fistula repair in North Kivu and Maniema provinces since 2002, when no one else was interested in caring for women with fistula. They have four experienced surgeons trained in Addis Ababa fistula hospital, and have performed over 1600 fistula surgeries. HEAL Africa has a wide network of community based workers to identify and support patients coming and returning. HEAL Africa's surgical teams perform outreach surgery in North Kivu and Maniema provinces in local general hospitals, linked at the same time with in-service training of local staff, and referring only the most complicated cases to Goma. These services are recognized by reputable agencies since the United States through IRC, through UNICEF and through Engenderhealth, has invested in HEAL Africa staff, equipment and infrastructure over the past five year. The Spanish Embassy has supported HEAL Africa's prevention of fistula initiatives over the past four years, through their wide-reaching Safe Motherhood program, working through local associations of mothers-to-be for maternity insurance and access to safe deliveries.

HEAL Africa has a clearly defined place within the government medical system of North Kivu where they are recognized as the tertiary referral hospital of the province. Thus they are a recognized clinical training centre of the Medical Faculty of the University of Goma, offering the first two years of accredited clinical specialization in Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Family Medicine, Surgery and Orthopedics.

HEAL Africa is a Congolese not for profit association which has completely rebuilt itself after total destruction by the volcano, with assistance from many friends in USA and around the world. They have a state of the art surgical suite, but the buildings hastily erected in the aftermath of the volcano are inappropriate for the quality of care they wish to offer to patients: they deserve better.

We wish to request that the State Department give assistance to HEAL Africa to increase the quality of their services and training capacity through the newly announced package of financial aid. We would like to ask you to fund the construction of the three storey building which is the final stage of reconstruction of the hospital after the catastrophe of the volcano in January 2002. The second floor of this building will be entirely devoted to women's fistula services; and the whole hospital is devoted to teaching and preparing Congolese doctors to give excellent service in the most underserved areas of Congo.

Many visitors from the US Embassy in Kinshasa, from USAID in Washington and Nairobi, the Secretary General of the United Nations Mr Ban Ki Moon, Ms Rice from the Security Council, US senators and deputies, and a great number of aides from your government have visited HEAL Africa and have come away enchanted by a hospital of excellence run entirely by Congolese staff of the highest caliber. They are hoping that, through your visit, you will be able to advocate

for the physical plant that will enable them to serve their patients even better than before, and train the next generation of doctors in an environment where it is possible to practice excellent medicine.

We remain, respectfully,

Yours sincerely,

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 12:15 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Sept 9

I really want to go to Cronkite's service. Can we change time for MCC to late afternoon? If not, can Jack preside?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2009 10:27 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: UNGA

Could we do the Women FMs and Heads of State as a dinner instead of a lunch on 9/24?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 3:44 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:17:38 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:16:33 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:10:49 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:04:37 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:03:00 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:01:54 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2009 5:17 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Cc: H2
Subject: Followup

B6

Is there a record of who attends the bilat mtgs I hold?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:25 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Webb

I'm talking w Jones next. If we can do Webb today even a short call, that would be good.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 16 10:12:44 2009
Subject: Re: Webb

And he's in bangkok. So 11 hours ahead. Can also talk tomorrow morning our time.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sun Aug 16 08:55:19 2009
Subject: Webb

Jake says you want to talk to sen webb today? What time would u like to set it up?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 1:00 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: TIME-SENSITIVE: HONDURAS

I am willing to take additional steps but I'd like them to be fully vetted. Can you work w AMS, Steinberg and WHA to make proposal tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sun Aug 16 12:45:38 2009
Subject: FW: TIME-SENSITIVE: HONDURAS

FYI.

-----Original Message-----
From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 12:23 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D; Chollet, Derek H
Subject: TIME-SENSITIVE: HONDURAS

Jake -- Peter Harrell and I have been concerned all last week that we are really losing the initiative on Honduras and that some more forceful intervention is needed. I think this is a real opportunity for S to get her first real diplomatic win on resolving a crisis. She looked great at the outset of this crisis, but now American policy seems to be drifting. It's also a chance to turn her and POTUS's recent words on democracy into action. Pse get the attached 1 page memo to her today; I have been working w/ WHA but they take forever and Tom is obviously in a delicate position. I'm also pasting it in below, in addition to a cable from our ambassador that she has probably seen making some of the same points. We really need to move here to avoid further damage; on the upside we have the possibility of a real win. I'll make the argument directly in the early morning mtg tomorrow, but I'd like her to see this first.
AM

UNCLASSIFIED

FOR THE SECRETARY

FROM: Anne-Marie Slaughter
SUBJECT: Moving Forward on Honduras

I got lots of signals last week that we are losing ground in Latin America every day the Honduras crisis continues; high level people from both the business and the NGO community say that even our friends are beginning to think we are not really committed to the norm of constitutional democracy we have worked so hard to build over the last 20 year. The current stalemate favors the status quo; the de facto regime has every incentive to run out the clock as long as they think we will have to accept any post-election government You played an important leadership role at the outset of the crisis; I urge you to think about taking bold action now to breathe new life into the process and signal that regardless what happens on the Hill, you and the president are serious. WHA and I are working on a memo proposing some

options, but experience has taught me that this could take several days. So I wanted to give you a heads up this weekend.

We need to strengthen both the carrots and the sticks in this process. Re the de factos, we should find that coup was a "military coup" under U.S. law and revoke the visas of more de facto regime members. We should also go after the visas of a few prominent de facto supporters in Honduras's business community, signaling that we'll widen this net if negotiations fail. We should also make noises about prohibiting U.S. companies from doing business with companies controlled the de factos, though we have to be careful about the impact on Honduran institutions.

B5

CABLE FROM AMB. Llorens, SENT YESTERDAY MORNING.

AIL: I received a call from Arias late last night. He seemed to be recovering well from the flu but was at his home resting. I briefed him on how I saw the situation in Honduras. I stressed the importance of a successful visit by the OAS FMs. I agreed M's recent public comments again suggesting that Insulza was not welcomed were unhelpful. I noted that somewhat better was the statement made by the Corrales delegation yesterday at a press conference in Toncontin Airport upon returning from DC reaffirming that Insulza was expected to be with the delegation. I told Arias the importance of arranging a substantive schedule for the FMs w regime, civil society, business, and religious leaders as well as pro-Zelaya social sector supporters. I also stressed the importance of giving these folks a fair hearing but also that it was critical that the FMs explain the international community's expectations and strong hope that both sides return quickly to San Jose and reach and sign a final agreement.

Arias concurred that that was also his expectation. He expressed concern that the regime may be seeking to stall and delay. He said the regime delegation did not seemed fully committed to reaching a deal and seemed focused on tinkering with the political amnesty question. Arias believes that the core regime supporters in the business community were still strongly resisting an agreement. He suggested that the US needed to increase the pressure on these folks and consider taking away their visas and possibly freeze their bank accounts. I said I would pass on his suggestion. I said we were reaching out to people in Honduras and urging the importance of a succesful FMs meeting as a prelude to a final agreement. I said I would also speak to Corrales and get him focused on having the regime prepared for the FMs with creative and concrete ways of bolstering the enforcement aspects of a final deal. Arias thanked our support and we agreed to speak again over the weekend. Hugo

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 7:05 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: PC today

Given my schedule today, I really don't/can't go to Gitmo PC. I think either Jack or Cheryl, accompanied by Harold and Dan Fried should rep State. Or even Harold could go solo. Ok by you?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 2:07 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Zebari call sheet

Set it up and also call to Chris Hill. Or I can call Ops directly. Where are you guys?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 19 14:04:31 2009
Subject: Zebari call sheet

I can set this up thru ops if u want to call.
The Secretary's Call Sheet for
Iraqi Foreign Minister Hoshyar Zebari

Purpose of Call: To express your condolences regarding the deaths of Iraqi MFA personnel in the August 19 attack on the Iraqi Foreign Ministry.

- I want to personally express my heartfelt condolences to you for the savage attack on the Iraqi Ministry of Foreign Affairs today and for the tragic loss of so many Iraqi lives around Baghdad and particularly at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

- I remember fondly our meeting at the MFA in April – I was proud to be the first senior U.S. official to attend a meeting there, and I was deeply saddened to hear that your Ministry was attacked.

- This is a trying day for Iraqis and the Government of Iraq, but brutal attacks like these only deepen the need for dedicated Iraqi like you and those in your Ministry, to remain committed to the new Iraq.

- Know that the United States will continue to stand by Iraq as it faces these challenges.

Background: On Wednesday, August 19, what appears to be a series of VBIED and additional rocket attacks in Baghdad resulted in the bloodiest day in Baghdad since the June 30 withdrawal of U.S. forces from urban areas. Although exact numbers have not been confirmed, media is reporting that approximately 75 people were killed across Baghdad with over 100 injuries. The most devastating attack appears to have been against the Iraqi MFA, where a VBIED was exploded in front of the main gate. Zebari's Chief of Staff estimated that there were 35-40 fatalities and up to 40 injuries resulting from this attack, with 70% of the building damaged. In April, you were the first senior U.S. official to hold a meeting at the MFA. Deputy Foreign Minister Labid Abbawi, who attended your meeting at the MFA and who's wife led your meeting with Iraqi war widows, was reportedly slightly injured and quite shaken by the attack. He may have lost a number of staff members. At this point, we do not know if other senior-ranking MFA officials were killed or wounded in the attack.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can they do it at 10?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 07:29:12 2009
Subject:

Holbrooke and eikenberry want to talk about elections. They want to do secure. Can u give me a time that works for you to talk?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 2:56 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2009 6:37 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule followup

Mary Landrieu asked me to attend a dinner about foster care. Do you have any details? Also she mentioned an event at the White House about adoption and foster care. Have you heard anything about this? Can you call Landrieu's office to follow up?

I told Kouchner I would call him on 8/31. Pls remind me.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 7:40 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

Could you be sure I get this article?

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 07:34:45 2009
Subject: RE: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

Someone sent this article to me yesterday it was entitled:

"It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?
She's not answering those crisis calls at the White House. But she's quietly revolutionizing American foreign policy."

By David Rothkopf
Sunday, August 23, 2009

[redacted] B6

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 10:18 PM
To: Roy Spence
Subject: Re: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

I can imagine how intense this week was as you and the entire Spence clan gave Big Roy the best ever sendoff.

Does your title refer to the ad or something else? [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 23 19:17:13 2009
Subject: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

---

This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us

immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.
----------ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 3:02 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'reinesp@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 12:31 PM
To: [redacted]; 'verveerms@state.gov' B6
Cc: H2
Subject: Fw: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

Pls read and respond to me. This is very concerning.

----- Original Message -----
From: Burns Strider [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 18 11:52:56 2009
Subject: Hey boss... from Kay Warren...

I haven't told Kay I would be able to share this... once you read thru if you want me to do anything, any follow up just let me know....

From: Kay Warren [mailto:[redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:07 PM
To: Burns Strider
Subject: Would you read this?

Hi Burns,

I received the email below from Lyn Lusi, a highly trained and experienced program administrator with HEAL Africa (Congo). I tried to line her up to be a speaker at the Global Summit that Hillary attended, but we were unable to book her due to scheduling issues. She's not some random, run-of-the-mill aid worker; she's really, really special, having set up the fistula hospital and teaching hospital in Goma with her husband, Dr. Jo Lusi. Lyn sent me this email and requested I "do what I can" to encourage Hillary to empower the indigenous physicians and trained personnel in this hospital rather than bring in outside partners to start something new.

Blessings to you,

Kay

From: Lyn Lusi [mailto:] Posted At: Sunday, August 16, 2009 3:21 AM Posted To: Kay Warren B6
Conversation: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa
Subject: Suggested letter for follow up to Mrs Clinton's visit to HEAL Africa

This is Lyn Lusi writing to you from HEAL Africa in Goma and your address comes from the business card you left me when you visited us. You may have heard that Secretary of State Mrs Clinton and Ambassador Ms Verveer came to HEAL Africa on Tuesday 11th August. The visit went very well, and the organisation was impeccable, so she could achieve her objectives. While in our Conference Centre, she announced a package of aid to Congo to fight Gender Based Violence.

First she congratulated HEAL Africa on the excellent work we are doing; then she announced a deal between the US and the Norwegian Government to build a state of the art fistula and teaching hospital in Goma.

She must have been aware of the shock and discouragement of all our staff. In effect she was saying:

"Your excellent work is not really excellent at all, and we will work with the Norwegians to replace you in North Kivu."

I seriously believe she was not properly briefed, because of some remarks she made as she was leaving.

This is now a request to you, and if possible, other members of the your organisation, if you would be so kind as to contact them. Nothing is set in stone, and politicians respond to pressure from their friends and constituencies. We believe that wise use of funds means building capacity among local organisations that have already proved that they can do the work, and sustain their efforts, rather than starting something new.

I am attaching below a letter like the one we have written to Mrs Clinton and a similar one to Ambassador Verveer. If you think it is appropriate, we are requesting you to write a similar letter to both of them. We are hoping that local Congolese organisations will not be excluded from these resources to build local capacity on the foundations of what is being done already.

With kind regards.

Lyn Lusi

Lyn Lusi

Program Manager HEAL Africa

B6

www.healafrica.org

Avenue des Ronds Points 111,

Goma, North Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo

Te: +243 813674587

International Mail: BP 540 Gisenyi, Rwanda

SUGGESTED LETTER

Secretary of State Mrs Hillary Clinton

U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street NW
Washington, DC 20520

Goma, 11th August 2009

Dear Mrs Clinton,

I/ We ..... followed with interest reports of your visit to Goma on 11th August 2009, where you convened a meeting of Congolese actors in the fight against Gender Based Violence at HEAL Africa training center. The commitment of the people of the United States to working alongside Congolese associations for peace and human rights is heartening, after 16 years of endless war.

We congratulate you for this pioneering visit which gave a voice to Congolese community based organizations, who are often overpowered by international actors. We sincerely hope that the US government and USAID will take the next step in working alongside community based organizations in Eastern Congo.

After studying the announcement of the package of assistance that is being prepared to contribute to fighting Gender Based Violence in the east of Congo, we are mystified to hear that the United States and the Norwegian government have decided to build for North Kivu a centre of excellence for training doctors in fistula repair and care of mothers.

According to good development principles, outside assistance builds on what is already in place and functioning well, developing proven capacity and giving local actors the additional tools they need to do a better job.

As friends and supporters of HEAL Africa for many years, we know that this hospital has pioneered fistula repair in North Kivu and Maniema provinces since 2002, when no one else was interested in caring for women with fistula. They have four experienced surgeons trained in Addis Ababa fistula hospital, and have performed over 1600 fistula surgeries. HEAL Africa has a wide network of community based workers to identify and support patients coming and returning. HEAL Africa's surgical teams perform outreach surgery in North Kivu and Maniema provinces in local general hospitals, linked at the same time with in-service training of local staff, and referring only the most complicated cases to Goma. These services are recognized by reputable agencies since the United States through IRC, through UNICEF and through Engenderhealth, has invested in HEAL Africa staff, equipment and infrastructure over the past five year. The Spanish Embassy has supported HEAL Africa's prevention of fistula initiatives over the past four years, through their wide-reaching Safe Motherhood program, working through local associations of mothers-to-be for maternity insurance and access to safe deliveries.

HEAL Africa has a clearly defined place within the government medical system of North Kivu where they are recognized as the tertiary referral hospital of the province. Thus they are a recognized clinical training centre of the Medical Faculty of the University of Goma, offering the first two years of accredited clinical specialization in Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Family Medicine, Surgery and Orthopedics.

HEAL Africa is a Congolese not for profit association which has completely rebuilt itself after total destruction by the volcano, with assistance from many friends in USA and around the world. They have a state of the art surgical suite, but the buildings hastily erected in the aftermath of the volcano are inappropriate for the quality of care they wish to offer to patients: they deserve better.

We wish to request that the State Department give assistance to HEAL Africa to increase the quality of their services and training capacity through the newly announced package of financial aid. We would like to ask you to fund the construction of the three storey building which is the final stage of reconstruction of the hospital after the catastrophe of the volcano in January 2002. The second floor of this building will be entirely devoted to women's fistula services; and the whole hospital is devoted to teaching and preparing Congolese doctors to give excellent service in the most underserved areas of Congo.

Many visitors from the US Embassy in Kinshasa, from USAID in Washington and Nairobi, the Secretary General of the United Nations Mr Ban Ki Moon, Ms Rice from the Security Council, US senators and deputies, and a great number of aides from your government have visited HEAL Africa and have come away enchanted by a hospital of excellence run entirely by Congolese staff of the highest caliber. They are hoping that, through your visit, you will be able to advocate

for the physical plant that will enable them to serve their patients even better than before, and train the next generation of doctors in an environment where it is possible to practice excellent medicine.

We remain, respectfully,

Yours sincerely,

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 8:15 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I'm delighted you're recharging so pls take whatever time you need/want. Fish for positive thoughts!!

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 19 07:58:42 2009
Subject:

Good morning -- I realized yesterday to my chagrin that I did not discuss with you taking a few days off. [redacted] B6

Please don't hesitate to reach out if you need anything at all. Also, I will be taking this time to work up some stuff for the QDDR and to think through how to advance your priorities and the major issues through the fall (I'll be on the phone with Strobe and Sandy and others).

I will likely be back in next Monday, but am holding open the possibility of working remotely for a couple more days.

My goal is to be productive through excaping -- and to have a little fun on the side.

I hope you enjoy your time off and don't work to hard.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 12:20 PM
To: 'hanleymr@state.gov'
Subject: Fed ex

I came home early because of the hurricane. Do you know when the box will arrive today?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 12:58 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Espinosa

We should schedule her call today or Monday.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 11:01:16 2009
Subject: Espinosa

Clips should be coming thru now
Also, on the espinosa call, she asked to talk before the OAS meeting next week. talking points from WHA will be faxed right after clips.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 3:05 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:03:00 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 15:01:54 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:46:03 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Reines, Philippe I
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:35:01 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>

Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2009 5:17 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Cc: H2
Subject: Schedule odds+ends

There is an Oct 16th George Marshall event at the Department. Do we have it on tentative calendar?

Have we scheduled a Sept event for all the groups doing econ and other work w Palestinians? Mara Rudman is working on it for Mitchell.

Starting in Sept I want to have a weekly lunch/mtg w Steinberg and one w the undersecs. I also want to schedule for early Sept, hour mtgs w each bureau to dscuss priorities.

When can I visit FSI?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2009 4:03 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Time with S

I think Lona already confirmed swearing in for Monday. I'd also be happy to see him then too. Huma will check.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Aug 13 03:55:08 2009
Subject: Fw: Time with S

Fyi

From: Pascual, Carlos (Mexico City)
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Wed Aug 12 16:12:14 2009
Subject: Time with S

Cheryl –

Well, I finally made it. Quite a start to be able to ride in with the President in AF1 and then roll into meetings with Calderon and the leadership of the Mexican government. I will spend this week in Mexico. I will be back next week to pack out, but will also follow up on a number of other issues while I am there.

I wanted to ask if you thought it might be possible to get a bit of time with the Secretary. There are two requests going through the system. One is for a formal swearing in. I understand that she has one swearing in at 4:00 on Monday in the Ben Franklin room, so one option would be if she could do the same for me in the Treaty Room at 4:30. I know this is short notice – this has been one of those cases where planning has alluded us.

B6

The second request is for a meeting with the Secretary. I would very much appreciate the chance to go over priorities moving forward, as well as provide a few initial observations.

Sorry to have to bother you with this. If it were not for the short timeframe and [redacted] I would not want to trouble you with this kind of thing. B6

Many thanks,

Carlos

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 5:10 PM
To: 'hanleymr@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Question

Thx. Tomorrow I will want you to go to my house and pick up something to send me overnight.

----- Original Message -----
From: Hanley, Monica R <HanleyMR@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 23 15:18:58 2009
Subject: Re: Question

Sorry for the delay. Yes, I planned on being here all week.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Hanley, Monica R
Sent: Sun Aug 23 13:05:20 2009
Subject: Question

Will you be in DC this week?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2009 5:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Couple notes

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 14 17:42:08 2009
Subject: Couple notes

- lisa bardack coming at 9:30 tomorrow. She says that time works best for her.
- the budget binder is missing a few things and they need to replace some things. There's a complete and correct copy being fedexed to chappaqua for tomorrow morning delivery.
- pdb will be faxed at 9am tomorrow

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 11:44 AM
To: 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Subject: Columbia DCA

Can you give me the background on this both for the mtg and because Lula wants to talk wPOTUs about it.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2009 10:47 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: U.N. asks Afghanistan to lift election media ban

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 19 10:11:10 2009
Subject: Fw: U.N. asks Afghanistan to lift election media ban

---

From: Gorog, Andrea
To: NEWS-Mahogany; TaskForce-1; NEWS-SCA; NEWS-IO
Cc: SES-O_Shift-II
Sent: Wed Aug 19 09:34:58 2009
Subject: U.N. asks Afghanistan to lift election media ban

U.N. asks Afghanistan to lift election media ban
KABUL, Aug 19 (Reuters) - The United Nations has asked Afghanistan to lift a last-minute ban on media reporting of violence during Thursday's presidential election, saying the Afghan constitution guaranteed a free press. Afghan authorities imposed the ban on Tuesday with two decrees, the first barring journalists from reporting any violence in the country between 6:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. (0130-1530 GMT) on polling day. The second ordered journalists to stay away from the scenes of any attacks. The government says the measures are intended to prevent reports of violence from scaring Afghans away from voting. Taliban fighters have vowed to disrupt the election. The United Nations said Afghans had a right to information, and restricting the media could undermine confidence in the poll. "People need access to information, not only on polling day but after polling day. The credibility of these elections is directly linked to the information that they have access to," said Aleem Siddique, spokesman for the U.N. mission in Kabul. "Its unclear to us what if any legal basis there would be for such a directive when Afghanistan's constitution guarantees freedom of expression and freedom of the press. We are making this point to the Afghan authorities," he said on Wednesday. Afghan police have taken a more aggressive attitude towards reporters in recent days. Several journalists were beaten by police at the scene of a suicide car bombing on Tuesday and a siege with gunmen on Wednesday.

Andrea Görög

Watch Officer

Department of State Operations Center

202-647-1512

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2009 1:35 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Delegation

Can you come over and help me w my test?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Doug Band; H
Sent: Thu Aug 20 12:38:59 2009
Subject: Delegation

We are getting military air for albright delegation.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 7:48 AM
To: [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: I'm back home in NY

The pilots of our plane (and its owners) insisted we leave last night because of the hurricane so we did. Anyway, I'm home and will call you later to catch up, but feel free to call me if needed.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 5:52 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Sept 9

Ok. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: Huma Abedin; H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 17:49:13 2009
Subject: Re: Sept 9

They are happy to adjust to the afternoon. I am going to suggest a 3:00pm start time which should give plenty of time to return to DC. (Cronkite service starts at 10:30am)

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Fri Aug 21 13:18:34 2009
Subject: Re: Sept 9

We will push them to adjust. They shouldn't do this without you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 21 13:14:22 2009
Subject: Re: Sept 9

Yes, Jack can have your proxy if they can't adjust the time. I will see if moving the time is an option.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Fri Aug 21 12:14:45 2009
Subject: Sept 9

I really want to go to Cronkite's service. Can we change time for MCC to late afternoon? If not, can Jack preside?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 6:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Espinosa

Yes before 9, btw 10-10:30 or btw 3 and 6.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 18:52:02 2009
Subject: Espinosa

Just hearing oas conf starts sunday.
Would u be willing to talk to espinosa tomorrow?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 6:58 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Strobe--This is wonderful news and such a fitting memorial to Booke. [redacted] Also. I would like to talk w you about your ideas of what I can/should be doing. Could I call in the next few days? What times are best for you? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]
Sent: Thu Aug 20 07:35:17 2009
Subject: Brooke Memorial Fellowship in India

Friends/Family: I wanted you to see what the board of the Int'l Center for Research on Women has done. Below is what you'll find on the website http://www.awid.org/eng/Issues-and-Analysis/Library/Brooke-Shearer-Fellowship.

<http://www.awid.org/eng>

The International Center for Research of Women (ICRW). New Delhi, India.

Description:

The International Center for Research of Women (ICRW) has established a fellowship in memory of Brooke Shearer, a former ICRW board member and dedicated advocate for improving the health and economic status of women in the developing world. The Brooke Shearer fellowship in India is an opportunity for U.S. early career professionals with graduate degrees to contribute to research and programs that advance gender equality and empower women in Asia.

Shearer was a stalwart supporter and contributor to ICRW's mission and work. ICRW honors her commitment to women with a fellowship program based in our Asia Regional Office in New Delhi, India, a tribute to Shearer's fond memories of her time spent in India during her youth and her tenure on ICRW's board.

The 2009 fellow will work in collaboration with the Asia director of the social and economic development group on programs, research, advocacy, and business development related to the group's portfolio of work. The specific activities of the fellow will be determined based on the substantive and technical skills, interests, and experience of the candidate selected and the current opportunities in the group. Likely projects for engagement include:

- The PACE program—an ICRW collaboration with Gap Inc. on workplace and personal advancement of female garment workers in India, Cambodia, Bangladesh.

- The Counting Girls Project—a project examining son preference in India and China, the effectiveness of specific policy efforts in addressing sex selection and discrimination against girl children, and the potential conflict between the human rights of girls and reproductive rights for women.

- Delayed Marriage—project examining the social norms that would need to be changed to eliminate early marriage.

Primary Duties:

The fellow will engage in the following responsibilities and tasks:

- Develop technical content of projects including conceptualization, data analysis, background research, program and evaluation design, survey and instrument design;

- Work with national and international partners on technical assistance, partner engagement and support, and relationship management;

- Work on project management tasks including administration, budgets, team coordination and work plans;

- Write, synthesize and present findings in reports, briefs, papers and presentations;

- Assist with business development activities including grant writing and proposals;

- Prepare research and program findings for communication to various audiences (donors, policy-makers, program personnel, researchers) at various forums and opportunities (meetings, events, cultivation, deliverables).

Required Education, Skills, Knowledge and Abilities:

- Applicants should have a Master's degree (5 years or less) or Ph.D. (1 year or less) in the social sciences, public health, international development or a related field. Qualified candidates with relevant job experience on program or policy-relevant social science research are preferred. Due to the nature of the fellowship eligibility guidelines, only US citizens or permanent residents, residing in the US or in India, will be considered.

The following skills are required:

- Strong analytical and conceptual skills along with substantive background in gender and social science issues and research;

- Desire and commitment to link research with policy and programs;

- Strong interest in understanding on-the-ground development research and program needs and activities in Asia;

- Excellent written and verbal communication and presentation abilities;

- Ability to work collaboratively with professionals at different levels, including colleagues, partners and donor organizations;
- Strong qualitative and quantitative research skills; and
- Ability to participate in fundraising and networking activities.

Duration:

One fellow will be selected each year for a term ranging from six months to one year. The fellowship is a full-time commitment.

Terms:

The fellowship award consists of a monthly stipend, health insurance and round trip travel to India. The fellow is responsible for all local accommodations and living costs. The fellow will be provided with office space and support in ICRW's Asia Regional Office in New Delhi.

To Apply:

To apply, please submit a letter of interest and curriculum vitae to jobs@icrw.org <mailto:jobs@icrw.org> .

Applications will be reviewed on a rolling basis. Fellowship will start between September 1 and October 1, 2009.

Website: http://www.icrw.org/html/jobs/jobs.htm <http://www.icrw.org/html/jobs/jobs.htm>

Article License: Copyright - Article License Holder: ICRW

==========

Strobe Talbott

President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036

202.797.6200

B6

==========

RELEASE IN PART
B7(A)

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2009 5:28 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: AMCIT suspected of abuse of minors in Liberia

I'm up and would like to talk about this, RCH and other stuff.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 22 04:40:56 2009
Subject: Fw: AMCIT suspected of abuse of minors in Liberia

Fyi - see b/l

From: Carson, Johnnie
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Bando, Jun
Sent: Fri Aug 21 20:38:51 2009
Subject: AMCIT suspected of abuse of minors in Liberia

Cheryl: My staff assistance received a call from the "Line" saying you might want a short informal note to send to the Secretary on [redacted] Below is a shorter and updated version of what I sent you earlier. I will be on leave for a week beginning tomorrow and one my deputies, Bill Fitzgerald, will be following this issue in my absence. Regards, Johnnie B7(A)

[redacted]

[redacted] These are crimes in Liberia as well as in the United States.

[redacted]

[redacted] Ambassador Linda Thomas-Greenfield, currently in the Department chairing a promotion panel, has spoken to President Johnson-Sirleaf about the importance of this case. [redacted]

[redacted]

Coming on the heels of your trip to Africa, and the emphasis you placed on gender-based violence and sex crimes, we are making every effort to ensure [redacted] B7(A)
Liberians need to conduct the best possible investigation. The Legal Attache, based in Freetown, is currently en route to Liberia to assist.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2009 2:35 PM
To: 'reinesp@state.gov'
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'reinesp@state.gov' <reinesp@state.gov>
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:33:37 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:56 2009
Subject: Re:

Aren't you giving a big speech now?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Fri Aug 07 14:31:07 2009
Subject:

What are you ordering for dinner? Might need to order for us too.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2009 10:03 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Espinosa

Am I supposed to call in?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 22 09:10:40 2009
Subject: Espinosa

She has been confirmed for 10am.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 7:56 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do YouKnow Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of"Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

My fax is broken, so I didn't get any clips. I hope to get it fixed today and hope to receive all that I missed.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines [redacted]
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Mon Aug 24 07:53:33 2009
Subject: Re: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do YouKnow Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of"Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

I think it was in your clips over the weekend but I will send it to your right now

-----Original Message-----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

Date: Mon, 24 Aug 2009 07:40:14
To: Huma Abedin<Huma@clintonemail.com>; 'preines [redacted]
Subject: Fw: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

Could you be sure I get this article?

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 07:34:45 2009
Subject: RE: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

Someone sent this article to me yesterday it was entitled:

"It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?
She's not answering those crisis calls at the White House. But she's quietly revolutionizing American foreign policy."

By David Rothkopf
Sunday, August 23, 2009

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 10:18 PM

To: Roy Spence
Subject: Re: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

I can imagine how intense this week was as you and the entire Spence clan gave Big Roy the best ever sendoff.

Does your title refer to the ad or something else? [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Roy Spence [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 23 19:17:13 2009
Subject: just read after a pretty intense week-the- It's 3 a.m. Do You Know Where Hillary Clinton Is?-over all it reflects your amazing strength of "Purpose"...keep on Sis and love

———————————————————
This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.
----------ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 7:06 PM
To: 'shapiroa@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Colombia Defense Cooperation agreement

Thx. We have to expedite this since the Columbians are being pressured. Will you be seeing Bermudez tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Shapiro, Andrew J <ShapiroA@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Fri Aug 14 18:16:53 2009
Subject: Colombia Defense Cooperation agreement

Madam Secretary,

Our chief negotiator for the Defense Cooperation Agreement (DCA) just reported that a provisional agreement has been reached with the Colombians. It will have to go through translation and other scrubbing over the next couple of weeks before its ready to be signed but this should be good news for your proposed meeting with Foreign Minister Bermudez next week. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Andrew

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2009 11:32 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 21 11:30:09 2009
Subject:

Connecting now
Doesn't need to be secure

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 1:05 PM
To: 'hanleymr@state.gov'
Subject: Question

Will you be in DC this week?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 10:39 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: [redacted] B6

I called and was transferred to room but got no answer. Should I just send him something? Or can you get him and transfer call to my house?

Also I can do scheduling btw now and 12:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 25 10:25:58 2009
Subject: [redacted]

[redacted]

Will add to call grid as well and send to house.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 8:48 AM
To: 'preines [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Les Gelb

We should create a day--meeting w Webb about Burma, McCain/Lieberman/Graham about Af-Pak, etc. Meeting w Mitchell/Holbrooke etc.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR [redacted]
To: H; Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov' <sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Aug 26 08:48:08 2009
Subject: Re: Les Gelb

Yes, we're trying to find a date that works for Les, but he is a little, shall we say picky.

------Original Message------
From: Evergreen
To: Huma Abedin
To: PIR
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Les Gelb
Sent: Aug 26, 2009 8:04 AM

Pls see below and scheduling options requested. Is this a cover story? Does anyone know? By the way, this Sunday's story about Barbra was fabulous.

----- Original Message -----
From: Lynn Forester de Rothschild [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 07:35:37 2009
Subject: Les Gelb

H,
I spent yesterday with Les Gelb on Nantucket. He had lots to say which might be of interest, but I thought the most important thing to tell you is to make sure you are aware of the Parade magazine piece he wants to do about you. He would like to do a day in your life, when you meet with members of Congress and international figures. He wants to show the impact you are having domestically and internationally. He said he would give you a veto over content and looked me in the eye and said, "she will like it". Maybe you know this, but did not want it to fall between the cracks. Enjoy your vacation and love to all of you.
Xoxo
L

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 8:56 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Both. Need to do statement asap.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 08:55:29 2009
Subject:

What do u want to do about kennedy? Statement or letter?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 9:16 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 20:39:26 2009
Subject: Fw:

See b/l

From: Crowley, Philip J
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Reines, Philippe I
Sent: Wed Aug 26 18:36:10 2009
Subject:

I was just talking to Mary Beth Sheridan of the WP, trying to interest her in a story with Eric Schwartz on our concern about deteriorating conditions in the IDP camps in Sri Lanka. Got her to nibble on that story, but then she asks if it is true that I told her I had not heard that. Let me know if there is anything I should do.

PJ

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 8:29 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Jack's trip to Iraq, India, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Brussels

Let's do conf call re this w Jack and whomever else you and he decide.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Aug 27 05:11:14 2009
Subject: Jack's trip to Iraq, India, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Brussels

FYI

From: Campbell, Piper A
To: Reines, Philippe I; Crowley, Philip J; Sullivan, Jacob J; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Cc: Wells, Alice G; Rana, Gautam A; Verma, Richard R
Sent: Wed Aug 26 16:19:14 2009
Subject: (SBU) Jack's trip to Iraq, India, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Brussels

SENSITIVE

Gentlemen,

Before the end of the day I will send you the latest working version of Jack's trip to IIAP&B. We depart here late Tuesday, Sept 1 and return Thurs, Sept 10.

Rough version of places and people is:

Sept 2-3 Iraq

Lew, Verma, Campbell, Elisa Slotkin (NEA), possibly Paul Jones (SRAP) and/or David Robinson (PRM)

Sept 4-5 India

Lew, Verma, Campbell, Paul Jones and Sean Misko (SRAP), possibly David Robinson (PRM)

Sept 6-7 Pakistan

Lew, Verma, Campbell, Paul Jones and Sean Misko (SRAP), possibly David Robinson (PRM)

Sept 8-9 Afghanistan

Lew, Verma, Campbell, Paul Jones and Sean Misko (SRAP), possibly David Robinson (PRM)

Hooking up with Acting AID Administration Alonzo Fulgham for a portion of the trip

Sept 10 Brussels (am)

Washington (pm)

As discussed very briefly with PJ and Ian this am., Jack floated the idea of having a journalist accompany us. Alternatively, we are very focused on both international and local journalists, esp in India and Af/Pak.

Not planning to do media in Iraq or Brussels.

Very open to doing something in advance of trip (though hard to squeeze in Mon/Tues) and upon return.

Piper

Piper Campbell

Chief of Staff

Deputy Secretary of State Jacob J. Lew

D(L)

202-647-5173

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:55 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Yeah!! Is he now official? Can I call him? Can I ask him to start?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:50:02 2009
Subject: FW: Kelly, Declan

fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 2:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Kelly, Declan

Cheryl

Full security clearance has been granted

Heather et al notified

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 7:54 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Chris Hill letter re Hakim

Letter fine to go.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 1:31 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Be sure Phil et. al. get the memcon.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:02:27 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Ahmet

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:34:13 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What is FM's first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 1:35 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:32:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:25:30 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:01:50 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:22:13 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

No I have that--just wanted to be sure it was final.

What happened w yesterday? Do they know I stopped by?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma

Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 1:38 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:37:54 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:35:07 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:32:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:25:30 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:01:50 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:22:13 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

No I have that--just wanted to be sure it was final.

What happened w [redacted] yesterday? Do they know I stopped by? B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 10:39 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Hamptons

As to UNGA, let's keep the lunch if we lose so many. Any word on food mtg?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 10:34:43 2009
Subject: Re: Hamptons

B6

Also, if we move the female heads of state lunch to a dinner, we lose almost all of the heads of state and several fms. Do u want to keep as a lunch? Or move to a dinner and make it women foreign ministers?
Oscar says fax machine is down but he has clips for today and weekend he should have printed.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon Aug 24 08:55:44 2009
Subject: Re: Hamptons

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 08:52:40 2009
Subject: Hamptons

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 10:40 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Col DCA letter

I did not receive another draft. Did it go out?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:17 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 17:03:11 2009
Subject: RE: Kelly, Declan

I can have it announced here on Monday - can't today

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:01 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Can you get announce ready so as soon as I reach him, you can get it out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:58:25 2009
Subject: RE: Kelly, Declan

Yes and then you should announce it.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Yeah!! Is he now official? Can I call him? Can I ask him to start?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:50:02 2009
Subject: FW: Kelly, Declan

fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 2:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Kelly, Declan

Cheryl

Full security clearance has been granted

Heather et al notified

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:37 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: just tried to call you - wanted to do a secure call but will have to wait until monday

I'm sitting right here. So I'm calling you now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 17:26:12 2009
Subject: just tried to call you - wanted to do a secure call but will have to wait until monday

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:21 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Call List

Ok, thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 11:58:38 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Donilon can do 730 am tomorrow. Ill work with huma to sort out logistics.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>; Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Aug 29 09:16:19 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Lauren told me after 1 would not work for him so that's why tomorrow is better.

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin
To: H; 'JilotyLC@state.gov' <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 08:50:57 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

As I mentioned to you last night, lauren reports he can talk anytime after 1pm today. Or anytime tomorrow. U need to let us know when.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov' <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:51:03 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Did we ever get a time? The call will last an hour. I can also do early tomorrow am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 18:05:37 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I left word with his office. He is travelling most of tomorrow, but they are going to check in with him to see if there are any pockets of time that work for him. They owe me a call back- ill let you and huma know when I hear.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 8:39 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

But I'm leaving here on Wed and I'll be at home over the weekend so I'd rather get it over w in the morning. Can they do it in the barn?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:37:56 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Lisa ling.
She's an oprah correspondant. we can do late monday afternoon so u don't have to be rushed getting out of here.
Maybe offer her 3 or 4pm?
Will get a makeup person.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 5:46 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Qaddafi at UNGA - Congressman Rothman and Senator Lautenberg Objections

The 25 mile rule would include Englewood which is very close to the River. I don't see what we could do and if we conclude there isn't anything, we should say that soon w regret, etc.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 17:33:50 2009
Subject: FW: Qaddafi at UNGA - Congressman Rothman and Senator Lautenberg Objections

fyi

From: Polt, Michael C
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 4:53 PM
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J
Cc: Rana, Gautam A; Scanlon, Amy B; Verma, Richard R; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Sanderson, Janet A; Schlicher, Ronald L; Brimmer, Esther D; Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
Subject: Qaddafi at UNGA - Congressman Rothman and Senator Lautenberg Objections

Jim, Cheryl and Bill:

We have a Congressional issue that is moving a bit too slowly through the building that you should know about. Rep. Steve Rothman (D-NJ) and Senator Lautenberg have contacted us alarmed by the news that Qaddafi will come to UNGA and allegedly overnight at the residence of the Libyan PermRep in Englewood. Rothman has called our office directly and threatened holy war and Fox news "if the State Department allows this." A few minutes ago we also got a letter from Sen. Lautenberg to the Secretary expressing similar concerns. The anger is of course over the "hero's welcome" for Megrahi. An action memo for Jim to consider on how to deal with Qaddafi's visit is in the works, but I fear Rothman will head for the microphones before Jim gets the memo.

Mike

AMBASSADOR MICHAEL C. POLT

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of Legislative Affairs

Department of State

Phone: 202-647-4204

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:04 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I will do today. So try to set up asap.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 09:50:34 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phils response to delaying call tomorrow?
Would u like to do today?
Or jim can do today and u can follow up tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 09:29:50 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Problem is, we need to get the statement out tomorrow (Aug 31), so that "six weeks" falls on Oct 12 – before the soccer match. Even in best of worlds this will require further haggling with other side over next 24 hours, so I fear if she doesn't call til afternoon on 31st (Turkey/Armenia time) we won't get it done.

If she really can't do it today would suggest Jim do so (could blame Kennedy funeral) because we need to try this today.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 12:15 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 6:11 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Wheels-Up for OAS Mission in Honduras at 1858 Eastern

Can you talk? I'll be home until 10:30 when I start driving to the Hamptons.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 05:29:31 2009
Subject: Fw: Wheels-Up for OAS Mission in Honduras at 1858 Eastern

---

From: Smith, Daniel B
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Aug 25 19:40:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Wheels-Up for OAS Mission in Honduras at 1858 Eastern

Fyi

From: Macris, Gregory P
To: SES_DutyDeputies; D(L); D(S); P
Cc: SES-O_SWO-Only
Sent: Tue Aug 25 19:14:35 2009
Subject: Wheels-Up for OAS Mission in Honduras at 1858 Eastern

Embassy Tegucigalpa notes that USG air support for the mission was greatly appreciated by the ministers and " made us look really good." Charge's detailed readout on members' meetings is attached.

Gregory Macris, Senior Watch Officer

From: Henshaw, Simon
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 4:55 PM

Beihl called me from the plane just before departure. De facto negotiating team will return to DC Wednesday for several days talks. While ministers were discouraged by de factor regime intransigence, Beihl said that Zelaya had also lost some support. He said that all the ministers were shocked by Zelaya's behavior in a telephone conference call just before their press conference this afternoon. Zelaya spoke of people dying by hundreds, being thrown in jail and tortured. Zelaya appeared to be very out-of-touch, Beihl said. He added that "even" the Argentine FM was questioning whether Z's return was the correct course. Beihl said that while a democratic solution was needed, perhaps Zelaya was not the right option. I again expressed our position that the problem was not who replaced Zelaya, but that he was removed unconstitutionally.

News conference was straightforward. Only one minister spoke (I don't know them by face), said that the solution was return to democratic order and the regime not prepared to accept SJ. I haven't got hold of transcript yet, will do so asap.

Beihl again expressed thanks for our move on visas (as well as our logistical support), saying that all the ministers thought it a good move.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 8:05 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'preines [redacted] 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Fw: Les Gelb

Pls see below and scheduling options requested. Is this a cover story? Does anyone know? By the way, this Sunday's story about Barbra was fabulous.

----- Original Message -----
From: Lynn Forester de Rothschild [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 07:35:37 2009
Subject: Les Gelb

H,
I spent yesterday with Les Gelb on Nantucket. He had lots to say which might be of interest, but I thought the most important thing to tell you is to make sure you are aware of the Parade magazine piece he wants to do about you. He would like to do a day in your life, when you meet with members of Congress and international figures. He wants to show the impact you are having domestically and internationally. He said he would give you a veto over content and looked me in the eye and said, "she will like it". Maybe you know this, but did not want it to fall between the cracks. Enjoy your vacation and love to all of you.
Xoxo
L

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:01 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Can you get announce ready so as soon as I reach him, you can get it out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:58:25 2009
Subject: RE: Kelly, Declan

Yes and then you should announce it.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Yeah!! Is he now official? Can I call him? Can I ask him to start?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:50:02 2009
Subject: FW: Kelly, Declan

fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 2:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Kelly, Declan

Cheryl

Full security clearance has been granted

Heather et al notified

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:01 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Declan Kelly

Pls send me #s for him.

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:18 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Qadahfi and New Jersey

Kudos to all.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 17:03:35 2009
Subject: FW: Qadahfi and New Jersey

FYI

From: Kelly, Ian C (PACE) [mailto:KellyIC2@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:02 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Kennedy, Patrick F; Crowley, Philip J; Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Sanderson, Janet A; Barks-Ruggles, Erica J
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Sincavage, Justine M; Malin, Mary Catherine; Benda, Susan; Koh, Harold Hongju; Schwartz, Jonathan B; Thessin, James H; Simon, Jennifer J
Subject: RE: Qadahfi and New Jersey

Media now calling to confirm details put out in this statement. Ian

Libyan Reps tell Rothman Quaddafi not coming to Englewood

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:04 pm EST

Contact: Bob Decheine (202) 550-7869

Washington, D.C. based representatives of the Libyan government have informed Congressman Steve Rothman (D-NJ) that Col. Moammar Quaddafi, will not be staying in Englewood, New Jersey if he comes to the United States for the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly next month. This information has not yet been confirmed by the White House or the State Department.

"I am very pleased that Moammar Quaddafi will apparently not be coming to Englewood. His appearance would have presented unnecessary safety and security issues for the residents of Englewood and the Libyan diplomats," said Rothman. I want to thank President Barack Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and the literally dozens of their appointees I have had the pleasure to work so closely with over the past seven days in achieving this result. I also want to thank the Libyan government for their hard work and consideration in resolving this matter in such a positive fashion."

From: Verma, Richard R [mailto:VermaRR@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:50 PM
To: Kennedy, Patrick F; Crowley, Philip J; Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE); Barks-Ruggles, Erica J

Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Sincavage, Justine M; Malin, Mary Catherine; Benda, Susan; Koh, Harold Hongju; Schwartz, Jonathan B; Thessin, James H; Simon, Jennifer J
Subject: RE: Qadahfi and New Jersey

Thanks, Pat. Adding Erica.

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:45 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; Verma, Richard R; Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Sincavage, Justine M; Malin, Mary Catherine; Benda, Susan; Koh, Harold Hongju; Schwartz, Jonathan B; Thessin, James H
Subject: RE: Qadahfi and New Jersey

Colleagues

On the assumption that the Department is ready to take the position that we will not permit him to use the NJ property, recommend the following after consultations with the lawyers and experts who handle our "hostship" responsibilities

The Department of State has consulted with the Government of Libya regarding the impending visit of Libyan President Muammar Qadhafi to

attend the General Assembly of the United Nations in New York.

In keeping with prior arrangements, the Englewood, New Jersey property is not available for any use in connection with the upcoming visit

I don't think that we want/need to get into particulars about boroughs within NYC

Regards

Pat

p.s. am not sure he is "President", I think [but am not sure] that is isn't just called "Colonel"

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 3:59 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE); Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Subject: RE: Qadahfi and New Jersey

	B5

PJ

From: Verma, Richard R

Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 3:10 PM
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Crowley, Philip J; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Subject: Qadahfi and New Jersey
Importance: High

Team – we really need something to go out today regarding Qadahfi's visit to the UNGA. There is a rally in NJ planned for Sunday morning – that rally is growing in scope and impact as the time passes. The Congressional interest and concern is also growing stronger. I would propose a statement from PJ, along the lines of the one below, but am open to other ideas. Issuing something next week will not help us all that much.

DRAFT STATEMENT

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 4:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Yes--go ahead.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 25 16:23:53 2009
Subject:

Did u get memo on arab donor support? Do u approve?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 9:19 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

I thought you meant next Sunday or Monday. What about this Wed afternoon or Thurs in DC? I told Cheryl and Philippe I wouldn't leave early. If the Oprah team want to come out here and one of our friends is willing to let us use their house out here this Monday, I would do that.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

The dates are tomorrow or monday.
Not next week. Its why I asked cheryl to discuss with you because it meant interrupting your vacation which I wasn't prepared to do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

But I'm leaving here on Wed and I'll be at home over the weekend so I'd rather get it over w in the morning. Can they do it in the barn?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:37:56 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Lisa ling.
She's an oprah correspondant. we can do late monday afternoon so u don't have to be rushed getting out of here.
Maybe offer her 3 or 4pm?
Will get a makeup person.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>

To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 7:51 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

Can we do early tomorrow morning?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 22:50:16 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Can we set up turkish fm call tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:43:47 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Ok. But i'll need hair and makeup touch up. Will you ask [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:34:54 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

He's not calling you. Gave us windows he's available but does want to get a time so he's at a landline.
Oprah can do monday in the hamptons. You could just use [redacted] house. Its close and will have good spaces to use.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:29:58 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

I can't do tonight and not sure about tomorrow morning. Is he calling me or does he think I'm calling him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:28:25 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Checking
I am sure they will
Mitchell just responded. He can talk before 10:30 tonite or before noon tomorrow. What do u want to do?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:18:36 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

I thought you meant next Sunday or Monday. What about this Wed afternoon or Thurs in DC? I told Cheryl and Philippe I wouldn't leave early. If the Oprah team want to come out here and one of our friends is willing to let us use their house out here this Monday, I would do that.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

The dates are tomorrow or monday.
Not next week. Its why I asked cheryl to discuss with you because it meant interrupting your vacation which I wasn't prepared to do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

But I'm leaving here on Wed and I'll be at home over the weekend so I'd rather get it over w in the morning. Can they do it in the barn?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:37:56 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Lisa ling.
She's an oprah correspondant. we can do late monday afternoon so u don't have to be rushed getting out of here.
Maybe offer her 3 or 4pm?
Will get a makeup person.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores

Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 7:57 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call List

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:56:01 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Ill suggest that and confirm with you. His office said he would be available any time tomorrow, so I'm sure it'll be fine. But I will check back and confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:53:21 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I cannot do before 1 today. What about 7 or 8 am tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:53:21 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

He can to anytime this morning before 1pm, or anytime tomorrow. (He is travelling after 1pm today).

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:51:03 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Did we ever get a time? The call will last an hour. I can also do early tomorrow am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 18:05:37 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I left word with his office. He is travelling most of tomorrow, but they are going to check in with him to see if there are any pockets of time that work for him. They owe me a call back- ill let you and huma know when I hear.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 9:16 AM
To: Huma Abedin; 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call List

Lauren told me after 1 would not work for him so that's why tomorrow is better.

----- Original Message -----
From: Huma Abedin
To: H; 'JilotyLC@state.gov' <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 08:50:57 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

As I mentioned to you last night, lauren reports he can talk anytime after 1pm today. Or anytime tomorrow. U need to let us know when.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov' <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:51:03 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Did we ever get a time? The call will last an hour. I can also do early tomorrow am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 18:05:37 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I left word with his office. He is travelling most of tomorrow, but they are going to check in with him to see if there are any pockets of time that work for him. They owe me a call back- ill let you and huma know when I hear.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:47 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras Military Coup Decision

I am just taking off. When I land I will call you on your cell. Ok?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 08:17:11 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras Military Coup Decision

Will call you around 3pm

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:48:20 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras Military Coup Decision

Ok--i should be free after 2. Pls email when you want to talk.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 06:20:26 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras Military Coup Decision

See b/l - will call you later today to discuss my convs on this matter

---

From: Koh, Harold Hongju
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Verma, Richard R; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Donoghue, Joan E; Harris, Robert K; Spector, Phillip M; Townley, Stephen G; [redacted] B6
[redacted]
Sent: Fri Aug 28 21:23:28 2009
Subject: Honduras Military Coup Decision

INTERNAL DELIBERATIVE

Dear All,

After this morning's meeting, L undertook more research

B5

Millennium Challenge Report

The Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 requires the submission of a report to Congress and publication in the Federal Register of a list of countries that are candidate countries for MCC assistance, and countries that would be candidate countries but for "specified legal prohibitions on assistance." Honduras is a candidate country. If Honduras is subject to the restrictions in section 7008, it would be listed in that section of the report that identifies countries that would be candidate countries but for legal prohibitions that prohibit assistance. The report would also provide an explanation of the legal prohibition (in fact, other coup restricted countries, such as Cote d'Ivoire, Madagascar, Mauritania, and Sudan, are on the prohibited list and section 7008 is explicitly mentioned).

The list must be approved by the Board of the MCC, of which the Secretary is the chair, and is due on 9/10. It is our understanding that an action memo will be presented to the Secretary, perhaps as early as next week, so that she can approve submission of the report. The action memo will require the Secretary to decide whether Honduras is a country without a "specified legal prohibition" or whether such a prohibition has in fact attached.

B5

Department Legal Practice

B5

I am travelling in New England this weekend but am available at or through the ops center if you wish to discuss. I will be back by the 8:45am meeting on Monday morning and can elaborate further then.

B6

Section 7008 of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (Div. H, P.L. 111-8) provides:

"None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act shall be obligated or expended to finance directly any assistance to the government of any country whose duly elected head of government is deposed by military coup or decree: Provided, That assistance may be resumed to such government if the President determined and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that subsequent to the termination of assistance a democratically elected government has taken office: Provided further, That the provisions of this section shall not apply to assistance to promote democratic elections or public participation in democratic processes: Provided further, That funds made available pursuant to the previous provisos shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations."

Harold Hongju Koh

The Legal Adviser

United States Department of State

2201 C St. N.W. Suite 6421

Washington, D.C. 20520

202-647-9598 phone

[redacted] cell

202-647- 7096 fax

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 9:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

Ok. But i'll need hair and makeup touch up. Will you ask [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:34:54 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

He's not calling you. Gave us windows he's available but does want to get a time so he's at a landline.
Oprah can do monday in the hamptons. You could just use [redacted] house. Its close and will have good spaces to use.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:29:58 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

I can't do tonight and not sure about tomorrow morning. Is he calling me or does he think I'm calling him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:28:25 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Checking
I am sure they will
Mitchell just responded. He can talk before 10:30 tonite or before noon tomorrow. What do u want to do?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:18:36 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

I thought you meant next Sunday or Monday. What about this Wed afternoon or Thurs in DC? I told Cheryl and Philippe I wouldn't leave early. If the Oprah team want to come out here and one of our friends is willing to let us use their house out here this Monday, I would do that.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

The dates are tomorrow or monday.
Not next week. Its why I asked cheryl to discuss with you because it meant interrupting your vacation which I wasn't prepared to do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

But I'm leaving here on Wed and I'll be at home over the weekend so I'd rather get it over w in the morning. Can they do it in the barn?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:37:56 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Lisa ling.
She's an oprah correspondant. we can do late monday afternoon so u don't have to be rushed getting out of here.
Maybe offer her 3 or 4pm?
Will get a makeup person.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 12:22 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

No I have that--just wanted to be sure it was final.

What happened w [redacted] yesterday? Do they know I stopped by? B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 1:26 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:01:50 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:22:13 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

No I have that--just wanted to be sure it was final.

What happened w[redacted] yesterday? Do they know I stopped by?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30

He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009

Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 9:17 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Sen. Levin

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Sep 30 13:03:07 2009
Subject: RE: Sen. Levin

Levin opposed the surge, but voted for funding the troops.

Pasted below is Levin's speech on troops in Afghanistan. We'll have a copy for you as well.

Afghan speech below

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
September 11, 2009 Contact: Senator Levin's Office
Phone: 202.224.6221

Senate Floor Speech - Surge the Afghan Army

Remarks as prepared for delivery

Today we mark a solemn anniversary. Eight years ago this morning, our nation was attacked by terrorist extremists motivated by hatred and bent on destruction. It is always appropriate to remember the shock of that day, the innocent lives lost, and the efforts our nation has made since that day to ensure that Afghanistan, the nation that hosted those terrorists, cannot again become a safe haven for terrorists seeking to attack us. But today is an especially appropriate occasion to take stock of those efforts, and consider how best to continue them.

I recently returned from a trip to Afghanistan, where I was joined by my colleagues Senators Jack Reed and Ted Kaufman. The situation in Afghanistan is serious. Security has deteriorated. But if we take the right steps, we can ensure that Afghanistan does not revert to a Taliban-friendly government that could once again provide a safe haven for al Qaeda to terrorize us and the world.

The Obama administration's new strategy, focusing on securing the Afghan population's safety and partnering with the Afghan security forces in that effort, is an important start at reversing the situation in Afghanistan. The change in strategy has led our forces, in the words of General McChrystal's Counterinsurgency Guidance, to "live, eat and train together [with the Afghan security forces], plan and operate together, depend on one another, and hold each other accountable....and treat them as equal partners in success." The Guidance goes on to say that the success of the Afghan security forces "is our goal."

To achieve that goal we should increase and accelerate our efforts to support the Afghan security forces in their efforts to become self-sufficient in delivering security to their nation – before we consider whether to increase U.S. combat forces above the levels already planned for the next few months. These steps include increasing the size of the Afghan Army and police much faster than presently planned; providing more trainers for the Afghan Army and police than presently planned; providing them more equipment than presently planned; and working to separate local Taliban fighters from their leaders and attract them to the side of the government as we did in Iraq.

While the security situation in Afghanistan has worsened, we still have important advantages there. The Afghan people hate the Taliban. Public opinion polls show support for the Taliban at about 5%. In addition, the Afghan army is highly motivated and its troops are proven fighters.

Despite those advantages, we face significant challenges. General McChrystal believes, and I agree, that we need to regain the initiative and create a momentum towards success. General McChrystal worries, and rightly so, about the perception that we have lost that initiative, and the impact of that perception on the Afghan people, their government, al Qaeda and the Taliban. By contrast, if we can dispel that perception, we have a chance to convince local and lower-level Taliban fighters to lay down their arms and rejoin Afghan society.

I believe the most effective way to retake the initiative in Afghanistan is with a series of steps to ensure that Afghanistan's army and police have the manpower, equipment and support to secure their own nation.

First, we should increase troop levels for the Afghan army and police faster than currently planned. There are approximately 90,000 troops in the Afghan army now, and that number is scheduled to go up to 134,000 by October of 2010. The Afghan police are scheduled to reach a level of 82,000 by the same time. For a long time, many of us have urged the establishment of a goal of 240,000 Afghan troops and 160,000 Afghan police by 2013. The Afghan Minister of Defense has strongly supported those numbers. It now appears that our government and the Afghan government are prepared to accept those goals. But the need for additional Afghan forces is urgent. I believe it both possible and essential to advance those goals by a year, to 2012.

Our own military in Afghanistan has repeatedly pointed to a need for more Afghan forces. In one sector of Helmand province we visited last week, our Marines outnumbered Afghan soldiers by 5 to one. A Marine Company commander in Helmand province told the New York Times in July that a lack of Afghan troops "is absolutely our Achilles heel."

What do we need to do to increase the size of the Afghan army and police? According to Afghan Defense Minister Wardak, there is no lack of Afghan manpower; we've been assured it is available.

But we will need significantly more trainers. We asked General Formica, who is in charge of the American effort to train Afghan security forces, whether such an increase is possible. He indicated he would make an assessment of what would be necessary in order to meet the earlier timetable. In the meantime, we should also press our NATO allies with much greater forcefulness to provide more trainers. If our NATO allies are not going to come through with the combat forces they have pledged, at least they could provide additional trainers.

Larger Afghan security forces will also require more mid-level Afghan officers. In addition to supporting efforts to graduate more Afghan officers from army academies, we should consider the recommendation of Defense Minister Wardak that previous mid-level officers who fought the war against the Soviets return to service on an interim basis. Minister Wardak emphasized that those men are well qualified and well motivated, and while they may not be trained in the most current tactics, they nonetheless could temporarily meet the need of the enlarged army while the new group of officers is trained. A larger Afghan force will need supporting infrastructure, such a barracks. While the available infrastructure may not be the most modern, it is adequate and exists in sufficient amounts.

Larger Afghan security forces will require additional equipment. There must be a major effort to transfer a significant amount of the equipment that is coming out of Iraq to the Afghan army and police. Such a significant commitment to equip the Afghan security forces would also help demonstrate U.S. determination to take the initiative and create momentum in the right direction. There is an enormous amount of equipment coming out of Iraq; our military is calling

it one of the greatest transfers of military goods in the world's history. A significant part of it could be transferred to the Afghan forces, increasing their capability without weakening our own readiness. And yet there does not seem to be that kind of a crash effort in place to do that. We need to obtain on an urgent basis a list of the basic equipment needs of the Afghan forces and a list of how those needs could be met in a major program to transfer equipment leaving Iraq.

Rapidly expanding Afghanistan's military and police forces would address one of the major problems and risks we now face there. General McChrystal told us he worries that waiting until 2013 for a larger Afghan force creates a gap in capabilities that brings significant risk of failure. But by accelerating the training and equipping of Afghan forces by a year, we address his concern. Depending on additional capability from Afghan, rather than U.S., forces, also addresses a major problem of public perception in Afghanistan. The larger our own military footprint there, the more our enemies can seek to drive a wedge between us and the Afghan population, spreading the falsehood that we seek to dominate a Muslim nation.

Finally, we should make a concerted effort to separate the local Taliban from their leaders. In Iraq, large numbers of young Iraqis who had been attacking us switched over to our side and became the "Sons of Iraq." They were drawn in part by the promise of jobs and amnesty for past attacks, and in part by the recognition that the status quo was creating horrific violence in their own communities. In their own interests and the interests of their nation, they switched sides and became a positive force.

That same prospect exists in Afghanistan. Afghan leaders and our military say that local Taliban fighters are motivated largely by the need for a job or loyalty to the local leader who pays them and not by ideology or religious zeal. They believe an effort to attract these fighters to the government's side could succeed, if they are offered security for themselves and their families, and if there is no penalty for previous activity against us.

General McChrystal himself has emphasized the potential of such re-integration to accomplish the same result as was achieved in Iraq. Here is what General McChrystal said on July 28th:

"Most of the fighters we see in Afghanistan are Afghans, some with foreign cadre with them. But most we don't see are deeply ideological or even politically motivated; most are operating for pay; some are under a commander's charismatic leadership; some are frustrated with local leaders. So I believe there is significant potential to go after what I would call mid- and low-level Taliban fighters and leaders and offer them re-integration into Afghanistan under the constitution."

But this "game changing" possibility was apparently not factored into General McChrystal's assessment. There is no plan yet to put in place a Sons of Iraq approach in Afghanistan. It is urgent that we lay out the steps that need to be taken to involve local and national Afghan leaders in that effort. They alone can accomplish this crucial job, but first we and our Afghan allies must draft such a plan on an urgent basis. And the potential positive impact of such a plan should be taken into account as we consider the need for any additional U.S. military resources.

Afghanistan's people are grateful for our aid, but also eager to assume responsibility for their future. In a tiny village in Helmand Province, we were invited to meet with the village elders at their council meeting, their shura. One hundred or so men sat on the floor and chatted with us about their future and their country's future. When asked how long the United States should stay, one elder said: "Until the moment that you make our security forces self-sufficient. Then you will be welcome to visit us, not as soldiers but as guests."

Helping Afghanistan achieve self-sufficiency in their security is everybody's goal. On that there is little difference of opinion, in Afghanistan's village councils or in the corridors of this Capitol.

Can we help Afghanistan reach self-sufficiency in security fast enough? Can we get there in a way that regains the initiative and creates the momentum we need? Can we encourage those lower level Taliban to abandon an insurgency headed by terrorists whose fanaticism they don't share?

I believe we can, by supporting a far more rapid growth in the Afghan Army and police; by providing more trainers more quickly; by a rapid infusion to Afghan units of equipment no longer needed in Iraq; and by rapidly adopting a plan for the

re-integration of lower level Taliban fighters into Afghan society. In other words, we need a surge of Afghan security forces.

Our support of their surge will show our commitment to the success of a mission that is clearly in our national security interest, without creating a bigger U.S. military footprint that provides propaganda fodder for the Taliban.

I believe that taking those steps on an urgent basis, while completing the previously planned and announced increase in U.S. combat forces, provides the best chance of success for our mission: preventing Afghanistan from again being run by a Taliban government which harbors and supports Al-Qaeda, whose goal is to inflict additional catastrophic attacks on the United States and the world. And we should implement these steps before considering an increase in U.S. ground combat forces beyond what is already planned by the end of this year.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 7:52 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Sen. Levin

Pls find out whether he supported the surge in Iraq and get a copy of his recent speech about troops to Af for me. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 3:10 PM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Women's events

Pls work out a time for us to meet w him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Verveer, Melanne S <VerveerMS@state.gov>
To: H; doug [redacted] B6
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Aug 31 14:53:36 2009
Subject: RE: Women's events

I've had wonderfully constructive conversations with him and we've got, what we both think is a terrific plan, but he really needs to present it to you. Once we have your feedback, we can move on all of this. It's all very exciting and he's the best.

Melanne S. Verveer
Ambassador at Large for Global Women's Issues U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street NW, Room 6805
Washington, DC 20520
Tel: (202) 647-7283
Fax: (202) 647-7288
VerveerMS@state.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 2:36 PM
To: 'doug [redacted]
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J; Verveer, Melanne S
Subject: Re: Women's events

Doug--I'd like to discuss when you are here for Capricia's swearing in. I'm copying Lona and Melanne so they can schedule. All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Hattaway [redacted]
To: H
Cc: Cheryl Mills <millscd@state.gov>; Philippe Reines (rhine-es) [redacted]
Sent: Sun Aug 30 19:22:15 2009
Subject: Women's events

Hi! Hope you all are getting some R&R as the summer wanes.

Your Africa trip has generated a lot of visibility and momentum on women's issues. I wanted to follow up on an idea we discussed, when we met a few months ago, for raising the profile of women's issues and creating a unique platform for you in the U.S.

You may recall that Melanne and I were developing ideas for holding events to connect people working on women's issues around the world, and to raise the visibility of the issues in the US.

Whitney Williams has joined us to flesh out a vision for a series of conferences that would bring together policymakers and experts from the field with women you have met around the world - and people at the grassroots level in this country.

Each conference would be followed by a special event featuring musical, cultural and culinary celebrities (yep, celebrity chefs) from the U.S. and other countries to generate visibility online and in the entertainment media, which would reach a lot more people than the policy conference alone.

These events would create connections among people who often work in isolation, and generate ideas for improving policies and practices. They'd also inspire and engage a whole new generation to get involved in global women's issues.

This approach – bringing together policy, grassroots and entertainment aspects – isn't being done by anyone else in the development space. It would be a great way to raise the visibility of the issues, and your work on them, here at home.

We could put on several over the next year, with one on the 15th Anniversary of your UN speech in Beijing.

If you're interested, we'd like the chance to meet with you all to discuss it. (Perhaps we could get together when everyone is in town for Capricia's swearing-in.) Would you like to do that?

Doug

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 9:24 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Today

As soon as I get the memo, I can do the call.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 09:21:52 2009
Subject: Today

There are a few things on there way to you this morning:
- call sheet for aliyev for today. Phil has discussed with you but its to urge him not to derail the turkey/armenia talks. They are 9 hours ahead. Can we do soon?
- your schedule for the next few days. just need final sign off from you.
- a lona memo with questions for the next few weeks.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 2:56 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 6:11 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: [redacted] now in room [redacted] telephone [redacted] B6

I spoke w him earlier. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Aug 25 18:07:09 2009
Subject: FW: [redacted] now in room [redacted] telephone [redacted]

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Laszczych, Joanne
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 6:07 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: [redacted] in room [redacted] telephone [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 10:19 AM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] 'sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: What can we do?

Pls read the following. I've followed this case[redacted] B5

Torture on homefront cries out for justice

Comments <http://www.suntimes.com/news/marin/1734591,CST-EDT-carol26.article>

August 26, 2009

BY CAROL MARIN <mailto:cmarin@suntimes.com> Sun-Times Columnist

The feds can't -- just yet -- deport Michigan restaurateur Ibrahim Parlak to his native Turkey. But they are frighteningly closer.

And the irony screams out.

Carol Marin

On Monday, the same day Eric Holder, President Obama's attorney general, announced he would appoint a special counsel to investigate whether torture was used by the CIA to extract confessions from foreign suspects, a U.S. appeals court at the behest of the U.S. government ruled that it didn't have a problem sending Parlak back to the country where he was tortured -- imprisoned for 17 months, shocked with electrodes, hung by his arms and sexually violated.

A Turkish Kurd, Parlak was granted political asylum in 1992. It was before our government got cozier with Turkey, before it re-classified some of the Kurdish separatist movement as "terrorist" and before the attacks of Sept. 11. With 9/11, Ibrahim Parlak's horror began anew.

Suddenly he looked different to the newly created Department of Homeland Security and to the Justice Department's Immigration courts. Instead of seeing a hard-working, tax-paying Chamber of Commerce member who ran Cafe Gulistan, a small Middle Eastern restaurant in the resort town of Harbert, Mich., the feds now saw an international menace.

In 2004, the FBI grabbed Parlak and locked him up.

If it hadn't been for a volunteer team of lawyers, including a Reagan-appointed former U.S. attorney, a former FBI anti-terrorism legal adviser and the bipartisan intervention of Michigan's Sen. Carl Levin, a liberal Democrat, and Rep. Fred Upton, a conservative Republican, Parlak would still be in jail.

Thanks to them, this 47-year-old father of a 12-year-old girl is temporarily free. But just barely.

The newest outrage is the 2-1 decision issued by Cincinnati's 6th Circuit Court of Appeals on the same day Holder launched his torture inquiry.

The majority opinion was issued by two Bush appointees put on the bench after 9/11. Judge Julia Smith Gibbons and Judge Jeffrey Sutton upheld the Justice Department's Immigration courts ruling that Parlak failed to disclose his relationship to the now-labeled terrorist organization even though he was never proven to be a member.

Let's remember something about our immigration courts. They are not independent. They work for whoever is the attorney general. And the past rulings against Parlak came under two now-controversial Bush appointees, John Ashcroft and Alberto Gonzales.

The fairness of immigration rulings has been ripped by none other than Judge Richard Posner of the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals, one of the nation's most brilliant judges. By September of 2005, Posner noted "a staggering 40 percent of the 136 petitions" had been reversed due to, according to one ruling, a willingness to ignore "the most basic of facts."

Echoing Posner now is the dissenting judge on the Parlak appeal. Judge Boyce F. Martin, a Carter appointee, writes with both clarity and conviction, arguing the government's "awesome power was used here to railroad a man out of our country."

Like a surgeon, Martin dissects the Justice Department's immigration courts and the majority opinion of his own colleagues, and ends by saying, "I remain hopeful, nevertheless, that this case is but a sad remnant of an era of paranoid, overzealous, error-riddled and misguided anti-terrorism and immigration enforcement that has now gone by the wayside. It is just a shame that, even if my hope proves true, it is too late for Ibrahim Parlak."

Parlak's legal team will appeal.

Meanwhile, Holder, like Ashcroft and Gonzales before him, is the new boss of the immigration courts.

As he investigates questions of U.S. torture abroad, here's hoping Holder examines the ongoing domestic legal torture of Ibrahim Parlak.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 11:50 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I just left, so if I get there by then, I will. Do you think that can work?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 11:41:29 2009
Subject:

Ban can talk at 2:45
U want to do that?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:00 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:01 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Declan Kelly

Pls send me #s for him.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 5:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: (Nytimes) U.S. Accuses Pakistan of Altering Missiles

I just stopped at [redacted] and no one was home so I'm heading [redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 16:56:54 2009
Subject: Fw: (Nytimes) U.S. Accuses Pakistan of Altering Missiles

---

From: Morgan, Jack A
To: NEWS-Mahogany; SSRAP; T; SCA-FO-DL; PM-Front-Office; PA FO Group; R; Zeya, Uzra S; Feierstein, Gerald M; Roemer, Tim J; White, Steven J; Schulz, Kirsten A; Gramaglia, Thomas R; Hartley, Brent R; Patterson, Anne W; S-SANAC-DL; [redacted] Angha, Negah
Cc: SES-O
Sent: Sat Aug 29 16:55:23 2009
Subject: (Nytimes) U.S. Accuses Pakistan of Altering Missiles

WASHINGTON — The United States has accused Pakistan of illegally modifying American-made missiles to expand its capability to strike land targets, a potential threat to India, according to senior administration and Congressional officials.

The charge, which set off a new outbreak of tensions between the United States and Pakistan, was made in an unpublicized diplomatic protest in late June to Prime Minister Yusuf Raza Gilani and other top Pakistani officials.

The accusation comes at a particularly delicate time, when the administration is asking Congress to approve $7.5 billion in aid to Pakistan over the next five years, and when Washington is pressing a reluctant Pakistani military to focus its attentions on fighting the Taliban, rather than expanding its nuclear and conventional forces aimed at India.

While American officials say that the weapon in the latest dispute is a conventional one — based on the Harpoon antiship missiles that were sold to Pakistan by the Reagan administration as a defensive weapon in the cold war — the subtext of the argument is growing concern about the speed with which Pakistan is developing new generations of both conventional and nuclear weapons.

"There's a concerted effort to get these guys to slow down," one senior administration official said. "Their energies are misdirected."

At issue is the detection by American intelligence agencies of a suspicious missile test on April 23 — a test never announced by the Pakistanis — that appeared to give the country a new offensive weapon.

American military and intelligence officials say they suspect that Pakistan has modified the Harpoon antiship missiles that the United States sold the country in the 1980s, a move that would be a violation of the Arms Control Export Act. Pakistan has denied the charge, saying it developed the missile itself. The United States has also accused Pakistan of modifying American-made P-3C aircraft for land-attack missions, another violation of United States law that the Obama administration has protested.

Whatever their origin, the missiles would be a significant new entry into Pakistan's arsenal against India. They would enable Pakistan's small navy to strike targets on land, complementing the sizable land-based missile arsenal that Pakistan has developed. That, in turn, would be likely to spur another round of an arms race with India that the United States has been trying, unsuccessfully, to halt. "The focus of our concern is that this is a potential unauthorized modification of a maritime antiship defensive capability to an offensive land-attack missile," said another senior administration official, who also spoke on the condition of anonymity because the matter involves classified information.

"The potential for proliferation and end-use violations are things we watch very closely," the official added. "When we have concerns, we act aggressively."

A senior Pakistani official, also speaking on the condition of anonymity because the interchanges with Washington have been both delicate and highly classified, said the American accusation was "incorrect." The official said that the missile tested was developed by Pakistan, just as it had modified North Korean designs to build a range of land-based missiles that could strike India. He said that Pakistan had taken the unusual step of agreeing to allow American officials to inspect the country's Harpoon inventory to prove that it had not violated the law, a step that administration officials praised.

Some experts are also skeptical of the American claims. Robert Hewson, editor of Jane's Air Launched Weapons, a yearbook and Web-based data service, said the Harpoon missile did not have the necessary range for a land-attack missile, which would lend credibility to Pakistani claims that they are developing their own new missile. Moreover, he said, Pakistan already has more modern land-attack missiles that it developed itself or acquired from China.

"They're beyond the need to reverse-engineer old U.S. kit," Mr. Hewson said in a telephone interview. "They're more sophisticated than that." Mr. Hewson said the ship-to-shore missile that Pakistan was testing was part of a concerted effort to develop an array of conventional missiles that could be fired from the air, land or sea to address India's much more formidable conventional missile arsenal.

The dispute highlights the level of mistrust that remains between the United States and a Pakistani military that American officials like to portray as an increasingly reliable partner in the effort to root out the forces of the Taliban and Al Qaeda on Pakistani territory. A central element of the American effort has been to get the military refocused on the internal threat facing the country, rather than on threat the country believes it still faces from India.

Pakistani officials have insisted that they are making that shift. But the evidence continues to point to heavy investments in both nuclear and conventional weapons that experts say have no utility in the battle against insurgents.

Over the years, the United States has provided a total of 165 Harpoon missiles to Pakistan, including 37 of the older-model weapons that were delivered from 1985 to 1988, said Charles Taylor, a spokesman for the Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

The country's nuclear arsenal is expanding faster than any other nation's. In May, Pakistan conducted a test firing of its Babur medium-range cruise missile, a weapon that military experts say could potentially be tipped with a nuclear warhead. The test was conducted on May 6, during a visit to Washington by President Asif Ali Zardari, but was not made public by Pakistani officials until three days after the meetings had ended to avoid upsetting the talks. While it may be technically possible to arm the Harpoons with small nuclear weapons, outside experts say it would probably not be necessary.

Before Congress departed for its summer recess, administration officials briefed crucial legislators on the protest to Pakistan. The dispute has the potential to delay or possibly even derail the legislation to provide Pakistan with $7.5 billion in civilian aid over five years; lawmakers are scheduled to vote on the aid package when they return from their recess next month.

The legislation is sponsored by Senators John Kerry of Massachusetts and Richard G. Lugar of Indiana, the top Democrat and Republican on the Foreign Relations Committee, as well as Representative Howard L. Berman, a California Democrat who leads the House Foreign Affairs Committee. Congressional aides are now reconciling House and Senate versions of the legislation.

Frederick Jones, a spokesman for Mr. Kerry, declined to comment on the details of the dispute citing its classified nature but suggested that the pending multifaceted aid bill would clear Congress "in a few weeks" and would help cooperation between the two countries.

"There have been irritants in the U.S.-Pakistan relationship in the past and there will be in the future," Mr. Jones said in a statement, noting that the pending legislation would provide President Obama "with new tools to address troubling behavior."

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 8:32 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 11:10 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Steinberg wants to talk secure this morning. I can come over and set up. Can u do in the next 30 min?

It has to be by then since we want to go out.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 11:03:36 2009
Subject: Steinberg wants to talk secure this morning. I can come over and set up. Can u do in the next 30 min?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 10:56 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I am going to leave so calls will have to be on my berry. Someone should email and I will then call in.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 10:53:10 2009
Subject:

Ban is in a meeting. They will call back as soon as he is out.
We are waiting to hear back from the thais.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 2:58 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] Huma Abedin; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov' B6
Subject: UNGA food Event

Ban really wants to do this w me but he cannot do it at 3:30 on the 24th because he has to go to P'burgh. He asked that we work w Bob Orr on his staff to find another time if possible. We should try to do that asap. He doesn't leave NY until afternoon of 24th so possible to do in morning. He returns late afternoon on 25th. What can we work out? If all else fails, work w Orr to get the right representative.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:34 PM
To: 'ValmoroJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule/call list

I spoke w Jack Reed. He said I could pick the date in either Oct or Nov to be honored bt BENS. We could pick a Friday when I'd be back in NY.

Did I ask that you set up mtg/meal w Elie Weisel and his wife?

Also, I need to see Holbrooke early on Thursday after Labor Day to be briefed before a WH mtg at 11. I can do as early as needed.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:39 PM
To: 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Noori Ali

Do you recall Noori Ali(?), the ten year old Yemeni girl who got herself divorced? I met her at the Glamour awards last year. There was a CNN story last few days about how unhappy she is, still living at home, not attending school and quite angry that her life is not better. Is there any way we can help her? Could we get her to the US for counselling and education?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 1:44 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What is Gordon's email? I couldn't get address off this traffic.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:37:54 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:35:07 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:32:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:25:30 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 13:01:50 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:22:13 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

No I have that--just wanted to be sure it was final.

What happened w[redacted]yesterday? Do they know I stopped by? B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 2:24 PM
To: 'preines[redacted]' 'verveerms@state.gov'; Huma Abedin B6
Subject: Feedback?

Any from the taping yesterday or today's showing?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 4:21 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok but I won't be able to do btw 4:30 and 6:30 so when can she do?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 16:16:22 2009
Subject:

Cheryl is not ready for a secure call yet.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2009 2:36 PM
To: 'doug [redacted] B6
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'verveerms@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Women's events

Doug--I'd like to discuss when you are here for Capricia's swearing in. I'm copying Lona and Melanne so they can schedule. All the best, H

----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Hattaway [redacted]
To: H
Cc: Cheryl Mills <millscd@state.gov>; Philippe Reines (rhine-es) [redacted]
Sent: Sun Aug 30 19:22:15 2009
Subject: Women's events

Hi! Hope you all are getting some R&R as the summer wanes.

Your Africa trip has generated a lot of visibility and momentum on women's issues. I wanted to follow up on an idea we discussed, when we met a few months ago, for raising the profile of women's issues and creating a unique platform for you in the U.S.

You may recall that Melanne and I were developing ideas for holding events to connect people working on women's issues around the world, and to raise the visibility of the issues in the US.

Whitney Williams has joined us to flesh out a vision for a series of conferences that would bring together policymakers and experts from the field with women you have met around the world - and people at the grassroots level in this country.

Each conference would be followed by a special event featuring musical, cultural and culinary celebrities (yep, celebrity chefs) from the U.S. and other countries to generate visibility online and in the entertainment media, which would reach a lot more people than the policy conference alone.

These events would create connections among people who often work in isolation, and generate ideas for improving policies and practices. They'd also inspire and engage a whole new generation to get involved in global women's issues.

This approach – bringing together policy, grassroots and entertainment aspects – isn't being done by anyone else in the development space. It would be a great way to raise the visibility of the issues, and your work on them, here at home.

We could put on several over the next year, with one on the 15th Anniversary of your UN speech in Beijing.

If you're interested, we'd like the chance to meet with you all to discuss it. (Perhaps we could get together when everyone is in town for Capricia's swearing-in.) Would you like to do that?

Doug

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 5:40 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Thai

Yes--I will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 25 17:21:24 2009
Subject: Re: Thai

Oops my email acting up.
Eap is asking you to call Thai foreign minister kasit regarding the case of the russian arms dealer viktor bout. Are you ok doing this call in the next day or so?
Below is call sheet and I also faxed to the house.
Purpose of Call: To underscore the importance we place on a the Royal Thai Government's successful appeal of the court decision rejecting the extradition of Russian arms dealer Viktor Bout to the United States and the importance of his continued detention pending the outcome of the appeal.

B5

Background: On August 11, 2009, a Thai judge denied a U.S. extradition request of accused arms smuggler Viktor Bout (pron: BOOT) to face trial in New York for conspiracy to kill U.S. nationals and officers; acquire and use anti-aircraft missiles; and provide material support to the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC). The Thai Attorney General's Office will appeal the ruling. FM Kasit has been a strong ally on Burma and released a forward-leaning Chairman's statement on behalf of ASEAN following ASSK's conviction.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tue Aug 25 16:50:17 2009
Subject: Thai

Thai 00000000000000

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 8:03 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Cc: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Urgent Letter for the Secretary's Clearance

I think [redacted] B5

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Aug 26 07:50:30 2009
Subject: FW: Urgent Letter for the Secretary's Clearance

HRC –

They are looking for your sign off on this as early this am – the final will have any edits recommended by Jim.

Take a look (my only thought is it sounds defensive which I know is our posture but many of these points could be stated affirmatively – the content is fine).

cdm

From: Syed, Zia S
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 7:46 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Toiv, Nora F
Subject: Urgent Letter for the Secretary's Clearance

Cheryl,

As discussed, please see the attached draft cable for the Secretary's approval. The draft letter text is pasted below. WHA would like the Secretary to send a letter to all the UNASUR (Union of South American Nations) foreign ministers

regarding support for the U.S.-Colombia Defense Cooperation Agreement (DCA). UNASUR is having its summit meeting on August 28 and WHA would like the letter sent as soon as possible so that it can be read and processed before the summit. Please note that Steinberg himself has NOT signed off on this letter (his staff has), but we are expecting to hear from him this morning. Please tell me if you think this should be held till he weighs in.

BEGIN DRAFT TEXT:

Dear Mr./Madam Minister:

B5

END TEXT.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 9:42 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: This am

Yes, I can do at 10:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 08:35:44 2009
Subject: This am

Holbrooke asking to talk to as soon as possible.
Also can u do secure mitchell call at 10:30?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 10:28 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: This am

Any word on Kennedy funeral?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 10:22:12 2009
Subject: Re: This am

They will call the secure ste on 3rd floor at 10:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed Aug 26 09:42:12 2009
Subject: Re: This am

Yes, I can do at 10:30.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Aug 26 08:35:44 2009
Subject: This am

Holbrooke asking to talk to as soon as possible.
Also can u do secure mitchell call at 10:30?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 11:32 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: What can we do?

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Wed Aug 26 11:11:42 2009
Subject: Re: What can we do?

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]; Sullivan, Jacob J B6
Sent: Wed Aug 26 10:18:52 2009
Subject: What can we do?

Pls read the following. I've followed this case [redacted] B5

Torture on homefront cries out for justice

Comments <http://www.suntimes.com/news/marin/1734591,CST-EDT-carol26.article>

August 26, 2009

BY CAROL MARIN <mailto:cmarin@suntimes.com> Sun-Times Columnist

The feds can't -- just yet -- deport Michigan restaurateur Ibrahim Parlak to his native Turkey. But they are frighteningly closer.

And the irony screams out.

Carol Marin

On Monday, the same day Eric Holder, President Obama's attorney general, announced he would appoint a special counsel to investigate whether torture was used by the CIA to extract confessions from foreign suspects, a U.S. appeals court at the behest of the U.S. government ruled that it didn't have a problem sending Parlak back to the country where he was tortured -- imprisoned for 17 months, shocked with electrodes, hung by his arms and sexually violated.

A Turkish Kurd, Parlak was granted political asylum in 1992. It was before our government got cozier with Turkey, before it re-classified some of the Kurdish separatist movement as "terrorist" and before the attacks of Sept. 11. With 9/11, Ibrahim Parlak's horror began anew.

Suddenly he looked different to the newly created Department of Homeland Security and to the Justice Department's Immigration courts. Instead of seeing a hard-working, tax-paying Chamber of Commerce member who ran Cafe Gulistan, a small Middle Eastern restaurant in the resort town of Harbert, Mich., the feds now saw an international menace.

In 2004, the FBI grabbed Parlak and locked him up.

If it hadn't been for a volunteer team of lawyers, including a Reagan-appointed former U.S. attorney, a former FBI anti-terrorism legal adviser and the bipartisan intervention of Michigan's Sen. Carl Levin, a liberal Democrat, and Rep. Fred Upton, a conservative Republican, Parlak would still be in jail.

Thanks to them, this 47-year-old father of a 12-year-old girl is temporarily free. But just barely.

The newest outrage is the 2-1 decision issued by Cincinnati's 6th Circuit Court of Appeals on the same day Holder launched his torture inquiry.

The majority opinion was issued by two Bush appointees put on the bench after 9/11. Judge Julia Smith Gibbons and Judge Jeffrey Sutton upheld the Justice Department's Immigration courts ruling that Parlak failed to disclose his relationship to the now-labeled terrorist organization even though he was never proven to be a member.

Let's remember something about our immigration courts. They are not independent. They work for whoever is the attorney general. And the past rulings against Parlak came under two now-controversial Bush appointees, John Ashcroft and Alberto Gonzales.

The fairness of immigration rulings has been ripped by none other than Judge Richard Posner of the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals, one of the nation's most brilliant judges. By September of 2005, Posner noted "a staggering 40 percent of the 136 petitions" had been reversed due to, according to one ruling, a willingness to ignore "the most basic of facts."

Echoing Posner now is the dissenting judge on the Parlak appeal. Judge Boyce F. Martin, a Carter appointee, writes with both clarity and conviction, arguing the government's "awesome power was used here to railroad a man out of our country."

Like a surgeon, Martin dissects the Justice Department's immigration courts and the majority opinion of his own colleagues, and ends by saying, "I remain hopeful, nevertheless, that this case is but a sad remnant of an era of paranoid, overzealous, error-riddled and misguided anti-terrorism and immigration enforcement that has now gone by the wayside. It is just a shame that, even if my hope proves true, it is too late for Ibrahim Parlak."

Parlak's legal team will appeal.

Meanwhile, Holder, like Ashcroft and Gonzales before him, is the new boss of the immigration courts.

As he investigates questions of U.S. torture abroad, here's hoping Holder examines the ongoing domestic legal torture of Ibrahim Parlak.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 9:30 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Fw: Lieberman

He wants to come see me w McCain, Graham and Collins about Af'stan. Pls put on list to discuss.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 25 11:55:12 2009
Subject: Lieberman

Joe lieberman wants to talk to you about his recent trip to iraq and afghanistan with mccain.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:22 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: 8/28 Senior Staff Meeting

What is the Bolton letter about the ICC refer to?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 14:19:38 2009
Subject: Fw: 8/28 Senior Staff Meeting

From: Wohlers, Paul
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Fri Aug 28 13:34:41 2009
Subject: 8/28 Senior Staff Meeting

Sensitive But Unclassified

POTUS Asia Travel

- Bill said he will be meeting with his Indonesian counterpart today to discuss.

Helicopter support for Emb Baghdad

- Pat Kennedy noted that Blackwater's contract ends Sept. 4, but problems have arisen with the successor being ready to assume helo support tasks; they have not secured the necessary helos nor obtained the required certificates of airworthiness and are in breach of their contract. Rather than shut down Embassy operations after Sept. 4, it may be necessary to give Blackwater a short (perhaps 2 months) extension until the successor is ready to perform. M is talking to Chris Hill about our options; he would need to discuss this with the Iraqis if Blackwater is extended.

Libya

· Rich Verma noted the planned demonstration in Englewood, New Jersey this weekend in opposition to Qaddafi's desire to stay there during UNGA. There is now a possibility that Qaddafi will stay at a hotel in Manhattan. Bill will talk to Jeff Feltman and hopes this will be resolved today; if so, H said they would inform Rep. Rothman and Senator Lautenberg.

Hearings

· Rich reported that Chris Hill is scheduled to appear before the SFRC on Sept 9 and the HFAC on Sept 10, perhaps with Gen. Odierno, although Odierno may not be available those dates. There was discussion of the pros and cons of appearing with or without Gen. Odierno, given past precedent on Iraq and Afghanistan testimony and the general rule that ambassadors usually do not testify on the Hill.

· Upcoming confirmation hearings include those for Bob Hormats on Sept 9, perhaps with Jose Fernandez, and a panel of EUR ambassadorial-nominees on Sept 10. Also, Richard Holbrooke will testify on Sept 15 before the House Foreign Operations subcommittee.

Honduras

· Harold Koh raised the memo to the Secretary on Honduras; it was decided to discuss it in a separate meeting.

ICC

· Harold also noted the issue of [redacted] B5

D(L) Travel

· Piper said Jack Lew will return to the office Monday and depart on travel Tuesday afternoon, returning Sept 10. Cheryl noted that the Secretary would like to convene a conference call with him on Monday.

Belarus at UNGA

- Jake noted the issue of whether to invite Belarus to the President's reception at UNGA; the consensus was that he should do so.

Public Affairs

- Ian Kelly said PA anticipates questions on Honduras, upcoming Mitchell meetings, and the possibility of a Bosworth trip at today's briefing. PJ Crowley noted European press reporting of comments by former UK FS Jack Straw that the British had not provided assurances to us in the 90's that the convicted Lockerbie bomber would serve out his full sentence in Scotland.

Pakistan

- The story on Pakistan proliferation may come out over the weekend.

Paul D. Wohlers

Deputy Executive Secretary, S/ES

202-647-5302

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:22 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

He's thrilled. Wants to know when it's actually out but will keep it close hold until then. I want to send him to both Ireland and the North as soon as possible.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 17:03:11 2009
Subject: RE: Kelly, Declan

I can have it announced here on Monday - can't today

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 5:01 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Can you get announce ready so as soon as I reach him, you can get it out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:58:25 2009
Subject: RE: Kelly, Declan

Yes and then you should announce it.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:55 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Kelly, Declan

Yeah!! Is he now official? Can I call him? Can I ask him to start?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:50:02 2009
Subject: FW: Kelly, Declan

fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 2:44 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Kelly, Declan

Cheryl

Full security clearance has been granted

Heather et al notified

Regards

pat

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 7:48 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Honduras Military Coup Decision

Ok--i should be free after 2. Pls email when you want to talk.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 06:20:26 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras Military Coup Decision

See b/l - will call you later today to discuss my convs on this matter

---

From: Koh, Harold Hongju
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Burns, William J; Verma, Richard R; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Donoghue, Joan E; Harris, Robert K; Spector, Phillip M; Townley, Stephen G; [redacted] B6
[redacted]
Sent: Fri Aug 28 21:23:28 2009
Subject: Honduras Military Coup Decision

INTERNAL DELIBERATIVE

Dear All,

After this morning's meeting, L undertook more research [redacted] B5

[redacted]

Millennium Challenge Report

The Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 requires the submission of a report to Congress and publication in the Federal Register of a list of countries that are candidate countries for MCC assistance, and countries that would be candidate countries but for "specified legal prohibitions on assistance." Honduras is a candidate country. If Honduras is subject to the restrictions in section 7008, it would be listed in that section of the report that identifies countries that would be

candidate countries but for legal prohibitions that prohibit assistance. The report would also provide an explanation of the legal prohibition (in fact, other coup restricted countries, such as Cote d'Ivoire, Madagascar, Mauritania, and Sudan, are on the prohibited list and section 7008 is explicitly mentioned).

The list must be approved by the Board of the MCC, of which the Secretary is the chair, and is due on 9/10. It is our understanding that an action memo will be presented to the Secretary, perhaps as early as next week, so that she can approve submission of the report. The action memo will require the Secretary to decide whether Honduras is a country without a "specified legal prohibition" or whether such a prohibition has in fact attached. B5

Department Legal Practice

B5

I am travelling in New England this weekend but am available at or through the ops center if you wish to discuss. I will be back by the 8:45am meeting on Monday morning and can elaborate further then. B6

Section 7008 of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (Div. H, P.L. 111-8) provides:

"None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act shall be obligated or expended to finance directly any assistance to the government of any country whose duly elected head of government is deposed by military coup or decree: Provided, That assistance may be resumed to such government if the President determined and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that subsequent to the termination of assistance a democratically elected government has taken office: Provided further, That the provisions of this section shall not apply to assistance to promote democratic elections or public participation in democratic processes: Provided

further, That funds made available pursuant to the previous provisos shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations."

Harold Hongju Koh

The Legal Adviser

United States Department of State

2201 C St. N.W. Suite 6421

Washington, D.C. 20520

202-647-9598 phone

[redacted] cell

B6

202-647- 7096 fax

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 9:30 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Oprah

I can't do tonight and not sure about tomorrow morning. Is he calling me or does he think I'm calling him?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:28:25 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Checking
I am sure they will
Mitchell just responded. He can talk before 10:30 tonite or before noon tomorrow. What do u want to do?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 21:18:36 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

I thought you meant next Sunday or Monday. What about this Wed afternoon or Thurs in DC? I told Cheryl and Philippe I wouldn't leave early. If the Oprah team want to come out here and one of our friends is willing to let us use their house out here this Monday, I would do that.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:56:45 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

The dates are tomorrow or monday.
Not next week. Its why I asked cheryl to discuss with you because it meant interrupting your vacation which I wasn't prepared to do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:38:30 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

But I'm leaving here on Wed and I'll be at home over the weekend so I'd rather get it over w in the morning. Can they do it in the barn?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H

Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:37:56 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Lisa ling.
She's an oprah correspondant. we can do late monday afternoon so u don't have to be rushed getting out of here.
Maybe offer her 3 or 4pm?
Will get a makeup person.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:31:42 2009
Subject: Re: Oprah

Who will interview me?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:27:52 2009
Subject: Oprah

For oprah, Monday is better. I'm going to confirm for chappaqua monday.
Oscar, can u make sure she sees this?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 11:14 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Monday schedule

Did you talk w Lisa about their house?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:12:07 2009
Subject: Monday schedule

We are waiting for oprahs team to confirm that they can do in hamptons tomorrow.
Aside from that, we have the following calls:
1. Kouchner
2. Jack and Cheryl to discuss his upcoming trip 3. Susan Rice if u want. They suggested monday.
4. Jones: he can do shortly after 9am. He's flying to poland. I can lock in 9:30.
Am I doing anything about mitchell?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 9:57 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

I can talk right now. Can we do conf call about Lona's memo?


RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 4:02 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Brazilian fm call

How about 6pm?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Aug 24 16:01:02 2009
Subject: Brazilian fm call

Just got talking points for brazilian fm call. He asked to talk late this afternoon. Do u want to do call? If yes, when?
Purpose of Call: Brazilian Foreign Minister Amorim has asked to speak with you regarding the Honduras crisis and our defense cooperation agreement with Colombia.



B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 11:34 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Monday

Ok. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 22:40:29 2009
Subject: Monday

8:30am call with mitchell
9am secure call with jack and cdm to follow 9:30am call with jones 10am call with kouchner Hairdresser coming at 11am We will do a call with melane and pir and I am getting paper for oprah interview.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 5:45 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: REVISED Call Sheet S UNSYG UNGA

What's wrong w. Diouf? I'm up and about so call if you can.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Aug 25 05:09:06 2009
Subject: Fw: REVISED Call Sheet S UNSYG UNGA

See b/l - huma will print and provide call sheet

From: Plowden, Marisa L
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Laszczych, Joanne; Toiv, Nora F; Lurie, Mike D
Sent: Mon Aug 24 17:02:03 2009
Subject: REVISED Call Sheet S UNSYG UNGA

Cheryl,

We spoke with IO, EEB, and Amb. Cousin after the meeting today and came up with the following revision to S's call points. We decided the best language would be for the Secretary to say that if UNSYG cannot co-host, that she would welcome the participation of his representative. The important point here is that we do not want the FAO head – Jacques Diouf – to end-up co-hosting the UNGA event, and everyone agreed there was a danger Ban ki-Moon would suggest he do so. In the background at the bottom I included a point stressing that we do not want Diouf to co-host.

Thanks,

Marisa

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 1:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Cheryl free now if u can talk.

I just talked to her. She asked if we'd been "invited" to funeral. Have we?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Aug 27 13:37:03 2009
Subject: Cheryl free now if u can talk.

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:40 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Qadahfi and New Jersey

I agree.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:35:06 2009
Subject: FW: Qadahfi and New Jersey

FYI

From: Steinberg, James B
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:34 PM
To: Burns, William J; Verma, Richard R; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Crowley, Philip J; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Subject: Re: Qadahfi and New Jersey

I agree with bill

From: Burns, William J
To: Verma, Richard R; Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Crowley, Philip J; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)
Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:12:18 2009
Subject: RE: Qadahfi and New Jersey

B5

From: Verma, Richard R
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 3:10 PM
To: Steinberg, James B; Burns, William J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Crowley, Philip J; Sanderson, Janet A; Kelly, Ian C (PACE)

Cc: Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M
Subject: Qadahfi and New Jersey
Importance: High

Team – we really need something to go out today regarding Qadahfi's visit to the UNGA. There is a rally in NJ planned for Sunday morning – that rally is growing in scope and impact as the time passes. The Congressional interest and concern is also growing stronger. I would propose a statement from PJ, along the lines of the one below, but am open to other ideas. Issuing something next week will not help us all that much.

DRAFT STATEMENT

B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 7:51 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Call List

Did we ever get a time? The call will last an hour. I can also do early tomorrow am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 18:05:37 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I left word with his office. He is travelling most of tomorrow, but they are going to check in with him to see if there are any pockets of time that work for him. They owe me a call back- ill let you and huma know when I hear.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild

Rapoport [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 7:53 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Call List

I cannot do before 1 today. What about 7 or 8 am tomorrow?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:53:21 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

He can to anytime this morning before 1pm, or anytime tomorrow. (He is travelling after 1pm today).

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 07:51:03 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Did we ever get a time? The call will last an hour. I can also do early tomorrow am.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Aug 28 18:05:37 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

I left word with his office. He is travelling most of tomorrow, but they are going to check in with him to see if there are any pockets of time that work for him. They owe me a call back- ill let you and huma know when I hear.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:59:48 2009
Subject: Re: Call List

Thx. Can you call Tom Donilon's office and schedule a call w him for me late tomorrow afternoon or evening (after I get back from Kennedy funeral) or if that is not convenient for him, ask that he suggest times that work for him.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Fri Aug 28 16:34:53 2009
Subject: RE: Call List

Great - I'm updating it as we speak and will send a revised shortly.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2009 4:33 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Huma Abedin
Subject: Call List

I have spoken to the following so they can be removed from list:

Reed
Podesta
Kasit
Wiesel
Rothschild
Rapoport [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 8:32 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Ban

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Aug 29 20:28:58 2009
Subject: Ban

The best option for ban for the food security meeting is saturday afternoon at 4pm. Cheryl wants to confirm. U ok if I move forward?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 1:28 PM
To: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] 'millscd@state.gov' B6
Subject: Follow up

Did you ever talk to Podesta about CB?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 11:14 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E

Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 12:34 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What is FM's first name?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:21:43 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

I left u final call sheet last night on kitchen counter. Do u need another copy?

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 12:14:31 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

What exactly are the talking points?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:22:16 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

He can do 1:30
He's traveling in slovenia

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:13:40 2009
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Is there a time for this call?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:05:45 2009
Subject: Fw: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 11:00:23 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

We've sent word that she's going to call (I think Davu is in Slovenia but should be available). I think we've got agreement on the text after another word change or two, and Davu will know that the key issue is agreeing on a date for a signing and submission to parliaments. We've told the Turks that it "should be" in early October. They will push back, and if they agree to a date at all it will probably be Oct 12. That will be much harder to sell to other side, but our minimum need is a date at least by then. Thanks.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 10:23 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

She's willing to call today. We can try and set up asap. Let me know.

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B; McEldowney, Nancy E
Sent: Sun Aug 30 10:12:35 2009
Subject: RE: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma – I have asked the Swiss FM to make a run at Davu first, and if that fails we may need HRC. OK? Call me if you want to discuss.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 9:19 AM
To: Gordon, Philip H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Subject: Re: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Phil, hrc asking if its ok if she talks to him early tomorrow?

From: Gordon, Philip H
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Kaidanow, Tina S; Scanlon, Amy B
Sent: Sun Aug 30 07:58:52 2009
Subject: Turkey-Armenia text for Davutoglu call

Huma:

For the Davutoglu call, here is a copy of the text the Turks (Davutoglu) have agreed to release tomorrow, if the Armenians agree. And as noted in the call sheet, they will only agree if Turkey agrees on a date in advance of Oct. 14 for the signature of the documents. Let me know if any questions.

Phil

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 3:37 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the Itfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2009 6:49 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Ok.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 29 06:47:25 2009
Subject: Schedule

I confirmed last night that your weekly meeting with POTUS is still set for 3:30pm on Thursday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 6:15 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Would love to talk today

Hi Tom--

What times would work for you today? I'm free before 9am and after 1 until 7pm. Tomorrow I'm free before noon. Pls let me know what works best for your schedule. Looking forward to catching up. HRC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 9:30 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Would love to talk today

Tom--I will call you after 2 today. Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Donilon, Thomas E. [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 09:03:28 2009
Subject: Re: Would love to talk today

Madame Secretary--Anytime after 1:30 today or anytime tomorrow morning.

Tom

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Donilon, Thomas E.
Sent: Sat Oct 17 06:15:17 2009
Subject: Would love to talk today

Hi Tom--

What times would work for you today? I'm free before 9am and after 1 until 7pm. Tomorrow I'm free before noon. Pls let me know what works best for your schedule. Looking forward to catching up. HRC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 3:53 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Calling now. POTUS hasn't called yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Oct 17 15:20:05 2009
Subject:

Mitchell says he needs to talk asap.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 10:09 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Can we talk tonight or tomorrow am?

Rahm--I have a few matters to discuss. Pls let me know what times would work for you. Thx--Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2009 7:07 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: From Amb. Holbrooke

Pls print for me.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed Oct 21 14:13:29 2009
Subject: FW: From Amb. Holbrooke

FYI.

From: Silson, John H
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2009 12:19 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; 'Kobs, David M.'; Field, Burton M; 'Long, Peter J.'; Campbell, Piper; Bever, James (ME/AA)
Cc: SSRAP_StaffAssistants; Pauli, Rosemarie; Dejban, Donna D
Subject: FW: From Amb. Holbrooke

Dear All –

Ambassador Holbrooke asked us to make sure the email below goes to:

Secretary Clinton

General Jones

Admiral Mullen

General McChrystal

Tom Donilon

Jack Lew

Jim Beaver

Thanks very much,

John Silson

From: Dejban, Donna D On Behalf Of Holbrooke, Richard C
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2009 7:28 PM
To: 'David H. Petraeus [redacted] B6
Subject: From Amb. Holbrooke

Dear Dave,

Several people have revisited an idea I know you have heard before – that ISAF, the UN, and the U.S. Mission buy some of their food on the local economy. This would:

- provide a source of rapid income to farmers;
- reduce somewhat the amount of resupply convoys;

I have asked MG Field to look into this. I know that there are health and security issues, but perhaps there are ways to deal with them on a local basis. Richard Holbrooke

cc: Secretary Clinton

General Jim Jones

Admiral Mike Mullen

Gen. Stanley McChrystal

Tom Donilon

Jack Lew

Jim Bever

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2009 1:19 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mini schedule

Tell Lona I'm running late but want to reschedule Lona and Huma.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 07 13:09:35 2009
Subject: RE: Mini schedule

11:00 am UNVEILING OF THE PORTRAIT OF COLIN POWELL, FORMER
11:25 am SECRETARY OF STATE Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor

11:25 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

11:30 am ARRIVE White House

11:30 am POTUS BILATERAL w/TURKISH PRIME MINISTER
12:00 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:00 pm PRESIDENT'S JOINT PRESS AVAILABILITY w/ TURKISH PM
12:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:15 pm PRESIDENT'S WORKING LUNCH FOR TURKISH PM
1:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Cabinet Room

1:20 pm DEPART White House *En route State Department

1:25 pm ARRIVE State Department

1:30pm SCHEDULING W/HUMA AND LONA
2:00pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm OFFICE TIME
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm BILATERAL w/SAUDI FOREIGN MINISTER PRINCE SAUD
3:30 pm AL FAISAL James Madison Room, 8th Floor, *Camera Spray at Top

3:50 pm PHOTO w/BOARD OF GAYS AND LESBIANS IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS
3:55 pm AGENCIES Secretary's Outer Office

4:00 pm BILATERAL w/ALGERIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOURAD
4:30 pm MEDELCI Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room at bottom.
4:30pm BRIEFING w/RICH VERMA AND TODD STERN

4:45pm	Secretary's Office
4:45 pm	OFFICE TIME
6:00 pm	Secretary's Office
6:00 pm	HOLIDAY RECEPTION FOR UNACCOMPANIED TOUR GUESTS
6:45 pm	Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor
6:55 pm	DEPART State Department *En route Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:10 pm	ARRIVE Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:15 pm	TRIBUTE DINNER HOSTED BY U.S. GLOBAL LEADERSHIP
8:15 pm	COALITION *Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
8:20 pm	DEPART Grand Hyatt *En route Private Residence
8:30 pm	ARRIVE Private Residence

###

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2009 1:08 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Mini schedule

Can you pls send me a copy for today?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 1:47 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Monday

Jim jones just called to ask me if I can I meet at the WH from 11:30 to 12:30 on Monday? What needs to be moved?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2009 2:45 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mini schedule

Pls tell Huma and all I'm still in the lunch so apologize ti Saud.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 07 13:23:46 2009
Subject: RE: Mini schedule

Will do

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2009 1:19 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Mini schedule

Tell Lona I'm running late but want to reschedule Lona and Huma.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 07 13:09:35 2009
Subject: RE: Mini schedule

11:00 am UNVEILING OF THE PORTRAIT OF COLIN POWELL, FORMER
11:25 am SECRETARY OF STATE Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor

11:25 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

11:30 am ARRIVE White House

11:30 am POTUS BILATERAL w/TURKISH PRIME MINISTER
12:00 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:00 pm PRESIDENT'S JOINT PRESS AVAILABILITY w/ TURKISH PM
12:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:15 pm PRESIDENT'S WORKING LUNCH FOR TURKISH PM
1:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Cabinet Room

1:20 pm DEPART White House *En route State Department

1:25 pm ARRIVE State Department

1:30pm SCHEDULING W/HUMA AND LONA
2:00pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm	OFFICE TIME
2:30 pm	Secretary's Office
2:30 pm	BILATERAL w/SAUDI FOREIGN MINISTER PRINCE SAUD
3:30 pm	AL FAISAL James Madison Room, 8th Floor, *Camera Spray at Top
3:50 pm	PHOTO w/BOARD OF GAYS AND LESBIANS IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS
3:55 pm	AGENCIES Secretary's Outer Office
4:00 pm	BILATERAL w/ALGERIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOURAD
4:30 pm	MEDELCI Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room at bottom.
4:30pm	BRIEFING w/RICH VERMA AND TODD STERN
4:45pm	Secretary's Office
4:45 pm	OFFICE TIME
6:00 pm	Secretary's Office
6:00 pm	HOLIDAY RECEPTION FOR UNACCOMPANIED TOUR GUESTS
6:45 pm	Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor
6:55 pm	DEPART State Department *En route Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:10 pm	ARRIVE Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:15 pm	TRIBUTE DINNER HOSTED BY U.S. GLOBAL LEADERSHIP
8:15 pm	COALITION *Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
8:20 pm	DEPART Grand Hyatt *En route Private Residence
8:30 pm	ARRIVE Private Residence

###

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2009 1:08 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Mini schedule

Can you pls send me a copy for today?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2009 2:51 PM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mini schedule

Coming now. Where should I go?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 07 13:23:46 2009
Subject: RE: Mini schedule

Will do

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2009 1:19 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Re: Mini schedule

Tell Lona I'm running late but want to reschedule Lona and Huma.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Dec 07 13:09:35 2009
Subject: RE: Mini schedule

11:00 am UNVEILING OF THE PORTRAIT OF COLIN POWELL, FORMER
11:25 am SECRETARY OF STATE Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor

11:25 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

11:30 am ARRIVE White House

11:30 am POTUS BILATERAL w/TURKISH PRIME MINISTER
12:00 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:00 pm PRESIDENT'S JOINT PRESS AVAILABILITY w/ TURKISH PM
12:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Oval Office

12:15 pm PRESIDENT'S WORKING LUNCH FOR TURKISH PM
1:15 pm RECEP TAYYIP ERDOGAN Cabinet Room

1:20 pm DEPART White House *En route State Department

1:25 pm ARRIVE State Department

1:30pm SCHEDULING W/HUMA AND LONA
2:00pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm	OFFICE TIME
2:30 pm	Secretary's Office
2:30 pm	BILATERAL w/SAUDI FOREIGN MINISTER PRINCE SAUD
3:30 pm	AL FAISAL James Madison Room, 8th Floor, *Camera Spray at Top
3:50 pm	PHOTO w/BOARD OF GAYS AND LESBIANS IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS
3:55 pm	AGENCIES Secretary's Outer Office
4:00 pm	BILATERAL w/ALGERIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOURAD
4:30 pm	MEDELCI Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room at bottom.
4:30pm	BRIEFING w/RICH VERMA AND TODD STERN
4:45pm	Secretary's Office
4:45 pm	OFFICE TIME
6:00 pm	Secretary's Office
6:00 pm	HOLIDAY RECEPTION FOR UNACCOMPANIED TOUR GUESTS
6:45 pm	Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor
6:55 pm	DEPART State Department *En route Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:10 pm	ARRIVE Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
7:15 pm	TRIBUTE DINNER HOSTED BY U.S. GLOBAL LEADERSHIP
8:15 pm	COALITION *Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel
8:20 pm	DEPART Grand Hyatt *En route Private Residence
8:30 pm	ARRIVE Private Residence

###

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2009 1:08 PM
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Subject: Mini schedule

Can you pls send me a copy for today?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 5:41 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Could we schedule a call?

Dear Tom--

I have several matters I'd like to discuss w you this weekend and was hoping we can schedule a call. I'm free tonight after 10; tomorrow btw 8-10am and Sunday btw 8-11am and after 10pm. Do any of those times work for you?

And, by the way, I'm still laughing about the yurt! Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 9:06 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Could we schedule a call?

I sent the below to Tom but haven't heard back yet. Can you pls work w Matt to get a time? Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: H
To: [redacted] B6
Sent: Fri Dec 11 17:40:42 2009
Subject: Could we schedule a call?

Dear Tom--

I have several matters I'd like to discuss w you this weekend and was hoping we can schedule a call. I'm free tonight after 10; tomorrow btw 8-10am and Sunday btw 8-11am and after 10pm. Do any of those times work for you?

And, by the way, I'm still laughing about the yurt! Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 12:37 PM
To:
Subject: Re: Could we schedule a call?

Tom--

Is 9am ok w you? H

----- Original Message -----
From: Donilon, Thomas E.
To: H
Sent: Sat Dec 12 10:45:53 2009
Subject: Re: Could we schedule a call?

B6

Madam Secretary--

Anytime tomorrow morning would be good.

Tom

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Donilon, Thomas E.
Sent: Fri Dec 11 17:40:42 2009
Subject: Could we schedule a call?

Dear Tom--

I have several matters I'd like to discuss w you this weekend and was hoping we can schedule a call. I'm free tonight after 10; tomorrow btw 8-10am and Sunday btw 8-11am and after 10pm. Do any of those times work for you?

And, by the way, I'm still laughing about the yurt! Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2009 11:28 PM
To: 'daniel.baer [redacted] B6
Cc: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

I won't read it until early in the morning so you can work w Jake and White House tonight to be sure we have all relevant comments and red flags. Thanks so much for all your hard work on this.

----- Original Message -----
From: Daniel Baer [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 13 23:21:52 2009
Subject: Re: Speech

Not to worry...I figured it out.

Will send you something by midnite.
D

On Sun, Dec 13, 2009 at 11:14 PM, H <HDR22@clintonemail.com> wrote:

> I just looked at the first page and noticed I hadn't crossed out the last typed line--did that confuse you?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 6:52 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Travel

I'd like to stick w the 8 if we think it will go; if not, should we try the train at 6 or 7 if they're going; if not, I'd like to try the 7am Monday shuttle as a last resort. How will we decide?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Dec 19 16:54:52 2009
Subject: Travel

Lona and I wanted to check in with you on travel. All the news is reporting (Mario confirming) that travel tomorrow will be messy. All flights to dc till 6pm tomorrow are basically canceled (though the 8pm is still scheduled to go). Mario has asked us to check in tomorrow but as of now, he thinks a monday morning flight might be more reliable. There are trains running but they expect delays, maybe cancellations. Below is lonas draft for monday. Do you want to hold off on making a decision till we know more tomorrow?

PREV RON Washingon, DC

8:15 amDEPART Private Residence
En route State Department
[drive time: 10 minutes]

8:25 amARRIVE State Department

8:25 amPRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING
8:30 amSecretary's Office

8:30 amDAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING
8:45 amSecretary's Office
Participants: Cheryl, Huma, Jake, Joe, and Lona

8:45 amDAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING
9:15 amSecretary's Conference Room
Participants: Jim Steinberg, Jack Lew, Bill Burns, Pat Kennedy, P.J. Crowley, Dan Smith, Harold Koh, Anne-Marie Slaughter, Ian Kelly, Rich Verma and Joe Macmanus

9:15 amMONDAY MEETING w/ASSISTANT SECRETARIES
10:00 amPrincipals Conference Room 7516

10:00 amPRE-BRIEF FOR WEEKLY POTUS MEETING
10:15 amSecretary's Office
Participants: Jim, Jack, Cheryl, Jake and Huma

10:15 amOFFICE TIME

11:15 amSecretary's Office

11:15 amTOYS FOR TOTS CEREMONIAL PRESENTATION TO
11:30 amU.S. MARINE CORPS RESERVE
C Street Lobby
Contact: DS [redacted] x33699 B6
Staff: Dan
OPEN PRESS
-HRC escorted to C Street Lobby by Major General John F. Kelly and Lt. General Osman.

-Welcome Remarks by DS Assistant Secretary Eric Boswell.

-HRC make brief remarks (2-3 minutes) at podium.

-Major General John F. Kelly, Director of Toys for Tots makes brief remarks.

-DS Assistant Secretary Eric Boswell concludes ceremony.

-HRC poses for photos with Marines and Uniformed Protective Officers.

11:30 amOFFICE TIME
12:45 pmSecretary's Office

12:45 pm (t)BRIEFING ON IRAN
1:45 pm (t)Secretary's Conference Room
Participants: Bill Burns and Others TBD

1:45 pmMEETING w/UNDER SECRETARY JUDITH McHALE
2:45 pmSecretary's Office
Contact: R x79199
Staff: Cheryl

2:45 pmOFFICE TIME
3:15 pmSecretary's Office

3:15 pmREMARKS ON THE 15TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE INTERNATIONAL
4:00 pmCONFERENCE ON POPULATION
Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor
Contact: Jen Klein
Call Time: 3:00pm
OPEN PRESS

Note: Approximately 200 guests attending.

-HRC has pull-aside with10-12 VIPs upon arrival in Monroe Room.

-U/S Otero to Melanne to HRC

-HRC gives remarks (15-18 minutes in length) and departs.

4:25 pmDEPART State Department
En route White House
[drive time: 5 minutes]

4:30 pmARRIVE White House

4:30 pmWEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN
5:00 pmOval Office
Contact: Jessica Wright Office 202-456-3321

5:05 pmDEPART White House
En route State Department
[drive time: 5 minutes]

5:10 pmARRIVE State Department

5:15 pmOFFICE TIME
6:55 pmSecretary's Office

6:55 pmDEPART State Department
En route White House
[drive time: 5 minutes]

7:00 pmARRIVE White House

7:00 pmHOLIDAY DINNER HOSTED BY THE PRESIDENT AND FIRST LADY
9:00 pm (t)State Floor Rooms
Call Time: 7:00pm
CLOSED PRESS

Note: Business attire, 150 guests attending. POTUS expected to arrive at 7:10 pm

9:05 pm (t)DEPART White House
En route Private Residence
[drive time: 10 minutes]

9:15 pm (t)ARRIVE Private Residence

HRC RONWashington, DC
WJC RONChappaqua, NY

Weather:
Washington, DC: Partly sunny, 40/25.

FYI:
2:00 pmCeremonial Swearing-in for Jeffrey Bleich, U.S. Ambassador to Australia
Location: South Court Auditorium of the Eisenhower Executive Office Building
Note: Chief Justice Roberts to perform the ceremony.

7:00 pmClinton Senate Alumni Holiday Party
9:30 pmLocation: Luzzatto/Lieter Home, 3014 32nd Street NW

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 9:41 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Per call last night

Let me know when and if I should make call. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 5:14 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

I have to go back and there will be some meetings I will still have and I have a lot of work to drop off. I also am supposed to meet w the POTUS and go to the WH dinner, both of which I believe will happen.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:12:18 2009
Subject: Fw: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Do you still want to go back?
Many building people will stay home.

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Dec 20 16:52:37 2009
Subject: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

(U) Non-emergency employees (including employees on pre-approved leave) will be granted excused absence for the number of hours they were scheduled to work. Emergency employees are expected to report for work on time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 6:34 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Will the WH dinner still happen?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:16:31 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Ok great
I agree

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:14:23 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

I have to go back and there will be some meetings I will still have and I have a lot of work to drop off. I also am supposed to meet w the POTUS and go to the WH dinner, both of which I believe will happen.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:12:18 2009
Subject: Fw: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Do you still want to go back?
Many building people will stay home.

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Dec 20 16:52:37 2009
Subject: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

(U) Non-emergency employees (including employees on pre-approved leave) will be granted excused absence for the number of hours they were scheduled to work. Emergency employees are expected to report for work on time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 8:57 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Also I have meeting w POTUS and WH dinner and need to work w Jake on our year end summary report and much else.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 20:55:43 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Will check with each

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Dec 20 18:28:48 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

What about Judith McHale and Kurt and everyone who asked to see me? I have to come anyway.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:46:49 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Folks won't be in

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:07:34 2009
Subject: Re: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

What does that mean for our schedules?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Dec 20 17:05:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

Fyi

From: OpsAlert
Sent: Sun Dec 20 16:52:37 2009
Subject: Federal agencies in Washington, DC will be closed Monday, December 21 (U)

(U) Non-emergency employees (including employees on pre-approved leave) will be granted excused absence for the number of hours they were scheduled to work. Emergency employees are expected to report for work on time.

Automatic electronic distribution to: S, D(S), D(L), P, E, C, M, DG, T, R, PA, G, AF, EAP, EUR, NEA, SCA, WHA, IO, S/CRS, S/CT, H, DS, CA, PMAT, INR, IIP, CMS, S/ES, S/ES-O, NSOC, and WHSR.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:04 AM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: And, it was a pleasure working w you too!

Mike--

Thanks for your kind comments last night. And , now, all we have to do is deliver on what we wrought. I look forward to following up w you on next steps. Until then, happiest of holidays and here's to a new year w lower emissions, higher transparency and more sleep! Best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 12:07 PM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Budget update

Three reactions: You are a miracle worker. We're a good team. And, we will surely miss Rob Nabors. Any chance he'd come work w us?

----- Original Message -----
From: Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 11:24:11 2009
Subject: Budget update

We are very close to settled with OMB.

B5

In addition to the [redacted] in fee generated revenue, we have an additional [redacted] in new money and they are providing an additional [redacted] directly to Treasury for the multilateral food initiative. This means that of the [redacted] that you discussed with the President, the budget will reflect [redacted] of new resources [redacted] in State and [redacted] in Treasury). The one condition on the top line is that the State/USAID percentage increase cannot be greater than Defense – which is now 3 percent, which is why part of the multilateral food assistance funding is going directly to Treasury. As they work through the details of the numbers, this is the one place that we could face a last minute issue if the percentages get out of alignment.

In terms of policy this has all of the restorations to initiatives that we discussed last week and also adds [redacted] to MCC (OMB made this part of the agreement). In food security, with the combination of [redacted] from State/USAID and [redacted] in new money will add [redacted] to the multilateral initiative; we will fund the nutrition component within the State/USAID levels. Global engagement will be funded at [redacted] of new money and we will be able to restore the proposal to increase in State and USAID staffing. While the staff increases will be funded at 50 percent of the originally proposed levels, with carryover balances because prior year appropriations were delayed there will be a very strong continuation of new recruitment. It should feel like we are on track and will add much needed capacity – much better than a pause.

While we still have a risk that the fee proposal may not be accepted, we now have [redacted] of cushion in new State ops funding which means our absolute risk vs. current levels is only [redacted]. We also get the upside that any additional fee revenues above projections will come to State and the permanent transfer of revenues, if enacted, will provide long term stability in State ops funding.

I talked to Karl yesterday about Afghanistan funding and I think he appreciates that we have made big progress, but he is still thinking about weighing in on the need for full funding. I told him that we expect funding levels that will permit us

to increase the monthly program level from roughly [redacted], with front loaded funding to permit stable planning for the next 18 months. I told him that the proposed settlement made it much harder to make a compelling case and he is weighing whether the principle of full funding of the field defined requirements is an issue that he wants to be firm on. He understands that we went to the mat on this and he understands the character of the arguments we faced. B5

I think Richard will be disappointed that the Pakistan funding level is at Kerry-Lugar-Berman levels and not higher.

Overall In a year when domestic agencies are being pressed very hard this should be seen as a big investment in State and USAID. I started laying a foundation at the staff meeting this morning so our team has a context as they finalize the budget documents with their staffs.

I hope this is the final budget note for the year. Best to you and your family for a happy and healthy new year.

Jack

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 12:13 PM
To: 'lewjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Budget update

Also, I think it would be better for Karl to prepare a very specific budget/spending plan working w S/RAP rather than protesting so we could see specifically what, if any, spendable gaps exist.

And a very happy, healthy new year to you and yours!

----- Original Message -----
From: Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 11:24:11 2009
Subject: Budget update

We are very close to settled with OMB.

B5

In addition to the [redacted] in fee generated revenue, we have an additional [redacted] in new money and they are providing an additional [redacted] directly to Treasury for the multilateral food initiative. This means that of the [redacted] that you discussed with the President, the budget will reflect [redacted] of new resources [redacted] in State and [redacted] n Treasury). The one condition on the top line is that the State/USAID percentage increase cannot be greater than Defense – which is now 3 percent, which is why part of the multilateral food assistance funding is going directly to Treasury. As they work through the details of the numbers, this is the one place that we could face a last minute issue if the percentages get out of alignment.

In terms of policy this has all of the restorations to initiatives that we discussed last week and also adds [redacted] to MCC (OMB made this part of the agreement). In food security, with the combination of [redacted] from State/USAID and [redacted] in new money will add [redacted] to the multilateral initiative; we will fund the nutrition component within the State/USAID levels. Global engagement will be funded at [redacted] of new money and we will be able to restore the proposal to increase in State and USAID staffing. While the staff increases will be funded at 50 percent of the originally proposed levels, with carryover balances because prior year appropriations were delayed there will be a very strong continuation of new recruitment. It should feel like we are on track and will add much needed capacity – much better than a pause.

While we still have a risk that the fee proposal may not be accepted, we now have [redacted] of cushion in new State ops funding which means our absolute risk vs. current levels is only [redacted]. We also get the upside that any additional fee revenues above projections will come to State and the permanent transfer of revenues, if enacted, will provide long term stability in State ops funding.

I talked to Karl yesterday about Afghanistan funding and I think he appreciates that we have made big progress, but he is still thinking about weighing in on the need for full funding. I told him that we expect funding levels that will permit us to increase the monthly program level from roughly [redacted] with front loaded funding to permit stable planning for the next 18 months. I told him that the proposed settlement made it much harder to make a compelling case and he is weighing whether the principle of full funding of the field defined requirements is an issue that he wants to be firm on. He understands that we went to the mat on this and he understands the character of the arguments we faced. B5

I think Richard will be disappointed that the Pakistan funding level is at Kerry-Lugar-Berman levels and not higher.

Overall In a year when domestic agencies are being pressed very hard this should be seen as a big investment in State and USAID. I started laying a foundation at the staff meeting this morning so our team has a context as they finalize the budget documents with their staffs.

I hope this is the final budget note for the year. Best to you and your family for a happy and healthy new year.

Jack

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 2:57 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: to clarify

If I can come back I will.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 14:53:37 2009
Subject: to clarify

We didn't think you would come back.

3pm Botswana

4pm Weekly with potus

5pm Weekly PC

Then you come back

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2009 3:35 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: to clarify

POTUS is running late so Katie will tell us when to come back--not before 4:10.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 05 14:53:37 2009
Subject: to clarify

We didn't think you would come back.

3pm Botswana

4pm Weekly with potus

5pm Weekly PC

Then you come back

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 5:38 AM
To: H
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Note to S on Summit Preparation
Attachments: 20090405 Note S SOA Summit Updateams.doc

A preview of memo coming to you officially in hard copy today:

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY

FROM: WHA – Thomas A. Shannon
White House Advisor on the Summit – Jeffrey S. Davidow
S/P – Anne-Marie Slaughter

SUBJECT: Climbing to the Summit of the Americas

B5

B5

B5

B5

[redacted]

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 5:01 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Muscatine, Lissa; Davidow, Jeffrey S; Shannon, Thomas A; Kelly, Craig A
Subject: Note to S on Summit Preparation

Dear Cheryl and Jake,

Attached pse find my additions to the memo that Tom and Jeff sent on Friday afternoon. They have not had a chance to go over this – I sent it a couple of hours ago but I'm hoping people have better things to do on Sunday afternoon. I don't think there is anything I added that they would disagree w/ so propose that this go to S tonight.

AM

RELEASE IN PART B5

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED


NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY

FROM: WHA – Thomas A. Shannon
White House Advisor on the Summit – Jeffrey S. Davidow
S/P – Anne-Marie Slaughter

SUBJECT: Climbing to the Summit of the Americas

B5


B5



B5



B5



B5

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 7:36 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Note to S on Summit Preparation

K

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 6:08 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Note to S on Summit Preparation

This is very good. I would like to give set up speech next week-on Wed--the day POTUS goes to Mexico.

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Mon, 6 Apr 2009 05:38:27 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: FW: Note to S on Summit Preparation
A preview of memo coming to you officially in hard copy today:

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY

FROM: WHA – Thomas A. Shannon
White House Advisor on the Summit – Jeffrey S. Davidow
S/P – Anne-Marie Slaughter

SUBJECT: Climbing to the Summit of the Americas

B5

B5

B5

B5

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 5:01 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J

Cc: Muscatine, Lissa; Davidow, Jeffrey S; Shannon, Thomas A; Kelly, Craig A
Subject: Note to S on Summit Preparation

Dear Cheryl and Jake,

Attached pse find my additions to the memo that Tom and Jeff sent on Friday afternoon. They have not had a chance to go over this – I sent it a couple of hours ago but I'm hoping people have better things to do on Sunday afternoon. I don't think there is anything I added that they would disagree w/ so propose that this go to S tonight.

AM

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: jake.sullivan[redacted] B6
Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 6:40 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: UNSCR/DPRK

Both I and others -- including Jim and Tom D -- feel very good about the statement. [redacted] B5

[redacted]

Let me know if you have any questions.

Happy Easter!

Jake

------Original Message------
From: H
To: Jake Sullivan
ReplyTo: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: UNSCR/DPRK
Sent: Apr 11, 2009 6:19 PM

Jake--the statement looks good to me. What do you and others think? Let me know, if you can, before I talk w Susan around 7. Happy Easter. H

Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with SprintSpeed

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Lissa Muscatine [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 10:58 PM
To: H
Subject: Speech Draft

I'm faxing you a draft of the speech. It is way too long and something of a hybrid, having started out as a big policy speech in advance of the summit. Now it is a town hall one day before the POTUS outlines his agenda at the intervention. My suggestion is that you review this long draft, which covers a lot of ground (though not everything). In the meantime, I'll work on an abbreviated version that focuses on your main themes -- the urgency of our working together with other countries to narrow the income gap and reduce poverty by creating a safety net of education, food security, and public safety that unleashes the full potential of the people and nations of our Hemisphere.

=

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 6:18 AM
To: H
Cc: HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Schedule

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: 'HAbedin [redacted] < [redacted] > B6
Sent: Wed Apr 15 22:51:52 2009
Subject: Schedule

Pls call to find a time for Tom Donilon to come over to see me next week.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2009 6:59 PM
To: H2
Subject: Stuff

These are the documents you should have.
1) HFAC remarks by courier
2) Mitchell Report by courier
3) Verma Q and A by fax
4) Cabinet statement by fax

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 7:19 AM
To: H
Cc: HAbedin [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: 'HAbedin [redacted] < [redacted] > B6
Sent: Tue Apr 21 07:03:13 2009
Subject: Schedule

Because of the way you rejiggered the day, I should go from the WH across the street to ND event. And then I wiull go home. Can you tell them I will leave mtg as soon as it ends and come right over?
Can you ask Kris B to meet me there--he knows some of the people like Joe Loughey(?) Head of Cummins. Thx.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2009 7:54 AM
To: H
Subject: Mini schedule 4/22/09

8:40 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route Rayburn House Office Building

8:40 am **CONFERENCE CALL w/HEARING PREP TEAM**

9:00 am En route Rayburn House Office Building *Dial-In Number: 202-647-0817

PIN: 12345 *Staff: Rich Verma

9:00 am **ARRIVE** Rayburn House Office Building

9:30 am **TESTIMONY BEFORE HOUSE FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE**

1:30 pm Rayburn House Office Building, Room 2172

1:30 pm **DEPART** Rayburn House Office Building *En route State Department

1:45 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

1:55 pm **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **MEETING w/HEARING PREP TEAM**

2:30 pm Secretary's Office or Secretary's Conference Room

2:35 pm **KEYNOTE ADDRESS AT STATE DEPARTMENT'S "GREENING**

3:15 pm **DIPLOMACY" EARTH DAY EVENT** Dean Acheson Auditorium, First Floor

3:30 pm **INTERVIEW w/MARK LANDLER, *THE NEW YORK TIMES***

4:00 pm Secretary's Office *On The Record.

4:10 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Renaissance Mayflower Hotel

4:25 pm **ARRIVE** Renaissance Mayflower Hotel

4:30 pm **REMARKS AT GLOBAL PHILANTHROPY FORUM**

5:00 pm Grand Ballroom *Renaissance Mayflower Hotel *Approx. 400 ppl. Attend.

5:05 pm **DEPART** Renaissance Mayflower Hotel *En route White House

5:10 pm **ARRIVE** White House

5:15 pm **SMALL GROUP MEETING**

6:15 pm General Jones' Office, West Wing

6:15 pm **MEETING w/DEPUTY NSC ADVISOR TOM DONILON**

6:45 pm First Floor, West Wing *One on one.

6:45 pm **DEPART** White House *En route Kuwaiti Ambassador's Residence

7:00 pm **ARRIVE** Ambassador's Residence

7:00 pm **KEYNOTE SPEAKER AT THE EARTH DAY GALA DINNER HOSTED**

9:15 pm **BY THE AMBASSADOR OF KUWAIT AND MRS. AL-SABAH**

Kuwaiti Ambasssador's Residence, 2940 Tilden Street, NW

9:15 pm **DEPART** Ambassador's Residence *En route Private Residence

9:30 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 7:56 PM
To: 'Jake.Sullivan [redacted] B6
Subject: Question

So, neither option was mentioned; it was "my administration" which may mean they'll pursue out of WH. What do you know?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:51 PM
To: 'Axelrod_D
Subject: Re: You were terrific.

Thx so much for your help--and for Ernie Banks! Let's play two!!

----- Original Message -----
From: Axelrod, David M.
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 10:44:26 2009
Subject: You were terrific.

B6

Thanks!

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 7:00 AM
To: H
Subject: Mini Schedule Thursday, 4/23/09

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Wed Apr 22 17:54:11 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule Thursday, 4/23/09

8:10 am **CONFERENCE CALL w/HEARING PREP TEAM**
8:30 am En route Rayburn House Office Building

8:30 am **ARRIVE** Rayburn House Office Building

9:00 am **TESTIMONY BEFORE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE**
12:00 pm **ON STATE/FOREIGN OPERATIONS** Rayburn H.O.B. Room 2359

12:05 pm **DEPART** Rayburn House Office Building *En route State Department

12:20 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

12:25 pm **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
12:30 pm Secretary's Office

12:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:30 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **PRE-BRIEF FOR POTUS/VP MEETING**
1:45 pm Secretary's Office

1:50 pm **VIDEOTAPE MESSAGE FOR WORLD MALARIA DAY (T)**
2:00 pm Marshall Room

2:00 pm **PRIVATE MEETING w/ANN HENRY**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm **BILATERAL w/THAI FOREIGN MINISTER KASIT PIROMYA**
3:00pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding

3:15 pm **REMARKS AT TAKE YOUR CHILD TO WORK DAY EVENT**
3:45 pm Dean Acheson Auditorium, First Floor *Called for 3:00 PM.

3:50 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

3:55 pm **ARRIVE** White House

4:00 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN**
4:30 pm Oval Office

4:35 pm **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

4:40 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

4:40 pm **OFFICE TIME**
5:15 pm Secretary's Office

5:15 pm **MEETING w/THE AGA KHAN, SPIRTUAL LEADER OF**
5:35 pm **SHIA IMAMI ISMAILI MUSLIMS**
Secretary's Office *Official Photo preceding meeting

5:45 pm **MEETING w/BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE UNITED NATIONS**
6:15 pm **FOUNDATION** James Monroe Rm, 8th Floor (T). Official Photo preceding mtg.

6:25 pm **PHOTO OP w/DANISH MINISTER FOR DEVELOPMENT**

6:30pm **COOPERATION, ULLA TORNAES** *Treaty Room

6:40 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:50 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

Time Tbd **PHONE CALL w/MALAYSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER ANIFAH**

FYI:
8:45 am DAILY MEETING w/SENIOR STAFF
9:15 am WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL ASSISTANT SECRETARIES

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 1:53 PM
To:
Subject: Re: Meet The Press

Thx so much for all of your help.

----- Original Message -----
From: Donilon, Thomas E.
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 11:32:49 2009
Subject: Meet The Press

B6

Madam Secretary--Really terrific work this morning. Great appearance.

Best.

Tom

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Feedback

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines[redacted]> B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 14:12:27 2009
Subject: Feedback

Whenever you do something big on TV we all hear from lots of folks saying you did great. But this time is noticeably different. First, people across the spectrum - DC insiders, reporters, staff, ex-staff, friends of friends, WH folks, etc - were blown away. Second, people were REALLY moved by the last segment, three people even told me they teared up. I'm guessing and hoping you hear this directly from random folks in the coming days.

And I think because it's been a lousy couple of weeks for the Admin, with mixed messages and bad news, coupled with many people seeing you for the first time for an extended period talking about the job and your relationship with the President, and that combo was probably the most powerful reminder since your speech in Denver that you're in a class all your own (including the President who became enmeshed in the Gates incident)

That you didn't wait for the envoy question was key, you turned it into a strength. What you said about the President and how you said it, one can't help but think how much of a team player you are and how remarkably you've adapted to the role.

You were definitely on your game. You either threw a perfect game - or at least a no hitter. So this couldn't have gone better, achieved everything we needed to times 10, and comes on the heels of a great 10 days.

Will be interesting to see the storyline that emanates from this. Because there was no substantive news, it'll be a focus on style - especially saving Biden.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Feedback

fyi print.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines [redacted]> B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 14:12:27 2009
Subject: Feedback

Whenever you do something big on TV we all hear from lots of folks saying you did great. But this time is noticeably different. First, people across the spectrum - DC insiders, reporters, staff, ex-staff, friends of friends, WH folks, etc - were blown away. Second, people were REALLY moved by the last segment, three people even told me they teared up. I'm guessing and hoping you hear this directly from random folks in the coming days.

And I think because it's been a lousy couple of weeks for the Admin, with mixed messages and bad news, coupled with many people seeing you for the first time for an extended period talking about the job and your relationship with the President, and that combo was probably the most powerful reminder since your speech in Denver that you're in a class all your own (including the President who became enmeshed in the Gates incident)

That you didn't wait for the envoy question was key, you turned it into a strength. What you said about the President and how you said it, one can't help but think how much of a team player you are and how remarkably you've adapted to the role.

You were definitely on your game. You either threw a perfect game - or at least a no hitter. So this couldn't have gone better, achieved everything we needed to times 10, and comes on the heels of a great 10 days.

Will be interesting to see the storyline that emanates from this. Because there was no substantive news, it'll be a focus on style - especially saving Biden.

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 11:06 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Today

What's the reason from Lippert?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 10:17:14 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Good we will proceed.
Also just talked to lippert

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 10:09:53 2009
Subject: Re: Today

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 08:44:47 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Thanks!

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 08:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Today

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 06:57:50 2009

Subject: Today

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2009 6:16 PM
To: Huma Abedin; 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Feedback

Pls print.

----- Original Message -----
From: PIR <preines [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Jul 26 14:12:27 2009
Subject: Feedback

Whenever you do something big on TV we all hear from lots of folks saying you did great. But this time is noticeably different. First, people across the spectrum - DC insiders, reporters, staff, ex-staff, friends of friends, WH folks, etc - were blown away. Second, people were REALLY moved by the last segment, three people even told me they teared up. I'm guessing and hoping you hear this directly from random folks in the coming days.

And I think because it's been a lousy couple of weeks for the Admin, with mixed messages and bad news, coupled with many people seeing you for the first time for an extended period talking about the job and your relationship with the President, and that combo was probably the most powerful reminder since your speech in Denver that you're in a class all your own (including the President who became enmeshed in the Gates incident)

That you didn't wait for the envoy question was key, you turned it into a strength. What you said about the President and how you said it, one can't help but think how much of a team player you are and how remarkably you've adapted to the role.

You were definitely on your game. You either threw a perfect game - or at least a no hitter. So this couldn't have gone better, achieved everything we needed to times 10, and comes on the heels of a great 10 days.

Will be interesting to see the storyline that emanates from this. Because there was no substantive news, it'll be a focus on style - especially saving Biden.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2009 11:03 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Good. Also pls be sure Ellen Tauscher knows I asked Jim Jones last week to call Kerry about her hearing and call Sarah Farnsworth to see if Jones did it yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 22:59:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Burns, William J; Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Mon Jun 01 18:28:54 2009
Subject: FW: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

From: Ruffini, Julio
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 6:18 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Stout, Jennifer P; Nolan, Sarah E; McIntyre, Amber J; [redacted] Cooper, John M; 'Terrell, Louisa'; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura; Bisdee, Sharon C; Hardy, Sharon L; McGovern, Bridget (Helen-Mary); Knight, Stephen B
Subject: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2009 11:10 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

On another matter, did you get all the stuff I sent in to be distributed, including the twitter article?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 23:07:43 2009
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 01 23:03:29 2009
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Good. Also pls be sure Ellen Tauscher knows I asked Jim Jones last week to call Kerry about her hearing and call Sarah Farnsworth to see if Jones did it yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 22:59:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Burns, William J; Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Mon Jun 01 18:28:54 2009
Subject: FW: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

From: Ruffini, Julio
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 6:18 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Stout, Jennifer P; Nolan, Sarah E; McIntyre, Amber J; [redacted] Cooper, John M; 'Terrell, Louisa'; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura; Bisdee, Sharon C; Hardy, Sharon L; McGovern, Bridget (Helen-Mary); Knight, Stephen B
Subject: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2009 5:44 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: CoS call 6/5/09

Can you try to find out? Maybe they don't want to be associated w big cities? I had a lot of support from the Conf and the mayors so I hope that's not it.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jun 05 17:25:21 2009
Subject: RE: CoS call 6/5/09

I thought maybe you would know b/c this surprised me - esp pulling folks back. Must union issue with a hotel or something.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 5:23 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: CoS call 6/5/09

Why wouldn't they paticipate in Conf of Mayors?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Fri Jun 05 17:12:15 2009
Subject: FW: CoS call 6/5/09

Note conference on mayors point below.

From: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 1:10 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: CoS call 6/5/09

- Recovery cabinet meeting

o Someone can go on behalf of S if we want

o VP and POTUS will give remarks with open press and then press will leave and agencies will present

- The administration will not be participating in the US conference of Mayors—please pull back anybody you have going

Nora Toiv

Office of the Secretary

202-647-8633

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2009 4:57 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Concept Paper for World Food Prize Remarks - Attached and Below (Drafted by Megan Rooney)

Are you ok w it? Can we claim more leadership for you, me and State?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>; Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Jun 06 15:37:42 2009
Subject: Concept Paper for World Food Prize Remarks - Attached and Below (Drafted by Megan Rooney)

June 6, 2009 11:30am

Rooney (x7-7203,) B6

CONCEPT NOTE FOR WORLD FOOD PRIZE CEREMONY

Thursday, June 11, 2009

This speech is an opportunity to signal the urgency of the problem of global hunger; connect it to an array of global issues, including economic development, health and education, expanding opportunity for women, and political stability; and declare the Administration's commitment to tackling hunger at its roots, through effective and efficient collaboration with an array of partners.

Additionally, your remarks can point to a shift from past approaches by emphasizing the need to support local solutions and focus on long-term sustainability to help people feed themselves and reduce the need for emergency assistance down the road.

The speech could be framed as follows:

THE PRIZE AND PRIZE-WINNER

You can begin by announcing the winner of the 2009 World Food Prize, Dr. Gebisa Ejeta. He has a remarkable story: born in a mud hut in Ethiopia, he got his PhD from Purdue University in plant breeding and genetics and developed

strains of sorghum that are drought-tolerant, high-yield, and resistant to the Striga weed, which devastates crops across Africa.

Outside the lab, he helped start the Sudanese commercial seed industry; developed systems to produce, certify, and market hybrid seeds; and educated farmers about the use of fertilizers and soil and water conservation. And he has trained and inspired the next generation of African agricultural scientists.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH: FROM LAB TO FARM TO MARKET TO TABLE

Dr. Ejeta's work reflects the breadth of this issue and the comprehensive approach needed to address it—one that connects the labs where new seed technologies are developed... the fields where small-scale farmers labor to feed their families and make a living... the markets where food is bought and sold... and the homes where people get the nourishment they need to thrive.

In many places, that food chain is broken. One billion people worldwide woke up hungry this morning and will go to sleep hungry tonight. Chronic hunger threatens health, while making it harder for people to work and students to learn, which in turn stunts economic development. Last year's food riots demonstrated that when food is scarce, political stability is threatened.

To date, the world's primary response to hunger has been to send emergency food aid. While this saves lives, it is a short-term fix, and we need a long-term solution.

The Obama Administration is committed to leading in developing a new global approach. We will support the creation of sustainable agricultural systems in appropriate regions around the world, to increase agricultural productivity, create jobs, strengthen economies, and protect natural resources.

We will do this not by imposing one-size-fits-all solutions, but by assisting countries in carrying out individual strategies that meet their needs—for example, through the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Plan. To facilitate coordination and share best practices, we will seek to convene donor governments, multilateral institutions, NGOs, private companies, foundations, universities, and individuals.

THE ANCHORS OF OUR APPROACH

We've identified seven anchors that secure sustainable agriculture systems. These will guide our approach.

- Increase agricultural productivity by expanding access to quality seeds, fertilizer, irrigation, the credit to purchase them, and the training to use them.

- Stimulate the post-harvest sector by improving the storage and processing of food and improving transportation to connect small farmers to markets.

- Maintain natural resources so the land can be farmed well into the future and we can make the right adaptations for the changing environment.

- Expand knowledge and training by support R&D and developing the next generation of agriculture scientists.

- Increase trade so small-scale farmers in developing countries can sell their crops far and wide.

- Support policy reform and good governance, because sustainable agriculture flourishes in a clear and predictable policy and regulatory environment.

- Support women and families in agriculture. Seventy percent of the world's farmers are women, but most of the programs that offer credit and training to farmers target men. This must change for agriculture to thrive. And children must have nutrition to contribute to their communities' future.

A PREVIEW OF WHAT'S TO COME

In the coming weeks, President Obama, Secretary Vilsack and I will be reaching out to share our approach to global coordination and agriculture in greater detail. We will seek the input, wisdom and guidance of those who have been working so long in this arena—from the Congress to NGOs to academics to foundations to the private sector. The President's conversations at the G-8 meeting in Italy in two weeks will address our approach, as well as my trip to Africa in August.

Addressing hunger and food is essential to achieving economic recovery, stabilizing societies, and expanding opportunity for hundreds of millions of people worldwide. This is why supporting sustainable agriculture worldwide will be a central element of foreign policy in the Obama Administration.

CONCLUSION

You can circle back to the story of Dr. Ejeta to close. He represents the need for a multinational approach: born in Ethiopia, educated in the United States, advised by Indian scientists, advisor to several African nations.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 6:07 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

Find out if either Jack Lew or Pat Kennedy wants to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 08 06:04:08 2009
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

It is actually not a full cabinet meeting today -- those agencies that received recovery money were invited to attend/participate. We were welcome to send a representative though, not sure if we have anyone going.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Mon Jun 08 05:52:59 2009
Subject: Cabinet mtg

I heard on the radio that there is a Cabinet mtg this am. Is there? Can I go? If not, who are we sending?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2009 7:41 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

Can you send to him or do you want me to? Does he know I can't look at it all day so he needs to contact me thru you or Huma or Lauren during work hours.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 08 07:39:30 2009
Subject: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2009 1:30 PM
To: 'jacobjlew [redacted] sullivanjj@state.gov' B6
Subject: Fw: Palau/Guantanamo Uighurs

Fyi.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 09 13:13:19 2009
Subject: Palau/Guantanamo Uighurs

From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] To: Cheryl D Mills <millscd@state.gov>, abedinh@state.gov, B6
sullivanjj@state.gov

Was going to write earlier re Palau willingness to take the 17 Guantanamo Uighurs -- but see the story has now broken. I am now representing Palau, primarily re extension of free association w/ the U.S. In touch w/Fried's office re Uighurs. Big commitment for Palau since Chinese tourism was planned and its close geographically, etc. but their premium is on U.S. relations (as sadly demonstrated by the loss of a Palauan in the U.S. Army in Afghanistan last week).

Also, just FYI now, I've been concerned that the Budget includes a far too low placeholder for free association extension aid, $45-9 m over 10 years, although the aid is to be based on a joint review of need that will really begin with a Palauan presentation Friday. (I think the number didn't reflect any rethinking by this Administration). The U.S. is to respond in a month.

Jeff
202-343-2905 o
[redacted] B6

Jeffrey L. Farrow

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 7:45 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'

Also after my mtg we want to see the potus later tuesday or wednesday.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:22 AM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; 'abedinh@state.gov'; 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: No WH mtg

I arrived for the 10:15 mtg and was told there was no mtg. Matt said they had "released" the time. This is the second time this has happened. What's up???

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 6:34 AM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Startegy memo

Can you pls bring w you today two clean copies of the startegy memo you, Cheryl, Sandy and Derek worked on so I could talk w you about today and plan a conf call to discuss tomorrow. Thx.

Also, Tom Donilon told me there would be a dinner Thursday night w Kissinger, Scocroft, Zakaria, Brezinski and principals and that he wouldn't me to frame and lead off. So we have to get the ducks lined up.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 3:53 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: So sorry!

David--

Thank you for your too kind words which were a greatly welcome addition to my healing and rehab. My word of advice is to watch where you step and stay grounded!

It's an honor to serve the President w you and I'd enjoy spending some one-on-one time just comparing notes and catching up in the next weeks. All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Axelrod, David M. [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 23:18:50 2009
Subject: So sorry!

Hillary:
I have hesitated to email because I'm sure you are being inundated with good wishes. But I just wanted to tell you how sorry I was to hear about your injury. It sounded horribly painful.
I hope you will allow yourself to rest and recover. You are an all-star player, and we need you for the long run!
David

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 1:13 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I can do at 2.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sun Jun 28 13:04:03 2009
Subject:

Tom donilon asking to have a secure call with you. When can u do it?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2009 9:28 AM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re: This am

Ok

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Thu Jul 02 09:26:49 2009
Subject: This am

Pdb on the way
Also, there's a secpres from rch that needs ur signature.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 8:08 PM
To: [redacted] B6
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

Fyi.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:02:19 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

From: Jimmy [redacted] B6
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:06:23 2009
Subject: N. Korea

Hillary: As I explained to you on the phone, I don't think it is appropriate to tell them that I will come only if they agree in advance to release the women. Your response was, in effect, "They have already agreed." Is this correct? If not, I will go, by commercial airline if necessary, representing The Carter Center, and try to induce them to approve the release. JC

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:38 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Speech

What did WH say?

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Jul 14 20:36:52 2009
Subject: RE: Speech

I will send latest to them. WH has reviewed too.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:08 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

[redacted] B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:29 AM
To: 'JilotyLC@state.gov'
Cc: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

I thought we cancelled the management mtg? Also, did you tell Sarah F I might not get there until 10:30?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:03:25 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

8:05 am ARRIVE State Department

8:05 am BREAKFAST w/CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS MEMBERS

9:15 am Thomas Jefferson Room, 8th Floor

9:30 am BIWEEKLY MEETING w/MANAGEMENT TEAM

10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:10 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

10:15 am ARRIVE White House

10:15 am WEEKLY MEETING w/ SECRETARY GATES AND GENERAL JONES

10:45 am White House Situation Room

10:50 am SMALL GROUP MEETING

12:15 pm White House Situation Room

12:30 pm DEPART White House *En route Council on Foreign Relations

12:35 pm ARRIVE Council on Foreign Relations

12:40 pm SPEECH TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

2:00 pm Council on Foreign Relations

2:05 pm DEPART Council on Foreign Relations *En route State Department

2:15 pm ARRIVE State Department

2:45 pm MEETING w/BERTIE AHERN, BOARD MEMBER, CO-OPERATION

3:15 pm IRELAND AND FORMER PRIME MINISTER OF IRELAND

Secretary's Office

3:30 pm BILATERAL w/CHADIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOUSSA FAKI

4:00 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:25 pm DEPART State Department *En route White House

4:30 pm ARRIVE White House

4:30 pm WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN

5:00 pm Oval Office

5:00 pm DEPART White House *En route State Department

5:05 pm ARRIVE State Department

5:15 pm OFFICE TIME

6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm DEPART State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm ARRIVE Private Residence ###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 2:14 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Fw: Tomorrow

Pls lock in.

----- Original Message -----
From: Donilon, Thomas E. [redacted] B6
To: H
Sent: Sun Aug 16 14:12:57 2009
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

Secretary--I can be at your offiice at 5:45. Look forward to seeing you.

Tom

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Donilon, Thomas E.
Sent: Sun Aug 16 13:00:54 2009
Subject: Tomorrow

I will have time at State tomorrow at either 2:15 or 5:45. Does either work?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 3:54 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?
- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the Itfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 3:56 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

So Lona will include in daily schedule for Tuesday?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:55:11 2009
Subject: Re:

Tuesday we think.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:54:08 2009
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?
- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the ltfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 3:58 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:56:50 2009
Subject: Re:

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:54:08 2009
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?
- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the ltfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009

Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 4:07 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Is Oscar at the house--he didn't answer my emai and we're headed there now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:58:58 2009
Subject: Re:

Yes we have asked wh for a time

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:56:02 2009
Subject: Re:

So Lona will include in daily schedule for Tuesday?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:55:11 2009
Subject: Re:

Tuesday we think.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:54:08 2009
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?

- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the ltfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted]

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 4:05 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Can we flip Jones to Thursday in what would have been the PC slot? And keep the time on Wed free for staff stuff?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:58:58 2009
Subject: Re:

Yes we have asked wh for a time

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:56:02 2009
Subject: Re:

So Lona will include in daily schedule for Tuesday?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:55:11 2009
Subject: Re:

Tuesday we think.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:54:08 2009
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?

- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the ltfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning. [redacted] B6

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2009 4:18 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Any word from Patricofs?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 16:09:15 2009
Subject: Re:

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 16:06:41 2009
Subject: Re:

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:58:58 2009
Subject: Re:

Yes we have asked wh for a time

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:56:02 2009
Subject: Re:

So Lona will include in daily schedule for Tuesday?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:55:11 2009
Subject: Re:

Tuesday we think.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:54:08 2009
Subject: Re:

I'll wait to see him in person next week. Do we know when that would be?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:48:40 2009
Subject: Re:

Thx
Few other notes:
- wh is asking if u want to speak with potus tomorrow during the meeting time? Would be 10:20am. Would you like to do a call with him or wait and meet with him in person?
- cdm can explain the document I just asked oscar to print for you.

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tue Sep 01 15:37:28 2009
Subject: Re:

Ok. Thx. Enjoy the Itfar. See you in DC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 01 14:38:23 2009
Subject:

Wanted to let you know I decided to go to dc for the wh iftar tonite and big unga meeting tomorrow morning

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:41 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'; Huma Abedin
Subject: Schedule

I may see the POTUS Wed afternoon or evening per my conversation w him today. He's checking his schedule. Can you reach out to WH?

People I saw at funeral who I want to see or who want to see me--

Randy Weingarten--reschedule dinner w her and Hillary Rosen

Dianne Feinstein--put her on my call list

Do you know about a conference that Schwarzenegger said he invited me to in CA in late Sept or Oct about the environment?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 3:13 PM
To: 'ValmoroLJ@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Schedule

Also, once we know what time I need to be in DC, I'll need a shuttle time. And I want to lock in my return to NY on Thursday. Do you know what time I can take a shuttle on Thursday?

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin
Sent: Sat Aug 29 13:43:45 2009
Subject: Re: Schedule

Will do. I haven't seen the
Schwarzenegger conference but let me double check Monday morning.

Lona Valmoro
Special Assistant to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton
(202) 647-9071

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Aug 29 13:40:34 2009
Subject: Schedule

I may see the POTUS Wed afternoon or evening per my conversation w him today. He's checking his schedule. Can you reach out to WH?

People I saw at funeral who I want to see or who want to see me--

Randy Weingarten--reschedule dinner w her and Hillary Rosen

Dianne Feinstein--put her on my call list

Do you know about a conference that Schwarzenegger said he invited me to in CA in late Sept or Oct about the environment?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 5, 2009 3:53 PM
To: 'abedinh@state.gov'
Subject: Re:

Yes.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 05 15:52:04 2009
Subject:

Rahms assistant is asking for your email address. U want me to give him?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 5, 2009 4:00 PM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Secretary's Email

Yes

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Sat Sep 05 15:56:24 2009
Subject: Fw: Secretary's Email

Do you want him to have your email?

From: Anderson, Amanda D. [redacted] B6
To: Jiloty, Lauren C; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Sep 05 14:26:43 2009
Subject: Secretary's Email

The Secretary and Rahm are speaking, and she just asked him to email her – can you send me her address please?

Thanks

Amanda

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 5, 2009 11:58 PM
To: 'ntanden[redacted] B6
Subject: Health care

Neera--does this make sense given the inside back and forth? Pls offer reactions, additions and anything else you think might help.

B5

[redacted]

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2009 7:32 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule Wednesday 7/1/09

8:35 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY MEETING w/SENIOR STAFF**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **PHOTO w/JOSE VILLARREAL, NOMINEE FOR COMMISSIONER**
9:25 am **GENERAL, SHANGHAI EXPO 2010** Secretary's Outer Office

9:25 am **PHOTO OP w/ WANDA, GREGORY, & NATIKA WASHINGTON**
Secy's Outer Office *Natika works for Lew Lukens, Wanda and Gregory are her parents.

9:30 am **BIWEEKLY MEETING w/MANAGEMENT TEAM**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:10 am **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

10:15 am **ARRIVE** White House

10:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/GENERAL JONES AND SECRETARY GATES**
10:45 am White House Situation Room

10:50 am **SMALL GROUP MEETING**
11:45 am White House Situation Room

11:45 am **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

11:50 am **ARRIVE** State Department

12:00 pm **SWEARING IN CEREMONY FOR US AMBASSADOR TO IRELAND,**
12:20 pm **DANIEL ROONEY** Treaty Room *Approx. 30 ppl. expected.

12:30 pm **HOLD FOR HUMA/OFFICE TIME**
1:30 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **MEETING w/SE RICHARD MORNINGSTAR**
2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00pm **MEETING w/AMB. DAVID CHOQUEHUANCA, MINISTER OF FOREIGN**
2:30 pm **AFFAIRS, BOLIVIA** Secy's Conf. Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

2:45pm **MEETING w/ASSISTANT SECRETARY ERIC SCHWARTZ**
3:15 pm Secretary's Office

3:15 pm **HOLD FOR ROSEMARIE**

3:20 pm **SCHEDULING MEETING w/HUMA AND LONA**
3:45pm Secretary's Office

4:15 pm **PRIVATE MEETING w/EILEEN CHAMBERLIN DONOHOE**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30 pm **MEETING w/PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY PRIME MINISTER**
5:15 pm **SALAM FAYYAD** Secretary's Outer Office *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

5:20 pm **DEPART** State Department *En Route White House.

5:30 pm **ARRIVE** White House

5:30 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/ POTUS**
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

5:50 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:00 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 1:36 PM
To: H
Subject: Jim jones call

U may have talked to him cause he called direct too. But jones left message on my cell about an hour ago.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 3:01 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: fyi, gibbs excerpt from 6/30

Read traffic all the way down

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Kelly, Ian C (PACE); SPOX; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Jul 02 14:56:29 2009
Subject: RE: fyi, gibbs excerpt from 6/30

Thanks. Adding Cheryl. S will be doing regular SVTCs with Hill and she'll be back in Iraq before long.

From: Kelly, Ian C (PACE) [mailto:KellyIC2@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 2:43 PM
To: SPOX; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: fyi, gibbs excerpt from 6/30
Importance: High

As I told Jake and PJ, I was bit surprised that CNN (Charley Keyes) asked me at the podium if the Dept. was concerned that the VP was seeming to be on the ascendent in foreign policy.

I talked to him off the record, and he admitted that he gets a "steady drumbeat" of requests from producers to find out "if Hillary is holding her own" in the intragency battles, and particularly if there's infighting between her and White House.

He said what specifically prompted his q at the briefing on whether the VP was beginning to usurp S's role in foreign policy was Gibb's announcement Tuesday that the VP was taking lead in Iraq policy (below).

I emphasized to him that the Sec is very engaged and involved in Iraq policy, noting she was on a conference call today with Chris Hill.

Think much of this is the perennial Washington story of who's up and who's down, but it still bears careful watch. Ian

---

From: Keyes, Charley [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 2:35 PM
To: Kelly, Ian C (PACE); Yehl, Ashley C (PACE)
Subject: fyi, gibbs excerpt from 6/30
Importance: High

B6

QUESTION: And yesterday, you said that you'd try to get back to us on vice president's role in Iraq policy.

GIBBS: Sure.

QUESTION: Can you fill us in on that?

president to oversee the -- the policy and in working with General

Odierno and Ambassador Hill in -- in working with the Iraqis toward overcoming their political differences and achieving the type of reconciliation that we all understand has yet to fully take place, but needs to take place.

QUESTION: Well, what does -- what does that mean, "oversee"? In what way will that change or enlarge his interaction with the president, with General Odierno or Ambassador Hill?

GIBBS: Well, I -- I mean, obviously, he spends a fairly decent amount of time with the president.

QUESTION: Right.

GIBBS: I think the meetings that I've been in, in different parts of the building on Iraq, the vice president has always been an active participant. I think, given his knowledge of the region, the number of times he's been there, he's perfectly suited for this type of role.

QUESTION: I guess what I'm getting at, does it mean travel? Does it mean briefing? Does it mean doing some of the things he's done more publicly, for example, on the stimulus?

GIBBS: My sense is, it probably means many of those things. Again, I think what we want to ensure happens is that, as we reach these milestones and these important dates, that we not forget that work has to be done to get our troops back and to achieve that political reconciliation. This is somebody who can oversee that at the White House and ensure that we're making continued progress toward those goals.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

From: Axelrod, David M.
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 4:44 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: So sorry!

Love to. I look forward to it!

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Axelrod, David M.
Sent: Thu Jun 25 15:52:49 2009
Subject: Re: So sorry!

David--

Thank you for your too kind words which were a greatly welcome addition to my healing and rehab. My word of advice is to watch where you step and stay grounded!

It's an honor to serve the President w you and I'd enjoy spending some one-on-one time just comparing notes and catching up in the next weeks. All the best, Hillary

----- Original Message -----
From: Axelrod, David M.
To: H
Sent: Tue Jun 23 23:18:50 2009
Subject: So sorry!

Hillary:
I have hesitated to email because I'm sure you are being inundated with good wishes. But I just wanted to tell you how sorry I was to hear about your injury. It sounded horribly painful.
I hope you will allow yourself to rest and recover. You are an all-star player, and we need you for the long run!
David

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 1:20 PM
To: H
Subject: Re:

He can do non secure and I will tell them 2.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 28 13:12:52 2009
Subject: Re:

I can do at 2.

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Oscar Flores
Sent: Sun Jun 28 13:04:03 2009
Subject:

Tom donilon asking to have a secure call with you. When can u do it?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 5:38 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: 4th of July at the White House

Fyi

B6

From: Milakofsky, Benjamin E.
To: Milakofsky, Benjamin E.
Cc: Lu, Christopher P. ; Smith, Elizabeth S. Kimball, Astri B. ; French, Michael J. >; Hurlbut, Brandon K.
Sent: Fri Jul 03 12:36:49 2009
Subject: 4th of July at the White House

Dear Cabinet Chiefs of Staff and Schedulers:

We apologize for the late notice, but we have just heard from the Social Office that they would like to invite the Cabinet tomorrow night for Fireworks at the White House.

This invitation is for the Cabinet Secretary and his or her immediate family.

If your Cabinet Secretary and family plan to attend, please email WAVES information including, Full Name, DOB, Social Security number and place of birth to:

The Social Office asked us to provide you with the following information:

Please plan to enter to White House complex through the Southeast Gate. The gates open at 7:30pm. It is fine to bring blankets and cameras. Please do not bring lawn chairs or coolers. Beverages and ice cream will be provided. Unfortunately, there is no parking.

We hope you'll be able to join us.

Sincerely,

Cabinet Affairs

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 10:40 PM
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:35:16 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

What does Jim say? Tom Shannon? Dan Restrepo?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN.

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 10:59 PM
To: H; cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Zelaya's people. He is addressing the GA tomorrow. I am working it through with Tom and Dan and will send you final text in am.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; 'cheryl.mills [redacted]
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:56:29 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:40:15 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 22:35:16 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

What does Jim say? Tom Shannon? Dan Restrepo?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:54:29 2009
Subject: Fw: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

For sign off

From: Sullivan, Jacob J

To: Steinberg, James B; Ashraf, Madeeha S; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:27:57 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Adding Cheryl

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Ashraf, Madeeha S
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Jun 29 21:15:18 2009
Subject: Re: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

This needs to go to S

From: Ashraf, Madeeha S
To: Steinberg, James B
Cc: Cue, Lourdes C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Mon Jun 29 20:44:53 2009
Subject: Honduras asks us to co-sponsor GA resolution for tomorrow's session

Sir:

IO received and disseminated the draft Honduras GA resolution, which Honduras has asked us to co-sponsor, this evening. President Zelaya addresses the General Assembly tomorrow morning at 11:00 am, so USUN needs to be instructed this evening.

The bureaus are happy to co-sponsor, as long as the resolution stays substantively the same. The draft text is below:

Begin Draft Text

DRAFT RESOLUTION UNDER ITEM 20 OF THE AGENDA: CONCERNING THE SITUATION IN CENTRAL AMERICA AND PROGRESS TOWARD A REGION OF PEACE, DEMOCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

The General Assembly, concerned by the political and social events since June 28, 2009 in the Republic of Honduras;

Recalling the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter, the OAS Charter, and the Inter-American Democratic Charter;

Deeply concerned by the unconstitutional alteration of the democratic order, which has endangered security, democracy and rule of law, and jeopardized the safety of Honduran citizens and foreigners;

1. Condemns the breaking of constitutional order in Honduras and the overthrow of the democratically elected President Don José Manuel Zelaya Rosales,

2. Demands the restoration of President José Manuel Zelaya Rosales to his constitutional duties so that he may fulfill the mandate for which he was elected by the Honduran population,

3. Refuses to recognize any Government of Honduras other than the constitutionally legitimate government of President Zelaya.

4. Requests the Secretary-General inform the General Assembly on the progress of events in that country.

End Draft Text

Is it okay to co-sponsor the GA resolution?

The State bureaus are going to defer to USUN about whether we should announce that we are co-sponsors [redacted] B5

[redacted] B5

[redacted] Please let me know if you have an opinion on whether we should announce our co-sponsorship in advance and I can share with IO/USUN. B5

Thanks,

Madeeha

Madeeha Ashraf

Special Assistant to Deputy Secretary Steinberg

202-647-8690

AshrafMS@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 6:51 AM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Talked with Leonel Fernandez

I have just asked for the state of play this am as messages continued last night until 1am.

The bottom line of all of them was not dissimiliar to this message from tom so forwarding this one - namely, all had been unsuccessful in dissuading Zeleya from going today and several leaders and fm (espinoza) thought call from you might help but Zeleya appeared in update messages to get more resolute as evening went on to return based upon readouts of various meetings with him.

Cdm

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Kelly, Craig A; [redacted] Morales Jr, Hector E; Llorens, Hugo; Mills, Cheryl D B6
Sent: Sat Jul 04 19:56:07 2009
Subject: Talked with Leonel Fernandez

I just got off the phone with President Fernandez. His efforts to dissuade Zelaya and Company from traveling tomorrow have not been successful. He was very worried about what would happen, and requested our help in ensuring that no incidents take place at the airport.

I told him I shared his concern. I summarized for him our efforts to date, and told him that nascent engagement with the armed forces and the de facto government would collapse if Zelaya tried to force the issue tomorrow. I told him of the Cardinal's plea to Zelaya to not return. He said the Cardinal in Santo Domingo is close to the Honduran Cardinal, and said he would reach out to him. I encouraged this. I told him that I thought the elements of a deal were on the table, we just needed to find a way to get everyone to sit at the table and start talking. I told President Fernandez that he could play an important role in creating a climate of confidence, and suggested that he reach out to interested parties like Ricardo Maduro and others inside of Honduras. He said he would do so and get back to me

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 11:10 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Zelaya Update

As prelude

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Llorens, Hugo; Morales Jr, Hector E; Kelly, Craig A; [redacted]; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sun Jul 05 10:18:49 2009
Subject: Zelaya Update

B6

I have been chasing Zelaya by phone all around the Mayflower Hotel. I have spoken with the Honduran PermRep, the Honduran FonMin, and the Venezuelen FonMin. Hector has spoken to Insulza. Everybody knows why we are calling. Zelaya is obviously trying to work out his position before he gets back to me. He is at breakfast meeting now with Argentine, Ecuadoran,and Paraguayan presidents, the UNGA president, the Vens, and Insulza.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 8:37 PM
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: Conference call

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Morales Jr, Hector E
To: Shannon, Thomas A; Mills, Cheryl D; Kelly, Craig A; [redacted] B6
[redacted]
Sent: Sun Jul 05 16:48:56 2009
Subject: Re: Conference call

OK. FYI -Micheletti just concluded a press conference offering to enter into dialogue. They invite the OAS to help, but are willing to have int'l observers and other int'l orgs. Michiletti also called for a peaceful solution and asked Presidents Ortega and Chavez specifically to desist interfering in the the internal matters of Honduras. M reported Nica troops on the border.

----- Original Message -----
From: Shannon, Thomas A
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Kelly, Craig A; [redacted] Morales Jr, Hector E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 16:39:26 2009
Subject: Conference call

Considering Zelaya's late departure from Dulles (3 pm), I recommend we do our conference later rather than earlier. I suggest 9 pm.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 4:03 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

Fyi

----- Original Message -----
From: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 23:47:57 2009
Subject: Re: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

They are now saying that Zelaya doesn't want to travel tomorrow because wants to participate (remotely) in the burial ceremony on Monday for those who died in violence at the airport today. He said he will return to Washington on Tuesday in time to meet in the late afternoon. They are asking if it would be possible to have the meeting sometime on Tuesday late afternoon instead.

Courtney

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
To: Beale, Courtney A Kramer; Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 23:14:40 2009
Subject: Re: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

She previously had indicated noon or later for a meeting

----- Original Message -----
From: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
To: Abedin, Huma; Valmoro, Lona J; Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: S_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sun Jul 05 22:54:40 2009
Subject: Time Window for S Meeting with Zelaya Tomorrow?

Huma and Lona,

Sorry for the late email, but Central America Office Director Christopher Webster just called me to inquire about a possible meeting between Zelaya and the Secretary tomorrow. He said they are trying to convince Zelaya to return from San Salvador to the U.S. and that A/S Shannon indicated the Secretary had said that she would be willing to meet with him tomorrow. They were wondering if they could give Zelaya a window of time for a meeting (see email below from DCM in Sal Salvador). Please advise.

Thanks,
Courtney
-----Original Message-----
From: Webster, Christopher W

Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:47 PM
To: Beale, Courtney A Kramer
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

-----Original Message-----
From: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:36 PM
To: Webster, Christopher W
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

The plan is for Hugo Martinez to pull Zelaya aside for me to be able to urge that he get to washdc for mtg with S tomorrow. Please tell me if there is a time window for that mtg. Tom Shannon sais earlier that it was in the afternoon.

----- Original Message -----
From: Webster, Christopher W
To: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sun Jul 05 20:21:05 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

If you can find out whether Zelaya will be returning to Washington, that would be very helpful.

Chris

-----Original Message-----
From: Webster, Christopher W
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:20 PM
To: Blau, Robert I
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:19 PM B6
To: Webster, Christopher W; Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; [redacted] WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; [redacted] Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); [redacted] Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; [redacted]; Benning, Douglass R; [redacted] Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); [redacted] Krzywda, David A (Managua); [redacted] 'jesse.s.curtis[redacted]; 'donkachris[redacted]; [redacted]'; Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); [redacted]
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Zelaya just landed in El SAlvador.

----- Original Message -----

B6

From: Webster, Christopher W
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; Benning, Douglass R; Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela; Blau, Robert I; Laidlaw, Philip G; Llorens, Hugo; Benning, Douglass R
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); Krzywda, David A (Managua); 'jesse.s.curtis 'donkachris Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sun Jul 05 20:08:19 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

Jesse:

Did the Nicaraguans indicate where Zelaya is headed? To San Salvador or back to Washington?

I have copied Embassy San Salvador's Charge Bob Blau and Political Counselor Phil Laidlaw. I have also copied Ambassador Llorens and Tegucigalpa's Acting DCM Douglass Benning (Simon Henshaw is on leave).

Thanks,

Chris

-----Original Message-----
From: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua)
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2009 10:03 PM
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Webster, Christopher W; Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; Benning, Douglass R; Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); Krzywda, David A (Managua); 'jesse.s.curtis 'donkachris ; Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); Blau, Robert I
Subject: Re: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #12 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 5

At 1945, local NIC media aired footage from MGA airport of Zelaya getting back on plane. It appeared that FM Rodas and Ven FM Maduro were also in departing party.

NIC president Ortega put Z on plane at airport, but did not go with him.

Footage was recent, if not live. perhaps no more than 20 minutes old.
Jesse

----- Original Message -----
From: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua)
To: Curtis, Jesse S (Managua); Callahan, Robert J (Managua); Sanders, Richard M (Managua); Webster, Christopher W; Bostic, Ebony(LAC/CAM); Llorens, Hugo; Ben-Yehuda, Jenna H; Gonzalez, Juan S; Armstrong, Robert A; Lee, Michelle A; Henshaw, Simon; ; WHA-OAS-POL; Amselem, W Lewis; Morales Jr, Hector E; Riley, Robert J; Haldane, Robert A; ; Reneau, Jeffrey K (USUN); Kelly, Craig A; Shannon, Thomas A; Robinson, David M; Benning, Douglass R; ; Wolfe, David C; Zambrano, Maria Gabriela
Cc: Managua POL; SES-O; East, Kyle D (Managua); Managua, RSO - Officers; Boyle, Kathleen L (Managua); Los Banos-Jardina, Michelle G (Managua); Pierre, Martial (Managua); Martz, Sara E (Managua); Harris, Lara K (Managua); Krzywda, David A (Managua); 'jesse.s.curtis ; 'donkachris ; Jacobs, Janice L; Carter, Sharon (USAID/N/DMD); ; Blau, Robert I
Sent: Sun Jul 05 18:37:19 2009
Subject: RE: Honduran Coup -- Managua SITREP #11 -- Return of Zelaya, pt 4

B6

Looping other members of SITREP spam -- Managua understands that plane with ousted HOND President Zelaya left WDC at approx 1500 WDC time. Also understand that plane is expected in Managua at approx 1924 local. Understand that a separate plane with Argentine Pres Kirchner and Ecuadorian Pres. Correa also left WDC this afternoon en route to El Salvador.

NEW INFORMATION

About 45 minutes ago, Telesur had images of apparent pro-Zelaya supporters at the airport fence and trying to pull it down. Security forces inside the airport compound re-deployed to prevent a breach. It seems they did use white smoke or gas to deter protestor action. Telesur also reported that shots were fired into the assembled crowd and that one or two people were shot and killed (no names or confirmation). Images of ambulances in crowd were visible.

It seems now that the fence has been breached and a handful of pro-Zelaya supporters move in and out of airport area to pick up rocks and retreat (there is open, clear area inside fence, possible construction). Security forces have taken up positions on tarmac, presumably to prevent further incursion.

With respect to Micheleti assertion that NIC troops have mobilized near the border, our NIC contacts, both in GON and private citizens indicate that there has been NO/NO unusual NIC mil activity at border -- Las Manos or El Espino. However, as of 1900 Saturday, border contacts at El Espino report that HOND military reinforced its presence by about 70 personnel, who remain at El Espino. We were told this happened at Las Manos as well, but have not yet been able to confirm with our sources at that border location.

Will continue to monitor and observe.

Jesse

Jesse Curtis
Acting Counselor, Political Section
U.S. Embassy Managua, Nicaragua
tel: 011-505-2-252-7128/7495

Cell [redacted] B6
Email: CurtisJS@state.gov
http://nicaragua.usembassy.gov <http://www.state.gov/> This message is unclassified per E.O. 12958

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 1:27 PM
To: H
Subject: Jones

Jones wants to talk to you
He's wheels up from ghana at 2:30.
Wants to follow up post carter call.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 4:24 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: N. Korea

Kurt is CampbellKM@state.gov
I'm working on donilon

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:13:27 2009
Subject: Re: N. Korea

What are emails for Kurt Campbell and Tom Donilon?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:02:19 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

From: Jimmy [redacted] B6
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:06:23 2009
Subject: N. Korea

Hillary: As I explained to you on the phone, I don't think it is appropriate to tell them that I will come only if they agree in advance to release the women. Your response was, in effect, "They have already agreed." Is this correct? If not, I will go, by commercial airline if necessary, representing The Carter Center, and try to induce them to approve the release. JC

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2009 6:28 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: N. Korea

Tom donilon
[redacted]

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 17:07:58 2009
Subject: Re: N. Korea

Thx.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 16:23:43 2009
Subject: Re: N. Korea

Kurt is CampbellKM@state.gov
I'm working on donilon

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:13:27 2009
Subject: Re: N. Korea

What are emails for Kurt Campbell and Tom Donilon?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Jul 11 15:02:19 2009
Subject: Fw: N. Korea

From: Jimmy [redacted]
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Sat Jul 11 14:06:23 2009
Subject: N. Korea

Hillary: As I explained to you on the phone, I don't think it is appropriate to tell them that I will come only if they agree in advance to release the women. Your response was, in effect, "They have already agreed." Is this correct? If not, I will go, by commercial airline if necessary, representing The Carter Center, and try to induce them to approve the release. JC

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 11:34 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/14/09

9:45 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route White House

9:55 am **ARRIVE** White House

10:00 am **PRESIDENT'S EXPANDED BILATERAL w/DUTCH PRIME MINISTER**
10:30 am **JAN PETER BALKENENDE** White House Oval Office

10:30 am **PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT TO THE PRESS w/ PM BALKENEDE**
10:45 am White House Oval Office

10:50 am **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

10:55 am **ARRIVE** State Department

11:00 am **OFFICE TIME**
2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **MEETING w/UNDER SECRETARY ELLEN TAUSCHER**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:45 pm **BILATERAL w/DUTCH FOREIGN MINISTER MAXIME VERHAGEN**
3:15 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

3:30 pm **PHOTO OPS (3)**
4:00 pm Secretary's Outer Office and Marshall Room

4:00 pm **BILATERAL w/LATVIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MARIS RIEKSTINS**
4:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:30 pm **PC PRE-BRIEF MEETING**
4:45 pm Secretary's Office

4:45 pm (t) **PHONE CALL w/BRITISH FS DAVID MILIBAND**
5:05 pm (t) Secretary's Office

5:10 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

5:15 pm **ARRIVE** White House

5:15 pm **WEEKLY PC MEETING**
6:30 pm White House Situation Room

6:35 pm **DEPART** White House *En route Private Residence

6:50 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

FYI:
9:15 am POTUS PRE-BRIEF MEETING
Oval Office

9:30 am POTUS ONE-ON-ONE w/DUTCH PM BALDENEDE
10:00am Oval Office

###

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 8:37 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Speech

I will send latest to them. WH has reviewed too.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 7:08 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Speech

B5

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:03 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

8:05 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:05 am **BREAKFAST w/CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS MEMBERS**

9:15 am Thomas Jefferson Room, 8th Floor

9:30 am **BIWEEKLY MEETING w/MANAGEMENT TEAM**

10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:10 am **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

10:15 am **ARRIVE** White House

10:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/ SECRETARY GATES AND GENERAL JONES**

10:45 am White House Situation Room

10:50 am **SMALL GROUP MEETING**

12:15 pm White House Situation Room

12:30 pm **DEPART** White House *En route Council on Foreign Relations

12:35 pm **ARRIVE** Council on Foreign Relations

12:40 pm **SPEECH TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS**

2:00 pm Council on Foreign Relations

2:05 pm **DEPART** Council on Foreign Relations *En route State Department

2:15 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

2:45 pm **MEETING w/BERTIE AHERN, BOARD MEMBER, CO-OPERATION**

3:15 pm **IRELAND AND FORMER PRIME MINISTER OF IRELAND**

Secretary's Office

3:30 pm **BILATERAL w/CHADIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOUSSA FAKI**

4:00 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:25 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

4:30 pm **ARRIVE** White House

4:30 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN**

5:00 pm Oval Office

5:00 pm **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

5:05 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

5:15 pm **OFFICE TIME**

6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence ###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 7:32 AM
To: H; Jiloty, Lauren C; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Re: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

Jack is going to chair the meeting and knows to start if you aren't there in time or can't make it altogether. Yes, Sarah F knows you are coming closer to 10:30am.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Cc: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:28:31 2009
Subject: Re: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

I thought we cancelled the management mtg? Also, did you tell Sarah F I might not get there until 10:30?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Jul 15 07:03:25 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule 7/15/09

8:05 am ARRIVE State Department

8:05 am BREAKFAST w/CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS MEMBERS

9:15 am Thomas Jefferson Room, 8th Floor

9:30 am BIWEEKLY MEETING w/MANAGEMENT TEAM

10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:10 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

10:15 am ARRIVE White House

10:15 am WEEKLY MEETING w/ SECRETARY GATES AND GENERAL JONES

10:45 am White House Situation Room

10:50 am SMALL GROUP MEETING

12:15 pm White House Situation Room

12:30 pm DEPART White House *En route Council on Foreign Relations

12:35 pm ARRIVE Council on Foreign Relations

12:40 pm SPEECH TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

2:00 pm Council on Foreign Relations

2:05 pm DEPART Council on Foreign Relations *En route State Department

2:15 pm ARRIVE State Department

2:45 pm MEETING w/BERTIE AHERN, BOARD MEMBER, CO-OPERATION

3:15 pm IRELAND AND FORMER PRIME MINISTER OF IRELAND

Secretary's Office

3:30 pm BILATERAL w/CHADIAN FOREIGN MINISTER MOUSSA FAKI

4:00 pm Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:25 pm DEPART State Department *En route White House

4:30 pm ARRIVE White House

4:30 pm WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN

5:00 pm Oval Office

5:00 pm DEPART White House *En route State Department

5:05 pm ARRIVE State Department

5:15 pm OFFICE TIME

6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm DEPART State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pm ARRIVE Private Residence ###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 7:47 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: HRC re Jones

Fyi

From: Reines, Philippe I (PACE) <ReinesPi@state.gov>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Fri May 01 17:47:07 2009
Subject: RE: HRC re Jones

And Landler just added this: "She also said she had the "highest esteem" for General Jones, according to her spokesman, Philippe Reines, who added in a statement, "Anyone asserting otherwise is speaking for themselves, not the secretary."

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 5:30 PM
To: Reines, Philippe I (PACE)
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: HRC re Jones

K

From: Reines, Philippe I (PACE) [mailto:ReinesPi@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 4:52 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: FW: HRC re Jones

Cheryl,

HRC called me understandably upset with the section of this NYT story regarding General Jones:
http://www.nytimes.com/2009/05/02/world/02clinton.html?_r=1&hpw=&pagewanted=print

She made it clear that this in no way reflects her feelings or anything she thinks about him, let alone anyone "in her circle" would say. She wanted me to make that clear to the reporter. I just spoke to Mark Landler, told him on the record (which I reiterated below via email) that Secretary Clinton holds General Jones in the highest regard, she has nothing but the highest praise for him, and that I was more than happy to put her on the phone to make herself clear on this point – since they did not speak about their relationship during her lengthy interview for the story. I especially made the point that identifying this person as someone "in her circle" was incredibly misleading. Someone in her circle is someone like you, or a Jake, or me. And none of us would ever say anything like that. Someone who was slated for a position at State irrespective of the choice of HRC as Secretary should not be allowed to be identified that way. Mark conceded that point and let me know he will be changing the sourcing to read, "But State Department officials, and others in the administration..." It's a small consolation, but I think a very important one.

I just spoke to Sarah Farnsworth to share the above, and told her we stand ready to help any other way we can to debunk this falsehood. If you hear from Denis or anyone else at the White House, please let me know if there is something else they'd like us to do, and I will.

Philippe

From: Reines, Philippe I (PACE)
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 4:49 PM
To: (U)landler
Subject: HRC re Jones

B6

Mark –

Just to reiterate what I said on the phone, Secretary Clinton holds General Jones in the highest esteem and has nothing but the most glowing things to say about him and his contribution to the Administration. Anyone asserting otherwise is speaking for themselves, and not the Secretary.

Philippe

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 10:53 PM
To: Lew, Jacob J; Verma, Richard R
Subject: FW: URGENT: Kerry bill on foreign assistance
Attachments: MDM09560_xml.pdf

Can you all advise – what are we doing on this.

Jack had Mike made you aware of this?

cdm

From: Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 2:07 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: URGENT: Kerry bill on foreign assistance

Nora, please print this email and the attached draft legislation.

From: Schwab, Carol M
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2009 1:43 PM
To: Macmanus, Joseph E
Subject: FW: revised Kerry bill

Joe: Cheryl needs to be made aware of this. **WH is meeting w/ SFRC on this this afternoon.** I have asked Rich to raise it w/ her.

B5

Just a heads up.

Thanks

Carol

From: Schwab, Carol M
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 4:27 PM
To: Lew, Jacob J; Hyde, Dana; 'Green, Richard E.'; Kennedy, Patrick F
Cc: Verma, Richard R
Subject: FW: revised Kerry bill

Folks: We received indirectly this draft Kerry bill -- the Foreign Assistance Revitalization and Accountability Act of 2009 -- **drafts of which have been sent to OMB/NSC but not yet to us.** Rich asked that I share it with you right away, before circulating it more broadly within the building for comment.

B5

Thanks

Carol

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2009 5:48 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: you are hilarious

Fyi

From: Vietor, Thomas F. [redacted] B6
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Fri Jul 17 17:26:04 2009
Subject: you are hilarious

I just got my very own STATE DEPARTMENT SLING! I am now the bell of the ball in the lower press office. You guys are too nice, and very, very funny. Thank you so much. My shoulder feels better already! Please tell Secretary Clinton that I really appreciate the kind gesture.

Sincerely,

Tommy

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 6:24 AM
To: H
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/5/09

B6

7:45 am **ARRIVE** The Vice President's Residence

7:45 am **WEEKLY BREAKFAST w/ V.P. BIDEN**

8:30 am The Vice President's Residence

8:30 am **DROP-BY MRS. BIDEN'S ESL CLASS BREAKFAST**

8:40 am Dining Room, The Vice President's Residence

8:45 am **DEPART** The Vice President's Residence *En route Omni Shoreham Hotel

8:55 am **ARRIVE** Omni Shoreham Hotel

9:00 am **BILAT w/ISRAELI PRESIDENT SHIMON PERES**

9:30 am Private Suite, Omni Shoreham Hotel *Camera Spray at end.

9:35 am **DEPART** Omni Shoreham Hotel *En route State Department

9:50 am **ARRIVE** State Department

9:55 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

10:00 am Secretary's Office

10:15 am **BILAT w/ARMENIAN F.M. EDWARD NALBANDIAN**

10:45 am Secy's Conf. Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

11:00 am **BILAT w/KAZAKHSTAN F.M. MARAT TAZHIN**

11:30 am Dep. Secy's Conf. Rm. *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

11:30 am **MEETING w/MRS. CHRISTINE LEVINSON, WIFE OF ROBERT**

11:45 am **LEVINSON** Secretary's Office *Official photo in Secy's Office preceding.

11:45 am **PRIVATE MEETING w/JIM SMITH**

12:00 pm Secretary's Office

12:00 pm **MEETING w/SPEECHWRITING TEAM**

12:30 pm Secretary's Office

12:30 pm **PRIVATE MEETING**

1:00pm Secretary's Office

1:00pm **OFFICE TIME**

2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **BILAT w/AZERBAIJIAN F.M. ELMAR MAMMADYAROV**

2:30 pm Secy's Conf. Rm. *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

2:45 pm **BRIEFING ON THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S "NO FEAR" POLICY**

3:15 pm Secretary's Office

3:15 pm **BILAT w/SWEDISH F.M. CARL BILDT**

3:45 pm Secy's Conference Room (Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

3:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**

TBD pm Secretary's Office

FYI:

8:45 am DAILY MEETING w/ SENIOR STAFF

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 6:38 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/7/09

7:30 am (t) **PHONE CALL w/TURKISH FOREIGN MINISTER AHMET**

7:45 am (t) **DAVUTOGLU (T)** Private Residence

7:45 am (t) **PHONE CALL w/FORMER TURKISH FOREIGN MINISTER ALI**

8:00 am (t) **BABACAN (T)** Private Residence

8:15 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route State Department

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**

8:45 am Secretary's Office *Attending: Cheryl, Huma, Jake, Joe, Andrew and Lona

8:45 am **DAILY MEETING w/SENIOR STAFF**

9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL BUREAU SECRETARIES**

10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:00 am **PRE-BRIEF MEETING ON LAVROV VISIT**

10:30 am Secretary's Office

10:30 am **BILATERAL w/AFGHAN FOREIGN MINISTER RANGIN DADFAR**

10:45 am **SPANTA** Secretary's Office

10:45 am **BILATERAL w/PAKISTANI FOREIGN MINISTER MAKHDOOM**

11:00 am **SHAH MEHMOOD QURESHI** Secretary's Office

11:00 am **BILATERAL w/SLOVAK FOREIGN MINISTER MIROSLAV LAJCAK**

11:30 am Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room Preceding.

11:30 am (t) **DROP-BY w/SE DENNIS ROSS AND FORMER SPANISH PRESIDENT**

11:35 am (t) **GONZALEZ** Secretary's Office

12:00 pm **BILATERAL w/RUSSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER SERGEY LAVROV**

1:00 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Official Photo in Marshall Room preceding.

1:00 pm **JT. PRESS AVAILABILITY w/RUSSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER LAVROV**

1:15 pm Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th floor

1:15 pm **WORKING LUNCH w/RUSSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER LAVROV**

2:30 pm James Madison Room, 8th Floor

2:40 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

2:45 pm **ARRIVE** White House

2:45 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA AND VP BIDEN**

3:15 pm Oval Office

3:20 pm **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

3:25 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

3:45 pm **BILATERAL w/ITALIAN FOREIGN MINISTER FRANCO**

4:00 pm **FRATTINI** Secretary's Office *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding.

4:10 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

4:15 pm **ARRIVE** White House

4:15 pm **PRESIDENT'S MEETING w/RUSSIAN FM SERGEY LAVROV**

4:45 pm Oval Office *Pool Spray at top, POTUS and Lavrov only.

5:15 pm **PRINCIPALS COMMITTEE MEETING**

6:30 pm White House Situation Room

6:35 pm **DEPART** White House *En route Private Residence

6:45 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 6:22 AM
To: H
Subject: Mini Schedule 4/29/09

7:50 am **DEPART** Private Residence *En route State Department

8:00 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:00 am **FOOD SECURITY BREAKFAST w/MEMBERS OF CONGRESS**

9:15 am Thomas Jefferson Room, 8th Floor

9:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

9:30 am Secretary's Office

9:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**

9:40 am Secretary's Office

9:40 am **PRE-BRIEF FOR THE WEEKLY MEETING w/THE PRESIDENT**

9:55 am Secretary's Office

10:00 am **OFFICE TIME**

12:00 pm Secretary's Office

12:00 pm **SWEARING-IN CEREMONY FOR KARL EIKENBERRY,**

12:20 pm **U.S. AMBASSADOR TO AFGHANISTAN** Benjamin Franklin Room, 8th Floor

1:00 pm **SPEECH PREP MEETING**

2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:15 pm **DROP-BY w/JOSETTE SHEERAN, ED UN WORLD FOOD PROGRAM**

2:30 pm Secretary's Conference Room

2:30 pm **DROP-BY w/MICHELE KWAN, PUBLIC DIPLOMACY ENVOY**

2:45 pm Secretary's Office *Official photo at top.

3:00 pm **LONG TERM SCHEDULING MEETING**

4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm **MEETING w/AFL-CIO PRESIDENT JOHN SWEENEY**

4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30 pm **HEARING PREP MEETING**

6:30 pm Secretary's Office

6:35 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 3:47 PM
To: Toiv, Nora F
Cc: Abedin, Huma; H
Subject: FW: Wjc just told secgen that he would do haiti special envoy

You need to walk this to HRC if she is not gone

I also am going to give WH heads up.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Band [mailto:[redacted] B6
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 3:45 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Cc: Justin Cooper
Subject: Wjc just told secgen that he would do haiti special envoy

The un just leaked it on minutes later

Wjc said he was going to call hrc but hasn't had time

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: cheryl.mills [redacted] B6
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 12:38 PM
To: H
Subject: Just saw lippert - he is interested . . .

Denis had not told me he told him - more later Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 6:32 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule, Thursday May 21st

8:15 am **DEPART** Private Residence
En route State Department

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office
Attending: Cheryl, Huma, Jake, Joe, Andrew and Lona

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/REGIONAL BUREAU SECRETARIES**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:15 am **PHONE CALL w/SRI LANKAN PRESIDENT RAJAPAKSA**
10:30 am Secretary's Office

10:30 am **PRIVATE MEETING**
10:45 am Secretary's Office

11:00 am **BILATERAL w/ANGOLAN FOREIGN MINISTER ANSUNCAO**
11:30 am **AFONSO dos ANJOS** Secretary's Conference Room *Camera spray in Treaty Room preceding.

11:45 am **MEETING w/JOINT SUMMIT WORKING GROUP**
12:45 pm Deputy Secretary's Conference Room *Official Photo at top.

12:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:30 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **MEETING w/RICHARD MORNINGSTAR, SPECIAL ENVOY**
2:00 pm **FOR EURASIAN ENERGY**
Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **BILATERAL w/TANZANIAN PRESIDENT JAKAYA MRISHO**
2:30 pm **KIKWETE** Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray in Treaty Room preceding
Contact: Desk James Liddle x75652, cell-202-255-7306

2:45 pm **WEEKLY MEETING w/ANNE-MARIE SLAUGHTER**
3:15 pm Secretary's Office

3:15 pm **OFFICE TIME**
4:00 pm Secretary's Office

4:05 pm **DEPART** State Department
En route White House

4:10 pm **ARRIVE** White House

4:15 pm **PRESIDENT'S BILATERAL w/TANZANIAN PRESIDENT**
5:00 pm **KIKWETE** White House Oval Office *Pool spray at bottom.

5:05 pm **DEPART** White House
En route State Department

5:10 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

5:15 pm **OFFICE TIME**
6:00 pm Secretary's Office

6:00 pm **WORKING DINNER**
8:00 pm Monroe Room, 8th Floor

8:05 pm **DEPART** State Department
En route Private Residence

8:10 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

The Secretary's Phone Calls with Japanese, ROK, Chinese and Russian Foreign Ministers
Monday, May 25, 2009

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/24/2024

Purpose: To reach out to Japan, South Korea, China and Russia following the probable May 24 DPRK nuclear test.

- A nuclear test by North Korea, if confirmed, would be a provocative act and an unequivocal violation of UNSCR 1718, blatantly disregarding the will of the international community.

- We have remained united in the face of the DPRK's provocations, and we need to maintain this unity among the Six-Party partners. The DPRK's message to us in advance of the test, which blamed the UNSC's "failure to apologize for its Presidential Statement," demonstrates the degree to which the DPRK has isolated itself. Now is the right time for a Five-Party meeting to demonstrate our unity.

- It is essential that the UN Security Council take strong measures to clearly demonstrate that the DPRK's actions will have consequences. The United States believes that it is essential that the Security Council issue a swift statement condemning the DPRK's test at its initial May 25 emergency meeting. The UNSC should then quickly follow up with a strong new Chapter 7 Resolution that includes concrete response measures.

- [FOR TOKYO AND SEOUL] The United States remains committed to the nuclear umbrella and its obligations under the U.S.-Japan Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security and the U.S.-ROK Mutual Defense Treaty.

Background:

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Call Notes:

Drafted: EAP/K: L Rosenberger

Cleared:	S/NKP: S Bosworth	
	EAP: G Davies	
	EAP: S Kim	(ok)
	EAP: K Tong	(ok)
	D: S Crocker	
	P: M Tesone	
	S/P: E Lacey	
	USUN/W: E Barks-Ruggles	(ok)
	ISN: E Kang	
	NSC: D Russel	

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 6:36 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini schedule 5-15-09

8:25 am PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFIN
8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am (t) PHONE CALL w/INDIAN FOREIGN MINISTER PRANAB
9:30 am (t) KUMAR MUKERJEE Secretary's Office

9:30 am MEETING w/DOUG HATTAWAY
10:00 am Secretary's Office

10:05 am PHOTO OP w/ KEVIN GATELY & DAUGHTER
10:10 amSecretary's Office *Kevin works for Lew Lukens.

10:10 am DEPART State Department *En route White House

10:15 am ARRIVE White House

10:15 am WEEKLY MEETING w/DEFENSE SECRETARY GATES AND
11:15 am GENERAL JONES White House Situation Room

11:30 am DEPART White House, En route State Department

11:45 am OFFICE TIME
12:50 pm Secretary's Office

12:55 pm DEPART State Department *En route White House

1:00 pm ARRIVE White House

1:05 pmWEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA
1:35 pmOval Office

1:40 pmDEPART White House *En route State Department

1:45 pmARRIVE State Department

2:00 pmSWEARING-IN CEREMONY FOR IVO DAALDER,

2:20 pmU.S. PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVE ON THE COUNCIL OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATYORGANIZATION Treaty Room *Approx. 70 ppl attending.

2:30 pmMEETING w/JOE KLEIN, TIME MAGAZINE
3:00 pmSecretary's Office *Off the record.

3:15 pmMEETING w/DAVID IGNATIUS, THE WASHINGTON POST
3:45 pmSecretary's Office *Off the record.

4:00 pmOFFICE TIME
5:00 pmSecretary's Office

5:00 pmMEETING w/CHERYL MILLS
6:00 pmSecretary's Office

6:00 pmDEPART State Department *En route Private Residence

6:10 pmARRIVE Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 7:32 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Calls sheet for S calls to JPN, KOR, CHN and RUS (SBU)

Japen comfirmed for 7:45
Koreans confirmed for 8:45 (you can call ops from your berry) China offering 9pm tonite if that works for you.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Mon May 25 07:29:00 2009
Subject: Re: Calls sheet for S calls to JPN, KOR, CHN and RUS (SBU)

I will have to do later calls on my berry.

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 06/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 05/24/2024

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: Oscar Flores
Cc: H
Sent: Mon May 25 07:06:31 2009
Subject: Fw: Calls sheet for S calls to JPN, KOR, CHN and RUS (SBU)

Oscar can u pls print these call sheets for hrc?
She knows she has a 7:45 call with the Japanese. They will call the house. the koreans are between 8:20 and 9:20. We are waiting for confirmation.

From: Tong, Kurt W
To: Tong, Kurt W; Barks-Ruggles, Erica J; Kang, Eliot; [redacted]; Lacey, Edward; Tesone, Mark; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Kim, Sung Y; 'Stephen.Bosworth [redacted] Davies, Glyn T
Cc: Harris, Grant T; Park, Pamela P; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Cormack, Maureen E; Richardson, Eric N
Sent: Mon May 25 02:09:01 2009
Subject: RE: Calls sheet for S calls to JPN, KOR, CHN and RUS (SBU)

B6

Attached and below with revisions and clearance from Sung Kim and USUN.

The Secretary's Phone Calls with Japanese, ROK, Chinese and Russian Foreign Ministers

Monday, May 25, 2009

Purpose: To reach out to Japan, South Korea, China and Russia following the probable May 24 DPRK nuclear test.

- A nuclear test by North Korea, if confirmed, would be a provocative act and an unequivocal violation of UNSCR 1718, blatantly disregarding the will of the international community.

- We have remained united in the face of the DPRK's provocations, and we need to maintain this unity among the Six-Party partners. The DPRK's message to us in advance of the test, which blamed the UNSC's "failure to apologize for its Presidential Statement," demonstrates the degree to which the DPRK has isolated itself. Now is the right time for a Five-Party meeting to demonstrate our unity.

- It is essential that the UN Security Council take strong measures to clearly demonstrate that the DPRK's actions will have consequences. The United States believes that it is essential that the Security Council issue a swift statement condemning the DPRK's test at its initial May 25 emergency meeting. The UNSC should then quickly follow up with a strong new Chapter 7 Resolution that includes concrete response measures.

- [FOR TOKYO AND SEOUL] The United States remains committed to the nuclear umbrella and its obligations under the U.S.-Japan Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security and the U.S.-ROK Mutual Defense Treaty.

Background:

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Call Notes:

Drafted: EAP/K: L Rosenberger

Cleared: S/NKP: S Bosworth

EAP: G Davies

EAP: S Kim (ok)

EAP: K Tong (ok)

D: S Crocker

P: M Tesone

S/P: E Lacey

USUN/W: E Barks-Ruggles (ok)

ISN: E Kang

NSC: D Russel

Kurt W. Tong

Director for Korean Affairs

U.S. Department of State

(202)647-7719

TongKW@state.gov

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 8:57 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: mini schedule 5/27/09

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:35 am Secretary's Office

8:40 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15am **OFFICE TIME**
10:10am Secretary's Office

10:10 am **DEPART** State Department *En route White House
10:15 am **ARRIVE** White House

10:15 am **WEEKLY MEETING w/GENERAL JONES**
11:15 am White House Situation Room

11:20 am **DEPART** White House *En route State Department
11:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

11:30 am **OFFICE TIME**
12:00 pm Secretary's Office

12:00 pm **WORKING LUNCH FOR EGYPTIAN FOREIGN MINISTER AHMED**
1:00 pm **ALI ABOUL GHEIT** James Monroe Room, 8th Floor *Camera spray at top.

1:15 pm(t) **PRE-BRIEF FOR WEEKLY MEETING (T)**
1:45 pm **w/PRESIDENT OBAMA** Secretary's Office

1:45 pm **PHONE CALL w/PRESIDENT SHEIKH SHARIF SHEIKH AHMED**
2:00 pm **TRANSITIONAL FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN SOMALIA**
Secy's Office

2:00 pm **MEETING w/ROXANA SABERI, AMERICAN JOURNALIST**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office *Camera Spray in outer office preceding.

2:45pm(t) **PRE-BRIEF FOR WEEKLY MEETING (T)**
3:15pm **w/PRESIDENT OBAMA** Secretary's Office

3:15 pm **OFFICE TIME**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30 pm **MEETING w/IVO DAALDER, U.S. AMBASSADOR TO NATO**
5:00 pm Secretary's Office

5:00pm **MEETING w/SPECIAL ENVOY GEORGE MITCHELL**
5:30pm Secretary's Office

5:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
7:00 pm Secretary's Office

7:00 pm **WORKING DINNER FOR PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY**
8:30 pm **PRESIDENT MAHMOUD ABBAS** James Monroe Room, 8th Floor *Official photo in outer office preceding.

8:35 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence

8:45 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

###

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 10:17 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Today

Good we will proceed.
Also just talked to lippert

[redacted] B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 10:09:53 2009
Subject: Re: Today

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 08:44:47 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Thanks!

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 08:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Today

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 06:57:50 2009
Subject: Today

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 11:08 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 01 23:03:29 2009
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Good. Also pls be sure Ellen Tauscher knows I asked Jim Jones last week to call Kerry about her hearing and call Sarah Farnsworth to see if Jones did it yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 22:59:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Burns, William J; Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Mon Jun 01 18:28:54 2009
Subject: FW: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

From: Ruffini, Julio
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 6:18 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Stout, Jennifer P; Nolan, Sarah E; McIntyre, Amber J; [redacted] Cooper, John M; 'Terrell, Louisa'; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura; Bisdee, Sharon C; Hardy, Sharon L; McGovern, Bridget (Helen-Mary); Knight, Stephen B
Subject: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:18 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

I did

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 11:10 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

On another matter, did you get all the stuff I sent in to be distributed, including the twitter article?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 23:07:43 2009
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

K

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 01 23:03:29 2009
Subject: Re: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Good. Also pls be sure Ellen Tauscher knows I asked Jim Jones last week to call Kerry about her hearing and call Sarah Farnsworth to see if Jones did it yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 01 22:59:44 2009
Subject: Fw: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

Fyi

From: Verma, Richard R
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Macmanus, Joseph E; Burns, William J; Smith, Daniel B
Sent: Mon Jun 01 18:28:54 2009
Subject: FW: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

From: Ruffini, Julio
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 6:18 PM
To: Verma, Richard R; Polt, Michael C; Turk, David M; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Stout, Jennifer P; Nolan, Sarah E; McIntyre, Amber J; [redacted] Cooper, John M; 'Terrell, Louisa'; Samuelson, Heather F; Peña, Laura; Bisdee, Sharon C; Hardy, Sharon L; McGovern, Bridget (Helen-Mary); Knight, Stephen B
Subject: Susan Burk was just confirmed.

B6

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 12:32 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Today

Ill explain when I see u.
Not unlike what u thought.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 11:06:12 2009
Subject: Re: Today

What's the reason from Lippert?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 10:17:14 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Good we will proceed.
Also just talked to lippert

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wed May 27 10:09:53 2009
Subject: Re: Today

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 08:44:47 2009
Subject: Re: Today

Thanks!

B6

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma

Sent: Wed May 27 08:39:21 2009
Subject: Re: Today

[redacted] B6

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Wed May 27 06:57:50 2009
Subject: Today

[redacted] B6

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Reines, Philippe I <reinesp@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 3:40 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: AJS Hearing Highlights

* Miguel: "Andrew did great this afternoon. FYI, Lowey delivered HRC's statement. Very well received."

* Richard Fontaine: "Andrew is not even rocking - just tapping his foot. Did y'all train him for this or something?? And half the room are Shapiros, it's like his friggin Bahr Mitzvah."

* Former NSC staffer Michael Allen: "Shapiro son removed from the room, screaming bloody murder in the hallway."

* Mike Feldman: "Jon Lovett removed from the room, screaming bloody murder in the hallway."

* Laurie Rubiner: "Jim Webb arrives, looks typically angry."

* Derek Chollet: "Shapiro comes out strong against piracy. Bold stand."

* Jon Lovett: "Jeanne Shaheen listening very closely. Barbara Boxer is distracted. The other anonymous old men on dais seem confused and unsure where they are."

Hearing concludes at 3:33:43 PM EDT

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 3:38 PM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Concept Paper for World Food Prize Remarks - Attached and Below (Drafted by Megan Rooney)
Attachments: world food prize concept note 060609.doc

June 6, 2009 11:30am
Rooney (x7-7203, [redacted] B6

CONCEPT NOTE FOR WORLD FOOD PRIZE CEREMONY
Thursday, June 11, 2009

This speech is an opportunity to signal the urgency of the problem of global hunger; connect it to an array of global issues, including economic development, health and education, expanding opportunity for women, and political stability; and declare the Administration's commitment to tackling hunger at its roots, through effective and efficient collaboration with an array of partners.

Additionally, your remarks can point to a shift from past approaches by emphasizing the need to support local solutions and focus on long-term sustainability to help people feed themselves and reduce the need for emergency assistance down the road.

The speech could be framed as follows:

THE PRIZE AND PRIZE-WINNER

You can begin by announcing the winner of the 2009 World Food Prize, Dr. Gebisa Ejeta. He has a remarkable story: born in a mud hut in Ethiopia, he got his PhD from Purdue University in plant breeding and genetics and developed strains of sorghum that are drought-tolerant, high-yield, and resistant to the Striga weed, which devastates crops across Africa.

Outside the lab, he helped start the Sudanese commercial seed industry; developed systems to produce, certify, and market hybrid seeds; and educated farmers about the use of fertilizers and soil and water conservation. And he has trained and inspired the next generation of African agricultural scientists.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH: FROM LAB TO FARM TO MARKET TO TABLE

Dr. Ejeta's work reflects the breadth of this issue and the comprehensive approach needed to address it—one that connects the labs where new seed technologies are developed… the fields where small-scale farmers labor to feed their families and make a living… the markets where food is bought and sold… and the homes where people get the nourishment they need to thrive.

In many places, that food chain is broken. One billion people worldwide woke up hungry this morning and will go to sleep hungry tonight. Chronic hunger threatens health, while making it harder for people to work and students to learn, which in turn stunts economic development. Last year's food riots demonstrated that when food is scarce, political stability is threatened.

To date, the world's primary response to hunger has been to send emergency food aid. While this saves lives, it is a short-term fix, and we need a long-term solution.

The Obama Administration is committed to leading in developing a new global approach. We will support the creation of sustainable agricultural systems in appropriate regions around the world, to increase agricultural productivity, create jobs, strengthen economies, and protect natural resources.

We will do this not by imposing one-size-fits-all solutions, but by assisting countries in carrying out individual strategies that meet their needs—for example, through the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Plan. To facilitate coordination and share best practices, we will seek to convene donor governments, multilateral institutions, NGOs, private companies, foundations, universities, and individuals.

THE ANCHORS OF OUR APPROACH

We've identified seven anchors that secure sustainable agriculture systems. These will guide our approach.

- Increase agricultural productivity by expanding access to quality seeds, fertilizer, irrigation, the credit to purchase them, and the training to use them.
- Stimulate the post-harvest sector by improving the storage and processing of food and improving transportation to connect small farmers to markets.
- Maintain natural resources so the land can be farmed well into the future and we can make the right adaptations for the changing environment.
- Expand knowledge and training by support R&D and developing the next generation of agriculture scientists.
- Increase trade so small-scale farmers in developing countries can sell their crops far and wide.
- Support policy reform and good governance, because sustainable agriculture flourishes in a clear and predictable policy and regulatory environment.
- Support women and families in agriculture. Seventy percent of the world's farmers are women, but most of the programs that offer credit and training to farmers target men. This must change for agriculture to thrive. And children must have nutrition to contribute to their communities' future.

A PREVIEW OF WHAT'S TO COME

In the coming weeks, President Obama, Secretary Vilsack and I will be reaching out to share our approach to global coordination and agriculture in greater detail. We will seek the input, wisdom and guidance of those who have been working so long in this arena—from the Congress to NGOs to academics to foundations to the private sector. The President's conversations at the G-8 meeting in Italy in two weeks will address our approach, as well as my trip to Africa in August.

Addressing hunger and food is essential to achieving economic recovery, stabilizing societies, and expanding opportunity for hundreds of millions of people worldwide. This is why supporting sustainable agriculture worldwide will be a central element of foreign policy in the Obama Administration.

CONCLUSION

You can circle back to the story of Dr. Ejeta to close. He represents the need for a multinational approach: born in Ethiopia, educated in the United States, advised by Indian scientists, advisor to several African nations.

June 6, 2009 11:30am
Rooney (x7-7203, [redacted]) B6

CONCEPT NOTE FOR WORLD FOOD PRIZE CEREMONY
Thursday, June 11, 2009

This speech is an opportunity to signal the urgency of the problem of global hunger; connect it to an array of global issues, including economic development, health and education, expanding opportunity for women, and political stability; and declare the Administration's commitment to tackling hunger at its roots, through effective and efficient collaboration with an array of partners.

Additionally, your remarks can point to a shift from past approaches by emphasizing the need to support local solutions and focus on long-term sustainability to help people feed themselves and reduce the need for emergency assistance down the road.

The speech could be framed as follows:

THE PRIZE AND PRIZE-WINNER

You can begin by announcing the winner of the 2009 World Food Prize, Dr. Gebisa Ejeta. He has a remarkable story: born in a mud hut in Ethiopia, he got his PhD from Purdue University in plant breeding and genetics and developed strains of sorghum that are drought-tolerant, high-yield, and resistant to the Striga weed, which devastates crops across Africa.

Outside the lab, he helped start the Sudanese commercial seed industry; developed systems to produce, certify, and market hybrid seeds; and educated farmers about the use of fertilizers and soil and water conservation. And he has trained and inspired the next generation of African agricultural scientists.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH: FROM LAB TO FARM TO MARKET TO TABLE

Dr. Ejeta's work reflects the breadth of this issue and the comprehensive approach needed to address it—one that connects the labs where new seed technologies are developed… the fields where small-scale farmers labor to feed their families and make a living… the markets where food is bought and sold… and the homes where people get the nourishment they need to thrive.

In many places, that food chain is broken. One billion people worldwide woke up hungry this morning and will go to sleep hungry tonight. Chronic hunger threatens health, while making it harder for people to work and students to learn, which in turn stunts economic development. Last year's food riots demonstrated that when food is scarce, political stability is threatened.

To date, the world's primary response to hunger has been to send emergency food aid. While this saves lives, it is a short-term fix, and we need a long-term solution.

The Obama Administration is committed to leading in developing a new global approach. We will support the creation of sustainable agricultural systems in appropriate regions around the world, to increase agricultural productivity, create jobs, strengthen economies, and protect natural resources.

We will do this not by imposing one-size-fits-all solutions, but by assisting countries in carrying out individual strategies that meet their needs—for example, through the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Plan. To facilitate coordination and share best practices, we will seek to convene donor governments, multilateral institutions, NGOs, private companies, foundations, universities, and individuals.

THE ANCHORS OF OUR APPROACH

We've identified seven anchors that secure sustainable agriculture systems. These will guide our approach.

- Increase agricultural productivity by expanding access to quality seeds, fertilizer, irrigation, the credit to purchase them, and the training to use them.
- Stimulate the post-harvest sector by improving the storage and processing of food and improving transportation to connect small farmers to markets.
- Maintain natural resources so the land can be farmed well into the future and we can make the right adaptations for the changing environment.
- Expand knowledge and training by support R&D and developing the next generation of agriculture scientists.
- Increase trade so small-scale farmers in developing countries can sell their crops far and wide.
- Support policy reform and good governance, because sustainable agriculture flourishes in a clear and predictable policy and regulatory environment.
- Support women and families in agriculture. Seventy percent of the world's farmers are women, but most of the programs that offer credit and training to farmers target men. This must change for agriculture to thrive. And children must have nutrition to contribute to their communities' future.

A PREVIEW OF WHAT'S TO COME

In the coming weeks, President Obama, Secretary Vilsack and I will be reaching out to share our approach to global coordination and agriculture in greater detail. We will seek the input, wisdom and guidance of those who have been working so long in this arena—from the Congress to NGOs to academics to foundations to the private sector. The President's conversations at the G-8 meeting in Italy in two weeks will address our approach, as well as my trip to Africa in August.

Addressing hunger and food is essential to achieving economic recovery, stabilizing societies, and expanding opportunity for hundreds of millions of people worldwide. This is why supporting sustainable agriculture worldwide will be a central element of foreign policy in the Obama Administration.

CONCLUSION

You can circle back to the story of Dr. Ejeta to close. He represents the need for a multinational approach: born in Ethiopia, educated in the United States, advised by Indian scientists, advisor to several African nations.

June 6, 2009 11:30am
Rooney (x7-7203, [redacted]) B6

CONCEPT NOTE FOR WORLD FOOD PRIZE CEREMONY
Thursday, June 11, 2009

This speech is an opportunity to signal the urgency of the problem of global hunger; connect it to an array of global issues, including economic development, health and education, expanding opportunity for women, and political stability; and declare the Administration's commitment to tackling hunger at its roots, through effective and efficient collaboration with an array of partners.

Additionally, your remarks can point to a shift from past approaches by emphasizing the need to support local solutions and focus on long-term sustainability to help people feed themselves and reduce the need for emergency assistance down the road.

The speech could be framed as follows:

THE PRIZE AND PRIZE-WINNER

You can begin by announcing the winner of the 2009 World Food Prize, Dr. Gebisa Ejeta. He has a remarkable story: born in a mud hut in Ethiopia, he got his PhD from Purdue University in plant breeding and genetics and developed strains of sorghum that are drought-tolerant, high-yield, and resistant to the Striga weed, which devastates crops across Africa.

Outside the lab, he helped start the Sudanese commercial seed industry; developed systems to produce, certify, and market hybrid seeds; and educated farmers about the use of fertilizers and soil and water conservation. And he has trained and inspired the next generation of African agricultural scientists.

A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH: FROM LAB TO FARM TO MARKET TO TABLE

Dr. Ejeta's work reflects the breadth of this issue and the comprehensive approach needed to address it—one that connects the labs where new seed technologies are developed… the fields where small-scale farmers labor to feed their families and make a living… the markets where food is bought and sold… and the homes where people get the nourishment they need to thrive.

In many places, that food chain is broken. One billion people worldwide woke up hungry this morning and will go to sleep hungry tonight. Chronic hunger threatens health, while making it harder for people to work and students to learn, which in turn stunts economic development. Last year's food riots demonstrated that when food is scarce, political stability is threatened.

To date, the world's primary response to hunger has been to send emergency food aid. While this saves lives, it is a short-term fix, and we need a long-term solution.

The Obama Administration is committed to leading in developing a new global approach. We will support the creation of sustainable agricultural systems in appropriate regions around the world, to increase agricultural productivity, create jobs, strengthen economies, and protect natural resources.

We will do this not by imposing one-size-fits-all solutions, but by assisting countries in carrying out individual strategies that meet their needs—for example, through the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Plan. To facilitate coordination and share best practices, we will seek to convene donor governments, multilateral institutions, NGOs, private companies, foundations, universities, and individuals.

THE ANCHORS OF OUR APPROACH

We've identified seven anchors that secure sustainable agriculture systems. These will guide our approach.

- Increase agricultural productivity by expanding access to quality seeds, fertilizer, irrigation, the credit to purchase them, and the training to use them.
- Stimulate the post-harvest sector by improving the storage and processing of food and improving transportation to connect small farmers to markets.
- Maintain natural resources so the land can be farmed well into the future and we can make the right adaptations for the changing environment.
- Expand knowledge and training by support R&D and developing the next generation of agriculture scientists.
- Increase trade so small-scale farmers in developing countries can sell their crops far and wide.
- Support policy reform and good governance, because sustainable agriculture flourishes in a clear and predictable policy and regulatory environment.
- Support women and families in agriculture. Seventy percent of the world's farmers are women, but most of the programs that offer credit and training to farmers target men. This must change for agriculture to thrive. And children must have nutrition to contribute to their communities' future.

A PREVIEW OF WHAT'S TO COME

In the coming weeks, President Obama, Secretary Vilsack and I will be reaching out to share our approach to global coordination and agriculture in greater detail. We will seek the input, wisdom and guidance of those who have been working so long in this arena—from the Congress to NGOs to academics to foundations to the private sector. The President's conversations at the G-8 meeting in Italy in two weeks will address our approach, as well as my trip to Africa in August.

Addressing hunger and food is essential to achieving economic recovery, stabilizing societies, and expanding opportunity for hundreds of millions of people worldwide. This is why supporting sustainable agriculture worldwide will be a central element of foreign policy in the Obama Administration.

CONCLUSION

You can circle back to the story of Dr. Ejeta to close. He represents the need for a multinational approach: born in Ethiopia, educated in the United States, advised by Indian scientists, advisor to several African nations.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 3:49 PM
To: H
Subject: Jim call

He can talk to you tomorrow, just wants to talk to before u do anything public.
He has a call with susan rice at 530, after that but I told him u may be out of pocket for the evening.

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 6:04 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

It is actually not a full cabinet meeting today -- those agencies that received recovery money were invited to attend/participate. We were welcome to send a representative though, not sure if we have anyone going.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin [redacted] B6
Sent: Mon Jun 08 05:52:59 2009
Subject: Cabinet mtg

I heard on the radio that there is a Cabinet mtg this am. Is there? Can I go? If not, who are we sending?

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 6:07 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Mon Jun 08 06:06:56 2009
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

Find out if either Jack Lew or Pat Kennedy wants to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 08 06:04:08 2009
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

It is actually not a full cabinet meeting today -- those agencies that received recovery money were invited to attend/participate. We were welcome to send a representative though, not sure if we have anyone going.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <[redacted] B6
Sent: Mon Jun 08 05:52:59 2009
Subject: Cabinet mtg

I heard on the radio that there is a Cabinet mtg this am. Is there? Can I go? If not, who are we sending?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 7:40 AM
To: H
Subject: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 7:43 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

Pat Kennedy will be attending.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Mon Jun 08 06:06:56 2009
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

Find out if either Jack Lew or Pat Kennedy wants to go.

----- Original Message -----
From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
To: H; Huma Abedin; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Mon Jun 08 06:04:08 2009
Subject: Re: Cabinet mtg

It is actually not a full cabinet meeting today -- those agencies that received recovery money were invited to attend/participate. We were welcome to send a representative though, not sure if we have anyone going.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Mon Jun 08 05:52:59 2009
Subject: Cabinet mtg

I heard on the radio that there is a Cabinet mtg this am. Is there? Can I go? If not, who are we sending?

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 7:58 AM
To: H
Subject: RE: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

Will take care of it.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 7:41 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

Can you send to him or do you want me to? Does he know I can't look at it all day so he needs to contact me thru you or Huma or Lauren during work hours.

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 08 07:39:30 2009
Subject: axelrod wants your email - remind me to discuss with you if i forget

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 8:32 PM
To: H
Subject: Tom donilon just called for you

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 8:24 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 6/9/09

7:45 am **ARRIVE** The Vice President's Residence

7:45 am **WEEKLY BREAKFAST w/VICE PRESIDENT BIDEN**
8:30 am The Vice President's Residence

8:30 am **DEPART** The Vice President's Residence *En route State Department

8:40 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:40 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
9:30 am Secretary's Office

9:30 am **CALL TIME**
10:00 am Secretary's Office *5 Members: McGovern, Donald Payne, Sam Farr, Niki Tsongas and Doris Matsui.

10:00am **OFFICE TIME**
10:30am Secretary's Office

10:30 am **MEETING w/ERIC GOOSBY, NOMINEE FOR GLOBAL AIDS**
11:00 am **COORDINATOR** Secretary's Office

11:00 am **BILATERAL w/MONGOLIAN FOREIGN MINISTER SUKHBAATAR**
11:30 am **BATBOLD** Secretary's Conference Room *Camera Spray w/ welcome remarks in Treaty Room preceding.

12:10 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

12:15 pm **ARRIVE** White House

12:15 pm **ONE-ON-ONE LUNCH w/LARRY SUMMERS, DIRECTOR NATIONAL**
1:15 pm **ECONOMIC COUNCIL** White House Mess

1:20 pm **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

1:25 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

1:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
2:30 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm **PRIVATE MEETING w/MIKE FROMAN, NSC**
3:00 pm Secretary's Office

3:00 pm **OFFICE TIME**
4:30 pm Secretary's Office

4:30 pm **PC PRE-BRIEF MEETING**
5:00 pm Secretary's Office

5:10 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

5:15 pm **ARRIVE** White House

5:15 pm **WEEKLY PC MEETING**
6:30 pm White House Situation Room

6:35 pm **DEPART** White House *En route State Department
6:40 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

6:45 pm **OFFICE TIME**
7:00 pm Secretary's Office

7:00 pm (t) **CHILDREN UNITING NATIONS RECEPTION (T)**
7:15 pm Ben Franklin Room *Approx. 250 ppl expected.

7:20 pm **DEPART** State Department *En route Hunan on the Hill

7:30 pm **ARRIVE** Hunan on the Hill

7:30 pm **ONE-ON-ONE DINNER w/SENATOR CHARLES SCHUMER**
8:30 pm Hunan on the Hill, 215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE

8:30 pm **DEPART** Hunan on the Hill *En route Private Residence

8:45 pm **ARRIVE** Private Residence

FYI:

6:15 pm **PC MEETING**
7:00 pm White House Situation Room

###

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Jeffrey L Farrow [redacted] B6
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2009 1:13 PM
To: H
Subject: Palau/Guantanamo Uighurs

From: Jeffrey L Farrow <[redacted] **To:** Cheryl D Mills <millscd@state.gov>, abedinh@state.gov, sullivanjj@state.gov

Was going to write earlier re Palau willingness to take the 17 Guantanamo Uighurs -- but see the story has now broken. I am now representing Palau, primarily re extension of free association w/ the U.S. In touch w/Fried's office re Uighurs. Big commitment for Palau since Chinese tourism was planned and its close geographically, etc. but their premium is on U.S. relations (as sadly demonstrated by the loss of a Palauan in the U.S. Army in Afghanistan last week).

Also, just FYI now, I've been concerned that the Budget includes a far too low placeholder for free association extension aid, $45-9 m over 10 years, although the aid is to be based on a joint review of need that will really begin with a Palauan presentation Friday. (I think the number didn't reflect any rethinking by this Administration). The U.S. is to respond in a month.

Jeff
202-343-2905 o
[redacted]

Jeffrey L. Farrow

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 10:17 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: fyi

See traffic below – read down to first email

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 4:50 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: fyi

Libya is hosting the AU summit at the end of June and the theme for the summit is agriculture. Gaddafi is most likely trying to build up international support for the summit. Jeff has been in contact w/ AU and NEPAD reps and encouraged them to write a letter.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 12:18 PM
To: Stremlau, Matthew H
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: RE: fyi

Interesting. Why?

From: Stremlau, Matthew H
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 11:13 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F
Subject: fyi

- Gaddafi is sending a letter to President Obama tomorrow about food security and asking U.S. to increase its support
- The head of NEPAD and AU are sending a letter to S Clinton tomorrow also about food security

Matthew Stremlau, Ph.D.
Policy Planning Staff
Office of the Secretary of State
U.S. Department of State
Phone: 202-736-7308
Cell: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
Email: StremlauMH@state.gov

B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:31 AM
To: H; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

I addressed this with Lippert when we last had an issue.
Our identified point of contact is Sarah Farnsworth and we talk to her regularly. I will call and find out what happened but this meeting was confirmed. They are not telling us when things get canceled and I think we need another contact there if sarah is too overwhelmed.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:22 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: No WH mtg

I arrived for the 10:15 mtg and was told there was no mtg. Matt said they had "released" the time. This is the second time this has happened. What's up???

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:56 AM
To: Abedin, Huma; H; Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

I will start calling Matt before small groups to confirm.

-----Original Message-----
From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:31 AM
To: 'H'; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

I addressed this with Lippert when we last had an issue.
Our identified point of contact is Sarah Farnsworth and we talk to her regularly. I will call and find out what happened but this meeting was confirmed. They are not telling us when things get canceled and I think we need another contact there if sarah is too overwhelmed.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:22 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: No WH mtg

I arrived for the 10:15 mtg and was told there was no mtg. Matt said they had "released" the time. This is the second time this has happened. What's up???

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 11:03 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; H; Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

B6

We just addressed with hrc.
Matt isn't part of the process and said he didn't want to get into this lane, he was filling in for Sarah who is out today.
This is no longer a regular meeting so when it does need to be scheduled lona will add accordingly.

-----Original Message-----
From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:56 AM
To: Abedin, Huma; 'H'; Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

I will start calling Matt before small groups to confirm.

-----Original Message-----
From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:31 AM
To: 'H'; Valmoro, Lona J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: RE: No WH mtg

I addressed this with Lippert when we last had an issue.
Our identified point of contact is Sarah Farnsworth and we talk to her regularly. I will call and find out what happened but this meeting was confirmed. They are not telling us when things get canceled and I think we need another contact there if sarah is too overwhelmed.

-----Original Message-----
From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 10:22 AM
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Abedin, Huma; Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]
Subject: No WH mtg

I arrived for the 10:15 mtg and was told there was no mtg. Matt said they had "released" the time. This is the second time this has happened. What's up???

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 9:44 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

B6

My random stuff can wait till the morning:
- talked to who is back to really wanting an ambassadorship
- mark weiner wants to have a party for capricia, told him we'd love it but after the confirmation and we can do something nice on 8th floor
- wants to come see u privately this week. He wants to talk to you about iran before he sees biden at a fundraiser when he's in dc this week.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Sun Jun 14 21:18:57 2009
Subject: Re: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

Anything elser I need to know?

----- Original Message -----
From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun Jun 14 21:01:27 2009
Subject: I just dropped bfg book off at your house.

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 10:20 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Ross story

Importance: High

FYI

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 10:20 AM
To: 'McDonough, Denis R.'; Donilon, Thomas E.
Subject: FW: Ross story - Umm Hello
Importance: High

Denis/Tom:

Can we discuss how we are going to handle the roll-out of this story?
It appears you all may already have a strategy but I want to ensure we have effective coordination and we just go the inquiry below with the follow-on article attached.

cdm

-----Original Message-----
From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 10:14 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: FW: Ross story
Importance: High

PJ

-----Original Message-----
From: Wood, Robert A (PACE) [mailto:WoodRA@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 10:13 AM
To: Ross, Dennis B; Crowley, Philip J; Kelly, Ian C
Subject: Ross story

Dennis,

How do you want us to handle this Haaretz piece? AP is inquiring.

Last update - 12:10 15/06/2009

Why is Dennis Ross being ousted as Obama envoy to Iran?

By Barak Ravid, Haaretz Correspondent

Tags: Israel News, Dennis Ross

Dennis Ross, who most recently served as a special State Department envoy to Iran, will abruptly be relieved of his duties, sources in Washington told Haaretz. An official announcement is expected in the coming days.

The Obama administration will announce that Ross has been reassigned to another position in the White House. In his new post, the former Mideast peace envoy under President Bill Clinton will deal primarily with regional issues related to the peace process.

Washington insiders speculate that a number of reasons moved the administration to reassign Ross. One possibility is Iran's persistent refusal to accept Ross as a U.S. emissary given the diplomat's Jewish background as well as his purported pro-Israel leanings. Ross is known to maintain contacts with numerous senior officials in Israel's defense establishment and the Israeli government.

Advertisement

Diplomatic sources in Jerusalem surmised that another possibility for Ross' ouster is his just-released book, "Myths, Illusions, and Peace - Finding a New Direction for America in the Middle East."

Ross, who co-wrote the book with David Makovsky, a former journalist who is a fellow at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, argued against a linkage between the Palestinian issue and the West's policy against Iran's nuclear ambitions.

Ross and Mokovsky also raised the possibility of military action against Iran.

"Tougher policies - either militarily or meaningful containment - will be easier to sell internationally and domestically if we have diplomatically tried to resolve our differences with Iran in a serious and credible fashion," they wrote.

Another possible reason for the reshuffle could be Ross' dissatisfaction with his present standing in the State Department, particularly given the fact that Washington's two other envoys to the region - George Mitchell, who is overseeing the Mideast peace process; and Richard Holbrooke, who is dealing with Pakistan and Afghanistan - wield great influence and are featured prominently.

A diplomatic source in Jerusalem speculated that perhaps Ross preferred to work for the National Security Agency, which answers directly to President Barack Obama, and would thus be considered a more enhanced role.

Last year, Ross was an advisor to Obama's successful presidential campaign. Before Obama's inauguration, speculation was rampant as to which job Ross would assume in the new administration.

On February 24, 2009, he was officially appointed as Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's advisor on the Persian Gulf and special envoy to Iran.

http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1093058.html

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 6:50 AM
To: H
Cc: cheryl.mills[redacted] B6
Subject: Re: Startegy memo

Will do.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'cheryl.mill[redacted] <cheryl.mills[redacted]
Sent: Sat Jun 13 06:34:25 2009
Subject: Startegy memo

Can you pls bring w you today two clean copies of the startegy memo you, Cheryl, Sandy and Derek worked on so I could talk w you about today and plan a conf call to discuss tomorrow. Thx.

Also, Tom Donilon told me there would be a dinner Thursday night w Kissinger, Scocroft, Zakaria, Brezinski and principals and that he wouldn't me to frame and lead off. So we have to get the ducks lined up.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 11:08 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Berlusconi in press availability, 6/15/09

See below - just spoke to denis. Calling

B6

From: McDonough, Denis R.
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills ; Cheryl Mills
Sent: Tue Jun 16 23:06:46 2009
Subject: FW: Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Berlusconi in press availability, 6/15/09

"As I said before, Prime Minister Berlusconi's assistance on our efforts to close Guantanamo is very important to us. I have to say, by the way, that Bermuda has done us a great service, as well, on that front, and I'm grateful to them."

From: Eckert, Ellen E.
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 7:49 PM
Subject: Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Berlusconi in press availability, 6/15/09

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release June 15, 2009

REMARKS BY PRESIDENT OBAMA
AND PRIME MINISTER BERLUSCONI OF ITALY
IN PRESS AVAILABILITY

Oval Office

5:48 P.M. EDT

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Good evening, everybody. Buona sera. I want to welcome Prime Minister Berlusconi here. He has proved to be a great friend of the United States. And he and I got to know each other at the G20 summit. We are now in the process of planning the G8 summit that Italy will be hosting. We emphasized the strong, historic ties between the United States and Italy. Our bilateral relationship has been marked by cooperation across the board. And I am extremely grateful for his friendship.

Just a couple of things that we specifically discussed. First of all, I thanked the Prime Minister for his support of our policy of closing Guantanamo. This is not just talk. Italy has agreed to accept three specific detainees, and has also been part of the leadership in Europe that today announced a framework in which European nations can accept detainees. And that was something that I was very appreciative of. It will give us an opportunity to create a lasting and durable international legal framework for dealing with terrorism that I think is very important on both sides of the Atlantic.

We discussed Afghanistan and Pakistan, where Italy has been a critical part of the coalition that is trying to assist Afghanistan in stabilizing itself and ensuring that it's not a safe haven for terrorism. We had an extensive discussion about my interest in pursuing nonproliferation as an important agenda for all people. And given that I'll be visiting Russia before I visit Italy for the G8, Prime Minister Berlusconi, who has strong relationships with the Russians, was able to offer some insight in terms of how to approach reductions in nuclear arsenals. And that hopefully is going to be a topic at the G8 summit, as well.

And in addition to discussing the general situation in the world economy, which obviously will be a central topic of the G8, we also discussed specifically the issue of food security and how G8 nations can assist people who are in most desperate poverty to ensure that they're not slipping into hunger and further poverty. And that is going to be a major topic of the G8 summit. And so I'm very appreciative of the leadership that Prime Minister Berlusconi has shown on that front.

So overall I am very grateful to Prime Minister Berlusconi's leadership, his consistent friendship towards the United States. We have some of the strongest bilateral relations in the world. I am confident that that will continue as long as both of us are occupying our positions.

And so thank you very much for taking the time to visit.

PRIME MINISTER BERLUSCONI: (As translated.) First of all, I would like to thank President Obama for his welcome and for the possibility offered us to provide our perspective, our opinions on many of the most difficult and hardest issues at the international level. And we've discussed, as he already briefed you, many of the issues and the topics, and we went through in a detailed manner the three days of the G8 summit. We want this G8 to reach concrete solutions. And we want to reach concrete solutions on many extremely important issues.

Clearly, the first issue is the world economy, the economic crisis and how to get out of this crisis. And we also discussed the work that our ministers of economy are carrying out right now to try and develop a body of principles and to prevent similar situations from happening, similar situations to the one we are experiencing right now.

We both agreed on the fact that the G8 will certainly not be able to produce this body of rules, but this is going to be just one of the steps leading to that drafting of rules. There will be then the G20 summit in Pittsburgh, which will work on it, but the hope is to finally reach a body of rules which can be shared by everybody which -- which are not going to affect or hinder the free expansion of the economy and trade.

And we discussed two important issues. One of them, which will be discussed in L'Aquila as well, is food security, as President Obama has already said. We hope that the countries there will make concrete efforts and concrete proposals. The United States has already promised to increase the aid to other countries and they're going to make in the next three years huge amounts of money available. We try and will push the other countries in the G8, trying to persuade them to do the same.

And another important issue is that of climate change. And another important achievement we are aiming at is to reduce CO2 emissions -- something, however, which has to be contributed to by all countries and not only a limited number of them. And since the Doha Round has reached a stop, we hope that by inviting Lamy, who is the director of the WTO, to attend the G8 summit, that we can try and give another push to the Doha Round, hoping to achieve positive results.

Probably what I forgot to mention up to now is that the meeting in L'Aquila is not going to be confined to the G8 countries. The next day, the second day, will be G8-plus-6. The major economies of the world will be there; India, China, South Africa, Mexico, Brazil, and Egypt will attend the second day of meetings. There will be the major economies forum with the participation of South Korea, Indonesia, and Australia, and then there is another meeting which will be attended also by the Netherlands, Spain, and Denmark -- and we are going to have before all of these meetings together.

The dinner will be attended also by the representatives of the main international organizations. And the third day, we are going to have the President of the African Union, the representatives of the main African organizations, together with the representatives of some of the main African countries. We are going to discuss the development of this continent and the issue of hunger in that area.

And I can't but thank President Obama and express my warmest felt appreciation to him for -- and this position is coming from a person that's been long enough in international politics to chair for the third time the G8 summit; the first one was in the 1994; the second one in 2001; and now the third one -- I want to express my appreciation for his deep knowledge and precision and accuracy with which he discusses all of the issues.

And the positions that he expresses are not only innovative positions looking at a different future, but they're always very concrete and absolutely based on common sense. And it is extremely comforting and a

pleasure to see that the destiny of the biggest democracy in the world is in very good hands.

PRESIDENT OBAMA: I hope my staff all caught that. (Laughter.) Gibbs, write that down. (Laughter.)

Let me call on a couple people. Steve Thomma, McClatchy. There you are.

Q Mr. President, on Iran, does the disputed election results affect -- there's been violence in the street -- in any way change your willingness to meet with Mr. Ahmadinejad without preconditions? And also, do you have anything to say, any message to send to people who are on the streets protesting, who believe their votes were stolen and who are being attacked violently?

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Obviously all of us have been watching the news from Iran. And I want to start off by being very clear that it is up to Iranians to make decisions about who Iran's leaders will be; that we respect Iranian sovereignty and want to avoid the United States being the issue inside of Iran, which sometimes the United States can be a handy political football -- or discussions with the United States.

Having said all that, I am deeply troubled by the violence that I've been seeing on television. I think that the democratic process -- free speech, the ability of people to peacefully dissent -- all those are universal values and need to be respected. And whenever I see violence perpetrated on people who are peacefully dissenting, and whenever the American people see that, I think they're, rightfully, troubled.

My understanding is, is that the Iranian government says that they are going to look into irregularities that have taken place. We weren't on the ground, we did not have observers there, we did not have international observers on hand, so I can't state definitively one way or another what happened with respect to the election. But what I can say is that there appears to be a sense on the part of people who were so hopeful and so engaged and so committed to democracy who now feel betrayed. And I think it's important that, moving forward, whatever investigations take place are done in a way that is not resulting in bloodshed and is not resulting in people being stifled in expressing their views.

Now, with respect to the United States and our interactions with Iran, I've always believed that as odious as I consider some of President Ahmadinejad's statements, as deep as the differences that exist between the United States and Iran on a range of core issues, that the use of tough, hard-headed diplomacy -- diplomacy with no illusions about Iran and the nature of the differences between our two countries -- is critical when it comes to pursuing a core set of our national security interests, specifically, making sure that we are not seeing a nuclear arms race in the Middle East triggered by Iran obtaining a nuclear weapon; making sure that Iran is not exporting terrorist activity. Those are core interests not just to the United States but I think to a peaceful world in general.

We will continue to pursue a tough, direct dialogue between our two countries, and we'll see where it takes us. But even as we do so, I think it would be wrong for me to be silent about what we've seen on the television over the last few days. And what I would say to those people who put so much hope and energy and optimism into the political process, I would say to them that the world is watching and inspired by their participation, regardless of what the ultimate outcome of the election was. And they should know that the world is watching.

And particularly to the youth of Iran, I want them to know that we in the United States do not want to make any decisions for the Iranians, but we do believe that the Iranian people and their voices should be heard and respected.

PRIME MINISTER BERLUSCONI: ANSA.

Q (As translated.) President Obama, after this meeting with Prime Minister Berlusconi, what do you expect, in concrete terms, from the relationship between United States and Italy? And to Prime Minister Berlusconi, you had very strong relations with President George W. Bush. Do you expect and do you hope to build the same type of relations with President Obama, as well?

PRIME MINISTER BERLUSCONI: I took an oath of gratitude towards United States, which gave me freedom and which gave my country dignity after World War II. So I'm here to cooperate with the President of the United States. I'm here to cooperate with President Obama, as I cooperated with President Clinton and as I did with President Bush.

So if I can express a hope, I really hope we can build a direct and friendly relationship with President Obama. I would be more than happy to do so. And facts will tell.

But anyway, I think we had a good start.

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Absolutely. We've had a very good start. What I expect from the Prime Minister is an honest, frank sharing of views and a recognition that the United States and Italy share common values, common interests. Our economies have very strong commercial ties. And if we're acting on those mutual interests, then I have no doubt that we'll continue to see strong cooperation.

As I said before, Prime Minister Berlusconi's assistance on our efforts to close Guantanamo is very important to us. I have to say, by the way, that Bermuda has done us a great service, as well, on that front, and I'm grateful to them.

When it comes to Afghanistan and Pakistan, we are part of the same coalition that wants to make sure that the Afghan government is able and strong to sustain development for the Afghan people, but also to make sure that they're not serving as a safe haven for extremists.

When it comes to the world economy, I think all of us have an interest in improving the kinds of financial regulations that will prevent the kinds of crises that we saw happening most recently.

So, across the board, I think we have a host of common interests. In addition to liking Prime Minister Berlusconi personally, our peoples like each other and recognize that we have shared interests. And that, I think, will make the path for continued cooperation that much easier.

(After translation.) I must say, my answers sound very elegant in Italian. (Laughter.)

Steve Collinson.

Q Thank you, Mr. President. Of the conditions that Prime Minister Netanyahu laid out yesterday for a Palestinian state, the basis for negotiation, do you think they will likely prove a stumbling block, given the broadly negative reaction from the Arab states and the Palestinians?

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Well, first of all, I think it's important not to immediately assess the situation based on commentary the day after a speech. I think any time an Israeli Prime Minister makes a statement, the immediate reaction tends to be negative on one side. If the other side is making a statement, oftentimes the reaction is negative in Israel.

Overall, I thought that there was positive movement in the Prime Minister's speech. He acknowledged the need for two states. There were a lot of conditions, and obviously working through the conditions on Israel's side for security, as well as the Palestinian side for sovereignty and territorial integrity and the capacity to have a functioning, prosperous state, that's exactly what negotiations are supposed to be about. But what we're seeing is at least the possibility that we can restart serious talks.

Now, I've been very clear that, from the United States' perspective, Israel's security is non-negotiable. We will stand behind their defense. I've also made very clear that both sides are going to have to move in some politically difficult ways in order to achieve what is going to be in the long-term interests of the Israelis and the Palestinians and the international community.

On the Israeli side, that means a cessation of settlements. And there is a tendency to try to parse exactly what this means, but I think the parties on the ground understand that if you have a continuation of settlements that, in past agreements, have been categorized as illegal, that's going to be an impediment to progress. On the Palestinian side, whether it's the Palestinian Authority or other groups like Hamas that claim to speak for the Palestinians, a recognition of the Quartet principles, ensuring that there's a recognition of Israel's right to exist, making sure that past agreements are abided to, that there's an end

to incitement against Israel and an end to violence against Israel. Those are necessary pillars of any serious agreement that's to be reached.

And those pillars have to be supported by the Arab states, because Israel's security concerns extend beyond simply the Palestinian Territories; they extend to concerns that they have in a whole host of neighbors where there's perceived and often real hostility towards Israel's security. So I'm glad that Prime Minister Netanyahu made the speech. The United States will continue to try to be as honest as possible to all sides in this dispute to indicate the degree to which it's in everybody's interests to move in a new direction. And I think it can be accomplished, but it's going to require a lot of work and a partnership with key countries like Italy in order to help the parties come together and recognize their own interests.

Q You discussed during the meeting the crisis, the economic crisis, you're going to discuss at the G8. The package of measures you are discussing right now, can this be a kind of basis for the next meetings -- G8 and G20?

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Well, our respective finance ministers, not just Italy's and the United States', but all the G8 members', have been meeting diligently. They've put forward a framework that will be discussed and hopefully ratified at the G8. That will provide a broad set of principles.

Now, the United States is putting forward some very specific regulatory reforms that are going to make sure that we don't find ourselves in this position again, that improves oversight, ensures that banks aren't taking risks with highly leveraged money that can result in systemic collapse, that consumers and investors are protected more effectively.

So we're going to have a lot of work to do. It's going to be a big, complex piece of legislation. Different countries are going to have different needs. Prime Minister Berlusconi was indicating to me that the banking system in Italy has not been under the same kinds of pressures as the banking system in the United States and some other European countries.

But I think the general principle -- that we're going to have improved oversight, better practices, and that there's some coordination and information-sharing between countries on issues like tax havens, for example, so that you don't have global capital avoiding more stringent rules by going to countries with weaker rules -- I think that's going to be something that all G8 members affirm, and we very much appreciate Prime Minister Berlusconi's leadership on this issue.

PRIME MINISTER BERLUSCONI: I would like to add to this that our ministers of finance have been working on all of these issues and packages. In particular they paid attention, for instance, to corporate governments and rules for transparency and a regulation of the financial sectors, accounting rules, and as President Obama has also mentioned,

also, the issue of tax havens, to try and prevent those situations from happening again. And the idea is to work out a set of rules and regulations which can prevent situations and conditions like the ones we've experienced which have led -- from happening, experience that is to -- which led first to the financial crisis and then to the economic crisis that we are experiencing right now.

PRESIDENT OBAMA: Thank you. Grazie.

END

6:29 P.M. EDT

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 7:11 AM
To: H
Subject: Rahm needs to talk to you this morning

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 7:56 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 6/17/09

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Tue Jun 16 17:44:40 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule 6/17/09

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**
8:35 am Secretary's Office

8:40 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**
8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**
9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:15 am **PRE-BRIEF FOR WEEKLY MEETING w/PRESIDENT OBAMA**
9:30 am Secretary's Office

9:30 am **BIWEEKLY MEETING w/MANAGEMENT TEAM**
10:00 am Deputy Secretary's Conference Room

10:10 am **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

10:15 am **ARRIVE** White House

10:15 am **SMALL GROUP MEETING**
11:15 am White House Situation Room

11:20 am **DEPART** White House *En route US Chamber of Commerce

11:25 am **ARRIVE** US Chamber of Commerce

11:30 am **KEYNOTE ADDRESS AT THE US-INDIA BUSINESS COUNCIL'S**
12:15 pm **ANNUAL SUMMIT** US Chamber of Commerce

12:20 pm **DEPART** US Chamber of Commerce *En route State Department

12:25 pm **ARRIVE** State Department

12:30 pm **OFFICE TIME**
1:15 pm Secretary's Office

1:15 pm **DROP-BY w/MALDIVIAN VICE PRESIDENT MOHAMMED**
1:20 pm **WAHEED HASSAN** Secretary's Outer Office *Official Photo taken.

1:30 pm **PRE-BRIEF FOR ISRAELI BILATERAL**
2:00 pm Secretary's Office

2:00 pm **ONE-ON-ONE BILAT w/ISRAELI DEP. P.M. & F.M. AVIGDOR**
2:15 pm **LIEBERMAN** Secretary's Office *Official photo in East Hall preceding.

2:15 pm **EXPANDED BILAT w/ISRAELI DEP. P.M. & F.M. AVIGDOR**
3:00 pm **LIEBERMAN** Secretary's Conference Room

3:00 pm **PRESS AVAIL w/ISRAELI DEP. P.M. & F.M. AVIGDOR LIEBERMAN**
3:15 pm Treaty Room

3:15 pm **OFFICE TIME**
4:00 pm Secretary's Office

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 8:06 AM
To: H; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Schedule

Yes -- Sarah' email from Monday: General Jones will host a Small Group Meeting in the Situation Room, Wednesday (6/17), from 10:15-11:15am. Please confirm participation as shown below. If you have any questions, please contact the Suite at (202) 456-9491. Thank you, Adam

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Wed Jun 17 07:59:16 2009
Subject: Schedule

Are you sure we're having the small group mtg today?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 8:00 AM
To: H
Subject: FW: Your June 22, 2009 article on the Prague Conference

FYI

From: Stuart Eizenstat [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2009 10:10 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted]
Cc: Kennedy, J Christian; [redacted]
Subject: FW: Your June 22, 2009 article on the Prague Conference

Dear Cheryl and Susan,

Below is a response from the American Gathering of Holocaust Survivors, the largest and most well-known Holocaust survivor group in the United States, strongly opposing a letter to Secretary of State Clinton, which was simultaneously sent to Haaretz in Israel, from a group of survivors based in South Florida, asking that I be replaced as head of the U.S. delegation because of my association with the Jewish Claims Conference. I will separately send their initial letter.

I have talked with Ambassador Christian Kennedy about this scurrilous and unrepresentative attack, made just before the Prague Conference is to begin. We agree it emanates from two related causes. First, it was instigated by Sam Dubbin, a class action lawyer in Miami who has spent the better part of ten years trying to get Congress to overturn the agreements I negotiated on behalf of the Clinton Administration, which obtained over $8 billion for Holocaust survivors and other victims of Nazi persecution around the world. He wants to be free to sue the very companies who paid these sums, in return for getting assurances of the U.S. government would do all it could to provide "legal peace" from future suits. Several federal courts have upheld our agreements. He has lobbied for legislation last year and again this year. Indeed, Chairman Frank held a hearing in 2008 on this matter.

The second basis of the letter is a long-standing dispute between some survivors against the Jewish Claims Conference, based in New York City, which was officially designated in the 1950s by the governments of Germany and Israel to be the negotiator with the German government on behalf of Jewish Holocaust survivors. The bulk of the $60 billion paid by the German government since the 1950s in reparations is passed through the Claims Conference, which then distributes it to survivors. Their complaints range from a lack of transparency in their operations to the 80%-20% split, by which 80% of their proceeds go directly to survivors and 20% to various Jewish organizations for projects of remembrance and education about the Holocaust.

My association with the Jewish Claims Conference, which was fully disclosed during my vetting, is very tangential. I volunteered to lead their once a year negotiation with the German government to improve pensions for survivors, to provide new services like home care, and to increased the population of survivors covered by the German government. I have done this once, in March of this year, with, by the way, major breakthroughs for survivors. None of these issues is involved in the Prague Conference, and the German government has no problem with my participation. That is the only involvement I have with the Claims Conference. I do not participate in their governing bodies, and have no hand in their allocation or other decisions. I will not be involved again, until their next negotiation, in 2010, unless I decide not to continue my voluntary negotiation for them.

Moreover, the letter is unbelievably inaccurate. While I have only been involved for several weeks as the official head of the delegation, it is grossly inaccurate for them to allege that survivors have been left out of the process. Ambassador Kennedy has done remarkable outreach to the survivor community for many months, in preparation for the Prague Conference, and survivors are well represented on the Delegation. In the period since I have been officially involved, I have met with the German Insurance Association to see if they would make a contribution for the social needs of survivors; have talked with Judah Gribetz, the Special Master for the Swiss bank settlement, to see if any of the extra sums they will have left over can be given to survivors; have worked to get language in the Terezin Declaration to encourage countries to set up special funds for survivors and to use heirless property to assist them.

Ambassador Kennedy is preparing a press statement to be used if there is a question about the letter and the Haaretz letter at the daily State Department press briefing.

Best wishes,

Stu Eizenstat

From: Menachem Rosensaft [redacted] B6
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2009 8:11 PM
To: hebrish [redacted]
Subject: Your June 22, 2009 article on the Prague Conference

Dear Mr. Liphshiz,

With respect to your article yesterday on the utterly unwarranted personal attack on Ambassador Stuart Eizenstat by a group of American Holocaust survivors, you should be aware that the leadership of the largest organization of survivors and descendants of survivors in the United States, the American Gathering of Jewish Holocaust Survivors and Their Descendants, in no way shares these sentiments. On the contrary, we hold Ambassador Eizenstat in the highest esteem, know him to be a man of the highest integrity and ethical standards, and are deeply grateful to him for everything he has done and continues to do on behalf of the survivors over many years.

Neither David Schaecter nor Leo Rechter speak for anyone other than the groups they represent, and Mr. Rechter's statement that survivors have "no confidence" in Ambassador Eizenstat is, viewed most charitably, a gross overstatement in that he seeks to attribute his personal prejudices to the many thousands of survivors who do not share his views and who have never authorized him to speak in their name. The lives of thousands upon thousands of survivors throughout the world have been made easier over the past 15 years thanks to Ambassador Eizenstat's tireless work on their behalf. I cannot think of anyone more appropriate to head the U.S. delegation to the Prague conference.

The fact is that Ambassador Eizenstat's **only** association with the Claims Conference is a once a year, three day negotiation **as a volunteer** with the German government for the interests of the survivors. The first such negotiation took place earlier this year in March and resulted in 13,000 survivors who had previously been turned down becoming eligible for for reparations for the first time, and in the improvement in existing pension payments to survivors totaling over $50 million. Moreover, the specific pension issues for which he volunteered to negotiate with the German government bear no relationship to the issues being covered by the Prague Conference.

During the Clinton Administration, Ambassador Eizenstat was the Special Representative of the President and Secretary of State on Holocaust era issues and the lead negotiator on such issues with the Swiss, German, Austrian and French governments, as well as with various Eastern and Central European governments. In that capacity, he obtained over $8 billion dollars in compensation and restitution for Holocaust survivors, including payments to slave and forced laborers, the return of thousands of Jewish communal and private properties, the payments of tens of thousands of previously undisclosed bank accounts, the recovery of hundreds of pieces of looted art, and the payments on thousands of insurance policies. Indeed, Ambassador Eizenstat is more responsible than any other single person for placing the issue of justice for Holocaust survivors on the international agenda more than half a century after the end of World War II. He has also headed the U.S. delegations to every one of the four previous international conferences dealing with Holocaust era assets, beginning with the 1997 London Conference on Nazi Gold. Messrs. Schaecter and Rechter are well aware of all this, and the fact that they chose to engage in an ad hominem attack against Ambassador Eizenstat one week before the opening of the Prague conference - his appointment as head of the U.S. delegation was publicly announced weeks ago - is unseemly and disingenuous in the extreme.

By way of introduction, I am vice president of the American Gathering of Jewish Holocaust Survivors and Their Descendants (I am the son of two survivors of Auschwitz and Bergen-Belsen), and I am a member of the U.S. delegation to the Prague conference. I would be pleased to discuss this issue further with you, as I am sure you do not want to provide an erroneous account of the facts to the readers of Ha'aretz. I can be reached at [redacted] B6 [redacted] any time after 6 am NY time). My email address is [redacted]

I very much hope that you will write a follow-up article to set the record straight.

Sincerely,

Menachem Rosensaft

Menachem Z. Rosensaft, Esq.

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:37 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Politico

FYI below

From: Vietor, Thomas F.
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 3:06 PM
To: McDonough, Denis R.; 'Reines, Philippe I'; Donilon, Thomas E.
Subject: FW:

VICTORY!

From: Ben Smith [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 3:05 PM
To: Vietor, Thomas F.
Subject: RE:

thx. i've been successfully, mostly, talked out of that thesis.

best was holbrooke, who interrupted self to say, "I hope you're writing this down."

--
Ben Smith
http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/
[redacted]
[redacted]
fax: 866 293 7155
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: Vietor, Thomas F. [redacted]
Sent: Mon 6/22/2009 3:01 PM
To: Ben Smith
Subject:

Photo of HRC and the President from today: http://www.flickr.com/photos/whitehouse

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 9:46 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: HSF Follow up letter

Fyi

From: Eizenstat, Stuart [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted]
Cc: Kennedy, J Christian; Jones-Johnson, Carolyn D; [redacted]; Becker, John P (EUR); Scarlis, Basil; [redacted]
Sent: Mon Jun 22 17:52:18 2009
Subject: FW: HSF Follow up letter

Dear Cheryl and Susan,

I am pleased to inform you that following two long conference calls with the Holocaust Survivors' Foundation and Sam Dubbin, their lawyer, and with the timely intervention of Congressman Robert Wexler, the Foundation has sent the letter below by FAX to Secretary Clinton, withdrawing their objection and supporting my efforts.

It was clear from the call that they are a [redacted] B5
[redacted] to all the major Jewish organizations, from the American Jewish Committee and ADL to the Jewish Claims Conference. But they are a voice we should hear, [redacted] They are sincere people, who are [redacted]

Thanks and best wishes,

Stu

From: Sam Dubbin [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:03 PM
To: Eizenstat, Stuart
Cc: METPJF [redacted]
Subject: HSF Follow up letter

David's secretary told me the letter was faxed to Secretary Clinton around 4:30.

I will send you the PDF from David's office when they send it to me.

All the best,

Sam

From: Sam Dubbin
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 4:39 PM
To: 'Eizenstat, Stuart'
Cc: 'METPJF [redacted]
Subject: Stu, below is text of the letter that David Schaecter will be faxing to Secretary Clinton any minute if it hasn't gone already.

I believe the conversations were very important and productive, and more importantly, so do the survivors with whom you spoke.
We look forward to working together this week and thereafter.

Sam .

Dear Secretary Clinton:

With reference to our letter dated June 19, 2009, on behalf of the Holocaust Survivors Foundation USA, Inc. (HSF), I would like to state that based on conversations that took place in the intervening time period between Ambassador Eizenstat and the leadership of the HSF, and the commitments he made to our leaders, we are no longer asking that he be replaced as the head of the U.S. delegation to the Prague Conference taking place on June 26-30.

On behalf of all of the survivors for whom we have been fighting over the last decade, including tens of thousands in desperate need, we wish Ambassador Eizenstat the best of success as the head of the United States delegation at the Conference, as well as in the follow-up activities that we understand will occur on matters affecting Holocaust survivors' rights and interests.

Sincerely,

David Schaecter

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2009 3:27 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: HSF Follow up letter

Will see - he is doing the paperwork

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Jun 22 21:48:35 2009
Subject: Re: HSF Follow up letter

Good. What is latest re Sid Blumenthal?

----- Original Message -----
From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Jun 22 21:45:56 2009
Subject: Fw: HSF Follow up letter

Fyi

From: Eizenstat, Stuart [redacted] B6
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted]
Cc: Kennedy, J Christian; Jones-Johnson, Carolyn D; [redacted] Becker, John P (EUR); Scarlis, Basil; [redacted]
Sent: Mon Jun 22 17:52:18 2009
Subject: FW: HSF Follow up letter

Dear Cheryl and Susan,

I am pleased to inform you that following two long conference calls with the Holocaust Survivors' Foundation and Sam Dubbin, their lawyer, and with the timely intervention of Congressman Robert Wexler, the Foundation has sent the letter below by FAX to Secretary Clinton, withdrawing their objection and supporting my efforts.

It was clear from the call that they are [redacted] B5
to all the major Jewish organizations, from the American Jewish Committee and ADL to the Jewish Claims Conference. But they are a voice we should hear, [redacted]

Thanks and best wishes,

Stu

From: Sam Dubbin [redacted] B6
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 5:03 PM
To: Eizenstat, Stuart
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: HSF Follow up letter

David's secretary told me the letter was faxed to Secretary Clinton around 4:30.

I will send you the PDF from David's office when they send it to me.

All the best,

Sam

From: Sam Dubbin
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 4:39 PM
To: 'Eizenstat, Stuart'
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Stu, below is text of the letter that David Schaecter will be faxing to Secretary Clinton any minute if it hasn't gone already.

I believe the conversations were very important and productive, and more importantly, so do the survivors with whom you spoke.

We look forward to working together this week and thereafter.

Sam

Dear Secretary Clinton:

With reference to our letter dated June 19, 2009, on behalf of the Holocaust Survivors Foundation USA, Inc. (HSF), I would like to state that based on conversations that took place in the intervening time period between Ambassador Eizenstat and the leadership of the HSF, and the commitments he made to our leaders, we are no longer asking that he be replaced as the head of the U.S. delegation to the Prague Conference taking place on June 26-30.

On behalf of all of the survivors for whom we have been fighting over the last decade, including tens of thousands in desperate need, we wish Ambassador Eizenstat the best of success as the head of the United States delegation at the Conference, as well as in the follow-up activities that we understand will occur on matters affecting Holocaust survivors' rights and interests.

Sincerely,

David Schaecter

RELEASE
IN FULL

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 7:36 AM
To: H
Cc: Abedin, Huma
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/29/09

From: Jiloty, Lauren C
To: Jiloty, Lauren C
Sent: Thu May 28 23:57:13 2009
Subject: Mini Schedule 5/29/09

8:25 am **ARRIVE** State Department

8:25 am **PRESIDENTIAL DAILY BRIEFING**

8:30 am Secretary's Office

8:30 am **DAILY SMALL STAFF MEETING**

8:45 am Secretary's Office

8:45 am **DAILY SENIOR STAFF MEETING**

9:15 am Secretary's Conference Room

9:30 am **PHONE CALL w/INDIAN FOREIGN MINISTER KRISHNA**

9:40 am Secretary's Office

9:45 am **PHONE CALL w/INDIAN CONGRESS PARTY PRESIDENT**

9:55 am **GANDHI** Secretary's Office

10:40 am **DEPART** State Department *En route White House

10:45 am **ARRIVE** White House

11:00 am **POTUS ANNOUNCEMENT ON CYBER SECURITY**

11:30 am East Room

11:30 am **DEPART** White House *En route State Department

11:35 am **ARRIVE** State Department

11:45 am **OFFICE TIME**

12:45 pm Secretary's Office

12:45 pm **MEETING w/PAT KENNEDY, DAN SMITH AND KERRY O'CONNOR**

1:30 pm Secretary's Office

1:30 pm **PHONE CALL w/DANISH FOREIGN MINISTER MOLLER**

1:45 pm Secretary's Office

2:30 pm **MEETING w/CHERYL AND MARGARET CARPENTER**

3:15 pm Secretary's Office

4:00 pm (t) **DEPART** State Department *En route Private Residence